

On the Multicategorical Meta-Theorem and the Completeness of Restricted Algebraic Deduction Systems

David Forsman
 Université catholique de Louvain
 david.forsman@uclouvain.be

June 25, 2024

Abstract

Eight categorical soundness and completeness theorems are established within the framework of algebraic theories. Exactly six of the eight deduction systems exhibit complete semantics within the cartesian monoidal category of sets. The multicategorical meta-theorem via soundness and completeness enables the transference of properties of families of models from the cartesian monoidal category of sets to Δ -multicategories C .

A bijective correspondence $R \mapsto \Delta_R$ is made between context structures R and structure categories Δ , which are wide subcategories of **FinOrd** consisting of finite ordinals and functions. Given a multisorted signature σ with a context structure R , an equational deduction system \vdash_R is constructed for R -theories. The models within Δ_R -multicategories provide a natural semantic framework for the deduction system \vdash_R for modelable context structures R . Each of the eight modelable context structures R is linked with a soundness and completeness theorem for the deduction system \vdash_R .

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Multicategories	3
2.1	Structure Categories	3
2.2	Δ -Multicategories	7
3	Universal algebra	12
3.1	Syntax	12
3.2	Soundness	17
3.3	Completeness in Sets	21
4	Categorical completeness	26
5	Conclusion	30

1 Introduction

In logic there is a blurred distinction between syntax and semantics. Often one has in mind a structure or a family of structures about which one would like to know more. Mathematical logic studies this phenomenon through the concepts of language and the structures to which language refers, called syntax and semantics respectively. Specifically, syntax consists of an alphabet, propositions, and the associated deduction system for propositions. Traditionally, semantics refers to the models consisting of sets, functions and relations compatible with a given syntax. Soundness is the property that the deduction rules of the syntax are compatible with the associated models. Completeness then describes the maximality of the deduction system in the sense that every property of all models that can be expressed in the language is obtained by deduction.

Soundness and completeness theorems allow us to study the class of models of a theory by studying only the syntax of the theory. There is a meaningful consequence of the soundness and completeness of a given syntax. Namely, if the soundness of a deduction system holds for some collection A of models, and the completeness of the deduction holds for some family B of models, then one can transfer properties from the class B to the class A . This creates a path for results to pass from B to A . We call this notion a meta-theorem.

The Eckmann-Hilton argument establishes a condition under which two unital magma structures on the same set form a single commutative monoid. This argument extends from the monoidal category of sets to any symmetric monoidal category. An intriguing question arises: does this generalization follow simply because the claim holds in the context of sets? The answer is affirmative. For any equational linear theory $E \cup \{\phi\}$ we demonstrate $E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi$ implies $E \vDash_C \phi$ for all symmetric multicategories C . This result is further generalized to Δ -multicategories across six distinct structure categories Δ .

We introduce four main concepts in this paper:

1. **Context Structure** R dictates when a sequence of variables c forms a context for another sequence v via the relation cRv .
2. **Equational Deduction System** \vdash_R defined by an algebraic signature σ equipped with a context structure R .
3. **Bijection** $R \mapsto \Delta_R$ maps context structures R to **structure categories** Δ , where a structure category is a suitable wide subcategory of **FinOrd** encompassing finite ordinals and functions.
4. **Δ -multicategory** C is endowed with a specified Δ -action on its hom-sets.

While there are infinitely many context structures R , our focus centers on eight specific structures called modelable context structures. These structures enable R -theories to have models within Δ_R -multicategories. We establish the soundness and completeness of \vdash_R for each modelable R with respect to categorical semantics. Notably, six out of these eight modelable context structures achieve complete semantics just in sets. The Multicategorical Meta-Theorem emerges as a corollary:

Theorem (Multicategorical Meta-Theorem). *Let σ be a signature with a cartesian or balanced and modelable context structure R . Let $E \cup \{\phi\}$ be an R -theory. Let C be a Δ_R -multicategory. Then*

$$E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi \text{ implies } E \vDash_C \phi.$$

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we define the notion of a structure category Δ as a wide subcategory of **FinOrd** consisting of functions between finite ordinals. A structure category Δ enables the definition of Δ -multicategories through its action on the homsets of a multicategory. Section 3 introduces the basic concepts in universal algebra, divided into subsections covering syntax, soundness, and completeness within the context of sets. Syntax encompasses signatures equipped with a context structure R , R -terms, and R -theories. We establish a bijective correspondence $R \mapsto \Delta_R$ between context structures and structure categories, identifying precisely eight modelable context structures R . The soundness subsection addresses the definition of models in Δ_R -multicategories for R -theories, demonstrating soundness across all models in Δ_R categories. In the completeness subsection, we establish that six out of the eight deduction systems Δ_R exhibit complete semantics within the cartesian multicategory of sets, culminating in the Multicategorical Meta-Theorem, a central result of this paper.

Section 4 is dedicated to constructing the universal model for a σ , R -theory E and proving the categorical completeness of R -deduction for a modelable R . We construct the universal E , R -model by developing a linear Σ -theory \overline{E} that conservatively extends E . The initial \overline{E} -model serves as the universal model, providing a free multicategory equipped with a model for E . This construction is closely related to the functorial semantics proposed by Lawvere [2]. A natural transformation $\eta: F \Rightarrow G: M \rightarrow N$ in the 2-category of Δ -multicategories can be interpreted as a homomorphism from the model F to G of a theory M in the Δ -multicategory N .

Section 5 concludes the paper by summarizing the main findings.

2 Multicategories

Structures like cartesian and symmetric multicategories have been extensively studied, as discussed in works such as [3] and [1]. We introduce Δ -multicategories, a generalization encompassing multicategories, symmetric multicategories, and cartesian multicategories within a unified framework. Initially, we define structure categories Δ as wide subcategories of **FinOrd** comprising finite ordinals and functions. We proceed to define the action of Δ on the homsets of a multicategory, leading to the formal definition of Δ -multicategories. Special cases include instances where Δ comprises all functions, bijections, or identities, corresponding respectively to cartesian multicategories, symmetric multicategories, and multicategories. This sets the stage for a bijective correspondence between structure categories and context structures defined later.

2.1 Structure Categories

A structure category is a wide subcategory of **FinOrd** of finite ordinal closed under coproduct of functions and components of similarities. We give infinitely many examples of structure categories using the notion of a structure monoid.

Definition 2.1 (Finite Ordinals). We define the category **FinOrd** of finite ordinals and functions as follows:

- Objects are sets $[n] := \{m \in \mathbb{N} \mid 0 < m \leq n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, called finite ordinals.

- Morphism $[m] \rightarrow [n]$ is a function $[m] \rightarrow [n]$. The composition is the usual function composition.

We define the k -ary coproduct functor $+_k: \mathbf{FinOrd}^k \rightarrow \mathbf{FinOrd}$.

We define $+_k([m_1], \dots, [m_k]) = [m_1 + \dots + m_k]$ for $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for functions $f_i: [m_i] \rightarrow [n_i], 0 < i \leq k$, between finite ordinals we set

$$+_n(f_1, \dots, f_k) = f_1 + \dots + f_k: [M_k] \rightarrow [N_k], M_{i-1} + x \mapsto N_{i-1} + f_i(x)$$

for $0 < x \leq m_i$ and $i \leq k$. Here $M_i = \sum_{j \leq i} m_j$ and $N_i = \sum_{j \leq i} n_j$ for $i \leq k$.

Definition 2.2 (Similarity of a function). Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a function between finite ordinals. For a category C , we set $\theta^*: C^n \rightarrow C^m$, where $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_{\theta(1)}, \dots, x_{\theta(m)})$. We define a natural transformation $\theta': +_m \circ \theta^* \Rightarrow +_n: \mathbf{FinOrd}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{FinOrd}$ by setting

$$\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_m}: [L_m] \rightarrow [K_n], \text{ where } L_{i-1} + x \mapsto K_{\theta(i)-1} + x$$

for $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Here we denote $L_i = k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(i)}$ and $K_j = k_1 + \dots + k_j$ for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$. In addition, we call the natural transformation θ' the similarity natural transformation of θ . The function θ is recovered back via $\theta'_{1, \dots, 1} = \theta$.

Definition 2.3 (Structure category). A wide (containing all objects) subcategory Δ of \mathbf{FinOrd} of finite ordinals is said to be a structure category if the following holds:

- Δ is closed under the coproduct of morphisms.
- If a function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is in Δ , then the component $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}: [k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(m)}] \rightarrow [k_1 + \dots + k_n]$ of the similarity of θ is in Δ for $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

There are infinitely many structure categories. Certain submonoids of natural numbers with multiplicative structure allow us to define structure categories.

Definition 2.4 (Structure monoid). Let $I \subset \mathbb{N}$. We call I a structure monoid, if the two conditions hold:

- $1 \in I$.
- If $k, a_1, \dots, a_k \in I$, then $a_1 + \dots + a_k \in I$.

Each structure monoid I determines structure categories Δ_I, Δ^I and when $0 \notin I$ structure categories Ψ_I and Ψ^I :

- Δ^I consists of those functions $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ between finite ordinals where the cardinality of the fiber $f^{-1}\{i\}$ is in I for each $i \in [n]$.
- Δ_I is the structure category generated by the functions $[n] \rightarrow [1], n \in I$.
- Assume $0 \notin I$. We set Ψ_I to consist of those functions $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ^I , where $\min(f^{-1}\{i\}) \leq \min(f^{-1}\{j\})$ for all $i \leq j \leq n$.
- Symmetrically, we set Ψ^I similarly to Ψ_I except we change taking of minimum with taking of maximum when $0 \notin I$.

Notice that each structure monoid I is a submonoid of \mathbb{N} with the multiplicative structure. Consider $a, b \in I$. Now $ab = a_1 + \dots + a_b \in I$ where $a_i = a$ for $i \leq b$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Δ be a structure category. Define the set $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ where I consists of all the cardinalities of the fibers of functions in Δ . Then the following conditions hold:*

1. *The set I is a structure monoid.*
2. *For each structure monoid S the associated structures $\Delta_S \subset \Psi_S, \Psi^S \subset \Delta^S$ are structure categories, when defined.*
3. *If Δ has a single non-identity bijection, then $\Delta = \Delta^I$.*
4. *Given a structure monoid S , then $\Delta_S = \Delta^S$ if and only if $S = \mathbb{N}$.*
5. *We have that $\Delta_I \subset \Delta \subset \Delta^I$.*
6. *If $0 \in I$, then Δ is one of the structure categories $\Delta_{\{0,1\}}, \Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ or $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$*

Proof.

1. Since Δ has an identity function on $[1]$, it follows that $1 \in I$. Assume that $k, a_1, \dots, a_n \in I$. Thus there are functions g, f_i for $i \leq k$ where for some fiber of g and f_i attain the size of k and a_i , respectively. We may assume that g and f_i have $[1]$ as the codomain, since otherwise we can define such functions using the associated similarity transformation. Now $g \circ (f_1 + \dots + f_k)$ has the fiber of size $a_1 + \dots + a_k$. Thus $a_1 + \dots + a_k \in I$.
2. Let S be a structure monoid. First, we show that Δ^S is a structure category. Since identities have fibers of size 1, it follows that Δ^S has identities. Assume that f, g are functions in Δ . The fibers of $f + g$ have the same sizes as the fibers of f and g . Thus $f + g$ is in Δ . Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a function in Δ . Let $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote $L_i = k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(i)}$ and $K_j = k_1 + \dots + k_j$ for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$. Consider the component $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}: [L_m] \rightarrow [K_n]$. Let $j \in [K_n]$. Now $K_{l-1} < j \leq K_l$ for some unique $l \leq n$. By definition $x \xrightarrow{\theta'} j$ if and only if $x = L_{l-1} + x'$ where $\theta(i) = l$ and $x' = j - K_{l-1}$. Thus $\theta'^{-1}(j)$ has the same cardinality as $\theta^{-1}(l)$. Hence θ' is a function in Δ^I .

Next, assume that $0 \notin S$. Thus all the morphisms of Δ^S are surjections. We show that Ψ_S is a structure category.

