

Transposed Poisson structures on Virasoro-type algebras *

Ivan Kaygorodov[†], Abror Khudoyberdiyev[‡] & Zarina Shermatova[§]

Abstract. We compute $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations on the deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro[¶] algebras and on not-finitely graded Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras $\widehat{W}_n(G)$, $\widetilde{W}_n(G)$, and $\widetilde{HW}_n(G)$. We classify all transposed Poisson structures on such algebras.

Keywords: Lie algebra; transposed Poisson algebra; $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation.

MSC2020: 17A30 (primary); 17B40, 17B63 (secondary).

INTRODUCTION

Since their origin in the 1970s in Poisson geometry, Poisson algebras have appeared in several areas of mathematics and physics, such as algebraic geometry, operads, quantization theory, quantum groups, and classical and quantum mechanics. One of the natural tasks in the theory of Poisson algebras is the description of all such algebras with a fixed Lie or associative part. Recently, Bai, Bai, Guo, and Wu have introduced a dual notion of the Poisson algebra [3], called a transposed Poisson algebra, by exchanging the roles of the two multiplications in the Leibniz rule defining a Poisson algebra. A transposed Poisson algebra defined this way not only shares some properties of a Poisson algebra, such as the closedness under tensor products and the Koszul self-duality as an operad but also admits a rich class of identities [3, 10, 20, 23]. It is important to note that a transposed Poisson algebra naturally arises from a Novikov-Poisson algebra by taking the commutator Lie algebra of its Novikov part [3]. Any unital transposed Poisson algebra is a particular case of a “contact bracket” algebra and a quasi-Poisson algebra. Each transposed Poisson algebra is a commutative Gelfand-Dorfman algebra [23] and it is also an algebra of Jordan brackets [10]. In a recent paper by Ferreira, Kaygorodov, and Lopatkin a relation between $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras has been established [11]. These ideas were used to describe all transposed Poisson structures on Witt and Virasoro algebras in [11]; on twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro, Schrödinger-Virasoro and extended

*The first part of the work is supported by FCT UIDB/00212/2020, UIDP/00212/2020, and 2022.02474.PTDC. The second part of this work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 22-71-10001.

[†]CMA-UBI, Universidade da Beira Interior, Covilhã, Portugal; Saint Petersburg University, Russia; kaygorodov.ivan@gmail.com

[‡]V.I.Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics Academy of Science of Uzbekistan; National University of Uzbekistan; khabror@mail.ru

[§]V.I.Romanovskiy Institute of Mathematics Academy of Science of Uzbekistan; Kimyo International University in Tashkent, Uzbekistan; z.shermatova@mathinst.uz

[¶]We refer the notion of “Witt” algebra to the simple Witt algebra and the notion of “Virasoro” algebra to the central extension of the simple Witt algebra.

Schrödinger-Virasoro algebras in [29]; on Schrödinger algebra in $(n + 1)$ -dimensional spacetime in [26]; on solvable Lie algebra with filiform nilradical in [1]; on oscillator Lie algebras in [16]; on Galilean Lie algebras in [18]; on Witt type Lie algebras in [14]; on generalized Witt algebras in [15]; Block Lie algebras in [15] and on Lie incidence algebras (for all references, see the survey [13]).

The description of transposed Poisson structures obtained on the Witt algebra [11] opens the question of finding algebras related to the Witt algebra which admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures. So, some algebras related to Witt algebra are studied in [14, 15]. The present paper is a continuation of this research. Specifically, we describe transposed Poisson structures on the deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ and not-finitely graded Lie algebras $\widehat{W}(G)$, $\widetilde{W}(G)$, and $\overline{HW}(G)$. The notion of deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro (dgHV) algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ has been appeared in [27]. It appears as the central extension of the deformed higher-rank Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, which is a generalization of algebras $\mathcal{W}(0, b)$, defined in [12]. Verma modules over dgHV algebras were studied in [28]. All transposed Poisson structures on $\mathcal{W}(0, b)$ were described in [11]. The algebra $g(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ is named twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra and it was well-studied [2]. So, there are works about derivations and automorphisms [24], representations [4, 22], modules [7, 21], Lie bialgebra structures [19], left-symmetric algebra structures [8], transposed Poisson structures [29], and so on. The algebra $\widehat{W}(G)$ was introduced in [6] as the central extension of the algebra $W(G)$. Lie bialgebra structures on $\widehat{W}(G)$ were studied in [5]. All transposed Poisson structures on $W(G)$ were described in [17]. Algebras $\widetilde{W}(G)$ and $\overline{HW}(G)$, as the central extensions of suitable Lie algebras, were introduced in [25] and [9], respectively. All transposed Poisson structures on $HW(G)$ were described in [17].

Summarizing, we prove that algebras $g(G, \lambda)$ admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures only for $\lambda = -1$; algebras $\widehat{W}(G)$ admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures; algebras $\widetilde{W}(G)$ and $\overline{HW}(G)$ do not admit nontrivial transposed Poisson structures.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall some definitions and known results for studying transposed Poisson structures. Although all algebras and vector spaces are considered over the complex field, many results can be proven over other fields without modifications of proofs. The notation $\langle S \rangle$ means the \mathbb{C} -subspace generated by S .

Definition 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a vector space equipped with two nonzero bilinear operations \cdot and $[\cdot, \cdot]$. The triple $(\mathcal{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is called a transposed Poisson algebra if (\mathcal{L}, \cdot) is a commutative associative algebra and $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra that satisfies the following compatibility condition*

$$2z \cdot [x, y] = [z \cdot x, y] + [x, z \cdot y].$$

Definition 2. *Let $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra. A transposed Poisson structure on $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a commutative associative multiplication \cdot in \mathcal{L} which makes $(\mathcal{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ a transposed Poisson algebra.*

Definition 3. Let $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra, $\varphi : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ be a linear map. Then φ is a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation if it satisfies

$$\varphi([x, y]) = \frac{1}{2}([\varphi(x), y] + [x, \varphi(y)]).$$

Observe that $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations are a particular case of δ -derivations introduced by Filippov in 1998 (for references about the study of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations, see [13]) and recently the notion of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of algebras was generalized to $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations from an algebra to a module [30]. The main example of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations is the multiplication by an element from the ground field. Let us call such $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations as trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. It is easy to see that $[\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{L}]$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{L})$ are invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of \mathfrak{L} .

Let G be an abelian group, $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{L}_g$ be a G -graded Lie algebra. We say that a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ has degree g (and denoted by $\text{deg}(\varphi)$) if $\varphi(\mathfrak{L}_h) \subseteq \mathfrak{L}_{g+h}$. Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{L})$ denote the space of $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations and write $\Delta_g(\mathfrak{L}) = \{\varphi \in \Delta(\mathfrak{L}) \mid \text{deg}(\varphi) = g\}$. The following trivial lemmas are useful in our work.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathfrak{L} = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathfrak{L}_g$ be a G -graded Lie algebra. Then $\Delta(\mathfrak{L}) = \bigoplus_{g \in G} \Delta_g(\mathfrak{L})$.

Lemma 5. (see [11]) Let $(\mathfrak{L}, \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra and z an arbitrary element from \mathfrak{L} . Then the left multiplication L_z in the commutative associative algebra (\mathfrak{L}, \cdot) gives a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation on the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{L}, [\cdot, \cdot])$.

Lemma 6. (see [11]) Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra without non-trivial $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations. Then every transposed Poisson structure defined on \mathfrak{L} is trivial.

2. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON DEFORMED GENERALIZED HEISENBERG-VIRASORO ALGEBRAS

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and G be an additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} such that G is free of rank $\nu \geq 1$ if $\lambda = -2$. The deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ was obtained by the universal central extension of the algebra $\check{g}(G, \lambda)$ with multiplications

$$[L_a, L_b] = (b - a)L_{a+b}, \quad [L_a, I_b] = (b - \lambda a)I_{a+b}.$$

Recall from [27] the deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ ^{||} with one deformation parameter λ is a Lie algebra with a basis $\{L_a, I_a, C_L \mid a \in G\}_{\lambda \neq 0, 1, -2}$ (resp., $\{L_a, I_a, C_L, C_I, C_{LI}^{(0)} \mid a \in G\}_{\lambda=0}$, $\{L_a, I_a, C_L, C_{LI}^{(1)} \mid a \in G\}_{\lambda=1}$, $\{L_a, I_a, C_L, C_{LI}^{(i)} \mid a \in G, 2 \leq i \leq \nu\}_{\lambda=-2}$) and the multiplication table is given by

^{||}Let us mention, that the algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ from [27] was considered under the following restrictions $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq G$ and $\frac{1}{k} \notin G$ for any integer $k > 1$. The algebra $\check{g}(G, -1)$ has no universal central extension, hence dgHV algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ usually considered with $\lambda \neq -1$. In the present work, we consider the algebra $g(G, \lambda)$ without restrictions on G and λ .

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_a, L_b] &= (b-a)L_{a+b} + \frac{1}{12}(a^3-a)\delta_{a,-b}C_L \\
[L_a, I_b] &= (b-\lambda a)I_{a+b} + \delta_{a,-b} \left((a^2+a)\delta_{\lambda,0}C_{LI}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{12}(a^3-a)\delta_{\lambda,1}C_{LI}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}\delta_{\lambda,-2}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right) \\
[I_a, I_b] &= aC_I\delta_{a,-b}\delta_{\lambda,0},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a_{(i)}\epsilon_1$ and $a_{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq \nu$) are coefficients of a with respect to a fixed \mathbb{Z} -basis of $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{\nu}$ of G for the case $\lambda = -2$.

In [29] the authors showed that every $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of $g(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ is trivial. It should be noted, that the generalization of the present result to the case of $g(G, 0)$ is similar of the proof given in [29] and we will omitted it. So we will consider the case of $\lambda \neq 0$, then our multiplication table becomes the following relations

$$[L_a, L_b] = (b-a)L_{a+b} + \frac{1}{12}(a^3-a)\delta_{a,-b}C_L, \quad (1)$$

$$[L_a, I_b] = (b-\lambda a)I_{a+b} + \delta_{a,-b} \left(\frac{1}{12}(a^3-a)\delta_{\lambda,1}C_{LI}^{(1)} + \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}\delta_{\lambda,-2}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right). \quad (2)$$

Set $g(G, \lambda)_0 = \langle L_0, I_0, C_L, C_{LI}^{(i)} \mid 1 \leq i \leq \nu \rangle$ and $g(G, \lambda)_{j \neq 0} = \langle L_j, I_j \rangle$. Then $g(G, \lambda) = \bigoplus_{j \in G} g(G, \lambda)_j$ and $\Delta(g(G, \lambda)) = \bigoplus_{j \in G} \Delta_j(g(G, \lambda))$. To determine $\Delta(g(G, \lambda))$, we need to calculate every homogeneous subspace $\Delta_j(g(G, \lambda))$. Let $\varphi_j \in \Delta_j(g(G, \lambda))$. Since $\text{Ann}(\mathfrak{L})$ is invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation we can assume

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_j(L_k) &= \alpha_{j,k}L_{k+j} + \alpha'_{j,k}I_{k+j} + \delta_{k+j,0} \left(\gamma_j\delta_{\lambda,1}C_L + \delta_{\lambda,-2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \gamma_j^{(i)}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right) \\
\varphi_j(I_k) &= \beta_{j,k}L_{k+j} + \beta'_{j,k}I_{k+j} + \delta_{k+j,0} \left(\mu_j\delta_{\lambda,1}C_L + \delta_{\lambda,-2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \mu_j^{(i)}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right) \\
\varphi_j(C_L) &= \delta_{j,0} \left(d_0C_L + \delta_{\lambda,1}d_0^{(1)}C_{LI}^{(1)} + \delta_{\lambda,-2} \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} d_0^{(i)}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right) \\
\varphi_j(C_{LI}^{(k)}) &= \delta_{j,0} \left(d_kC_L + \delta_{\lambda,1}d_k^{(1)}C_{LI}^{(1)} + \delta_{\lambda,-2} \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} d_k^{(i)}C_{LI}^{(i)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying φ_j to both sides of (1), we have

$$2(b-a)\alpha_{j,a+b} = \alpha_{j,a}(b-a-j) + \alpha_{j,b}(b-a+j), \quad (3)$$

$$2(b-a)\alpha'_{j,a+b} = -\alpha'_{j,a}(a-\lambda b+j) + \alpha'_{j,b}(b-\lambda a+j), \quad (4)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-a)\gamma_j + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b}(a^3-a)d_0 = \frac{1}{24}\delta_{a+b,-j}(((a+j)^3 - (a+j))\alpha_{j,a} + (a^3-a)\alpha_{j,b}), \quad (5)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-a)\gamma_j^{(1)} + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b}(a^3-a)d_0^{(1)} = \frac{1}{24}\delta_{a+b,-j}((a^3-a)\alpha'_{j,b} - (b^3-b)\alpha'_{j,a}), \text{ if } \lambda = 1, \quad (6)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-a)\gamma_j^{(i)} + \frac{1}{12}\delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b}(a^3-a)d_0^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a+b,-j}(\alpha_{(i)}\alpha'_{j,b} - b_{(i)}\alpha'_{j,a}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq \nu, \text{ if } \lambda = -2. \quad (7)$$

Applying φ_j to both sides of (2), we have

$$2(b-\lambda)\beta_{j,a+b} = \beta_{j,b}(b-a+j), \quad (8)$$

$$2(b-\lambda)\beta'_{j,a+b} = \alpha_{j,a}(b-\lambda-\lambda j) + \beta'_{j,b}(b-\lambda a+j), \quad (9)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-\lambda)\mu_j + \delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b} \left(\frac{1}{12}\delta_{\lambda,1}(a^3-a)d_1 + \delta_{\lambda,-2} \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}d_i \right) = \frac{1}{24}\delta_{a+b,-j}(a^3-a)\beta_{j,b}, \quad (10)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-\lambda)\mu_j^{(1)} + \delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b} \frac{1}{12}(a^3-a)d_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{24}\delta_{a+b,-j} \left(((a+j)^3 - (a+j))\alpha_{j,a} + (a^3-a)\beta'_{j,b} \right), \text{ if } \lambda = 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\delta_{a+b,-j}(b-\lambda)\mu_j^{(i)} + \delta_{j,0}\delta_{a,-b} \sum_{t=2}^{\nu} a_{(t)}d_t^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a+b,-j} \left((a+j)_{(i)}\alpha_{j,a} + a_{(i)}\beta'_{j,b} \right), 2 \leq i \leq \nu, \text{ if } \lambda = -2. \quad (12)$$

Lemma 7. $\Delta_{j \neq 0}(g(G, \lambda)) = 0$.

