HOMOGENEITY OF MAGNETIC TRAJECTORIES IN THE BERGER SPHERE

JUN-ICHI INOGUCHI AND MARIAN IOAN MUNTEANU

ABSTRACT. We study the homogeneity of contact magnetic trajectories in naturally reductive Berger spheres. We prove that every contact magnetic trajectory is a product of a homogeneous geodesic and a charged Reeb flow.

INTRODUCTION

Normal homogeneous spaces of positive curvature are classified by Berger [4] and Wilking [39]. In the list given by Berger [4], one can see a normal homogeneous space of the form $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R})/U(1)$ diffeomorphic to the unit 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 . The normal homogeneous space $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R})/U(1)$ is nowaday called the *Berger sphere*. Berger sphere appears in many branches of differential geometry. For instance Berger sphere is a simple example which exhibits collapsing of Riemannian manifolds. Under a certain limit in Gromov-Hausdorff sense, Berger sphere collapses to the 2-sphere.

The Berger sphere admits a homogeneous contact structure compatible to the metric. The Berger sphere equipped with a homogeneous contact structure is (homothetic to) a Sasakian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature greater than 1. In this article we generalize the notion of Berger sphere as a complete and simply connected Sasakian 3-manifold $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c \in (-3, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$. The homogeneous Riemannian space $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with -3 < c < 1 is no longer normal homogeneous but still naturally reductive.

In this decade, surface geometry of Berger sphere becomes an active area of submanifold geometry. Montaldo and Onnis studied helix surfaces in the Berger sphere [28]. Here a *helix surface* means a surface whose normal direction makes constant angle with the Reeb vector field of the contact form of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. The Berger sphere (in our sense) is represented by $\mathcal{M}^3(c) = \mathrm{U}(2)/\mathrm{U}(1)$ as a naturally reductive homogeneous space. A surface Σ is $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is said to be a *rotationally invariant surface* if it is invariant under the U(1)-action. Rotationally invariant surfaces of constant mean curvature are studied in Torralbo [35]. Torralbo and Van der Veken [37] studied rotationally invariant surfaces of constant Gauss curvature. Torralbo investigated compact minimal surfaces [36].

⁽J. I.) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNIVERSITY SAPPORO 060-0810 JAPAN

⁽M. I. M.: corresponding author) UNIVERSITY 'AL. I. CUZA' OF IASI, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, BD. CAROL I, NO. 11, 700506 IASI, ROMANIA

E-mail addresses: inoguchi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp, marian.ioan.munteanu@gmail.com. *Date*: June 25, 2024.

In this paper we address Hamiltonian systems on the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. We start our investigation with geodesics in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. After the publication of the seminal paper [4] by Berger, global and local differential geometric properties of the Berger sphere have been well studied in detail. For instance, Jacobi fields along geodesics, cut loci are investigated in [31, 33, 40]. In the present work we re-examine geodesic from Hamiltonian dynamics viewpoint. We interpret the equation of geodesic on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) as a Hamiltonian system on the cotangent bundle T^*M with respect to the canonical symplectic structure of T^*M and focus on its integrability. The Hamiltonian derived from the geodesic equation is the *kinetic energy*. The solutions of the Hamiltonian system derived from the geodesic equation are called *geodesic flows* [7, 18]. One of the fundamental problems of Hamiltonian systems is to investigate the *preservation of integrability* or preservation of the existence of periodic orbits under perturbations of the symplectic structure.

A magnetic field on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is formulated mathematically as a closed 2-form on M. By virtue of a magnetic field F on a base manifold M, one obtains a perturbed symplectic form on the cotangent bundle T^*M . The solutions to the perturbed Hamiltonian system of geodesic flows are called magnetic geodesic flows. The curves on M obtained as projection images of magnetic geodesic flows are called magnetic trajectories. As nice perturbations of geodesic flows, magnetic trajectories have been much attention of differential geometers as well as researchers of dynamical systems. See e.g., [6, 20, 27] and references therein.

Let us consider geodesic flows of homogeneous Riemannian spaces. Because of the homogeneity, we may concentrate our attention to geodesics starting at the origin. It is known that every geodesic of a naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian space is homogeneous. More precisely every geodesic starting at the origin of a naturally reductive homogeneous space is an orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of the (largest) connected isometry group (see e.g. [2, 10, 25]). This fact implies that the Hamiltonian system of geodesic flows of a naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian space is completely integrable (in non-commutative sense).

In [6], Bolsinov and Jovanović studied magnetic trajectories in *normal* homogeneous Riemannian spaces. They showed that every magnetic trajectory starting at the origin is homogeneous (see [6, Remark 1]). Thus magnetic trajectories in naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian spaces would be next targets.

Now let us return our attention to the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. As we mentioned above, $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is naturally reductive for any c. Thus every geodesic is homogeneous. The Riemannian metric of the Berger sphere is obtained by from the standard Riemannian metric of the unit 3-sphere by the perturbing the fiber components with respect to the Hopf fibering. The connection form of the Hopf fibering is nothing but the standard contact form of \mathbb{S}^3 . The curvature form of the connection form gives a homogeneous magnetic field on the Berger sphere. We call it the *contact magnetic field* of a Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. From this construction we can expect that magnetic trajectories with respect to the contact magnetic field of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ are strongly affected by both Riemannian and contact geometric properties of the Berger sphere. Obviously the notion of geodesic is a Riemannian notion, *i.e.* only depends on Riemannian structure. On the other hand the notion of contact magnetic trajectory depends on both Riemannian structure and contact structure. Motivated by these observations, in our previous work [19], the present authors studied periodicity of contact magnetic trajectories in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. In addition, the Jacobi fields for contact magnetic trajectories are completely determined in [21]. Having in mind the homogenity results of magnetic trajectories in normal homogeneous spaces due to Bolsinov and Jovanović [6], we study homogeneity of contact magnetic trajectories in naturally reductive Berger spheres.

The present paper is organized as follows. First we give explicit parametrization of geodesics (starting at the origin) of the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ in homogeneous geodesic form in Theorem 3.1. To this end, we give naturally reductive homogeneous space representation of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ explicitly in Section 2. In Section 4 we prove that every contact magnetic trajectory of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is homogeneous. In particular we prove that every contact magnetic trajectory is a product of homogeneous geodesic and a (charged) Reeb flow.

As a result, the integrability and homogenity of geodesic flows of the Berger sphere is preserved under the perturbation by the contact magnetic field.

To close Introduction we mention our previous work [12]. The Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ together with its metric and contact structure is generalized to arbitrary odd-dimension in a straightforward manner. The resulting (2n + 1)-dimensional Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ is naturally reductive for any c and normal homogeneous for $c \geq 1$. In [12], we proved a *codimension reduction theorem* for contact magnetic trajectories in $\mathcal{M}^{2n+1}(c)$. More precisely, it is proved that the essential dimension for the theory of contact magnetic trajectories in $\mathcal{M}^{2n+1}(c)$ is 3.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Homogeneous geometry. Let M = L/H be a homogeneous manifold. Denote by \mathfrak{l} and \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebras of L and H, respectively. Then M is said to be *reductive* if there exits a linear subspace \mathfrak{p} (called a *Lie subspace*) of L complementary to H and satisfies $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}$. It is known that every homogeneous Riemannian space is reductive.

Now let M = L/H be a homogeneous Riemannian space with reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{p}$ and a *L*-invariant Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then *M* is said to be *naturally reductive* (with respect to \mathfrak{p}) if the $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ tensor vanishes, where $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is defined by

(1.1)
$$2\langle \mathsf{U}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X,Y),Z\rangle = \langle X,[Z,Y]_{\mathfrak{p}}\rangle + \langle Y,[Z,X]_{\mathfrak{p}}\rangle.$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{p}$. Here we denote the \mathfrak{p} part of a vector $X \in \mathfrak{l}$ by $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

A homogeneous Riemannian space M = L/H is said to be *normal* if L is compact semisimple and the metric is derived from the restriction of the bi-invariant Riemannian metric of L. Normal homogeneous spaces are naturally reductive.

As a generalization of naturally reductive homogeneous space, the notion of Riemannian g. o. space was introduced by Kowalski and Vanhecke [25].

