

LAGRANGIAN KNOTS AND UNKNOTS – AN ESSAY

LEONID POLTEROVICH AND FELIX SCHLENK

ABSTRACT. In this essay dedicated to Yakov Eliashberg we survey the current state of the field of Lagrangian (un)knots, reviewing some constructions and obstructions along with a number of unsolved questions. The appendix by Dimitroglou Rizell provides a new take on local Lagrangian knots.

with an appendix by Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell

CONTENTS

1. Background, basics, and motivation	2
2. Unknots	4
3. Knots	9
4. Knottedness from the Maslov class	26
5. Where topology ends and geometry starts	28
6. Appendix by Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell	28
References	33

Yasha Eliashberg has made several inventions in symplectic topology that opened a new door and that in his and other researcher's hands developed to rich theories. In this paper we illustrate this in just one example. We weave a narrative around Lagrangian knots with several goals in mind: to honor Yasha's contributions, to survey the current state of the field along with some unresolved questions, and to describe a selection of constructions and arguments within the field that lend themselves to brief and straightforward exposition, apt for a celebratory essay.

Date: June 25, 2024.

GDR is supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under the grants KAW 2021.0191 and KAW 2021.0300, and by the Swedish Research Council under the grant number 2020-04426.

LP is partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation grant 1102/20.
 2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53D12.

1. BACKGROUND, BASICS, AND MOTIVATION

Background. The celebrated Eliashberg–Gromov C^0 -rigidity theorem from [24] and [40, Section 3.4.4] states that the group of symplectic diffeomorphisms of a symplectic manifold is C^0 -closed in the group of all diffeomorphisms. This rigidity result established the existence of symplectic topology. On the other hand, there were known flexibility phenomena for symplectic manifolds due to Gromov’s h -principles. The co-existence of rigidity and flexibility in symplectic topology was of great interest ever since, see Eliashberg’s informative survey [27].

One subject in symplectic geometry in which rigid and flexible features go hand in hand are Lagrangian knots and unknots, formulated by Arnold [2, § 6] in 1986. We have chosen this topic since we find it beautiful and since there was much recent progress on Lagrangian knots.

Basic notions. A submanifold L of a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is Lagrangian if ω vanishes on TL and if L has half the dimension of M . Examples are the zero section of cotangent bundles T^*L , endowed with their canonical symplectic form $\sum_j dp_j \wedge dq_j$. Furthermore, the product of Lagrangian submanifolds is Lagrangian in the product of their ambient symplectic manifolds. In the standard symplectic vector space $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ we therefore have Lagrangian tori (products of circles in the symplectic coordinate planes), and through Darboux charts Lagrangian tori exist in all symplectic manifolds.

Roughly speaking, a Lagrangian is knotted if it cannot be deformed to a standard model Lagrangian. In T^*L the model is the zero section, and in \mathbb{R}^{2n} the models are the product tori. In the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$, that we always endow with a Fubini–Study form, the model is the Clifford torus or the real projective plane, and in a product of two 2-spheres the model is the product of the equators or (if the spheres have equal area) the anti-diagonal.

Of course, one must specify what “deform” means. We distinguish several equivalence relations. Assume that L, L' are Lagrangian submanifolds in a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . We say that L, L' are

homologous if they represent the same homology class in $H_n(M; \mathbb{Z})$.

smoothly isotopic if there exists a smooth path of submanifolds from L to L' .

Lagrangian isotopic if there exists a smooth path of Lagrangian submanifolds from L to L' .

Hamiltonian isotopic if there exists a Hamiltonian isotopy ϕ_t of (M, ω) with $\phi_0 = \text{id}$ and $\phi_1(L) = L'$.

In Sections 1 and 2 we will look at Lagrangian unknots and knots with respect to these equivalence relations. In Section 3 we look at Lagrangian embeddings that are not regularly homotopic through Lagrangian immersions.

One could also ask that L and L' come with a parametrization, and that the above equivalence relations take into account the parametrization, but we will only look at unparametrized Lagrangians.

Motivation. Lagrangian submanifolds arise in symplectic topology on several occasions: invariant tori of classical mechanics, real parts of complex manifolds equipped with a Kähler form, and graphs of symplectic diffeomorphisms. The problem of Lagrangian knots was to some extent motivated by these areas.

To illustrate the connection with mathematical physics, recall that in [2, § 9] Arnold emphasized (in a dadaist manner typical for him) that “such natural problems and theorems of symplectic topology as the problem of Lagrangian knots... were discovered only as a result of experiments in laser optics and the analysis of the variational principles of Percival, Aubri, and others, connected with the theory of corrosion.”

The counterpart of Lagrangian submanifolds in complex analysis are *totally real* submanifolds L of Kähler manifolds (M, J) , i.e., those submanifolds L for which JT_xL is transverse to T_xL for every $x \in L$. Given a Kähler form ω with associated Riemannian metric $\omega(\xi, J\eta)$ on M , the Lagrangian submanifolds are characterized by the fact that JT_xL is *orthogonal* to T_xL . If we require the angle between JT_xL and T_xL to lie in $(\pi/2 - \varepsilon, \pi/2]$, then the corresponding class of submanifolds satisfies an h -principle, i.e., is flexible: Any two such “ ε -Lagrangian embeddings” are isotopic through ε -Lagrangian embeddings, see [40]¹ and also the Intrigue E1 in the seminal book [17] by Cieliebak, Eliashberg and Mishachev. Thus rigidity can (and does!) appear only for Lagrangian submanifolds, i.e., when the angle is $\pi/2$ everywhere.

Finally, if we think on Lagrangians as generalized morphisms in the symplectic category, the counterpart of the problem of Lagrangian knots is the description of the symplectic mapping class group, i.e. the group formed by the set of connected components of the symplectomorphism group. It is worth mentioning here that the square of Seidel’s famous Dehn twist of T^*S^2 takes each fiber F of the cotangent bundle to an exotic “fiber” F' , that coincides with F outside a compact subset,

¹In our interactions (LP), Yasha often referred to Gromov’s book [40]. On one occasion, when I couldn’t find a statement Yasha claimed was there, I asked him to point out the page. Yasha did so promptly, but the statement wasn’t there. When I gently asked Yasha for a precise location, he responded, ‘Between the lines!’

and is isotopic to F by a smooth compactly supported isotopy which, however, cannot be made Hamiltonian [53].

While being formulated in the early heroic period of symplectic topology, the problem of Lagrangian knots remains widely open until now. With these motivations at hand, let us discuss some results and open problems.

2. UNKNOTS

The first result on Lagrangian unknots is Eliashberg's theorem from [26] on Lagrangian cylinders: In the standard (\mathbb{R}^4, ω_0) with coordinates x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 consider the straight Lagrangian cylinder

$$L_0 = \{x_1^2 + y_1^2 = 1, x_2 = 0, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}$$

connecting the two hyperplanes $\Pi_j = \{y_2 = j\}$, $j = 0, 1$. Let U be the region bounded by Π_0 and Π_1 .

Theorem 1. *Every Lagrangian cylinder L that agrees with L_0 near the boundary is Hamiltonian isotopic to L_0 inside U .*

Rough outline of a proof. The key technique in the proof is filling with pseudo-holomorphic discs (J -discs, for short), that had been developed by Gromov [39] and Eliashberg [25]. A filling of the cylinder L by J -discs is a smooth family $D_{t \in [0,1]}$ of compact, embedded, and disjoint J -holomorphic discs whose boundary circles foliate L . For the straight cylinder L_0 , the existence of a filling for the standard J is obvious. If one can find a filling of L , it is clear that L_0 and L are smoothly isotopic, and a few more beautiful and elementary geometric arguments in [26, § 2.5], some of which we repeat below, imply that one can find a Lagrangian isotopy in \mathbb{R}^4 . Since the symplectic areas of all non-contractible closed embedded curves on the two cylinders are π , this isotopy can then be included into a Hamiltonian isotopy. These arguments also show that if the filling discs D_t lie in U , then the Hamiltonian isotopy can be taken with support in U . While this property of the D_t is not clear for the filling constructed in [26], it does hold for a filling obtained by neck stretching, as explained to us by Eliashberg: Choose A so large that the non-standard Lagrangian cylinder L is contained in $\{(z_1, z_2) \mid \pi|z_1|^2 \leq A\}$. Then compactify the disc of area A in $\{(z_1, 0)\}$ to $\mathbb{C}P^1$. So $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is divided into two discs, a small one of area 1, and a big one of area $A - 1$. Now we do neck stretching along the boundary of a little disc bundle D_ε^*L in T^*L . Endow the cylinder L with the flat metric, so that we know the closed geodesics. With the right choice of the neck stretching family J_s , each holomorphic sphere in class $[\mathbb{C}P^1]$ breaks into an inner disc in D_ε^*L asymptotic to a closed

geodesic, a straight cylinder in the symplectization part, and an outer disc. We also choose J_s such that the unit discs in $\{(z_1, 0, j)\} \subset \Pi_j$, $j = 0, 1$, are holomorphic. Then compactifications of the inner discs form a filling of L by J -discs contained in U .




FIGURE 1. The symplectomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ from the filling of L_0 to the filling of L .

Denote the given Lagrangian embedding

$$L_0 = \{|z_1| = 1, x_2 = 0, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\} \rightarrow L$$

by φ . From this filling one constructs a symplectic embedding $\tilde{\varphi}: W_1 \rightarrow U$ that extends φ to the full cylinder

$$W_1 = \{|z_1| \leq 1, x_2 = 0, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}$$

filling L_0 and that is the identity near the discs $\{y_2 = 0\}$ and $\{y_2 = 1\}$. After applying a Hamiltonian isotopy supported in U , we can assume that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the identity on a thin full cylinder

$$W_\varepsilon = \{|z_1| \leq \varepsilon, x_2 = 0, 0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}.$$

Now take for $t \in [\varepsilon, 1]$ the rescaling

$$c_t: (x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2) \mapsto (tx_1, ty_1, t^2x_2, y_2).$$

It is a diffeomorphism of $U = \{0 \leq y_2 \leq 1\}$ such that $c_t^*\omega_0 = t^2\omega_0$. The maps

$$\tilde{\varphi}_t := c_t^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ c_t, \quad t \in [\varepsilon, 1]$$

are symplectic embeddings $W_1 \rightarrow U$ that are the identity near the discs $\{y_2 = 0\}$ and $\{y_2 = 1\}$ and such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\varepsilon = \text{id}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_1 = \tilde{\varphi}$. Restricting to $\partial W_1 = L_0$ we obtain the sought-after Lagrangian isotopy from L_0 to L that fixes a neighbourhood of the boundary and is supported in U .

