

EXPLICIT REALIZATION OF BOUNDED MODULES FOR SYMPLECTIC LIE ALGEBRAS: SPINOR VERSUS OSCILLATOR

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY, DIMITAR GRANTCHAROV, LUIS ENRIQUE RAMIREZ,
AND PABLO ZADUNAIISKY

ABSTRACT. We provide an explicit combinatorial realization of all simple and injective (hence, and projective) modules in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. This realization is defined via a natural tableaux correspondence between spinor-type modules of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ and oscillator-type modules of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$. In particular, we show that, in contrast with the A -type case, the generic and bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules admit an analog of the Gelfand-Graev continuation from finite-dimensional representations.

2020 MSC: 17B10, 16G99

Keywords and phrases: symplectic Lie algebra, orthogonal Lie algebra, Gelfand-Tsetlin basis, spinor modules, oscillator modules.

INTRODUCTION

In the recent years there has been a growing interest in the theory of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules [DFO94], especially after the discovery of their connection with diagrammatic KLRW algebras [Web17] and Coulomb branches [Web20]. After the breakthrough results in [FGR16], [FGR17b], [FGR17a], [Zad17], [RZ18], [Vis18], [EMV20], [FGRZ20] and [Web20], a parameterization of all simple Gelfand-Tsetlin $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -modules was established in terms of Gelfand-Tsetlin tableaux. One can say that the Gelfand-Tsetlin modules form the largest category of weight modules where such a parameterization is obtained. The Gelfand-Tsetlin tableaux provide a combinatorial basis on which the action of the generators of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ can be explicitly derived. Unlike for the finite-dimensional modules, this action is quite complicated, due to the existence of the so-called “derivative tableaux”. Let us say that a Gelfand-Tsetlin module M satisfies the *Gelfand-Graev continuation principle* [GG65] if M has a basis of Gelfand-Tsetlin tableaux on which the action of the generators is expressed in terms of the classical Gelfand-Tsetlin formulas [GT50]. In this case, each basis tableau is an eigenvector of the Gelfand-Tsetlin subalgebra - certain maximal commutative subalgebra of the universal enveloping algebra. In the vertex algebra theory such representations are called *strongly tame* [FKM23], [FMR21].

One of our aims in this paper is to initiate a “Gelfand-Tsetlin theory” in the symplectic case. Since the concepts of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules is not settled in this case yet, as a first step we look at $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules that have Gelfand-Tsetlin tableaux realization. The tableaux realization of all simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules was obtained by A. Molev in [Mol99], [Mol00a], and [Mol00b], and relies on the theory of Yangians. The C -type case is particularly complicated because the union of the centers of $U(\mathfrak{sp}(2k))$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, is not a maximal subalgebra. In this case one needs to add “intermediate” elements to define a Gelfand-Tsetlin type subalgebra

$\mathcal{GT}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ of $U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$, [MY19]. It is proven that the action of $\mathcal{GT}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ on any simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module M is diagonalizable and has simple spectrum on M , see Example 5.6 in [MY19]. However, in the contrast with the A -type case, explicit formulas for the action of the generators of $\mathcal{GT}(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ on the the tableaux basis of M are not known yet.

In this paper we consider only modules for which the action of the generators satisfy the Gelfand-Graev continuation principle. We provide an explicit tableaux realization of two types of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules: bounded modules (i.e., those weight modules that have uniformly bounded set of weight multiplicities) and generic modules. More precisely, such tableaux basis is given for all simple and indecomposable injective (and projective) modules in the category \mathcal{B} of all infinite-dimensional bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. The simple objects of \mathcal{B} were classified in [Mat00], while the injectives (equivalently, the projectives) of \mathcal{B} were described in [GS06]. The classification and explicit description of all bounded modules of degree 1 was obtained in [BL87].

One important aspect of the paper is that we provide a transparent correspondence between half-integer (i.e., spinor-type) finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules and infinite-dimensional bounded (i.e., oscillator-type) $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. This correspondence was well-understood in the case of the classical spinor and Shale-Weil representations, i.e., the cases involving modules whose weight multiplicities equal to 1.

We hope that our results are a part of a bigger picture. Namely, that if M is an $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -module with a basis consisting of C -regular tableaux, then for a generic choice of $\mu \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module $V(\mu, M)$ that has a tableaux realization. The cases covered in this paper include generic $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules M and spinor-type finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules M , leading to generic and bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules, respectively.

In summary, the goal of this paper is threefold - to develop a Gelfand-Tsetlin theory for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules that have Gelfand-Graev continuation, to provide an explicit tableaux realization of all bounded modules, and to shed light over the mysterious connection between spinor-type $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules and oscillator-type $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. It is worth noting that in addition to the symplectic case we obtain a Gelfand-Graev continuation for the generic $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules.

The content of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we collect some important results on tableaux realizations of finite-dimensional modules over Lie algebras of type C and D . In Section 3 we provide a summary of the results on bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules that are used in the paper. In Section 4 we prove all our main results on the tableaux realizations of generic and bounded modules of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$.

For reader's convenience we briefly state some of our results here (see Theorem 4.11, Theorem 4.21, Theorem 4.33 for the complete versions). Let $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ be a dominant weight of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ and $\mu \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \lambda)$ the set of tableaux $T(W)$ of type C with top row $\lambda + \rho_D + \frac{1}{2} = (\lambda_1 - 1 + \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_n - n + \frac{3}{2})$, whose left-most column is in $\mu + \mathbb{Z}^n$, and whose remaining part is a D -standard tableau (see Definitions 4.7, 4.8). Denote by $V(\mu, \lambda)$ the \mathbb{C} -vector space with basis $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \lambda)$.

Theorem 1. *The space $V(\mu, \lambda)$ has a structure of an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module obtained by the Gelfand-Graev continuation.*

An important property of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is that its simple subquotients $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ (see Definition 4.30) exhaust all simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. The subquotients $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ are parameterized by subsets Σ of $\text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})$, where $\text{Int}(\mathbf{z})$ stands for the set of all $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ such that $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2. (i) *Every infinite-dimensional simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module is isomorphic to $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma$ as above.*

(ii) *The module $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is the injective envelope of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu}))$ in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules.*

In particular, we have an explicit tableaux realization of all simple and indecomposable injective (and projective) modules in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules.

Acknowledgements. V.F. is supported in part by China (NSFC) - 1231101349. D.G. is supported in part by Simons Collaboration Grant 855678. L.E.R. is supported in part by CNPq Grant 316163/2021-0, and Fapesp grant 2022/08948-2. P.Z. is a CONICET researcher.

1. NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

Throughout this paper we fix $n \geq 2$. Given two complex numbers a, b , we write $a \geq b$ (respectively $a > b$) if $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ (respectively $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$). For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$ we set $\llbracket m \rrbracket = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. We often write \mathbf{a} for the n -tuple (a, a, \dots, a) when a is fixed (for example, $a = 1$ and $a = 1/2$). For $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we write $|z|$ for the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i|$, and $\text{Int}(\mathbf{z})$ for the set of all $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ such that $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also set $\text{Hf}(\mathbf{z}) = \text{Int}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{1}/2)$.

2. TABLEAUX REALIZATION OF SIMPLE FINITE-DIMENSIONAL MODULES OVER ALGEBRAS OF TYPE C AND D

Recall that simple finite-dimensional modules for the Lie algebras of type C_n and D_n are in one-to-one correspondence with n -tuples $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n \cup \mathbb{Z}^n$ where the numbers λ_i are such that:

- (1) $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$, and $-\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$.
- (2) $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_{i+1}$ for $i \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$, and $-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$.

A weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ satisfying (1) will be called *dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight*, and the corresponding simple finite-dimensional module will be denoted by $L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Analogously, a weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ satisfying (2) will be called *dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight*, and the corresponding simple finite-dimensional module will be denoted by $L_D(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. The simple roots of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ that lead to the dominance conditions (1) are listed in §2.2, and the simple roots of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ related to (2) are described in a similar manner. With these choices of simple roots, we have that the corresponding half-sums of positive roots are

$$\rho_C = (-1, -2, \dots, -n); \quad \rho_D = (0, -1, \dots, -n+1).$$

2.1. Type D . In this subsection we recall the tableaux realization of the simple finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules.

Definition 2.1. An array of n^2 complex numbers arranged in $2n - 1$ rows in the following form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& \ell_{n1} & & \ell_{n2} & & \ell_{n3} & & \cdots & & \ell_{nn} \\
& & & \ell'_{n,2} & & \ell'_{n,3} & & \cdots & & \ell'_{n,n} \\
& \ell_{n-1,1} & & \ell_{n-1,2} & & \cdots & & & & \ell_{n-1,n-1} \\
& & & \ell'_{n-1,2} & & \cdots & & \ell'_{n-1,n-1} & & \\
T(L) := & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\
& & & \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \\
& \ell_{21} & & \ell_{22} & & & & & & \\
& & & \ell'_{22} & & & & & & \\
& \ell_{11} & & & & & & & &
\end{array}$$

will be called Gelfand-Tsetlin tableau (or just tableau) of type D .

Remark 2.2. Note that for the sake in convenience in the definition above, the entries ℓ'_{ij} appear for $2 \leq j \leq i \leq n$, instead of the more standard convention when they appear for $1 \leq j \leq i \leq n - 1$ (see for example §9.6 in [Mol07], and §3 in [Mol00a]).

Definition 2.3. A tableau $T(U)$ of type D is called D -standard if for any $2 \leq k \leq n$, the following conditions are satisfied:

- (3) $-u'_{k,2} \geq u_{k,1} > u'_{k2} \geq u_{k2} > \cdots > u'_{k,k-1} \geq u_{k,k-1} > u'_{k,k} \geq u_{k,k}$.
- (4) $-u'_{k,2} \geq u_{k-1,1} > u'_{k,2} \geq u_{k-1,2} > \cdots > u'_{k,k-1} \geq u_{k-1,k-1} > u'_{k,k}$.

