SOME ERGODIC THEOREMS OVER k-FULL NUMBERS

BIAO WANG

ABSTRACT. In 2022, Bergelson and Richter established a new dynamical generalization of the prime number theorem. Later, Loyd showed a disjoint form with the Erdős-Kac theorem. Recently, the author and his coauthors proved some ergodic theorems over squarefree numbers related to these results. In this paper, building on the previous work, we will derive the analogues of Bergelson-Richter's theorem, Erdős-Kac theorem and Loyd's theorem over k-full numbers for any integer $k \geq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ be the set of natural numbers. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\Omega(n)$ be the number of prime factors of n counted with multiplicities. The values of $\Omega(n)$ are well distributed. For example, it is well-known (e.g., [12, 18]) that there are as many natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\Omega(n)$ is even as $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $\Omega(n)$ is odd. That is,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda(n) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}$ is the Liouville function. In fact, this statement is equivalent to the prime number theorem. Recently, Bergelson and Richter [3] established a beautiful dynamical generalization of (1). They showed that for any uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system (X, μ, T) , we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \int_{X} f \, d\mu \tag{2}$$

for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$, where C(X) denotes the space of continuous functions on X. Taking (X, T) to be rotation on two points revovers (1) from (2).

On the distribution of $\Omega(n)$, in 1940 Erdős and Kac [8] proved that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \tag{3}$$

holds for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, where $C_c(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the set of compactly supported continuous functions on \mathbb{R} . This has been a fundamental result in probabilistic number theory. Based on Bergelson and Richter's work [3], Loyd [15] discovered that (2) and (3) exhibit a form of

Date: June 25, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11N37, 37A44.

Key words and phrases. Squarefull numbers, prime number theorem, total ergodicity, Erdős-Kac theorem.

disjointness. She showed that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t)e^{-t^2/2} dt\right) \left(\int_{X} f \, d\mu\right) \tag{4}$$

holds for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R}), f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

After these results, more generalizations and variants of the ergodic theorems (2) and (4) appeared in the recent works [20, 22, 13, 7, 21]. In particular, Bergelson and Richter [3] proved that if the summation in (2) is restricted on squarefree numbers, then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \, \text{squarefree}}} f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_X f \, d\mu.$$
(5)

It is a dynamical generalization of the following equivalent form of the prime number theorem that $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n) = 0$, where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. If S is a finite nonempty set, we define $\mathbb{E}_{x\in S} f(x) = \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{x\in S} f(x)$ for any function $f: S \to \mathbb{C}$ on S. Using this notation and the fact that density of squarefree numbers in \mathbb{N} is $6/\pi^2$, (5) can be rewritten as

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$
(6)

Inspired by Bergelson and Richter's work, in [13] the authors established more ergodic theorems over squarefree numbers, including the analogue of Loyd's theorem over squarefree numbers. In this paper, we will study the case that the summations in (2)-(4) are restricted on k-full numbers, which belong to a thin set in \mathbb{N} .

Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer. A natural number n is said to be k-full if p^k is a divisor of n for every prime factor p of n. When k = 2, 2-full numbers are usually called squarefull numbers or powerful numbers, see [10]. The distribution and properties of squarefull numbers and k-full numbers have been intensely studied in the literature, see [9, 2, 11, 16, 4, 5, 6, 1] and so on. To study the summations in (2)-(4) restricted on k-full numbers, we study the general summation of bounded arithmetic functions over k-full numbers first. Let $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded arithmetic function. We say a(n) is of k-invariant average under multiplications, if the following mean value

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a(n^k) \tag{7}$$

along k-th powers exists and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a(n^{k}m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a(n^{k})$$
(8)

holds for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We will show in the proof of Theorem 1.2 that if (X, μ, T) is a totally uniquely ergodic system, then the sequence $\{f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in (6) is of k-invariant average under multiplications for any $k \geq 2$. When k = 1, it is as the same definition of invariant average under multiplications as in [13], where the authors studied such summations over squarefree numbers. The following theorem is our main result on k-invariant averages. **Theorem 1.1.** Let $k \geq 2$. If $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded arithmetic function of k-invariant average under multiplications, then we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\n \text{ is } k - full}} a(n) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{n \le N} a(n^k).$$
(9)

Let (X, μ, T) be a uniquely ergodic topological dynamical system. If (X, μ, T^n) is also uniquely ergodic for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we say (X, μ, T) is a *totally uniquely ergodic system*. As an application of Theorem 1.1, we get a variant of Bergelson-Richter's theorem (2).