First, Ψ_S is a category, since it has identities and compositions of functions, which is seen as follows: Let $[k] \xrightarrow{f} [m] \xrightarrow{g} [n]$ be functions in Ψ . Let $i \leq j \leq n$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \min((gf)^{-1}\{i\}) &= \min(f^{-1}g^{-1}\{i\}) \\ &= \min(f^{-1}\{\min(g^{-1}\{i\})\}) \\ &\leq \min(f^{-1}\{\min(g^{-1}\{j\})\}) \\ &= \min((gf)^{-1}\{j\}) \end{aligned}$$

The coproduct of two functions in Ψ_S is in Ψ_S . To see the closure under components of similarities, fix a function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is in Ψ_S . Let $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote

$L_i = k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(i)}$ and $K_j = k_1 + \dots + k_j$ for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$. We need to show that $\theta' = \theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}: [L_m] \rightarrow [K_n]$ is in Ψ_S . Consider $j_1 \leq j_2 \in [K_n]$. Now $K_{l_1-1} < j_1 \leq K_{l_1}$ and $K_{l_2-1} < j_2 \leq K_{l_2}$ for some unique $l_1 \leq l_2 \leq n$. Now $j_a = K_{l_a-1} + x_a$ for $x_a = j_a - K_{l_a-1}$ and $a = 1, 2$. Let i_1 and i_2 be the least elements θ maps to l_1 and l_2 , respectively. Since θ is in Ψ_S , we know that $i_1 \leq i_2$. Now $L_{i_a-1} + x_a$ is the least element θ' maps to j_a for $a = 1, 2$. Notice that $i_1 < i_2$ implies that $L_{i_1-1} + x_1 \leq L_{i_2-1}$ and hence $L_{i_1-1} + x_1 < L_{i_2-1} + x_2$. If $i_1 = i_2$, then $x_1 \leq x_2$ and thus $L_{i_1-1} + x_1 \leq L_{i_2-1} + x_2$. Hence θ' is in Ψ_S .

The case Ψ^S being a structure category is similar. Since the generators of Δ_S are included in Ψ_S and Ψ^S it follows that $\Delta_S \subset \Psi_S, \Psi^S$.

3. Assume that Δ has a single non-identity bijections f . A suitable component of a similarity of f yields a bijection $[2] \rightarrow [2]$ where $1 \mapsto 2$. By induction, we attain that Δ has all bijections. Let $g: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a function in Δ . Denote by $!_k$ the unique function $[k] \rightarrow [1]$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that up to pre-composition with bijection the functions $!_{g^{-1}\{1\}} + \dots + !_{g^{-1}\{n\}}$ and g are the same. Since Δ has all bijections and functions $!_k, k \in I$, it follows that g is in Δ .
4. Let S be a structure monoid. Assume that $S = \mathbb{N}$. It suffices to show that the bijection $[2] \rightarrow [2], 1 \mapsto 2$ is in $\Delta_{\mathbb{N}}$. Consider the function $(!_2^2) \circ (!^0 + id_{[2]} + !^0): [2] \rightarrow [4] \rightarrow [2]$. This function is the bijection $[2] \rightarrow [2]$ mapping $1 \mapsto 2$ and it is in $\Delta_{\mathbb{N}}$. Thus $\Delta_{\mathbb{N}} = \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$. Assume then that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Let us consider the case that $0 \in S$, then $S = \{0, 1\}$. Notice that Δ^S consists of all injections. Notice that Δ_S contains all strictly increasing functions and strictly increasing functions do define a structure category. Thus Δ_S consists of strictly increasing functions and $\Delta_S \neq \Delta^S$. If $0 \notin S$, then $\Delta_S \subset \Psi_S \subsetneq \Delta^S$. Thus $\Delta_S \subsetneq \Delta^S$.
5. Consider $n \in I$. Thus there exists a function θ in Δ who has a fiber of size n . A suitable component of the similarity θ' yields exactly the function $[n] \rightarrow [1]$ and this is in Δ . Since Δ_I is generated by such function, $\Delta_I \subset \Delta \subset \Delta^I$.
6. Assume that $0 \in I$. Thus Δ_I has all strictly increasing functions. Assume that Δ has a function $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ that is not strictly increasing. If f is not an injection, then $m \in I$ for some $m > 1$ and thus $I = \mathbb{N}$ and so $\Delta = \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$. Assume that f is an injection. It suffices to show that Δ has a non-identity bijection. Now there exists $i < j \leq m$ such that $f(j) < f(i)$. Since $0 \in S$ by inclusions and codiagonals we can construct the function $[2] \rightarrow [m]$ and $[n] \rightarrow [2]$ such that composing them with f yields a map $[2] \rightarrow [2]$, where $1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 1$. Thus $\Delta = \Delta^I$ and I can only be either $\{0, 1\}$ or \mathbb{N} .

□

Example 2.6. We will give examples of structure categories Δ .

1. For each structure monoid $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ we have the structure categories Δ_I and Δ^I .
 - If $I = \{0, 1\}, \{1\}, \mathbb{N}_{>0}, \mathbb{N}$ we have Δ_I consists of strictly increasing maps, identities, functions generated via coproducts and similarities from increasing surjections and all functions, respectively. With the same conditions on I , the structure category Δ^I consists of injections, bijections, surjections and all functions, respectively.

- Let $N > 0$. Define $I = I_N$ as $\{1, n \mid n \geq N\}$ or $\{1, N, n \mid n \geq 2N - 1\}$. The set I defines a structure monoid and we have four different structure categories $\Delta_I \subset \Psi_I, \Psi^I \subset \Delta^I$. Since each $N > 0$ defines a different structure category Δ^{I_N} , it follows that there are infinitely many structure categories.
- Let I be a structure monoid where $0 \notin I$. Then Ψ_I consists of those surjections $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ where $\min f^{-1}\{i\} \leq \min f^{-1}\{j\}$ for $i \leq j \leq n$ and the cardinality of each fiber of f is in I .
- Increasing functions do not define a structure category. Consider a non-injective function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$. Assume that the fiber of f at $j \leq n$ has cardinality of at least 2. Now define $k_l = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } l \neq j, \\ 2, & \text{if } l = j. \end{cases}$ Now $\theta(i_1) = j = \theta(i_2)$ for some $i_1 < i_2 \leq m$. Denote $L_i = k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(i)}$ for $i \leq m$. Now $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(L_{i_1} + 2) = 2$ but $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}(L_{i_2} + 1) = 1$ and $L_{i_1} + 2 < L_{i_2} + 1$. Hence $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$ is not an increasing function.

2.2 Δ -Multicategories

We define Δ -multicategories, where Δ is a structure category. These are multicategories C equipped with a Δ -action on the hom-sets of C . The first two action axioms relating to composition and identities are similar to the ones of monoid axioms, but the latter two require the coproduct and similarity closure properties of a structure category.

Definition 2.7 (Δ -Multicategory). Let Δ be a structure category. A Δ -multicategory C consists of a class of objects S and choice of sets $C(a, b)$ for each $a \in S^*$ and $b \in S$, where S^* is the class $\bigsqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n$ of finite words of S . For $f \in C(a, b)$, we denote $f: a \rightarrow b$ for $a \in S^*$ and $b \in S$. In addition C is equipped with the following structure:

- A family of maps \circ called the composition:

$$\circ_{a^1, \dots, a^n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c}: C(b_1 \cdots b_n, c) \times C(a^1, b_1) \times \cdots \times C(a^n, b_n) \rightarrow C(a^1 \cdots a^n, b)$$

for $a^1, \dots, a^n \in S^*$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n, c \in S$.

- For each $a \in S$ there is a choice of a morphism $id_a: a \rightarrow a$.
- For each function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ and $a_1, \dots, a_n, b \in S$ there is a function

$$\theta_{a_1 \cdots a_n, b, *}: C(a_{\theta(1)} \cdots a_{\theta(m)}, b) \rightarrow C(a_1 \cdots a_n, b)$$

Furthermore, for C to be a Δ -multicategory we require the following axioms to be satisfied:

- The associativity of composition:

$$(h \circ (g^1, \dots, g^n)) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_1}^1, \dots, f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) = h \circ (g^1 \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_1}^1), \dots, g^n \circ (f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n))$$

for $h: c_1 \cdots c_n \rightarrow d, g^i: b_1^i \cdots b_{m_i}^i \rightarrow c_i$ and $f_j^i: a^{i,j} \rightarrow b_j^i$ where $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m_i$.

- The identity laws:

$$f \circ (id_{a_1}, \dots, id_{a_n}) = f$$

and

$$id_b \circ f = f$$

for $f: a \rightarrow b$, where $a = a_1 \dots a_n$ and $a_i, b \in S$ for $i \leq n$.

- The axioms of a Δ -action:

1.

$$(id_{[n]})_{a,b,*} = id_{C(a,b)}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in S^*$ and $b \in S$, where the length of the word a is n .

2.

$$(\tau\sigma)_{a,b,*} = \tau_{a,b,*} \circ \sigma_{a_{\tau(1)} \dots a_{\tau(m)}, b,*}$$

for functions $[k] \xrightarrow{\sigma} [m] \xrightarrow{\tau} [n]$ in Δ and $a \in S^*$, $l(a) = n$, and $b \in S$.

3.

$$g \circ (\sigma_{a^1, b_1, *}^1(f_1), \dots, \sigma_{a^n, b_n, *}^n(f_n)) = (\sigma^1 + \dots + \sigma^m)_{a^1 \dots a^n, c, *} (g \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n))$$

for functions $\sigma^i: [k_i] \rightarrow [l_i]$, $i \leq n$, in Δ and multimorphisms $g: b_1 \dots b_n \rightarrow c$ and $f_i: a_{\sigma^i(1)}^i \dots a_{\sigma^i(k_i)}^i \rightarrow b_i$ where $a^i = a_1^i \dots a_{k_i}^i$ for $a_1^i, \dots, a_{k_i}^i \in S$ and $i \leq n$.

4.

$$\tau_{b_1 \dots b_n, c, *} (g) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = (\tau'_{k_1, \dots, k_n})_{a^1 \dots a^n, c, *} (g \circ (f_{\tau(1)}, \dots, f_{\tau(m)}))$$

for function $\tau: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ and multi-morphisms $f_i: a^i \rightarrow b_i$, $l(a^i) = k_i$, and $g: b_{\tau(1)} \dots b_{\tau(m)} \rightarrow c$, $i \leq n$.

When the category Δ is the wide subcategory of **FinOrd** consisting of all functions, bijections or identities, the corresponding Δ -multicategories are called cartesian multicategories, symmetric multicategories and multicategories, respectively.

Definition 2.8 (2-category of Δ -multicategories). Let Δ be a structure category and let M and N be Δ -multicategories with classes of objects R and S , respectively. A morphism $T: M \rightarrow N$ of Δ -multicategories consists of a map $T: R \rightarrow S$ and functions $T = T_{a,b}: M(a,b) \rightarrow N(\overline{T}(a), T(b))$, where $a \in R^*$, $b \in R$, and $\overline{T}: R^* \rightarrow S^*$ is the unique monoid morphism extending $T: R \rightarrow S$, also denoted as T . Additionally, T respects composition, identities and the Δ -action:

- $T(g \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)) = T(g) \circ (T(f_1), \dots, T(f_n))$.
- $T(id_c) = id_{T(c)}$
- $T(\theta_{a,b,*}(g)) = \theta_{\overline{T}(a), T(b), *} (T(g))$.

A natural transformation $\eta: T_1 \Rightarrow T_2: M \rightarrow N$ consists of a family of morphisms $\eta_r: T_1(r) \rightarrow T_2(r)$ making the multicategorical diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1(a) & \xrightarrow{T_1(f)} & T_1(b) \\ \eta_a \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_b \\ T_2(a) & \xrightarrow{T_2(f)} & T_2(b) \end{array}$$

commute for each multimorphism $f: a \rightarrow b$ in M . Here η_a denotes the sequence $\eta_a = (\eta_{a_1}, \dots, \eta_{a_n})$ for $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$. The vertical and horizontal composition is defined similarly as in the 2-category of categories. This determines the 2-category $\Delta\text{-MultiCat}$ of Δ -multicategories.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Δ be a structure category and let $(T, \mu: T^2 \Rightarrow T, \lambda: I \Rightarrow T) = T: M \rightarrow M$ be a monad in the 2-category $\Delta\text{-MultiCat}$. Then the underlying Kleisli and Eilenberg-Moore categories associated to T extend into Δ -multicategories.*

Proof. We define the Kleisli category M_T as follows:

- The objects of M_T are the same as the objects of M .
- A multi-morphism $a \rightarrow b$ in M_T consists of a multimorphism $f: a \rightarrow T(b)$ in M .
- Let $g: b_1 \cdots b_n \rightarrow c$ and $f_i: a^i \rightarrow b_i$ be multimorphisms for $i \leq n$ in M_T . We define the composition as follows:

$$g \circ_{M_T} (f_1, \dots, f_n) := \mu_c \circ_M T(g) \circ_M (f_1, \dots, f_n).$$

The unit of the monad T defines the identity morphisms of M_T .

- Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a function in Δ . We define $\theta_{a,b,*}^T: M_T(a_\theta, b) \rightarrow M_T(a, b)$ as the function $\theta_{a,Tb,*}: M(a_\theta, T(b)) \rightarrow M(a, T(b))$, where $a = a_1 \cdots a_n, a_\theta = a_{\theta(1)} \cdots a_{\theta(m)}$ and a_1, \dots, a_n, b are objects of M .

We show that M_T is a Δ -multicategory:

1. To show that the identity laws hold, fix $f: a = a_1 \cdots a_n \rightarrow b$ in M_T , where a_1, \dots, a_n and b are objects of M . Now

$$f \circ_{M_T} (\lambda_{a_1}, \dots, \lambda_{a_n}) = \mu_b \circ T(f) \circ (\lambda_{a_1}, \dots, \lambda_{a_n}) = \mu_b \lambda_{Tb} f = f$$

and

$$\lambda_b \circ_{M_T} f = \mu_b \circ T(\lambda_b) \circ f = f.$$

Thus the identity laws hold.

2. For associativity, fix multimorphisms $h: c_1 \cdots c_n \rightarrow d$, $g_i: b^i \rightarrow c_i$ and $f_j^i: a^{i,j} \rightarrow b_j^i$ for $b^i = b_1^i \cdots b_{m_i}^i$ for $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m_i$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& (h \circ_{M_T} (g_1, \dots, g_n)) \circ_{M_T} (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= (\mu_d T(h) \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n)) \circ_{M_T} (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= \mu_d T(\mu_d T(h) \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n)) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= (\mu_d T(\mu_d) T T(h) \circ (T(g_1), \dots, T(g_n))) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= (\mu_d \mu_{T_d} T T(h) \circ (T(g_1), \dots, T(g_n))) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= ((\mu_d T(h) \circ (\mu_{c_1}, \dots, \mu_{c_n})) \circ (T(g_1), \dots, T(g_n))) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= (\mu_d T(h) \circ (\mu_{c_1} T(g_1), \dots, \mu_{c_n} T(g_n))) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) \\
&= \mu_d T(h) \circ (\mu_{c_1} T(g_1) \circ (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_1}^1), \dots, \mu_{c_n} T(g_n) \circ (f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n)) \\
&= \mu_d T(h) \circ (g_1 \circ_{M_T} (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_1}^1), \dots, g_n \circ_{M_T} (f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n)) \\
&= h \circ_{M_T} (g_1 \circ_{M_T} (f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_1}^1), \dots, g_n \circ_{M_T} (f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n))
\end{aligned}$$

3. The Δ -action axioms are satisfied since T respects the action and thus M_T is a Δ -multicategory.

We define the Eilenberg-Moore Δ -multicategory M^T as follows:

- The objects are pairs $(a, f: Ta \rightarrow a)$ where the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
TTa & \xrightarrow{\mu_a} & Ta \\
Tf \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
Ta & \xrightarrow{f} & a
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
a & \xrightarrow{\lambda_a} & Ta \\
& \searrow id & \downarrow f \\
& & a
\end{array}$$

commute.

- A multimorphism $(a_1, f_1) \cdots (a_n, f_n) \rightarrow (a, f)$ in M^T consist of a multimorphism $\alpha: a_1 \cdots a_n \rightarrow a$ in M making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Ta_1 \cdots Ta_n & \xrightarrow{T(\alpha)} & Ta \\
(f_1, \dots, f_n) \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
a_1 \cdots a_n & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & a
\end{array}$$

commute.

- The composition in M^T is defined as in M .
- The action $\theta_{a,b,*}: M^T(a_\theta, b) \rightarrow M^T(a, b)$ is defined as in M and a quick check shows that it is well defined.

Associativity and unitality axioms of a multicategory are satisfied making M^T a Δ -multicategory. \square

Example 2.10. Here we give examples of different Δ -multicategories.

1. Each (cartesian/symmetric) monoidal category determines a (cartesian/symmetric) multicategory.
2. If C is a Δ -multicategory, then any full submulticategory of C is also a Δ -multicategory. Denote the class of objects of C by S . Let P be any subclass of S . We define the full submulticategory A over P as the one which has P as the class of objects and $A(a, b) = C(a, b)$ for $a \in P^*$ and $b \in P$. The Δ -action restricts into A and thus A has the structure of a Δ -multicategory.
3. The category of compactly generated Hausdorff spaces has two different cartesian multicategory structures, one defined by its categorical product and the other defined by restricting the cartesian structure of topological spaces.
4. The cartesian multicategory of sets is specifically defined as follows:
 - The objects are sets X .
 - A morphism $f: X_1 \cdots X_n \rightarrow X$ is just a function $\prod_{i \leq n} X_i := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in X_i, i \leq n\} \rightarrow X$.
 - Composition is defined using usual function composition.
 - Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a function between finite ordinals and let $f: X_{\theta(1)} \cdots X_{\theta(m)} \rightarrow X$ be a multimorphism. We define $\theta_*(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\theta(1)}, \dots, x_{\theta(m)})$ for $x_i \in X_i$ for $i \leq n$.

We will show only the last condition of the action axiom: Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ and let $g: Y_{\theta(1)} \cdots Y_{\theta(m)} \rightarrow Y$ and $f_i: X^1 \rightarrow Y_i$ for $i \leq n$. We show that

$$\theta_*(g) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = (\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n})_*(g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)})),$$

where k_i is the length of the word X^i for $i \leq n$. Let $x_i \in \prod X^i$ for $i \leq n$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_*(g) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)(x_1 \cdots x_n) &= \theta_*(g)(f_1(x_1), \dots, f_n(x_n)) \\ &= g(f_{\theta(1)}(x_{\theta(1)}), \dots, f_{\theta(m)}(x_{\theta(m)})) \\ &= (\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n})_*(g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)}))(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\theta_*(g) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = \theta'_*(g \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n))$.

5. Interestingly, the category of relations on sets has a cartesian monoidal structure given by its cartesian monoidal structure defined by the disjoint union of sets and hence it has a cartesian multicategory structure. There is another surjective-multicategory structure on the category of relations determined by the powerset monad $(\mathcal{P}, \mu: \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}, \lambda: I \Rightarrow \mathcal{P})$ on the surjective-multicategory of sets. We set

$$\mathcal{P}(f: X_1 \cdots X_n \rightarrow X)(A_1, \dots, A_n) = f(A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n)$$

and

$$x \xrightarrow{\lambda_X} \{x\}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X) \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \bigcup \mathcal{A}: \mathcal{P}\mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$$

for sets X, X_i and $A_i \subset X_i, i \leq n$. It is fast to check that \mathcal{P} is a morphism between the multicategories. It is equivariant with respect to the action of $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ making \mathcal{P} into a monad on the surjective multicategory of sets. Thus, as the Kleisli-category of the powerset monad, the category of relations inherits a surjective multicategory structure. Let $\theta: [1] \hookrightarrow [2]$ and $f: [1] \rightarrow [1]$. Consider $\theta_{[1]\emptyset, [1], *}(f): [1]\emptyset \rightarrow [1]$ which is the empty map. Notice that $\mathcal{P}(\theta_*(f))$ is not a surjection, but $\theta_*(\mathcal{P}(f))$ is. Hence \mathcal{P} is not an endomorphism on the cartesian multicategory of sets.

6. The category of sets equipped with partial functions is a surjective multi-category as the Kleisli multicategory of the list-monad T . The failure of \mathbf{Set}^T to be a cartesian multicategory is related to the failure of $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{P}}$ to be a cartesian multicategory.

3 Universal algebra

We define the syntax and semantics of universal algebra. Syntax contains notions of a signature σ , a context structure R , an R -equational theory E and an R -deduction system \vdash_R . Semantics concentrates on the models inhabiting in different multicategories and we define the connection between syntax and semantics via the satisfiability of models. Once the concepts are bolted down, we prove the soundness and completeness of some of the associated deduction systems.

3.1 Syntax

Syntax refers to the construction of mathematical structures out of symbol manipulation itself. We define a symbol as an element of a set and using recursive constructions on symbols we create the languages appropriate to our setting.

Definition 3.1 (Signature of universal algebra). A signature σ consists of the following data:

- A set S of sorts.
- A set of function symbols M with a typing $t: M \rightarrow S^* \times S$. We denote $f: a \rightarrow b$ for $f \in M$ where $f \xrightarrow{t} (a, b)$ and if $a = ()$ is the empty sequence, then we say that f is a constant symbol and $f: b$.
- A set of typed variables $t': V \rightarrow S$. Notation $x: s$ means that $x \xrightarrow{t'} s$. We require the fibers of t' to be countably infinite.

We will often shorten and denote $\sigma = (S, M)$ or $\sigma = (S, M, V)$.

Definition 3.2 (Terms). Fix a signature $\sigma = (S, M, V)$. We define a typed set $\text{Term} \rightarrow S$ of terms as follows:

- $c: s, x: s \in \text{Term}$ for $c: s \in M$ and $x: s \in V$.
- We define $f(t_0, \dots, t_n): b \in \text{Term}$ for $f: a_0 \cdots a_n \rightarrow b \in M$ and $t_i: a_i \in \text{Term}$ for $i \leq n$.

We define a function $\tau: \text{Term} \rightarrow V^*$, where $\tau(t) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } t \text{ is a variable symbol} \\ (), & \text{if } t \text{ is a constant symbol} \\ \tau(t_1) \dots \tau(t_n), & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n). \end{cases}$

Let t be a term and denote $\tau(t) = x_1 \dots x_n$. The set of variables of a term t is $\text{Var}(t) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and similarly for words $v \in V^*$.

Definition 3.3 (Context Structure). Let V be a set, whose elements we call letters. We denote the set of finite words of V as V^* . We say that a word $v \in V^*$ is a context of V if no element in v repeats and we denote the set of the contexts of V with C . We call a relation $R \subset C \times V^*$ a context structure if it satisfies the four conditions:

1. cRc for every $c \in C$.
2. cRv implies that all letters in v are expressed in c .
3. $cRv^1 \dots v^n$ and $v^i R w^i$, for $v^i, w^i \in V^*$ and $i \leq n$, implies $cRw^1 \dots w^n$.
4. If cRv , $s: \text{Var}(c) \rightarrow V^*$ and $dRs(c)$, then $dRs(v)$. Here $s(v) = s(v_1) \dots s(v_n)$ where $v = v_1 \dots v_n$ and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$.

We call a word $v \in V^*$ an R -word if cRv for some $c \in C$ and in such case we say that c is an R -context for v .

- A context structure $R \subset C \times V^*$ on V is said to be modelable if $cRv^1 \dots v^n$ implies that there exists contexts c^1, \dots, c^n where $cRc^1 \dots c^n$ and $c^i R v^i$ for $i \leq n$.
- A context structure R is said to be balanced if vRw implies that $\text{Var}(v) = \text{Var}(w)$.
- A balanced and modelable context structure is called balanced-complete.

There is a correspondence between structure categories Δ and context structures R :

Theorem 3.4. *Let V be an infinite set. Then the construction $R \mapsto \Delta_R$ defines a bijection from context structures to structure categories, where Δ_R consists of functions $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ where $c_1 \dots c_n R c_{\theta(1)} \dots c_{\theta(m)}$ and $c = c_1 \dots c_n$ is a context where $c_1, \dots, c_n \in V$.*

Proof. Fix a context structure R on V and fix an injection $c: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow V$. Notice that $c^n := c_1 \dots c_n$ is a context for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We define a structure category Δ_R to be the wide subcategory of **FinOrd** having the functions $f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, where $c^n R c_f$, where $c_f := c_{f(1)} \dots c_{f(m)}$. We will often use d_θ to denote $d_{\theta(1)} \dots d_{\theta(m)}$ where $d = d_1 \dots d_n, d_i \in V, i \leq n$ and $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$.

We will show that Δ_R is a structure category. Since $c^n R c^n$, it follows that $id: [n] \rightarrow [n]$ is a morphism in Δ_R for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $[k] \xrightarrow{f} [m] \xrightarrow{g} [n]$. We need to show that $g \circ f: [k] \rightarrow [n]$ is a morphism in Δ_R . Now we have that $c^m R c_f$ and $c^n R c_g$. Consider the renaming $s: c_i \mapsto c_{g(i)}$ for $i \leq m$. Notice that $s(c^m) = s(c_1) \dots s(c_m) = c_g$. Since $c^n R s(c^m) = c_g$ and $c^m R c_f$, it follows that $c^n R s(c_f) = c_{g \circ f}$.