Remark 2.4. In [Mol00a] a slight modification of the above conditions is used. Namely, the strict inequalities are replaced by nonstrict and the corresponding tableau is referred as a D -type pattern. There is a bijection between the D -standard tableaux and the D -type patterns defined by $\ell_{ij} \rightarrow \ell_{ij} - j + \frac{3}{2}$, $\ell'_{ij} \rightarrow \ell'_{ij} - j + \frac{3}{2}$.

Theorem 2.5 ([Mol07], Lemma 9.6.7.). If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight, the simple finite-dimensional module $L_D(\lambda)$ admits a tableaux realization with basis given by the set of D -standard tableaux $T(W)$ with top row $\lambda + \rho_D + \mathbf{1}/2$.

2.2. Type C. Consider $I := \{1, \dots, n, -1, \dots, -n\} \times \{1, \dots, n, -1, \dots, -n\}$, and square matrices of size $2n$ indexed by I . Also, for $(i, j) \in I$ consider $F_{ij} := E_{ij} - \text{sgn}(i) \text{sgn}(j) E_{-j, -i}$, where E_{ij} denotes the elementary matrix with 1 at (i, j) -th position and 0 otherwise. As a Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ is generated by the elements $F_{-k,k}$, $F_{k,-k}$ with $k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ and $F_{k-1,-k}$ with $k = 2, \dots, n$. We fix $\mathfrak{h} := \text{span}\{F_{11}, \dots, F_{nn}\}$ to be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, and denote by $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{sp}(2n), \mathfrak{h})$ the corresponding root system and $\mathcal{Q}_C = \mathbb{Z}\Delta$ the corresponding root lattice. By $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ we denote the basis of \mathfrak{h}^* dual to $\{F_{11}, \dots, F_{nn}\}$. We will often identify \mathfrak{h}^* with \mathbb{C}^n via the correspondence $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varepsilon_i \mapsto (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and will denote both elements by λ .

In explicit terms we have $\Delta = \{\pm 2\varepsilon_i, \pm \varepsilon_k \pm \varepsilon_l \mid k \neq l\}$. Also, the set of simple roots that lead to the dominance conditions (1) is $\Pi = \{-2\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1} - \varepsilon_n\}$,

in particular, $\rho_C = (-1, \dots, -n)$. The reflections of the Weyl group corresponding to the long roots $2\varepsilon_i$ will be denoted by τ_i . In particular, $\tau_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = (-\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

Definition 2.6. A (Gelfand-Tsetlin) tableau of type C is an array of $n^2 + n$ complex numbers arranged in the following form

$$T(L) := \begin{array}{cccccccc} & & \ell_{n1} & & \ell_{n2} & & \cdots & & \cdots & \ell_{nn} \\ \ell'_{n1} & & & \ell'_{n2} & & \cdots & & \cdots & & \ell'_{nn} \\ & \ell_{n-1,1} & & \ell_{n-1,2} & & \cdots & & \ell_{n-1,n-1} & & \\ \ell'_{n-1,1} & & \ell'_{n-1,2} & & \cdots & \ell'_{n-1,n-1} & & & & \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ & & \ell_{21} & & \ell_{22} & & & & & \\ \ell'_{21} & & & \ell'_{22} & & & & & & \\ & & \ell_{11} & & & & & & & \\ \ell'_{11} & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.7. A tableau $T(L)$ of type C will be called C -standard if its entries satisfy the following conditions:

- (5) $-\frac{1}{2} \geq \ell'_{k1} \geq \ell_{k1} > \ell'_{k2} \geq \ell_{k2} > \cdots > \ell'_{k,k-1} \geq \ell_{k,k-1} > \ell'_{k,k} \geq \ell_{k,k}, k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$.
- (6) $-\frac{1}{2} \geq \ell'_{k1} \geq \ell_{k-1,1} > \ell'_{k2} \geq \ell_{k-1,2} > \cdots > \ell'_{k,k-1} \geq \ell_{k-1,k-1} > \ell'_{kk}, 2 \leq k \leq n$

Theorem 2.8 ([Mol07], Theorem 9.6.2). *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight. The simple finite-dimensional module $L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ admits a basis parameterized by the set of all C -standard tableau $T(L)$ with top row $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_C + \mathbf{1}/2$. Moreover, the action of the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ on the basis elements is given by:*

$$(7) \quad F_{kk}T(L) = \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^k \ell'_{ki} - \sum_{i=1}^k \ell_{ki} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_{k-1,i} + k - \frac{1}{2} \right) T(L),$$

$$(8) \quad F_{k,-k}T(L) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ki}(L)T(L + \delta^{(ki)'}),$$

$$(9) \quad F_{-k,k}T(L) = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{ki}(L)T(L - \delta^{(ki)'}),$$

$$(10) \quad F_{k-1,-k}T(L) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{ki}(L)T(L - \delta^{(k-1,i)})$$

$$(11) \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j,m=1}^{k-1} D_{kijm}(L)T(L + \delta^{(ki)' + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'})$$

$$(12) \quad 2F_{k,k-1}T(L) = [F_{k-1,-k}, F_{1-k,k-1}]T(L),$$

$$(13) \quad 2F_{k-1,k}T(L) = [F_{k-1,-k}, F_{-k,k}]T(L),$$

where

$$(14) \quad A_{ki}(L) = \prod_{a=1, a \neq i}^k \frac{1}{\ell'_{ka} - \ell'_{ki}},$$

$$(15) \quad B_{ki}(L) = 2A_{ki}(L)(2\ell'_{ki} - 1) \prod_{a=1}^k (\ell_{ka} - \ell'_{ki}) \prod_{a=1}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,a} - \ell'_{ki}),$$

$$(16) \quad C_{ki}(L) = \frac{1}{(2\ell_{k-1,i} - 1)} \prod_{a=1, a \neq i}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(\ell_{k-1,i} - \ell_{k-1,a})(\ell_{k-1,i} + \ell_{k-1,a} - 1)},$$

$$(17) \quad D_{kijm}(L) = A_{ki}(L)A_{k-1,m}(L)C_{kj}(L) \prod_{a \neq i}^k (\ell_{k-1,j}^2 - \ell_{k,a}^2) \prod_{a \neq m}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,j}^2 - \ell_{k-1,a}^2).$$

Remark 2.9. *There is an analogous to the theorem above in the D -type case. Recall from Theorem 2.5 that for a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $L_D(\boldsymbol{\eta})$ admits a basis parameterized by the set of all D -standard tableau $T(L)$ with top row $\boldsymbol{\eta} + \rho_D + \mathbf{1}/2$. The action of the generators of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ on these $T(L)$ can be written explicitly and involve some rational functions of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$. To keep this paper brief, we will not include these formulas and will refer to them as GT-formulas of type D . We refer the reader to Theorem 9.6.8 in [Mol07] for the missing details.*

Definition 2.10. *Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight, and $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight. We denote by $C_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ the set of all C -standard tableaux with top row $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_C + \mathbf{1}/2$, and by $D_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\eta}}$ the set of all D -standard tableaux with top row $\boldsymbol{\eta} + \rho_D + \mathbf{1}/2$.*



Remark 2.11. *Given an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, any element z of the center $Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$ of $U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ acts on $L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ via a multiplication by a scalar. By the Harish-Chandra Theorem, this scalar is a polynomial $p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ in $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. More generally, for a simple $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module M , there is $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ so that z acts on*

M via $p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$. In the latter case we call $\chi_\lambda : Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\chi_\lambda(z) = p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$, the central character of M (and of $L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda})$). The same result holds in the case of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$, and we will use the same notation (i.e., p_z for the corresponding polynomial).

We will make use of the following result, the proof of which relies on the fact that the set $\bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} C_{st}^\lambda$ (union taken over all $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -dominant $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}^n$) is Zariski dense in \mathbb{C}^{n^2+n} . For a rigorous proof of a more general result in the case of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, see Lemma 3.4 in [Zad17]. Henceforth, for convenience we will write $f(W)$ for a polynomial f in $n^2 + n$ variables evaluated at the entries of a tableau $T(W)$ of type C .

Lemma 2.12. *Let $f(\mathbf{x})$ be a polynomial in $n^2 + n$ variables such that for any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$, $f(W) = 0$ for all $T(W) \in C_{st}^\lambda$. Then $f = 0$.*

Example 2.13. *In the following, given $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, we use an arrow from a to b if $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and a dotted arrow from a to b if $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$. With this convention, for $n = 4$, the Relations (3, 4, 5, 6) can be described by directed graphs as follows:*



3. GENERALITIES ON BOUNDED $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -MODULES

In this section we collect some important definitions and properties of the bounded modules of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$. For rigorous proofs and more details we refer the reader to [Mat00] and [GS06].

3.1. Weight and bounded modules. A *weight module* M is an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module that is semisimple as \mathfrak{h} -module. The \mathfrak{h} -isotypic components of M are the *weight spaces* of M : we denote them by M^λ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Every weight module M has a well-defined support:

$$\text{Supp } M = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid M^\mu \neq 0\}.$$

A *weight module* is *bounded* if the dimension of any weight space of M is less or equal to N for some fixed $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The *degree* $d(M)$ of a bounded weight module M equals the maximum value of the weight multiplicities of M . The essential support of a bounded module M is

$$\text{Supp}_{\text{ess}} M = \{\mu \in \text{Supp } M \mid \dim M^\mu = d(M)\}.$$

For an infinite-dimensional bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module M , we say that M has a *cone* $\mathcal{C}(M) \subset \mathcal{Q}_C$ if:

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}' + \mathcal{C}(M) \subset \text{Supp}_{\text{ess}} M \subset \text{Supp } M \subset \boldsymbol{\lambda}'' + \mathcal{C}(M)$$

for some weights $\boldsymbol{\lambda}', \boldsymbol{\lambda}''$ in $\text{Supp } M$.