Proof. Let $j \neq 0$ and $\varphi_j \in \Delta_j(g(G, \lambda))$, then we consider the following cases.

- First, we consider the relations (3), (5) and (9).
 - (a) Putting $b = 0$ in (3), we have $(j-a)\alpha_{j,a} = (j-a)\alpha_{j,0}$, which implies $\alpha_{j,a} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for $a \neq j$.
 - (b) Setting $b = -a = j$ in (3), we get $\alpha_{j,0} = \alpha_{j,j}$, which derives $\alpha_{j,a} = \alpha_{j,0}$ for all $a \in G$.
 - (c) If $\lambda \neq 1$, then taking $a = 0, b = j$ in (9), we have $j(\lambda-1)\alpha_{j,0} = 0$, implies $\alpha_{j,0} = 0$.
 - (d) If $\lambda = 1$, then taking $b = 0$ and $a = -j$ in (5), we obtain $\gamma_j = \frac{1}{24}\alpha_{j,0}(1-j^2)$. Setting $b = -a - j$ in (5), we get $(j+a)(aj+2a^2+1)\alpha_{j,0} = 0$. Choosing a such that $(j+a)(aj+2a^2+1) \neq 0$, we obtain $\alpha_{j,0} = 0$.
 - ★ Hence, $\alpha_{j,a} = 0$ for all $a \in G$.
- Second, we consider the relations (4) and (6).
 - (a) If $\lambda \neq 1$, then setting $b = 0, a = j$ in (4), we have $j(\lambda-1)\alpha'_{j,0} = 0$, which implies $\alpha'_{j,0} = 0$. Taking $b = 0, a \neq j$ in (4), we get $\alpha'_{j,a} = 0$ for $a \neq j$. Finally, setting $a = j, b = 2j$ in (4) gives $j(\lambda-1)\alpha'_{j,j} = 0$, which derives $\alpha'_{j,j} = 0$.
 - (b) If $\lambda = 1$, then similarly to the previous case using (4) with $b = 0$, we have $(j-a)\alpha'_{j,a} = (j-a)\alpha'_{j,0}$, which implies $\alpha'_{j,a} = \alpha'_{j,0}$ for $a \neq j$. Then setting $b = -a = j$ in (4), we get $\alpha'_{j,0} = \alpha'_{j,j}$, which derives $\alpha'_{j,a} = \alpha'_{j,0}$ for all $a \in G$. Now taking $b = 0$ and $a = -j$ in (6), we obtain $\gamma_j^{(1)} = \frac{1}{24}\alpha'_{j,0}(1-j^2)$. Then setting $b = -a - j$ in (6), we get $a(j+a)(j+2a)\alpha'_{j,0} = 0$. Choosing $a \notin \{-\frac{j}{2}; -j; 0\}$, we obtain $\alpha'_{j,0} = 0$.
 - ★ Hence, $\alpha'_{j,a} = 0$ for all $a \in G$.
- Third, we consider the relation (8).
 - (a) Setting $a = 0$ in (8), we derive $(b-j)\beta_{j,b} = 0$, which implies $\beta_{j,b} = 0$ for $b \neq j$.

- (b) Letting $b = -a = j$ in (8) gives $\beta_{j,j} = 0$.
 - ★ Hence, $\beta_{j,b} = 0$ for all $b \in G$.
- Fourth, we consider the relation (9).
 - (a) Taking $a = 0$ in (9), we have $(b - j)\beta'_{j,b} = 0$, which derives $\beta'_{j,b} = 0$ for $b \neq j$.
 - (b) Using (9) with $a = -j$, $b = j$ and $a = j$, $b = 0$ we obtain $j(\lambda + 2)\beta'_{j,j} = 0$ and $j\lambda\beta'_{j,j} = 0$, which implies $\beta'_{j,j} = 0$.
 - ★ Hence, $\beta'_{j,b} = 0$ for all $b \in G$.

Finally, using (5), (6), (7), (10), (11) and (12) with $b = 0$, $a = -j$, we obtain

$$\gamma_j = 0, \gamma_j^{(i)} = 0, \mu_j = 0 \text{ and } \mu_j^{(i)} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \nu.$$

This proves that $\varphi_j = 0$ for all $j \neq 0$. □

Lemma 8. *If $\lambda \notin \{-2; -1; 1\}$, then $\Delta(g(G, \lambda)) = \langle \text{Id} \rangle$.*

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 7, it is sufficient to consider only $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_0 , i.e., $j = 0$. Let us consider the following steps.

- (a) Letting $b = 0$ in (3), we have $a\alpha_{0,a} = a\alpha_{0,0}$, which implies $\alpha_{0,a} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $a \in G$.
- (b) Taking $b = 0$ in (4), we get $\alpha'_{0,a} = \lambda\alpha'_{0,0}$. Then, putting $a \neq 0$, and $b = 2a$ in (4), we obtain $\lambda(\lambda - 1)\alpha'_{0,0} = 0$. Hence, $\alpha'_{0,a} = 0$ for all $a \in G$.
- (c) Now, setting $a = 0$ in (8), we derive $b\beta_{0,b} = 0$, which implies $\beta_{0,b} = 0$ for $b \neq 0$. Letting $b = -a$ in (8), we obtain $\beta_{0,0} = 0$. Hence, $\beta_{0,b} = 0$ for all $b \in G$.
- (d) Putting $a = 0$ in (9) gives $b\beta'_{0,b} = b\alpha_{0,0}$, which derives $\beta'_{0,b} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $b \neq 0$. Taking $a \neq 0$, $b = -a$, in (9), we get $(\lambda + 1)(\beta'_{0,0} - \alpha_{0,0}) = 0$. Hence, $\beta'_{0,b} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $b \in G$.
- (e) Using (5) with $a = 1$, $b = -1$, we obtain $\gamma_0 = 0$ and with $a^3 \neq a$, $b = -a$, we get $d_0 = \alpha_{0,0}$.
- (f) Now putting $b = -a$ in (10), we have $(\lambda + 1)\mu_0 = 0$. Hence, we have $\mu_0 = 0$.

As a conclusion, we obtain $\varphi_0 = \alpha_{0,0}\text{Id}$. □

Lemma 9. $\Delta(g(G, -2)) = \langle \text{Id} \rangle$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 7, it is sufficient to consider only $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_0 . In the case of $\lambda = -2$ and $j = 0$ the restrictions (3) – (12) have the following form:

$$2(b - a)\alpha_{0,a+b} = (b - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \alpha_{0,b}), \quad (13)$$

$$2(b - a)\alpha'_{0,a+b} = \alpha'_{0,b}(b + 2a) - \alpha'_{0,a}(2b + a), \quad (14)$$

$$2(a^3 - a)d_0 - 48a\gamma_0 = (a^3 - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \alpha_{0,-a}), \quad (15)$$

$$(a^3 - a)d_0^{(i)} - 24a\gamma_0^{(i)} = 6a_{(i)}(\alpha'_{0,-a} + \alpha'_{0,a}), \quad 2 \leq i \leq \nu, \quad (16)$$

$$2(b + 2a)\beta_{0,a+b} = \beta_{0,b}(b - a), \quad (17)$$

$$2(b + 2a)\beta'_{0,a+b} = (b + 2a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \beta'_{0,b}), \quad (18)$$

$$24a\mu_0 + 24 \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}d_i = (a^3 - a)\beta_{0,-a}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \nu, \quad (19)$$

$$2a\mu_0^{(i)} + 2 \sum_{t=2}^{\nu} a_{(t)}d_t^{(i)} = (\alpha_{0,a} + \beta'_{0,-a})a_{(i)}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \nu. \quad (20)$$