Now we show that Δ_R is closed under the coproduct of morphisms. Let $f: [m_i] \rightarrow [n_i]$ be a morphism in Δ_R for $i = 1, 2$. We show that $c^{n_1+n_2} R c_{f_1(1)} \dots c_{n_1+f_2(m_2)}$. By definition of f_1 and f_2 being function in Δ_R , we have that $c^{n_1} R c_{f_1}$ and $c^{n_2} R c_{f_2}$. Consider $c_i \xrightarrow{s} c_{n_1+i}$ for

$i \leq n_2$. Now $s(c^{n_2}) = c_{n_1+1} \cdots c_{n_1+n_2} Rc_{n_1+f_2(1)} \cdots c_{n_1+f_2(m_2)} = s(c_{f_2})$. Since $c^{n_1} Rc_{f_1}$ and $c_{n_1+1} \cdots c_{n_1+n_2} Rc_{n_1+f_2(1)} \cdots c_{n_1+f_2(m_2)}$, we attain that $c^{n_1+n_2} Rc_{f_1(1)} \cdots c_{n_1+f_2(m_2)} = c_{f_1+f_2}$.

Next we show that Δ_R satisfies the similarity condition. Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be in Δ . Let $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $L_i = k_{\theta(1)} + \dots + k_{\theta(i)}$ and $K_j = k_1 + \dots + k_j$ for $i \leq m$ and $j \leq n$. We need to show that the map $\theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = \theta': [L_m] \rightarrow [K_n]$ is a morphism in Δ . By $c^{i,j}$ we mean the context $c_{i+1} \cdots c_j$ for $i \leq j$. We show that $c^{K_n} Rc_{\theta'}$. Let $d_i = c^{K_{i-1}, K_i}$ for $i \leq n$. Consider the mapping $c_i \xrightarrow{s} d_i$ for $i \leq n$. Now $c^{K_n} = d_1 \cdots d_n$ and so $c^{K_n} Rd_{\theta(1)} \cdots d_{\theta(m)}$. Notice that $d_{\theta(1)} \cdots d_{\theta(m)} = c_{\theta'}$. This is seen by the fact that the the variable at position $L_{i-1} + j$ in $d_{\theta(1)} \cdots d_{\theta(m)}$ is $c_{K_{\theta(i)-1} + j} = c_{\theta'(L_{i-1} + j)}$ for $0 < j \leq k_{\theta(i)}$.

Conversely given a structure category Δ , we set $(c, v) \in R_\Delta$, if and only if $v = c_\theta$ for some $\theta: [l(v)] \rightarrow [l(c)]$. Now we show that R_Δ is a context structure. The first two conditions follow directly: Clearly cRc for all contexts $c \in V^*$ and if cRv , then $v = c_f = c_{f(1)} \cdots c_{f(l(v))}$ for some function f and thus all variables in v are expressed in c .

Assume that $cRv_1 \cdots v_n$ and $v_i R w_i$ for $i \leq n$ with v_i, w_i and c having lengths $k_i, l_i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively for $i \leq m$. Thus we have functions $g: [k_1 + \dots + k_n] \rightarrow [j]$ and $f_i: [l_i] \rightarrow [k_i]$ for $i \leq n$ where $v_1 \cdots v_n = c_{g(1)} \cdots c_{g(k_1 + \dots + k_n)}$ and $w_i = v_{i, f_i(1)} \cdots v_{i, f_i(l_i)}$. Thus we have $g \circ (f_1 + \dots + f_n): [l_1 + \dots + l_n] \rightarrow [j]$ in Δ and now $c_{g \circ (f_1 + \dots + f_n)} = w_1 \cdots w_n$.

Lastly, let cRv , $s: \text{Var}(c) \rightarrow V^*$ and assume that $dRs(c)$. Thus $v = c_\theta$ and $s(v) = d_\phi$ for some $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ and $\phi: [k_1 + \dots + k_n] \rightarrow [l]$ where m, n and k_i are the lengths of v, c and $s(v_i)$, respectively for $i \leq n$. We are to show that $dRs(v)$. Notice that $s(v) = d_{\phi \circ \theta'_{k_1, \dots, k_n}}$ which shows that $dRs(v)$.

These mappings $R \mapsto \Delta_R$ and $\Delta \mapsto R_\Delta$ are inverses of each other which proves the claim. \square

Since we have bijective correspondence between structure categories and context structures on an infinite set, we are able to many examples of context structures.

Example 3.5. Let V be an infinite set and let $x, y, z \in V$ be pairwise different elements of V . Assume that c is a context of V and v a word of V . Consider the following context structures on V :

1. Let I be a structure monoid.

- There is a context structure R^I , where cRv if and only if each variable x in v is in c and expressed n_x many times where $n_x \in I$. If $0, 3 \in I$, then $xyzR^I zzzzy$.
 - We call $R^{\{0,1\}}$ the injective context structure and notice that $cR^{\{0,1\}}v$ if and only if each variable in v is expressed at most once in the context c : $xyzR^{\{0,1\}}xz$.
 - The context structure $R^{\mathbb{N}}$ is called the cartesian context structure and $cR^{\mathbb{N}}v$ if and only if the variables in v are expressed in context c : $xyzR^{\mathbb{N}}yxyxx$.
 - The surjective context structure is defined to be $R^{\{m \geq 1\}}$ and notice $cR^{\{m \geq 1\}}v$ if and only if all the variables in v are expressed in c : $xyzR^{\{m \geq 1\}}xyyzx$
 - The context structure $R^{\{1\}}$ is called the bijective context structure and cRv if and only if c and v are permutations of each other: $xyzR^{\{1\}}yzx$.

- We have a context structure R_I which is generated by the condition xRx^n for $n \in I$. Each context structure R satisfies $R_I \subset R \subset R^I$ where I is the set consisting $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where xRx^n for $x \in V$.
 - The context structures R_I for $I = \{0, 1\}$ and $\{1\}$ are called the strictly increasing and trivial context structures.
- Assume $0 \notin I$. The left surjections of Δ^I correspond to the context structure R called left surjective I -context structure, where cRv if cR^Iv and the first appearance of a variable x in v is before the appearance of the variable y in v , if the appearance of x in c is earlier than the appearance of y in c . Consider as an example $xyRxxxyyx$ if $3 \in I$ for different $x, y \in V$. Similarly the right surjections of Δ^I define the right surjective I -context structure (the last appearance of x is earlier than the last appearance of y in v if x appears before y in c).
- The following eight context structures are modelable and later we will see that there are no others.
 - Five are attained as follows: R^I, R_I for $I = \{0, 1\}, \{1\}, \mathbb{N}$, which corresponds to the injective, strictly increasing, bijective, trivial and cartesian context structures.
 - We attain three more from the surjective context structure $R^{\{m \geq 1\}}$ and its substructures defined by left and right surjective context structures.

Definition 3.6. Let V be an infinite set with a context structure R . Let $v \in V^*$ be a word. We call a context c a terminal R -context of v if wRc is equivalent with wRv for all contexts $w \in V^*$. We will often denote $c = \bar{v}$.

A context structure R has two different terminal contexts for a word if and only if $R = R^I$ for some structure monoid I . This is seen from the fact that if v has terminal contexts c and d , then they are contexts for each other and the corresponding structure category Δ_R contains a non-identity bijection and hence $\Delta_R = \Delta^I$ for some structure monoid I by Lemma 2.5(3).

Theorem 3.7. *Let V be an infinite set. Then there are exactly eight different modelable context structures R on V . Especially, all modelable context structures R have terminal contexts for all R -word.*

Proof. We have already seen eight different modelable context structures and each has a terminal context for each word. We show that there are no others. Assume that R is a modelable context structure. Let I be the set of multiplicities of variables in R -words. If $0 \in I$, then we know by Lemma 2.5(6), that R is either the injective, strictly increasing or cartesian context structure, all of which are modelable context structures. Assume then that $0 \notin I$. If $I = \{1\}$, then R is either the trivial or bijective context structure by Lemma 2.5(3). Thus we may assume that $I \neq \{1\}$. This implies that $n \in I$ for some $n > 1$. Hence $xRxx^{n-1}$, which implies that $xRxx$ by modelability of R for some $x \in V$ and hence $2 \in I$. Therefore $I = \mathbb{N}_1$. Notice that the surjective context structure R^I is modelable; hence, we may assume that $R \neq R^I$.

We will show that R is either the left or right surjective context structure. Let $x, y \in V$ be different elements. Notice that $xRxx$ and hence $xyRxyxy$ and thus xyx is an R -word by

the modelability of R . Thus $xyRxyx$ or $yxRxyx$. We will show that the first case implies that R is the left surjective context structure and the latter case implies that R is the right surjective context structure. Since the latter case is similar, we may assume that $xyRxyx$. Consider the set $S \subset \mathbb{N}$ consisting of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where each word v of length at most n holds that wRv if and only if $\bar{v} = w$, where \bar{v} is the left surjective terminal context of v . Notice that \bar{v} contains the variables of v with the latter repetitions of variables deleted. Since R is balanced and not the surjective context structure, it holds that each word has at most a single R -context. Hence it suffices to show that $S = \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $0 \in S$. Assume that $n \in S$. We'll show that $n + 1 \in S$.

Assume that wRv . We will show that $w = \bar{v}$. Now $v = av'$ for some $a \in V$ and $v' \in V^*$. If v' is not a context, then $wRac$ for some context c and cRv' and thus $w = \bar{ac} = \bar{av} = \bar{v}$. Hence we may assume that v' is a context of the form $w'aw''$ for some $w', w'' \in V^*$. Now $wRaw'aw''$ and thus $wRcw''$ for $cRaw'a$. Since $xyRxyx$, it follows that $aw'Raw'a$ and thus $c = aw'$. Therefore $wRaw'w''$ and thus $w = aw'w'' = \overline{aw'aw''} = \bar{v}$.

Next we show that $\bar{v}Rv$. Let x be the last variable expressed in \bar{v} . Let i be the index x is expressed in v for the first time. Now $v = v_1xv_2$ where the length of v_1 is $i - 1$. Therefore x is not expressed in v_1 . If v_1 is the empty word then $v = x^{n+1}$, which is an R -word. Assume that v_1 is not empty. Now v_1 and xv_2 are R -words by induction hypothesis. If either v_1 or xv_2 is not a context, then $\bar{v} = \overline{v_1 xv_2}$ is an R -context for v . Thus we may assume words v_1 and xv_2 are contexts. We attain that $\bar{v} = v_1x$. Let y be the last variable expressed in v_1 and consider the function $s: \text{Var}(v_1) \rightarrow V^*$, where $z \mapsto \begin{cases} z, & \text{if } z \neq y \\ yx, & \text{if } z = y \end{cases}$.

By the induction hypothesis, $v_1Rv_1v_2$. Thus $v_1x = s(v_1)Rs(v_1v_2) = v_1xs(v_2)$ and thus $v_1xRv_1xs(v_2) = v_1xv_2 = v$. Therefore $\bar{v}Rv$. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let R be a modelable context structure on an infinite set V . Denote by C_v the set of contexts of a word $v \in V^*$. Then $C_{v^i} \subset C_{w^i}$ for $i \leq n$ implies that $C_{v^1 \dots v^n} \subset C_{w^1 \dots w^n}$ for words $v_i, w_i \in V^*, i \leq n$. Furthermore, $C_{v^1 \dots v^n} = C_{v^{\theta(1)} \dots v^{\theta(m)}}$ for any surjection $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_R and $v^i \in V^*, i \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume that $C_{v^i} \subset C_{w^i}$ for $v^i, w^i \in V^*$ and $i \leq n$. We show that $C_{v^1 \dots v^n} \subset C_{w^1 \dots w^n}$. Let $wRv^1 \dots v^n$. Since R is modelable, we have that $wR\overline{v^1 \dots v^n}$ where $\overline{v^i}$ is an R -context for v^i for $i \leq n$. Since $\overline{v^i} \in C_{v^i}$ we have $\overline{v^i} \in C_{w^i}$ and so $\overline{v^i}Rw^i$. Since $wR\overline{v^1 \dots v^n}$ and $\overline{v^i}Rw^i$, it follows that $wRw^1 \dots w^n$ and hence $w \in C_{w^1 \dots w^n}$.