The infinite-dimensional simple bounded modules have cones and in order to describe these cones, we introduce some notation. We set $\mathcal{C}_k := \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_C \mid \langle x, \varepsilon_k \rangle \geq 0\}$ and for $\Sigma \subseteq \llbracket n \rrbracket$, we set $\mathcal{C}_\Sigma = \bigcap_{k \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}_k$. If Σ, Σ' are disjoint subsets of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$, we set

$$\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \Sigma'} = \mathcal{C}_\Sigma \cap (-\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma'}).$$

Recall that for $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\text{Hf}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \text{Int}(\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{1}/2)$. Also, for $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathcal{Q}_C \in \mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{Q}_C$ we define $\text{Hf}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) = \text{Hf}(\boldsymbol{\nu})$.

Example 3.1. *In this example we provide explicit realization of all simple bounded infinite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules of central character $\sigma = \chi_{\mathbf{1}/2}$. Every such module is uniquely determined by a coset $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathcal{Q}_C$ in $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{Q}_C$ and a subset $\Sigma \subset \bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}$.*

Let $\mathcal{D}(n)$ be the n th Weyl algebra, i.e. the algebra of polynomial differential operators on $\mathcal{O}_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$. Then there is a homomorphism

$$\varphi : U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(n)$$

of associative algebras such that $\varphi(F_{-k,k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_{t_k}^2$ and $\varphi(F_{k,-k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t_k^2$, see for example §5 in [GS06]. The image of φ is $\mathcal{D}(n)^{\text{ev}}$, the subspace of $\mathcal{D}(n)$ spanned by $t^\alpha \partial_t^\beta$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta|$ even.

The pullback through φ of the space $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ev}} = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]^{\text{ev}}$ of polynomials of even degree (respectively, of the space $\mathcal{F}^{\text{odd}} = \mathbb{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]^{\text{odd}}$ of polynomials of odd degree) is a simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module of degree 1, central character σ , and cone $\mathcal{C}_{\llbracket n \rrbracket, \emptyset}$. Then for any $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathcal{Q}_C$ in $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathcal{Q}_C$, the pullback of

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) = \text{span}\{t^{\boldsymbol{\nu}+\mathbf{z}} \mid z_i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \text{Int}(\boldsymbol{\nu}), z_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, j \notin \text{Int}(\boldsymbol{\nu}), z_1 + \dots + z_n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is a simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module of degree 1, cone $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Int}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}), \emptyset}$, $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \subset \overline{\boldsymbol{\nu} + \frac{\mathbf{1}}{2}}$, and $\chi_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}})} = \chi_\sigma$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}^{\text{ev}} = \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{0}}) \simeq L_C(\mathbf{1}/2)$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\text{odd}} = \mathcal{F}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1) \simeq L_C(\mathbf{1}/2 + \varepsilon_1)$.

Finally, for a subset Σ of $\text{Int}(\bar{\nu})$, we may twist the module $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu})$ by the automorphism θ_Σ of $\mathcal{D}(n)$ defined by $t_i \mapsto \partial_{t_i}$, $\partial_{t_i} \mapsto -t_i$, $i \in \Sigma$; $t_j \mapsto t_j$, $\partial_{t_j} \mapsto \partial_{t_j}$, $j \notin \Sigma$, and obtain a bounded module $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu}, \Sigma)$ of degree 1, central character σ , and cone $\mathcal{C}_{\text{Int}(\bar{\nu}) \setminus \Sigma, \Sigma}$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu}, \emptyset) = \mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu})$. The set of all $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu}, \Sigma)$ is the complete set of isomorphism classes of simple bounded infinite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules of central character σ .

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\bar{\nu} \in \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{Q}_C$, $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight, and $\Sigma \subset \text{Hf}(\bar{\nu})$.*

- (i) *There is a unique up to isomorphism simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module $S = S(\chi_{\lambda+1}, \bar{\nu}, \Sigma)$ with central character $\chi_S = \chi_{\lambda+1}$, support $\text{Supp } S \subset \bar{\nu}$, and cone $\mathcal{C}_S = \mathcal{C}_{\text{Hf}(\bar{\nu}) \setminus \Sigma, \Sigma}$.*
- (ii) *If $S(\chi_{\lambda+1}, \bar{\nu}, \Sigma)$ is the module defined in (i), then every infinite-dimensional simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module is isomorphic to some module $S(\chi_{\lambda+1}, \bar{\nu}, \Sigma)$. In particular, $\mathcal{F}(\bar{\nu}, \Sigma) \simeq S(\chi_\sigma, \overline{\nu + \mathbf{1}/2}, \Sigma)$.*
- (iii) *$\deg S(\chi_{\lambda+1}, \bar{\nu}, \Sigma) = \frac{1}{2^n - 1} \dim L_D(\lambda)$.*

Proof. The statements follow from the classification of irreducible semisimple coherent families in §12 of [Mat00], but for reader's convenience, we outline the proof.

Let $\chi = \chi_{\lambda+1}$ and $\sigma = \chi_{\mathbf{1}/2}$. The translation functor $\theta_\sigma^\chi(\bullet) = \text{pr}^\chi(\bullet \otimes L_C(\lambda + \mathbf{1}/2))$ gives an equivalence of categories between the bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules with central character σ and the bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules with central character χ . Moreover, the translation functor preserves the cone \mathcal{C}_S of a simple module S . Hence, for the sake of simplicity we may assume that $\chi = \sigma$, i.e. that $\lambda = -\mathbf{1}/2$. But the simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules with central character σ are explicitly described in Example 3.1, which completes the proof of (i) and (ii). For part (iii), see for example Theorem 12.2 in [Mat00]. \square

3.2. Twisted localization and injectives. We first recall some properties of the twisted localization functor in general. Let \mathcal{U} be an associative unital algebra and \mathcal{H} be a commutative subalgebra of \mathcal{U} . We assume in addition that $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$ for some vector space \mathfrak{h} , and that

$$\mathcal{U} = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathcal{U}^\mu,$$

where

$$\mathcal{U}^\mu = \{x \in \mathcal{U} \mid [h, x] = \mu(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

is the μ -weight space of \mathcal{U} .

Let a be an ad-nilpotent element of \mathcal{U} . Then the set $\langle a \rangle = \{a^n \mid n \geq 0\}$ is an Ore subset of \mathcal{U} which allows us to define the $\langle a \rangle$ -localization $D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U}$ of \mathcal{U} . For a \mathcal{U} -module M by $D_{\langle a \rangle} M = D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} M$ we denote the $\langle a \rangle$ -localization of M . Note that if a is injective on M , then M is isomorphic to a submodule of $D_{\langle a \rangle} M$. In the latter case we will identify M with that submodule.

We next recall the definition of the generalized conjugation of $D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U}$ relative to $x \in \mathbb{C}$, see Lemma 4.3 in [Mat00]. This is the automorphism $\phi_x : D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U} \rightarrow D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U}$ given by

$$\phi_x(u) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{x}{i} \text{ad}(a)^i(u) a^{-i}.$$

If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\phi_x(u) = a^x u a^{-x}$. With the aid of ϕ_x we define the twisted module $\Phi_x(M) = M^{\phi_x}$ of any $D_{\langle a \rangle} \mathcal{U}$ -module M . Finally, we set $D_{\langle a \rangle}^x M = \Phi_x D_{\langle a \rangle} M$ for

any \mathcal{U} -module M and call it the *twisted localization* of M relative to a and x . We will use the notation $a^x \cdot m$ (or simply $a^x m$) for the element in $D_{\langle a \rangle}^x M$ corresponding to $m \in D_{\langle a \rangle} M$. In particular, the following formula holds in $D_{\langle a \rangle}^x M$:

$$u(a^x m) = a^x \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{-x}{i} \text{ad}(a)^i(u) a^{-i} m \right)$$

for $u \in \mathcal{U}$, $m \in D_{\langle a \rangle} M$.

We will apply the twisted localization functor for $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{H})$ in the following two cases:

(i) $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D}(n)^{\text{ev}}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathbb{C} t_i \partial_{t_i})$;

(ii) $\mathcal{U} = U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$ with our fixed \mathfrak{h} .

In case (i), for simplicity, we will use the following notation: $D_i = D_{\langle t_i^2 \rangle}$ and $D_\Gamma = \prod_{i \in \Gamma} D_i$, where Γ is a subset of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$. If $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \mu_k \varepsilon_k \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ (equivalently, $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^n$), then we write $D_\Gamma^\boldsymbol{\mu} = \prod_{k \in \Gamma} D_k^{\mu_k}$.

In case (ii), we will often consider the following setting. If Γ is a subset of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $D_\Gamma = \prod_{k \in \Gamma} D_{\langle F_{k, -k} \rangle}$ and $D_\Gamma^\boldsymbol{\mu} = \prod_{k \in \Gamma} D_{\langle F_{k, -k} \rangle}^{\mu_k}$. Note that, if $m \in M$ has weight λ , then the weight of $F_{k, -k}^x m$ is $\lambda + 2x\varepsilon_k$. Hence, for a weight module M , $\text{Supp } D_\Gamma^\boldsymbol{\mu} M \subset 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \text{Supp } M + \mathcal{Q}_C$.

Example 3.3. Let $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathcal{Q}_C \in \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{Q}_C$ be such that $\text{Int}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) \neq \llbracket n \rrbracket$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{2\boldsymbol{\nu}}) \simeq D_{\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \text{Int}(\boldsymbol{\nu})}^\boldsymbol{\nu} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{0}})$$

as modules of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ and of $\mathcal{D}(n)^{\text{ev}}$. In particular, $D_1 \mathcal{F}(\bar{\varepsilon}_1) \simeq D_1^{1/2} \mathcal{F}(\bar{\mathbf{0}})$, or, equivalently, $D_1 \mathcal{F}^{\text{odd}} \simeq D_1^{1/2} \mathcal{F}^{\text{ev}}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight.

