

Asymptotics for Some Logistic Maps and the Renormalization Group

Paulo A. Faria da Veiga* and Michael O'Carroll†

*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada e Estatística - ICMC, USP-São Carlos,
C.P. 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil*

(Dated: June 22, 2024.)

We explain the relation between the $r = 1$ case of the logistic map $x_{i+1} = r x_i (1 - x_i)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $r > 0$ and $x_0 \geq 0$, and the renormalization group flow arising in the multiscale analysis of interesting zero fixed point, asymptotic free quantum field theory models such as the ultraviolet (1+1)-dimensional Gross-Neveu model and QCD, and the infrared ϕ_4^4 model. We obtain the asymptotics of the mapping, which shows an inverse power decay approach to the fixed point $x^* = 0$, Gaussian fixed point, with additional logarithmic-like corrections. This asymptotic behavior is independent of the initial condition $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ (hence, there is no constraint for x_0 to be small, as usual in quantum field models), and only depends on the lowest orders in a polynomial perturbation. In asymptotic free quantum field theory, this amounts to say that knowing the renormalization group β -function expansion in the coupling constant, up to higher orders, does not improve our knowledge of the asymptotics of the coupling flow. A comparison with a similar differential equation with continuous time is made by analyzing stability of this kind of solution and higher order monomial perturbations. We also obtain the detailed asymptotics for $0 < r < 1$. As well, our methods can be applied when $r \in (1, 3]$. It is known, but without detailed asymptotics, that all trajectories with initial condition $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$ converge to the fixed point $x^* = (r - 1)/r$. For $r = 2$, the super attractive case, we obtain an explicit exact solution which exhibits an exploding, non-constant exponential decay rate approach to the $x^* = (1/2)$ fixed point. Our methods include the use of iterations, a discrete version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, a discrete version of the integrating factor method for first order linear ODEs and, sometimes, a scaling transformation. To obtain these results, we do *not* use the traditional Banach contraction mapping theorem, which only provides an upper bound on the asymptotics. We expect that our methods can be employed to determine the asymptotics of the logistic map for a wider range of parameters, where other fixed points are present.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Ha, 02.30.Tb, 11.10.St, 24.85.+p

Keywords: Logistic Map, Asymptotic Solution, Ultraviolet Limit; Infrared Limit; Renormalization Group; Continuum Limit in QFT

This modest note is dedicated to the memory of our friend Krzysztof Gawedzki who made important contributions to mathematics and mathematical physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

For real x_i and $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, the so called *logistic map* is defined by

$$x_{i+1} = r x_i (1 - x_i) \quad , \quad r > 0. \quad (1)$$

For $r \in (0, 4]$, the mapping $x \mapsto f(x) = r x (1 - x)$ takes $x \in [0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$. The function $f(x)$ has the fixed points $x^* = 0$ and $x^* = (r - 1)/r$.

In Eq. (1), by making the transformation $x_i = \beta \lambda_i$ and the identification $r \equiv \alpha$, we can define the map (in an extended domain) in the more traditional Verhulst form [1], namely,

$$\lambda_{i+1} = \alpha \lambda_i (1 - \beta \lambda_i) \quad , \quad \alpha, \beta > 0, \quad (2)$$

*Electronic address: veiga@icmc.usp.br. Orcid Registration Number: 0000-0003-0739-069X.

†Electronic address: michaelocarroll@gmail.com

This map is of a great relevance in the analysis of dynamical systems (see e.g. Refs. [2, 3] and the papers cited therein), in problems of a general mathematical context, in physics, and even in some domains of human and social sciences [4–7].

The origin of this map amounts to the formulation of the famous 1845 population model by Verhulst [1] which incorporates a brake in the exponential growth arising in the Malthus model. If $N(t)$ denotes the population of a given specie at time $t > 0$, the Verhulst nonlinear, Ricatti-type first order ordinary differential equation reads ($a, b > 0$)

$$\frac{d}{dt}N(t) = aN(t) - b[N(t)]^2 \quad , \quad t > 0, \quad (3)$$

which is usually considered with the initial condition $N(t_0 > 0) = N_0$. For $N_\infty = (a/b)$, its solution gives rise to the logistic curve (for $N_0 < N_\infty$)

$$N(t) = \frac{N_0 N_\infty}{N_0 + (N_\infty - N_0) \exp[-a(t - t_0)]}. \quad (4)$$

which satisfies $N(t) = N_\infty$ as $t \nearrow \infty$, and changes the concavity from positive to negative at $N(t) = N_\infty/2$. Also, for fixed t , we have that (recalling $N_\infty = a/b$)

$$\mathcal{N}(t) \equiv \lim_{a \searrow 0} N(t) = \frac{N_0}{1 + N_0 b (t - t_0)}, \quad (5)$$

such as we have a pure power law decay in $(t - t_0)$. The limit of $[(t - t_0)\mathcal{N}(t)]$ as $t \nearrow \infty$ is independent of the initial state (condition) N_0 and is given by $(1/b)$, which is singular at $b = 0$.