According to [25], a homogeneous Riemannian manifold M = L/H is called a space with homogeneous geodesics or a Riemannian g.o. space if every geodesic $\gamma(s)$ of M is an orbit of a one-parameter subgroup of the largest connected group of isometries. Naturally reductive homogeneous spaces are typical examples of Riemannian g.o. spaces. (For more informations, we refer to [2]). 1.2. The Euler-Arnold equation. Let G be a Lie group equipped with a left invariant Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. The bi-invariance obstruction U is a symmetric bilinear map U : $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ defined by

(1.2)
$$2\langle \mathsf{U}(X,Y),Z\rangle = -\langle X,[Y,Z]\rangle + \langle Y,[Z,X]\rangle, \quad X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ is described as

$$\nabla_X Y = \frac{1}{2}[X,Y] + \mathsf{U}(X,Y), \quad X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}$$

On the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we define the linear operator $\mathrm{ad}^* : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$\langle \operatorname{ad}(X)Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y, \operatorname{ad}^*(X)Z \rangle, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$$

One can see that

$$-2\mathsf{U}(X,Y) = \mathrm{ad}^*(X)Y + \mathrm{ad}^*(Y)X$$

Take a curve $\gamma(s)$ starting at the origin (identity 1) of G. Set $\Omega(s) = \gamma(s)^{-1}\dot{\gamma}(s)$, then one can check that

(1.3)
$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = \gamma \left(\dot{\Omega} - \mathrm{ad}^*(\Omega)\Omega\right).$$

This implies the following fundamental fact [1]:

Proposition 1.1. The curve $\gamma(s)$ is a geodesic

$$\dot{\Omega} = \mathrm{ad}^*(\Omega)\Omega.$$

Now let us assume that G is *compact* and semi-simple. Then G admits bi-invariant Riemannian metrics which are constant multiples of the Killing form. B. Take such a bi-invariant Riemannian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and introduce an endomorphism field \mathcal{I} (called the *moment of inertia tensor field*) on \mathfrak{g} by

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}X, Y \rangle_1, \quad \mathcal{I}X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Since $\operatorname{ad}(X)$ is skew-adjoint with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain

$$\langle \mathrm{ad}^*(\Omega)\Omega, Z \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}^{-1}([\mathcal{I}\Omega, \Omega]), Z \rangle.$$

Henceforth we obtain

$$\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}, \gamma Z \rangle = \langle \dot{\Omega} - \mathcal{I}^{-1}[\mathcal{I}\Omega, \Omega], Z \rangle, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let us introduce the *momentum*

$$\mu = \mathcal{I}\Omega.$$

Then $\dot{\Omega} = \mathcal{I}^{-1}\dot{\mu}$ and hence

$$\langle \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}, \gamma Z \rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\dot{\mu} - [\mu, \Omega]), Z \rangle, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Thus we arrive at the so-called *Euler-Arnold equation* [1]

(1.4)
$$\dot{\mu} - [\mu, \Omega] = 0.$$

When G = SO(3) equipped with Killing metric, $\Omega_{c} := \gamma(t)^{-1}\dot{\gamma}(t)$ and $\Omega_{s} := \dot{\gamma}(t)\gamma(t)^{-1}$ are anglar velocity in the body (corpus) and angular velocity in the space, respectively. Since the motion of a rigid body in Euclidean 3-space under inertia is a geodesic in SO(3) equipped with Killing metric.

1.3. Static magnetism. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. A (static) magnetic field is a closed 2-form F on M. The Lorentz force φ derived from F is an endomorphim field defined by

$$F(X,Y) = g(\varphi X,Y), \quad X,Y \in \Gamma(TM).$$

A curve $\gamma(s)$ is said to be a magnetic trajectory under the influence of F if it obeys the Lorentz equation

(1.5)
$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} = q\varphi\dot{\gamma},$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g), $\dot{\gamma}$ is the velocity of $\gamma(s)$ and q is a constant called the *charge*.

The Lorentz equation implies that magnetic trajectories are of constant speed. Note that when F = 0 or q = 0, magnetic trajectories reduce to geodesics. For more information on magnetic trajectories, we refer to [20].

1.4. Contact magnetic field. A 1-form η on a 3-manifold M is said to be a contact form if it satisfies $d\eta \wedge \eta \neq 0$ on M. A 3-manifold M together with a contact form η is called a contact 3-manifold. A contact 3-manifold (M, η) is orientable. We can take a volume element $dv_{\eta} = \eta \wedge d\eta/2$ and Moreover, there exits a unique vector field ξ satisfying

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \mathrm{d}\eta(\xi, \cdot) = 0$$

The vector field ξ is called the *Reeb vector field* of (M, η) . The plane field

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ X \in TM \mid \eta(X) = 0 \}$$

is called the *contact structure* of (M, η) .

On contact 3-manifolds, there are two particular classes of curves. The integral curves of ξ are called *Reeb flows*. On the other hand, curves tangent to \mathcal{D} are called *Legendre curves*. Both Reeb flows and Legendre curves play important roles in contact topology.

We may develop static magnetism on contact 3-manifolds. We regard the contact form η as a magnetic potential. The magnetic field $F = d\eta$ is called the *contact magnetic field*. There are infinitely many Riemannian metrics on (M, η) . The Lorentz force φ of F is skew-adjoint with respect to any Riemannian metric g on M. We may require appropriate *compatibility* of g with respect to the Lorentz force φ . Concerning on compatibility, we recall the following fundamental fact.

Proposition 1.2. On a contact 3-manifold (M, η) , there exits a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all vector fields X and Y on M. The metric g is called the compatible metric of η . The volume element dv_a of g coincides with dv_n . The Lorentz force φ of $F = d\eta$ satisfies

$$\varphi^2 = -\mathbf{I} + \eta \otimes \xi.$$

2. The Berger 3-sphere

In this section, we give an explicit matrix group model of a the Berger 3-sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ equipped with a canonical left invariant contact structure.

2.1. Contact magnetic field of \mathbb{S}^3 . As is well known, the unit 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 is identified with the special unitary group

$$G = \mathrm{SU}(2) = \{ P \in \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C} \mid \overline{{}^t\!P}P = \mathbf{1} \}$$

with bi-invariant Riemannian metric of constant curvature 1. Here $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity matrix.

Let us denote the Lie algebra T_1G of G by \mathfrak{g} (or $\mathfrak{su}(2)$). The bi-invariant metric g_1 of constant curvature 1 on G is induced by the following inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ on \mathfrak{g} :

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_1 = -\frac{1}{8} \mathsf{B}(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here B denotes the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . We call g_1 the normalized Killing metric. Take a quaternionic basis of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\boldsymbol{i} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \boldsymbol{j} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad \boldsymbol{k} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{array} \right).$$

By using this basis, the Lie group SU(2) is described as

$$SU(2) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} x_0 + \sqrt{-1} x_3 & -x_2 + \sqrt{-1} x_1 \\ x_2 + \sqrt{-1} x_1 & x_0 - \sqrt{-1} x_3 \end{array} \right) \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

In the spinor representation of the Euclidean 3-space \mathbb{E}^3 , we identify \mathbb{E}^3 with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$ via the correspondence

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3) \longleftrightarrow x_1 \mathbf{i} + x_2 \mathbf{j} + x_3 \mathbf{k} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} x_3 & -x_2 + \sqrt{-1} x_1 \\ x_2 + \sqrt{-1} x_1 & -\sqrt{-1} x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Denote the left translated vector fields of $\{i, j, k\}$ by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$. The commutation relations of $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$ are

$$[E_1, E_2] = 2E_3, \quad [E_2, E_3] = 2E_1, \quad [E_3, E_1] = 2E_2.$$

The left invariant 1-form

$$\eta_1 = g_1(E_3, \cdot)$$

is a contact form with Reeb vector field

$$\xi_1 := E_3.$$

The metric g_1 is compatible to η_1 and the Lorentz force φ_1 is given by

$$\varphi_1(E_1) = -E_2, \quad \varphi_1(E_2) = E_1, \quad \varphi_1(E_3) = 0.$$

It should be remarked that ξ_1 is a unit Killing vector field.

The Lie group G acts isometrically on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} by the Ad-action.

$$\operatorname{Ad}: G \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}; \ \operatorname{Ad}(a)X = aXa^{-1}, \quad a \in G, \ X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The Ad-orbit of k/2 is a 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2)$ of radius 1/2 in the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3 = \mathfrak{g}$. The Ad-action of G on $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2)$ is isometric and transitive. The isotropy subgroup of G at k/2 is

$$K_1 = \left\{ \exp(t\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{-1}t} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-\sqrt{-1}t} \end{pmatrix} \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathrm{U}(1) = \{e^{\sqrt{-1}t} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Hence $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2)$ is represented by $G/K_1 = \mathrm{SU}(2)/\mathrm{U}(1)$ as a Riemannian symmetric space. The natural projection

$$\pi_1: \mathbb{S}^3 \to \mathbb{S}^2(1/2), \quad \pi_1(a) = \operatorname{Ad}(a)(\boldsymbol{k}/2)$$

is a Riemannian submersion and defines a principal U(1)-bundle over $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2)$. This fibering is nothing but the well known *Hopf fibering*. Moreover it is the *Boothby-Wang fibering* of \mathbb{S}^3 as a regular contact 3-manifold.

