

**ON THE CYCLIC 3-MANIFOLD COVERS OF THE TYPE
SURFACE $\times \mathbb{R}$**

JORDAN SAHATTCHIEVE

ABSTRACT. This article contains a proof of the fact that, under certain mild technical conditions, the action of the automorphism group of a cyclic 3-manifold cover of the type $S \times \mathbb{R}$, where S is a compact surface, yields a compact quotient. This result is then immediately applied to extend a theorem in [9] on the fiberings over \mathbb{S}^1 of certain compact 3-manifolds which are torus sums. As a corollary, I prove the validity of the conditional main theorem in [10] and [11]. This paper also furnishes a proof of the irreducibility of the summands of compact 3-manifolds which are torus sums and irreducible.

MSC Subject Classifications: 57K30, 57M07, 57M10, 57M60.

In memoriam G. Peter Scott, November 27, 1944 - September 19, 2023

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper I examine the covering type actions of the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} on the trivial line bundle over a compact surface. I show that such actions necessarily yield compact quotients under very mild hypotheses. As a consequence, I prove an extension of Theorem 2.9 in [9] to compact manifolds with nonempty boundary, and I immediately apply this result to conclude that the conditional main theorem in [10] and [11] holds. This type of result is not altogether surprising in light of the Flat Torus Theorem in CAT(0) geometry. In the context of the Flat Torus Theorem, however, the space X is assumed to be nonpositively curved and to have a cocompact action of a group by isometries; the conclusion there is that, if G contains a free abelian group A of rank n , then X is isometrically a product $Y \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and A acts by isometries on \mathbb{R}^n with quotient the flat n -torus \mathbb{T}^n . Here, the space M is a 3-manifold which is already known to be a product of a compact 2-manifold S and \mathbb{R} , and we have an action by a group G which contains an infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} . The action is not a priori by isometries, but by covering transformations. Our conclusion here is that if only finitely many surfaces in the \mathbb{Z} -orbit of any given horizontal section intersect it, then such an action necessarily produces a compact quotient. This provides a tool for closing the gap in Theorem 2.10 in [9] for compact manifolds with nonempty boundary. These investigations yield, as a significant corollary, a proof of the conditional Theorem 5.3 in [10], as corrected in [11].

Additionally, in Section 3 below, I prove that whenever an irreducible 3-manifold is a torus sum, then each summand is itself an irreducible 3-manifold. This result,

albeit not surprising, does not, to my knowledge, appear to exist in written form until now. I am thankful to my late Ph.D. adviser, Peter Scott, for communicating to me via e-mail a rough idea of using the torus's incompressibility and connectedness to write a proof, which was also our last mathematical conversation.

2. THE MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be the 3-manifold $S \times \mathbb{R}$, which is the trivial line bundle over the compact surface S with or without boundary, and let M be orientable. Suppose that there is a covering action of the group G on M and a Riemannian metric on M for which this action is by isometries, and suppose that G contains a subgroup $\langle \gamma \rangle < G$, with $\gamma \in G$, isomorphic to the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} . If $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$, for all $n > n_0$, where S_0 is any horizontal section $S_0 = S \times \{t_0\}$ with $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and n_0 is a positive integer, then the quotient of M by the action of G is compact.*

Proof. Let $g = \gamma^{n_0+1} \in G$. First, note that $g^i S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$, for all $i > 0$. Note, also, that if $g^{-i} S_0 \cap S_0 \neq \emptyset$, for some $i > 0$, then $g^i(g^{-i} S_0 \cap S_0) \neq \emptyset$, and therefore $S_0 \cap g^i S_0 \neq \emptyset$, hence $i = 0$. Thus, we conclude that $g^i S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$, for all $i \neq 0$.

We will show that the translates $g^i S_0$ partition M into compact connected submanifolds which meet only at their boundaries. To do this, note that since S_0 separates M into two connected components, so does gS_0 . Let the closures of the two connected components of $M - S_0$ be V^+ and V^- . Then, the closures of the connected components of $M - gS_0$ are gV^+ and gV^- , respectively. Since $S_0 \cap gS_0 = \emptyset$, we must have $gS_0 \subset (V^+)^0$ or $gS_0 \subset (V^-)^0$. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $gS_0 \subset (V^+)^0$. Since S_0 disconnects M into exactly two connected components, gS_0 must disconnect V^+ into exactly two connected components also, since $M - gS_0 = (V^+ - gS_0) \cup V^-$ and $S_0 \cap gS_0 = \emptyset$. One of the two connected components of $M - gS_0$ must contain S_0 . Let the closure of this connected component be denoted by D ; it is now clear that $\partial D = S_0 \cup gS_0$. We will show that D is a connected submanifold of M whose boundary is $S_0 \cup gS_0$, that D is compact, that $g^i D \cap g^j D = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, unless $|i - j| = 1$ in which case $g^i D \cap g^j D$ is the translate of S_0 which is the common boundary surface of both $g^i D$ and $g^j D$, and that $M = \bigcup g^k D$:

D is compact: Let $h : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the projection to the \mathbb{R} factor. If necessary, we can compose h with a linear map, so that $h(x) > t_0$ for $x \in gS_0$ and $h(V^+) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Since gS_0 is compact and connected, $h(gS_0) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a closed interval; let h_{max} be its upper bound. Let $x_1 = (s_1, t_1), x_2 = (s_2, t_2) \in D$ be any two points. Consider the vertical lines $\{s_1\} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\{s_2\} \times \mathbb{R}$. Because gS_0 disconnects V^+ , $\{s_i\} \times \mathbb{R}$ must intersect gS_0 in at least one point which is at a distance at most $h_{max} - t_0$ away from x_i . Therefore, x_1 can be joined to x_2 by a path of length no more than $2(h_{max} - t_0) + \text{diam}(gS_0)$. Since gS_0 is compact, this number is not ∞ , and we conclude that D is a closed bounded set. By the theorem of Hopf-Rinow, see Theorem 2.8(b) in [1], D is compact.