In Quantum Field Theory (QFT) [8–12], a the special case $r = 1$ of the logistic map makes its appearance when the renormalization group (RG) formalism is used to perform a rigorous multiscale analysis of a QFT model. When doing so, by the RG map, the model Hamiltonian is mapped on an effective Hamiltonian model with a lower momentum cutoff (in Fourier space, we go from short distance scales to longer distances). Equivalently, the model parameters (such as the particle masses, couplings and field strengths) undergo a flow in a convenient space for the mapping. In particular, the coupling parameter flow does verify the logistic map in case of asymptotically free models, e.g. the $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional Gross-Neveu, pure fermionic model with a quartic interaction (for the ultraviolet limit case), the $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional bosonic quartic massless scalar model (ϕ_4^4) (for the infrared limit case) [13–17] and the short distance behavior of $(3 + 1)$ -dimensional Yang-Mills theories and QCD [8, 10, 18–20].

In constructive QFT, even in cases of models with a small coupling, perturbation expansion is *not* performed, since the perturbation series may not converge. Instead, we use other expansions (polymer, cluster, etc) to extract only the leading perturbation order for some quantity and apply a cumulant type bound to the remainder. In the rigorous multiscale method based on the RG formalism, the flow for the model parameters is analytically controlled using induction hypotheses on the parameter behaviors on the number of iteration variable (say, the ‘time’ n). To prove the induction stabilizes, it is very useful to obtain the asymptotics of the RG flow as explicit and precise as possible, at least in its leading part. In a more general context, the RG appears in the pioneering works of Refs. [21–26]. A nice review is given in Ref. [27].

To what concerns quantum physics, we mainly deal with the special case $\alpha \equiv r = 1$ of Eq. (2). Concerning the logistic map, our methods are found to apply to the case $0 < r < 1$ and we obtain the detailed asymptotic behavior $\lim_{n \nearrow \infty} (\ln \lambda_n/n) = \ln r$, in Appendix A. Our methods can also be applied to the cases $1 < r \leq 3$ with the fixed point $x^* = (r - 1)/r$, except for $r = 2$, where $x^* = (1/2)$ is a fixed point. In the $r = 2$ case, the fixed point is a super attractor, i.e. the derivative of $f(x)$ at the fixed point is zero. We obtain an explicit exact solution for x_n which has an explosive non-constant exponential decay rate of $[2^n |\ln(2x_0 - 1)|]/n$ which grows super fast as $n \nearrow \infty$ and depends on the initial state $x_0 \in (0, 1)$.

It is worthwhile to stress that here, by asymptotics, we mean some control over the rate of convergence of the trajectory to a fixed point x^* . For example, we want to know if the decay is power-like, exponential-like, etc. We warn the reader that some authors use the term *exponential law* to characterize a bound on the way the fixed point is approached and not the *functional behavior* of the approach to the fixed point. A case in point is the well known Banach contraction mapping principle [5, 28]. One of its consequences is that the trajectory to a fixed point is bounded by a exponential; no more details are given.

From the dynamical system point of view, the $r = 1$ case corresponds to the case of a parabolic fixed point (see Refs. [5, 29] for a classification of fixed points). For $r = 1$, if $f(x) = x(1 - \beta x)$, then $f(0) = 0$ and the derivative of f at zero is 1. We emphasize that, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results on the *detailed asymptotics* of the solution to Eq. (2), for $r \in (0, 3]$.

In QFT, the $\alpha \equiv r = 1$ case corresponds essentially to what we obtain for the flow of the coupling constant λ_i in the case of a marginal canonical scaling. Here, one of our goals is to obtain the asymptotic behavior for this case,

in terms of n . We obtain the asymptotics $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \lambda_n = 1/\beta$ which is independent of the size of the initial state $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1/\beta)$ [see Theorems 1 and 2 below]. In other treatments (e.g. [13, 14, 17]), λ_0 is constrained to be small. Obtaining the precise asymptotics for the leading flow of the λ_i , without this smallness restriction is also one of the achievements of this paper.

Without solving in detail, our method to obtain asymptotics applies to the case $r \in (1, 3]$. In addition to the fixed point $x^* = 0$, there is another fixed point at $x^* = [(r-1)/r]$. For $r = 2$, in the last section, we obtain an explicit exact formula for x_n (see Eq. (39)). This formula exhibits a super attractive approach to the fixed point $x^* = (1/2)$ with a dependence on the initial condition. For $r \in (1, 3]$, without a detailed asymptotics, it is proved in Proposition 2.5.2 of [5] that all trajectories with $x_0 \in (-1, 1)$ converge to the fixed point $x^* = (r-1)/r$.