2.2. The Berger 3-sphere. For any real number c > -3, we deform the Riemannian metric g_1 of the unit 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 as

$$g(X,Y) = \frac{4}{c+3} \left(g_1(X,Y) - \frac{c-1}{c+3} \eta_1(X) \eta_1(Y) \right).$$

The resulting Riemannian 3-manifold $\mathcal{M}^3(c) = (\mathbb{S}^3, g)$ is called the *Berger sphere*.

Precisely speaking, the original one due to Berger [4] is $(\mathbb{S}^3, \frac{c+3}{4}g)$ and $c \neq 1$. Note that under the limit $c \to -3$ in Gromov-Hausdorff sense, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \frac{c+3}{4}g)$ converges to \mathbb{S}^3 equipped with the Carnot-Carathéodory metric. On the other hand, under the limit $c \to 1$, $(\mathbb{S}^3, \frac{c+3}{4}g)$ collapses to \mathbb{S}^2 . For more Riemannian geometric studies on Berger spheres, we refer to [26, 30, 31, 33].

Another geometric property of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is a relation to the geometry of isoparametric hypersurfaces. One can see that $\mathcal{M}^3(-2)$ is isometric to the universal covering of the minimal Cartan hypersurface of the unit 4-sphere (see [14]). The minimal Cartan hypersurface is realized as $SO(3)/(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is realized as a geodesic sphere of radius $\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{c-1/2})$ [resp. $\tan^{-1}(\sqrt{1-c/2})$] in the complex projective plane $\mathbb{C}P^2(c-1)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c-1 [resp. complex hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{C}H^2(c-1)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c-1] when c > 1 [resp. -3 < c < 1] (cf. [38]).

Let us deform the contact form, Reeb vector field and Lorentz force as

$$\eta := \frac{4}{c+3}\eta_1, \quad \xi := \frac{c+3}{4}\xi_1, \quad \varphi := \varphi_1.$$

Then g is compatible to η . The Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 0$ is no longer a space form, but the sectional curvatures $K(X \wedge \varphi X)$ where $X \perp \xi$ is constant c. The sectional curvature $K(X \wedge \varphi X)$ for $X \perp \xi$ is called the *holomorphic sectional curvature*. Thus $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c.

The Reeb vector field ξ generates a one parameter group of transformations on $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. Since ξ is a Killing vector field with respect to the Berger metric, this transformation group acts isometrically on $G = \mathrm{SU}(2)$. The transformation group generated by ξ is identified with the following Lie subgroup $K = K_c$ of G:

$$K = \left\{ \exp\left(\frac{(c+3)t}{4} \mathbf{k}\right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathrm{U}(1).$$

Furthermore, the action of the transformation group generated by ξ corresponds to the natural right action of K on G:

$$G \times K \to G; \ (a,k) \mapsto ak.$$

By using the well-known curvature formula for Riemannian submersion due to O'Neill, one can see that the orbit space G/K is a 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{c+3})$ of radius $1/\sqrt{c+3}$, namely the

constant curvature (c+3)-sphere. The Riemannian metric g is not only G-left invariant but also K-right invariant. Hence $G \times K$ acts isometrically on G. The Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is represented by $(G \times K)/K = G$ as a naturally reductive homogeneous space. For $c \neq 1$, $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ has 4-dimensional isometry group. For more details, see Section 2.4.

In particular, g is G-bi-invariant if and only if c = 1. In this case $\mathcal{M}^3(1)$ is represented by $(G \times G)/G$ as a Riemannian symmetric space. Note that $\mathcal{M}^3(1)$ has 6-dimensional isometry group.

2.3. The Levi-Civita connection. Consider an orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ by

$$e_1 := \frac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2}E_1, \quad e_2 := \frac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2}E_2, \quad e_3 := \frac{c+3}{4}\xi_1.$$

Then the commutation relations of this basis are

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = \frac{c+3}{2}e_1, \quad [e_3, e_1] = \frac{c+3}{2}e_2.$$

The Levi-Civita connection ∇ of $(\mathcal{M}^3(c), g)$ is described by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e_2, \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= \frac{c+1}{2} e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = -\frac{c+1}{2} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor field R of $(\mathcal{M}^3(c), g, \nabla)$ is described by

$$R_{1212} = c, \quad R_{1313} = R_{2323} = 1,$$

and the sectional curvatures are:

$$K_{12} = c, \quad K_{13} = K_{23} = 1.$$

The Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature scal are computed to be

$$\operatorname{Ric}_{11} = \operatorname{Ric}_{22} = c + 1$$
, $\operatorname{Ric}_{33} = 2$, $\operatorname{scal} = 2(c + 2)$.

The symmetric tensor U, defined by (1.2), has the following essential components

$$\mathsf{U}(e_1,e_3)=\frac{c-1}{4}e_2,\quad \mathsf{U}(e_2,e_3)=-\frac{c-1}{4}e_1,$$

other components being zero.

2.4. Homogeneous structure. As we saw before G acts isometrically and transitively on $\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{c+3})$ via the Ad-action. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} of the isotropy subgroup K is $\mathbb{R}\mathbf{k}$. The tangent space $T_{\mathbf{k}}\mathbb{S}^2(1/\sqrt{c+3})$ is identified with $\mathfrak{m} = \{x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} \mid x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We have the orthogonal direct sum decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$.

The Riemannian metric g of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is invariant under the action of $G \times K$:

$$(G \times K) \times G \to G; \ ((a,k),x) \longmapsto axk^{-1}.$$

The isotropy subgroup at **1** is

$$\triangle K = \{(k,k) \mid k \in K\} \cong K.$$

Hence we obtain a homogeneous space representation $\mathcal{M}^3(c) = (G \times K)/K$. Note that when c = 1, the metric g_1 is $G \times G$ -invariant and $\mathbb{S}^3 = (G \times G)/G$ is a Riemannian symmetric space.

The tangent space $T_1 \mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is identified with the linear subspace

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \left(V + W, \frac{1-c}{4} W \right) \mid V \in \mathfrak{m}, \ W \in \mathfrak{k} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra of $G \times K$ is $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ and the Lie algebra of $\triangle K$ is given by

 $\triangle \mathfrak{k} = \{ (W, W) \mid W \in \mathfrak{k} \} \cong \mathfrak{k}.$

We have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k} = \bigtriangleup \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}.$$

Every element $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ is decomposed as

$$(X,Y) = \left(\frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \frac{4}{c+3}Y, \frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \frac{4}{c+3}Y\right) + \left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3}(X_{\mathfrak{k}} - Y), -\frac{c-1}{c+3}(X_{\mathfrak{k}} - Y)\right).$$

In particular we have

$$(X,0) = \left(\frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}, \ \frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right) + \left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}, -\frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right).$$

It is straightforward to prove that the tensor $U_{\mathfrak{p}}$, associated to \mathfrak{p} and defined by (1.1), vanishes. Thus we confirmed that $\mathcal{M}^3(c) = (G \times K)/K$ is naturally reductive.

In case c = 1, the Riemannian symmetric space $G = (G \times G)/G$ admits a reductive decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g} = \bigtriangleup \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{q}, \quad \mathfrak{q} = \{(X, -X) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\} \cong \mathfrak{g}.$$

Every $(X, Y) \in \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is decomposed as

$$(X,Y) = \left(\frac{X+Y}{2}, \frac{X+Y}{2}\right) + \left(\frac{X-Y}{2}, \frac{-X+Y}{2}\right).$$

We conclude this section computing the endomorphism field ${\mathcal I}$ as

$$\mathcal{I}e_1 = \frac{4}{c+3}e_1, \quad \mathcal{I}e_2 = \frac{4}{c+3}e_2, \quad \mathcal{I}e_3 = \frac{16}{(c+3)^2}e_3.$$

Remark 1. The original Berger sphere is represented as a homogeneous space of $SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ ([8], see also [33]). Moreover, the original Berger sphere can be represented as U(2)/U(1). For these models, see Appendices of the present article.