Theorem 1.2. Let (X, μ, T) be a totally uniquely ergodic system, then we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\n \text{ is } k \text{ -full}}} f(T^{\Omega(n)} x) = \int_X f \, d\mu \tag{10}$$

for any $f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

A sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathbb{R}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 along k-full numbers if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ is } k - \text{full}}} f(a(n)) = \int_0^1 f(t) \, dt$$

holds for all continuous functions $f : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$. Since the irrational circle rotations are totally uniquely ergodic, by the Weyl criterion, we get the following property of k-full numbers by taking irrational circle rotations in Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. Let α be an irrational number. Then the sequence $\{\Omega(n)\alpha : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly distributed mod 1 along k-full numbers.

Moreover, we can apply Theorem 1.1 to establish the analogues of Erdős-Kac theorem and Loyd's theorem for k-full numbers.

Theorem 1.4 (Erdős-Kac theorem for k-full numbers). We have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\ n \text{ is } k \text{-full}}} F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - k \log \log N}{k \sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt \tag{11}$$

for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 1.5 (Loyd's theorem for k-full numbers). Let (X, μ, T) be a totally uniquely ergodic system. Then we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\n \, is \, k-full}} F\Big(\frac{\Omega(n) - k \log \log N}{k \sqrt{\log \log N}}\Big) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x) = \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} \, dt\Big) \Big(\int_{X} f \, d\mu\Big) \quad (12)$$

for any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R}), f \in C(X)$ and $x \in X$.

In Section 2, we will give some background material on the total unique ergodicity and k-full numbers. Then, in Section 3, we will show Theorem 1.1 in an elementary method. Finally, in Section 4, we will apply Theorem 1.1 to give proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5.

2. Background material

2.1. Total unique ergodicity. Let X be a compact metric space, and let $T: X \to X$ be a continuous map. Then the pair (X,T) is called a *topological dynamical system*. A Borel probability measure μ on X is said to be *T-invariant* if $\mu(T^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$ for all measurable subsets $A \subset X$. By the Bogolyubov-Krylov theorem (e.g., [19, Corollary 6.9.1]), there exists at least one *T*-invariant measure in every topological dynamical system. For a topological system (X,T), if it admits only one *T*-invariant measure μ , then (X, μ, T) is called *uniquely ergodic*. It is well-known that (X, μ, T) is uniquely ergodic if and only if

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(T^n x) = \int_X f \, d\mu \tag{13}$$

holds for all $x \in X$ and $f \in C(X)$, e.g., see [19, Theorem 6.19].

By (13), the orbit $\{T^n x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equidistributed in the space X for any point $x \in X$. By Bergelson-Richter's theorem, the orbit $\{T^{\Omega(n)}x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ along $\Omega(n)$ is also equidistributed in X. Theorem 1.2 means that the orbit $\{T^{\Omega(n)}x : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ along $\Omega(n)$ for k-full numbers n is equidistributed in X as well.

A uniquely ergodic system (X, μ, T) is called a *totally uniquely ergodic system*, if (X, μ, T^n) is uniquely ergodic for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let X = [0, 1], endowed with the Lebesgue measure μ , and let $T_{\alpha} : X \to X$ be the map defined by $T_{\alpha}x = x + \alpha \mod 1$. This system is called a *circle rotation*. Then the system (X, μ, T_{α}) is totally uniquely ergodic if and only if α is irrational. Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ be a two-point system with $\mu(\{0\}) = \mu(\{1\}) = 1/2$ and $T : x \mapsto x + 1 \mod 2$. Then this system is uniquely ergodic but not totally uniquely ergodic.