Another clear conclusion emerging from our results, for $r = 1$, is a stability condition that says the leading asymptotic behavior for the coupling λ_i in QFT of asymptotic free models is determined only by the first few order coefficients in the Taylor expansion of the β renormalization function in the renormalized coupling parameter. For example, in the perturbative approach to the spacetime two-dimensional Gross-Neveu model, it suffices to know the β function at the one and two-loop level, i.e. the coefficients β_2 and β_3 [30], such that

$$\beta \equiv \beta(\lambda) = \beta_2 \lambda^2 + \beta_3 \lambda^3 + \beta_4 \lambda^4 + \dots \quad (6)$$

Knowing the coefficient β_2 and checking it has the good sign ($\beta_2 < 0$ is enough to treat the ultraviolet limit of the two-dimensional Gross-Neveu model where the running coupling decreases as the momentum increases, and $\beta_2 > 0$ to treat the infrared limit of the massless quartic scalar model in four dimensions, where the running coupling decreases as the momentum decreases) is enough to control the RG map (stabilizing the induction hypothesis *non-perturbatively*) and proving the existence of a zero (Gaussian) fixed point, which is tautological to the existence of its continuum limit.

Using recursively the approximate asymptotic solution in terms of β_2 , the knowledge of the coefficients $\beta_{j>3}$, independently of their size and signs, may only introduce an extra requirement on the size of the initial condition λ_0 . This condition occurs in the generalization of the logistic-type equation, which we treat in a continuum version in section III, adding a cubic term. We show that the asymptotics of the solution, in this case, is determined by the quadratic term $\beta_2 \lambda^2$, by a suitable restriction on the initial conditions. In doing this, the methods described in [31] are useful.

We formulate the problem and derive our results for the $r \equiv \alpha = 1$ case in the next section. and make general comments in section IV. In the context of QFT, using the block renormalization group in [15, 16, 32, 33], the models ϕ_3^4 and QED_3 are proved to obey ultraviolet stability bounds. In this approach, a sequence of actions and a sequence of their associated parameters are generated. The flow in the parameter space is successfully controlled applying the contraction mapping principle. For completeness, we obtain the asymptotics for the logistic map in the contractive region $0 < r \equiv \alpha < 1$ in Appendix A. Section III is devoted to determining the asymptotics for a logistic type mapping in the continuum version and we examine the stability of solutions under higher power monomial perturbations. The results we obtain are given in Theorem 3. In section IV, we make some final comments and conclusions. Since our methods can be used to determine the asymptotics for the whole range $0 < \alpha \leq 3$, in this last section we present the results for the $r = 2$ case which develops a super attractor fixed point at $x^* = (1/2)$.

Lastly, we emphasize that our methods apply, as well, to treat the many parameter flow problem in QFT, where there are many physical flow parameters to be controlled, such as couplings, masses, field strength, etc. This is the scenario in [13–17] with a marginal coupling but also in Ref. [32, 33] where the quartic coupling is relevant in three dimensions.

II. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR FOR THE $r = 1$ LOGISTIC MAP

Setting $\alpha \equiv r = 1$ in Eq. (2), and recalling that $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\beta > 0$, we obtain

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = -\beta \lambda_n^2, \quad \lambda_0 \in (0, 1/\beta). \quad (7)$$

We note that $\lambda_0 = 0$ is a fixed point and, if $\lambda_0 = (1/\beta)$, then $\lambda_{k \geq 1}$ is at the fixed point zero.

From Eq. (7), we see that the sequence $\{\beta \lambda_n\}$ is monotone decreasing so that

$$1 > \beta \lambda_0 > \beta \lambda_1 > \dots > \beta \lambda_k > \beta \lambda_{k+1} > \dots \quad (8)$$

We use a discrete version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus (FTC). To see how this works, first consider an approximation to Eq. (7) where n is replaced by a continuum time variable $t \geq 0$ and λ_n is replaced by $\lambda(t)$. The logistic recursion is replaced by the ODE with initial value

$$\frac{d}{dt} \lambda(t) = -\beta [\lambda(t)]^2, \quad t > 0, \quad \lambda(0) = \lambda_0 > 0, \quad (9)$$

or, simply,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \right] = \beta \quad , \quad t > 0, \quad \lambda(0) = \lambda_0 > 0. \quad (10)$$

From the FTC, we then have

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta t, \quad (11)$$

or

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \beta \lambda_0 t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta t}, \quad \text{for } t \gg 1, \quad (12)$$

which is again a pure power law decay, as in Eq. (5). Of course, this asymptotics is singular in β at $\beta = 0$.

Returning to the discrete case and writing the recursion as

$$\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_{n+1}} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta \lambda_n}, \quad (13)$$

the discrete version of the FTC is the telescopic sum with range on the index in $[0, n + 1]$. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta \lambda_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta(n+1) + \beta^2 \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_k + \beta^3 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\lambda_k^2}{1 - \beta \lambda_k} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta(n+1) + \beta^2 S_1^n + \beta^3 S_{\geq 2}^n, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that, here, we also have the additional terms in β^2 and β^3 , in contrast with the solution for the continuous case of Eq. (11). Note also that, because of Eq. (8), we can use a geometric series expansion to treat the β^3 term.