3. The Euler-Arnold equation

Since the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c) = (G \times K)/K$ is naturally reductive, every geodesic is an orbit of an one-parameter subgroup of $G \times K$. In this section we give an explicit representation for geodesics of the Berger sphere.

3.1. First we deduce the Euler-Arnold equation $\dot{\mu} - [\mu, \Omega] = 0$ for $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. Let $\gamma(t)$ be an arc length parametrized curve in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$. Represent the angular velocity as

$$\Omega(t) = A(t)e_1 + B(t)e_2 + C(t)e_3, \quad A(t)^2 + B(t)^2 + C(t)^2 = 1.$$

It follows that the momentum $\mu = \mathcal{I}\Omega$ is

$$\mu(t) = \frac{4}{c+3} \left(A(t)e_1 + B(t)e_2 + \frac{4C(t)}{c+3}e_3 \right).$$

Since

$$[\mu, \Omega] = \frac{2(c-1)C(t)}{c+3} \left(B(t)e_1 - A(t)e_2 \right),$$

the Euler-Arnold equation is the system

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{A} = \frac{c-1}{2} CB, \\ \dot{B} = -\frac{c-1}{2} CA, \\ \dot{C} = 0. \end{cases}$$

From the third equation of the system, we get $C(t) = \cos \sigma$ is a constant. The coefficients A(t) and B(t) are obtained as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} & \sin\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} \\ -\sin\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} & \cos\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ B_0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some constants A_0 and B_0 satisfying $A_0^2 + B_0^2 = \sin^2 \sigma$.

When c = 1, we obtain

$$A(t) = A_0, \quad B(t) = B_0, \quad C(t) = \cos \sigma.$$

Hence $\Omega(t)$ is a constant vector. Thus the solution of the ODE $\gamma(t)^{-1}\dot{\gamma}(t) = \Omega(t)$ under the initial condition $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$ is

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G(tX), \text{ where } X = A_0e_1 + B_0e_2 + \cos\sigma e_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Thus we retrieve the well known fact that all geodesics of $\mathbb{S}^3 = (G \times G)/G$ are homogeneous.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a geodesic of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ starting at **1** with initial angular velocity $\Omega(0) = A_0e_1 + B_0e_2 + \cos\sigma e_3$. Then the angular velocity $\Omega(t)$ of $\gamma(t)$ is given by

$$\Omega(t) = A(t)e_1 + B(t)e_2 + \cos\sigma e_3,$$

where σ is a constant and

(3.2)
$$\begin{cases} A(t) = A_0 \cos\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} + B_0 \sin\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\},\\ B(t) = -A_0 \sin\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\} + B_0 \cos\{t(\frac{c-1}{2}\cos\sigma)\}. \end{cases}$$

3.2. Hereafter we assume that $c \neq 1$. We wish to solve the ODE $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)\Omega(t)$ under the initial condition $\gamma(0) = 1$. For this purpose here we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. A curve $\gamma_{W,V}(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV)$, where $W = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $V = \lambda e_3 \in \mathfrak{k}$ is a geodesic in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ if and only if $\lambda = (1 - c)w/4$.

Proof. The angular velocity $\Omega(t)$ of $\gamma_{W,V}(t)$ is computed as

$$\Omega(t) = \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_K(tV))W - V.$$

One can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_K(tV))e_1 &= \cos\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}e_1 + \sin\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}e_2, \\ \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_K(tV))e_2 &= -\sin\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}e_1 + \cos\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}e_2, \\ \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_K(tV))e_3 &= e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the angular velocity $\Omega(t) = w_1(t)e_1 + w_2(t)e_2 + w_3(t)e_3$ of $\gamma_{W,V}(t)$ is given by

$$w_1(t) = u \cos \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} - v \sin \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2},$$

$$w_2(t) = u \sin \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} + v \cos \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2},$$

$$w_3(t) = w - \lambda.$$

From the Euler-Arnold equation, $\gamma_{W,V}(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV)$ is a geodesic if and only if

$$\dot{w}_1 = \frac{c-1}{2}w_3w_2, \quad \dot{w}_2 = -\frac{c-1}{2}w_3w_1, \quad \dot{w}_3 = 0.$$

This system is explicitly computed as

$$\lambda = \frac{1-c}{4}w.$$

Thus $\gamma_{V,W}(t)$ is a geodesic when and only when $\lambda = (1-c)w/4$.

From this lemma, a geodesic $\gamma(t)$ of the form $\gamma(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV)$ is rewritten as

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV) = \exp_G\{t(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3)\} \exp_K\left\{-t\left(\frac{1-c}{4}w\right)e_3\right\}.$$

Now let us set

(3.3)
$$X_{\mathfrak{m}} := ue_1 + ve_2, \quad X_{\mathfrak{k}} := \frac{(c+3)w}{4}e_3.$$

Then

$$\exp_G\{t(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3)\} = \exp_G\left\{t\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right\}.$$

and

$$\exp_{K}(-tV) = \exp_{K}\left\{-t\left(\frac{1-c}{4}w\right)e_{3}\right\} = \exp_{K}\left\{-t\left(\frac{1-c}{c+3}w\right)X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right\}.$$

Hence

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G \left\{ t \left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3} X_{\mathfrak{k}} \right) \right\} \, \exp_K \left\{ t \left(\frac{c-1}{c+3} X_{\mathfrak{k}} \right) \right\}.$$

Thus if we set

$$X = X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{g},$$

then we get

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G\left\{t\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right\} \, \exp_K\left\{t\left(\frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right\} = \exp_{G\times K}(tX).$$

This shows that $\gamma(t)$ is a homogeneous geodesic. Now we arrive at the stage to prove the following fundamental fact (*c.f.* [10, 17]).

Theorem 3.1. The geodesic starting at the origin of the Berger 3-sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ of holomorphic sectional curvature $c \neq 1$ with initial velocity $X = X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given explicitly by

(3.4)
$$\gamma(t) = \exp_{G \times K}(tX) = \exp_G\left\{t\left(X_{\mathfrak{m}} + \frac{4}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right\} \exp_K\left\{t\left(\frac{c-1}{c+3}X_{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right\}$$

Proof. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a curve of the form (3.4). Then from Lemma 3.1, $\gamma(t)$ is a geodesic starting at **1** with initial velocity X. Conversely let $\gamma(t)$ be a geodesic staring at **1** with initial velocity $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $\gamma(t)$ is expressed as $\gamma(t) = \exp_{G \times K}(tX)$.

Let us make some remarks:

Remark 2. A curve $\gamma_X(t) = \exp_G(tX)$, where $X = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a geodesic in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ if and only if it is a Legendre geodesic $\exp_G(t(ue_1 + ve_2))$, with $u^2 + v^2 = 1$. This fact can be verified by the equation $\mathsf{U}(X, X) = 0$. One can confirm that a Legendre geodesic $\exp_G(t(ue_1 + ve_2))$ lies in the minimal 2-sphere in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$.

Remark 3. A curve $\gamma_{W,V}(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV)$, where $W = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $V = \lambda e_3 \in \mathfrak{k}$ is a Legendre geodesic in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ if and only if $W \in \mathfrak{m}$ and V = 0.

Remark 4. The Reeb flow $\exp_G(\pm(te_3))$ in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ can be also expressed as

$$\exp_G\left\{\frac{4t}{c+3}e_3\right\}\,\exp_K\left\{\frac{(c-1)t}{c+3}e_3\right\}.$$

Note that the Reeb flows are periodic.

Remark 5. A geodesic $\exp_G\{t(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3)\}\exp_K\{-t(\frac{1-c}{4}w)e_3\}$ in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ is periodic if and only if

$$\frac{\sqrt{(c+3)+(1-c)\cos^2\sigma}}{(c+3)(1-c)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Remark 6. The function $(c + 3) - (c - 1)\cos^2 \sigma$ plays an important role in the study of geometry of geodesics and magnetic trajectories, respectively. In our recent paper [22], we introduce the function $\ell = \frac{(c+3)-(c-1)\cos^2 \sigma}{4} + q\cos \sigma$ to study magnetic Jacobi fields in Sasakian space forms.

Remark 7. In [13] Engel introduced the function λ by

$$\lambda(s) := (c+3)\sin^2 \sigma + 4\cos^2 \sigma = (c+3) - (c-1)\cos^2 \sigma$$

to measure how the geodesics of homogeneous 3-manifolds intersect with Reeb flows. More precisely, in [13, Corollary 3.8] it is proved the following result: Let $\gamma(s)$ be a geodesic with constant angle σ . If $\lambda > 0$ along γ , then γ intersects with the Reeb flow through $\gamma(0)$ periodically. If $\lambda \leq 0$ along γ , then γ intersects with the Reeb flow through $\gamma(0)$ only in $\gamma(0)$. A periodicity criterion is given in [13, Corollary 3.12].