Let $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ be a surjection in Δ_R . Let $v^1, \dots, v^n \in V^*$. We show that $C_{v^1 \dots v^n} = C_{v^{\theta(1)} \dots v^{\theta(m)}}$. If R is the strictly increasing, injective, trivial or bijective context structure, then the equality is clear, since θ is either an identity or a bijection. Assume then that R is a balanced context structure. Thus each word of V is an R -word by Theorem 3.7. Notice that

$$\overline{v^1 \dots v^n} = \overline{v^{\theta(1)} \dots v^{\theta(m)}}$$

and hence $C_{v^1 \dots v^n} = C_{\overline{v^1 \dots v^n}} = C_{v^{\theta(1)} \dots v^{\theta(m)}}$. \square

Definition 3.9 (R-Theory). Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with V having a context structure R . We say that a context $c \in V^*$ is an R -context for a term t , if $sR\tau(t)$. If t_1 and t_2 are σ -terms of type s sharing a context v , then $t_1 \approx_v t_2$ is called an R -equation. A set of R -equations is called an R -theory.

Definition 3.10 (Renaming & Substitution). Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a context structure R . Let $v = x_1 \dots x_n$ be a context. We say that a function $s: \text{Var}(v) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \rightarrow \text{Term}$ is a renaming of variables in v , if s preserves the types, i.e. $s(x)$ has the same type as x for $x \in \text{Var}(v)$. Let s be a renaming of variables in v . We define $\bar{s}: \text{Term}_v \rightarrow \text{Term}$, where

$$\bar{s}(t) = \begin{cases} s(t), & \text{if } t \in \text{Var}(t) \\ t, & \text{if } t \text{ is a constant symbol} \\ f(\bar{s}(t_1), \dots, \bar{s}(t_n)), & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \end{cases},$$

where the set Term_v consists of those terms t , where $\text{Var}(t) \subset \text{Var}(v)$. We will denote $\bar{s} = s$.

Let $v = v_1 \dots v_n$ be a context. A triple $(s, (w_i)_{i \leq n}, w)$ is called an R -renaming if s is a renaming of variables in v and the contexts w and w_i are R -contexts for $w_1 \dots w_n$ and $s(v_i)$, respectively, for $i \leq n$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a context structure R . Assume that t has an R -context $v = v_1 \dots v_n$, where $v_i \in V$ for $i \leq n$. Assume that $(s, w, (w_i)_i)$ is an R -renaming of variables in v . Then w is an R -context for $s(t)$.*

Proof. By induction we see that $\tau(s(t)) = \tau(s(v_{\theta(1)})) \dots \tau(s(v_{\theta(m)}))$ for the $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ where $\tau(t) = v_{\theta(1)} \dots v_{\theta(m)}$. Since we have $wRw_1 \dots w_n$ and $w_i R \tau(s(v_i))$ for $i \leq n$, it follows by the third and fourth conditions of context structures, respectively, that $wR\tau(s(v_1)) \dots \tau(s(v_n))$ and $wR\tau(s(v_{\theta(1)})) \dots \tau(s(v_{\theta(m)})) = \tau(s(t))$. \square

Definition 3.12 (Syntactic deduction). Let σ be a signature with a context structure R . Let E be an R -theory. We define the set $D_E^R = D_E = D$ of R -deduced equations from E as the smallest set of R -equations satisfying the conditions:

- $E \subset D$.
- $t \approx_v t \in D$ for any term t in R -context v .
- If $t \approx_v t' \in D$, then $t' \approx_v t \in D_E$.
- If $t_1 \approx_v t_2, t_2 \approx_v t_3 \in D$, then $t_1 \approx_v t_3 \in D$.
- Let $t_1 \approx_v t_2 \in D$, where $v = v_1 \dots v_n$. Let $(s_1, w, (w_i)_{i \leq n})$ and $(s_2, w, (w_i)_{i \leq n})$ be R -renamings of v such that $s_1(v_i) \approx_{w_i} s_2(v_i) \in D_E$ for $i \leq n$. Then $s_1(t_1) \approx_w s_2(t_2) \in D$.

We denote $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_v t_2$ if and only if $t_1 \approx_v t_2 \in D$. We say that a context structure R is σ -deductively complete if for all R, σ -theories $E \cup \{\phi\}$ it holds that $E \vdash_R \phi$ if and only if $E \vdash_{\text{Cart}} \phi$, where Cart is the maximal context structure.

3.2 Soundness

For a given signature σ with a modelable context structure R , we define models of R -theories in any given Δ_R -multicategory. We show that the deductions system \vdash_R is sound and complete with respect to all models in Δ_R -multicategories. By soundness and completeness, we mean the inclusions $D_E^R \subset \bigcap_m \text{True}(m)$ and $\bigcap_m \text{True}(m) \subset D_E^R$, respectively, where the

indexing is over all σ -model m in a Δ_R -multicategory C . Here D_E^R means all the equations deduced from E and $\text{True}(m)$ is the set of all equations true in m . Later we see that completeness is attained for all eight different cases for modelable R , but **Set**-completeness is attained for balanced-complete R and the cartesian context structures R (six cases).

Definition 3.13 (Model). Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature. Let C be a multi-category. A σ -model in C is an association m , where

- $m(s)$ is an object of C for each sort $s \in S$. For $a = a_1 \cdots a_n \in S^*$, where $a_i \in S$ for $i \leq n$, we define $m(a) = m(a_1) \cdots m(a_n)$.
- $m(f): m(a) \rightarrow m(b)$ is a multi-morphism in C for each morphism symbol $f: a \rightarrow b \in M$.

A morphism of models $m \rightarrow n$ consists of a family f of functions $f(s): m(s) \rightarrow n(s)$ for each sort s and where the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m(a) & \xrightarrow{m(\alpha)} & m(b) \\ f(a) \downarrow & & \downarrow f(b) \\ n(a) & \xrightarrow{n(\alpha)} & n(b) \end{array}$$

commutes for each morphism symbol $\alpha: a \rightarrow b$. Here we understand the commutativity of the diagram to mean that $f(b) \circ m(\alpha) = n(\alpha) \circ f(a)$, where $f(a) = (f(a_1), \dots, f(a_n))$ for $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and $a_i \in S, i \leq n$.

Definition 3.14 (Canonical morphisms). Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a context structure R . Let m be a σ -model in a Δ_R -multicategory C . Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $w = v_{\theta(1)} \cdots v_{\theta(m)}$ for a function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_R . We set $m_v = m_{v_1} \cdots m_{v_n}$ and $m_{v_i} = m(a_i)$ for $v_i: a_i$ and $i \leq n$. We define a function $m_{v,w,b}: C(m_w, b) \rightarrow C(m_v, b)$ as $\theta_{m_v,b}^*$. Instead of writing $m_{v,w,b}(f)$ for a multimorphism $f: m_w \rightarrow b$ we use the notation $f * m_{v,w}: m_v \rightarrow b$ for the sake of convenience. Even though the function $m_{v,w}$ is not a morphism in C , it behaves very similarly to a canonical morphism constructed from the natural transformations in a monoidal category and so we choose to call $m_{v,w}$ a canonical morphism.

Lemma 3.15. *Let m be a σ -model in a Δ_R -multicategory C where R is a context structure on the variables of σ . Then the following assertions hold:*

1. *Let v be a context. Then $f * m_{v,v} = f$ for any $f: m_v \rightarrow b$ in C .*
2. *Let cRv and vRw . Then $(f * m_{v,w}) * m_{c,v} = f * m_{c,w}$ for any $f: m_w \rightarrow b$.*
3. *Let $v_i R w_i$ for $i \leq n$ and assume that $cRv_1 \cdots v_n$. Let $f_i: m_{w_i} \rightarrow b_i$ for $i \leq n$ and let $g: b_1 \cdots b_n \rightarrow c$ be multimorphisms in C . Then*

$$g \circ (f_1 * m_{v_1, w_1}, \dots, f_n * m_{v_n, w_n}) * m_{c, v_1 \cdots v_n} = (g \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)) * m_{c, w_1 \cdots w_n}$$

4. *Let $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $w, v^1, \dots, v^n \in V^*$ where w is of length m . Assume $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ is a context. Fix functions $f: m_{v_i} \rightarrow m_{v_i}$ for $i \leq n$ and $g: w \rightarrow b$. We assume vRw . Denote the function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ where $w = v_{\theta(1)} \cdots v_{\theta(m)}$. Then*

$$(g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)})) * m_{c, v^{\theta(1)} \cdots v^{\theta(m)}} = ((g * m_{v,w}) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)) * m_{c, v^1 \cdots v^n}$$

Proof. All parts follow directly from the corresponding axiom of Δ_R -action. So we will show only the last assertion: Denote the length of v^i by $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now $m_{v,w} = \theta_{m_v,*}$ and $m_{c,v^1\dots v^n} = \phi_*$ for some $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ and $\phi: [k_1 + \dots + k_n] \rightarrow [l]$, where m, n and l are the lengths of w, v and c , respectively. Consider the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(g * m_{v,w}) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) * m_{c,v^1\dots v^n} &= \phi_{m_c,*}(\theta_{m_v,*}(g) \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)) \\
&= \phi_{m_c,*}((\theta'_{k_1,\dots,k_n})_{v^1\dots v^n,*}(g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)}))) \\
&= (\phi \circ \theta'_{k_1,\dots,k_n})_{m_c,*}(g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)})) \\
&= g \circ (f_{\theta(1)}, \dots, f_{\theta(m)}) * m_{c,v^{\theta(1)}\dots v^{\theta(m)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 3.16 (Satisfiability). Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let m be a σ -model in a Δ_R -multicategory C . Let t be a term with an R -context v . We denote $m_v = m(s_1) \cdots m(s_n)$ where $v = x_1 \dots x_n$ and $x_i: s_i$ for $i \leq n$. We are ready to define the multi-morphism $m_v(t): m_v \rightarrow m(b)$ for a term $t: b$ with an R -context v :

$$m_v(t) = \begin{cases} id_b * m_{v,v_i}, & \text{if } t = v_i, \\ m(c) * m_{v,()}, & \text{if } t \text{ is a constant symbol } c, \\ (m(f) \circ (m_{w_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{w_k}(t_k))) * m_{v,w_1\dots w_k}, & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k), \end{cases}$$

where w_i is an R -context for $t_i, i \leq n$ and $vRw_1 \cdots w_n$.

The model m is said to satisfy an R -equation $t_1 \approx_v t_2$ if $m_v(t_1) = m_v(t_2)$. This is denoted $m \vDash t_1 \approx_v t_2$. We say that m satisfies R -theory E if m satisfies all the equations in E and we denote this by $m \vDash E$.

We defined $m_v(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = m(f)(m_{w_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{w_n}(t_n)) * m_{v,w_1\dots w_n}$ where $vRw_1 \cdots w_n$ and $w_i R \tau(t_i), i \leq n$. Notice that some contexts w_1, \dots, w_n satisfying the conditions do exist, since R is a modelable context structure. Furthermore, this definition is independent of the choice of the contexts, since we have assumed the existence of terminal contexts for modelable context structures R . In the following lemma, we show the independence in more detail:

Lemma 3.17. *Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let m and n be σ -models in a Δ_R -multicategory C . Then the following holds*

1. *Let $t: b$ be a term with R -contexts v and w where vRw . The multimorphism $m_v(t): m_v \rightarrow m(b)$ is well defined and $m_w(t) * m_{v,w} = m_v(t)$.*
2. *Let v, w be R -contexts for terms t_1 and t_2 and assume that vRw . Then $m_w(t_1) = m_w(t_2)$ implies that $m_v(t_1) = m_v(t_2)$.*
3. *Let $t: b$ be a term with an R -context v . Assume that $(s, w, (w_i)_{i \leq n})$ be an R -renaming of variables in v . Then*

$$m_w(s(t)) = m_v(t) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w,w_1\dots w_n}$$

where $w_i = \tau(s(v_i))$ for $i \leq n$

Proof.