$g^2 S_0 \subset V^+ - D$: We must have either $g^2 S_0 \subset V^+ - D$, or $g^2 S_0 \subset D$, or $g^2 S_0 \subset V^-$. Let W^+ be the closure of the connected component of $M - gS_0$ which contains D , and let W^- be the closure of its complement. If $g^2 S_0 \subset D$, then $gW^+ \subset W^+$ or $gW^+ \subset V^+$; however, since $(W^+)^0 \supset S_0$, hence $(gW^+)^0 \supset gS_0$, thus if $gW^+ \subset W^+$, we would have $gS_0 \subset (W^+)^0$, which is not true. Therefore, we have $gW^+ \subset V^+$. On the other hand, $gV^+ = W^+$ or $gV^+ = W^-$. Since $D = V^+ \cap W^+$, we have $gD = gV^+ \cap gW^+$, hence must have $gD = W^+ \cap gW^+$, or

$gD = W^- \cap gW^+$. Now, $W^- \cap g^2S_0 = \emptyset$, thus W^- is contained in one of $(gW^+)^0$ or $(gW^-)^0$. Since $(W^+)^0 \supset S_0$, we have $(gW^+)^0 \supset gS_0$, and $(gW^+)^0 \cap W^- \neq \emptyset$, hence $W^- \subset gW^+$. This implies that if $gD = W^- \cap gW^+$, then gD would fail to be compact since $gD \supset W^-$, which is not true. Therefore, $gD = W^+ \cap gW^+$, in which case we also have $gD \subset D$, as $gW^+ \subset V^+$. We must also have $gD \neq D$, since D and gD have different boundaries, i.e. gD is properly contained in D , with $D - gD$ having nonempty interior. Since M is orientable, the Riemannian metric for which G acts by isometries induces a nowhere vanishing volume form ω on M , such that G preserves the Riemannian measure induced by ω . But since $gD \subset D$, we must have $vol(gD) < vol(D)$, which is impossible as we must have $vol(gD) = vol(D)$.

Similarly, if $g^2S_0 \subset V^-$, let D' be the closure of the connected component of $V^- - g^2S_0$ which contains S_0 : it is a connected submanifold of M whose boundary is $S_0 \cup g^2S_0$. Let W^+ be the component of $M - gS_0$ which contains $D \cup D'$, and similarly let Q^+ be the component of $M - g^2S_0$ which contains $D \cup D'$. With this notation, we have $W^+ = D \cup V^-$, and $Q^+ = D' \cup V^+$. It is now straightforward to verify that $D \cup D' = W^+ \cap Q^+$, and therefore $g(D \cup D') = gW^+ \cap gQ^+$. Since the isometry $x \rightarrow g^{-1}x$ takes g^2S_0 to gS_0 and gS_0 to S_0 , we must have (a.) $gW^+ = V^+$ or $gW^+ = V^-$, and (b.) $gQ^+ = W^- \subset (V^+)^0$ or $gQ^+ = W^+$. In the cases (1.) $gW^+ = V^+$ and $gQ^+ \subset V^+$, and (2.) $gW^+ = V^-$ and $gQ^+ = W^+$, the intersection $gW^+ \cap gQ^+$ is noncompact, and therefore cannot equal $g(D \cup D')$. In the case (3.) $gW^+ = V^-$ and $gQ^+ \subset (V^+)^0$, the intersection $gW^+ \cap gQ^+$ is empty, while case (4.) $gW^+ = V^+$ and $gQ^+ = W^+$, $g(D \cup D') = D$ is seen to be impossible by a volume comparison argument as above. Therefore, $g^2S_0 \subset V^+ - D$. Now, we see that D and gD share the boundary surface gS_0 , and if $D^0 \cap (gD)^0 \neq \emptyset$, then $D - gS_0$ will remain connected while having nontrivial intersection with both of the connected components of $M - gS_0$, which is impossible.

Let $D_k = \bigcup_{i=0}^k g^i D_k$. Then, D_k is connected, $D_k \subset V^+$, $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset V^+ - D_k$, and $g^{k+1}D \cap D_k = g^k S_0$. We proceed by induction on k to show that $g^{k+1}S_0$ cannot be contained in either V^- or D_{k-1} .

Let W^+ be the closure of the connected component of $M - g^k S_0$ which contains D_{k-1} . Since $g^{k+1}S_0$ is disjoint from all $g^i S_0$, for $0 \leq i \leq k$, if $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset D_{k-1}$, then $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset g^j D^0$, for some $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. By multiplying by g^{-j} , this is equivalent to $g^{k-j+1}S_0 \subset D^0$, and since $D^0 \subset D_{k-j}$, we have $g^{k-j+1}S_0 \subset D_{k-j}$, which is ruled out by the induction hypothesis if $j > 0$. Therefore, we now assume that $j = 0$, hence that $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset D^0$. In this case, $g^{k+1}S_0$ separates D into two connected components, the closures of which we denote by E and F . To be specific, E is the closure of the connected component of $D - g^{k+1}S_0$ bounded by S_0 and $g^{k+1}S_0$, while F is the closure of the component bounded by gS_0 and $g^{k+1}S_0$. Since gW^+ is the closure of a connected component of $M - g^{k+1}S_0$, we immediately see that either $gW^+ = E \cup V^-$ or $gW^+ = cl(V^+ - E)$. On the other hand, gV^+ is the closure of a connected component of $M - gS_0$, thus we must have $gV^+ = D \cup V^-$ or $gV^+ = cl(V^+ - D)$. Since $D_{k-1} = V^+ \cap W^+$, we have $gD_{k-1} = gV^+ \cap gW^+$. In the cases $gW^+ = E \cup V^-$, $gV^+ = D \cup V^-$, and $gW^+ = cl(V^+ - E)$, $gV^+ = cl(V^+ - D)$, the intersection $gV^+ \cap gW^+$ fails to be compact, hence cannot equal gD_{k-1} . In the case $gW^+ = E \cup V^-$ and $gV^+ = cl(V^+ - D)$, we have $gV^+ \cap gW^+ = \emptyset$, which is again a contradiction. In the remaining case, $gW^+ = cl(V^+ - E)$ and $gV^+ = D \cup V^-$, we have $gD_{k-1} = F \subset D$, which is ruled out by a volume argument.