From Eqs. (14) and (8), and making $(n+1) \rightarrow n$, we immediately derive upper/lower bounds for $(1/\lambda_n)$ given by

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta n \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \frac{\beta n}{1 - \beta \lambda_0}. \quad (15)$$

For the lower bound, we used $(1 - \beta \lambda_k) < 1$; and upper bound $(1 - \beta \lambda_k) > (1 - \beta \lambda_0)$, for all $k \geq 1$.

In terms of λ_n , we summarize our bounds in the theorem below.

Theorem 1 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n^\ell &\equiv \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \frac{\beta \lambda_0}{1 - \beta \lambda_0} n} \rightarrow \frac{1 - \beta \lambda_0}{\beta n}, \quad n \gg 1 \\ \lambda_n^u &\equiv \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \beta \lambda_0 n} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\beta n}, \quad n \gg 1. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Then, we have

$$\lambda_n^\ell \leq \lambda_n \leq \lambda_n^u. \quad (17)$$

The above lower and upper bounds, and their asymptotics, are not equal. But it turns out that the upper bound gives the correct asymptotics. To see this point, it suffices to bound S_1^{n-1} and S_2^{n-1} in Eq. (14) noting that

$$S_{\geq 2}^{n-1} < \frac{1}{1 - \beta \lambda_0} S_2^{n-1}, \quad (18)$$

where $S_2^{n-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k^2$. The inequality follows since $(1 - \beta \lambda_k)^{-1} \leq (1 - \beta \lambda_0)^{-1}$, $k \geq 1$.

Using this bound, and bounding the sums over k by integrals over continuously varying k , we see that S_1^{n-1} and $S_{\geq 2}^{n-1}$ of Eq. (14) enjoy the bounds

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{n-1} &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \beta\lambda_0 k} \leq \lambda_0 \left[1 + \int_0^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + \beta\lambda_0 x} dx \right] \leq \lambda_0 \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta\lambda_0} \ln(1 + \beta\lambda_0 n) \right], \\ S_{\geq 2}^{n-1} &\leq \frac{1}{1 - \beta\lambda_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k^2 \leq \frac{\lambda_0^2}{1 - \beta\lambda_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 + \beta\lambda_0 k)^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_0^2}{1 - \beta\lambda_0} \left[1 + \int_0^{n-1} \frac{1}{(1 + \beta\lambda_0 x)^2} dx \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_0^2}{1 - \beta\lambda_0} \left[1 + \frac{n-1}{1 + \beta\lambda_0(n-1)} \right] \leq \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - \beta\lambda_0} \left(\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

from which we see that the bound for S_1^{n-1} blows up logarithmically and S_2^{n-1} remains bounded for large n .

Again, making $(n+1) \rightarrow n$ in Eq. (14), and dividing by n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n\lambda_n} - \beta &= \frac{\beta^2}{n} S_1^{n-1} + \frac{\beta^3}{n} S_{\geq 2}^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta^2\lambda_0}{n} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\beta\lambda_0} \ln(1 + \beta\lambda_0 n) \right] + \frac{\beta^3\lambda_0}{n(1 - \beta\lambda_0)} \left(\lambda_0 + \frac{1}{\beta} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Taking the $n \nearrow \infty$ limit, the r.h.s. of the first line of Eq. (20) gives zero and we obtain the correct asymptotics which is stated in the theorem below and which agrees with the Verhulst continuum case.

Theorem 2 *The following limit holds*

$$\lim_{n \nearrow \infty} n\lambda_n = \frac{1}{\beta}. \quad (21)$$

To finish, we can ask what we can say about the behavior of

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\lambda_0}{1 + \beta\lambda_0 n + \beta^2\lambda_0 S_1^{n-1} + \beta^3\lambda_0 S_{\geq 2}^{n-1}}, \quad (22)$$

and how it compares with the solution $\lambda(t)$ of Eq. (12) of the continuum model. In Eq. (19), we obtained an upper bound on S_1^{n-1} behaving like $\ln n$. We can use the lower bound in Theorem 1 to show that S_1^{n-1} is also bounded below by a $\ln n$ behavior. Thus, in addition to the $(1/n)$ falloff, there is also a logarithmic-like correction. The term $\beta^3\lambda_0 S_{\geq 2}^{n-1}$ is bounded by an n -independent constant.

In the continuous ODE version, the solution is given in Eq. (12) as $\lambda_0/[1 + \beta\lambda_0 t]$ such as the falloff is a pure power law which, in the discrete case above, we have logarithmic-like corrections due to the presence of the term $\beta^2\lambda_0 S_1^{n-1}$.

III. ASYMPTOTICS FOR A GENERALIZATION OF A LOGISTIC TYPE MODEL

Here we determine the asymptotics for a class of autonomous first order nonlinear ODE's of the form

$$\frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} = F(\lambda(t)) \quad , \quad t > 0 \quad , \quad \lambda(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (23)$$

where $F(\lambda) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nonlinear part, and with initial condition $\lambda(0) = \lambda_0$. Our methods can also be used to determine the asymptotics of some of the discrete versions of this type.