(i) Let Γ be a subset of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \sum_{k \in \Gamma} \mu_k \varepsilon_k$ be such that $2\mu_k \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all k , in particular, $\text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \Gamma$. Then

$$D_\Gamma^\boldsymbol{\mu} L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}) \simeq S(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}, 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}, \emptyset).$$

(ii) Let $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathcal{Q}_C \in \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{Q}_C$. The injective envelope of $S = S(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}, \bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \emptyset)$ in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules is $D_{\text{Hf}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}})} S \simeq D_{\llbracket n \rrbracket}^\boldsymbol{\nu} L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1})$.

Proof. The statements follow from [Mat00] and [GS06]. More precisely, for part (i) we use the fact that $D_\Gamma^\boldsymbol{\mu} L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1})$ and $S(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}, 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}, \emptyset)$ have the same support, central character and cone, while part (ii) follows from Proposition 4.7 in [GS06]. \square

4. TABLEAUX REALIZATIONS OF BOUNDED AND GENERIC $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -MODULES

In this section we provide explicit tableaux realization of certain classes of infinite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules with explicit action of the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ given by the formulas (7, 8, 9, 10). These classes include generic, simple bounded modules, and injective (hence projective) bounded modules.

Definition 4.1. A tableau $T(L)$ of type C is called C -regular if its entries satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $\ell'_{ka} - \ell'_{ki} \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n$.
- (ii) $\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki} \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n - 1$.
- (iii) $\ell_{ka} + \ell_{ki} - 1 \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n - 1$.

(iv) $2\ell_{ki} - 1 \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Equivalently, all rational functions in (14, 15, 16, 17) are regular when evaluated at $T(L)$.

Similarly, a tableau $T(L)$ of type D is called D -regular if its entries satisfy conditions (i)–(iii) above, cf. Remark 2.9. In particular, every C -regular tableau is D -regular.

In what follows we will show that the infinite-dimensional generic and bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules have bases consisting of regular tableaux.

4.1. Generic tableaux modules $V(T(L))$. Consider $\bar{X} := \{x_{ij}, x'_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\}$, the field $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$ of rational functions on \bar{X} , and a tableau $T(X)$ of type C with corresponding entries x_{ij}, x'_{ij} . By $V(X)$ we denote the $\mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$ -vector space with basis

$$\mathcal{B}(X) := \{T(X + Z) \mid z_{ij}, z'_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } z_{n1} = \dots = z_{n,n} = 0\},$$

where z_{ij}, z'_{ij} are the corresponding entries of $T(Z)$.

For a tableau $T(W)$ of type C denote by W_{top} the top row of W . For convenience, we will often consider $W_{\text{top}} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight.

Theorem 4.2. *The vector space $V(X)$ has a structure of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module with action of the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ given by the formulas (7, 8, 9, 10). Moreover, the action of any $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$ on $V(X)$ is given by multiplication by*

$$p_z(X_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2}) = p_z(x_{n1} + 1/2, x_{n2} + 3/2, \dots, x_{nn} + (2n - 1)/2).$$

Proof. The proof uses ideas of [MZ00], Section 6.4, and [Zad17], Section 3.5. To prove that $V(X)$ has the desired $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module structure, we consider any element u of the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, which is zero in $U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$, and show that $u \cdot T(Y) = 0$ for any $T(Y) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. After applying the formulas (7, 8, 9, 10) we present $u \cdot T(Y)$ as a linear combination of elements in the basis $\mathcal{B}(X)$ with the coefficients being rational functions in the variables $\{x_{ij}, x'_{ij} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\}$, say $u \cdot T(Y) = \sum_{p \in P} f_p(Y)T(Y + Z_p)$ for some integer tableaux Z_p . We will show that $f_p(Y) = 0$ for any $p \in P$.

Let us consider a fixed presentation of $u \in U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$. If M is the maximum degree of a monomial in this presentation, we fix a number N larger than $\max\{2|\lambda_1|, M^{2n}\}$. Let us consider any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ weight λ , and $T(W) \in C_{st}^\lambda$. Denote by $\bar{\lambda}$ the dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ weight $\lambda - N(1, 2, \dots, n)$.

Associated with u we consider the tableau $T(S)$ of type C with entries $s'_{ki} = -(2n - 2k + i - 1)N$, and $s_{ki} = -(2n - 2k + i)N$. A straightforward verification shows that $T(\bar{W}) := T(W + S) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\lambda}}$, and

- (i) $|\bar{w}'_{ka} - \bar{w}'_{ki}| > M^{2n}$ if $1 \leq a \neq i \leq k \leq n$,
- (ii) $|\bar{w}_{ka} - \bar{w}_{ki}| > M^{2n}$ if $1 \leq a \neq i \leq k \leq n - 1$,
- (iii) $|\bar{w}_{ka} + \bar{w}_{ki}| > M^{2n}$ if $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n - 1$,
- (iv) $|\bar{w}_{ki} - \frac{1}{2}| > M^{2n}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n - 1$.

Therefore, when we write $u \cdot T(\bar{W})$ as linear combination of basis elements, the coefficients are precisely these rational functions that appear in $u \cdot T(Y)$ evaluated at the entries of $T(\bar{W})$, i.e., $u \cdot T(\bar{W}) = \sum_{p \in P} f_p(\bar{W})T(\bar{W} + Z_p)$. Since $T(\bar{W}) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\lambda}}$,

we necessarily have $u \cdot T(\bar{W}) = 0$, and hence $f_p(\bar{W}) = 0$ for any $T(\bar{W}) \in C_{st}^\lambda$, and any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight λ . If $\bar{f}_p(W) = f_p(W + S)$, then we have that $\bar{f}_p(W) = 0$ for any $T(W) \in C_{st}^\lambda$. Now, by Lemma 2.12 we have $\bar{f}_p = 0$ for any $p \in P$, and hence $f_p = 0$. Consequently, $u \cdot T(Y) = 0$.

For the second statement of the theorem we use similar reasoning as above. Namely, set $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$, $T(Y) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and let $z \cdot T(Y) = \sum_j g_j(Y)T(Y + Z_j)$.

If $Z_j \neq 0$, then $g_j(Y) = 0$ for any tableau $T(Y) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\beta}}$, and any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight $\bar{\beta}$. So, by Lemma 2.12 we conclude $z \cdot T(Y) = g(Y)T(Y)$ for some rational function $g \in \mathbb{C}(\bar{X})$.

To compute explicitly $g(Y)$ we first consider W such that $T(W) \in C_{st}^\beta$ for a dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight β . Then $g(W) = p_z(\beta) = p_z(W_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})$. Consider $h(X) := g(X) - p_z(X_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})$. Since $h(W) = 0$ for all $T(W) \in C_{st}^\beta$ for all dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weights β , by Lemma 2.12 we have $h = 0$. But then we have that $z \cdot T(X) = p_z(X_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})T(X)$ as needed. \square

Definition 4.3. A tableau $T(L)$ of type C is called C -generic if

- (i) $\ell'_{ka} - \ell'_{ki} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n$.
- (ii) $\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i \neq a \leq k \leq n - 1$.
- (iii) $\ell_{ka} + \ell_{ki} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq i, a \leq k \leq n - 1$.
- (iv) $\ell_{ki} \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq k \leq n - 1$.

A tableau $T(L)$ of type D is called D -generic if it satisfies conditions (i)–(iii) above.

Associated with a generic tableau $T(L)$ of type C (respectively, of type D), we have a discrete set of C -regular (respectively, D -regular) tableaux, $\mathcal{B}(T(L)) := \{T(L + Z) \mid z_{i,j}, z'_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ and } z_{n,j} = 0, \text{ for any } j \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$. Set $V(T(L)) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}(T(L))$.

Corollary 4.4. If $T(L)$ is a C -generic tableau, then $V(T(L))$ has a structure of an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module with action of the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ given by the formulas (7, 8, 9, 10). Moreover, the action of any $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$ is given by multiplication by $p_z(L_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})$.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 4.2 after the evaluation $x_{ij} = \ell_{ij}$, and $x'_{ij} = \ell'_{ij}$. Indeed, the C -regularity of the set $\mathcal{B}(T(L))$ ensures that any rational function that appears in the decomposition of $u \cdot T(Y)$ is defined for the evaluated values, and for any $u \in U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$. \square

Henceforth, we will call an $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module *generic* if it is isomorphic to a sub-quotient of $V(T(L))$ for some C -generic tableau $T(L)$.

In view of Remark 2.9 we have the following corollary, the proof of which follows the same reasoning as the proof of Theorem 4.2, and consequently of Corollary 4.4.

Corollary 4.5. If $T(L)$ is a D -generic tableau, then $V(T(L))$ has a structure of an $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -module with action of the generators of $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ given by the GT -formulas of type D . Moreover, the action of any $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{so}(2n)))$ is given by multiplication by $p_z(L_{\text{top}} - \rho_D - \frac{1}{2})$.

Remark 4.6. The connection between the D -type and the C -type generic modules $V(T(L))$ can be described as follows. Take a tableau $T(L)$ which is both C -regular

and D -generic, and let $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that the tableau $T(\boldsymbol{\mu}, L)$ obtained by adding $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ as a column on the left of $T(L)$ is a C -generic tableau. Equivalently, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is such that $\mu_k - \ell'_{ki} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $k \geq i$. This leads to a correspondence $M \mapsto V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, M)$ from the set of modules that are both C -regular and D -generic to the set of C -generic modules. In the next subsection we will observe similar correspondence $L_D(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mapsto V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ from the C -regular D -standard modules (also known as spinor-type $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -modules) to the infinite-dimensional bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules (also known as oscillator-type $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules).