Now, if $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset V^-$, let D' be the closure of the component of $V^- - g^{k+1}S_0$ which contains S_0 - it is a connected submanifold bounded by S_0 and $g^{k+1}S_0$. Let W^+ be as above, and let Q^+ now be the connected component of $M - g^{k+1}S_0$ which contains $D_{k-1} \cup D'$. We now have $D_{k-1} \cup D' = W^+ \cap Q^+$, and therefore $g^{-1}(D_{k-1} \cup D') = g^{-1}W^+ \cap g^{-1}Q^+$. Using the same arguments as before, we see that either $g^{-1}W^+ = D_{k-2} \cup V^-$, or $g^{-1}W^+ = W^- \cup g^{k-1}D$, and that we also have either $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^+$, or $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^-$. In either of the two cases $g^{-1}W^+ = D_{k-2} \cup V^-$, $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^+$, and $g^{-1}W^+ = W^- \cup g^{k-1}D$, $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^-$, the intersection $g^{-1}W^+ \cap g^{-1}Q^+$ fails to be compact, as it contains the noncompact set V^- , or W^- , respectively. In the case $g^{-1}W^+ = W^- \cup g^{k-1}D$, $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^-$, we have $g^{-1}W^+ \cap g^{-1}Q^+ = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. The last case to consider is $g^{-1}W^+ = W^- \cup g^{k-1}D$ and $g^{-1}Q^+ = W^+$. Here, $g^{-1}W^+ \cap g^{-1}Q^+ = g^{k-1}D$, which gives $g^{-1}(D_{k-1} \cup D') = g^{k-1}D$; a contradiction follows from a volume comparison argument, after noting that $k > 0$.

Thus, we conclude that $g^{k+1}S_0 \subset V^+ - D_{k-1}$, and also that the connected submanifold g^kD is contained in V^+ , hence $D_k = D_{k-1} \cup g^kD \subset V^+$.

Given any $x_0 \in V^+$, there exists k such that $x \in D_k$.

Let $l = \inf \{d(x, y) : x \in S_0, y \in gS_0\}$ be the distance between S_0 and gS_0 in the path metric induced by the Riemannian metric on M . By construction of the submanifold D_k , we see that any path from g^kS_0 to S_0 must pass through all g^iS_0 for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, hence $l_k = \inf \{d(x, y) : x \in g^kS_0, y \in S_0\} = kl$ is an increasing function of k . Suppose now that $x_0 \notin D_k$ for all k , and choose k_0 large enough so that $l_{k_0} = k_0l > d(x_0, S_0)$. Since $k_0 \notin D_{k_0}$, any path from x_0 must pass through all the g^iS_0 for $1 \leq i \leq k_0$ as all of them disconnect M . Then, we must have $d(x_0, S_0) \geq d(g^{k_0}S_0, S_0) = k_0l$ which is a contradiction.

$g^{-1}S_0 \subset V^-$: We argue by contradiction. If $g^{-1}S_0 \subset V^+$, then since $V^+ = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} g^iD$, and since the g^jS_0 are disjoint for any two different values of j , we must have $g^{-1}S_0 \subset (g^kD)^0$ for some $k \geq 0$. Then, $S_0 \subset (g^{k+1}D)^0$, where $k+1 \geq 1$, thus $g^{k+1}S_0$ and $g^{k+2}S_0$ would lie in two different connected components of $M - S_0$, whereas we know that this is not the case, as $g^iS_0 \subset (V^+)^0$ for all $i > 0$.

By an analogous argument, $V^- = \bigcup_{i < 0} g^iD$. This shows the cocompactness of the action of G on M . \square

I shall now apply Theorem 2.1 to prove a result which strengthens Theorem 2.9 in [9], and which by doing so closes the gap in the proof of Theorem 2.10 in [9] for manifolds with nonempty boundary. I shall also prove that a suitably chosen finite cover of M fibers in the desired way, meaning that $\pi_1(F)$ is commensurable with U . This proof covers the case $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ as well as the case $\partial M = \emptyset$, neither of which has been established in [9]. While this does not prove commensurability for the particular cover of M considered in [9], it does establish the fibration result claimed therein and also in this article.

Before I state the theorem, for ease of reference, I shall restate a certain technical condition regarding the 3-manifolds under consideration here and in [2], [9], and [10]:

(A) Let U be a finitely generated subgroup of the fundamental group G of a compact 3-manifold M . Suppose that U contains a nontrivial subnormal subgroup N of G , and that the index $|G : U|$ is infinite. If N is not isomorphic to the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} , then M is finitely covered by a bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 with fiber a compact

surface F and $\pi_1(F)$ is commensurable with U .