2.2. k-full numbers. Every k-full number n can be written uniquely as

$$n = m^k n_1^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1},$$

where n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} are squarefree and pairwise coprime, e.g., see [11]. In particular, every squarefull number n can be written uniquely as $n = m^2 l^3$, where l is squarefree. Let $Q_k(N)$ be the number of k-full positive integers not exceeding N. In 1935, Erdős and Szekeres [9] first proved an asymptotic formula of $Q_k(N)$ as follows

$$Q_k(N) = c_k N^{\frac{1}{k}} + O(N^{\frac{1}{k+1}}), \tag{14}$$

where $c_k = \prod_p (1 + \sum_{m=k+1}^{2k-1} p^{-m/k})$. We will use (14) in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

For the squarefull numbers, in 1958 Bateman and Grosswald [2] showed the hitherto best unconditional result

$$Q_2(x) = Ax^{\frac{1}{2}} + Bx^{\frac{1}{3}} + O(x^{\frac{1}{6}}),$$
(15)

where $A = \zeta(3/2)/\zeta(3)$, $B = \zeta(2/3)/\zeta(2)$. Under the Riemann Hypothesis, a number of improvements have been made on the error term in (15), see [14] for the records. On the other aspects of the distribution of the squarefull numbers, readers may refer to a recent work [1] for arithmetic progressions in squarefull numbers and [6] for the short intervals results.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first prove the following proposition. It gives a relation between the average over k-full numbers and the standard average.

Proposition 3.1. Let $k \geq 2$. Let $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded arithmetic function. Then for any $1 \leq D_1 \leq N^{\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+1)}}, \dots, 1 \leq D_{k-1} \leq N^{\frac{1}{(k-1)(2k-1)}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \ is \ k \ full}} a(n) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 \le D_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \le D_{k-1} \\ (n_i, n_j) = 1, \ \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^2(n_1) \cdots \mu^2(n_{k-1})}{n_1^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdots \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_1^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}}} a(m^k n_1^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) + O\left(D_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}\right) + O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}}\right), \quad (16)$$

where the implied constants depend on k and $\sup_n |a(n)|$ only.

Proof. For any k-full number n, we write it as

$$n = m^k n_1^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1},$$

where n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} are squarefree and pairwise coprime. This expression is unique. So we can rewrite the summation over k-full numbers as k summations over m, n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1} :

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \ is k \ full}} a(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N \\ \mu^{2}(n_{1}) = \dots = \mu^{2}(n_{k-1}) = 1 \\ (n_{i},n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N \\ (n_{i},n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \sum_{\substack{n^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N \\ (n_{i},n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N \\ (n_{i},n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \dots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \dots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \frac{1}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \dots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \sum_{m \le \frac{1}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N \\ n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \dots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \dots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{n_{1}^{k+1} \dots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N}} 1\right) \\ := S_{1} + S_{2}. \end{split}$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\substack{N \stackrel{1}{\underline{k}} \\ n_1 \stackrel{1}{\underline{k}} \dots \\ n_{k-1} \stackrel{1}{\underline{k}} }} a(m^k n_1^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) = O(1).$$

For S_1 , we divide it into two parts according to $n_{k-1} \leq D_{k-1}$ and $n_{k-1} > D_{k-1}$:

$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N, n_{k-1} \le D_{k-1} \\ (n_{i}, n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \cdots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) \\ + \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N, n_{k-1} > D_{k-1} \\ (n_{i}, n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \cdots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}).$$
(18)

Since the series over n_1, \ldots, n_{k-2} is absolutely convergent, the second term in (18) is bounded by

$$\sum_{n_{k-1}>D_{k-1}} \frac{1}{n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \ll D_{k-1}^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}.$$