- First, we consider the relations (13) and (14).
 - (a) Letting $b = 0$ in (13), we have $a\alpha_{0,a} = a\alpha_{0,0}$, which implies $\alpha_{0,a} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for all $a \in G$.
 - (b) Taking $b = 0$ in (14), we get $\alpha'_{0,a} = -2\alpha'_{0,0}$.
 - (c) Then, putting $a \neq 0$, $b = 2a$ in (14), we obtain $\alpha'_{0,0} = 0$. Hence, $\alpha'_{0,a} = 0$ for all $a \in G$.
- Second, we consider the relations (17) and (18).
 - (a) Now, setting $a = 0$ in (17), we derive $b\beta_{0,b} = 0$, which implies $\beta_{0,b} = 0$ for $b \neq 0$.
 - (b) Letting $b = -a$ in (17), we obtain $\beta_{0,0} = 0$. Hence $\beta_{0,b} = 0$ for all $b \in G$.
 - (c) Putting $a = 0$ in (18) gives $b\beta'_{0,b} = b\alpha_{0,0}$, which derives $\beta'_{0,b} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $b \neq 0$.
 - (d) Taking $b = -a$ in (18), we get $\beta'_{0,0} = \alpha_{0,0}$.
- Third, we consider the relations (15) and (16). We have the following cases.
 - (a) Using (15) with $a = 1$, we obtain $\gamma_0 = 0$ and with $a^3 \neq a$, we get $d_0 = \alpha_{0,0}$.
 - (b) Similarly, from (16) by $a = 1$ and $a^3 \neq a$, we derive $\gamma_0^{(i)} = 0$ and $d_0^{(i)} = 0$, for $2 \leq i \leq \nu$.
- Finally, we consider the relations (19) and (20).
 - (a) From (19), we obtain $a\mu_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}d_i = 0$. In this relation, replacing a with $a + 1$ and $a + \epsilon_j$ ($2 \leq j \leq \nu$), we get

$$(a + 1)\mu_0 + \sum_{i=2}^{\nu} a_{(i)}d_i = 0, \quad (a + \epsilon_j)\mu_0 + (a_{(j)} + 1)d_j + \sum_{i=2, i \neq j}^{\nu} a_{(i)}d_i = 0.$$

Combining these relations, we obtain $\mu_0 = 0$ and $d_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq \nu$.

- (b) Now considering (20), we get

$$a\mu_0^{(i)} + \sum_{t=2}^{\nu} a_{(t)}d_t^{(i)} = \alpha_{0,0}a_{(i)}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq \nu.$$

Similarly to the previous case, replacing a with $a + 1$ and $a + \epsilon_j$ ($2 \leq j \leq \nu$), we obtain $\mu_0^{(i)} = 0$, $d_i^{(i)} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $2 \leq i \leq \nu$ and $d_t^{(i)} = 0$ for $t \neq i$.

As a conclusion, we obtain that $\varphi_0 = \alpha_{0,0}\text{Id}$. □

Lemma 10. $\Delta(g(G, 1)) = \langle \text{Id}, \varphi \rangle$, where $\varphi(L_k) = I_k$ and $\varphi(C_L) = C_{LI}^{(1)}$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemma 7, it is sufficient to consider only $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_0 . In the case of $\lambda = 1$ and $j = 0$ the restrictions (3) – (12) have the following form:

$$2(b - a)\alpha_{0,a+b} = (b - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \alpha_{0,b}), \quad (21)$$

$$2(b - a)\alpha'_{0,a+b} = (b - a)(\alpha'_{0,a} + \alpha'_{0,b}), \quad (22)$$

$$2d_0(a^3 - a) - 48a\gamma_0 = (a^3 - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \alpha_{0,-a}), \quad (23)$$

$$2d_0^{(1)}(a^3 - a) - 48a\gamma_0^{(1)} = (a^3 - a)(\alpha'_{0,a} + \alpha'_{0,-a}), \quad (24)$$

$$2(b - a)\beta_{0,a+b} = (b - a)\beta_{0,b}, \quad (25)$$

$$2(b - a)\beta'_{0,a+b} = (b - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \beta'_{0,b}), \quad (26)$$

$$2d_1(a^3 - a) - 48a\mu_0 = \beta_{0,-a}(a^3 - a), \quad (27)$$

$$2d_1^{(1)}(a^3 - a) - 48a\mu_0^{(1)} = (a^3 - a)(\alpha_{0,a} + \beta'_{0,-a}). \quad (28)$$

We will consider the obtained relations in the following steps.

- (a) Setting $b = 0$ in (21) and (22), we have $\alpha_{0,a} = \alpha_{0,0}$, $\alpha'_{0,a} = \alpha'_{0,0}$ for all $a \in G$.
- (b) Taking $a = 0$ in (25), we obtain $\beta_{0,b} = 0$ for $b \neq 0$.
- (c) Letting $b = -a$ in (25), we get $\beta_{0,0} = 0$.
- (d) Setting $a = 0$ in (26), we have $\beta'_{0,b} = \alpha_{0,0}$ for $b \neq 0$.
- (e) Letting $b = -a$ in (26), we get $\beta'_{0,0} = \alpha_{0,0}$.
- (f) Taking $a = 1$, in (23) and (24), we obtain $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0^{(1)} = 0$.
- (g) Finally, putting $a = 2$, in (23) and (24), we derive that $d_0 = \alpha_{0,0}$ and $d_0^{(1)} = \alpha'_{0,0}$.
- (h) Similarly, by (27) and (28), we can get $\mu_0 = \mu_0^{(1)} = 0$ and $d_1 = 0$, $d_1^{(1)} = \alpha_{0,0}$.

Therefore, we obtain that φ_0 has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(L_k) &= \alpha L_k + \alpha' I_k, & \varphi_0(I_k) &= \alpha I_k, \\ \varphi_0(C_L) &= \alpha C_L + \alpha' C_{LI}^{(1)}, & \varphi_0(C_{LI}^{(1)}) &= \alpha C_{LI}^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 11. $\Delta(g(G, -1)) = \langle \text{Id}, \varphi \rangle$, where $\varphi(I_0) = C_L$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proofs of Lemma 8 and Lemma 10. □

Theorem 12. If $(g(G, \lambda), \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a nontrivial transposed Poisson algebra, then $\lambda = -1$, $(g(G, \lambda), \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a nontrivial Poisson algebra, and $I_0 \cdot I_0 = \beta C_L$.

Proof. Thanks to Lemmas 8 and 9, any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation on $g(G, \lambda)$ for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$ is trivial, we conclude that there are no non-trivial transposed Poisson structures defined on $g(G, \lambda)$, for $\lambda \neq \pm 1$. The case of $\lambda = -1$ is trivial and states the Theorem for $\lambda = -1$.