The promised result is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a compact 3-manifold with $M = X_1 \cup_T X_2$, or $M = X_1 \cup_T$, where statement (A) holds for X_i , with $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $G = \pi_1(M)$ contains a finitely generated subgroup U of infinite index satisfying the following:*

- (1) U contains a nontrivial subnormal subgroup N of G ,
- (2) N intersects nontrivially the fundamental group of the splitting torus,
- (3) $N \cap \pi_1(X_i)$ is not isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

If the graph of groups \mathcal{U} corresponding to U is of finite diameter, then \widehat{M} has a finite cover \widetilde{M} which is a bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 with fiber a compact surface F , and $\pi_1(F)$ is commensurable with U .

Proof. If M is closed, the theorem is essentially a restatement of Theorem 2.9 in [9], with the trivial consideration that N is subnormal rather than normal. Therefore I now assume that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. The reader can verify for themselves that the proof of Theorem 2.9 in [9] remains valid up to line 2 on page 31 under the hypothesis on N and M stated above when $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. The only difference is that the surface S' constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.9 is now a surface with nonempty boundary, and consequently the manifold M'' , the compact core of M' , is itself a manifold with nonempty boundary. While the remainder of the proof of Theorem 2.9 fails when $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, we can apply Theorem 2.1 after showing that we have an action of \mathbb{Z} on the cover $M = S' \times \mathbb{R}$, which satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem above. Using the notation employed in [9], we see that we have the tower of covers $S' \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M$.

We verify that the ingredients in the proof in [9] are also present in the $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ case, although for different reasons. We first show that $\pi_1(S') \neq \mathbb{Z}$, from which it will follow that $N_{\pi_1(S')} = \pi_1(M')$ is finitely generated.

Case 1: $M = X_1 \cup_T X_2$

First, since $S' \times \mathbb{R}$ is an orientable cover of the orientable manifold M , it is itself orientable, which, in turn, implies that the submanifold $S' \times [-1, 1]$ of $S' \times \mathbb{R}$ is orientable, hence S' is itself orientable, by the remark on lines 7 and 6 from the bottom, on page 5 of [5]. We also observe that S' was obtained by gluing finitely many copies of the surfaces S_i , which are finite covers of the fibers denoted in [9] by F_i chosen in such a way so that ∂S_i has at least 2 boundary components. If either S_i were a surface other than the annulus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$, then $\pi_1(S_i)$ would be a free group of rank at least 2. Since $\pi_1(S')$ has the structure of a graph of groups where the vertex groups are all isomorphic to $\pi_1(S_i)$, which must necessarily embed in $\pi_1(S')$ by Bass-Serre theory, we conclude $\pi_1(S')$ would contain a free group of rank at least 2, which is clearly impossible. Therefore, both S_1 and S_2 are the annulus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$. However, in this case, X_i is virtually covered by an annulus bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 , and thus $\pi_1(X_i)$ contains $\mathbb{Z} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ with finite index. However, such a group cannot contain a (finitely generated) subgroup of infinite index U , which contains a nontrivial normal subgroup N not isomorphic to the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} , which is a contradiction. Hence, again by Theorem 3.2 [14], $N_{\pi_1(S')}$ is finitely generated. As in the case without boundary, $\pi_1(T) \cap \pi_1(S')$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} : It is nontrivial as in [9], since the boundary components of the copies of S_i represent elements of $\pi_1(S_i)$ which map to a nontrivial $\alpha^{r_1 r_2} \in \pi_1(M)$; thus, $\pi_1(T) \cap \pi_1(S')$ a nontrivial

free group, which is a subgroup of a free abelian group. We again conclude that as in [9], $|N_{\pi_1(S')} : \pi_1(S')| = \infty$.

Case 2: $M = X_1 \cup_T$

The argument in this case is analogous, as S' remains a surface with nonempty boundary after the gluing of the boundary annuli of $F_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ which map to the boundary tori T_i in Y_1 . Therefore, again $\pi_1(S') = \mathbb{Z}$ implies that S' is the annulus: In this case, S' was obtained by gluing boundary components of F_1 ; therefore, $\pi_1(S') = (((\pi_1(F_1) *_{\mathbb{Z}}) *_{\mathbb{Z}}) \dots) *_{\mathbb{Z}}$, and F_1 must be the annulus by the same rank argument, hence because $\partial S' \neq \emptyset$, we have $F_1 = S'$. As in the case above, this implies a contradiction with the theorem's hypothesis. Once again, $|N_{\pi_1(S')} : \pi_1(S')| = \infty$.

Now, applying Theorem 3 in [7], we conclude that a finite cover of $\widehat{M''}$, the Poincaré associate of the core of M' , fibers over \mathbb{S}^1 with fiber a compact surface, and that $\pi_1(S')$ is subgroup of finite index of the fundamental group of the fiber; thus, $\pi_1(M') = \pi_1(M'')$ contains $\pi_1(S')$ as a normal subgroup, the quotient by which contains \mathbb{Z} with finite index.

Hence, we see that the automorphism group \mathfrak{G} of the cover $S' \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M'$, being isomorphic to the quotient of the normalizer of $\pi_1(S' \times \mathbb{R})$ in $\pi_1(M')$ by $\pi_1(S' \times \mathbb{R})$ - see Proposition 1.39(b) in [6], contains the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} with finite index.

The manifold M is compact, therefore, we can take its double DM , embed it in \mathbb{R}^W for some $W \in \mathbb{N}$ by Whitney's Embedding Theorem, see page 53 in [8], and pull back the Riemannian metric on \mathbb{R}^W to obtain a Riemannian metric on M , which we can then pull back on M' , and then on $S' \times \mathbb{R}$, under the covering maps to obtain the desired Riemannian metric on $S' \times \mathbb{R}$ for which the action of \mathfrak{G} is by isometries.