For every Lagrangian cylinder L as above define its “unknotting size” $x_2(L)$ as the smallest number s such that there exists a Hamiltonian isotopy from L to L_0 with support in $U \cap \{|x_2| \leq s\}$. Note that $x_2(L) \geq \min\{s \mid L \subset \{|x_2| \leq s\}\}$ and that $x_2(L) = 0$ only if $L = L_0$.

Open Problem 1. *What can be said about $x_2(L)$?*

In [26], Eliashberg constructed the filling of L by first deforming the symplectic form ω_0 to one for which L becomes symplectic. This idea was used many times later on and in particular in the proof of the following theorem of Eliashberg and Polterovich [31] and also in the proof of Theorem 4 below.

Theorem 2 (Smooth Unknottedness in T^*S^2 and T^*T^2).

Assume that Σ is the 2-sphere or the 2-torus. Then every embedded Lagrangian surface $L \subset T^\Sigma$ that is homologous to the zero section is smoothly isotopic to the zero section.*

This theorem has been improved much later: Note that every Lagrangian isotopy of a 2-sphere extends to a Hamiltonian isotopy, while this is not so for tori. A Lagrangian $L \subset T^*L$ is called exact if the restriction of the Liouville form $\sum_j p_j dq_j$ to L is exact. Such Lagrangians have a chance to be Hamiltonian isotopic to the zero-section, and in fact Arnold had already conjectured in [2] that this is always the case. Staying with surfaces here, we refer to [1] for the so far best general result on this ‘Nearby Lagrangian conjecture’.

Theorem 3 (Hamiltonian uniqueness in T^*S^2 and T^*T^2).

(i) *Every Lagrangian sphere in T^*S^2 is Hamiltonian isotopic to the zero-section (Hind [46]).*

(ii) *Every exact Lagrangian torus in T^*T^2 is Hamiltonian isotopic to the zero-section (Dimitroglou Rizell, Goodman, and Ivrii [21]).*

Similarly, every Lagrangian sphere in the product of two 2-spheres of equal area is Hamiltonian isotopic to the anti-diagonal (Hind [45]). The proofs of these three results all use the stretching the neck technique and the compactness theorem in symplectic field theory from Eliashberg, Givental, and Hofer [29], and Bourgeois, Eliashberg, Hofer, Wysocki, and Zehnder [7].

The first attempt to create a Lagrangian knot is certainly to take a Lagrangian L and to modify it near a point. This cannot work in view of the following result of Eliashberg and Polterovich [32].

Theorem 4 (Local unknottedness). *Let L be a Lagrangian submanifold of \mathbb{R}^4 that is diffeomorphic to a plane and coincides with a Lagrangian plane L_0 outside a compact subset. Then there exists a compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopy taking L to L_0 .*

We here give an outline of the proof from [32]. Another argument can be found in the appendix by Dimitroglou Rizell.

The main difficulty is that one cannot fill by discs a Lagrangian plane. To resolve it, we introduce an auxiliary object, a totally real cylinder C lying in a hyperplane $E \subset \mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2$. This cylinder is part of the following local model. The cylinder C splits E into an inner solid cylinder E_{in} and its complement E_{out} . Fix a Lagrangian plane $L_0 \subset E$ that intersects E_{in} in a disc Δ_0 . There exists a “plug” $F_0 = D^2 \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \subset E_{\text{in}}$ which contains Δ_0 and such that the discs $D^2 \times \{\text{point}\}$ are affine and symplectic. The plug is plotted on Figure 2.I, where the discs appear in green. For a zoomed out version see Figure 2.II.

Assume now that L is a Lagrangian knot coinciding with L_0 outside a neighbourhood of the center of Δ_0 . The cylinder C splits L into a knotted sub-disc Δ , and its complement, which coincides with $L_0 \cap E_{\text{out}}$. By Weinstein’s Lagrangian tubular neighbourhood theorem we can “insert” the plug, now called F , by a symplectic embedding to a tiny neighbourhood of Δ (see Figure 2.III). By the filling by pseudo-holomorphic discs technique (applied to a carefully chosen almost complex structure on \mathbb{R}^4) we extend the foliation on F by symplectic discs to a filling of the whole cylinder C .

Next we design a pseudo-convex lens (see Figure 2.IV) whose faces are suitable deformations of E_{in} with fixed boundary. Its interior contains the discs from the filling, and the pseudo-convexity provides a control on the geometry of their boundaries. This enables us to glue these discs with a natural family of punctured symplectic planes in E_{out} , yielding a family of symplectic manifolds diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 .

These symplectic planes foliate a hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ containing the knot L . Furthermore, this foliation is standard at infinity. The characteristics of Q are transverse to the planes of the foliation, and hence have a very simple dynamics. We unknot L by using this dynamics as follows. For a curve $\ell \subset Q$ denote by $\Gamma(\ell)$ the union of characteristics passing through ℓ (see Figure 2.V). One easily represents the knot L as $\Gamma(\ell_0)$ for some line $\ell_0 \subset Q$. Now move ℓ_0 in the direction “orthogonal” to L to a line ℓ_1 which is sufficiently remote from ℓ_0 . This family of lines ℓ_t gives a desired Lagrangian isotopy $\Gamma(\ell_t)$ of L to an (affine!) Lagrangian plane $L_1 = \Gamma(\ell_1)$. This completes the outline of the proof of Theorem 4.

Coté and Dimitroglou-Rizell [18] extended Theorem 4 to cotangent fibers over open surfaces of finite type. Recall, however, that the analogous statement fails for cotangent fibers over S^2 by Seidel’s theorem from [53].

Open Problem 2. *Does the analogue of Theorem 4 hold for cotangent fibers over closed surfaces of positive genus?*




FIGURE 2. Local unknottedness - comics

The following problem is wide open.

Open Problem 3. *Does Theorem 4 extend to higher dimensions?*

For the weaker result that L as in Theorem 4 is *smoothly* isotopic to L_0 a much easier proof can be given, see [33]. In higher dimensions $n \geq 4$, a smooth isotopy from L to L_0 exists since then any two embeddings of S^n into S^{2n} are smoothly isotopic, [43]. But there do exist embeddings of S^3 into S^6 that are not isotopic to the standard

embedding, [44], and we do not know if one of these embeddings can be used to give a negative answer to Open Problem 3.

A somewhat less local version of Lagrangian knot in \mathbb{R}^4 would be a compact knot in \mathbb{R}^4 , that one could then map into other symplectic manifolds by Darboux charts. The torus is the only closed orientable surface that admits Lagrangian embeddings into \mathbb{R}^4 . The first assertion of the following result, again from [21], shows that up to Lagrangian isotopy such local knots do not exist either.

Theorem 5. (i) *All Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^4 are Lagrangian isotopic.*

(ii) *The same holds true in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and in the product $S^2 \times S^2$ of spheres of equal area.*

3. KNOTS

By Theorem 4, Lagrangian knots can only be obtained by some global construction. By now, Lagrangian knots are known “at all levels”: homologous but not smoothly isotopic, smoothly isotopic but not Lagrangian isotopic, Lagrangian isotopic but not Hamiltonian isotopic:

The following results show that Theorems 2 and 3 do not hold for all symplectic 4-manifolds.

Theorem 6. (i) *There exist simply connected symplectic 4-manifolds (for instance the plumbing of two copies of T^*S^2) that contain infinitely many smoothly isotopic Lagrangian spheres which are pairwise not Lagrangian isotopic (Seidel [53]).*

(ii) *There exist simply connected symplectic 4-manifolds that contain infinitely many homologous Lagrangian tori which are pairwise not smoothly isotopic (Vidussi [60]).*

By Theorem 5 (i), the only possibility left for a compact orientable Lagrangian knot in \mathbb{R}^4 is a torus that is not Hamiltonian isotopic to a product torus. Such a torus was constructed by Chekanov in [14]. His example was the first Lagrangian knot found. We here describe the Chekanov torus in the form given by Eliashberg and Polterovich in [33], see also Chekanov and Schlenk [15]. Take any closed curve $\gamma(t)$ in \mathbb{R}^2 that is contained in an open half plane and encloses area 1. Then view \mathbb{R}^2 as the complex diagonal of \mathbb{C}^2 , and sweep the curve γ by the anti-diagonal S^1 -action,

$$(1) \quad \Theta := \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{2\pi i\vartheta} \gamma(t), e^{-2\pi i\vartheta} \gamma(t) \right) \right\}.$$

Then Θ is a Lagrangian torus in \mathbb{R}^4 . We will see later that Θ does not depend on the precise choice of the curve γ .

Let us recall the notion of monotonicity: For every Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset (M, \omega)$ there are two homomorphisms on the relative homotopy group $\pi_2(M, L)$, namely the area class, that measures the symplectic area of a representing disc, and the Maslov class, that measures how much TL twists along the boundary of a disc relative to the symplectic structure. For instance, the Maslov index (i.e., the value of the Maslov class) of a disc in \mathbb{C} is 2, since the tangent lines to the boundary circle make two full turns relative to a fixed direction if we go around the boundary circle once. A Lagrangian submanifold is called *monotone* if these two homomorphisms are positively proportional. Intuitively, monotone Lagrangians are “symmetric”: there is a balance between the symplectic size and the symplectic twisting. For instance, a product torus $T(a, b) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ consisting of two circles enclosing area a and b is monotone iff $a = b$. And Θ is also monotone.

In [14], the torus Θ was distinguished from the monotone product torus $\mathbf{T} := T(1, 1)$ of equal area class by looking at the values of a suitable symplectic capacity at nearby tori. In [33], the distinction was done by the count of J -holomorphic discs: Given a closed Lagrangian $L \subset (M, \omega)$, fix a point p on L , choose an ω -compatible almost complex structure J on M , and let $\nu(L)$ be the number of J -holomorphic discs of Maslov index 2 with boundary on L and passing through p . If L is monotone, then Gromov’s compactness theorem implies that $\nu(L)$ does not depend on the generic choice of p and J . Furthermore, ν is invariant under Hamiltonian isotopies.

We have $\nu(\Theta) = 1$ but $\nu(\mathbf{T}) = 2$. Indeed, for the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C}^2 , the disc in the complex diagonal with boundary $\{(\gamma(t), \gamma(t))\}$ is unique, and for the product torus there are only the two coordinate discs. This count of Maslov index 2 holomorphic discs developed into a powerful invariant for Lagrangian submanifolds, the so-called disc potential.

