^{242m}Am isomer yield in ²⁴³Am(*n*, 2*n*) reaction

V. M. Maslov¹ 220025 Minsk, Byelorussia *e-mail:mvm2386@yandex.ru*

The reaction ²⁴³Am(*n*, 2*n*) populates either the $T_{1/2} = 16h$ ground state ^{242g}Am with $J^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ or the ^{242m}Am isomer state $J^{\pi}=5^{-}$ with $T_{1/2}=141y$. The former state ^{242g}Am mostly β^{-} decays to ²⁴²Cm, or transmutes to ²⁴²Pu via electron capture. The absolute yield of ²⁴²gAm is compatible with the measured data, estimated by the α -activity of ²⁴²Cm (Norris et al. 1983). The branching ratio defined by the ratio of the populations of the lowest intrinsic states of ²⁴²Am. Calculated yields of ground ^{242g}Am and isomer ^{242m}Am states of the residual nuclide ²⁴²Am are used to predict relative of isomer the yield $R(E_n) = \sigma_{n2n}^m (E_n) / (\sigma_{n2n}^g (E_n) + \sigma_{n2n}^m (E_n))$. These populations defined by the γ -decay of the excited states, described by the standard kinetic equation. The ordering of the low and high spin states is different in case of ²³⁶Np and ²⁴²Am nuclides, that explains different shapes of R(E) near the (n, 2n) reaction threshold, though the excitation energy dependences are similar. PFNS data of $^{243}Am(n, F)$ at 4.5 MeV and 14.7 MeV (Drapchinsky et al., 2004, released in 2012) support calculated ²⁴³Am(n, xnf)^{1,...x} prefission neutron contribution to PFNS and calculated exclusive neutron spectra of ${}^{243}Am(n, 2n)^{1,2}$, feeding the ^{242g}Am and isomer ^{242m}Am states.

INTRODUCTION

The reaction ²⁴³Am(*n*, 2*n*)^{242m(g)}Am populates either the T_{1/2} =16h ground state ^{242g}Am with J^{π}=1⁻ or the ^{242m}Am isomer state J^{π}=5⁻ with T_{1/2} =141y. The former state ^{242g}Am mostly β⁻-decays to ²⁴²Cm, or transmutes to ²⁴²Pu via electron capture. The yield of the ²⁴³Am(n, 2n)^{242g}Am($\beta^{-}(\epsilon)^{242}$ Cm(²⁴²Pu) reaction influences the α -activity and neutron activity of the spent fuel due to emerging nuclides ²⁴²Cm and ²³⁸Pu. The yield of the ^{242m}Am long-lived isomer state, which due to large and odd value of $J^{\pi}=5^{-}$ decays to ^{242g}Am mostly via isomeric transition, gives a path for the ²⁴⁴Cm build-up via ^{242m}Am(n, γ)²⁴³Am(n, γ)^{244m}Am($\beta^{-}(\epsilon)$)²⁴⁴Cm(²⁴⁴Pu) or ^{242m}Am(n, γ)²⁴³Am(n, γ)^{244g}Am(β^{-}) ²⁴⁴Cm. If not the forbidden β^{-} -decay of ^{242m}Am state, the major path for the ²⁴⁴Cm build-up would be different. The branching ratio defined by the ratio of the populations of the lowest states of ²⁴²Am. Calculated yields of ^{242g}Am and isomer ^{242m}Am states ²⁴²Am are used of the residual nuclide to predict the relative yield of isomer $R(E_n) = \sigma_{n2n}^m(E_n)/(\sigma_{n2n}^s(E_n) + \sigma_{n2n}^m(E_n))$. These populations defined by the γ -decay of the excited states, described by the standard kinetic equation [1, 2]. The absolute yield of ^{242g}Am is compatible with the measured data, estimated by the α -activity of ²⁴²Cm [3]. The ordering of the low and high spin states is different in case of ²³⁶Np [4, 5] and ²⁴²Am [5], that explains different shapes of $R(E_n)$ near the (n, 2n)reaction threshold, though their excitation energy dependences are similar.