The final step we need to make, before we can apply Theorem 2.1, is to show that for $S_0 = S' \times \{0\}$, we can find a positive integer n_0 with the property that $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$, for all $n \geq n_0$, where γ is a generator of the infinite cyclic subgroup of the automorphism group \mathfrak{G} .

First, we produce an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 \neq S_0$, for all $n \geq n_0$. Note that if for some n we have $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 = S_0$, then $\gamma^n S_0 \supset S_0$, and if additionally $\gamma^n S_0 \neq S_0$, then $S' \times \mathbb{R} - S_0$ will remain connected, as $S' \times \mathbb{R} - S_0 \supset (S' \times \mathbb{R} - \gamma^n S_0) \cup \{p\}$, for some $p \in \gamma^n S_0 - S_0$, and we see that the set on the right side of the containment is connected. Therefore, for this n we have $\gamma^n S_0 = S_0$. This cannot hold for infinitely many values of $n > 0$, because S_0 is compact. To show this, we argue by contradiction and we make the assumption that the set of n such that $\gamma^n S_0 = S_0$ is infinite. Let n_i be an infinite sequence of such values of n . Then, since $\langle \gamma \rangle$ acts by covering transformations, given any $x_0 \in S_0$, there is an open set $\mathcal{U} \subset S' \times \mathbb{R}$, such that if $\gamma^i \mathcal{U} \cap \gamma^j \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$, then $i = j$, see page 72 in [6]. But we can find, for the length metric induced by the Riemannian metric on $S' \times \mathbb{R}$ for which η is an isometry, an open ball $B(x_0, \epsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$, and we see that $d(\gamma^i x_0, \gamma^j x_0) > 2\epsilon$, for any $i \neq j$. Therefore, the sequence $\gamma^{n_i} x_0 \in S_0$ can have no Cauchy subsequence and no accumulation point in S_0 , which it must, by compactness of S_0 . Hence, there exists an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that for all $n > n_0$, $\gamma^n S_0 \neq S_0$. From now on, we let n be an integer greater than n_0 , so that $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 \neq S_0$. We proceed to show that we must also have $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 = \emptyset$.

Now, recall from [9] that the cover $S' \times \mathbb{R}$ is obtained by gluing copies of $S_i \times \mathbb{R}$ along their boundary annuli which are of the form $C_i \times \mathbb{R}$, where C_i is a component of ∂S_i which projects under $p_1 \circ p_2$ and $q_1 \circ q_2$, respectively, into T . The covering

map $\eta : S' \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is described by pasting together the various copies of the covering maps $p_1 \circ p_2 \circ p_3$ and $q_1 \circ q_2 \circ q_3$ to X_1 and X_2 from the copies of $S_i \times \mathbb{R}$. If $\gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0 \neq \emptyset$, then since S_0 and $\gamma^n S_0$ are closed sets, we can find a non-manifold point $x_0 \in \gamma^n S_0 \cap S_0$ for the union of the two surfaces $\gamma^n S_0 \cup S_0 \subset S' \times \mathbb{R}$, which under the local diffeomorphism η would map to the non-manifold point $\eta(x_0)$ of $\eta((\gamma^n S_0 \cup S_0) \cap \eta^{-1}(X_i)) \subset X_i$. Hence, the image of x_0 under the appropriate copy of $p_3 \circ p_2$, or $q_3 \circ q_2$, must be a non-manifold point of a copy of the fiber F_i in Y_i for $i = 1$ or $i = 2$, as both $\gamma^n S_0 \cap \eta^{-1}(X_i)$ and $S_0 \cap \eta^{-1}(X_i)$ cover the same embedded copy of the fiber F_i in Y_i , and Y_i covers X_i by a local diffeomorphism. However, all of the fibers F_i of the bundle Y_i are embedded surfaces and have no non-manifold points, which is a contradiction.

Now, by Theorem 2.1, the cover M' is compact, since the automorphism group of the cover \mathfrak{G} acts with compact quotient. Now, we see that by Theorem 3 in [7], a finite cover V of \widehat{M}' , and therefore also of \widehat{M} , fibers over the circle with fiber a compact surface F . We now show that either U is commensurable with $\pi_1(F)$, or a finite cover of V , hence also of M , fibers over the circle with fiber a compact surface F' , and $\pi_1(F')$ is commensurable with U ; thus proving, in both cases, that a finite cover of M fibers in the desired way. To this end, consider $U \cap \pi_1(F) < \pi_1(M)$. We note that we have the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$, and that $\pi_1(V)$ is a subgroup of finite index of $\pi_1(M)$. If $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is of finite index in both U and $\pi_1(F)$, then we are done - U is commensurable with $\pi_1(F)$. Note that if $\pi_1(F) \cap N = \{1\}$, then $\pi_1(V) \cap N$ embeds in the infinite cyclic group \mathbb{Z} , which means that N contains \mathbb{Z} with finite index. In this case, N is a nontrivial finitely generated subnormal subgroup of G , hence by Corollary 2.3 in [2], N is the fundamental group of a compact surface - see also Theorem 1 in [7]. Let Σ be such a surface. We see that $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$ is ruled out by the classification of surfaces, whereas if $\partial\Sigma \neq \emptyset$, then as before, we see, after passing to the orientable double cover if necessary, that the finite cover of Σ whose fundamental group is \mathbb{Z} is the annulus $\mathbb{S}^1 \times I$, hence Σ is the annulus or the Moebius strip, and in either case $N = \mathbb{Z}$, contradicting the hypothesis of the theorem. Thus, we shall henceforth assume that $\pi_1(F) \cap N \neq \{1\}$.