To understand the difference between \mathbf{T} and Θ more geometrically, we come back to the construction of Θ in [33], where Θ was included into a non-standard fibration of \mathbb{R}^4 whose fibers are almost all Lagrangian tori. See also Section 7.1 on the Auroux system in Evans’ book [34]. Take the Hamiltonian

$$(2) \quad H: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto \pi(|z_1|^2 - |z_2|^2)$$

as well as the map

$$(3) \quad F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1 z_2.$$

For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and every simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C}$ set

$$(4) \quad T_a(\gamma) := \{(z_1, z_2) \mid H(z_1, z_2) = a, F(z_1, z_2) \in \gamma\}.$$

These sets are all invariant under the S^1 -action

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i\vartheta} z_1, e^{-2\pi i\vartheta} z_2)$$

generated by H . If $a \neq 0$ or if $0 \notin \gamma$, then $T_a(\gamma)$ is a Lagrangian torus, but if $a = 0$ and $0 \in \gamma$, then $T_a(\gamma)$ is an immersed Lagrangian 2-sphere (the Whitney sphere), that can be visualized as a “croissant” or as a pinched torus. If we foliate \mathbb{C} by nested loops and one point, then we obtain a foliation of \mathbb{C}^2 by Lagrangian tori, one pinched torus, and a cylinder over the point. This “almost toric fibration” was taken up later by Auroux in [3] in the context of Mirror symmetry, and we shall encounter it soon again for the construction of exotic tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Take $a = 0$ and look at curves γ enclosing area $\frac{1}{\pi}$. If γ_1, γ_2 are such curves going around the origin 0 , we can take a Hamiltonian isotopy moving γ_1 to γ_2 in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, and then use the S^1 -action to lift this isotopy to a Hamiltonian isotopy of \mathbb{R}^4 that moves $T_0(\gamma_1)$ to $T_0(\gamma_2)$. Since for γ the circle centred at 0 we have $T_0(\gamma) = \mathbf{T}$, all such tori are Hamiltonian isotopic to the Clifford torus \mathbf{T} . Similarly, any such curve γ not going around 0 yields a torus $T_0(\gamma)$ that is Hamiltonian isotopic to the Chekanov torus Θ . On the other hand, if γ_1 goes around the origin while γ_2 does not, then a Hamiltonian isotopy from γ_1 to γ_2 must cross 0 and hence does not lift to a Hamiltonian isotopy from $T_0(\gamma_1)$ to $T_0(\gamma_2)$: The lifted tori degenerate to a pinched torus along the deformation and hence we do not obtain an isotopy of embedded Lagrangian tori. This is in accordance with the fact proven above that there is no Hamiltonian isotopy from $T_0(\gamma_1)$ to $T_0(\gamma_2)$ at all.

It is still an open question whether in \mathbb{R}^4 the Chekanov torus is the only monotone Lagrangian knot:

Open Problem 4. *Is every monotone Lagrangian torus in \mathbb{R}^4 Hamiltonian isotopic to (a scaling of) the Clifford torus or the Chekanov torus?*

The Chekanov torus Θ naturally sits as a monotone torus in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and in $S^2 \times S^2$: To have it monotone we must scale the Fubini–Study form to integrate to 3 over the complex line, and we must take spheres of area 2. These manifolds are compactifications of the open ball $B^4(3)$ of capacity $\pi r^2 = 3$ and of the product of two discs $D(2)$ of area 2. If we choose the curve γ enclosing area 1 in the construction of Θ in the half-disc of area $\frac{3}{2}$, then indeed $\Theta \subset B^4(3) \cap D(2) \times D(2)$. This is best seen on the image of the moment map

$$\mu: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2, \quad \mu(z_1, z_2) = (\pi|z_1|^2, \pi|z_2|^2)$$

that generates the standard Hamiltonian torus action

$$(5) \quad (z_1, z_2) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i \vartheta_1} z_1, e^{2\pi i \vartheta_2} z_2),$$

see Figure 3. These monotone Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $S^2 \times S^2$ are, again, not Hamiltonian isotopic to the model tori (i.e., the Clifford torus and the product of equators), see [15]. In contrast to \mathbb{R}^4 , however, in these compact manifolds many more Hamiltonian isotopy classes of monotone Lagrangian tori are known! The following result should also be compared with Theorem 5 (ii).




FIGURE 3. The images under μ of Θ and \mathbf{T} in $B^4(3) \cap D(2) \times D(2)$.

Theorem 7. *There are infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes of monotone Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and in the product $S^2 \times S^2$ of spheres of equal area.*

These tori were predicted by Galkin and Usnich [37] by a mirror-symmetry argument, and they were independently constructed by Vianna [58, 59] and by Galkin–Mikhalkin [36] in two different ways: As the central fibers of almost toric fibrations, and as the tori obtained from the central fiber of certain weighted projective planes after a smoothing. We briefly outline both approaches.

Almost toric fibrations. The projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2$ carries a “best Lagrangian fibration”: Viewing $\mathbb{C}P^2$ as the compactification of the open ball $B^4(3)$ by a sphere $\mathbb{C}P^1$ at infinity, the Hamiltonian torus action (5) extends to $\mathbb{C}P^2$. The image of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ under the extended moment map is the closed triangle Δ shown in Figure 5.I below. The fiber over an interior point is a Lagrangian 2-torus, while the fibers over the edges and vertices are circles and points, respectively. The

only monotone fiber is the one over the red centre point, it is the Clifford torus.

To find knotted monotone Lagrangian tori, we look at “worse” fibrations, which have more complicated singularities than the toric fibration. An almost toric fibration (ATF for short) of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is the “next best” kind of fibration: Some of its fibres are immersed Lagrangian spheres with one double point, i.e. pinched tori like the above $T_0(\gamma)$ for a simple closed curve γ through the origin. $\mathbb{C}P^2$ admits many ATFs. The simplest one has one pinched torus but one point fibre less than the standard fibration. The passage to this new fibration is called ‘nodal trade’ and was first described by Symington in [57] and then in great detail in Evans’ monograph [34]. We here give a more direct description.

We take up the ATF of \mathbb{R}^4 constructed above, and restrict it to the 4-ball

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid -\varepsilon \leq H(z_1, z_2) \leq \varepsilon, |F(z_1, z_2)| \leq r\} \\ &= \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid -\varepsilon \leq \rho_1 - \rho_2 \leq \varepsilon, \rho_1 \rho_2 \leq \pi^2 r^2\} \end{aligned}$$

where H and F are given by (2) and (3), where $\varepsilon, r > 0$ and where we use action variables $\rho_j = \pi|z_j|^2$. We now foliate the disc $D(r) \subset \mathbb{C}$ of radius r by simple closed curves and the point $p \neq 0$ as in Figure 4. Near the boundary, the leaves are concentric circles. This yields an ATF on U whose fibers are the Lagrangian tori $T_a(\gamma)$ defined in (4) with $-\varepsilon \leq a \leq \varepsilon$, one pinched torus $T_0(\gamma_0)$, and the (interval of) circles over p . As an aside, we note that by a result of Dimitroglou Rizell [19], any closed embedded or immersed Lagrangian in $U \setminus F^{-1}(p)$ with the same classical invariants as a fiber is Hamiltonian isotopic to a fiber.




FIGURE 4. The region $\mu(U)$ and the foliation of $D(r)$.

Since $H = \rho_1 - \rho_2$ is constant on each fiber $T_a(\gamma)$, each fibre lies over a segment $L_\delta := \{\rho_1 - \rho_2 = \delta\} \cap \mu(U)$ parallel to the diagonal. Since the leaves of the foliation near the boundary of $D(r)$ are concentric circles, over a neighbourhood of the red curve $\{\rho_1 \rho_2 = \pi^2 r^2\} \cap \mu(U)$ our ATF agrees with the standard toric fibration of \mathbb{R}^4 by product tori. In order to glue the entire ATF with the standard toric fibration outside U , we modify the ATF over the two yellow bands. For $\delta \in [\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ consider the set $\mu^{-1}(L_\delta)$, that is the union of tori $T_\delta(\gamma)$ and of one circle (over p). The S^1 -action restricts to a free S^1 -action on $\mu^{-1}(L_\delta)$, and the reduced space $\mu^{-1}(L_\delta)/S^1$ is a closed disc D_δ that is foliated in two ways: by concentric circles and one point (coming from the standard toric fibration restricted to L_δ) and by the loops $T_\delta(\gamma)/S^1$ and one point. This second foliation \mathcal{F}_δ also consists of nested embedded loops and one point, and the two foliations agree near the boundary of D_δ .

Let φ_δ be a smooth family of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of D_δ with compact support in the interior, such that $\varphi_\delta = \text{id}$ for $\delta \in [\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon]$ and such that φ_δ takes the foliation \mathcal{F}_δ to the concentric foliation for $\delta \in [\frac{3}{4}\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. Lifting the image foliation of φ_δ by the S^1 -action we obtain a new Lagrangian foliation of $\mu^{-1}(L_\delta)$. Applying the same construction over the yellow band near $L_{-\varepsilon}$ we obtain an ATF on U that smoothly fits with the standard toric fibration on the complement of U .

This change of the fibration, the ‘nodal trade’, is somewhat schematically represented by Figure 5.II: Outside the blue region $\mu(U)$, the fibration II agrees with the standard fibration in I. Over the blue region, the lower left point fiber in I is traded against the node (double point) of the pinched torus, that lies over the green cross. The fibers over the two purple segments are circles. These are the circles that (before the above interpolation) were the circles over the point p in Figure 4, and contrary to what II suggests, the union of these circle fibers is a *smooth* cylinder. All other fibers over the blue region are Lagrangian 2-tori. The dashed line indicates that the topological monodromy of the T^2 -bundle over a circle around the cross is non-trivial.

Recall that the region $U = U_{\varepsilon, r}$ in the above construction of the nodal trade depends on parameters $\varepsilon, r > 0$. We now take r so large that the base point of the pinched torus (the cross) lies on the other side of the central point. The new ATF is shown in Figure 5.III. Let’s call this seemingly harmless operation a ‘nodal slide’. For the central torus this operation is less harmless: The torus over the red point in II is the Clifford torus of $\mathbb{C}P^2$, while by our construction the torus over the red point in III is the torus in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ obtained as above from the




FIGURE 5. From the toric fibration of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (I) to an almost toric fibration (II), and the first mutation (III and IV).

Chekanov torus $\Theta \subset B^4(3) \subset \mathbb{C}P^2$, and we already know that this torus is not Hamiltonian isotopic to the Clifford torus.

To bring into play Markov numbers later on, we now describe the ATF III of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ in a different way. Cut the triangle Δ along the diagonal line spanned by the dashed ray R into the upper and lower triangles Δ_+ and Δ_- . Let S be the linear transformation that is the identity on the diagonal line and shears down Δ_- as shown in Figure 5.IV: S fixes v_1


and v'_1 and takes v_2 to v'_2 . This yields a new triangle $\Delta' := \Delta_+ \cup S\Delta_-$. Coming back to III, let Δ_{reg} be the regular part of Δ , namely the interior of Δ deprived from the point at the cross. Recall that the monodromy of the T^2 -bundle over Δ_{reg} around the cross is not trivial. In fact, we can construct this T^2 -bundle by taking the trivial T^2 -bundle over $\Delta_{\text{reg}} \setminus R$ and gluing the T^2 -fibers along R by the transpose of the inverse of S . The same ATF is described by IV, where the fibrations over Δ_+ and $S\Delta_-$ are glued as follows: The non-trivial gluing of T^2 -fibers along R in III is now undone and instead the non-trivial gluing is done over the short dashed ray R' on the other side of the cross. This passage from III to IV is called ‘transferring the cut’. While the fibrations in II and III are different, those in III and IV are isomorphic, they are just described over different base triangles.