4.2. Bounded tableaux modules $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Recall from Definition 2.10 that D_{st}^λ denotes the set of all D -standard tableaux with top row $\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_D + \mathbf{1}/2$.

Definition 4.7. For any tableau $T(L)$ of type C , we denote by $T_D(L)$ the tableau of type D obtained from $T(L)$ by removing the entries $\{\ell'_{11}, \ell'_{22}, \dots, \ell'_{nn}\}$. Also, we denote by $T_{C \setminus D}(L)$ the n -tuple $(\ell'_{11}, \ell'_{22}, \dots, \ell'_{nn})$.

Definition 4.8. Given any $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}^n) \cup \mathbb{Z}^n$ we associate the following:

- (i) $\boldsymbol{\ell} := \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_D + \mathbf{1}/2$, equivalently, $\ell_i := \lambda_i - i + 3/2$;
- (ii) the set of tableaux of type C

$$\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \{T(W) \mid T_{C \setminus D}(W) \in \boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{ and } T_D(W) \in D_{st}^\lambda\};$$

- (iii) the \mathbb{C} -vector space $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ with basis $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Remark 4.9. Since all tableaux in $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ have the same top row $\boldsymbol{\ell}$, it follows from the definition that $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight.

Proposition 4.10. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight. Then $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a C -regular set of tableaux if and only if $\mu_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_i \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$.

Proof. If $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some i , then $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $\boldsymbol{\ell} \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$. In particular, for any $T(R) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ we have $r_{11} \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, as $T_D(R)$ is D -standard, we have $-r'_{22} \geq r_{11} > r'_{22}$, and $-r'_{22} \geq \frac{1}{2} > r'_{22}$. So, The tableau $T(S) = T(R + (\frac{1}{2} - r_{11})\delta^{(11)}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $s_{11} = \frac{1}{2}$.

Suppose $\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some k , and $\lambda_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for any $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. In this case we have $\ell_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and given any tableau $T(R) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ we have $r'_{k,2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $T(S) = T(R + (r'_{k,2} - r'_{k,1})\delta^{(k,1)}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ satisfies that $s'_{k,1} = s'_{k,2}$. To complete the proof we use that the entries of a D -standard tableaux satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $w_{ki} \neq w_{kj}$ for any $k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, and $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$,
- (ii) $w'_{ki} \neq w'_{kj}$ for any $2 \leq k \leq n$, and $2 \leq i \neq j \leq k$,
- (iii) $w_{k,i} + w_{k,j} \leq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k$, and $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

The last property follows from $w_{k2} \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, 0\} + \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$, $w_{k2} > \dots > w_{kk}$, and $-w'_{k,2} \geq w_{k,1} > w'_{k,2} \geq w_{ki}$ for any $i > 1$. \square

From now on, $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ will denote any dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ weight in $(\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ any vector in $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$, and $\boldsymbol{\ell} := \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_D + \frac{1}{2} = (\lambda_1 - 1 + \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \lambda_n - n + \frac{3}{2})$.

Recall the definition of the polynomials p_z from Remark 2.11.

Theorem 4.11. The space $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ has a structure of a $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module, with action of the generators given by the formulas (7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17). Moreover, the action of any $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$ is given by multiplication by $p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1})$, i.e. $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ has central character $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}$.

Proof. We use the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. For reader's convenience, we outline the main idea again. By Proposition 4.10, the basis $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ consists of C -regular tableaux. In order to prove that $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ has a structure of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module, it is sufficient to prove that $u \cdot T(W) = 0$ for any $T(W) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and any u in the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ which is zero in $U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n))$. Let us fix such u and $T(W)$.

We can write $u \cdot T(W) = \sum_i f_i(W)T(W + Z_i)$, where f_i are the rational functions that appear applying the formulas (14, 15, 16, 17), Z_i are tableaux with integer entries, and $T(W + Z_i)$ are tableaux in $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Let M be the maximum degree of the monomials u_j such that $u = \sum_j u_j$. Fix an even integer N bigger than $\max\{2|\lambda_1|, M^{2n}\}$. Note that for any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, the weight $\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} - N - \mathbf{3}/2$ is also a dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight.

Let $T(S)$ be the tableau of type C with entries: $s_{ki} = w_{ki} - N - 3/2$, $s'_{ki} = w'_{ki} - N - 3/2$ for $i \neq 1$, and

$$s'_{k1} = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 - N - 3/2, & \text{if } \mu_k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}, \\ \lambda_1 - \frac{N}{2} - 3/2, & \text{if } \mu_k \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

Note that for any $T(R) \in C_{st}^\beta$ we have $T(\bar{R}) := T(R + S) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$, and for any integer tableau Z we have $T(\bar{R} + Z) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ if and only if $T(W + Z) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. Therefore $u \cdot T(\bar{R}) = \sum_i f_i(\bar{R})T(\bar{R} + Z_i)$. But since $u \cdot T(\bar{R}) = 0$ we obtain that $f_i(\bar{R}) = 0$ for any $T(\bar{R}) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ and any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. We now apply Lemma 2.12 to the polynomial $\bar{f}_i(X) = f_i(X + S)$ and conclude $\bar{f}_i = 0$. Hence $f_i = 0$ and consequently $u \cdot T(W) = 0$ as desired.

For the second statement, consider $z \in Z(U(\mathfrak{sp}(2n)))$ and $T(W) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, and let $z \cdot T(W) = \sum_j g_j(W)T(W + Z_j)$. If $Z_j \neq 0$, then $g_j(W) = 0$ for any tableau $T(W) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$, and any dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ weight $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. So, by Lemma 2.12 we conclude $z \cdot T(W) = g(W)T(W)$ for some rational function g . To compute explicitly $g(W)$ we first consider W such that $T(W) \in C_{st}^\beta$ for a dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ weight $\boldsymbol{\beta}$. Then $g(W) = p_z(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = p_z(W_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})$. Consider $h(X) := g(X) - p_z(X_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})$. Since $h(W) = 0$ for all $T(W) \in C_{st}^{\bar{\boldsymbol{\beta}}}$ for all dominant $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -weights $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, by Lemma 2.12 we have $h = 0$. But then for $T(U) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we have that

$$z \cdot T(U) = p_z(U_{\text{top}} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})T(U) = p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_D + \frac{1}{2} - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2})T(U) = p_z(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1})T(U).$$

□

4.3. Support, primitive vectors, and weight multiplicities of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. To compute the weights of the basis vectors of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ we need the following definition.

Definition 4.12. *Given any tableau $T(L)$ of type C , we define the C -weight of $T(L)$ as $\omega(T(L)) = (\omega_1(L), \omega_2(L), \dots, \omega_n(L))$, where*

$$(18) \quad \omega_k(L) := 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \ell'_{ki} - \sum_{i=1}^k \ell_{ki} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_{k-1,i} + k - \frac{1}{2}.$$

In particular, the C -weight of $T(L)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is its \mathfrak{h} -weight written as an element of \mathbb{C}^n .

Remark 4.13. One way to write the formula for $\omega(T(L))$ in a more compact form is to use notation for the sum of the entries if the k th and k' th row of $T(\ell)$: $S_k(\ell') = \sum_{i=1}^k \ell'_{ki}$ and $S_k(\ell) = \sum_{i=1}^k \ell_{ki}$. Then

$$\omega(T(L)) = 2S(\ell') - S(\ell) - S(\ell_{-1}) - \rho_C - \frac{1}{2},$$

where $S(\ell) = (S_1(\ell), S_2(\ell), \dots, S_n(\ell))$, $S(\ell_{-1}) = (0, S_1(\ell), \dots, S_{n-1}(\ell))$.

We next introduce two important tableaux in $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.

Definition 4.14. Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ be a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\ell} = \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \rho_D + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$.

- (i) By $T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ we denote the tableau of type C with entries $w'_{k1} = \mu_k$, $w'_{ki} = \ell_i$ for $i \geq 2$, and $w_{ki} = \ell_i$ for $i \geq 1$. Namely,

$$T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \begin{array}{cccccc} & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \cdots & \ell_n \\ \mu_n & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \cdots & & \ell_n \\ & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \cdots & & \ell_{n-1} \\ \mu_{n-1} & \ell_2 & \cdots & & & \ell_{n-1} \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \\ & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & & & \\ \mu_2 & \ell_2 & & & & \\ & \ell_1 & & & & \\ & \mu_1 & & & & \end{array}$$

- (ii) By $T(W_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ we denote the tableau of type C with entries $w'_{k1} = \mu_k$, $w_{n1} = \ell_1$, $w_{k-1,1} = 1 - w_{k1}$ for $2 \leq k \leq n$, and $w_{k,i} = w'_{ki} = \ell_i$, for any $i \geq 2$. The tableaux $T(W_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ for $n = 3$ and $n = 4$, respectively, are as follows

$$T(W_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = \begin{array}{cccccc} & & & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \ell_4 \\ & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \mu_4 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \ell_4 \\ \mu_3 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & & 1 - \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \\ & 1 - \ell_1 & \ell_2 & & \mu_3 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 & \\ \mu_2 & \ell_2 & & & \ell_1 & \ell_2 & & \\ & \ell_1 & & & \mu_2 & \ell_2 & & \\ \mu_1 & & & & 1 - \ell_1 & & & \\ & & & & \mu_1 & & & \end{array}$$

The values $\mu_i = \frac{1}{2}$ will play a special role in the paper as in this case the tableaux are highest weight vectors of submodules of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. We set $T(W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) := T(W_{\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})$.

Recall that $\tau_1 \boldsymbol{\lambda} = (-\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$.