BRANCHING RATIO OF SHORT-LIVED $^{242\rm g} \rm{Am}(1^-)$ AND LONG-LIVED $^{242\rm M} \rm{Am}(5^-)$ STATES IN $^{243} \rm{Am}(n,~2n)$ REACTION

The approach [1, 2] applied for the modeling branching ratio of the yields of short-lived (1⁻) and long-lived (6⁻) of ²³⁷Np(*n*,2*n*) ^{236s(1)}Np reaction $r(E_n) = \sigma_{n2n}^l(E_n)/\sigma_{n2n}^s(E_n)$ from threshold energy up to 20 MeV allowed to infer the yields of the short-lived state ^{236s}Np in ²³⁷Np(*n*,2*n*) reaction. The consistent description of the data base on cross sections ²³⁷Np(*n*, *F*), ²³⁷Np(*n*, 2*n*)^{236s}Np was achieved [4, 5]. The branching ratio $r(E_n)$ obtained by modeling the nuclide ²³⁶Np levels. Excited levels of ²³⁶Np modeled as predicted Gallher-Moshkowski doublets.

In case of ²⁴³Am(*n*, 2*n*)^{242m(g)}Am reaction the branching ratio $r(E_n) = \sigma_{n2n}^m(E_n)/\sigma_{n2n}^g(E_n)$ from threshold energy to 20 MeV could be defined by the ratio of the populations of two lowest states in ²⁴²Am (Fig. 1). These populations are defined by the γ -decay of the excited states, which is described by the kinetic equation [1], and



Fig. 1. Levels of ²⁴²Am Fig.2. R

Fig.2. Relative yield of long-lived $(5^{-})^{242m}$ Am state in ²⁴³Am(*n*, 2*n*) reaction.

further developed in [2]. The branching ratio $r(E_n)$ is defined by the ratio of the populations of the two lowest states, ^{242g}Am, with spin $J = 1^-$ and ^{242m}Am, with spin $J = 5^-$.

The γ -decay of the excited nucleus described by the kinetic equation [1, 2]:

$$\frac{\partial \omega_k(U, J^{\pi}, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{J'\pi'} \int_0^{U_s} \omega_{k-1}(U', J^{\pi'}, t) \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U', J^{\pi'}, U, J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U', J^{\pi'})} dt - \omega_k(U, J^{\pi}, t) \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U, J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U, J^{\pi})},$$
(1)

here $\omega_k(U, J^{\pi}, t)$ is the population of the state J^{π} at excitation U at time t, after emission of $k \gamma$ -quanta; $\Gamma_{\gamma}(U', J^{\pi'}, U, J^{\pi})$ is the partial width of γ -decay from the $(U', J^{\pi'})$ to the state (U, J^{π}) , while $\Gamma(U, J^{\pi})$ is the total decay width of the state (U, J^{π}) . For any state (U, J^{π}) with the excitation energy $0 \le U \le U_g$, the initial population is

$$\omega_k(U, J^{\pi}, t=0) = \delta_{ko} \omega_0(U, J^{\pi}).$$
⁽²⁾

That equation means that in the initial state we deal with the ensemble of states (U, J^{π}) , excited in ²⁴³Am(n, 2n) reaction. Integrating the Eq. (1) over *t*, one gets the population $W(U, J^{\pi})$ of the state (U, J^{π}) after emission of *k* γ -quanta:

$$\omega_{k}(U,J^{\pi},\infty) - \omega_{k}(U,J^{\pi},0) = \sum_{J'\pi'} \int_{U}^{U_{s}} \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U',J^{\pi'},U,J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U',J^{\pi'})} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{k-1}(U',J^{\pi'},t) dt dU' - \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U,J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U,J^{\pi})} \int_{0}^{\infty} \omega_{k}(U,J^{\pi},t) dt$$
(3)

Denoting the population of the state (U, J^{π}) after emission of k γ -quanta

$$W_k(U,J^{\pi}) = \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U,J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U,J^{\pi})} \int_0^{\infty} \omega_k(U,J^{\pi},t) dt , \qquad (4)$$

and taking into account the condition that $\omega_k(U, J^{\pi}, \infty) = 0$ for any state, belonging to ensemble (U, J^{π}) , Eq. (3) could be rewritten as



Fig. 3 Cross sections of 243 Am(n, 2n), 243 Am(n, 2n) 242m Am and 243 Am(n, 2n) 242g Am.