This implies that

$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1} \le N, n_{k-1} \le D_{k-1} \\ (n_{i}, n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \cdots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\substack{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}}} a(m^{k} n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) + O\left(D_{k-1}^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}\right).$$
(19)

By induction, we obtain an estimation for S_1 as follows

$$S_{1} = \sum_{\substack{n_{1} \leq D_{1}, \dots, n_{k-1} \leq D_{k-1} \\ (n_{i}, n_{j}) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^{2}(n_{1}) \cdots \mu^{2}(n_{k-1})}{n_{1}^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{m \leq \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}}}}_{m \leq \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_{1}^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}}} a(m^{k}n_{1}^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) + O\left(D_{1}^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}\right) + O\left(D_{k-1}^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}\right).$$
(20)

As regards S_2 , the inner summation is bounded by $N^{\frac{1}{k+1}}$. In fact,

$$\sum_{n_{1}^{k+1}\cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}\leq N} 1 = \sum_{n_{2}^{k+2}\cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}\leq N} \sum_{n_{1}\leq \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}{n_{2}^{1+\frac{1}{k+1}}\cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-2}{k+1}}}} 1$$

$$\leq \sum_{n_{2}^{k+2}\cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}\leq N} \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k+1}}}{n_{2}^{1+\frac{1}{k+1}}\cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-2}{k+1}}}$$

$$\leq N^{\frac{1}{k+1}} \sum_{n_{2}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_{k-1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_{2}^{1+\frac{1}{k+1}}\cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-2}{k+1}}}$$

$$\ll N^{\frac{1}{k+1}}.$$
(21)

We remark that Vogts [17] gave an asymptotic formula for the counting function on numbers of the general form $n_1^{l_1} \cdots n_r^{l_r}$, from which (21) also follows, where $1 \leq l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_r$ are real numbers. Plugging (21) into S_2 , we get

$$S_2 = O\left(N^{-\frac{1}{k(k+1)}}\right).$$
 (22)

Thus, (16) follows immediately by combining (17), (20) and (22) together. \Box

Proof of Theorem 1.1. To prove Theorem 1.1, it is equivalent to show that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ is } k \text{-full}}} a(n) = c_k \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k), \tag{23}$$

where c_k is defined in (14).

By Proposition 3.1, (16) holds for any $1 \leq D_1 \leq N^{\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+1)}}, \ldots, 1 \leq D_{k-1} \leq N^{\frac{1}{(k-1)(2k-1)}}$. Fixing D_1, \ldots, D_{k-1} , we take $N \to \infty$ in (16) first. Since the sequence a(n) is of k-invariant average under multiplication, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{m \le \frac{N^{\frac{1}{k}}}{n_1^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}}} a(m^k n_1^{k+1} \cdots n_{k-1}^{2k-1}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}}_{m \le N} a(m^k).$$
(24)

This implies that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ is } k \text{-full}}} a(n) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 \le D_1, \dots, n_{k-1} \le D_{k-1} \\ (n_i, n_j) = 1, \forall i < j}} \frac{\mu^2(n_1) \cdots \mu^2(n_{k-1})}{n_1^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{m \le N} a(m^k) + O\left(D_1^{-\frac{1}{k}}\right) + \dots + O\left(D_{k-1}^{-\frac{k-1}{k}}\right).$$
(25)

Now, taking $D_1, \ldots, D_{k-1} \to \infty$ in (25), we get that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ is } k - \text{full}}} a(n) = \sum_{\substack{n_1 = 1 \\ (n_i, n_j) = 1, \forall i < j}}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{\substack{n_{k-1} = 1 \\ n_1 = 1 \\ (n_i, n_j) = 1, \forall i < j}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^2(n_1) \cdots \mu^2(n_{k-1})}{n_1^{1 + \frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1 + \frac{k-1}{k}}} \cdot \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{m \le N} a(m^k).$$
(26)