We apply the nodal trade operation described in $\text{I} \rightarrow \text{II}$ also at the upper and lower vertex of Δ' . We can now do the same geometric mutation (nodal slide followed by transferring the cut) at any of the three vertices and then look at the torus over the red point. If we do a mutation at the newly created vertex v'_1 in IV, we just undo the previous mutation, and obtain again the Clifford torus. But if we do a mutation at v'_2 or $v'_3 = v_3$, we potentially obtain new tori. At any rate, geometric mutations produce a trivalent tree \mathcal{T} of triangles with tori over the red central point: The vertices of \mathcal{T} are the triangles thus obtained, and two vertices of \mathcal{T} are connected by an edge if and only if the two corresponding triangles are related by one geometric mutation.

This tree of triangles \mathcal{T} can be matched with the Markov tree \mathcal{M} , whose vertices are labeled by triples (a, b, c) of natural numbers that solve the Markov equation

$$(6) \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 3abc.$$

Given any such solution, three other solutions can be obtained by keeping two numbers and replacing the third one, say a , by $3bc - a$. Starting from $(1, 1, 1)$, these algebraic mutations create the trivalent tree of solutions whose beginning is shown below, and every solution of (6) appears in this tree.



By now, we have found a matching $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ between the tree of triangles \mathcal{T} and the Markov tree \mathcal{M} . Denote by $\Delta(a, b, c)$ the triangle in \mathcal{T} corresponding to the Markov triple $(a, b, c) \in \mathcal{M}$. We can upgrade the matching by relating the geometry of $\Delta(a, b, c)$ with the Markov triple (a, b, c) . Given a planar triangle with edges of rational slope, we define the weight of a vertex v as the absolute value of the determinant of the two primitive lattice vectors parallel to the edges meeting at v . Weights are integers. For instance, the weights of $\Delta = \Delta(1, 1, 1)$ are $(1, 1, 1)$ and those of $\Delta' = \Delta(2, 1, 1)$ are $(4, 1, 1)$. More generally, we have:

Proposition 8. *The weights of the triangle $\Delta(a, b, c)$ are (a^2, b^2, c^2) .*

Proof. This was shown in [58], see also [34, Appendix H.2]. We give a more elementary proof.

After a translation we can assume that the red central point of $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is at the origin of \mathbb{R}^2 . For $i = 1, 2, 3$ we denote by \hat{v}_i the primitive vector in the direction of the vertex v_i and by q_i the primitive vector in the direction of the i 'th oriented edge, see Figure 6. We use cyclic notation mod 3 for the indices, e.g. $q_{i-1} = q_3$ for $i = 1$. The weight at v_i is the determinant $w_i = |q_{i-1} \times q_i| = q_{i-1} \times q_i$.

Write $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (a, b, c)$, and consider the assertions

- 1) $w_i = n_i^2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$,
- 2) $\hat{v}_i = \frac{q_{i-1} - q_i}{n_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Note that 1) is the claim in Proposition 8. We shall prove 1) and 2) for all triangles in \mathcal{T} by induction down the tree $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{M}$: 1) and 2) hold at the root $\Delta(1, 1, 1)$. We assume that 1) and 2) hold at $\Delta(a, b, c)$, and shall prove them for the two triangles in \mathcal{T} right below $\Delta(a, b, c)$. Let $\Delta(a', b', c')$ be one of these triangles. After renaming, we can assume that $\Delta(a', b', c')$ is obtained from $\Delta(a, b, c)$ by mutation at v_1 . Hence




FIGURE 6. Primitive vectors associated with $\Delta(a, b, c)$, and the new vertices v'_1 and v'_2 of $\Delta(a', b, c)$.

$a' = 3bc - a$ and $b' = b$, $c' = c$. Recall that v'_1 is the point of intersection of the line through v_1 and the origin with the edge $[v_2, v_3]$, that v'_2 is the point of intersection of the line through v_3 and v_1 with the line through v_2 parallel to $[v_1, v'_1]$, and that $\Delta(a', b, c)$ is obtained from $\Delta(a, b, c)$ by applying to the triangle with vertices v_1, v_2, v'_1 the shear S that fixes the line generated by v_1 and takes v_2 to the point v'_2 on the line through v_1 and v_3 .

Lemma 9. S is the shear by \hat{v}_1 , i.e.,

$$S(p) = \sigma_{\hat{v}_1}(p) := p + (\hat{v}_1 \times p)\hat{v}_1 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Proof. Using both inductive assumptions 1) and 2) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\hat{v}_1}(q_1) &= q_1 + \frac{1}{n_1^2}((q_3 - q_1) \times q_1)(q_3 - q_1) \\ &= q_1 + \frac{w_1}{n_1^2}(q_3 - q_1) = q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence S and $\sigma_{\hat{v}_1}$ both fix the line spanned by v_1 pointwise and take the oriented line through v_1, v_2 to the oriented line through v_3, v_1 . Hence $S = \sigma_{\hat{v}_1}$. \square

Since S fixes the primitive vector \hat{v}_1 and takes the primitive vector q_1 to the primitive vector q_3 , it takes an oriented basis of \mathbb{Z}^2 to an oriented basis of \mathbb{Z}^2 , i.e., $S \in \text{SL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$. We can now prove 1) and 2) for $\Delta(a', b, c)$.

ad 1): We have $w'_3 = w_3 = n_3^2$, and since $S \in \mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$ we have $w'_2 = w_2 = n_2^2$. The weight w'_1 at the newly created vertex v'_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} w'_1 &= |S(-q_2) \times q_2| \\ &= |\sigma_{\hat{v}_1}(q_2) \times q_2| \\ &= |(q_2 + (\hat{v}_1 \times q_2)\hat{v}_1) \times q_2| \\ &= (q_2 \times \hat{v}_1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the integer $n'_1 := q_2 \times \hat{v}_1$ we thus have

$$n'_1 = q_2 \times \frac{q_3 - q_1}{n_1} = \frac{w_3 + w_2}{n_1} \stackrel{(*)}{=} 3n_2n_3 - n_1 = 3bc - a = a'$$

where in $(*)$ we used 1) and the Markov equation (6) for $(a, b, c) = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$. Hence $w'_1 = (n'_1)^2 = (a')^2$.

ad 2): This is clear for the unchanged vector \hat{v}_3 . For \hat{v}'_2 we must show $\hat{v}'_2 = \frac{q_3 - Sq_2}{n'_2}$. This holds since $w_2 = w'_2$ implies $n_2 = n'_2$ and since

$$\hat{v}'_2 = S\hat{v}_2 \stackrel{2)}{=} S\left(\frac{q_1 - q_2}{n_2}\right) = \frac{1}{n_2}(q_3 - Sq_2).$$

It remains to show that $\hat{v}'_1 = \frac{Sq_2 - q_2}{n'_1}$. This holds since $\hat{v}'_1 = -\hat{v}_1$ and

$$Sq_2 - q_2 = \sigma_{\hat{v}_1}(q_2) - q_2 = (\hat{v}_1 \times q_2)\hat{v}_1 = -n'_1\hat{v}_1.$$

The induction step is done and Proposition 8 follows. \square

Once we know that $S \in \mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$, we can prove Proposition 8 in a more direct way: The integral length of a segment s in \mathbb{R}^2 with rational slope can be defined as follows: Let A be a translation followed by a matrix in $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ that takes s to the x -axis. Then the integral length of s is the Euclidean length of $A(s)$. Equivalently, the integral length of s is $|\ell|$ if the difference of the end-points of s is equal to ℓq for a primitive vector q .

Let $u_i = \ell_i q_i$ be the oriented edges of $\Delta(a, b, c)$, so ℓ_i is the integral length of u_i . After scaling $\Delta = \Delta(1, 1, 1)$ we can assume that the edges of Δ have integral length 3. Since $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is obtained by geometric mutations from Δ and since the matrix S of each geometric mutation belongs to $\mathrm{SL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$, the area and integral perimeter of these two triangles are the same:

$$|u_{i-1} \times u_i| = 2 \text{ area } \Delta(a, b, c) = 9, \quad \ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = 9.$$

The first identity and $|u_{i-1} \times u_i| = \ell_{i-1}\ell_i|q_{i-1} \times q_i| = \ell_{i-1}\ell_i w_i$ yield

$$\ell_{i-1}\ell_i w_i = 9.$$

From these three identities and $\ell_1 + \ell_2 + \ell_3 = 9$ we obtain

$$(7) \quad \sqrt{9w_1w_2w_3} = w_1 + w_2 + w_3.$$

Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be the triangle in \mathcal{T} right above $\Delta(a, b, c)$, with weights $\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \bar{w}_3$. Since $\Delta(a, b, c)$ is obtained from $\bar{\Delta}$ by one geometric mutation, two of the weights are equal, say $\bar{w}_2 = w_2, \bar{w}_3 = w_3$. By induction we assume that the weights of $\bar{\Delta}$ are squares. Then $w_2 = n_2^2, w_3 = n_3^2$, and (7) becomes

$$\sqrt{w_1} = \frac{w_1 + w_2 + w_3}{3n_2n_3}.$$

Since the weights w_i are integers, this is a rational number. Hence w_1 is also a square, $w_1 = n_1^2$. Now (7) reads

$$3n_1n_2n_3 = n_1^2 + n_2^2 + n_3^2,$$

i.e., (n_1, n_2, n_3) is a Markov triple. Since a geometric mutation of a triangle in \mathcal{T} changes only one weight and an algebraic mutation of a Markov triple changes only one Markov number, we conclude by induction along \mathcal{T} that $(\sqrt{w_1}, \sqrt{w_2}, \sqrt{w_3}) = (n_1, n_2, n_3) = (a, b, c)$. \diamond

Let $T(a, b, c)$ be the torus over the red central point of $\Delta(a, b, c)$. To distinguish these tori, let $\nabla(a, b, c)$ be the convex hull of the set of those elements of $H_1(T(a, b, c))$ that are represented by the boundary of a J -holomorphic disc of Maslov index 2 with boundary on $T(a, b, c)$. Similar to the counting invariant $\nu(L)$ described early in this section, the monotonicity of $T(a, b, c)$ implies that $\nabla(a, b, c)$ does not depend on the generic choice of J and is invariant under Hamiltonian isotopies. For concreteness we fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis of $H_1(T(a, b, c))$. Then $\nabla(a, b, c)$ becomes a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\Delta^\circ(a, b, c)$ be the dual of $\Delta(a, b, c)$, namely the triangle whose vertices are the primitive lattice vectors outward normal to the edges of $\Delta(a, b, c)$. Vianna proved by neck-stretching that

$$(8) \quad \nabla(a, b, c) = A(\Delta^\circ(a, b, c)) \quad \text{for a matrix } A \in \text{GL}(2; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Assume now that $T(a, b, c)$ and $T(a', b', c')$ are Hamiltonian isotopic. Then $\nabla(a, b, c) = \nabla(a', b', c')$. Hence $\Delta^\circ(a, b, c) = B(\Delta^\circ(a', b', c'))$ for a matrix $B \in \text{GL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$, by (8). Hence $B^T(\Delta(a, b, c)) = \Delta(a', b', c')$. Hence the weights of $\Delta(a, b, c)$ and $\Delta(a', b', c')$, that are $\text{GL}(2; \mathbb{Z})$ -invariants and equal $\{a^2, b^2, c^2\}$ and $\{a'^2, b'^2, c'^2\}$, are the same. Hence $\{a, b, c\} = \{a', b', c'\}$. This proves Theorem 7 for $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$.