We consider the remaining cases separately below:

$U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is of finite index in $\pi_1(F)$ but not in U : This is impossible, since if $\pi_1(F)$ were virtually contained in U , but U were not virtually contained in $\pi_1(F)$, then U would be of finite index in $\pi_1(M)$, which contradicts the theorem's hypothesis. Here are the details: Consider the subgroup $(U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)$ of $\pi_1(V)$. The map $(U \cap \pi_1(V))f \rightarrow U \cap \pi_1(F)f$, $f \in \pi_1(F)$, from the right cosets of $U \cap \pi_1(V)$ in $(U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)$ to the set of right cosets of $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ in $\pi_1(F)$ is injective, and therefore $|(U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F) : U \cap \pi_1(V)| < \infty$. Now, consider the epimorphism $\phi : \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and its restriction $\phi|_{U \cap \pi_1(V)} : U \cap \pi_1(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Since $|U : U \cap \pi_1(V)| < \infty$ and $|U : U \cap \pi_1(F)| = \infty$, we must have $|U \cap \pi_1(V) : U \cap \pi_1(F)| = \infty$. Since now $\text{Ker}(\phi|_{U \cap \pi_1(V)}) = U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is of infinite index in $U \cap \pi_1(V)$, we have $\bar{t}^k \in \text{Im}(\phi|_{U \cap \pi_1(V)})$, for some $k > 0$. Thus $t^k f \in U \cap \pi_1(V)$, for some $f \in \pi_1(F)$, and $t^k \in (U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)$. Now, $(U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)t^i \supset \pi_1(F)t^i$, and since $\pi_1(V) = \bigcup_i \pi_1(F)t^i$, we see that $\pi_1(V) = \bigcup_i (U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)t^i = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} (U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)t^i$. This shows that $|\pi_1(V) : (U \cap \pi_1(V))\pi_1(F)| < \infty$, thus $|\pi_1(V) : U \cap \pi_1(V)| < \infty$; from here, we get $|\pi_1(M) : U \cap \pi_1(V)| < \infty$, and finally $|\pi_1(M) : U| < \infty$ - a contradiction, as claimed.

$U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is of finite index in U , but not in $\pi_1(F)$: In this case, $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is a finitely generated subgroup of $\pi_1(F)$ of infinite index, which contains a nontrivial subnormal subgroup $N \cap \pi_1(F) \triangleleft_s \pi_1(F)$. By Theorem 2.1 in [10], F is the torus, since V and therefore F is orientable. However, torus bundles over \mathbb{S}^1 are geometric, hence V is a geometric manifold. Since $U \cap \pi_1(V)$ is of finite index in U and of infinite index in $\pi_1(V)$, it is a finitely generated infinite index subgroup of $\pi_1(V)$, whereas the subgroup $N \cap \pi_1(V) < U \cap \pi_1(V)$ is a nontrivial subnormal subgroup of $\pi_1(V)$ which is not infinite cyclic. Now, we can apply Theorem 3.9 in [10] to V , $U \cap \pi_1(V)$, and $N \cap \pi_1(V)$, to conclude that a finite cover of V , hence also of M , is a bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 , with fiber a compact surface F' , and also that $U \cap \pi_1(V)$ is commensurable with $\pi_1(F')$. Since $U \cap \pi_1(V)$ is of finite index in U , we conclude that U is itself commensurable with $\pi_1(F')$, as desired.

$U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is of finite index in neither $\pi_1(F)$ nor U : If $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is finitely generated, then as in the case above, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.9 in [10] for the same reasons. Therefore, we assume that the 3-manifold group $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is not finitely generated. In such a case, however, Theorem 2.6 in [2] implies that the nontrivial subnormal subgroup $\pi_1(F) \cap N$ of $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is infinite cyclic and also that $\pi_1(F) \cap N \triangleleft U \cap \pi_1(F)$. Since the non-finitely generated group $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ properly contains its infinite cyclic subgroup $\pi_1(F) \cap N$, we can find an element t of $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ such that $t \notin \pi_1(F) \cap N$. Consider now the subgroup $H = \langle \alpha, t \rangle$ of $\pi_1(F)$, where α is a generator of $\pi_1(F) \cap N = \mathbb{Z}$. Since $H < \pi_1(F)$ contains \mathbb{Z}^2 with index at most 2, we see that F is an orientable surface covered by the torus. The cover is necessarily a finite cover by compactness, hence F itself is the torus, and $\pi_1(F) = \mathbb{Z}^2$. This, however, contradicts the assumption that $U \cap \pi_1(F)$ is not finitely generated, as \mathbb{Z}^2 has no non-finitely generated subgroups, which finishes the proof.

Finally, we note that the proof that U is commensurable with $\pi_1(F)$, for a certain virtual fibering of M , is also applicable to the case when M is closed. This addresses the lack of proof of this fact in [9]. \square