If the function $F(\lambda)$ is continuously differentiable, then local existence and uniqueness of the solution of Eq. (23) is assured [5, 34–36]. We assume these conditions are enforced from now on. Distinct initial conditions give rise to non-intersecting trajectories in the $\lambda \times t$ plane. A trajectory may run off to infinity in a finite time.

As is well-known, by separation of variables, the solution is formally given by

$$G(\lambda) - G(\lambda_0) = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda} \frac{d\lambda}{F(\lambda)} = \int_0^t dt = t \quad \implies \quad G(\lambda) = G(\lambda_0) + t, \quad (24)$$

and the explicit solution is

$$\lambda(t) = G^{-1}(G(\lambda_0) + t). \quad (25)$$

We will consider $F(\lambda)$ as occurs in the RG formalism, multiscale analysis of many lattice and continuum QFT models. We first take:

$$F(\lambda) = -\beta\lambda^2 - \beta_3\lambda^3, \quad \beta > 0, \beta_3 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (26)$$

and determine the $t \nearrow \infty$ asymptotics. The method will make it clear how to treat a wider class of F 's of Eq. (23).

Before considering our specific $F(\lambda)$, we make some comments on the procedure to analyze and obtain a qualitative picture of the trajectories $\lambda(t)$. By unicity, the trajectories do not cross. First, we determine the set of distinct zeroes of $F(\lambda)$. Denote these zeroes by $\lambda_1^* < \lambda_2^* \dots$. These points are called the equilibrium points and they give rise to the constant trajectories, i.e. $\lambda_j(t) = \lambda_j^*$, with $t > 0$. Next, we can independently look at the open interval between two consecutive equilibrium points. For example, let us focus our attention on the interval $(\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$. In this interval, $F(\lambda)$ has the same sign. If $F(\lambda) > 0$ (respectively, $F(\lambda) < 0$), then the trajectory tends to the equilibrium point λ_2^* (respectively, λ_1^*), as $t \nearrow \infty$. This qualitative picture does not give a quantitative information on the rate of convergence to the equilibrium point, i.e. the asymptotics.

We now return to the specific case of the cubic $F(\lambda)$ of Eq. (26). If $\beta = 0$, the explicit solution is

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0^2} + 2\beta_3 t\right]^{1/2}}. \quad (27)$$

For $\beta_3 > 0$, the asymptotics is $\lambda(t) \rightarrow [2\beta_3 t]^{-1/2}$, which is independent of λ_0 . We also note that the decay is slower than the linear decay $[1/(\beta t)]$ of the case $\beta > 0$ and $\beta_3 = 0$. If $\beta_3 < 0$, $\lambda(t)$ becomes infinite in a finite time $t = [2|\beta_3|\lambda_0^2]^{-1}$.

Now, what is the effect of the sign of β_3 on the solution and its asymptotics in the case of Eq. (26), for $\lambda_0 > 0$? We explain intuitively what is going on. For small λ_0 , the trajectory is decaying to zero so that the λ^2 term of Eq. (26) dominates the λ^3 term. Thus, the solution becomes smaller and the asymptotics is determined by the $-\beta\lambda^2$ term.

Considering $F(\lambda)$ of Eq. (26), the asymptotics for both signs of β_3 is easily obtained by using the FTC to obtain an integral representation for $[1/\lambda(t)]$ and qualitative knowledge of the solution permits us to obtain the precise asymptotics. We consider the two cases separately, $\beta_3 > 0$ and $\beta_3 < 0$.

For $\beta > 0$ and $\beta_3 > 0$, we write Eqs. (23) and (26) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} \right] = \beta + \beta_3 \lambda(t). \quad (28)$$

The equilibrium points are $\lambda_1^* = 0$ and $\lambda_2^* = -\beta/\beta_3$.

We only consider $\lambda_0 > 0$. Applying the FTC gives

$$\frac{1}{\lambda(t)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta t + \beta_3 \int_0^t \lambda(u) du \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta t, \quad (29)$$

that is

$$\lambda(t) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{-1} + \beta t}, \quad (30)$$

where the inequality follows since $F(\lambda) < 0$, so that the solution $\lambda(t)$ is positive and monotone decreasing. Thus, $\int_0^t \lambda(u) du > 0$.

The integral term of Eq. (29) has the bound

$$\beta_3 \int_0^t \lambda(u) du \leq \beta_3 \int_0^t \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{-1} + \beta u} du = \frac{\beta_3}{\beta} \ln(1 + \beta \lambda_0 t). \quad (31)$$

Dividing Eq. (29) by t and taking the $t \nearrow \infty$ limit gives

$$\lim_{t \nearrow \infty} \frac{1}{t\lambda(t)} = \beta, \quad (32)$$

which agrees with our preceding similar results. Note that the limit in Eq. (32) is also independent of $\lambda_0 > 0$.

For $\beta > 0$ and $\beta_3 < 0$, the equilibrium points are 0 and $(-\beta/\beta_3) > 0$. We restrict λ_0 to the interval $(0, (-\beta/\beta_3))$. The representation of Eq. (29) also hold in this case. With the above restriction on λ_0 , $\lambda(t)$ is positive and monotone decreasing as $F(\lambda) < 0$.