Recall from the general Theorem 5 (ii) that all the tori $T(a, b, c)$ are Lagrangian isotopic. This can be seen directly from their construction.

Proposition 10. *The tori $T(a, b, c)$ are all Lagrangian isotopic.*

Proof. The proof is illustrated in Figure 7. Consider the torus $T(a, b, c)$ over its red base point in $\Delta(a, b, c)$, and one of the two tori, say $T(a', b, c)$, just below it in the Markov tree, that is obtained by geometric mutation. Move the red base point a bit up to the green point in the interior of the triangle Δ_+ , and call the (non-monotone) torus over the green point T_ε . This move of the base point corresponds to a Lagrangian isotopy of the fibers from $T(a, b, c)$ to T_ε since the ATF is trivial near the segment from the red point to the green point. We now do the geometric mutation leading to $T(a', b, c)$. Since the green point does not lie on the cut line, we can take the support of the nodal slide disjoint from T_ε . And since T_ε lies over the interior of Δ_+ , it is also untouched by the transferring the cut operation (the half-shear defined by S). Finally, take as before a small Lagrangian isotopy moving T_ε to $T(a', b, c)$. Composing the to Lagrangian isotopies from $T(a, b, c)$ to T_ε and from T_ε to $T(a', b, c)$ we obtain a Lagrangian isotopy from $T(a, b, c)$ to $T(a', b, c)$. Now use induction over the Markov tree. \square




FIGURE 7. Proof of Proposition 7.

In the next section we will also look at Lagrangian immersions. We already prove here that as Lagrangian immersions, the tori $T(a, b, c)$ are equivalent in the strongest possible way. A regular homotopy of Lagrangian immersions $f_t: L \rightarrow L_t$ in a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is said to be *exact* if the area homomorphism $[\omega]_t: \pi_2(M, L_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not depend on t .

Proposition 11. *Assume that L_0, L_1 are monotone Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ that are Lagrangian isotopic. Then L_0, L_1 are regularly homotopic through exact Lagrangian immersions.*

Proof. This essentially follows from the Gromov–Lees h -principle. We adapt the proof of 24.3.1 in [17] to our situation. Let $f_t: L \rightarrow L_t$ be a Lagrangian isotopy from L_0 to L_1 . Extend $f_t \circ f_0^{-1}$ to a smooth family of diffeomorphisms $\tilde{f}_t: \mathcal{N}(L_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(L_t)$ between tubular neighbourhoods $\mathcal{N}(L_t) \subset M$. Since L_t is Lagrangian, $(\tilde{f}_t)^*\omega$ vanishes on L_0 . Hence there exist 1-forms $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ on $\mathcal{N}(L_0)$ such that

$$(9) \quad (\tilde{f}_t)^*\omega = d\tilde{\alpha}_t \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_t := \tilde{\alpha}_t|_{L_0} \text{ is closed.}$$

Since L_0 and L_1 are monotone,

$$(10) \quad [\omega]_j: \pi_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, L_j) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{agree for } j = 0, 1.$$

Choose a basis $\{\gamma_k\}$ of $\pi_1(L_0) \cong H_1(L_0; \mathbb{Z})$ and classes $\{[D_k]\}$ in $\pi_2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, L_0)$ such that $\partial[D_k] = \gamma_k$. Then choose closed 1-forms β_0, β_1 on L_0 such that

$$(11) \quad [\alpha_j + \beta_j]\gamma_k = [\omega]_j[D_k] \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1 \text{ and } k = 1, 2.$$

Replace $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ by $\tilde{\alpha}_t + t \operatorname{pr}^* \beta_1 + (1-t) \operatorname{pr}^* \beta_0$, where $\operatorname{pr}: \mathcal{N}(L_0) \rightarrow L_0$ is the projection, and call this form again $\tilde{\alpha}_t$. Then (9) still holds, and now $[\alpha_0] = [\alpha_1] \in H^1(L_0; \mathbb{R})$ by (10) and (11). Choose $h: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_0 + tdh$, and extend h to \tilde{h} on $\mathcal{N}(L_0)$. Making a final correction, we replace $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ by $\tilde{\alpha}_t - \operatorname{pr}^* \alpha_0 - td\tilde{h}$, and call this form again $\tilde{\alpha}_t$. Then (9) still holds, and now $\alpha_t := \tilde{\alpha}_t|_{L_0} = 0$ for $t = 0, 1$. In particular, the inclusion

$$(12) \quad \iota: L_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}(L_0), d\tilde{\alpha}_t), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

defines an isotopy of Lagrangian embeddings that for $t = 0, 1$ are exact in the sense that the 1-forms $\iota^*\tilde{\alpha}_t = \alpha_t$ on L_0 are exact. (In fact, they vanish.)

We can now apply to the Lagrangian isotopy (12) the h -principle 24.3.1 in [17], and obtain a regular homotopy of Lagrangian immersions

$$g_t: L_0 \rightarrow g_t(L_0) \subset (\mathcal{N}(L_0), d\tilde{\alpha}_t), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

such that $g_0 = g_1 = \iota$ and such that all g_t are exact, i.e., $g_t^*\tilde{\alpha}_t$ are exact for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The composition

$$\tilde{f}_t \circ g_t \circ f_0: L \rightarrow (\tilde{f}_t \circ g_t)(L_0) =: L'_t \subset (M, \omega)$$

is a regular homotopy of Lagrangian immersions from f_0 to f_1 for which the area homomorphism $[\omega]_t: \pi_2(M, L'_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is constant on $[0, 1]$. Indeed, if $u: D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ is a disc with boundary γ on L_0 , then for each $t \in [0, 1]$ we obtain a disc D_t with boundary on L'_t by adding to u the cylinder

$$z_t: S^1 \times [0, t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2, \quad (\theta, s) \mapsto (\tilde{f}_s \circ g_s)(\gamma(\theta)),$$

and D and D_t have the same ω -area because $\int_{S^1 \times [0,t]} z_t^* \omega = 0$. \square

We finally sketch the approach to Theorem 7 of Galkin and Mikhalkin in [36].

Tori from degenerations. Let $Y \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ be a complex projective variety with singular set Σ , and assume that $\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a holomorphic submersion on $Y \setminus \Sigma$ that takes Σ to the center 0 of the open unit disc $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$. For $t \in \mathbb{D}$ set $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$. One says that $X_{t \neq 0}$ is a smoothing of X_0 and that X_0 is a degeneration of $X_{t \neq 0}$. The restriction of the Fubini–Study form ω on $\mathbb{C}P^N$ turns the $X_{t \neq 0}$, $X_0 \setminus \Sigma$, and $Y \setminus \Sigma$ into symplectic manifolds. The symplectic planes that are ω -orthogonal to $TX_t \subset TY$ define a connection and a symplectic parallel transport on $Y \setminus \Sigma$. It follows that all $X_{t \neq 0}$ are symplectomorphic. Moreover, parallel transport takes a Lagrangian torus in $X_0 \setminus \Sigma$ to a Lagrangian torus in every $X_{t \neq 0}$.

Now take X_0 to be a weighted projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2(a^2, b^2, c^2)$. This is a singular toric variety (with at most three singular points), and the moment map triangle can be taken to be $\Delta(a, b, c)$. Hacking and Prokhorov showed in [41] that $\mathbb{C}P^2(a^2, b^2, c^2)$ admits a smoothing as above exactly if (a, b, c) solves the Markov equation. In this case, we obtain from the fiber over the central point of $\Delta(a, b, c)$ a monotone Lagrangian torus $\tilde{T}(a, b, c)$ in $X_t = \mathbb{C}P^2$. Galkin and Mikhalkin proved (8) for $\tilde{T}(a, b, c)$. Hence the tori $\tilde{T}(a, b, c)$ are not Hamiltonian isotopic for different Markov triples, implying again Theorem 7 for $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

It is widely believed that the answer to the following question is ‘yes’.

Open Problem 5. Is it true that for each Markov triple (a, b, c) the tori $T(a, b, c)$ and $\tilde{T}(a, b, c)$ constructed above are Hamiltonian isotopic?

This would follow at once from an affirmative answer to

Open Problem 6. Is every monotone Lagrangian torus in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ Hamiltonian isotopic to a torus $T(a, b, c)$?

In a similar way, one can construct infinitely many monotone Lagrangian tori in $S^2 \times S^2$ that are pairwise not Hamiltonian isotopic. They are now parametrized by the nodes of a tree that consists of triangles and quadrilaterals, see Vianna [59], and Pascaleff and Tonkonog [51].

Open Problem 7. Is every monotone Lagrangian torus in $S^2 \times S^2$ Hamiltonian isotopic to one of these tori?

Some applications. The above exotic tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $S^2 \times S^2$ have various applications to symplectic topology. We just state four of them.

(i) By a result of McDuff [49], the space of symplectic embeddings of a closed 4-ball into an open 4-ball is connected. For other domains, the situation can be very different. For instance, there are infinitely many symplectic embeddings of the closed polydisc $\overline{D}(1) \times \overline{D}(1)$ into $B^4(3)$ that are not symplectically isotopic. Brendel, Mikhalkin, and Schlenk [11] show this by using the tori $T(a, b, c)$ with $a = 1$.

(ii) Yet another equivalence relation on Lagrangian submanifolds $L, L' \subset (M, \omega)$ is defined by asking that there exists a symplectomorphism taking L to L' . Let σ be the symplectomorphism of $S^2 \times S^2$ that exchanges the factors. Hind, Mikhalkin, and Schlenk show in [42] that for most ATFs of $S^2 \times S^2$ with quadrilateral base the monotone torus L over the center is not Hamiltonian isotopic to $\sigma(L)$.