By (14), taking a(n) = 1 for all n in (26) gives

$$\sum_{\substack{n_1=1\\(n_i,n_j)=1,\,\forall i < j}}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{\substack{n_{k-1}=1\\n_1^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}}} \frac{\mu^2(n_1) \cdots \mu^2(n_{k-1})}{n_1^{1+\frac{1}{k}} \cdots n_{k-1}^{1+\frac{k-1}{k}}} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{k}}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\n \text{ is } k-\text{full}}} 1 = c_k.$$
(27)

Therefore, (23) follows by (26) and (27). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. Proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.1 to prove Theorems 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5. Let $k \ge 2$. By Theorem 1.1, if $a : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded arithmetic function satisfying (7) and (8), then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N \\ n \text{ is } k \text{-full}}} a(n) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{n \le N} a(n^k).$$
(28)

We will show that the arithmetic functions in the summations of (2)-(4) satisfy properties (7) and (8). So we can apply (28) for them.

4.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** For the totally uniquely ergodic system (X, μ, T) , any $f \in C(X)$ and any $x \in X$, take $a(n) = f(T^{\Omega(n)}x)$. Then $a(n^k) = f((T^k)^{\Omega(n)}x)$. Since (X, μ, T) is totally uniquely ergodic, by definition (X, μ, T^k) is uniquely ergodic. Thus, by Bergelson-Richter's theorem (2), we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

Moreover, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $a(n^k m) = f((T^k)^{\Omega(n)} \cdot T^{\Omega(m)}x)$. We may take $T^{\Omega(m)}x$ as an initial point in X. It follows by (29) that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k m) = \int_X f \, d\mu.$$
(30)

Hence a(n) is of k-invariant average under multiplications. By (28) and (29) we get that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\ n \text{ is } k\text{-full}}} a(n) = \int_X f \, d\mu,\tag{31}$$

which is (10). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. For any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$ and $N \ge 1$, take

$$a(n) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - k \log \log N}{k\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right).$$

Then

$$a(n^k) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right).$$

By Erdős-Kac theorem (3), we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$
(32)

Moreover, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$a(n^{k}m) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) + \Omega(m)/k - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right).$$

Since F is a continuous function of compact support, it is uniformly continuous. It follows that

$$F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) + \Omega(m)/k - \log\log N}{\sqrt{\log\log N}}\right) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log\log N}{\sqrt{\log\log N}}\right) + o_{N \to \infty}(1), \tag{33}$$

i.e., $a(n^k m) = a(n^k) + o_{N \to \infty}(1)$. This implies that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{n \le N} a(n^k m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{n \le N} a(n^k).$$
(34)

Thus, a(n) is of k-invariant average under multiplications. By (28) and (32) we get that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\ n \text{ is } k\text{-full}}} a(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt, \tag{35}$$

which is (11). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

4.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Let $N \ge 1$. For the totally uniquely ergodic system (X, μ, T) , any $f \in C(X)$, any $x \in X$ and any $F \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$, take

$$a(n) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - k \log \log N}{k\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) f(T^{\Omega(n)}x).$$

Then

$$a(n^k) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log\log N}{\sqrt{\log\log N}}\right) f\left((T^k)^{\Omega(n)}x\right).$$

Since (X, μ, T^k) is uniquely ergodic, by Loyd's theorem (4) we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt\right) \left(\int_X f \, d\mu\right). \tag{36}$$

Moreover, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a(n^{k}m) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) + \Omega(m)/k - \log\log N}{\sqrt{\log\log N}}\right) f\left((T^{k})^{\Omega(n)} \cdot T^{\Omega(m)}x\right).$$

By (33), we get that

$$a(n^k m) = F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log\log N}{\sqrt{\log\log N}}\right) f\left((T^k)^{\Omega(n)} \cdot T^{\Omega(m)}x\right) + o_{N \to \infty}(1).$$
(37)