1. We can prove both claims simultaneously via induction: The claim clearly holds for constant and variable terms t . Assume that $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and the claim holds for $t_i, i \leq n$. Let p_i be an R -terminal context for t_i for $i \leq n$. Notice then that

$$\begin{aligned} & m(f) \circ (m_{v_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v_n}(t_n)) * m_{v, v_1 \dots v_n} \\ &= m(f) \circ (m_{p_1}(t_1) * m_{v_1, p_1}, \dots, m_{p_n}(t_n) * m_{v_n, p_n}) * m_{v, v_1 \dots v_n} \\ &= m(f) \circ (m_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{p_n}(t_n)) * m_{v, p_1 \dots p_n} \end{aligned}$$

Since the morphism $m_v(t)$ is independent of the choice of contexts v_1, \dots, v_n , it follows that the morphism $m_v(t)$ is uniquely defined. In the same induction, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & m_w(t) * m_{v, w} \\ &= m(f) \circ (m_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{p_n}(t_n)) * m_{w, p_1 \dots p_n} * m_{v, w} \\ &= m(f) \circ (m_{p_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{p_n}(t_n)) * m_{v, p_1 \dots p_n} \\ &= m_w(t). \end{aligned}$$

2. Assume that $m_w(t_1) = m_w(t_2)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} m_v(t_1) &= m_w(t_1) * m_{v, w} \\ &= m_w(t_2) * m_{v, w} \\ &= m_w(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

3. We will show by induction on the structure of t that

$$m_w(s(t)) = m_v(t) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w, w_1 \dots w_n}.$$

If $t = c$ is a constant, then the left-hand side is $m_w(s(c)) = m(c) * m_{w, ()}$ and the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} m_v(c) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) &= !_{(), b, *} (m(c)) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) \\ &= !'_{(), b, *} (m(c)) \\ &= m(c) * m_{w, ()} \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $t = v_i$. The left-hand side becomes $m_w(s(t)) = m_w(s(v_i))$. Denote the function $1 \mapsto i: [1] \rightarrow [n]$ as ϕ . Now the right-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & m_v(v_i) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w, w_1 \dots w_n} \\ &= (id * m_{v, v_i}) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w, w_1 \dots w_n} \\ &= (id \circ m_{w_i}(s(v_i))) * m_{w, w_i} \\ &= m_w(s(v_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, assume that $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$. Since v is a context for t , it follows from the assumption that R is a modelable context structure that $vRv^1 \dots v^k$ where $v^i =$

$v_1^i \cdots v_{\alpha_i}^i, v_{\alpha_i}^i \in V, i \leq k$, is an R -terminal context of t_i for $i \leq k$. We notate $w_j^i = w_{j'}$ for the unique j' where $v_j^i = v_{j'}$ for $i \leq k$ and $j \leq \alpha_i$. Notice that since $vRv_1^1 \cdots v_{\alpha_k}^k$ and $wRw_1 \cdots w_n$, it follows that $wRw_1^1 \cdots w_{m_k}^k$. Thus there exists an R -terminal context w^i for $w_1^i \cdots w_{\alpha_i}^i$ for $i \leq k$ where $wRw^1 \cdots w^k$. Thus restrictions of s define R -renamings of variables ($s \upharpoonright (w_j^i)_{j \leq \alpha_i}, w^i$) for variables in v^i . Thus we may apply the induction hypothesis on terms t_i for $i \leq n$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_w(s(f(t_1, \dots, t_k))) \\
&= m_w(f(s(t_1), \dots, s(t_k))) \\
&= m(f) \circ (m_{w^1}(s(t_1)), \dots, m_{w^k}(s(t_k))) * m_{w, w^1 \dots w^k} \\
&= m(f) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1) \circ (m_{w_1^1}(s(v_1^1)), \dots, m_{w_{\alpha_1}^1}(s(v_{\alpha_1}^1)))) * m_{w^1, w_1^1 \dots w_{\alpha_1}^1}, \dots, \\
& m_{v^k}(t_k) \circ (m_{w_1^k}(s(v_1^k)), \dots, m_{w_{\alpha_k}^k}(s(v_{\alpha_k}^k)))) * m_{w^k, w_1^k \dots w_{\alpha_k}^k} * m_{w, w^1 \dots w^k} \\
&= m(f) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1) \circ (m_{w_1^1}(s(v_1^1)), \dots, m_{w_{\alpha_1}^1}(s(v_{\alpha_1}^1))), \dots, \\
& m_{v^k}(t_k) \circ (m_{w_1^k}(s(v_1^k)), \dots, m_{w_{\alpha_k}^k}(s(v_{\alpha_k}^k)))) * m_{w, w^1 \dots w_{\alpha_k}^k} \\
&= (m(f) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v^k}(t_k))) \circ (m_{w_1^1}(s(v_1^1)), \dots, m_{w_{\alpha_k}^k}(s(v_{\alpha_k}^k))) * m_{w, w^1 \dots w_{\alpha_k}^k} \\
&= (m(f) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v^k}(t_k))) * m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k} \circ (m_{w_1^1}(s(v_1^1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w, w^1 \dots w_n} \\
&= m_v(t) \circ (m_{w_1}(s(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s(v_n))) * m_{w, w^1 \dots w_n}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second to last equation follows from the Lemma 3.15 (4). □

Theorem 3.18 (Soundness). *Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Consider the associated structure category $\Delta = \Delta_R$. Let $E \cup \{\phi\}$ be an R -theory. If $E \vdash_R \phi$, then $E \models_C \phi$ for any Δ -multicategory C .*

Proof. Let $m \models E$ in a Δ -multicategory C . Let T be the set R -equations m satisfies. It needs to be shown that $D_E \subset T$. We show this by induction. It is clear that T contains E , and satisfies reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity. We need to see that T is closed under R -substitution: Let $t_1 \approx_v t_2 \in T$, where $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ and $v_i \in V$ for $i \leq n$, and assume that $(s_1, w, (w_i)_{i \leq n})$ and $(s_2, w, (w_i)_{i \leq n})$ are R -renamings for v . Now by Lemma 3.17

$$\begin{aligned}
m_w(s_1(t_1)) &= m_v(t_1) \circ (m_{w_1}(s_1(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s_1(v_n))) * m_{w, w_1 \dots w_n} \\
&= m_v(t_2) \circ (m_{w_1}(s_2(v_1)), \dots, m_{w_n}(s_2(v_n))) * m_{w, w_1 \dots w_n} \\
&= m_w(s_2(t_2))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $s_1(t_1) \approx_w s_2(t_2) \in T$. Therefore $D_E \subset T$. □

3.3 Completeness in Sets

We showed that for a given signature σ with a modelable context structure R it holds for any R -theory E that $D_E^R \subset \text{True}(m)$ for all m models in any Δ_R -multicategory C . We will show a strong version of the converse that there is a single universal model m for E in a

Δ_R -multicategory C such that $\text{True}(m) = D_E^R$. However, this universal model does not live in the cartesian multicategory of sets. In this subsection, we are going to show that if R is balanced-complete or the cartesian context structure, then D_E^R is exactly the intersection of $\text{True}(m)$ for all models m in the cartesian multicategory of sets. This has computationally an interesting corollary, that to show $E \vdash \phi$ it suffices to find a balanced-complete R such that $E \vdash_R \phi$.

Definition 3.19 (Term-Algebra). Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let E be an R -theory. Denote by C_v and C_t the set of R -context over a word $v \in V^*$ and a σ -term t , respectively. Assume the first condition of balanced complete context structures for R : If $C_{v_i} \subset C_{w_i}$ for $i \leq n$, then $C_{v_1 \dots v_n} \subset C_{w_1 \dots w_n}$. We define four different term-algebras n_i, m_i for $i = 1, 2$ in the cartesian multicategory **Set** of sets:

- Define $n_1(s) = \{(v, t) \mid t: s \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-term, } v \in V^* \text{ and } C_v \subset C_t\}$ and $n_2(s) = \{t \mid t: s \text{ is a } \sigma\text{-term}\}$ for a σ -sort s .

- Let $f: a \rightarrow b \in M$, where $a = a_1 \dots a_n$ and $a_i \in S$ for $i \leq n$. We set $n_i(f): n_i(a) \rightarrow n_i(b)$

$$n_1(f)((v_1, t_1), \dots, (v_n, t_n)) = (v_1 \dots v_n, f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \text{ and } n_2(f)(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$$

for $(v_j, t_j) \in n_1(a_i), j \leq n$ and $i = 1, 2$. The function $n_1(f)$ is well-defined by the modelability of R .

- Let $s \in S$. Set $m_i(s) = n_i(s) / \sim_s^i$, where \sim_s^i is called the provability relation on $n_i(s)$, where $(v_1, t_1) \sim^1 (v_2, t_2)$ if and only if $C_{v_1} = C_{v_2}$ and $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_w t_2$ for all $w \in C_{v_1}$ and $t_1 \sim^2 t_2$ if and only if $E \vdash_{\text{Cart}} t_1 \approx_w t_2$ for some context w containing variables in t_1 and t_2 .

- We define $m_i(f): m_i(a) \rightarrow m_i(b)$ as the unique function making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n_i(a) & \xrightarrow{n_i(f)} & n_i(b) \\ q_i(a) \downarrow & & \downarrow q_i(b) \\ m_i(a) & \xrightarrow{m_i(f)} & m_i(b) \end{array}$$

commute for a morphism symbol $f: a \rightarrow b$. Here we denote the quotient map $n_i(s) \rightarrow m_i(s)$ as $q_i(s)$ for sorts s .

The term algebras n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 are called balanced R -term algebra, R -term algebra, balanced E -term algebra and E -term algebra. The modelability of R guarantees that m_1 is well-defined.

Lemma 3.20. *Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let n_1, n_2 be the balanced R -term model and the R -term model, respectively. Let t be a term with a cartesian context $v = v_1 \dots v_n$, where $v_i \in V$ for $i \leq n$. Consider the function $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ where $\tau(t) = v_{\theta(1)} \dots v_{\theta(m)}$. Let $u = ((v^1, u_1), \dots, (v^n, u_n)) \in n_v$. Denote the renaming of variables $v_i \xrightarrow{s} u_i$, for $i \leq n$ in v . Then*

$$n_{1,v}(t)(u) = (v^\theta := v^{\theta(1)} \dots v^{\theta(m)}, s(t)) \text{ and } n_{2,v}(t)(u_1, \dots, u_n) = s(t).$$

Proof. The case for n_2 is similar, so we prove only the case for $n_1 = n$. We prove the claim by induction on the structure of the term t . If $t = c$ is a constant, then the right-hand side is $((\), c)$. The left-hand side is

$$n_v(c)(u) = ((\), c).$$

Assume that $t = v_i$. The right-hand side is (v^i, u_i) . The left-hand side is

$$n_v(v_i)((v_1, u_1), \dots, (v_n, u_n)) = (v^i, u_i)$$

Assume then that $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and the claim holds for t_1, \dots, t_k . Let $\theta_i: [m_i] \rightarrow [n]$ be the unique function where $\tau(t_i) = v^{\theta_i}$ for $i \leq k$. Notice that $v^\theta = v^{\theta_1} \dots v^{\theta_k}$. Now we attain

$$\begin{aligned} n_v(t)(u) &= n(f)(n_v(t_1)(u), \dots, n_v(t_k)(u)) \\ &= n(f)((v^{\theta_1}, s(t_1)), \dots, (v^{\theta_k}, s(t_k))) \\ &= (v^{\theta_1} \dots v^{\theta_k}, f(s(t_1), \dots, s(t_k))) \\ &= (v^\theta, s(t)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.21 (Term-naturality). *Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R and let $f: m \rightarrow n$ be a σ -model morphism in a Δ_R -multicategory C . Let $t: b$ be a term with an R -context v . Then*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} m_v & \xrightarrow{m_v(t)} & m(b) \\ f^v \downarrow & & \downarrow f(b) \\ n_v & \xrightarrow{n_v(t)} & n(b) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. If $t = c$ is a constant, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(b) \circ m_v(c) &= f(b) \circ (m(c) * m_{v,(\)}) \\ &= (f(b) \circ m(c)) * m_{v,(\)} \\ &= n(c) * m_{v,(\)} \\ &= (n(c) * n_{v,(\)}) \circ f^v \\ &= n_v(c) \circ f^v \end{aligned}$$

If $t = v_i$ is a variable symbol, then denote $1 \xrightarrow{\phi} i: [1] \rightarrow [n]$ and now

$$f(b) \circ m_v(v_i) = f(b) \circ \phi_*(id_b) = \phi_*(f(b) \circ id_b) = \phi_*(f(b))$$

and

$$n_v(v_i) \circ f^v = (\phi_*(id_b)) \circ f^v = (\phi'_{1, \dots, 1})_*(id_b \circ f(b)) = \phi_*(f(b)).$$

Assume then that $t = \alpha(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and the induction hypothesis holds for $t_1 : a_1, \dots, t_k : a_k$. Let v^i be an R -terminal context for t_i for $i \leq k$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
f(b) \circ m_v(\alpha(t_1, \dots, t_k)) &= f(b) \circ m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(m(\alpha) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v^k}(t_k))) \\
&= m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(f(b) \circ m(\alpha) \circ (m_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v^k}(t_k))) \\
&= m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(n(\alpha) \circ (f(a_1) \circ m_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, f(a_k) \circ m_{v^k}(t_k))) \\
&= m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(n(\alpha) \circ (n_{v^1}(t_1) \circ f^{v^1}, \dots, n_{v^k}(t_k) \circ f^{v^k})) \\
&= m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}((n(\alpha) \circ (n_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, n_{v^k}(t_k))) \circ f^{v^1 \dots v^k}) \\
&= m_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(n(\alpha) \circ (n_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, n_{v^k}(t_k))) \circ f^{v^1 \dots v^k} \\
&= n_{v, v^1 \dots v^k}(n(\alpha) \circ (n_{v^1}(t_1), \dots, n_{v^k}(t_k))) \circ f^v \\
&= n_v(t) \circ f^v.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.22. *Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let E be an R -theory. Denote by C_v the set of contexts over a word $v \in V^*$. Then the following holds:*

1. *Let n and \sim be the balanced R -term algebra the balanced E -provability relation on n , respectively. Denote by $m = n / \sim$ the balanced E -term model. Let $t_1 \approx_v t_2$ be an R -equation. Then $m \models t_1 \approx_v t_2$ if and only if $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_w t_2$ for some R -terminal context w for both t_1 and t_2 .*
2. *Let n and \sim be the R -term algebra and the E -provability relation on n , respectively. Let m be the E -term model. Let $t_1 \approx_v t_2$ be a σ -equation. Then $m \models t_1 \approx_v t_2$ if and only if $t_1 \sim t_2$.*

Proof.