(iii) Successively lifting the tori $T(a, b, c)$ in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ to Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^3, \mathbb{C}P^4, \dots$, Chanda, Hirschi, and Wang [13] constructed infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes of monotone Lagrangian tori in every $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

(iv) Consider the standard contact sphere $(S^{2n+1}, \xi_{\text{st}})$ of dimension at least 5. Lifting the Lagrangian tori in (iii), Blakey, Chanda, Sun, and Woodward [6] obtain infinitely many *Legendrian* tori in these spheres which are not Legendrian isotopic to each other.

More generally, let L and K be monotone Lagrangians in a closed symplectic manifold (M, ω) with integral symplectic form, and assume that L and K can be lifted to embedded Legendrians L' and K' of a prequantization (P, α) of (M, ω) . Consider the following statements:

- (1) L and K are Hamiltonian isotopic;
- (2) L' and K' are Legendrian isotopic.

Note that (1) yields (2), while (2) in general does not yield (1). Thus (2) can be considered as a weak form of unknottedness which is not so much explored yet. This discussion also extends to more general Bohr–Sommerfeld Lagrangians.

In \mathbb{R}^{2n} . While for odd $n \geq 5$ all monotone Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^{2n} are smoothly isotopic, this is not so for even $n \geq 4$, as Dimitroglou Rizell and Evans showed in [20] by using Haefliger–Hirsch theory (cf. the results by Borrelli [8] for the case of $S^k \times S^1$).

In contrast to \mathbb{R}^4 (see Problem 4), it is known that for $2n \geq 6$ there are infinitely many Hamiltonian isotopy classes of monotone Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^{2n} with equal area classes. Infinitely many such tori

were first constructed by Auroux [4] who, again, distinguished them by the disc potential, and then by Brendel [9] whose construction is inspired by the one of the Chekanov torus in (1). An iteration procedure based on (1) was used earlier in [15] to construct many (though finitely many) such tori. The relation between these three sets of monotone Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^{2n} ($2n \geq 6$) has not been worked out.

Non-monotone tori. The above Lagrangian knots are all monotone. Monotone Lagrangians can only exist in symplectic manifolds that are monotone themselves (meaning that the area class on $\pi_2(M)$ is positively proportional to the first Chern class). In contrast, sufficiently small tori in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , monotone or not, can always be embedded into a given symplectic manifold, and Brendel showed in [9] that for all “reasonable” symplectic manifolds of dimension at least 6 (including closed ones and cotangent bundles), every open subset contains infinitely many Lagrangian tori which are pairwise not Hamiltonian isotopic but are Lagrangian isotopic and have the same area class. Thus the occurrence of infinitely many Hamiltonian knotted tori is a purely local phenomenon in dimensions ≥ 6 . Recall, however, Open Problem 3.

In dimension 4, it is harder to find non-monotone tori that are not Hamiltonian isotopic. Examples in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and in the monotone $S^2 \times S^2$ were found by Shelukhin, Tonkonog, and Vianna [55, § 7] and by Fukaya, Oh, Ohta, and Ono [35], and in many more symplectic 4-manifolds by Brendel, Hauber, and Schmitz in the recent work [10]. To describe exotic non-monotone tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ we return to Figure 5. Take a point in the triangle in I that lies in the interior of the segment from the black point to the red point. The two tori over this point in I and in III have the same area classes, but are not Hamiltonian isotopic. The main open problem on non-monotone tori in dimension 4 is

Open Problem 8. *Is every non-monotone Lagrangian torus in \mathbb{R}^4 Hamiltonian isotopic to a product torus $S^1(a) \times S^1(b)$?*

When Yasha spent the spring of 2022 at the ITS of ETH Zürich, he proposed to me (FS) “that we come together for a day”. (Of course, it became two days, with overnight at Ada and Yasha’s place.) In a work with Brendel about Lagrangian pinwheels I was desperately looking for a certain J -sphere, that somehow had to exist, but I already doubted it did. Asking Yasha for how to get this sphere, he set off a firework, or rather a cascade of fireworks: an idea came up ... mmh, then another one ... “something is fishy here” while walking to Coop to buy a bottle of wine, then a longer line of arguments along neck stretching, showing it really should exist, then yet a simpler approach through resolutions ... At the end I used

a theorem of Taubes, but the key point was that I got totally charged by Yasha and convinced that there *is* an argument.

4. KNOTTEDNESS FROM THE MASLOV CLASS

Another flavor of Lagrangian knots comes from the existence of Lagrangian embeddings which are not regularly homotopic through Lagrangian immersions. We consider here the case of Lagrangian embeddings $f: S^k \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ which are obtained by a Lagrangian surgery of an immersion $S^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ with a single double point, that is transverse. We assume throughout that $k \geq 2$. By Gromov's theorem, one of the generators of the first homology has positive symplectic area. The minimal Maslov number, denoted by $\mu(f)$, is defined as the Maslov index of this generator. The absolute value of this number agrees for Lagrangian embeddings which are regularly homotopic through Lagrangian immersions.

Let's start with the Whitney immersion

$$w: S^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{k+1}(p + iq), \quad w(x, y) = (1 + iy)x$$

where $S^{k+1} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x|^2 + y^2 = 1\}$. (For $k = 1$ this is the 2-dimensional pinched torus encountered earlier.) Recall that there are two different surgeries [52]. One of these surgeries always leads to a "standard" Lagrangian embedding f_k of $S^k \times S^1$ with $\mu(f_k) = 2$. When $k \geq 3$ is odd, the second surgery gives rise to a Lagrangian embedding g_k of $S^k \times S^1$ with $\mu(g_k) = k + 1$. When $k \geq 2$ is even, however, the second surgery leads to a Lagrangian embedding of a non-trivial S^k -bundle over S^1 , and hence becomes irrelevant to our discussion.

As an application of the h -principle for Lagrangians with certain conical singularities over loose Legendrians, proven by Eliashberg and Murphy in [30], Ekholm, Eliashberg, Murphy, and Smith [23] constructed for k even a Lagrangian immersion of S^{k+1} into \mathbb{R}^{2k+2} having a unique transverse double point that is different from the Whitney immersion. One of the surgeries performed at this point leads to an exotic Lagrangian embedding h_k of $S^k \times S^1$ with a number of highly unexpected properties. First, when $k = 2$, the Maslov class of h_k vanishes. This provides a negative answer to a question by Audin [5, p. 622], and in fact debunks a widely accepted belief that the Maslov class of a closed Lagrangian submanifold in \mathbb{R}^{2n} cannot vanish. Second, for $k \geq 4$, h_k is negatively monotone with $\mu(h_k) = 2 - k$. The construction of the Lagrangian embeddings h_k is not explicit.

Open Problem 9. *Find an explicit Lagrangian embedding $S^2 \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ with vanishing Maslov class.*

In view of their minimal Maslov numbers, f_k and g_k , as well as f_k and h_k with $k \neq 4$, are not regularly homotopic through Lagrangian immersions. We claim that the embeddings f_4 and h_4 are not isotopic through Lagrangian embeddings. Indeed, assume on the contrary that such an isotopy, say $u_t: S^k \times S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ with $u_0 = f_4$ and $u_1 = h_4$, does exist. Denote by λ_t the corresponding symplectic area class, and by μ the (non-vanishing and t -independent) Maslov class in $H^1(S^k \times S^1, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\lambda_t = c(t)\mu$ with $c(0) > 0$ and $c(1) < 0$ (the latter is a consequence of the negative monotonicity). Thus, by continuity, there exists $s \in (0, 1)$ with $c(s) = 0$. Thus $\lambda_s = 0$, which contradicts Gromov's theorem on the non-existence of exact Lagrangian embeddings. The claim follows.

Open Problem 10. *Are the embeddings f_4 and h_4 regularly homotopic through Lagrangian immersions?*

As pointed out by Georgios Dimitroglou–Rizell, the construction of the Lagrangian immersion of S^5 underlying the embedding h_4 actually depends on the choice of the formal Lagrangian homotopy class of the Lagrangian disc-cap from [30], and so h_k and the solution to Open Problem 9 may depend on this choice.

Proposition 12. *For all even $k \geq 2$, the embeddings f_k and h_k are regularly homotopic through smooth immersions.*

This follows from the fact that *two immersions of a closed manifold M of odd dimension $n \geq 3$ into \mathbb{R}^{2n} are regularly homotopic through smooth immersions if and only if they have the same number of double points mod 2*. We learned this statement from a remark on [47, p. 81], and András Juhász explained to us the following proof. By the Hirsch–Smale h -principle the differential gives a weak homotopy equivalence $\text{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow \text{Mono}(TM, T\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ from the space of immersions to the space of fiberwise injective bundle homomorphisms $TM \rightarrow T\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ covering a continuous map $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In particular, the obstructions to a regular homotopy between two immersions lie in $H^i(M; \pi_i(V_n(\mathbb{R}^{2n})))$, where $V_n(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ is the Stiefel manifold of n -frames in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . As $\pi_i(V_n(\mathbb{R}^{2n})) = 0$ for $i < n$ and is \mathbb{Z}_2 for $i = n$, the only obstruction lies in $H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. So there are at most two regular homotopy classes. The number of double points mod 2 is an invariant under regular homotopy (consider double point curves of the trace of the regular homotopy in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times [0, 1]$). By taking a connected sum with an immersion of S^n with one double point we see that both 0 and 1 can be realized as the number of double points mod 2. The claim follows.

It would be interesting to understand whether f_k and h_k (k even) are isotopic as smooth embeddings. For $k = 2$ one may use the results of Skopenkov [56].

5. WHERE TOPOLOGY ENDS AND GEOMETRY STARTS

There are several meaningful viewpoints on the phenomenon of Lagrangian (un)knots: a surprising interplay between differential geometry and topology, an h -principle or its violation, a topological constraint on an invariant set of a dynamical system... We conclude the paper with yet another aspect of Lagrangian *unknottedness*. The space Lag of Lagrangian submanifolds which are Hamiltonian isotopic to a given one admits a transitive action of the group Ham of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. Thus various interesting metric structures on Ham that are known since the birth of symplectic topology – such as Hofer’s bi-invariant Finsler metric and Viterbo’s spectral metric – descend to Lag . The exploration of the corresponding geometry of Lag became an active research area. A fair exposition would require a separate survey, so we invite the reader to google “the Lagrangian Hofer metric”. Here we just conclude with the following motto: *Lagrangian unknottedness paves a way for non-trivial measurements on the space of Lagrangian submanifolds.*

Acknowledgment. We thank Yasha Eliashberg for exciting discussions, Joé Brendel for the two proofs of Proposition 8, Kai Cieliebak for the proof of Proposition 11, András Juhász for the proof of Proposition 12, and Denis Auroux, Joé Brendel, Georgios Dimitroglou Rizell, Jonny Evans and Joel Schmitz for many useful remarks. FS is deeply grateful to Yasha for arranging for him a wonderful sabbatical at Stanford in Spring 2024.