3. IRREDUCIBILITY OF THE SUMMANDS IN AN IRREDUCIBLE TORUS SUM

An idea which is a key ingredient in the following lemma is due to Peter Scott.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $M = M_1 \cup_{\mathcal{T}} M_2$, or $M = M_1 \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\mathcal{T} \subset M$ is an incompressible torus. If M is irreducible, then any 2-sphere $S \subset M_i - \mathcal{T}$ bounds a 3-ball in $M_i - \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. By abuse of notation, let S be a 2-sphere embedded in $M_i - \mathcal{T}$, for $i = 1$ or 2, and B the 3-ball that it bounds in M . We need to show that B is contained in $M_i - \mathcal{T}$. Consider $B^0 = B - \partial B$, and consider also $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$. Clearly, $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$ is open in \mathcal{T} as B^0 is open in M . On the other hand, since S and \mathcal{T} are compact, $\inf \{d(x, y) : x \in S, y \in \mathcal{T}\} > 0$, for any length metric on M induced by a Riemannian metric. Therefore, if $\{p_i\}$ is a sequence in $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$ such that $p_i \rightarrow p$, we must have $p \in B$ as B is sequentially compact, but $p \notin S$, hence $p \in B^0$; \mathcal{T} is also compact, therefore sequentially compact, hence $p \in \mathcal{T}$, therefore $p \in B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$. Thus, we see that $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$ is also closed. Because \mathcal{T} is connected, $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T}$ is either empty or equals \mathcal{T} . We cannot have $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}$ because then $\mathcal{T} \subset B^0$, which would imply that \mathcal{T} is contractible in M , thus contradicting the π_1 -injectivity of \mathcal{T} . Thus, we have $B^0 \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, hence B is contained in a connected component of $M - \mathcal{T}$. Since

$\partial B \subset M_i$, we must also have $B \subset M_i - \mathcal{T}$, thus proving the claim. The case $M_1 \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$ is identical - one only needs to consider both tori. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let $M = M_1 \cup_{\mathcal{T}} M_2$, or $M = M_1 \cup_{\mathcal{T}}$, where $\mathcal{T} \subset M$ is an incompressible torus. If M is irreducible, then M_i is irreducible.*

Proof. Let $f : S \rightarrow M_i$ be an embedding of the 2-sphere into M_i , for $i = 1$ or 2 . If $f(S) \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, Lemma 3.1 shows that $f(S)$ bounds a 3-ball in $M_i - \mathcal{T}$, hence also in M_i thus proving the claim.

Suppose, therefore, that $f(S) \cap \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$. Let N be a collared neighborhood of $\mathcal{T} \subset \partial M_i$ homeomorphic to $\mathcal{T} \times [0, 1]$, and let \mathcal{T} be identified with $\mathcal{T} \times \{1\} \subset N$ under the homeomorphism. Will show that $f(S)$ bounds a 3-ball by first homotoping $f(S)$ to be disjoint from $\mathcal{T} \subset \partial M_i$, and then using the previous result to show that $f(S)$ is inessential. To this end, let F be the map $F((x, t), s) = (x, t(1 - s/2))$, for $(x, t) \in N$, with $x \in \mathcal{T}$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, so that $F : N \times I \rightarrow N$. Note that F restricts to the identity on $\mathcal{T} \times \{0\} \subset M_i^0$, hence extends to a continuous homotopy $M_i \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_i$ defined to be the identity off N , which we will still denote by F , and note also that for this extension we have $F(\cdot, 0) = id_{M_i}(\cdot)$. Note, further, that $F(f(\cdot), 0) = f(\cdot)$, and if we set $F(f(\cdot), 1) = f_1(\cdot)$, then $f_1(p) = (x(p), t(p)/2)$ where $f(p) = (x(p), t(p))$ whenever $f(p) \in N$. It is obvious that f_1 is still an embedding of S into M_i since f_1 is injective, as clearly $f_1(p_1) = f_1(p_2)$ is impossible in either of the two cases: $f_1(p_1), f_1(p_2) \in N$ or $f_1(p_1), f_1(p_2) \notin N$. We also have $f_1(S) \cap \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.1, $f_1(S)$ bounds a 3-ball. Let $\phi : B \rightarrow M_i$ be this embedding of the 3-ball into M_i . Since $\phi|_{\partial B}(p) = f_1(p) = F(f(p), 1)$, for $p \in S = \partial B$, the map $\phi : B \rightarrow M_i$ and the map $S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M_i$, defined by $(p, t) \rightarrow F(f(p), t)$, fit together to give a continuous map from $\bar{\phi} : B \cup_{\partial B \cong S \times \{1\}} (S \times [0, 1]) \cong B \rightarrow M_i$. Thus, we see that the emedded 2-sphere $f(S) = \bar{\phi}(S \times \{0\})$ is inessential in M_i . This happens if and only if $f(S)$ bounds a compact simply connected submanifold W of M_i , see Lemma 9.1.8 in [12]. Now, since $H_1(W) = \pi_{1,ab}(W)$, we see that $H_1(W) = 0$ and therefore $f(S)$ is null homologous in W . By considering the 3-cycles in W whose boundary is precisely $f(S)$, we see that each 3-simplex of W must be included in such a 3-cycle, as we cannot have any free faces not in $f(S)$, and we further observe that $f(S)$ is the only boundary component of W . Now, after attaching a 3-ball to W along the boundary sphere and applying Van Kampen's Theorem, we see that we have a simply connected closed 3-manifold, which must be \mathbb{S}^3 by the positive solution to the Poincaré Conjecture. Thus, we conclude that W is itself a 3-ball, which concludes the proof. \square

We record here an immediate corollary to Theorem 5.3 in [10], as corrected in [11]:

4. AN APPLICATION TO FIBERINGS OF COMPACT 3-MANIFOLDS OVER \mathbb{S}^1

As an application of Theorem 2.1, I give the following result which generalizes Theorem 2.10 in [9], and which is now vindicated as a true statement of mathematical fact, see [11]. The reader should know that this generalization was only possible after the proof of the Geometrization Theorem by Perelman in 2003. In light of Proposition 3.2, this result, which first appeared in [10] and [11], now becomes:

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a closed 3-manifold. If $G = \pi_1(M)$ contains a finitely generated subgroup U of infinite index in G which contains a nontrivial, subnormal subgroup N of G , then: (a) M is irreducible, (b) if further:*