Fig. 4 Exclusive spectra of 243 Am $(n, 2n)^{1,2}$ at $E_n \sim 15$ MeV

$$W_{k}(U,J^{\pi}) = \sum_{J'\pi'} \int_{U}^{U_{s}} \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U',J^{\pi'},U,J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U',J^{\pi'})} W_{k-1}(U',J^{\pi'}) dU' + \omega_{k}(U,J^{\pi},0).$$
(5)

The population of any state (U, J^{π}) after emission of any number of γ -quanta is a lumped sum

$$W(U, J^{\pi}) = \sum_{k} W_{k}(U, J^{\pi}),$$
 (6)

then from Eq. (5) one easily gets

$$W(U, J^{\pi}) = \sum_{J'\pi'} \int_{U}^{U_{g}} \frac{\Gamma_{\gamma}(U', J^{\pi'}, U, J^{\pi})}{\Gamma(U', J^{\pi'})} W(U', J^{\pi'}) dU' + W_{0}(U, J^{\pi}).$$
(7)

The integral equation (7) in the code STAPRE [9] being solved as a system of linear equations, the integration range (U, U_{σ}) is binned, in the assumption that there are no γ -transitions inside the bins.

The isomer branching ratio depends mostly on the low-lying levels scheme and relevant γ -transitions probabilities. Though experimental data are available for ²⁴²Am [10], we will use a simplified approach, since experimental level scheme and γ -decay intensities are still incomplete. Modeling of low-lying levels of ²⁴²Am in [8] is accomplished based on the assumption that ground and first few excited states are of two-quasi-particle nature. For actinides with quadrupole deformations the superposition principle is usually adopted, the bandhead energies of the doubly-odd nucleus are generated by adding to the each unpaired configuration (Ω_p, Ω_n), as observed in the isotopic/isotonic (A-1) nucleus, the rotational energy contribution and residual (n-p) interaction energy contribution. The angular momenta of neutron and proton quasi-particles could be parallel or anti-parallel. In the independent quasi-particle model the two-quasi-particle states, $K^+ = |K_n + K_p|$ and $K^- = |K_n - K_p|$, are degenerate. Gallaher-Moshkowski doublets [11] appear because of (n-p) residual interaction. Figure 1 shows employed band-head energies for the two-quasi-particle states expected in the odd-odd nuclide ²⁴²Am up to ~700 keV. The spectroscopic properties of two pairs of proton and neutron single particle states were derived from those experimentally observed in the isotopic (X=95) and isotonic (N=147) odd-mass nuclei with mass (A-1). Figure 1 shows levels expected, which have similar ordering as experimentally observed [10]. For the band-heads, shown on Fig.1, the rotational bands generated as



Fig. 5 PFNS of 241 Am(n,F) at $E_n \sim 15$ MeV.

$$E_{JK\pi} = E_{JK} + 5.5 [J(J+1) - K(K+1)].$$
(8)

Obviously, the schema presented on Fig. 1 does not represent a complete set to allow the calculation of absolute yields of 242 Am $(n, 2n)^{242m}$ Am and 242 Am $(n, 2n)^{242g}$ Am reactions at low E_n . Rotational bands were generated up to ~700 keV excitation energy U, modeling levels with spins $J^{\pi} \leq 10$, in total up to ~70 levels. The simple estimate of the number of levels in odd-odd nuclei as