Now we aim to describe the commutative associative multiplication on transposed Poisson structures defined on $g(G, 1)$. By Lemma 5, for each $l \in g(G, 1)$, there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_l of $g(G, 1)$ such that

$$\varphi_{l_1}(l_2) = l_1 \cdot l_2 = \varphi_{l_2}(l_1),$$

with $l_1, l_2 \in g(G, 1)$. By Lemma 10, we have

$$\alpha_{L_a} C_L + \alpha'_{L_a} C_{LI}^{(1)} = \varphi_{L_a}(C_L) = L_a \cdot C_L = \varphi_{C_L}(L_a) = \alpha_{C_L} L_a + \alpha'_{C_L} I_a.$$

Thus, we get $\alpha_{L_a} = \alpha'_{L_a} = \alpha_{C_L} = \alpha'_{C_L} = 0$ for all $a \in G$. Hence,

$$C_L \cdot C_L = 0, \quad L_a \cdot C_L = 0, \quad L_a \cdot L_b = 0 \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$

Similarly, considering $\varphi_{L_a}(C_{LI}^{(1)}) = L_a \cdot C_{LI}^{(1)} = \varphi_{C_{LI}^{(1)}}(L_a)$, we obtain $L_a \cdot C_{LI}^{(1)} = C_{LI}^{(1)} \cdot C_{LI}^{(1)} = 0$ for all $a \in G$. Now, considering

$$\alpha_{I_a} C_L + \alpha'_{I_a} C_{LI}^{(1)} = I_a \cdot C_L = C_L \cdot I_a = \varphi_{I_a}(C_L) = \varphi_{C_L}(I_a) = 0,$$

we get $\alpha_{I_a} = \alpha'_{I_a} = 0$ for all $a \in G$, which implies

$$I_a \cdot I_b = 0, \quad I_a \cdot C_{LI}^{(1)} = 0, \quad I_a \cdot L_b = 0 \quad \text{for all } a, b \in G.$$

Hence, all commutative associative multiplications on $g(G, 1)$ are trivial. \square

3. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON NOT-FINITELY GRADED LIE ALGEBRA $\widehat{W}(G)$

Let G be any nontrivial additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} , and $\mathbb{C}[G \times \mathbb{Z}_+]$ the semigroup algebra of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ with basis $\{x^\alpha t^i \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and product $(x^\alpha t^i) \cdot (x^\beta t^j) = x^{\alpha+\beta} t^{i+j}$. Let ∂_x, ∂_t be the derivations of $\mathbb{C}[G \times \mathbb{Z}_+]$ defined by $\partial_x(x^\alpha t^i) = \alpha x^{\alpha-1} t^i, \partial_t(x^\alpha t^i) = i x^\alpha t^{i-1}$ for $\alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Denote $\partial = \partial_x + t^2 \partial_t$. Then the Lie algebra $W(G)$ is $\mathbb{C}[G \times \mathbb{Z}_+] \partial$ with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i} = x^\alpha t^i \partial \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and relation

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j+1}.$$

The Lie algebra $W(G)$ has the unique universal central extension $\widehat{W}(G)$ with one dimensional center $\langle C \rangle$ and relation

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta, i+j+1} + \delta_{\alpha, -\beta} \delta_{i, -j} \frac{\alpha^3 - \alpha}{12} C. \quad (29)$$

$\widehat{W}(G)$ contains the Virasoro algebra $Vir(G) = \langle L_{\alpha,0}, C \mid \alpha \in G \rangle$. We refer to $\widehat{W}(G)$ as a not-finitely graded Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra. The Lie algebra $\widehat{W}(G)$ is a G -graded algebra. Namely, $\widehat{W}(G) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \widehat{W}(G)_\alpha$, where $\widehat{W}(G)_0 = \langle L_{0,i}, C \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \rangle$ and $\widehat{W}(G)_{\alpha \in G \setminus \{0\}} = \langle L_{\alpha,i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \rangle$.

Theorem 13. $\Delta(\widehat{W}(G)) = \langle \text{Id}, \varphi \rangle$, where $\varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq 1} a^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d, i+k}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, we get $\Delta(\widehat{W}(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \Delta_\alpha(\widehat{W}(G))$. To determine $\Delta(\widehat{W}(G))$, we need to calculate every homogeneous subspace $\Delta_\alpha(\widehat{W}(G))$. Let $\varphi_d \in \Delta_d(\widehat{W}(G))$. Since $\text{Ann}(\widehat{W}(G))$ is invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation, we can assume that

$$\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d, k} + \delta_{\alpha+d, 0} \delta_{i, 0} a_\alpha C, \quad \varphi_d(C) = \delta_{d, 0} \lambda C.$$

To determine these coefficients, we start with applying φ_d to both side of (29) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left((\beta - \alpha) a_{\alpha+\beta, i+j}^{d,k} + (j - i) a_{\alpha+\beta, i+j+1}^{d,k} \right) L_{\alpha+\beta+d, k} = \\ & = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha - d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d, j+k} + (j - k) L_{\alpha+\beta+d, j+k+1} \right) + \\ & + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha + d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d, i+k} + (k - i) L_{\alpha+\beta+d, i+k+1} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2(\beta - \alpha)\delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}\delta_{i,-j}a_{\alpha+\beta}C + 2(j-i)\delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}\delta_{i+j,-1}a_{\alpha+\beta}C + 2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}\delta_{i,-j}\delta_{d,0}\frac{\alpha^3-\alpha}{12}\lambda C \\
&= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} \delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}\delta_{j,-k} \frac{(\alpha+d)^3 - (\alpha+d)}{12} C + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}\delta_{i,-k} \frac{\alpha^3-\alpha}{12} C.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The relation (30) corresponds to the case $n = 1$ in the proof of Theorem 12 in [17]. It follows that $a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = a_{0,0}^{d,k-i}$, $k \geq i$. We simply denote $a^{d,k} = a_{0,0}^{d,k}$. Setting $i = j = 0$ in (31), we deduce the relation

$$24(\beta - \alpha)\delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}a_{\alpha+\beta} + 2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}\delta_{d,0}(a^3 - \alpha)\lambda = a^{d,0}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}((\alpha+d)^3 - (\alpha+d) + a^3 - \alpha). \tag{32}$$

For $d \neq 0$ putting $\alpha = -d$ and $\beta = 0$ in (32), we have

$$-24(2\alpha + d)a_{-d} = a^{d,0}((\alpha+d)^3 - (\alpha+d) + a^3 - \alpha).$$

This equality, due to arbitrary α , gives that $a^{d,0} = a_{-d} = 0$ for all $d \in G \setminus \{0\}$.

For $d = 0$ setting $\beta = -\alpha$ in (32), we obtain the relation $24\alpha a_0 = (\lambda - a^{0,0})(a^2 - \alpha)$. Again, due to arbitrary α , we get $a_0 = 0$ and $\lambda = a^{0,0}$. Hence it proves that φ_d has the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{d \neq 0}(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \geq i+1} a^{d,k-i} L_{\alpha+d,k}, \\
\varphi_0(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \geq i} a^{0,k-i} L_{\alpha,k}, \quad \varphi_0(C) = a^{0,0}C.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 14. *Let $(\widehat{W}(G), \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Lie algebra $\widehat{W}(G)$. Then $(\widehat{W}(G), \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is not Poisson algebra and there is a finite set of elements $\{a^{d,k} | d \in G, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$, such that*

$$L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a^{d,k} L_{d+\alpha+\beta, k+i+j+1}.$$

Proof. We aim describe the multiplication \cdot . By Lemma 5, for every element $l \in \widehat{W}(G)$, there is a related $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation φ_l of $\widehat{W}(G)$ such that $\varphi_{l_1}(l_2) = l_1 \cdot l_2 = \varphi_{l_2}(l_1)$, with $l_1, l_2 \in \widehat{W}(G)$. By Theorem 13, we have the description of all $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of $\widehat{W}(G)$.