6. APPENDIX BY GEORGIOS DIMITROGLOU RIZELL

The goal of this appendix is to show how well-established and classical results concerning the uniqueness of symplectic fillings of three-dimensional contact manifolds can be used to give short proofs of classification results for certain Lagrangian surfaces. More precisely, we show the following.

Theorem 13. *Let Σ be either \mathbb{R}^2 or S^2 , and $L \subset (T^*\Sigma, d(pdq))$ a properly embedded open Lagrangian disc which coincides with a cotangent fibre $F = T_{\text{pt}}^*\Sigma$ outside of a compact subset. Then there is a compactly supported symplectomorphism $\phi \in \text{Symp}_c(T^*\Sigma)$ for which $\phi(F) = L$.*

Remarks. (i) Gromov has shown that $\text{Symp}_c(T^*\mathbb{R}^2) = \text{Symp}_c(\mathbb{R}^4)$ is weakly contractible, [39]. In particular, in this case, L is compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopic to F . This is a special case of the main result of Eliashberg and Polterovich from [32]; see Theorem 4 in the article.

(ii) Seidel has shown that $\text{Symp}_c(T^*S^2) \sim \{\tau^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is weakly homotopy equivalent to the infinite cyclic group generated by the Dehn twist, [54, Proposition 2.4]. In particular, in this case, L is compactly supported Hamiltonian isotopic to the image $\tau^l(F)$ of F under some power of the Dehn twist. To the author’s knowledge, this result did not appear in the literature before. See the work [18] by Côté and the author for similar results in the case when Σ is an open Riemann surface.

Before we prove the theorem we will give some background as well as a discussion about the method that we use. In [28], Eliashberg, Ganatra, and Lazarev introduced the concept of regular Lagrangians for studying the classification of certain Lagrangians up to symplectomorphism. One formulation of regularity is that the complement of the Lagrangian has the structure of a Weinstein cobordism. The question about which Lagrangians are regular is very hard, and little is known in general. In any case, assuming regularity, the classification problem of these Lagrangians can be reduced to understanding Weinstein handle decompositions of the complementary Weinstein cobordisms. This perspective was successfully used by Lazarev in [48].

Here we illustrate the power of the above perspective in the case of certain four-dimensional symplectic manifolds, where several strong uniqueness results are known for the symplectic structures on domains and cobordisms with fixed contact boundary. We will concentrate on the case when $L^n \subset (X^{2n}, d\eta)$ is a properly embedded open Lagrangian disc inside a Liouville manifold. When talking about Liouville and Weinstein manifolds $(X, d\eta)$ we will always assume that they are of finite type. We will also require that L is cylindrical over a Legendrian outside of a compact subset, i.e. that it is tangent to the Liouville vector field there; one calls X a Liouville filling of its ideal contact boundary $(\partial_\infty X, \xi)$ at infinity, and L a Lagrangian filling of its ideal Legendrian boundary $\partial_\infty L \subset \partial_\infty X$. Being of finite type is equivalent to the existence of a compact Liouville or Weinstein domain $\overline{X} \subset (X, d\eta)$ inside the manifold which has smooth boundary of contact type, i.e. along which the Liouville flow is outwards transverse, and such that $(X \setminus \overline{X}, d\eta)$ is a trivial Liouville cobordism, i.e. symplectomorphic to

$$((0, +\infty)_t \times \partial\overline{X}, d(e^t\alpha)), \quad \alpha = \eta|_{T\partial\overline{X}},$$

while preserving the Liouville form. In particular, $(\partial\overline{X}, \ker \alpha)$ is contactomorphic to $(\partial_\infty X, \xi)$.

It was shown in [28, Proposition 2.3] that a Lagrangian disc inside a Liouville manifold is regular if and only if its complement can be given the structure of a Weinstein manifold; i.e. the complementary Weinstein cobordism can be turned into a Weinstein manifold (and vice versa). This means that a Lagrangian disc is regular if and only if it arises as the Lagrangian co-core in a Weinstein handle decomposition of the Liouville manifold.

We elaborate a bit on the last statement. Recall the standard fact that, when $L \subset (X, d\eta)$ is an open and properly embedded Lagrangian disc in a Liouville manifold which is tangent to the Liouville vector field outside of a compact subset, then the complement $X \setminus L$ again admits the structure of a Liouville manifold $(X \setminus L, d\tilde{\eta})$, where $\tilde{\eta} = \eta + df$ is a suitable exact deformation by the differential of a function f supported near L . The pair $L \subset (X, d\tilde{\eta})$ can then be recovered by a single Weinstein n -handle attachment along a Legendrian sphere $\Lambda' \subset \partial_\infty(X \setminus L)$, such that L becomes the Lagrangian co-core of the corresponding handle attachment, and where $\Lambda = \partial_\infty L$ thus is the corresponding belt sphere.

Topologically the manifold $\partial_\infty(X \setminus L)$ is obtained by surgery on $\partial_\infty X$ along the sphere Λ . Further, as follows from the Lagrangian neighbourhood theorem applied to L , the contact structure on $\partial_\infty(X \setminus L)$ is completely determined by the contact structure on $\partial_\infty X$ together with the Legendrian sphere $\Lambda \subset \partial_\infty X$. This type of surgery can thus be performed in the category of contact manifolds along any Legendrian sphere $\Lambda \subset (Y, \xi)$, regardless of the existence of fillings of either the contact manifold or the Legendrian sphere; the resulting contact manifold is said to be obtained by $+1$ -surgery along Λ . This operation can be seen to be inverse to the usual contact -1 -surgery that arises from standard Weinstein n -handle attachment; more precisely, performing a $+1$ -surgery on the Legendrian belt-sphere that arises from the usual contact -1 -surgery gives back the original contact manifold, and vice versa.

The main technical result used in the proof of Theorem 13 can be derived by techniques that go back to Gromov [39] and Eliashberg [25]; also Giroux' work [38] can be used for classifying the contactomorphisms. For formulating the result, the following notion is crucial: A symplectomorphism

$$(\mathbb{R}_t \times Y_0, d(e^t \alpha_0)) \supset U \xrightarrow{\phi} (\mathbb{R}_t \times Y_1, d(e^t \alpha_1))$$

between two symplectisations will be called **cylindrical** if it is of the form $\phi(t, y) = (t - g(y), \psi(y))$. Consequently, we have $\psi^*\alpha_1 = e^g\alpha_0$, i.e. $\psi: (Y_0, \ker \alpha_0) \rightarrow (Y_1, \ker \alpha_1)$ is a contactomorphism. Moreover, the property of being cylindrical is equivalent to preserving the Liouville form.

Proposition 14. *Let $(X, d\eta)$ be a Liouville manifold whose ideal contact boundary $\partial_\infty X$ is the standard tight contact S^3 , resp. $S^1 \times S^2$. Then*

(1) *The Liouville manifold $(X, d\eta)$ is symplectomorphic to*

$$\left(\mathbb{C}^2, d\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 r_i^2 d\theta_i = \omega_0 \right), \quad \text{resp.} \quad \left(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}, d \left(\log r_1 d\theta_1 + \frac{1}{2} r_2^2 d\theta_2 \right) \right),$$

(2) *Any cylindrical symplectomorphism*

$$\phi: X \setminus K \xrightarrow{\cong} X \setminus \phi(K),$$

for some compact $K \subset X$, extends to a symplectomorphism of X , possibly after first enlarging the compact subset K .

Remark. The uniqueness of Liouville fillings in (1) more generally holds for all contact three-manifolds that admit a subcritical Stein filling $X^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, see Theorem 16.9 in the book [16] by Cieliebak and Eliashberg. Further, it should be possible to use the same proof with more care to show that the uniqueness of filling holds relative to a fixed identification of the boundary, i.e. that (2) holds in these cases as well. Once this is established, our proof of Theorem 13 immediately extends to fibers $L \subset T^*\Sigma$ for an arbitrary surface Σ (open or closed).

Proof. *The case when $\partial_\infty X = S^3$:*

(1): This is Gromov's result from [39, 0.3.C].

(2): This folklore result was proven by Casals–Spáčil in [12, Theorem 4]. Alternatively, one can verify that Gromov's construction of a symplectomorphism extends the given identification of the ideal contact boundary; i.e. given an identification of the two fillings outside of a compact subset, the symplectomorphism that Gromov constructs can be taken to preserve this identification.

The case when $\partial_\infty X = S^1 \times S^2$:

(1): By [16, Theorem 16.9] the Liouville manifold X is obtained from a filling of the standard contact S^3 by a standard Weinstein 1-handle attachment. The result then follows from the uniqueness of the Liouville filling of S^3 .

(2): This follows from Min's result [50, Theorem 1.3], which builds heavily on work of Ding and Geiges [22]. It is shown that the contactomorphism group satisfies $\pi_0(\text{Cont}(S^1 \times S^2, \xi_{std})) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The generators $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ can be seen to be induced by the following symplectomorphisms. First, $(0, 1)$ is induced by the holomorphic involution

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}, \\ (z_1, z_2) &\mapsto (z_1^{-1}, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

which preserves the Liouville form. The generator $(1, 0)$ is constructed from a Dehn twist on a two-torus inside $S^1 \times S^2$, see [22, Section 3]. This contactomorphism is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}, \\ (r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2) &\mapsto \left(e^{-\frac{1}{2}r_2^2} r_1, r_2, \theta_1, \theta_2 + \theta_1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

(i.e. a symplectic suspension of a full 2π -rotation of \mathbb{C}) where we have used polar coordinates on each factor for the description. Note that this symplectomorphism also preserves the Liouville form. \square

Proof of Theorem 13. Consider the Lagrangian disc $L \subset T^*\Sigma$. In the case when $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^2$ we can find an exact deformation of the tautological Liouville form after which $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ becomes identified with the Liouville manifold $(\mathbb{C}^2, d\frac{1}{2}\sum_i(x_i dy_i - y_i dx_i))$.

We thus consider the two cases when either $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ or $X = T^*S^2$, and denote by $\Lambda := \partial_\infty L \subset \partial_\infty X$ the ideal Legendrian boundary of the Lagrangian disc $L \subset X$. In the case $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ it follows that Λ is the standard Legendrian unknot inside the standard contact sphere $\partial_\infty X = S^3$, while in the case $X = T^*S^2$ it is the Legendrian spherical cotangent fibre.