$$N(U) = e^{2\Delta_0/T} (e^{U/T} - 1), \qquad (9)$$

predicts up to 280 level at U~700 keV, T=0.388 MeV, $\Delta = 12/A^{1/2}$, MeV. We assume that the modeled levels angular momentum distribution would not be much different from realistic estimates. Since the complete data on the γ -transitions are missing, we assumed the simple decay scheme: only E1, E2 and M1 transitions are allowed in a continuum excitation energy range. Inter-band transitions forbidden, i.e., only y-transitions within the rotational bands are possible. In such approach the populations of the lowest five level doublets could be calculated. Then we assumed that the transition to the isomer state $J^{\pi} = 5^{-}$ or low-spin, short-lived ground state $J^{\pi} = 1^{-}$ is defined by the "minimal multipolarity" rule. That means the states with spins J < 3 should populate the ground state, while those with $J \ge 3$ should feed the isomer state. Then the branching ratio is obtained as the ratio of the populations, derived from Eq. (7):

$$r(E_n) = \frac{\sum_{J \ge (J_l + J_s)/2} W(U, J^{\pi})}{\sum_{J < (J_l + J_s)/2} W(U, J^{\pi})}$$
(10)

Figure 2 show the relative yield of ^{242m}Am, calculated for level scheme, presented on Fig. 1. The modeled level scheme appears to be quite compatible with the measured data on the absolute ^{242g}Am state yield [3] (Fig. 3).

Figure 3 shows, that the yields of the 242g Am and 242m Am at $E_n \sim 15$ MeV are still comparable, the latter being lower, as expected for higher spin state in (n, 2n) reaction. In calculation of [5] the ratio is opposite different. The branching ratio for ${}^{237}Np(n, 2n)$ reaction shown on Fig. 2 is much different from that calculated for 243 Am(*n*, 2*n*) $^{242m(g)}$ Am reaction. It is due to the level spectra differences for residual nuclei 236 Np and 242 Am. Calculated at $E_n \sim 15$ MeV exclusive neutron spectra of ²⁴³Am $(n, 2n)^{1,2}$, feeding the ^{242g}Am and isomer ^{242m}Am states, shown on Fig. 4. The main competing neutron channels are ${}^{243}Am(n, nf)^1$ and ${}^{243}Am(n, 2nf)^{1,2}$. The lumped contributions of pre-fission neutrons and respective neutrons coming from fission fragments $^{243}Am(n, n)$

nf) and ²⁴³A(*n*, 2*nf*) are on Fig. 5. These partials are consistent with observed prompt fission neutron spectrum of ²⁴³Am(*n*, *F*) [12]. The combined effect of fission chances and exclusive pre-fission neutron spectra leads to the lowering of the average energy $\langle E \rangle$ of the PFNS of ²⁴³Am (*n*, *F*) near ²⁴³Am (*n*, *nf*) and ²⁴³Am (*n*, 2*nf*) reaction thresholds. Similar dips in $\langle E \rangle$ for ²³⁷Np(*n*, *F*) were observed in [14] and interpreted in [4, 5] (Fig. 6).

CONCLUSIONS

Calculated yields of 242g Am and isomer 242m Am states of the residual 242 Am nuclide predict the branching ratio. The branching ratio defined by the ratio of the populations of the lowest states. These populations defined by the γ -decay of the excited states, are described by the standard kinetic equation. The absolute yield of 242g Am is compatible with the measured data on 243 Am(n, 2n) 242g Am, $E_n \sim 15$ MeV [3]. The ordering of the low and high spin states is different in case of 236 Np and 242 Am, that explains different shapes of $r(E_n)$ near the (n, 2n) reaction threshold, while excitation energy dependences are similar. PFNS of 243 Am(n, rf) at 14.7 MeV by Drapchinsky (2004, released in 2012) [9] support calculated with the model [15–17] 243 Am(n, rnf) contribution and exclusive neutron spectra of of 243 Am(n, 2n) 1,2 , feeding the 242g Am and isomer 242m Am states.