1. Assume first $m_v(t_1) = m_v(t_2)$ for the forwards direction. Now by Lemmas 3.20 and 3.21

$$\begin{aligned}
[(\tau(t_1), t_1)] &= [n_v(t_1)((v_1, v_1), \dots, (v_n, v_n))] \\
&= m_v(t_1)([v_1, v_1], \dots, [v_n, v_n]) \\
&= m_v(t_2)([v_1, v_1], \dots, [v_n, v_n]) \\
&= [n_v(t_2)((v_1, v_1), \dots, (v_n, v_n))] \\
&= [(\tau(t_2), t_2)]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $C_{t_1} = C_{t_2}$ and $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_w t_2$ for all $w \in C_{t_1}$. Since $C_{t_1} = C_{t_2}$ and $v \in C_{t_1}$, it follows that t_1 and t_2 have the same variables appearing and hence they have a common terminal context w and therefore $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_w t_2$.

For the converse, we may assume that $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_v t_2$ and that $t_1 \approx_v t_2$ is a balanced equation. Let $((v^1, u_1), \dots, (v^n, u_n)) \in n_v$. Consider the function θ_i , where $\tau(t_i) = v_{\theta_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. We show that $m_v(t_1)([v^1, u_1], \dots, [v^n, u_n]) = m_v(t_2)([v^1, u_1], \dots, [v^n, u_n])$. Let s be the renaming $v_i \xrightarrow{s} u_i$ for $i \leq n$. Notice that

$$m_v(t_i)([v^1, u_1], \dots, [v^n, u_n]) = [v^{\theta_i}, s(t_i)] = [v^1 \dots v^n, s(t_i)],$$

since $C_{v^{\theta_i}} = C_{v^1 \dots v^n}$ by the Corollary 3.8. Let $wRv^1 \dots v^n$. Suffices to show that $E \vdash_R s(t_1) \approx_w s(t_2)$. Since R is modelable, there exists contexts c_i where $c_i R v^i$ for $i \leq n$ and $wRc_1 \dots c_n$. Thus $E \vdash_R s(v_i) = u_i \approx_{c_i} u_i = s(v_i)$ for $i \leq n$ and $E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_v t_2$. Thus $E \vdash_R s(t_1) \approx_w s(t_2)$ by the definition of R -deduction. Hence $m_v(t_1) = m_v(t_2)$.

2. The proof is similar to the previous part and hence omitted. □

Theorem 3.23 (Set-Completeness). *Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let $E \cup \{\phi\}$ be an R -theory. Assume one of the following assertions:*

1. *The context structure R is balanced, meaning that cRv implies that $\text{Var}(c) = \text{Var}(v)$.*
2. *The context structure R is the cartesian context structure.*

Then

$$E \vdash_R \phi \text{ if and only if } E \vDash_{\text{Set}} \phi$$

Proof. Soundness already gives us that $E \vdash_R \phi$ implies $E \vDash_{\text{Set}} \phi$.

1. Assume that R is balanced-complete. Hence the equation ϕ is balanced and the Theorem 3.22(1) implies the completeness.
2. Assume that R is the cartesian context structure and that $E \not\vdash \phi$. Denote $\phi = t_1 \approx_v t_2$. Consider a new signature $\sigma' = (S', M', V')$ and a σ' -theory E' , where

$$\begin{aligned} S' &= \{s \in S \mid \text{Var}(t) \subset \text{Var}(v) \text{ for some } \sigma\text{-term } t: s\}, \\ M' &= \{f: a \rightarrow b \in M \mid a \in S'^*, b \in S\}, \\ V' &= \{v \in V \mid v: s \text{ for some } s \in S'\} \text{ and} \\ E' &= \{t_1 \approx_w t_2 \in E \mid w \in V'^*\} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the codomain of a function symbol in M' is still in S' which follows from how one constructs terms. Let $m' = m_{E'}$ be the E' -term model. We extend m' to be a σ -model m by setting

$$m(s) = \begin{cases} m'(s), & \text{if } s \in S' \\ \emptyset, & \text{if } s \notin S' \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad m(f) = \begin{cases} m'(f): m(a) \rightarrow m(b), & \text{if } f \in M' \\ \emptyset: m(a) \rightarrow m(b), & \text{if } f \notin M' \end{cases}$$

Since $m_v(t) = m'_v(t)$ for any σ' term t in a context $v \in V'^*$, it follows that $m \vDash \psi$ for $\psi \in E'$. The model m satisfies the rest of the equations in E by vacuity, since m_v is the empty set for any $v \in V \setminus V'$. Therefore $m \vDash E$. Assume towards a contradiction that $m \vDash t_1 \approx_v t_2$. Thus $m' \vDash t_1 \approx_v t_2$ and by Theorem 3.22(2) $t_1 \sim t_2$, where \sim is the provability relation. Thus $E' \vdash t_1 \approx_w t_2$ for some context $w \in V'^*$ for t_1 and t_2 . We define a renaming of variables in w as follows

$$x \xrightarrow{s} \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } x \text{ is expressed in } v \\ t_x, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where t_x is a term with the same type as x and t_x has its variables expressed in v . Notice that v is a context for $\tau(s(w_1)) \dots \tau(s(w_n))$. Therefore, the substitution rule of deduction yields $E \vdash t_1 \approx_v t_2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $m \not\vdash t_1 \approx_v t_2$. □

Theorem 3.24 (Multi-Categorical Meta-Theorem). *Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Assume that R is either balanced or the cartesian context structure. Consider the associated structure category Δ . Let $E \cup \{\phi\}$ be an R -theory. Let C be a Δ -multicategory. Then*

$$E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi \text{ implies } E \vDash_C \phi.$$

Proof. If $E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi$, then by the Completeness Theorem 3.23 $E \vdash_R \phi$, and by the Soundness Theorem 3.18 $E \vDash_C \phi$. \square

The Multicategorical Meta-Theorem applies for Δ -multicategories, where Δ is one of the following 6 structures:

1. $\Delta_{\{1\}}$ of identities.
2. $\Delta^{\{1\}}$ of bijections.
3. $\Delta_{\mathbb{N}} = \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all functions.
4. $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ of surjections.
5. Ψ_{N_1} of left surjections.
6. Ψ^{N_1} of right surjections.

The structure categories $\Delta_{\{0,1\}}$ and $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ corresponding to strictly increasing maps and injections do not have an associated completeness theorem concerning sets. Consider the signature $\sigma = (S = \{*\}, M = \{f: ** \rightarrow *\})$ with a theory $E = \{f(x, y) \approx_{xyz} x\}$. Now $E \vdash f(x, y) \approx_{xy} x$, but $E \not\vdash_R f(x, y) \approx_{xy} x$ where R is either $R^{\{0,1\}}$ or $R_{\{0,1\}}$. Let $D = \{t_1 \approx_v t_2 \mid \text{if } \text{Var}(t_i) = \text{Var}(v) \text{ for either } i = 1, 2, \text{ then } t_1 = t_2\}$ be a family of R -equations. The family D satisfies the closure properties of R -deduction and $E \subset D$ and thus $D_E^R \subset D$. Since $f(x, y) \approx_{xy} x \notin D$ it follows that $E \not\vdash_R f(x, y) \approx_{xy} x$.

4 Categorical completeness

Consider a modelable context structure R . At the end of the previous section, we saw that two of the eight modelable deduction systems \vdash_R do not have complete semantics in sets. In this section, we remedy this problem and show that \vdash_R has complete semantics if we permit all the models in all Δ_R -multicategories, not just the ones in sets.

For each R -theory E , we construct the initial Δ_R -multicategory equipped with model $m \vDash E$. We show that for m it holds that $D_E^R = \text{True}(m)$. This result will be called categorical completeness.

The following theorem is known and very useful as it allows to construct free algebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature and let E be a σ -theory. Then the forgetful functor $U: \text{Model}(\mathbf{Set}, E) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^S$ has a left adjoint.*

Proof. Let $A = (A_s)_{s \in S}$ be a family of sets. We define the typed set $A\text{-Term} \rightarrow S$ of A -terms as follows:

- $a: s \in A\text{-Term}$ for $a \in A_s$.
- $c: s \in A\text{-Term}$ for $c: s \in M$.
- $f(t_1, \dots, t_n): b \in A\text{-Term}$ for $f: a_0 \cdots a_n \rightarrow b \in M$ and $t_0: a_0, \dots, t_n: a_n \in A\text{-Term}$.

We define A -term model n as follows:

- Set $n(s) = \{t : s \in A\text{-Term}\}$.
- For $f: a_1 \cdots a_n \rightarrow b \in M$ we define

$$n(f): n(a_1 \cdots a_n) \rightarrow n(b), (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto f(t_1, \dots, t_n).$$

We define an E -model out of n via a σ -congruence \sim generated by the pairs of elements $(n_v(t_1)(x), n_v(t_2)(x))$ for all $E \vdash t_1 \approx_v t_2$ and $x \in n_v$. As with the provability relation, n/\sim satisfies the equations in E . Furthermore, given any family of functions $f = (A_s \rightarrow p_s)_s$ where $p \models E$, f extends uniquely to a σ -morphism $\bar{f}: n \rightarrow p$. Since p satisfies all the equations deduced from E , it follows that \bar{f} extends uniquely along the quotient to a σ -morphism $m \rightarrow p$. This proves the claim. \square

Since left adjoints preserve initial objects, the initial E -model m consists of the equivalence classes of pure σ -terms t , which are σ -terms where no variable is expressed.