12

Remark 8. In the same paper [13], we find an application of the function λ in the study of shortest non-trivial closed geodesics. In Corollary 3.13 it is proved that: If c > 1, the shortest non-trivial closed geodesics are given by Reeb flows. For the case 0 < c < 1, the shortest non-trivial closed geodesics are given by Legendre geodesics. This fact is deduced from the inequality

$$L(\gamma) \ge \frac{8\pi}{2\sqrt{\lambda(s)} + (c-1)\cos\sigma(s)}$$

Here $L(\gamma)$ is the length of a simply closed geodesic.

As a matter of fact, Engel pointed out that the extremal values of the sectional curvature are 1 and c. The Jacobi operator of a normal geodesic $\gamma(s)$ has eigenvalues 1 and $1 + (c-1)\sin^2 \sigma$. For a study on Jacobi fields of geodesics in Berger spheres, we refer to [24, 40].

Remark 9. In [16, Theorem 4.3], González-Dávila states that the Jacobi osculating rank of every geodesic is 2 except Reeb flows. The Reeb flows have Jacobi osculating rank 0. The conjugate points of a geodesic $\gamma(s)$ with constant angle σ to the origin are all of the form $\gamma(s/\sqrt{\lambda(s)})$, where $s \in \pi\mathbb{N}$ or s is a solution to

$$\tan\frac{s}{2} = \frac{1-c}{8}\sin^2\sigma s.$$

See [16, Theorem 5.1]. Finally, it is proved that (in [16, Proposition 5.3]) any geodesic starting at the origin $\mathbf{1}$ intersects the Reeb flow through $\mathbf{1}$ exactly at its isotropic conjugate points.

4. The magnetized Euler-Arnold equation

Let us magnetize the Euler-Arnold equation by the contact magnetic field $F = d\eta$.

4.1. Consider an arc length parametrized curve $\gamma(t)$ in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ starting at **1**. Since the Lorentz force φ is left invariant, we have

$$\varphi \dot{\gamma} = \gamma \varphi \Omega.$$

Hence we get

$$\varphi \Omega = \mathcal{I}^{-1} \mathcal{I} \varphi \Omega = \mathcal{I}^{-1} (\mathcal{I} \varphi \Omega).$$

Thus we obtain

(

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\dot{\gamma} - q\varphi\dot{\gamma}, Z\rangle = \langle \mathcal{I}^{-1}(\dot{\mu} - [\mu, \Omega] - q\mathcal{I}\varphi\Omega), Z\rangle$$

for all Z. Thus the Lorentz equation is rewritten as the magnetized Euler-Arnold equation:

$$\dot{\mu} - [\mu, \Omega] - q\mathcal{I}\varphi\Omega = 0.$$

Decomposing the angular velocity Ω as $\Omega(t) = A(t)e_1 + B(t)e_2 + C(t)e_3$ we have

$$\varphi \Omega = B(t)e_1 - A(t)e_2$$

and hence

$$\mathcal{I}\varphi\Omega = \frac{4}{c+3}(B(t)e_1 - A(t)e_2).$$

It follows that the magnetized Euler-Arnold equation is equivalent to the following ODE system:

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{A} = \left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}C\right)B, \\ \dot{B} = -\left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}C\right)A, \\ \dot{C} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence C is a constant, say $C = \cos \sigma$. Put $\tilde{q} = q + \frac{c-1}{2} \cos \sigma$. Then the coefficients A(t) and B(t) are solutions of the ODE system

$$\dot{A}(t) = \tilde{q}B(t), \quad \dot{B}(t) = -\tilde{q}A(t)$$

Hence we obtain

$$A(t) = A_0 \cos(\tilde{q}t) + B_0 \sin(\tilde{q}t), \quad B(t) = -A_0 \sin(\tilde{q}t) + B_0 \cos(\tilde{q}t)$$

for some constants A_0 and B_0 . Note that $A_0^2 + B_0^2 = \sin^2 \sigma$.

We want now to determine contact magnetic curves of the form

 $\gamma(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV), \quad \text{where } W = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g} \text{ and } V = \lambda e_3 \in \mathfrak{k}.$

We make the following notation

$$\Omega(t) = \gamma^{-1}(t)\dot{\gamma}(t) := w_1(t)e_1 + w_2(t)e_2 + w_3(t)e_3.$$

Since $\Omega(t) = \operatorname{Ad}(\exp_{\mathbf{K}}(t\mathbf{V}))\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{V}$ we obtain

$$\begin{cases} w_1(t) = u \cos \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} - v \sin \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}, \\ w_2(t) = u \sin \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} + v \cos \frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}, \\ w_3(t) = w - \lambda. \end{cases}$$

The curve γ is a contact magnetic trajectory if and only if the magnetized Euler-Arnold equations are satisfied, that is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{w}_1 = \left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}w_3\right)w_2, \\ \dot{w}_2 = -\left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}w_3\right)w_1, \\ \dot{w}_3 = 0. \end{cases}$$

The third equation is fulfilled. The first two equations yield

$$\begin{cases} \left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}w_3 + \frac{(c+3)\lambda}{2}\right) \left(u\sin\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} + v\cos\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}\right) = 0, \\ -\left(q + \frac{c-1}{2}w_3 + \frac{(c+3)\lambda}{2}\right) \left(u\cos\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2} - v\sin\frac{(c+3)\lambda t}{2}\right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Therefore, γ is a contact magnetic trajectory if and only if

$$q + \frac{c-1}{2}(w-\lambda) + \frac{(c+3)\lambda}{2} = 0.$$

This condition is equivalent to

$$\lambda = \frac{1-c}{4}w - \frac{q}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G \left(t(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3) \right) \exp_K \left(-t \left(\frac{1-c}{4} w - \frac{q}{2} \right) e_3 \right).$$

(1) When c = 1: we have

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_G\left(t(ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3)\right) \exp_K\left\{t\left(\frac{q}{2}\xi\right)\right\}$$

Set $X = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $\gamma(t)$ is rewritten as

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma_X(t) \, \exp_K \left\{ t \left(\frac{q}{2} \xi \right) \right\},$$

where $\gamma_X(t) = \exp_G(tX)$ is a geodesic starting at the origin **1** with initial velocity X. We call the curve $\exp_K \{t(\frac{q}{2}\xi)\}$ a *charged Reeb flow*. Thus we proved that the magnetic trajectory $\gamma(t)$ is a right translation of a homogeneous geodesic by the charged Reeb flow.

(2) When $c \neq 1$: In this case we define $X_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by (3.3). Then $\gamma(t)$ is rewritten as

$$\gamma(t) = \exp_{G \times K}(tX) \exp_K\left\{t\left(\frac{q}{2}\xi\right)\right\}$$

Theorem 4.1. The contact magnetic curve starting at the origin of the Berger 3-sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ of holomorphic sectional curvature $c \neq 1$ with initial velocity $X = X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ is given explicitly by

(4.2)
$$\gamma(t) = \exp_{G \times K}(tX) \exp_K\left\{t\left(\frac{q}{2}\xi\right)\right\}.$$

We conclude this section with some observations.

Remark 10. A contact magnetic curve $\gamma_{W,V}(t) = \exp_G(tW) \exp_K(-tV)$, where $W = ue_1 + ve_2 + we_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $V = (\frac{1-c}{4}w - \frac{q}{2})e_3 \in \mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with $c \neq 1$ is Legendre if and only if $w = -\frac{2q}{c+3}$.

Remark 11. The contact magnetic curve $\gamma(t) = \gamma_X(t) \exp_K\{t(\frac{q}{2}\xi)\}$ in the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^3 , where $\gamma_X(t) = \exp_G(tX)$ is a geodesic starting at the origin **1** with initial velocity X, is periodic if and only if

$$\frac{q+\sqrt{q^2-4q\cos\sigma+4}}{q-\sqrt{q^2-4q\cos\sigma+4}}\in\mathbb{Q}.$$

This is precisely the periodicity condition obtained in [19, Theorem 6.1]. Here σ is the constant contact angle of the curve γ .

APPENDIX A. OTHER MODELS OF BERGER SPHERE

In Appendices we exhibit other models of Berger spheres.