For $0 < f < 1$, we now write

$$\lambda_0 = -\frac{\beta}{\beta_3}(1 - f). \quad (33)$$

Applying the FTC once more, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\lambda(t)} &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta t + \beta_3 \int_0^t \lambda(u) du \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda_0} + \beta t - |\beta_3| \lambda_0 t, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

or

$$\lambda(t) \leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\lambda_0} + (\beta - |\beta_3| \lambda_0) t}, \quad (35)$$

where we use the fact that $\lambda(t)$ is positive and monotone decreasing. We have also restricted λ_0 to the interval $(0, -\beta/\beta_3 > 0)$.

The integral term of Eq. (34) is bounded by

$$|\beta_3 I(t)| \leq \frac{|\beta_3|}{\beta f} \ln \left(1 + \frac{(1-f)\beta^2 f}{|\beta_3|} t \right). \quad (36)$$

As before, dividing Eq. (29) by t and taking $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty}$ gives the asymptotics of Eq. (32). Hence, $\beta[\lambda(t)]^2$ determines the asymptotics.

We summarize these findings in the following theorem that concludes this section.

Theorem 3 *The solution of the ODE*

$$\frac{d\lambda(t)}{dt} = -\beta\lambda^2 - \beta_3\lambda^3 \quad ; \quad t > 0 \quad , \quad \lambda(t=0) = \lambda_0 \quad , \quad \beta > 0, \quad (37)$$

has the asymptotics

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\beta}, \quad (38)$$

for $\beta_3 > 0$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$ or for $\beta_3 < 0$ and $\lambda_0 \in (0, -(\beta/\beta_3) > 0)$.

IV. FINAL COMMENTS

We determined the asymptotics of the logistic map (equation) $x_{n+1} = r x_n (1 - x_n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for some values of $r > 0$. For $r \in (0, 4]$, the function $f(x) = rx(1-x)$ takes the interval $[0, 1]$ to $[0, 1]$ and has fixed points at $x^* = 0, [(r-1)/r]$. Our results on the asymptotics are obtained by performing iterations and using the method of discrete fundamental theorem of calculus, discrete integrating factor method to solve first order linear ODEs and, eventually, also performing scaling transformations. In particular, we treated the cases $r \in (0, 1]$ explicitly but our methods apply to the case $r \in (1, 3]$, except for $r = 2$. For $r = 1$, the decay to the $x^* = 0$ fixed point is like $1/n$ and is independent of the initial condition x_0 . For $0 \leq r < 1$, the decay to $x^* = 0$ is exponential-like for large n , with a decay rate of $|\ln r|$, independent of x_0 . Our methods also allow us to determine corrections to the asymptotic behavior. For $r = 1$, the correction to the $1/n$ decay is logarithmic-like. For $0 < r < 1$, the correction to the exponential decay rate $|\ln r|$ is roughly $\mathcal{O}(1/n)$.

For $r = 2$, there is an additional fixed point $x^* = [(r-1)/r] = (1/2)$, and a decay dependence on x_0 arises. The exponential decay rate to $x^* = (1/2)$ explodes and is non constant, giving a super fast exponential decay to $x^* = (1/2)$. This is obtained by expanding the map around the $x^* = (1/2)$ fixed point which gives rise to a

logistic-type equation with r replaced by an effective r . Letting $r_{eff} \equiv (2 - r)$ denote this effective r , we have $|r_{eff}| \leq |2 - r| < 1$. Namely, by making the change of variables $x_n = (1/2) + (y_n/2)$, which includes a scaling, we arrive at the following explicit solution

$$x_n - \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2} 2^{2^n} \left(x_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^n} = -\frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ -n \left[\frac{2^n}{n} |\ln[2(x_0 - 1/2)]| \right] \right\} \quad ; \quad n \geq 1 \quad , \quad x_0 \in (0, 1). \quad (39)$$

Alternatively, instead of the scaling, we can use a discrete version of the integrating factor method to solve first order linear ODEs, and iteration, to arrive at Eq. (39). Here, we see a non-constant exponential decay rate which depends on the initial condition x_0 and grows super fast as $2^n/n$. This is a super attractive case! When $n \nearrow \infty$, $x_n \nearrow (1/2)$. This case is qualitatively described in dynamical systems books like Ref. [5].

For $r > 3$, the linear part of the equation becomes expansive. From the mathematical viewpoint, it would be interesting to explore our method coupled possibly with other methods in this region of values for r . The tools we employed here should be helpful to analyze the logistic map with r in the interval $3 < r \leq 4$.

Besides, from the mathematical physics point of view, we recognized that the $r = 1$ logistic map occurs in rigorous multiscale analysis of asymptotic free quantum field theory models using the renormalization group.