Lemma 4.15. *With the notation of Definition 4.14 we have the following.*

- (i) $T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $\omega(T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})) = 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} - (2\lambda_1)\mathbf{1}$.
- (ii) $T(W_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and

$$\omega(T(W_{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})) = \begin{cases} 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \tau_1 \boldsymbol{\lambda}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. All statements follow by a direct verification. For reader's convenience we prove the formula for $\omega(T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}))$. Using Formula (18), the k -th entry of $\omega(T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}}))$ equals

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu_k + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k \ell_i - \sum_{i=1}^k \ell_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i + k - \frac{1}{2} &= 2\mu_k - 2\ell_1 + \ell_k + k - \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 2\mu_k + \lambda_k + 1 + (-2\lambda_1 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

as needed. \square

Let \mathfrak{n} be the nilpotent radical of the fixed Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, in particular, $\Delta_{\mathfrak{n}} = \{\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j, -\varepsilon_k \mid i < j\}$.

Theorem 4.16. *The tableau $T(W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ is an \mathfrak{n} -primitive vector of $V(\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$.*

Proof. To prove that $\mathfrak{n} \cdot T(W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = 0$, we show that $F_{k-1, k} T(W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = 0$ for any $k = 2, \dots, n$, and $F_{-j, j} T(W_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) = 0$ for any $j \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. The proof of these identities is a bit technical and is included in the Appendix.

Lemma 4.17. *For $k < n$,*

$$f_k(T(R)) := \begin{cases} T(R + \delta^{(k1)}), & \text{if } r_{k1} \neq -r'_{k2} \text{ and } r_{k1} \neq -r'_{k+1,2}, \\ T(R - \delta^{(k1)}), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

defines a function $f_k : D_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \rightarrow D_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$.

Proof. We need to show that $f_k(T(R))$ is D -standard with top row $\boldsymbol{\ell}$. Since $T(R)$ is D -standard, and the only entry of $T(S) := f_k(T(R))$ that is different from the correspondent entry of $T(R)$ is the one in position $(k, 1)$, it is sufficient to prove that $-r'_{k,2} \geq s_{k,1} > r'_{s_2}$ and $-r'_{k+1,2} \geq s_{k,1} > r'_{k+1,2}$. We have two cases:

- Case 1: $s_{k,1} = r_{k1} + 1$. In this case $r_{k1} \neq -r'_{k2}$ and $r_{k1} \neq -r'_{k+1,2}$. Hence $-r'_{k,2} \geq r_{k,1} + 1 > r'_{k2}$ and $-r'_{k+1,2} \geq r_{k,1} + 1 > r'_{k+1,2}$, as needed.
- Case 2: $s_{k,1} = r_{k1} - 1$. In this case, since $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any i, j , we necessarily have $r_{k,1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $-r'_{k,2} \geq r_{k,1} - 1 \geq 0 > r'_{k2}$. Also, $-r'_{k+1,2} \geq r_{k,1} - 1 \geq 0 > r'_{k+1,2}$ implies $-r'_{k,2} \geq r_{k,1} + 1 > r'_{s_2}$ and $-r'_{k+1,2} \geq r_{k,1} + 1 > r'_{k+1,2}$. \square

Corollary 4.18. *For any $T(R)$ in $D_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ and $A := \{a_1, \dots, a_s\} \subseteq \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$ the tableau $f_A(T(R)) := f_{a_1} \circ f_{a_2} \circ \dots \circ f_{a_s}(T(R))$ belongs to $D_{st}^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$. Moreover, $f_A(T(R)) = f_B(T(R))$ if and only if $A = B$.*

Remark 4.19. *Note that if two tableaux $T(R), T(R+Z) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ have the same C -weight, then $\sum_{i=1}^k z_{ki} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $k \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$.*

Recall that $\mathcal{Q}_C = \mathbb{Z}\Delta = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n \in 2\mathbb{Z}\}$.

Proposition 4.20. $\text{Supp}(V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})) = 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Q}_C$.

Proof. Let $T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}})$ be as in Definition 4.14. Note that $T(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} + m\delta^{(k1)}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. Moreover, using Lemma 4.15 we have

$$\omega \left(\left\{ T \left(W^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}} + \sum_{k=1}^n m_k \delta^{(k1)} \right) \mid (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\} \right) = 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1} + (2\mathbb{Z})^n.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4.17, for any $T(R) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and $i \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$, we have $T(R + \delta^{(i1)}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ or $T(R - \delta^{(i1)}) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. On the other hand, $\omega(T(R \pm \delta^{(i1)})) = \omega(T(R)) \mp (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{i+1})$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.21. For any $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \text{Supp}(V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}))$ we have

$$(19) \quad \dim V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \dim L_D(\boldsymbol{\lambda}).$$

Proof. Recall the notation $S_k(L)$ from Remark 4.13. Consider the equivalence relation on D_{st}^λ defined by $T(R) \sim T(M)$ if and only if $S_k(R - M) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for any $k \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$. Note that for any $T(S) \in D_{st}^\lambda$ the set $\{f_A(T(S)) \mid A \subseteq \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket\}$, where f_A are the functions constructed in Corollary 4.18, is a complete set of representatives for D_{st}^λ / \sim . Hence we have $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \dim(L_D(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$ equivalence classes.

Given $T(R) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, we will show that $\{T(W) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \mid \omega(T(W)) = \omega(T(R))\}$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with the elements of the equivalence class $[T_D(R)]$ of $T_D(R)$.

Indeed, for any $T(M) \in D_{st}^\lambda$ such that $T(M) \sim T_D(R)$ we consider the tableau $T(\widetilde{M})$ of type C such that $T_D(\widetilde{M}) = T(M)$, and $T_{C \setminus D}(\widetilde{M}) = (\tilde{m}'_{11}, \dots, \tilde{m}'_{n1})$ with $\tilde{m}'_{11} = \frac{1}{2}S_1(M)$, and $\tilde{m}'_{k1} = r'_{ki} - S'_k(M) + \frac{1}{2}S_k(M) + \frac{1}{2}S_{k-1}(M)$ for $k \geq 2$. A straightforward computation shows that $\omega(T(\widetilde{M})) = \omega(T(R))$, as desired. For the reverse direction we use Remark 4.19. \square

Remark 4.22. The proof of Theorem 4.21 provides also an explicit basis of the weight spaces $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})^\boldsymbol{\gamma}$. Given $T(W) \in \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$, every tableau $T(R) \in [T_D(W)]$ can be extended in a unique way to a tableau $T(\widetilde{R})$ in $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ such that $\omega(T(\widetilde{R})) = \omega(T(W))$. Indeed, consider $T_D(\widetilde{R}) = T(R)$, and

$$\tilde{r}'_{k1} := w'_{k,1} + S'_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_{k-1}(T_D(W) - T(R)).$$

Corollary 4.23. The module $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ is a bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module of degree $d(\boldsymbol{\lambda}) := \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \dim(L_D(\boldsymbol{\lambda}))$.

Lemma 4.24. For any dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ the equation

$$2\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1} \equiv \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 \pmod{\mathcal{Q}_C}$$

has no solution \boldsymbol{x} in $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$.

Proof. If \boldsymbol{x} is a solution of the given equation and $\boldsymbol{x} \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$, we have $2\boldsymbol{x} + \mathbf{1} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_C$. This implies $x_i \in \frac{1}{2} + b_i$ with $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. However, $n-1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = (n-1) + n + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ is an odd integer, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.25. *For any dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ consider the map $\Psi_\lambda : (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{Q}_C$ defined by $\Psi_\lambda(\mu) = \text{Supp}(V(\mu, \lambda)) + \mathcal{Q}_C$. Then $\text{Im}(\Psi_\lambda)$ is the complement of $\lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \mathcal{Q}_C$ in $\mathbb{C}^n / \mathcal{Q}_C$.*

Proof. We first apply the preceding lemma to prove that $\Psi_\lambda(\mu)$ is contained in the complement of $\lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \mathcal{Q}_C$. Then we proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$ the statement is obvious. Consider now $n > 1$ and let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $\mathbf{a} \notin \lambda + \varepsilon_1 + \mathcal{Q}_C$. If $a_1 - \lambda_1 - 1 \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$, we choose $\mu_1 = \frac{a_1 - \lambda_1 - 1}{2}$ and set $\mathbf{a}' = (a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $\lambda' = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. If $a_1 - \lambda_1 - 1 \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, we choose $\mu_1 = \frac{a_1 - \lambda_1}{2}$ and set $\mathbf{a}' = (a_2, \dots, a_n) + \varepsilon_2$, $\lambda' = (\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$. In both cases $\mathbf{a}' \notin \lambda' + \varepsilon_2 + \mathcal{Q}_C$ and we may apply the induction hypothesis to find $\mu' = (\mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^{n-1}$ such that $2\mu' + \lambda' + \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{a}' \pmod{\mathcal{Q}_C}$. \square

4.4. Subquotients of $V(\mu, \lambda)$. Let $k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ and consider $\mu \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$ such that $\mu_k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$. Then set:

$$\mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda) := \left\{ T(W) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \lambda) \mid w'_{k,1} - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\}; \quad V_k^+(\mu, \lambda) := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda).$$

Recall that $\mathcal{C}_k = \{x \in \mathcal{Q}_C \mid \langle x, \varepsilon_k \rangle \geq 0\}$.