Now we consider the multiplication $C \cdot L_{\alpha,i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{L_{\alpha,i}}(C) = L_{\alpha,i} \cdot C &= C \cdot L_{\alpha,i} = \varphi_C(L_{\alpha,i}), \\
a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{0,0} C &= \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq 1} a_C^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d, k+i} + a_C^{0,0} L_{\alpha,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{0,0} = 0$ and $a_C^{d,k} = 0$, i.e., $C \cdot L_{\alpha,i} = 0$.

Then we determine the multiplication $L_{0,0} \cdot L_{\alpha,i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{L_{0,0}}(L_{\alpha,i}) &= L_{0,0} \cdot L_{\alpha,i} = L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{0,0} = \varphi_{L_{\alpha,i}}(L_{0,0}), \\
\sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d, k+i} &= \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} L_{d,k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for all $\alpha, d \in G$, we get

$$a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq i; \quad a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} = a_{L_{0,0}}^{d-\alpha,k-i}, \quad k \geq i+1. \quad (33)$$

Next we determine the multiplication $L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and obtain

$$L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = \varphi_{L_{\alpha,i}}(L_{\beta,j}) = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq 1} a_{L_{\alpha,i}}^{d,k} L_{\beta+d,j+k} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \geq i+1} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d-\alpha,k-i} L_{\beta+d,j+k}.$$

Hence, by (33) we get

$$L_{\alpha,i} \cdot L_{\beta,j} = \sum_{d \in G} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{L_{0,0}}^{d,k} L_{d+\alpha+\beta,k+i+j+1}.$$

It is easy to see that \cdot is associative. The product \cdot gives a transposed Poisson algebra structure defined on the Lie algebra $\widehat{W}(G)$. It is easy to see that $\widehat{W}(G) \cdot [\widehat{W}(G), \widehat{W}(G)] \neq 0$ and $(\widehat{W}(G), \cdot, [\cdot, \cdot])$ is a non-Poisson algebra. It gives the complete statement of the theorem. \square

4. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON NOT-FINITELY GRADED LIE ALGEBRA $\widetilde{W}(G)$

In this section, we consider a central extension $\widetilde{W}(G)$ of the algebra $W(G)$ which was studied in [25]. The algebra $W(G)$ is the Lie algebra with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i} \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the multiplication table

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}.$$

$\widetilde{W}(G)$ is the Lie algebra with basis $\{L_{\alpha,i}, C \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the following multiplication table:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] &= (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1} + \\ &+ \delta_{\alpha,-\beta}(\delta_{i+j,-1}\alpha^3 + 3i\delta_{i+j,0}\alpha^2 + 3i(i-1)\delta_{i+j,1}\alpha + i(i-1)(i-2)\delta_{i+j,2})C. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$\widetilde{W}(G)$ is G -graded: $\widetilde{W}(G) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \widetilde{W}(G)_{\alpha}$, where $\widetilde{W}(G)_0 = \langle L_{0,i}, C \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\widetilde{W}(G)_{\alpha \neq 0} = \langle L_{\alpha,i} \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 15. $\Delta(\widetilde{W}(G)) = \langle \text{Id} \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, we have $\Delta(\widetilde{W}(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \Delta_{\alpha}(\widetilde{W}(G))$. To determine $\Delta(\widetilde{W}(G))$, we need to calculate every homogeneous subspace $\Delta_{\alpha}(\widetilde{W}(G))$. Let $\varphi_d \in \Delta_d(\widetilde{W}(G))$. It is known that $\text{Ann}(\widetilde{W}(G))$ is invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation. So we can assume that

$$\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \delta_{\alpha+d,0} a_{\alpha,i} C, \quad \varphi_d(C) = \delta_{d,0} \lambda C.$$

To determine these coefficients, we start with applying φ_d to both side of (34) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left((\beta - \alpha) a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{d,k} + (j - i) a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{d,k} \right) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,k} &= \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha - d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k} + (j - k) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,j+k-1} \right) &+ \\ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{\beta,j}^{d,k} \left((\beta - \alpha + d) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k} + (k - i) L_{\alpha+\beta+d,i+k-1} \right). & \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}((\beta-\alpha)a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j-i)a_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}) + \\
& \quad + 2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta}\delta_{d,0}(\delta_{i+j,-1}a^3 + 3i\delta_{i+j,0}a^2 + 3i(i-1)\delta_{i+j,1}a + i(i-1)(i-2)\delta_{i+j,2})\lambda = \\
& \delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d}\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k}(\delta_{j+k,-1}(\alpha+d)^3 + 3k\delta_{j+k,0}(\alpha+d)^2 + 3k(k-1)\delta_{j+k,1}(\alpha+d) + k(k-1)(k-2)\delta_{j+k,2}) + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{\beta,j}^{d,k}(\delta_{i+k,-1}a^3 + 3i\delta_{i+k,0}a^2 + 3i(i-1)\delta_{i+k,1}a + i(i-1)(i-2)\delta_{i+k,2})\right). \tag{36}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the previous case by (35), we can get $a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = a_{0,0}^{d,k-i}$ for $k, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $d \neq 0$ putting $\alpha = -d, \beta = i = j = 0$ in (36), we have $a_{-d,0} = -\frac{d^2}{2}a^{d,-1}$. Then setting $\beta = -\alpha - d$ in (36) with $i = j = 0$, we get $\alpha(d+\alpha)(d+2\alpha)a^{d,-1} = 0$. Choosing $\alpha \notin \{-\frac{d}{2}; -d; 0\}$, we obtain $a^{d,-1} = 0$. Hence, $a_{-d,0} = 0$ for all $d \in G \setminus \{0\}$.

Next putting $\beta = -\alpha - d, i = 0$ (36) for $d \neq 0$ it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2(2\alpha+d)a_{-d,j} + 2ja_{-d,j-1} = \\
& ((\alpha+d)^3 + \alpha^3)a^{d,-j-1} - 3j(\alpha+d)^2a^{d,-j} + 3j(j-1)(\alpha+d)a^{d,1-j} - j(j-1)(j-2)a^{d,2-j}. \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

For $d \neq 0$ letting $\alpha = -d, \beta = j = 0$, and $\alpha = -d, \beta = i = 0$, in (36), we can get

$$2da_{-d,i} - 2ia_{-d,i-1} = -d^3a^{d,-i-1} + 3id^2a^{d,-i} - 3i(i-1)da^{d,1-i} + i(i-1)(i-2)a^{d,2-i} \tag{38}$$

$$2da_{-d,j} + 2ja_{-d,j-1} = -d^3a^{d,-j-1} - j(j-1)(j-2)a^{d,2-j} \tag{39}$$

Combining (37) and (38) it gives

$$4a_{-d,i} = -(2\alpha^2 + 3\alpha d + 3d^2)a^{d,-i-1} + 3i(\alpha + 2d)a^{d,-i} - 3i(i-1)a^{d,1-i} \tag{40}$$

for $\alpha \neq 0$. Similarly, from (38) and (39), we obtain

$$4a_{-d,i} = -2d^2a^{d,-i-1} + 3ida^{d,-i} - 3i(i-1)a^{d,1-i}. \tag{41}$$

Then subtracting (40) from (41), we deduce this equality

$$(\alpha+d)((2\alpha+d)a^{d,-i-1} - 3ia^{d,-i}) = 0. \tag{42}$$

This equality, due to arbitrary α , gives that $a^{d,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. Putting $i = -1$ in (42), we have $a^{d,0} = 0$ and from (41), we deduce $a_{-d,i} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $d = 0$ setting $\beta = -\alpha, i = j = 0$ in (36), we obtain the relation $2a_{0,0} = -\alpha^2a^{0,-1}$. Due to arbitrary α , we get $a^{0,-1} = a_{0,0} = 0$. For $d = 0$ and $j \neq 0$ putting $\alpha = \beta = i = 0$ in (36), we can get

$$2a_{0,j-1} = -(j-1)(j-2)a^{0,2-j}. \tag{43}$$

Setting $\beta = -\alpha, i = 0$ in (36) with (43), we obtain

$$-4\alpha a_{0,j} + 2\delta_{j,-1}a^3\lambda = 2\alpha^3a^{0,-j-1} - 3ja^2a^{0,-j} + 3j(j-1)\alpha a^{0,1-j}. \tag{44}$$