In both cases the ideal contact boundary produced by a contact +1-surgery performed along $\Lambda \subset \partial_\infty X$ is easy to find explicitly. Indeed, if we remove a cotangent fibre from $T^*\mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain $T^*(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}$ with ideal contact boundary given by the standard tight $\partial_\infty(\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}) = S^1 \times S^2$; if we remove a cotangent fibre from $X = T^*S^2$, we obtain $T^*\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{C}^2$ with ideal contact boundary $\partial_\infty \mathbb{C}^2 = S^3$, i.e. the standard tight contact three-sphere.

Consider a sufficiently large compact subset $\overline{X} \subset X$. Using the symplectic standard neighbourhood theorem, we can readily construct a symplectomorphism

$$\phi: (X \setminus \overline{X}) \cup \mathcal{O}(F) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X \setminus \overline{X}) \cup \mathcal{O}(L)$$

where $\mathcal{O}(F)$ and $\mathcal{O}(L)$ denote open neighbourhoods of F and L , respectively, and such that $\phi(F) = L$. Taking additional care, we may further assume that

$$\phi|_{X \setminus \bar{X}} = \text{id}_{X \setminus \bar{X}}$$

is satisfied. This enables us to choose a Liouville form on $X \setminus L$ which, outside of a compact subset, is defined uniquely by the requirement that it pulls back to the Liouville form on $X \setminus F$ under $\phi|_{((X \setminus \bar{X}) \cup \mathcal{O}(F)) \setminus F}$.

In particular, the symplectomorphism $\phi|_{(X \setminus F) \setminus K}$ is cylindrical for some sufficiently large compact $K \subset X \setminus F$. Proposition 14 then provides the sought extension of ϕ to a compactly supported symplectomorphism $(X, F) \xrightarrow{\cong} (X, L)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Abouzaid M and Kragh T. Simple homotopy equivalence of nearby Lagrangians. *Acta Math.* **220** (2018) 207–237.
- [2] Arnol'd V. I. First steps in symplectic topology. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **41** (1986) 3–18 and *Russian Math. Surveys* **41** (1986) 1–21.
- [3] Auroux D. Mirror symmetry and T -duality in the complement of an anticanonical divisor. *J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT* **1** (2007) 51–91.
- [4] Auroux D. Infinitely many monotone Lagrangian tori in \mathbb{R}^6 . *Invent. Math.* **201** (2015) 909–924.
- [5] Audin M. Fibrés normaux d’immersions en dimension double, points doubles d’immersions lagrangiennes et plongements totalement réels. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **63** (1988) 593–623.
- [6] Blakey K, Chanda S, Sun Y, and Woodward C. Augmentation varieties and disk potentials III. arXiv:2401.13024
- [7] Bourgeois F, Eliashberg Ya, Hofer H, Wysocki K, and Zehnder E. Compactness results in symplectic field theory. *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003) 799–888.
- [8] Borrelli V. New examples of Lagrangian rigidity. *Israel J. Math.* **125** (2001) 221–235.
- [9] Brendel J. Local exotic tori. arXiv:2310.11359
- [10] Brendel J, Hauber J, and Schmitz J. Semi-Local Exotic Lagrangian Tori in Dimension Four. arXiv:2403.00408
- [11] Brendel J, Mikhalkin G, and Schlenk F. Non-isotopic symplectic embeddings of cubes. In preparation.
- [12] Casals R and Spáčil O. Chern-Weil theory and the group of strict contactomorphisms. *J. Topol. Anal.* **8** (2016) 59–87.
- [13] Chanda S, Hirschi A, and Wang L. Infinitely many monotone Lagrangian tori in higher projective spaces. arXiv:2307.06934
- [14] Chekanov Yu. Lagrangian tori in a symplectic vector space and global symplectomorphisms. *Math. Z.* **223** (1996) 547–559.
- [15] Chekanov Yu and Schlenk F. Notes on monotone Lagrangian twist tori. *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.* **17** (2010) 104–121.

- [16] Cieliebak K and Eliashberg Ya. *From Stein to Weinstein and back. Symplectic geometry of affine complex manifolds*. AMS Colloquium Publications **59**. AMS, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [17] Cieliebak K, Eliashberg Ya, and Mishachev N. Introduction to the h -principle. Second edition. Graduate Studies in Mathematics **239**. AMS, Providence, RI, 2024.
- [18] Côté L and Dimitroglou Rizell G. Symplectic rigidity of fibers in cotangent bundles of open Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **386** (2023) 1717–1751.
- [19] Dimitroglou Rizell G. The classification of Lagrangians nearby the Whitney immersion. *Geom. Topol.* **23** (2019) 3367–3458.
- [20] Dimitroglou Rizell G and Evans J. Unlinking and unknottedness of monotone Lagrangian submanifolds. *Geom. Topol.* **18** (2014) 997–1034, and Erratum (to appear).
- [21] Dimitroglou Rizell G, Goodman E, and Ivrii A. Lagrangian isotopy of tori in $S^2 \times S^2$ and $\mathbb{C}P^2$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **26** (2016) 1297–1358.
- [22] Ding F and Geiges H. The diffeotopy group of $S^1 \times S^2$ via contact topology. *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010) 1096–1112.
- [23] Ekholm T, Eliashberg Ya, Murphy E, and Smith I. Constructing exact Lagrangian immersions with few double points. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23** (2013) 1772–1803.
- [24] Eliashberg Ya. A theorem on the structure of wave fronts and its application in symplectic topology. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen* **21** 65–72, 96, 1987.
- [25] Eliashberg Ya. Filling by holomorphic discs and its applications. Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), 45–67, *LMS Lecture Note Ser.* **151**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [26] Eliashberg Ya. Topology of 2-knots in \mathbb{R}^4 and symplectic geometry. The Floer memorial volume, 335–353, *Progr. Math.* **133**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995.
- [27] Eliashberg Ya. Rigid and flexible facets of symplectic topology. Geometry in history, 493–514, Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [28] Eliashberg Ya, Ganatra S, and Lazarev O. Flexible Lagrangians. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2020** 2408–2435.
- [29] Eliashberg Ya, Givental A, and Hofer H. Introduction to symplectic field theory. GAFA 2000 (Tel Aviv, 1999). *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 2000, Special Volume, Part II, 560–673.
- [30] Eliashberg Ya and Murphy E. Lagrangian caps. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23** (2013) 1483–1514.
- [31] Eliashberg Ya and Polterovich L. Unknottedness of Lagrangian surfaces in symplectic 4-manifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* (1993) 295–301.
- [32] Eliashberg Ya and Polterovich L. Local Lagrangian 2-knots are trivial. *Ann. of Math.* **144** (1996) 61–76.
- [33] Eliashberg Ya and Polterovich L. The problem of Lagrangian knots in four-manifolds. Geometric topology (Athens, GA, 1993), 313–327, *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, 2.1, AMS, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [34] Evans J. Lectures on Lagrangian torus fibrations. *LMS Student Texts* **105**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023.
- [35] Fukaya K, Oh Y-G, Ohta H, and Ono K. Toric degeneration and nondisplaceable Lagrangian tori in $S^2 \times S^2$. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2012) 2942–2993.

- [36] Galkin S and Mikhalkin G. Singular symplectic spaces and holomorphic membranes. *Eur. J. Math.* **8** (2022) 932–951.
- [37] Galkin S and Usnich A. Laurent phenomenon for Landau–Ginzburg potential. Preprint 2010. <http://research.ipmu.jp/ipmu/sysimg/ipmu/417.pdf>
- [38] Giroux E. Convexité en topologie de contact. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **66** (1991) 637–677.
- [39] Gromov M. Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985) 307–347.
- [40] Gromov M. Partial differential relations. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3)* **9**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [41] Hacking P and Prokhorov Yu. Smoothable del Pezzo surfaces with quotient singularities. *Compos. Math.* **146** (2010) 169–192.
- [42] Hind R, Mikhalkin G, and Schlenk F. Lagrangian squeezing invariants. In preparation.
- [43] Haefliger A. Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **36** (1961) 47–82.
- [44] Haefliger A. Knotted $(4k - 1)$ -spheres in $6k$ -space. *Ann. of Math.* **75** (1962) 452–466.
- [45] Hind R. Lagrangian spheres in $S^2 \times S^2$. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **14** (2004) 303–318.
- [46] Hind R. Lagrangian unknottedness in Stein surfaces. *Asian J. Math.* **16** (2012) 1–36.
- [47] Juhász A. A geometric classification of immersions of 3-manifolds into 5-space. *Manuscripta Math.* **117** (2005) 65–83.
- [48] Lazarev O. H-principles for regular Lagrangians. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 18(4):1071–1090, 2020.
- [49] McDuff D. Blow ups and symplectic embeddings in dimension 4. *Topology* **30** (1991) 409–421.
- [50] Min H. The contact mapping class group and rational unknots in lens spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2024) page rnae121
- [51] Pascaleff J and Tonkonog D. The wall-crossing formula and Lagrangian mutations. *Adv. Math.* **361** (2020) 106850, 67 pp.
- [52] Polterovich L. The surgery of Lagrange submanifolds. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **1** (1991) 198–210.
- [53] Seidel P. Lagrangian two-spheres can be symplectically knotted. *J. Differential Geom.* **52** (1999) 145–171.
- [54] Seidel P. *Lectures on Four-Dimensional Dehn Twists*, pages 231–267. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [55] Shelukhin E, Tonkonog D, and Vianna R. Geometry of symplectic flux and Lagrangian torus fibrations arxiv.org/abs/1804.02044
- [56] Skopenkov A. A classification of smooth embeddings of 3-manifolds in 6-space. *Math. Z.* **260** (2008) 647–672.
- [57] Symington M. Four dimensions from two in symplectic topology. *Topology and geometry of manifolds (Athens, GA, 2001)*, 153–208, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **71**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [58] Vianna R. Infinitely many exotic monotone Lagrangian tori in $\mathbb{C}P^2$. *J. Topol.* **9** (2016) 535–551.
- [59] Vianna R. Infinitely many monotone Lagrangian tori in del Pezzo surfaces. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **23** (2017) 1955–1996.

- [60] Vidussi S. Lagrangian surfaces in a fixed homology class: existence of knotted Lagrangian tori. *J. Differential Geom.* **74** (2006) 507–522.

(G. DIMITROGLOU RIZELL) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, BOX 480, SE-751 06 UPPSALA, SWEDEN

Email address: `georgios.dimitroglou@math.uu.se`

(L. POLTEROVICH) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV 69978, ISRAEL

Email address: `polterov@post.tau.ac.il`

(F. SCHLENK) INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE NEUCHÂTEL, RUE ÉMILE ARGAND 11, 2000 NEUCHÂTEL, SWITZERLAND

Email address: `schlenk@unine.ch`