A.1. Berger-Chavel model. Consider the direct product Lie group

$$\dot{L} = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R} = \{ (A, t) \mid A \in \mathrm{SU}(2), \ t \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

of G = SU(2) and the abelian group $\tilde{K} = \mathbb{R}$. The product Lie group \tilde{L} is identified with the closed subgroup

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} x_0 + \sqrt{-1}x_3 & -x_2 + \sqrt{-1}x_1 & 0\\ x_2 + \sqrt{-1}x_1 & x_0 - \sqrt{-1}x_3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & e^t \end{array} \right) \middle| x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

of $GL_3\mathbb{C}$. Note that this linear Lie group is isomorphic to the multiplicative group $\mathbf{H}^{\times} = \mathbf{H} \setminus \{0\}$ of the skew field \mathbf{H} of quaternions.

The center \tilde{Z} of \tilde{L} is

$$\tilde{Z} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \pm \mathbf{1} & 0\\ 0 & e^t \end{array} \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Theorem A.1 ([5]). Any non-trivial discrete central subgroup of \tilde{L} is conjugate to exactly one of the following discrete subgroups:

$$\Gamma_{1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad \Gamma_{2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \\
\Gamma_{3} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^{n}\mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \quad \Gamma_{4} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \pm \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{n} \end{pmatrix} \middle| n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathbb{R}$ of \tilde{L} is identified with

$$\left\{ x_1 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + x_3 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + t \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| x_1, x_2, x_3, t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The connected Lie groups corresponding to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathbb{R}$ are isomorphic to one of the following five Lie groups:

(1) $\tilde{L} = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$. (2) $\tilde{L}/\Gamma_1 \cong \mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{R}$. (3) $\tilde{L}/\Gamma_2 \cong \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1$. (4) $\tilde{L}/\Gamma_3 \cong \mathrm{U}(2)$. (5) $\tilde{L}/\Gamma_4 \cong \mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

We extend the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ to an inner product on $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathbb{R}$ by the rule

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{i} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{j} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{k} & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} \boldsymbol{0} & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \right\}$$

is orthonormal. Then the resulting Riemannian metric on \tilde{L} is bi-invariant. For any $r \in (0, \pi/2]$ we define a Lie subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h}_r = \mathbb{R}\left\{\cos r \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{k} & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right) + \sin r \left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{0} & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)\right\}.$$

Then the corresponding Lie subgroup is

$$H_r = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \exp(t\cos r\sqrt{-1}) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \exp(-t\cos r\sqrt{-1}) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & t\sin r \end{array} \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{n}_r := \mathfrak{h}_r^{\perp}$ is spanned by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, -\sin r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cos r \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let us identify the tangent space of $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R})/H_r$ at the origin with \mathfrak{n}_r . Then $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R})/H_r$ is a normal homogeneous space. The normal homogeneous Riemannian space $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R})/H_r$ with $r \neq \pi/2$ is the original Berger sphere introduced by Berger (see also [8, 33]). Note that when $r = \pi/2$, $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R})/H_{\pi/2} = \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is a (non-symmetric) normal homogeneous representation of the 3-sphere \mathbb{S}^3 . Sakai [32] constructed a homothetic Sasakian structure on $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R})/H_r$.

For higher dimensional generalizations of the Berger sphere, we refer to [29].

Appendix B. The U(2)-model

In this section we give a normal homogeneous space representation L/H for the Berger sphere with $L = U(2) = \{P \in \operatorname{GL}_2 \mathbb{C} \mid P \not \overline{P} = \mathbf{1}\}.$

B.0.1. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$ of the unitary group L = U(2) is the direct sum of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and the centrer

$$\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2)) = \mathbb{R}\boldsymbol{z}, \quad \boldsymbol{z} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}\mathbf{1}.$$

Every element $X \in \mathfrak{u}(2)$ is expressed as the form

$$X = \boldsymbol{x} + \frac{\operatorname{tr} X}{2} \boldsymbol{1} = \boldsymbol{x} - \sqrt{-1} \operatorname{tr} X \boldsymbol{z}, \quad \boldsymbol{x} = x_1 \boldsymbol{i} + x_2 \boldsymbol{j} + x_3 \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

The Killing form $\mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{u}(2)}$ of $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ is given by

$$\mathsf{B}_{\mathfrak{u}(2)}(X,Y) = 4\mathrm{tr}(XY) - 2\mathrm{tr}(X)\mathrm{tr}(Y).$$

In particular, $B_{\mathfrak{u}(2)} = 0$ on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2))$. Note that the Killing form B of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is the restriction of $B_{\mathfrak{u}(2)}$ to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Since $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2))$ is the center, we have $[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2)), \mathfrak{g}] = \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. Hence $\mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ is reductive. The restriction of $B_{\mathfrak{u}(2)}$ to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ coincides with the Killing form of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$.

We extend the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ to an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{1,\lambda}$ on $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ as (see [3]):

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{1,\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}) + \lambda^2 \operatorname{tr} X \,\overline{\operatorname{tr} Y}, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}(2).$$

Here λ is a positive constant. The basis $\{i, j, k, \lambda^{-1}z\}$ is orthonormal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$. One can check that the U-tensor (1.2) of \mathfrak{u} with respect to this inner product vanishes. Thus the inner product induces a bi-invariant Riemannian metric on L = U(2). Note that every bi-invariant Riemannian metric on U(2) is homothetic to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{1,\lambda}$ (see [3]). When $\lambda = 1/2$, the inner product $\langle X, Y \rangle_{1,1/2}$ coincides with the standard inner product

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{1,1/2} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(XY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{u}(2).$$

Remark 12. The inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha}$ used in [3] is written as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\alpha} = 2 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{1,\sqrt{\alpha/2}}.$$

B.0.2. The center Z(U(2)) of the unitary group U(2) is given by

$$Z(\mathbf{U}(2)) = \exp \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(2)) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{t\sqrt{-1}/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{t\sqrt{-1}/2} \end{array} \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Obviously Z(U(2)) is isomorphic to the circle group $U(1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid z\overline{z} = 1\}$ as well as the Lie subgroup $K \subset G$.

Remark 13. Via the conjugation, 1-parameter subgroups of U(2) are expressed as

$$H_{\nu_1,\nu_2} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{\nu_1 t \sqrt{-1}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\nu_2 t \sqrt{-1}} \end{array} \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

for some $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that when $\nu_1 = \nu_2 \neq 0, H_{\nu_1,\nu_1} = Z(U(1))$.

Moreover, by conjugation and reparametization, we can normalize the one-parameter subgroups as $H_{\nu,1}$ (see [36, Proposition 3]).

One can see that U(2) is isomorphic to the product Lie group $G \times U(1)$. Indeed, let us identify U(1) with the center Z(U(2)). The determinant det A of $A \in U(2)$ is a unit complex number. So we may represent det A as det $A = e^{t_A \sqrt{-1}}$. Then every element $A \in U(2)$ is decomposed as

$$A = \left(A \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{-t_A \sqrt{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t_A \sqrt{-1/2}} \end{array} \right) \right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{t_A \sqrt{-1/2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t_A \sqrt{-1/2}} \end{array} \right).$$

Thus we obtain the Lie group decomposition

$$\mathbf{U}(2) = G \cdot Z(\mathbf{U}(2)).$$

This decomposition implies that the map $\Psi_0 : \mathrm{U}(2) \to G \times K$ by

$$\Psi_0(A) = \left(A \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{-t_A \sqrt{-1}/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-t_A \sqrt{-1}/2} \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{-t_A \sqrt{-1}/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{t_A \sqrt{-1}/2} \end{array} \right) \right)$$

is a Lie group isomorphism.