It follows by Loyd's theorem again that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} a(n^k m) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_{n \le N} F\left(\frac{\Omega(n) - \log \log N}{\sqrt{\log \log N}}\right) f\left((T^k)^{\Omega(n)} \cdot T^{\Omega(m)}x\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt\right) \left(\int_X f d\mu\right),\tag{38}$$

which is equal to $\lim_{N\to\infty} \mathbb{E}_{n\leq N} a(n^k)$. Hence a(n) is of k-invariant average under multiplications. By (28) and (36) we get that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\substack{1 \le n \le N\\ n \text{ is } k\text{-full}}} a(n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) e^{-t^2/2} dt\right) \left(\int_{X} f \, d\mu\right),\tag{39}$$

which is (12). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

References

- Prajeet Bajpai, Michael A. Bennett, and Tsz Ho Chan. Arithmetic progressions in squarefull numbers. Int. J. Number Theory, 20(1):19–45, 2024.
- [2] Paul T. Bateman and Emil Grosswald. On a theorem of Erdös and Szekeres. Illinois J. Math., 2:88–98, 1958.
- [3] Vitaly Bergelson and Florian K. Richter. Dynamical generalizations of the prime number theorem and disjointness of additive and multiplicative semigroup actions. Duke Math. J., 171(15):3133–3200, 2022.
- [4] Valentin Blomer. Binary quadratic forms with large discriminants and sums of two squareful numbers. II. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 71(1):69–84, 2005.
- [5] Tsz Ho Chan. Squarefull numbers in arithmetic progression II. J. Number Theory, 152:90–104, 2015.
- [6] Tsz Ho Chan. A note on powerful numbers in short intervals. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 108(1):99–106, 2023.

- [7] Sebastián Donoso, Anh N. Le, Joel Moreira, and Wenbo Sun. Averages of completely multiplicative functions over the gaussian integers a dynamical approach. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2024. in press.
- [8] P. Erdős and M. Kac. The Gaussian law of errors in the theory of additive number theoretic functions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 62:738–742, 1940.
- [9] P. Erdős and G Szekeres. Über die anzahl der abelschen gruppen gegebener ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches problem. Acta Univ. Szeged, 7:95–102, 1934-1935.
- [10] S. W. Golomb. Powerful numbers. Amer. Math. Monthly, 77:848-855, 1970.
- [11] Aleksandar Ivić. On the asymptotic formulas for powerful numbers. Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), 23(37):85–94, 1978.
- [12] Edmund Landau. Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. 2 Bände. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1953. 2d ed, With an appendix by Paul T. Bateman.
- [13] Huixi Li, Biao Wang, Chunlin Wang, and Shaoyun Yi. Some ergodic theorems over squarefree numbers. 2024. arXiv:2405.18157.
- [14] H.-Q. Liu. The distribution of squarefull integers (II). J. Number Theory, 159:176–192, 2016.
- [15] Kaitlyn Loyd. A dynamical approach to the asymptotic behavior of the sequence $\Omega(n)$. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 43(11):3685–3706, 2023.
- [16] Ognian Trifonov. Lattice points close to a smooth curve and squarefull numbers in short intervals. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 65(2):303–319, 2002.
- [17] Matthias Vogts. Many-dimensional generalized divisor problems. Math. Nachr., 124:103–121, 1985.
- [18] H.C.F von Mangoldt. Beweis der Gleichung $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(k)}{k} = 0$, volume 2. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1897.
- [19] Peter Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory, volume 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [20] Biao Wang. Dynamics on the number of prime divisors for additive arithmetic semigroups. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 81:Paper No. 102029, 28, 2022.
- [21] Biao Wang. On averages of completely multiplicative functions over co-prime integer pairs. 2024. arXiv:2406.09243v1.
- [22] Biao Wang, Zhining Wei, Pan Yan, and Shaoyun Yi. Generalizations of the Erdős-kac Theorem and the Prime Number Theorem. Commun. Math. Stat., 2023. in press. DOI: 10.1007/s40304-023-00354-6.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan 650091, China

Email address: bwang@ynu.edu.cn