Definition 4.2 (Universal model). Let σ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Consider the signature extended signature Σ of σ and R , defined as follows:

- The set of Σ -sorts is $S' = S^* \times S$.
- For each $b, b_1, \dots, b_n, c \in S, a, a^1, \dots, a^n \in S^*, f: a \rightarrow b \in M$ and $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ in Δ_R , we define Σ -morphism symbols

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_{a^1, \dots, a^n, b_1, \dots, b_n, c}: (b_1 \cdots b_n, c)(a^1, b_1) \cdots (a^n, b_n) &\rightarrow (a^1 \cdots a^n, c) \\ id_c: (c, c) & \\ \theta_{*, b, c}: (b_{\theta(1)} \cdots b_{\theta(m)}, c) &\rightarrow (b_1 \cdots b_n, c) \\ f: (a, b). & \end{aligned}$$

The symbols $\circ, id, \theta_{*, a, b}$ and $f \in M$ are called composition symbols, identities symbols, Δ -action symbols and the internalized σ -symbols, respectively. We will often suppress the indices of the function symbols. Consider the following theories:

- We define a linear Σ -theory $\bar{\sigma}$ called the categorization of σ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ(\circ(h, g_1, \dots, g_n), f_1^1, \dots, f_{m_n}^n) &\approx_{hgf} \circ(h, \circ(g_1, f_1^1 \cdots f_{m_1}^1), \dots, \circ(g_n, f_1^n, \dots, f_{m_n}^n)), \\ \circ(id_d, h) &\approx_h h, \\ \circ(h, id_{c_1}, \dots, id_{c_n}) &\approx_h h, \\ (id_{[n]})_{*, c_1 \cdots c_n, d}(h) &\approx_h h, \\ (\phi\theta)_*(h') &\approx_{h'} \phi_*(\theta_*(h')), \\ \circ(\theta_*(h'), g_1, \dots, g_n) &\approx_{h'g} (\theta'_{m_1, \dots, m_n})_*(\circ(h', g_{\theta(1)}, \dots, g_{\theta(m)})), \\ \circ(h, \theta_*^1(g'_1), \dots, \theta_*^n(g'_n)) &\approx_{hg'} (\theta^1 + \dots + \theta^n)_*(\circ(h, g'_1, \dots, g'_n)) \end{aligned}$$

for pairwise different variable symbols

$$f_j^i: (a^{i,j}, b_j^i), g_i: (b_1^i \cdots b_{m_i}^i, c_i), g'_i: (b_{\theta^i(1)}^i, \dots, b_{\theta^i(k_i)}^i, c_i), h: (c_1 \cdots c_n, d), h': (c_{\theta(1)} \cdots c_{\theta(m)}, d)$$

for $a^{i,j} \in S^*$ and $b_j^i, c_i, d \in S$, where $i, n, m_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \leq n$ and $j \leq m_i$ and for functions $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$, $\psi: [n] \rightarrow [p]$ and $\theta^i: [k_i] \rightarrow [m_i]$, for $i \leq n$, in Δ_R . Here hgf , $h'g$ and hg' denote the appropriate contexts.

- Let E be an R -theory. We define the Σ -theory \tilde{E} of internalized E -theory as the set consisting of equations $N_v(t_1) \approx_{()} N_v(t_2)$ for each $t_1 \approx_v t_2 \in E$. Since R is a modelable context structure, each word has a terminal context by Theorem 3.7. Choose the terminal contexts \bar{v} for each word v . Let v be a context for a term $t: b$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ for $v_i: a_i \in V, i \leq n$. We can define the pure Σ -term (has no variables expressed) $N_v(t): (a_1 \cdots a_n, b)$ as

$$N_v(t) = \begin{cases} N_{v,(),b}(c), & \text{if } t = c \text{ is a constant} \\ N_{v,v_i,b}(id_{a_i}), & \text{if } t = v_i \text{ for some } i \leq n \\ N_{v,\tau(t_1)\cdots\tau(t_k),b}(\circ(f, N_{\tau(t_1)}(t_1), \dots, N_{\tau(t_k)}(t_k))), & \text{if } t = f(t_1, \dots, t_k) \end{cases}$$

and $N_{v,w,b}$ is the function symbol $\theta_{*,v,b}$ where $\theta: [m] \rightarrow [n]$ is the unique function in Δ_R where $w = v_{\theta(1)} \cdots v_{\theta(m)}$. We call the theory $\bar{E} = \bar{\sigma} \cup \tilde{E}$ the multicategorical E -theory.

An \bar{E} -model in **Set** corresponds to a Δ_R -multicategory with S as the set of objects and it is equipped with a choice of an E -model. Consider the initial \bar{E} -model C in **Set** and denote by m the E -model in C .

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ be a signature with a modelable context structure R . Let $T: M \rightarrow N$ be a morphism of Δ_R -multicategories. Then T induces a functor*

$$\bar{T}: \text{Model}(M, \sigma) \rightarrow \text{Model}(N, \sigma)$$

from the σ -models in M to those in N . Furthermore, $T(m_v(t)) = \bar{T}(m)_v(t)$ for any term t in an R -context v . Especially, if $m \vDash_M t_1 \approx_v t_2$, then $\bar{T}(m) \vDash_N t_1 \approx_v t_2$, and in the case T is faithful, the converse holds.

Proof. Let m be a σ -model. We define $\bar{T}(m)_s = T(m_s)$ for $s \in S$ and $\bar{T}(m)(f) = T(m(a)) \rightarrow T(m(b))$ for $f: a \rightarrow b \in M$. We set $\bar{T}(m)(\alpha)(s) = T(\alpha(s)) \rightarrow T(m(s)) \rightarrow T(n(s))$ for a σ -morphism $\alpha: m \rightarrow n$ in M . Clearly, \bar{T} satisfies the functoriality laws. Let t be a term in an R -context v . We show that $T(m_v(t)) = \bar{T}(m)_v(t)$. First notice that $T(m_{v,w}) = \bar{T}(m)_{v,w}$, since T respects the Δ -action on the multicategories M and N . Now

- If $t = c$ is a constant, then $T(m_v(c)) = T(m_{v,()}(c)) = \bar{T}(m)_{v,()}(c) = \bar{T}(m)_v(c)$.
- If $t = v_i$ is a variable symbol, then $T(m_v(v_i)) = T(m_{v,v_i}(id)) = \bar{T}(m)_{v,v_i}(id) = \bar{T}(m)_v(v_i)$.
- Assume that $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$. Denote by v_i the terminal context of t_i for $i \leq n$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} T(m_v(f(t_1, \dots, t_n))) &= T(m_{v,v_1 \cdots v_n}((m(f)(m_{v_1}(t_1), \dots, m_{v_n}(t_n)))))) \\ &= \bar{T}(m)_{v,v_1 \cdots v_n}(\bar{T}(m)(f)(\bar{T}(m)_{v_1}(t_1), \dots, \bar{T}(m)_{v_n}(t_n))) \\ &= \bar{T}(m)_v(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T(m_v(t)) = \overline{T}(m)_v(t)$. Now if m satisfies an R -equation $t_1 \approx_v t_2$, then

$$\overline{T}(m)_v(t_1) = T(m_v(t_1)) = T(m_v(t_2)) = \overline{T}(m)_v(t_2).$$

Thus $\overline{T}(m)$ satisfies the equation $t_1 \approx_v t_2$. Similarly with the converse, if T is faithful. \square

Theorem 4.4 (Completeness of the Universal Model). *Let E be an R, σ -theory, where R is a modelable context structure and $\sigma = (S, M, V)$. Denote by Σ the multicategorically extended signature of σ . Let $\overline{\sigma}$ be the categorization theory of σ . Let \overline{E} be the multicategorical E -theory. Let C be the initial \overline{E} -model and denote by m the E -model in C . Then the following claims hold:*

1. *The pair (C, m) defines the initial object in the category of Δ_R -multicategories with a choice of an E -model.*
2. *It holds that $E \vdash_R \phi$ if and only if $m \models \phi$ for any R -equation ϕ .*

Proof.

1. Let $n \models E$ be a model in Δ_R -multicategory D . Consider the full submulticategory C of D defined by objects n_s for $s \in S$. The pair (C, n) defines exactly an \overline{E} -model. Since the Σ -morphisms $C \rightarrow N$ correspond exactly with functors $C \rightarrow N$ respecting the models m and n , it follows that (C, m) defines the initial Δ_R -multicategory equipped with a model for E .
2. The direct holds by soundness. We show the completeness. Notice that the initial \overline{E} -model C is the quotient of the pure Σ -terms A according the theory $\overline{E} = \tilde{E} \cup \overline{\sigma}$. One attains C up to an isomorphism by first quotienting A with the congruence generated by $\overline{\sigma}$ and then quotienting by the congruence generated by \tilde{E} . Denote by B the quotient of A according to the theory $\overline{\sigma}$. Denote by b the σ -model in B . Consider the family of relations $\sim = (\sim_s)_{s \in S}$, where

$$\sim_s = \{(b_v(t_1), b_v(t_2)) \mid E \vdash_R t_1 \approx_v t_2\} \text{ for } s \in S$$

Notice that C is isomorphic to B quotiented by the congruence generated by \sim . It suffices to show that \sim is a Σ -congruence on B . Let n be the σ -term algebra. Thus there exists a functor $F: B \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ of multicategories mapping the model b to n . Thus for any constant Σ -term $T: (a, b)$, we attain a function $\overline{T} = F(B_{\emptyset}(T)): n(a) \rightarrow n(b)$. Notice that by Lemmas 4.3 and 3.20, $\overline{b_v(t)}(v_1, \dots, v_n) = n_v(t)(v_1, \dots, v_n) = t$ for any context $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ for a σ -term t where $v_i \in V, i \leq n$. Let $T: (a_1 \cdots a_n, b)$ be a pure Σ -term. Let $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ be a context where $v_i: a_i$ for $i \leq n$. Let $t = \overline{T}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. By induction one sees that v is an R -context for t and $b_v(t) = [T]$, where $[T]$ is the equivalence class of T in $B(a, b)$. Notice that $[b_v(t)] = [b_{v'}(t')]$ if and only if v and v' have the same length, $v_i \xrightarrow{s} v'_i, i \leq n$, is a renaming of variables v and $t' = s(t)$. The converse is clear. The direct follows from the fact that $n_v(t) = F(b_v(t)) = F(b_{v'}(t)) = n_{v'}(t)$ by Lemma 4.3. Now $t' = n_v(t')(v'_1, \dots, v'_n) = n_v(t)(v'_1, \dots, v'_n) = s(t)$ by Lemma 3.20. Thus $t' = s(t)$. Notice that if $v_i: a_i, i \leq n$ and $v = v_1 \cdots v_n$ is a context and $T_1, T_2: (a_1 \cdots a_n, b)$ are pure Σ -terms, then $[T_1] \sim [T_2]$ is equivalent to $E \vdash_R \overline{T_1}(v_1, \dots, v_n) \approx_v \overline{T_2}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$. A direct verification then shows that \sim is a Σ -congruence on B . \square

5 Conclusion

We showed a bijective correspondence between context structures R on an infinite set V and structure categories Δ . Consider a multi-sorted signature $\sigma = (S, M, V)$ with a context structure R . We developed R -deduction for R -theories and showed that if R is a modelable context structure, then $E \vdash_R \phi$ if and only if $E \vDash_C \phi$ for all Δ_R -multicategories C . The structure categories Δ_R corresponding to modelable context structures are the following:

1. $\Delta_{\{0,1\}}$ of strictly increasing maps.
2. $\Delta^{\{0,1\}}$ of injections.
3. $\Delta_{\{1\}}$ of identities.
4. $\Delta^{\{1\}}$ of bijections.
5. $\Delta_{\mathbb{N}} = \Delta^{\mathbb{N}}$ of all functions.
6. $\Delta^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ of surjections.
7. $\Psi_{\mathbb{N}_1}$ of left surjections.
8. $\Psi^{\mathbb{N}_1}$ of right surjections.

For the latter six of the eight structure categories Δ , we showed that the cartesian multicategory of sets gives complete semantics for R -deduction for $R = R_{\Delta}$ and showed a counter-example for the first two. In other words, $E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi$ implies $E \vdash_R \phi$ for cartesian context structure R or a balanced and modelable R . This has an immediate corollary called the Multicategorical Meta-Theorem:

$$E \vDash_{\mathbf{Set}} \phi \text{ implies } E \vDash_M \phi$$

for all Δ_R -multicategories M . This Multicategorical Meta-Theorem allows the transportation of results from the cartesian multicategory of sets to any other Δ_R -multicategory.

There is another application of this work which is more proof-theoretic. If $E \cup \{\phi\}$ is an R -theory, where R is a balanced and modelable context structure. Then $E \vdash_R \phi$ if and only if $E \vdash \phi$. In other words, one can potentially change the search space in the quest of finding a proof for ϕ from E without losing all proofs, given that a proof exists. We also showed that for any modelable context structure R and an R -theory E , the theory E can linearized in a certain sense. We may construct multicategorical signature Σ from σ and R and construct a linear Σ -theory \overline{E} that has the property that $\overline{E} \vdash T_1 \approx_{()} T_2$ if and only if $E \vdash_R \overline{T}_{1v} \approx_v \overline{T}_{2v}$ for all pure Σ -terms T_1, T_2 having the same type.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by a grant from the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique - FNRS.

References

- [1] M. R. Gould. Coherence for categorified operadic theories, 2010.
- [2] F. W. Lawvere. Functorial semantics of algebraic theories. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 50(5):869–872, 1963.
- [3] T. Leinster. *Higher Operads, Higher Categories*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2004.