Here we describe the action of L on G under the identification $L = G \times K$. Take an element $A \in L$, then split A as

$$A = A_G A_Z, \quad A_G \in G, \ A_Z \in Z(L).$$

The component A_Z is expressed as

$$A_Z = \sqrt{\det A} \mathbf{1} = \exp(t_A \sqrt{-1}/2) \mathbf{1}.$$

Next we set

$$A_{K} = \exp(-t_{A}\boldsymbol{k}/2) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-t_{A}\sqrt{-1}/2) & 0\\ 0 & \exp(t_{A}\sqrt{-1}/2) \end{pmatrix} \in K.$$

Then the action of $A \in L$ on $X \in G$ is defined by

$$A \cdot X = A_G X A_K^{-1}.$$

Example B.1 (Locally polar action). Let us consider the action of the 1-parameter subgroup H_{ν_1,ν_2} . For an element

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{\nu_1 t \sqrt{-1}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{\nu_2 t \sqrt{-1}} \end{array} \right).$$

Then A is decomposed as

$$A_{G} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2})t\sqrt{-1/2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{-(\nu_{1}-\nu_{2})t\sqrt{-1/2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_{K} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2})t\sqrt{-1/2}} & 0\\ 0 & e^{(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2})t\sqrt{-1/2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then for

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & -\bar{\beta} \\ \beta & \bar{\alpha} \end{array}\right) \in G,$$

we have

$$A_G X A_K^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha e^{\nu_1 t \sqrt{-1}} & -\bar{\beta} e^{-\nu_2 t \sqrt{-1}} \\ \beta e^{\nu_2 t \sqrt{-1}} & \bar{\alpha} e^{-\nu_1 t \sqrt{-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This action is abbreviated as

$$\left(e^{\nu_1 t\sqrt{-1}}, e^{\nu_2 t\sqrt{-1}}\right) \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = \left(\alpha e^{\nu_1 t\sqrt{-1}}, \beta e^{\nu_2 t\sqrt{-1}}\right).$$

In case $H_{1,1} = Z(L)$, $A_G = \mathbf{1}$, $A = A_Z$ and $A_K = \exp(-t\mathbf{k})$ for $A \in Z(L)$ and we have

$$\left(e^{t\sqrt{-1}}, e^{t\sqrt{-1}}\right) \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = \left(\alpha e^{t\sqrt{-1}}, \beta e^{t\sqrt{-1}}\right).$$

On the other hand, for the case $H_{1,-1} = K_1$, $A = A_G$, $A_Z = \mathbf{1}$ and $A_K = A^{-1}$. The action is

$$\left(e^{t\sqrt{-1}}, e^{-t\sqrt{-1}}\right) \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = \left(\alpha e^{t\sqrt{-1}}, \beta e^{-t\sqrt{-1}}\right)$$

Now let us consider the action of $H_{0,1}$ on G. In this case $A_G = A_K$ for any $A \in H_{0,1}$. Thus $A \cdot X = \operatorname{Ad}(A_K)X$. The action is

$$\left(1, e^{t\sqrt{-1}}\right) \cdot (\alpha, \beta) = \left(\alpha, \beta e^{t\sqrt{-1}}\right)$$

Di Scala [11] proved that the action of $H_{0,1}$ on G is locally polar when and only when c = 1.

The product Lie group $\tilde{L} = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ is regarded as the universal covering of U(2). Indeed, let us define a map $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{L} \to \mathrm{U}(2)$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & -\bar{\beta}\\ \beta & \bar{\alpha}\end{array}\right), t\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & -\bar{\beta}\\ \beta & \bar{\alpha}\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc}e^{t\sqrt{-1}/2} & 0\\ 0 & e^{t\sqrt{-1}/2}\end{array}\right).$$

Then $\tilde{\psi}$ factors through a Lie group isomorphism ψ : SU(2) × U(1) \rightarrow U(2) and $\psi = \Psi_0^{-1}$ holds.

Remark 14. Under $\tilde{\psi}$, the isotropy subgroup $H_r \subset \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ is transformed to H_{ν_1,ν_2} with

$$\nu_1 = \cos r + \frac{1}{2}\sin r, \quad \nu_2 = -\cos r + \frac{1}{2}\sin r.$$

In particular, when $r = \pi/2$, $H_{\pi/2}$ corresponds to Z(U(2)). Next if we choose $r = \tan^{-1} 2 \in (0, \pi/2)$, we have $\nu_1 = 2/\sqrt{5}$ and $\nu_2 = 0$.

B.0.3. Let us pay attention to

$$H = H_{0,1} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t\sqrt{-1}} \end{array} \right) \middle| t \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cong \mathrm{U}(1) = \left\{ e^{t\sqrt{-1}} \mid t \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Since $L \cap H = \mathbf{1}$, L is a semi-direct product of G and $H \cong U(1)$ with respect to the representation

$$\rho\left(e^{\sqrt{-1}t}\right)A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & e^{t\sqrt{-1}} \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & e^{-t\sqrt{-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The multiplication law is explicitly given by

$$\left(A_1, e^{t_1\sqrt{-1}}\right)\left(A_2, e^{t_2\sqrt{-1}}\right) = \left(A_1\rho_1(e^{t_1\sqrt{-1}})A_2, e^{(t_1+t_2)\sqrt{-1}}\right)$$

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\bar{\beta}_1 \\ \beta_1 & \bar{\alpha}_1 \end{pmatrix}, e^{t_1\sqrt{1}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & -\bar{\beta}_2 \\ \beta_2 & \bar{\alpha}_2 \end{pmatrix}, e^{t_2\sqrt{1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & -\bar{\beta}_1 \\ \beta_1 & \bar{\alpha}_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2 & -\bar{\beta}_2 e^{-t_1\sqrt{-1}} \\ \beta_2 e^{t_1\sqrt{-1}} & \bar{\alpha}_2 \end{pmatrix}, e^{(t_1+t_2)\sqrt{-1}} \end{pmatrix},$$

the multiplication law is abbreviated as

$$\left(\alpha_{1},\beta_{1},e^{t_{1}\sqrt{-1}}\right)\left(\alpha_{2},\beta_{2},e^{t_{2}\sqrt{-1}}\right) = \left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} - \bar{\beta}_{1}\beta_{2}e^{t_{1}\sqrt{-1}},\alpha_{2}\beta_{1} + \bar{\alpha}_{1}\beta_{2}e^{t_{1}\sqrt{-1}},e^{(t_{1}+t_{2})\sqrt{-1}}\right).$$

B.1. Let us consider the semi-direct product $L = SU(2) \ltimes_{\rho} H \cong U(2)$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} of H is spanned by

$$\boldsymbol{h} = \boldsymbol{z} - \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{k} = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{-1} \end{array}
ight).$$

Then $\{i, j, k, h\}$ is a basis of l = u(2) and $H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$. The vector h satisfies the commutation relations

$$[oldsymbol{h},oldsymbol{i}]=-oldsymbol{j},\quad [oldsymbol{h},oldsymbol{j}]=oldsymbol{i},\quad [oldsymbol{h},oldsymbol{k}]=oldsymbol{0}.$$

The orthogonal complement $\mathfrak{n} := \mathfrak{h}^{\perp}$ of \mathfrak{h} is spanned by the orthonormal basis

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \frac{2\lambda}{\sqrt{1+4\lambda^2}} \left(\boldsymbol{k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{z}}{2\lambda^2} \right) \right\}.$$

Since

$$\boldsymbol{k} + \frac{\boldsymbol{z}}{2\lambda^2} = \frac{1+4\lambda^2}{4\lambda^2}\boldsymbol{k} + \frac{1}{2\lambda^2}\boldsymbol{h},$$

the above orthonormal basis is rewritten as

$$\left\{ oldsymbol{i},oldsymbol{j},rac{\sqrt{1+4\lambda^2}}{2\lambda}\left(oldsymbol{k}+rac{2}{1+4\lambda^2}oldsymbol{h}
ight)
ight\}$$
 .

The resulting homogeneous Riemannian space L/H is normal homogeneous.

B.2. Now let us perform the homothetical change of the inner product as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_c = \frac{4}{c+3} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{1,\lambda}$$

on $\mathfrak{u}(2)$ for c > -3. Then we have the following orthonormal basis

$$\bar{e}_1 = \frac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2} i, \ \bar{e}_2 = \frac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2} j, \ \bar{e}_3 = \frac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2} \frac{\sqrt{1+4\lambda^2}}{2\lambda} \left(k + \frac{2}{1+4\lambda^2} h \right)$$

of \mathfrak{n} .

Hereafter we assume that c > 1 and choose

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c-1}} > 0.$$

Then we have

$$ar{e}_1 = rac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2}m{i}, \ ar{e}_2 = rac{\sqrt{c+3}}{2}m{j}, \ ar{e}_3 = rac{c+3}{4}\left(m{k} + rac{2(c-1)}{c+3}m{h}
ight).$$

Remark 15. The case $\lambda = 1/2$ corresponds to c = 5. Thus the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(5)$ is represented as a normal homogeneous space U(2)/U(1) with respect to the Riemannian metric induced from the standard bi-invariant Riemannian metric on U(2). On $\mathcal{M}^3(5)$ we have

$$\bar{e}_1 = \sqrt{2}i, \quad \bar{e}_2 = \sqrt{2}j, \quad e_3 = 2(k+h).$$

The linear isomorphism

$$e_1 \longmapsto \bar{e}_1, \quad e_2 \longmapsto \bar{e}_2, \quad e_3 \longmapsto \bar{e}_3$$

is a linear isometry from \mathfrak{m} to \mathfrak{n} . Thus the normal homogeneous space L/H is isometric to the Berger sphere $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ with c > 1. Note that the reductive decomposition $\mathfrak{u}(2) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ coincides with the naturally reductive decomposition given in [15, Theorem 3.1] with $t = \varepsilon = 4/(c+3)$.