Now we consider the case of $j = -1$ in (44), then we deduce

$$2\alpha^2(\lambda - a^{0,0}) = 4a_{0,-1} + 3\alpha a^{0,1} + 6a^{0,2} \tag{45}$$

for $\alpha \neq 0$. Replacing α with $-\alpha$ in (45), then combining them we have

$$\alpha^{0,1} = 0, \quad \lambda = \alpha^{0,0}, \quad a_{0,-1} = -\frac{3}{2}\alpha^{0,2}.$$

Next we consider the case of $j \neq -1$ in (44) with together (43) it gives

$$j(j-1)\alpha^{0,1-j} = 3j\alpha a^{0,-j} - 2\alpha^2 a^{0,-j-1}. \quad (46)$$

Similarly, as described above, replacing α with $-\alpha$ in (46) and subtracting them we obtain $\alpha^{0,j} = 0$ for $j \neq 0$. So from (43), we deduce $a_{0,j} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. As a conclusion, we obtain $\varphi_0 = \alpha^{0,0}\text{Id}$. \square

Thanks to Lemma 6, we have the following statement.

Theorem 16. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson structures defined on $\widetilde{W}(G)$.*

5. TRANSPOSED POISSON STRUCTURES ON NOT-FINITELY GRADED ALGEBRA $\widetilde{HW}(G)$

In this section, we consider one-dimensional central extension $\widetilde{HW}(G)$ of *generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra* $HW(G, -1)$. Let G be any nontrivial additive subgroup of \mathbb{C} , and $\mathbb{C}[G \times \mathbb{Z}_+]$ the semigroup algebra of $G \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ with basis $\{x^{\alpha,i} = x^\alpha t^i \mid \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and product $x^{\alpha,i} \cdot x^{\beta,j} = x^{\alpha+\beta,i+j}$. Let ∂_x, ∂_t be the derivations of $\mathbb{C}[G \times \mathbb{Z}_+]$ defined by $\partial_x(x^{\alpha,i}) = \alpha x^{\alpha-1,i}$, $\partial_t(x^{\alpha,i}) = ix^{\alpha,i-1}$ for $\alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Denote $\partial = \partial_x + \partial_t$. Then $HW(G, -1)$ the Lie algebra with the basis $\{L_{\alpha,i} = x^{\alpha,i}\partial, H_{\beta,j} = x^{\beta,j} \mid \alpha, \beta \in G, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ and the following multiplication table:

$$\begin{aligned} [L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] &= (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}, \\ [L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\beta,j}] &= \beta H_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + jH_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 17. (see, [17]) *Let φ be a $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation of the algebra HW , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(-d)^k}{k!} \alpha^{d,0} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \alpha^{0,0} L_{\alpha,i}, \\ \varphi(H_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{d \in G \setminus \{0\}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(-d)^k}{k!} \alpha^{d,0} H_{\alpha+d,k} + \alpha^{0,0} H_{\alpha,i}. \end{aligned}$$

The algebra $\widetilde{HW}(G)$, that was obtained in [9], has the following multiplication table:

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, L_{\beta,j}] = (\beta - \alpha)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + (j - i)L_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1} + \delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} \frac{\alpha^3 - \alpha}{12} C_L, \quad (47)$$

$$[L_{\alpha,i}, H_{\beta,j}] = \beta H_{\alpha+\beta,i+j} + jH_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1} + \delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} (\alpha^2 - \alpha) C_{LH}, \quad (48)$$

$$[H_{\alpha,i}, H_{\beta,j}] = \delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} \alpha C_H. \quad (49)$$

$\widetilde{HW}(G) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \widetilde{HW}(G)_\alpha$, where $\widetilde{HW}(G)_0 = \langle L_{0,i}, C_L, C_{LH}, C_H \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\widetilde{HW}(G)_{\alpha \neq 0} = \langle L_{\alpha,i} \rangle_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$.

Theorem 18. $\Delta(\widetilde{HW}(G)) = \langle \text{Id} \rangle$.

Proof. By Lemma 4, we get $\Delta(\widetilde{HW}(G)) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \Delta_\alpha(\widetilde{HW}(G))$. To determine $\Delta(\widetilde{HW}(G))$, we need to calculate every homogeneous subspace $\Delta_\alpha(\widetilde{HW}(G))$. Let $\varphi_d \in \Delta_d(\widetilde{HW}(G))$. It is known that $\text{Ann}(\widetilde{HW}(G))$ is invariant under any $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivation we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_d(L_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k} + \delta_{\alpha,-d} \left(\gamma_{\alpha,i}^{1,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha,i}^{1,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha,i}^{1,3} C_H \right), \\ \varphi_d(H_{\alpha,i}) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} L_{\alpha+d,k} + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} H_{\alpha+d,k} + \delta_{\alpha,-d} \left(\gamma_{\alpha,i}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha,i}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha,i}^{2,3} C_H \right), \\ \varphi_d(C_L) &= \delta_{d,0} \left(\gamma_L^1 C_L + \gamma_L^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_L^3 C_H \right), \\ \varphi_d(C_{LH}) &= \delta_{d,0} \left(\gamma_{LH}^1 C_L + \gamma_{LH}^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_{LH}^3 C_H \right), \\ \varphi_d(C_H) &= \delta_{d,0} \left(\gamma_H^1 C_L + \gamma_H^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_H^3 C_H \right).\end{aligned}$$

From [17, Theorem 15], we can get

$$b_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = f_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = 0, \text{ for } \alpha \in G, i \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad a_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = c_{\alpha,i}^{d,k} = \frac{(-d)^k}{k!} a_{0,0}^{d,0}, \text{ for } d \neq 0.$$

We simply denote $a_{0,0}^{d,0} = a^{d,0}$. To determine other coefficients, we start with applying φ_d to both side of (49) and obtain

$$2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} \delta_{d,0} \alpha \left(\gamma_H^1 C_L + \gamma_H^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_H^3 C_H \right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{(-d)^k}{k!} \delta_{\alpha+\beta,-d} a^{d,0} \left(\delta_{j,-k} (\alpha+d) + \delta_{i,-k} \alpha \right) C_H, \quad (50)$$

for $d \neq 0$. Taking $\beta = -\alpha - d$, $i = k = 0$, $j \neq 0$ in (50), it gives $a^{d,0} = 0$. For $d = 0$, we have

$$2\delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} \alpha \left(\gamma_H^1 C_L + \gamma_H^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_H^3 C_H \right) = 2a^{0,0} \delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \delta_{i,-j} \alpha C_H.$$

In this case putting $\beta = -\alpha$, $i = j = 0$, we can get $\gamma_H^1 = \gamma_H^2 = 0$ and $\gamma_H^3 = a^{0,0}$.