The RG multiscale formalism used in e.g. Refs. [13, 14], the analytical control of the RG mapping is usually based on induction hypotheses on the behavior of the flow of the model parameters under the RG mapping. The control of the flow, and then of the induction, is more easily done if we know the asymptotics of the RG map more precisely. This was the main motivation for the present paper. In [13, 14], the situation is a little trickier than the single logistic map treated here. The RG flow includes the map for more than one parameter (there are e.g. the flows for the coupling λ , particle masses and field strength parameters). The analysis of the λ flow is dominated by the above arguments and leads to the proof of a zero (Gaussian) fixed point.

In closing, we stress that our methods apply as well to treat the whole parameter flow question in QFT with more than one physical parameter. This is the scenario in [13–17] with a marginal coupling but also in Refs. [32, 33] where the quartic coupling is relevant in three dimensions.

APPENDIX A: Logistic Map Asymptotics for $0 < r < 1$

We reconsider the logistic map in the form given in Eq. (1). With $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$, we have, with $0 < r < 1$,

$$\lambda_{n+1} = f(\lambda_n) \equiv r \lambda_n (1 - \lambda_n), \quad (A1)$$

or

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = (r - 1) \lambda_n - r \lambda_n^2, \quad (A2)$$

so that $\lambda_n > 0$ and the sequence of the λ_j is monotone decreasing.

From Eq. (A1),

$$\lambda_{n+1} \leq r \lambda_n = r \lambda_{n-1} (1 - \lambda_{n-1}) \leq r^2 \lambda_{n-1} \dots, \quad (A3)$$

or

$$\lambda_{n+1} \leq r^{n+1} \lambda_0 = e^{-(n+1)|\ln r|} \lambda_0, \quad (A4)$$

an upper bound on λ_{n+1} .

Considering $\ln \lambda_n$, we have the recursion

$$\ln \lambda_{n+1} = \ln r + \ln[\lambda_n (1 - \lambda_n)], \quad (A5)$$

or

$$\ln \lambda_{n+1} - \ln \lambda_n = \ln r + \ln(1 - \lambda_n). \quad (A6)$$

Applying the telescoping method (the discrete FTC), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \lambda_{n+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^n [\ln \lambda_{k+1} - \ln \lambda_k] + \ln \lambda_0 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n [\ln r + \ln(1 - \lambda_k)] + \ln \lambda_0. \end{aligned} \quad (A7)$$

To obtain the $n \nearrow \infty$ limit of $[\ln \lambda_n/n]$, where

$$\frac{1}{n} \ln \lambda_n = \ln r + \frac{1}{n} \ln \lambda_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln(1 - \lambda_k), \quad (\text{A8})$$

we need to control the last sum

$$S_{n-1} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \ln(1 - \lambda_k). \quad (\text{A9})$$

To bound S_{n-1} of Eq. (A9), we note that the function $g(\lambda) \equiv [-\ln(1 - \lambda)]$ is positive and convex, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. The graph of $g(\lambda)$ is below the straight line going from the origin to $(\lambda_0, -\ln(1 - \lambda_0))$. Hence, we have the bound

$$g(\lambda) \leq -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_0} \ln(1 - \lambda_0). \quad (\text{A10})$$

Thus,

$$|S_{n-1}| \leq \frac{|\ln(1 - \lambda_0)|}{\lambda_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \lambda_k \leq |\ln(1 - \lambda_0)| \frac{1}{1 - e^{-|\ln r|}}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

where we used the bound of Eq. (A4).

In Eq. (A11), the bound is independent of n and we finally have the asymptotics

$$\lim_{n \nearrow \infty} \frac{\ln \lambda_n}{n} = \ln r. \quad (\text{A12})$$

As is well-known, since

$$f(x) - f(y) = r(x - y)[1 - (x + y)], \quad (\text{A13})$$

and $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq r|x - y|$, for $x, y \in [0, 1]$, the contraction mapping principle [5, 28] can be applied to Eq. (A1) with λ_0 in the closed interval $[0, 1]$ and, as a consequence, the upper bound of Eq. (A4) is obtained, but *not* the asymptotics of Eq. (A12).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for suggestions, and Prof. T. Pereira and Prof. D. Smania for discussions.

-
- [1] Zill, D.G.: *A First Course in Differential Equations with Modeling Applications*, 10th ed., Brooks/Cole, 2013.
 - [2] Check e.g. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic_map.
 - [3] See http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Jakobson_theorem.
 - [4] *The Logistic Map and the Route to Chaos - From the Beginnings to Modern Applications*, M. Ausloos and M. Dirickx eds., Springer series on Understanding Complex Systems, Springer, New York (2005).
 - [5] Hasselblat, K., Katok, A.: *A First Course in Dynamics with a Panorama of Recent Developments*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).
 - [6] Olver, P.: See *Lecture Notes on Nonlinear ODE and Nonlinear Systems*, in <https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/~olver/>, (2023).
 - [7] Richardson, M.J., Dale, R., Marsh, K.L.: *Complex Dynamical Systems in Social and Personality Psychology: Theory, Modeling and Analysis*, Chap. XIV in *Handbook of Research Methods in Social and Personality Psychology*, Cambridge University Press and Assessment, 2nd ed., Cambridge UK, 2014.
 - [8] Weinberg, S.: *The Quantum Theory of Fields*, 3 vols., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2005.
 - [9] Banks, T.: *Modern Quantum Field Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2008.
 - [10] Gattringer, C., Lang, C.B.: *Quantum Chromodynamics on the Lattice, An Introductory Presentation*, Lecture Notes in Physics 788, Springer, New York, 2010.