Remark 16. The diameter of $\mathcal{M}^3(c)$ is computed in [13, Corollary 3.18].

- If $c \le 1$, the diameter is $\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{c+3}}$.
- If 1 < c < 5, then the diameter is ^{4π}/_{c+3}.
 If c ≥ 5, the diameter is ^π/_{√c-1}.

In the previous remark we pointed out that c = 5 is, in some sense, special. This observation is consistent with the above table of the diameter. Note that the diameter was computed independently by Podobryaev in [30].

Remark 17. As is well known the standard almost complex structure J on a Riemannian product $M^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ of a Sasakian 3-manifold M^3 and the real is integrable because the integrability of J is the normality of almost contact Riemannian structure. The resulting Hermitian surface $(M^3 \times \mathbb{R}, J)$ is a Vaisman surface. Thus the Riemannian product $\mathcal{M}^3(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ of a Berger sphere and the real line is a Vaisman surface. On the other hand, Sasaki classified left invariant complex structures on U(2) [34]. For more information on left invariant LCK structures on U(2), we refer to [9]. Acknowledgments. The first named author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI JP19K03461, JP23K03081. The second named author was partially supported by FCSU grant of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University, as well as by the Romanian Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, within Program 1 – Development of the national RD system, Subprogram 1.2 – Institutional Performance – RDI excellence funding projects, Contract no.11PFE/30.12.2021.

References

- V. I. Arnold, Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics, Nauka, Moscow 1974. English translation: Grad. Texts in Math. 60, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- [2] A. Arvanitoyeorgos, Homogeneous manifolds whose geodesics are orbits. Recent results and some open problems, Irish Math. Soc. Bull. 79 (2017) 5-29 (see also arXiv:1706.09618).
- [3] V. N. Berestovskii, D. E. Vol'per, A class of U(n)-invariant Riemannian metrics on manifolds diffeomorphic to odd-dimensional spheres, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 34 (1993), 24–32 (in Russian). English translation: Siberian Math. J. 34 (1993), 612–619.
- [4] M. Berger, Les variétés riemanniennes homogènes normales simplement connexes à courbure strictement positive, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. (3) 15 (1961) no. 3, 179–246.
- [5] R. Biggs, C. C. Remsing, On the classification of real four-dimensional Lie groups, J. Lie Theory 26 (2016), 1001–1035.
- [6] A. V. Bolsinov, B. Jovanović, Magnetic flows on homogeneous spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 83 (2008), no. 3, 679–700.
- [7] A. V. Bolsinov, I. A. Taimanov, Integrable geodesic flows with positive topological entropy, Invent. Math. 140 (2000), no. 3, 639–650.
- [8] I. Chavel, A class of Riemannian homogeneous spaces, J. Differential Geom. 4 (1970), 13–20.
- [9] V. Cortés, K. Hasegawa, Unimodular Sasaki and Vaisman Lie groups, Math. Z. 303 (2023), Article number 84.
- [10] J. E. D'Atri, W. Ziller, Naturally Reductive Metrics and Einstein Metrics on Compact Lie Groups, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 215 (1979).
- [11] A. J. Di Scala, Polar actions on Berger spheres, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. 3 (2006), no. 3, 1019–1023.
- [12] S.L. Druta-Romaniuc, J. Inoguchi, M.I. Munteanu, and A.I. Nistor, Magnetic curves in Sasakian manifolds, J. Nonlinear Math. Physics, 22 (2015) 3, 428–447.
- [13] S. Engel, On the geometry and trigonometry of homogeneous 3-manifolds with 4-dimensional isometry group, Math. Z. 254 (2006), 439–459.
- [14] D. Ferus, U. Pinkall, Constant curvature 2-spheres in the 4-sphere, Math. Z. 200 (1989), no. 2, 265–271.
- [15] P. M. Gadea, J. A. Oubiña, Homogeneous Riemannian structures on Berger 3-spheres, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., II. 48 (2005), no. 2, 375–387.
- [16] J. C. González-Dávila, Jacobi osculating rank and isotropic geodesics on naturally reductive 3-manifolds, Differ. Geom. Appl. 27 (2009), no. 4, 482–495.
- [17] C. S. Gordon, Naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, Canadian J. Math. 37 (1985), no. 3, 467–487.
- [18] D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, T. S. Ratiu, The Euler-Poincar´e equations and semidirect products with applications to continuum theories, Advances in Math. 137 (1998), no. 1, 1–81.
- [19] J. Inoguchi, M. I. Munteanu, Periodic magnetic curves in Berger spheres, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 69 (2017), no. 1, 113–128.
- [20] J. Inoguchi, M. I. Munteanu, Slant curves and magnetic curves, in: Contact Geometry of Slant Submanifolds, Springer Nature Singapore, Singapore, 2022, pp. .199–259.
- [21] J. Inoguchi, M. I. Munteanu, Magnetic Jacobi fields in 3-dimensional Sasakian space forms, The Journal of Geometric Analysis 32 (2022), no. 33, Article number 96.
- [22] J. Inoguchi and M. I. Munteanu, Magnetic Jacobi Fields in Sasakian Space Forms, Mediterr. J. Math. 20 (2023) 1, art. 29.

- [23] J. Inoguchi and M. I. Munteanu, Homogeneity of magnetic trajectories in the real special linear group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 152 (2024) 3, 1287–1300.
- [24] T. Jentsch, G. Weingart, Jacobi relations on naturally reductive spaces, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 59 (2021), no. 1, 109–156.
- [25] O. Kowalski, L. Vanhecke, Riemannian manifolds with homogeneous geodesics, Boll. Un. Math. Ital. B (7) 5 (1991), no. 1, 189–246.
- [26] E. A. Lauret, The smallest Laplace eigenvalue of homogeneous 3-spheres, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 51 (2019), no. 1, 49–69.
- [27] A. A. Magazëv, I. V. Shirokov, Yu. A. Yurevich, Integrable magnetic geodesic flows on Lie groups, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. 156 (2008), no. 2, 189–206. English translation: Theoret. and Math. Phys. 156 (2008), no. 2, 1127–1141.
- [28] S. Montaldo, I. I. Onnis, Helix surfaces in the Berger sphere, Israel J. Math., 201 (2014), no. 2, 949–966.
- [29] C. E. Olmos, A. Rodríguez-Vázquez, Hopf fibrations and totally geodesic submanifolds, preprint (2023), arXiv:2302.11711.
- [30] A. V. Podobryaev, Diameter of the Berger sphere, Mat. Zametki 103 (2018), no. 5, 779–784. English translation: Math. Notes 103 (2018), no. 5, 846–851.
- [31] C. Rakotoniaina, Cut locus of the B-spheres, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 3 (1985), 313–327.
- [32] T. Sakai, The contact structures on $\{SU(n+1) \times R/SU(n)\}_{\alpha}$ and $\{Sp(n) \times SU(2)/Sp(n-1) \times SU(2)\}_{\alpha}$ of Berger, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **25** (1973) no. 4, 145–155.
- [33] T. Sakai, Cut loci of Berger's spheres, Hokkaido Math. J. 10 (1981), no. 1, 143–155.
- [34] T. Sasaki, Classification of left invariant complex structures on GL(2, R) and U(2), Kumamoto J. Sci. Math. 14 (1981), 115–123.
- [35] F. Torralbo, Rotationally invariant constant mean curvature surfaces in homogeneous 3-manifolds, Differential Geom. Appl. 28 (2010), no. 5, 593–607.
- [36] F. Torralbo, Compact minimal surfaces in the Berger spheres, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 41 (2012), no. 4, 391–405.
- [37] F. Torralbo, J. Van der Veken, Rotationally invariant constant Gauss curvature surfaces in Berger spheres, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 489 (2020), no. 2, 124–183.
- [38] A. Weinstein, Distance spheres in complex projective spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), no. 3, 649–650.
- [39] B. Wilking, The normal homogeneous space (SU(3) × SO(3))/U[•](2) has positive sectional curvature, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), no. 4, 1191–1194.
- [40] W. Ziller, The Jacobi equation on naturally reductive compact Riemannian homogeneous spaces, Comment. Math. Helvetici. 52 (1977) 573–590.