REFERENCES

- 1. *Strutinsky V.M., Groshev L.V., Akimova M.K.* Spectra of Gamma Rays Produced in the Capture of Thermal Neutrons by Heavy Nuclei//ZhETPh, **38**, 598 (1960).
- 2. *Dovbenko A.G., Ignatyuk A.V., Tolstikov V.A.* Isomer ratios and gamma spectra in the radiative capture of thermal neutrons //Nuclear Constants. **7**, 201(1974); INDC(CCP)-43/L.
- 3. Norris T.L., Gancarz A.J., Efurd D.W. et al.// 14-MeV (*n*, 2*n*) Neutron Cross Sections of ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴³Am. In: Irradiations at the Rotating Target Neutron Source-II, p. 69. UCID-19837-83.
- Maslov V.M., Pronyaev V.M., Tetereva N.A. et al. Advanced evaluation of ²³⁷Np and ²⁴³Am neutron data //In: Proc. Intern. Conf. on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, Jeju, Korea, April 26-30, 2010 Journal of Korean Phys. Soc., **59**, 867 (2011).
- 5. Maslov V.M., Pronyaev V.G., Tetereva N.A. et al. ²³⁷Np neutron data //INDC(BLR)-021, 2010.
- 6. Myers W.A., Lindner M., Newburry R.S. The isomer ratio of ²³⁶¹Np/^{236s}Np in the reaction ²³⁷Np(n,2n) ²³⁶Np from neutrons, produced in thermonuclear devices. Journ. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. **37**, 637 (1975).
- 7. Daroczy S., Raics P., Csikai J., Kornilov N.V. et al. Measurement of ²³⁷Np(n,2n) ²³⁶Np(22.5hrs) cross section for neutron energy between 7 and 10 MeV. Atomnaya Energiya, **58**, 117 (1985).
- 8. Gromova E.A., Kovalenko S.S., Nemilov Yu.A. et al. Measurement of ²³⁷Np(n,2n) reaction cross section at 14.8 MeV neutron incident energy. Atomnaya Energiya, **54**, 198 (1983).
- 9. Uhl M., Strohmaier B., A Computer Code for Particle induced Activation Cross Sections and Related QuantitiesIRK-76/01, IRK, Vienna (1976)
- 10. *Firestone R.B.* //Table of Isotopes CD-ROM, Eighth Edition, Version 1.0, March 1996, S.Y.Frank Chu, CD-ROM Ed., V.S.Shirley, Ed., Wiley-Interscience, 1996.
- 11. Sood P.C., Singh R.N. Low-lying two-particle states in the nucleus ²⁴²Am//Nucl. Phys. A**373**, 519 (1982)
- 12. *Drapchinsky L.V.* Measurements of the prompt neutron spectra of minor actinides Fast neutron induced fission of ²⁴¹Am and ²⁴³Am, thermal neutron induced fission of ²⁴³Cm //Report ISTC-1828-01, 2004.
- 13. Boykov G.S., Dmitriev V.D., Svirin M.I., Smirenkin G.N. Neutron spectra in fission of ²³⁷Np by the neutrons with energies 2.9 and 14.7 MeV. //Physics of Atomic Nucleus, **57**, 2047 (1994).
- 14. *Taieb J., Granier T., Ethvignot T. et al.* Measurement of the average energy and multiplicity of prompt fission neutrons from ²³⁸U(n, f) and ²³⁷Np(n, f) from 1 to 200 MeV// In: Proc. of the Inter. Conf. on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, p. 429, Nice, France, April 22-27, 2007, EDP Sciences.
- Maslov V.M., Porodzinskij Yu. V., Baba M., Hasegawa A., Kornilov N. V., Kagalenko A. B., Tetereva N.A. Prompt fission neutron spectra of ²³⁸U(n,f) and ²³²Th(n,f) above emissive fission threshold. //Phys. Rev. C, 69, 034607, 2004.
- 16. *Maslov V. M.* Prompt fission neutron spectra of ²³⁸U // Phys. Atom. Nucl. **71**, 9 (2008).
- 17. *Maslov V.M.* Average energies of ²³⁷Np and ²⁴¹Am prompt fission neutron spectra. Atomnaya Energiya, **104**, 250-252 (2008).