- [11] Glimm, J., Jaffe, A.: *Quantum Physics: A Functional Integral Point of View*, Springer Verlag, NY, 1986.
- [12] Rivasseau, V.: *From Perturbative to Constructive Renormalization*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1991.
- [13] Gawedzki, K., Kupiainen, A.: *Gross-Neveu Model Through Convergent Perturbation Expansions*, Commun.Math. Phys. 102, 1–30 (1985).
- [14] Feldman, J., Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V., Sénéor, R.: *Massive Gross-Neveu Model: A Rigorous Perturbative Construction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1479 (1985); *A Renormalizable Field Theory: The Massive Gross-Neveu model in Two Dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phys. 103, 67–103 (1986).
- [15] Gawedzki, K., Kupiainen, A.: *Triviality of ϕ_4^4 and All That in a Hierarchical Model Approximation*, J. Stat. Phys. 29, 683 (1982).
- [16] Gawedzki, K., Kupiainen, A.: *Massless lattice ϕ_4^4 Theory: Rigorous Control of a Renormalizable Asymptotically Free Model*, Comm. Math. Phys. 99, 197–252 (1985).
- [17] Feldman, J., Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V., Sénéor, R.: *Construction and Borel Summability of Infrared ϕ_4^4 by a Phase Space Expansion*, Commun. Math. Phys. 109, 437–480 (1987).
- [18] Balaban, T.: *Ultraviolet Stability of Three-Dimensional Lattice Pure Gauge Field Theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. 102, 255–275 (1985).
- [19] Balaban, T.: *Large Field Renormalization II. Localization, Exponentiation, and Bounds for the \mathbf{R} Operation*, Commun. Math. Phys. 122, 355–392 (1989).
- [20] Magnen, J., Rivasseau, V., Sénéor, R.: *Construction of YM_4 with an Infrared Cutoff*, Commun. Math. Phys. 155, 325–383 (1993).
- [21] Kadanoff, L.: *Scaling Laws for Ising Models Near Critical Points*, Proc. Midwest Conf. on Theor. Phys., Bloomington, Indiana (1966).
- [22] Kadanoff, L.: *Scaling Laws for Ising Models Near T_c* , Physics 2, 263 (1966).
- [23] Feigenbaum, M.: *Quantitative Universality for a Class of Nonlinear Transformations*, J Stat Phys 19, 25–52 (1978). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01020332>.
- [24] Feigenbaum, M.: *The Onset of Turbulence*, Phys. Lett. A 74, 375 (1979).
- [25] Feigenbaum, M.: *The Transition to Aperiodic Behavior in Turbulent Systems*, Comm. Math. Phys. 77, 65 (1980).
- [26] Feigenbaum, M.: *Universal Behavior in Nonlinear Systems*, Physica D 7, 16 (1983).
- [27] Sfondrini, A.: *Introduction to Universality and Renormalization Group Techniques*, Eighth Modave Summer School in Mathematical Physics, 26th August - 1st September 2012, Modave, Belgium, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1210.2262.pdf>.
- [28] Istratescu, Vasile I.: *Fixed Point Theory: An Introduction*, D.Reidel, Holland (1981).
- [29] Tabor, M.: *Chaos and Integrability in Nonlinear Dynamics: An Introduction*, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1989).
- [30] Wetzel, W.: *Two-loop β -function for the Gross-Neveu model*, Phys. Lett. 153B, 297 (1985).
- [31] P.J. Olver, University of Minnesota Lecture Notes, 2022. https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/~olver/ln_/odq.pdf
- [32] Dimock, J.: *Nonperturbative Renormalization of Scalar Quantum Electrodynamics in $d = 3$* , J. Math. Phys. 56, 102304 (2015); *Ultraviolet Regularity of QED in $d = 3$* , J. Math. Phys. 59, 012301 (2018); *Ultraviolet Stability for QED in $d = 3$* , Ann. Henri Poincaré 23, 2113–2205 (2022); *Stability for QED in $d = 3$: An Overview*, J. Math. Phys. 63, 042305 (2022).
- [33] Dimock, J.: *The Renormalization Group According to Balaban, I. Small fields*, Rev. Math. Phys. 25 (2013) 7, 1330010; *The Renormalization Group According to Balaban - II. Large Fields*, J. Math. Phys. 54, 092301 (2013), 1–85; *The Renormalization Group According to Balaban - III. Convergence*, Ann. Henri Poincaré 15 (2014), 2133–2175.
- [34] Hirsch, M.W., Smale, S.: *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and Linear Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [35] Hirsch, M.W., Smale, S., Devaney, R.L.: *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and an Introduction to Chaos*, 3rd Ed., Academic Press, Oxford, 2013.
- [36] Ince, E.L.: *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Dover Publications, New York, 1956.