Theorem 4.26. *If $\mu_k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then $V_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ is a submodule of $V(\mu, \lambda)$ such that $\text{Supp } V_k^+(\mu, \lambda) \subset \eta + \mathcal{C}_k$ for some $\eta \in \text{Supp } V_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$.*

Proof. To show that $V_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ is a submodule we look at the actions of the generators of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ on a tableau $T(L) \in \mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ and see that the tableau $T(L + S - \delta^{(k,1)'})$ that appear in the decomposition is either in $\mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ or the corresponding coefficient $B_{k1}(L + S)$ is zero. For the support, we consider $A = \{T(R) \in \mathcal{B}(\mu, \lambda) \mid T_{C \setminus D}(R) = \mu + (1/2 - \mu_k)\varepsilon_k\}$. The set A has $\dim L_D(\lambda)$ elements, so we consider $T(S) \in A$ such that $\omega_k(T(S))$ is minimum. Then $T(S) \in \mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$, and $\eta = \omega_C(T(S))$ satisfies the condition of the theorem. \square

Definition 4.27. *For any dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ weight λ , and $k \in \llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$ we consider*

$$t_k(\lambda) := \max_{T(R), T(W) \in D_{st}^\lambda} \left\{ \left[S'_k(R - W) - \frac{1}{2}S_{k+1}(R - W) - \frac{1}{2}S_k(R - W) \right] \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.28. *Set $\mu \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$, and $\lambda \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$ a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight. If $\mu_k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, then for any tableau $T(W) \in \mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ such that $w'_{k1} - 1/2 \geq t_k(\lambda)$ we have $\omega(T(W)) \in \text{Supp}_{\text{ess}} V(\mu, \lambda)$. In particular,*

$$\deg V_k^+(\mu, \lambda) = \deg V(\mu, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \dim L_D(\lambda).$$

Proof. By Remark 4.22 it is enough to prove that $T(\tilde{R}) \in \mathcal{B}_k^+(\mu, \lambda)$ for any $T(R) \in [T_D(W)]$. However, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}'_{k1} &:= w'_{k1} + S'_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_{k+1}(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) \\ &\geq t_k(\lambda) + 1/2 + S'_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_{k+1}(T_D(W) - T(R)) - \frac{1}{2}S_k(T_D(W) - T(R)) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.28, and Theorem 4.26 imply that

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}' + \mathcal{C}_k \subset \text{Supp}_{\text{ess}} V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \subset \text{Supp} V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \subset \boldsymbol{\lambda}'' + \mathcal{C}_k$$

for some weights $\boldsymbol{\lambda}', \boldsymbol{\lambda}''$ in $\text{Supp} V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}(V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})) = \mathcal{C}_k$.

The following is straightforward.

Lemma 4.29. *Let M and N be nontrivial proper submodules of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})$ that have cones $\mathcal{C}(M), \mathcal{C}(N)$, respectively. Then the following identities hold*

- (i) $M + N$ has cone $\mathcal{C}(M + N) = \mathcal{C}(M) \cup \mathcal{C}(N)$.
- (ii) If $N \subset M$ and $N \neq M$, then M/N has cone $\mathcal{C}(M/N) = \mathcal{C}(M) \setminus \mathcal{C}(N)$.
- (iii) If $M \cap N \neq 0$, then $M \cap N$ has cone $\mathcal{C}(M \cap N) = \mathcal{C}(M) \cap \mathcal{C}(N)$.
- (iv) $\mathcal{C}(V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})) = \mathcal{C}_k$ and $\mathcal{C}(V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})/V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})) = -\mathcal{C}_k$.

Definition 4.30. *Let Σ be a proper nonempty subset of $\text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}/2) = \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})$. We set*

$$\begin{aligned} V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma) &= \left(\bigcap_{i \in \Sigma} V_i^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right) / \sum_{j \in \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu}) \setminus \Sigma} \left(\bigcap_{k \in \Sigma \cup \{j\}} V_k^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right), \\ V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \emptyset) &= V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) / \left(\sum_{j \in \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})} V_j^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \right), \\ V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})) &= \bigcap_{i \in \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})} V_i^+(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

We will prove that the modules $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ are simple, see Theorem 4.32. Theorem 4.11 implies the following.

Lemma 4.31. *The module $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ has central character $\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}$ and cone $\mathcal{C}_{\Sigma, \Sigma'}$ for $\Sigma' = \text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu}) \setminus \Sigma$.*

Proof. The cone property follow from Lemma 4.29 and the definition of $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$. For the central character statement we use Theorem 4.11. \square

Combining Proposition 4.20, Lemma 4.28, Lemma 4.31, and Proposition 3.2 we obtain:

Theorem 4.32. *With the notation of Proposition 3.2, we have*

$$S(\chi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}}, 2\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Q}_C, \Sigma) \simeq V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma).$$

In particular, $L_C(\boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}) \simeq V(\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \llbracket n \rrbracket)$ for even n , and $L_C(\tau_1 \boldsymbol{\lambda} + \mathbf{1}) \simeq V(\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \llbracket n \rrbracket)$ for odd n . Furthermore, $T(W_\lambda)$ is a highest weight vector of $V(\frac{1}{2}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \llbracket n \rrbracket)$ (cf. Theorem 4.16).

Theorem 4.33. (i) *Every infinite-dimensional simple bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -module is isomorphic to $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma)$ for some $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z})^n$, a dominant $\mathfrak{so}(2n)$ -weight $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \in (\frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z})^n$, and a subset Σ of $\text{Int}(2\boldsymbol{\mu})$.*

- (ii) $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma) \simeq V(\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\lambda}', \Sigma')$ if and only if $\Sigma = \Sigma'$, and
 - (a) $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ and $2(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}') \in \mathcal{Q}_C$, or
 - (b) $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \tau_1(\boldsymbol{\lambda}')$ and $2(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}') - \epsilon_1 \in \mathcal{Q}_C$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.32 and Proposition 3.2 we have $V(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}, \Sigma) \simeq V(\boldsymbol{\mu}', \boldsymbol{\lambda}', \Sigma')$ if and only if $\Sigma = \Sigma'$ and

- (A) $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}'$ and $2(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}') \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, or

(B) $\lambda' + \mathbf{1} + \rho_C = \tau_1(\lambda + \mathbf{1} + \rho_C)$ and $\lambda' + 2\mu' - (\lambda + 2\mu) \in \mathcal{Q}_C$.

Note that we can rewrite (B) in a simpler way, namely as (ii)(b) in the theorem. \square

Proposition 4.34. $V(\mu, \lambda) \simeq D_{[[n]]}^\mu L_C(\lambda + \mathbf{1})$.

Proof. We have that $F_{k,-k}$ acts injectively on $V(\mu, \lambda)$ by (8). By Proposition 4.21 all weight spaces of $V(\mu, \lambda)$ have the same dimension, hence, $F_{k,-k}$ acts bijectively on $V(\mu, \lambda)$. Thus we have:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mu, \lambda) &\simeq D_{[[n]]} V(\mu, \lambda) \simeq D_{[[n]]} V(\mu, \lambda, \text{Int}(2\mu)) \\ &\simeq D_{[[n]]} S(\chi_{\lambda+1}, 2\mu + \lambda + \mathbf{1} + \mathcal{Q}_C, \text{Int}(2\mu)) \simeq D_{[[n]]}^\mu L_C(\lambda + \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

The second isomorphism follows from the exactness of $D_{[[n]]}$, and the fact that $D_{[[n]]}(V(\mu, \lambda)/V(\mu, \lambda, \text{Int}(2\mu))) = 0$. The third isomorphism follows from Theorem 4.32. The last isomorphism follows from Proposition 3.4. \square

Corollary 4.35. *The module $V(\mu, \lambda)$ is the injective envelope of $V(\mu, \lambda, \text{Int}(2\mu))$ in the category of bounded $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules. Furthermore, $V(\mu, \lambda) \simeq V(\mu', \lambda')$ if and only if $\mu, \lambda, \mu', \lambda'$ satisfy conditions (ii)(a) or (ii)(b) of Theorem 4.33.*

Remark 4.36. *Proposition 4.34 leads to an explicit description of all twisted $[[n]]$ -localizations of highest weight modules in the category of bounded modules with central character $\chi_{\lambda+1}$. By Proposition 4.25, the one that is “missing” is the module $D_{[[n]]}^{\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_1+1)} L(\lambda + \mathbf{1})$, But this module is isomorphic to $D_{[[n]]}^{1/2} L(\tau_1 \lambda + \mathbf{1}) \simeq V(\frac{1}{2}, \tau_1 \lambda)$. Also, the other injectives (and hence, projectives) in \mathcal{B} are obtained via a twist of products of long reflections.*

APPENDIX: PROOF OF THEOREM 4.16

In this Appendix we prove that $F_{k-1,k} T(W_\lambda) = 0$ for any $k = 2, \dots, n$, and $F_{-j,j} T(W_\lambda) = 0$ for any $j \in [[n]]$. For this purpose we need two lemmas.

Recall the formulas (14), (15), (16), (17).

Lemma 4.37. *Set $1 \leq k \leq n$, and let $T(L)$ be any tableau in $\mathcal{B}(\mu, \lambda)$ such that $\ell'_{k1} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\ell'_{kk} = \ell_{kk}$, and $\ell_{k,i} = \ell'_{ki} = \ell_{k-1,i}$, for any $2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Then:*

- (i) $B_{ki}(L) = 0$ for any $i \in [[k]]$.
- (ii) $B_{kr}(L - \delta^{(k-1,s)}) = 0$, for any $s \in [[k]]$, and any $2 \leq r \leq k-1$.
- (iii) $B_{kt}(L + \delta^{(k,i)'} + \delta^{(k-1,j)}) = 0$, for any $1 \leq t \neq i \leq k$.
- (iv) $B_{kj}(L + \delta^{(k,j)'} + \delta^{(k-1,j)}) = 0$, for any $2 \leq j \leq k-1$.
- (v) $D_{kijm}(L) = 0$ whenever $j \neq 1$, and $i \neq j$.