- (1) N has a subnormal series of length n in which $n - 1$ terms are assumed to be finitely generated, and
- (2) either all inclusions $N_i \hookrightarrow N_{i+1}$, for $i > 0$, are of finite index, or there exist (at least two) indices i_0 and i_1 , $i_0 \neq i_1$, $i_0, i_1 > 1$, such that the inclusions $N_i \hookrightarrow N_{i+1}$ are of infinite index for $i = i_0, i_1$, or $N = N_0$ is finitely generated and there exists (at least) one value of the index i for which the inclusion $N_i \hookrightarrow N_{i+1}$ is of infinite index, and
- (3) N intersects nontrivially the fundamental groups of the splitting tori of some decomposition \mathfrak{D} of M into geometric pieces, and $N \cap \pi_1(X_i) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ for all geometric pieces $X_i \in \mathfrak{D}$,

then, M has a finite cover which is a bundle over \mathbb{S} with fiber a compact surface F such that $\pi_1(F)$ and U are commensurable. Further, any decomposition of M along a collection of incompressible tori yields pieces which are irreducible, in particular, each $X_i \in \mathfrak{D}$ is irreducible.

Proof. The proof of the theorem is essentially contained in [10] and [11]. The corrigendum [11] notes that, as of the time of its publication, the theorem was contingent on the proof of a result at least as general as Theorem 2.2 for compact manifolds with nonempty boundary, due to the absence of such a proof from [9], which I had somehow overlooked at the time. Therefore, the only statement which needs proof is the claim about the irreducibility of the X_i ; this, however, follows immediately from Proposition 3.2 and induction. \square

An easier to state special case of the preceding result is the following:

Corollary 4.2. *Let M be a compact 3-manifold with empty or toroidal boundary. If $G = \pi_1(M)$ contains a finitely generated subgroup U of infinite index in G which contains a nontrivial, normal subgroup N of G , then: (a) M is irreducible, (b) if further:*

- (1) N intersects nontrivially the fundamental groups of the splitting tori of some decomposition \mathfrak{D} of M into geometric pieces, and
- (2) $N \cap \pi_1(X_i) \neq \mathbb{Z}$ for all geometric pieces $X_i \in \mathfrak{D}$,

then, M has a finite cover which is a bundle over \mathbb{S} with fiber a compact surface F such that $\pi_1(F)$ and U are commensurable, and each X_i is an irreducible, compact, geometric 3-manifold.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to posthumously express my sincerest gratitude to the late Prof. Peter Scott for the many years of patient advice and his friendship, and to thank his son David for allowing me, on behalf of Prof. Scott's estate, to use his unpublished notes on 3-manifolds. I would also like to acknowledge and express my heartfelt thanks for the very timely and very helpful advice Chris Mooney gave me during that brief and fateful conversation we had back in 2011, which resulted in Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 in my very first paper published in the Journal of Groups, Complexity, Cryptography in 2015, and to Enric Ventura, who brought to my attention the immediate converse of the main result, see Proposition 3.7, in this older article. I

am also very thankful to Moon Duchin for introducing me to Chris Mooney and for giving my first paper's initial draft a first read through at a time when nothing seemed to be going according to plan for me: stuck on two thesis problems, with my time to finish my degree nearly having expired. I would also like to thank the Elmhurst Public Library of the City of Elmhurst, Illinois for allowing me to use its quiet area to read and work in, and the Founders Memorial Library of Northern Illinois University for extending me courtesy borrowing privileges, which have been invaluable. Finally, I would like to thank all the mathematicians who post useful bits of mathematical advice and references on mathoverflow.net.

REFERENCES

- [1] M P do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhauser Boston (1992).
- [2] H Elkalla, *Subnormal subgroups in 3-manifolds groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 30 (1984), no. 2, 342–360.
- [3] H Griffiths, *A covering-space approach to theorems of Greenberg in Fuchsian, Kleinian and other groups*, Communications on pure and applied mathematics, Vol. XX (1967), 365 - 399.
- [4] H Griffiths, *The fundamental group of a surface, and a theorem of Schreier*, Acta mathematica, vol. 110 (1963), 1 - 17.
- [5] A Hatcher, *Notes on basic 3-manifold topology*, Unpublished notes, available online at <https://pi.math.cornell.edu/hatcher/3M/3M.pdf>.
- [6] A Hatcher, *Algebraic topology*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2002).
- [7] J Hempel, W Jaco, *Fundamental Groups of 3-Manifolds which are Extensions*, The Annals of Mathematics, Second Series, Vol. 95, No. 1 (Jan., 1972), pp. 86-98.
- [8] V Guillemin, A Pollack, *Differential topology*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1974).
- [9] M Moon, *A generalization of a theorem of Griffiths to 3-manifolds*, Topology and its applications 149 (2005).
- [10] J Sahattchieve, *A fibering theorem for 3-manifolds*, Journal of Groups, Complexity, Cryptology. Vol. 13 Issue 2 (2021).
- [11] J Sahattchieve, *Erratum to: A fibering theorem for 3-manifolds*, Journal of Groups, Complexity, Cryptology (2024). Available online at <https://gcc.episciences.org/page/errata>.
- [12] G P Scott, *An introduction to 3-manifolds*, unpublished notes, latest draft.
- [13] G P Scott, *The geometries of 3-manifolds*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 15 (1983), 401 - 487.
- [14] G P Scott, *Normal subgroup in 3-manifold groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 13 (1976) 5-12.
- [15] G P Scott, T C Wall, *Topological methods in group theory*, Homological group theory (Proc. Sympos., Durham, 1977), pp. 137-203.
- [16] J Stallings, *On fibering certain 3-manifolds*, Topology of 3-manifolds, and related topics; proceedings of the University of Georgia Institute, GA (1961)