Next we apply φ_d to both side of (48) and this implies

$$\beta \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,3} C_H \right) = -j \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,3} C_H \right), \quad (51)$$

for $\alpha + \beta + d = 0$ and $d \neq 0$.

Putting $\alpha = j = 0$, $\beta = -d$ in (51), we have $\gamma_{-d,i}^{2,1} = \gamma_{-d,i}^{2,2} = \gamma_{-d,i}^{2,3} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

For $d = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\alpha,-\beta} \left(\beta \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j}^{2,3} C_H \right) \right. \\ \left. + j \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta,i+j-1}^{2,3} C_H \right) \right. \\ \left. + \delta_{i,-j} (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \left(\gamma_{LH}^1 C_L + \gamma_{LH}^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_{LH}^3 C_H \right) \right) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,0} \delta_{i+j,0} (\alpha^2 - \alpha) a^{0,0} C_{LH}\end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Then setting $\beta = -\alpha$ in (52) with $i = j = 0$, we get the following relation

$$-\alpha \left(\gamma_{0,0}^{2,1} C_L + \gamma_{0,0}^{2,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{0,0}^{2,3} C_H \right) + (\alpha^2 - \alpha) \left(\gamma_{LH}^1 C_L + \gamma_{LH}^2 C_{LH} + \gamma_{LH}^3 C_H \right) = (\alpha^2 - \alpha) a^{0,0} C_{LH}.$$

In this relation replacing α with $-\alpha$, then combining them, we deduce

$$\gamma_{0,0}^{2,1} = \gamma_{0,0}^{2,2} = \gamma_{0,0}^{2,3} = \gamma_{LH}^1 = \gamma_{LH}^3 = 0, \quad \gamma_{LH}^2 = a^{0,0}.$$

Finally, applying φ_d to both side of (47), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & (\beta - \alpha) \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j}^{1,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j}^{1,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j}^{1,3} C_H \right) + \\ & (j - i) \left(\gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j-1}^{1,1} C_L + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j-1}^{1,2} C_{LH} + \gamma_{\alpha+\beta, i+j-1}^{1,3} C_H \right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

for $\alpha + \beta + d = 0$ and $d \neq 0$. Letting $\beta = \alpha$, $d = -2\alpha$, $j = 1$ in (53), we can get $\gamma_{-d, i}^{1,1} = \gamma_{-d, i}^{1,2} = \gamma_{-d, i}^{1,3} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Similarly to the previous case, we deduce

$$\gamma_{0,0}^{1,1} = \gamma_{0,0}^{1,2} = \gamma_{0,0}^{1,3} = \gamma_L^2 = \gamma_L^3 = 0, \quad \gamma_L^1 = \alpha^{0,0}.$$

As a conclusion, we obtain $\varphi_0 = \alpha^{0,0} \text{Id}$. □

Thanks to Lemma 6, we have the following statement.

Theorem 19. *There are no non-trivial transposed Poisson structures defined on $\widetilde{HW}(G)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Abdurasulov K., Adashev J., Eshmeteva S., Transposed Poisson structures on solvable Lie algebras with filiform nilradical, arXiv:2401.04443.
- [2] Arbarello E., De Concini C., Kac V., Procesi C., Moduli spaces of curves and representation theory, *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 117 (1988), 1, 1–36.
- [3] Bai C., Bai R., Guo L., Wu Y., Transposed Poisson algebras, Novikov-Poisson algebras, and 3-Lie algebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 632 (2023), 535–566.
- [4] Billig Yu., Representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra at level zero, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 46 (2003), 4, 529–537.
- [5] Chen Q., Han J., Su Y., Lie bialgebras of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Virasoro algebras, *International Journal of Mathematics*, 25 (2014), 5, 1450049.
- [6] Chen Q., Han J., Su Y., Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Virasoro algebras, *Communications in Algebra*, 43 (2015), 7, 3033–3049.
- [7] Chen H., Han J., Su Y., Yue X., Two classes of non-weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 160 (2019), 1/2, 265–284.
- [8] Chen H., Li J., Left-symmetric algebra structures on the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Science China Mathematics*, 57 (2014), 3, 469–476.
- [9] Fan G., Zhou C., Yue X., Structures of not-finitely graded Lie algebras related to generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, 31 (2015), 10, 1517–1530.
- [10] Fernández Ouaridi A., On the simple transposed Poisson algebras and Jordan superalgebras, *Journal of Algebra*, 641 (2024), 173–198.
- [11] Ferreira B. L. M., Kaygorodov I., Lopatkin V., $\frac{1}{2}$ -derivations of Lie algebras and transposed Poisson algebras, *Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas*, 115 (2021), 3, 142.
- [12] Kac V., Raina A., Bombay lectures on highest weight representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics*, 2. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1987. xii+145 pp.
- [13] Kaygorodov I., Non-associative algebraic structures: classification and structure, *Communications in Mathematics*, 32 (2024), 3, 1–62.
- [14] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Transposed Poisson structures on Witt-type algebras, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 665 (2023), 196–210.

- [15] Kaygorodov I., Khrypchenko M., Transposed Poisson structures on generalized Witt algebras and Block Lie algebras, *Results in Mathematics*, 78 (2023), 5, 186.
- [16] Kaygorodov I., Khudoyberdiyev A., Transposed Poisson structures on solvable and perfect Lie algebras, *Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical and Theoretical*, 57 (2024), 3, 035205.
- [17] Kaygorodov I., Khudoyberdiyev A., Shermatova Z., Transposed Poisson structures on Witt-type algebras, arXiv:2405.11108
- [18] Kaygorodov I., Lopatkin V., Zhang Z., Transposed Poisson structures on Galilean and solvable Lie algebras, *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 187 (2023), 104781.
- [19] Liu D., Pei Y., Zhu L., Lie bialgebra structures on the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Journal of Algebra*, 359 (2012), 35–48.
- [20] Liu G., Bai C., A bialgebra theory for transposed Poisson algebras via anti-pre-Lie bialgebras and anti-pre-Lie-Poisson bialgebras, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 2023, DOI: 10.1142/S0219199723500505.
- [21] Lü R., Zhao K., Classification of irreducible weight modules over the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 12 (2010), 2, 183–205.
- [22] Lü R., Zhao K., Generalized oscillator representations of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Algebras and Representation Theory*, 23 (2020), 4, 1417–1442.
- [23] Sartayev B., Some generalizations of the variety of transposed Poisson algebras, *Communications in Mathematics*, 32 (2024), 2, 55–62.
- [24] Shen R., Jiang C., The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Communications in Algebra*, 34 (2006), 7, 2547–2558.
- [25] Su Y., Zhao K., Second cohomology group of generalized Witt type Lie algebras and certain representations, *Communications in Algebra*, 30 (2002), 7, 3285–3309.
- [26] Yang Ya., Tang X., Khudoyberdiyev A., Transposed Poisson structures on Schrodinger algebra in $(n + 1)$ -dimensional space-time, arXiv:2303.08180.
- [27] Xu C., Deformed higher rank Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras, *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 31 (2021), 3, 501–517.
- [28] Xu C., Verma modules over deformed generalized Heisenberg-Virasoro algebras, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 225 (2021), 11, 106723.
- [29] Yuan L., Hua Q., $\frac{1}{2}$ -(bi)derivations and transposed Poisson algebra structures on Lie algebras, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 70 (2022), 22, 7672–7701.
- [30] Zohrabi A., Zusmanovich P., A δ -first Whitehead lemma for Jordan algebras, *Communications in Mathematics*, 33 (2025), 1, 2.