Proof. All statements follow by a direct computation. \square

Lemma 4.38. *Set $k \in [[n]]$, and let $T(L)$ be as in Lemma 4.37 such that $\ell_{k1} + \ell_{k-1,1} = 1$. Then for any $m \in [[k-1]]$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k D_{ki1m}(L) B_{ki}(L + \delta^{(k,i)'} + \delta^{(k-1,1)}) = 0.$$

Proof. First note that $D_{ki1m}(L) = A_{k-1,m}(L) C_{k1}(L) \prod_{a \neq m}^{k-1} (l_{k-1,1}^2 - l_{k-1,a}^2) \tilde{D}_{ki1m}(L)$,

where $\tilde{D}_{ki1m}(L) = A_{ki}(L) \prod_{a \neq i}^k (l_{k-1,1}^2 - l_{k,a}^2) = \prod_{a \neq i}^k \frac{(\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell_{k,a}^2)}{(\ell_{ka}^2 - \ell_{ki}^2)}$

$$\tilde{D}_{ki1m}(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell_{k,a}^2)}{\prod_{a \neq 1}^k (\ell_{ka} - \ell'_{k1})}, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \frac{(\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell'_{k1}{}^2)}{\ell'_{k1} - \ell_{ki}} \left(\frac{\prod_{a \neq 1, i}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell_{k,a}^2)}{\prod_{a \neq 1, i}^k (\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki})} \right), & \text{if } i \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{ki}(L + \delta^{(k,i)'} + \delta^{(k-1,1)}) = \begin{cases} -4(\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell'_{k1}{}^2) \prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{ka} - \ell'_{k1} - 1), & \text{if } i = 1, \\ -4(\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell_{k,i}^2) \prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki} - 1), & \text{if } i \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

After factoring out

$$-4A_{k-1,m}(L)C_{k1}(L)(\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell'_{k1}{}^2) \prod_{a \neq m}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell'_{k-1,a}{}^2) \prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{k-1,1}^2 - \ell_{k,a}^2)$$

from the left hand side, we see that it is enough to show:

$$(20) \quad \frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{ka} - \ell'_{k1} - 1)}{\prod_{a \neq 1}^k (\ell_{ka} - \ell'_{k1})} + \sum_{i=2}^k \frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki} - 1)}{(\ell'_{k1} - \ell_{ki}) \prod_{a \neq 1, i}^k (\ell_{ka} - \ell_{ki})} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (c_a - c_i - 1)}{\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - c_i)},$$

where $c_1 = \ell'_{k1}, c_2 = \ell_{k2}, \dots, c_k = \ell_{kk}$. Note that $c_i \neq c_j$ for $i \neq j$. But with the aid of Lagrange interpolation formula, the polynomial $f(x) = \prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (c_a - x - 1)$ of degree $k - 2$ can be written as follows:

$$(21) \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k f(c_i) \left(\frac{\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - x)}{\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - c_i)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (c_a - c_i - 1)}{\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - c_i)} \right) \prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - x).$$

Since $\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - x)$ is a polynomial of degree $k - 1$ whose leading coefficient equals $(-1)^{k-1}$, by comparing the coefficients of x^{k-1} in (21) we obtain

$$0 = (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{\prod_{a \neq 1}^{k-1} (c_a - c_i - 1)}{\prod_{a \neq i}^k (c_a - c_i)} \right).$$

□

We are now ready to prove the theorem. We first note that $T(W_\lambda)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.37, and Lemma 4.38. In particular:

- (1) $F_{-k,k}(T(W)) = \sum_{i=1}^k B_{ki}(W)T(W - \delta^{(ki)'}) = 0$, by Lemma 4.37(i).
(2) $2F_{k-1,k}(T(W)) = [F_{k-1,-k}, F_{-k,k}](T(W)) = F_{-k,k}(F_{k-1,-k}(T(W)))$ by (1).

We note that $B_{ki}(L)$ does not depend in row $(k-1)'$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{-k,k}(F_{k-1,-k}(T(W))) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} C_{k,i}(W) \sum_{r=1}^k B_{kr}(W - \delta^{(k-1,i)})T(W - \delta^{(k-1,i)} - \delta^{(kr)'}) + \\
&\sum_{i,t=1}^k \sum_{j,m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,j,m}(W)B_{kt}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k,i)'}) + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) - \delta^{(k,t)'}) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{i,t=1}^k \sum_{j,m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,j,m}(W)B_{kt}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k,i)'}) + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) - \delta^{(k,t)'}) \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j,m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,j,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,1,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,1)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) + \\
&\sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{m=1, j=2}^{k-1} D_{k,i,j,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) \\
&\stackrel{(c)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,1,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,1)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) + \\
&\sum_{m=1, j=2}^{k-1} D_{k,j,j,m}(W)B_{kj}(W + \delta^{(k,j)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,j)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) \\
&\stackrel{(d)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} D_{k,i,1,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'})T(W + \delta^{(k-1,1)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k D_{k,i,1,m}(W)B_{ki}(W + \delta^{(k,i)'}) + \delta^{(k-1,1)} \right) T(W + \delta^{(k-1,1)} + \delta^{(k-1,m)'}) \\
&\stackrel{(e)}{=} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the identities above (a) follows from Lemma 4.37(ii), (b) follows from Lemma 4.37(iii), (c) follows from Lemma 4.37(iv), (d) follows from Lemma 4.37(v), and (e) follows from Lemma 4.38. \square

REFERENCES

- [BL87] D. Britten, F. Lemire, A classification of simple Lie modules having a 1-dimensional weight space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1987), no. 2, 683–697.
[DFO94] Y.A. Drozd, V. Futorny, S.A. Ovsienko, Harish-Chandra subalgebras and Gelfand-Zetlin modules, Finite-dimensional algebras and related topics (Ottawa, ON, 1992), NATO adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C math. Phys. Sci., vol. 424, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, pp. 79–93 (1994)

- [EMV20] N. Early, V. Mazorchuk, E. Vishnyakova, Canonical Gelfand-Zeitlin Modules over orthogonal Gelfand-Zeitlin algebras. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2020(20), 6947–6966 (2020).
- [FGR16] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L.E. Ramirez, Singular Gelfand-Tsetlin modules of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$. *Adv. Math.* (2016), 453–482;
- [FGR17a] V. Futorny D. Grantcharov, L.E. Ramirez, New Singular Gelfand-Tsetlin $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ -modules of Index 2. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 354 (2017), 1209–1241.
- [FGR17b] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L.E. Ramirez, Drinfeld category and the classification of singular Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{gl}_n -modules. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2017), rnx159.
- [FGRZ20] V. Futorny, D. Grantcharov, L.E. Ramirez, P. Zadunaisky, Gelfand-Tsetlin theory for rational Galois algebras. *Israel J. Math.* 239(1) (2020), 99–128.
- [FKM23] V. Futorny, L. Krizka, O. Morales, Admissible representations of simple affine vertex algebras, *J. Algebra*, 628 (2023), 22–70.
- [FMR21] V. Futorny, O. Morales, L.E. Ramirez, Simple modules for affine vertex algebras in the minimal nilpotent orbit, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 2021, rnab159.
- [FRZ19] V. Futorny, L.E. Ramirez, J. Zhang, Combinatorial construction of Gelfand-Tsetlin modules for \mathfrak{gl}_n . *Adv. Math.*, 343, 5 (2019), 681–711.
- [GG65] I. Gelfand, M. Graev, Finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the unitary and complete linear group and special functions associated with them, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* 29.6 (1965): 1329–1356.
- [GT50] I. Gelfand, M. Tsetlin, Finite-dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices. *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 71:825–828 (1950)
- [GS06] D. Grantcharov, V. Serganova, Category of $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$ -modules with bounded weight multiplicities, *Mosc. Math. J.* 6(1) (2006), 119–134.
- [Mat00] O. Mathieu, Classification of irreducible weight modules, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 50 (2000), 537–592.
- [MZ00] V. Mazorchuk, and Z. Michael, *Generalized Verma Modules*. Vol. 6. Lviv: VNTL Publishers, 2000.
- [Mol99] A. Molev, A basis for representations of symplectic Lie algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 201 (1999), 591–618.
- [Mol00a] A. Molev, A Weight Basis for Representations of Even Orthogonal Lie Algebras. *Adv. Stud. Pure Math., Combinatorial Methods in Representation Theory*. Vol. 28, (2000), 221–240.
- [Mol00b] A. Molev, Weight bases of Gelfand-Tsetlin type for representations of classical Lie algebras., *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* Vol. 33 (2000).
- [Mol07] A. Molev, *Yangians and Classical Lie algebras*. *Math. Surveys Monogr.* Volume: 143; 2007; 400 pp.
- [MY19] A. Molev, and O. Yakimova, Quantization and nilpotent limits of Michenko-Fomenko subalgebras. *Rep. Theory*. Vol. 23, (2019), 350–378.
- [RZ18] L.E. Ramirez, P. Zadunaisky, Gelfand-Tsetlin modules over $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$ with arbitrary characters. *J. Algebra* 502 (2018), 328–346.
- [Vis18] E. Vishnyakova, A Geometric approach to 1-singular Gelfand-Tsetlin \mathfrak{gl}_n -modules, *Differential Geom. Appl.* 56 (2018), 155–160.
- [Web17] B. Webster, Rouquier’s conjecture and diagrammatic algebra. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:e27, 71, 2017.
- [Web20] B. Webster, Gelfand-Tsetlin modules in the Coulomb context. (2020), arXiv:1904.05415.
- [Zad17] P. Zadunaisky. A new way to construct 1-singular Gelfand-Tsetlin modules. *Algebra Discrete Math.* Vol 23, No 1 (2017).

SHENZHEN INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICS, SOUTHERN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE
AND TECHNOLOGY

Email address: futorny@sustech.edu.cn

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ARLINGTON, ARLINGTON, TX 76019, USA

Email address: grandim@uta.edu

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO ABC, SANTO ANDRÉ-SP, BRASIL

Email address: luis.enrique@ufabc.edu.br

INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIONES MATEMÁTICAS LUIS A. SANTALÓ (IMAS), BUENOS AIRES,
ARGENTINA

Email address: pzdunaisky@conicet.gov.ar