## ON SMALL SYLOW NUMBERS OF FINITE GROUPS

XIAOFANG GAO, IGOR LIMA, AND RULIN SHEN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group and  $n_p(G)$  the number of Sylow p-subgroups of G. In this paper, we prove if  $n_p(G) < p^2$  then almost all numbers  $n_p(G)$ are a power of a prime.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group and p a prime. We denote by  $n_p(G)$  the number of Sylow p-subgroups of G, which is called Sylow p-number of G (hereinafter referred to as Sylow number). The influence of the number of Sylow subgroups in finite groups on group structure is a very meaningful research topic. We called  $n_p(G)$  a solvable Sylow number if its  $\ell$ -part was congruent to 1 modulo p for any prime  $\ell$ . In 1967, Hall [1] studied the number of Sylow subgroups in finite groups, and proved that solvable groups have solvable Sylow numbers, and 22 is never a Sylow 3-number and 21 a Sylow 5-number. In 1995, Zhang [2] proved that a finite group G is pnilpotent if and only if p is prime to every Sylow number of G. It is easy to see that  $n_p(H) \leq n_p(G)$  for  $H \leq G$ , however  $n_p(H)$  does not divide  $n_p(G)$  in general. In 2003, Navarro [3] proved that if G is p-solvable, then  $n_p(H)$  divides  $n_p(G)$  for every  $H \leq G$ . In 2016 [4], Li and Liu classified finite non-abelian simple group with only solvable Sylow numbers. We say that a group G satisfies DivSyl(p) if  $n_p(H)$  divides  $n_p(G)$  for every  $H \leq G$ . In 2018, Guo and Vdovin [5] generalized the results of Navarro, and proved that G satisfies DivSyl(p) provided every nonabelian composition factor of G satisfies DivSyl(p). Recently, Wu [6] proved that almost all finite simple groups do not satisfy DivSyl(p).

In this paper, we consider the numbers of Sylow subgroups  $n_p(G) < p^2$ , and prove that almost all numbers  $n_p(G)$  are a power of a prime. Our main theorem is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let G be a finite group and p a prime. Then the number  $n_p(G)$  of Sylow p-subgroups of G is less than  $p^2$  if and only if  $n_p(G)$  is a power of a prime being less than  $p^2$  or one of the following cases:

(1)  $n_{13}(G) = 12^2$ ,

(2)  $n_p(G) = 1 + p$ , where p is odd and not Mersenne,

- (3)  $n_p(G) = 1 + (p-3)p/2$ , where p > 3 is Fermat,
- (4)  $n_p(G) = 1 + (p+3)p/2$ , where p > 3 is Mersenne.

Key words and phrases. Sylow Subgroup, Non-abelian simple subgroup, Maximal subgroup. Project supported by the NSF of China (Grant No. 12161035).

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G be a finite group and p not a Mersenne prime that is greater than 3. Assume that the number  $n_p(G)$  of Sylow p-subgroups of G is less than  $p^2$ . Then G is p-solvable if and only if  $n_p(G)$  is a power of a prime.

### 2. Preliminary results

In this section we introduce and prove some useful results.

**Lemma 2.1** (Zsigmondy's Theorem [7]). Let a and n be integers greater 1. Then there exists a prime divisor q of  $a^n - 1$  such that q does not divide  $a^j - 1$  for all 0 < j < n, except exactly the following cases:

(1) 
$$n = 2, a = 2^{s} - 1$$
, where  $s \ge 2$ .  
(2)  $n = 6, a = 2$ .

Note that the prime divisor q of  $a^n - 1$  as described above called a primitive prime or Zsigmondy prime.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $p = 2^n + 1$  be a Fermat prime and q prime. If  $p^2 - 3p + 2 = 2q^a$  where a is a positive integer, then (n, p, q, a) = (1, 3, 1, 1).

*Proof.* Since  $p = 2^n + 1$ ,  $p^2 - 3p + 2 = 2^{2n} - 2^n = 2q^a$ , this implies that  $2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) = q^a$ . Note that  $(2^{n-1}, 2^n - 1) = 1$  and  $q^a$  has only one prime divisor, so either  $2^{n-1} = 1$  or  $2^n - 1 = 1$ . Thus n = q = a = 1 and p = 3.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let p be an odd prime and  $e = \min\{k \ge 1 | q^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$ . Then p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$  or  $q^{2e} - 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $p|(q^{2e} - 1)$ , p divides  $q^e + 1$  or  $q^e - 1$ . If  $p|(q^e - 1)$ , then e is odd by the minimality of e, this implies that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ . Now assume that p divides  $q^e + 1$ , then  $q^e - 1$  is not divisible by p since  $(q^e - 1, q^e + 1) = 2$ . We claim that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e} - 1$ . If this was not the case, then there would exist a minimal integer k with k < 2e such that  $p|(q^k - 1)$ , this implies that k|2e. By the minimality of e, k would be odd, implying k|e. Write e = ks where s > 1 (since p does not divide  $q^e - 1$ ). Then

$$q^{e} - 1 = q^{ks} - 1 = (q^{k} - 1)((q^{k})^{s-1} + (q^{k})^{s-2} + \dots + q^{k} + 1).$$

Note that  $p|(q^k - 1)$ , so p would divide  $q^e - 1$ , a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.4** (Theorem 2.1 of [1]). Let G have a normal subgroup K and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then

$$n_p(G) = n_p(G/K)n_p(K)n_p(C)$$

where  $C = N_{PK}(P \cap K)/P \cap K$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (Lemma 2.7 of [4]). Let G be a finite group, H a normal subgroup of G, and p a prime. If p does not divide |G/H| then  $n_p(H/Z(H)) = n_p(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** Let S be a non-abelian simple group, let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of S. If  $n_p(S) < p^2$ , then  $P \cong C_p$ .

$$\square$$

Proof. Write  $n := n_p(S)$ . As  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  we can say n = 1 + rp with r < p. Note that  $n = |S : N_S(P)|$ , we consider the permutation representation of S on cosets of  $N_S(P)$ . Since S is simple, S is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$ . From  $n < p^2$  we deduce that  $S_n$  has no element of order  $p^2$ , and hence the exponent of Sylow *p*-subgroup of  $S_n$  is *p*. Observe that the *p*-part of  $|S_n|$  is

$$|S_n|_p = p^{\left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^2}\right] + \left[\frac{n}{p^3}\right] + \dots} = p^{\left[\frac{1+rp}{p}\right]} = p^r,$$

so we can get that the Sylow *p*-subgroup of  $S_n$  is an elementary abelian *p*-group, and then  $P \cong C_p^a$  for some integer *a*. Let  $P_1$  be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *S* distinct from *P*, then  $N_P(P_1) = P \cap P_1$  because otherwise  $N_P(P_1) \not\leq P_1$ ,  $P_1$  would be a proper subgroup of  $N_P(P_1)P_1$ , which would be a *p*-group since  $P_1 \leq N_P(P_1)$ , contradicting  $P_1$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of *S*. So the number of conjugates of  $P_1$ under *P* is  $|P : P_1 \cap P| < n < p^2$ , thus  $|P : P_1 \cap P| = p$ . By using [8, Brodkey Theorem 5.28] we have  $P_1 \cap P = 1$  because *S* is simple. Thus  $P \cong C_p$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let S be a simple group, and let  $M \cong C_n \cdot N$  be an extension of  $C_n$  by N, which is a maximal subgroup of S. If the Sylow p-subgroup P of S is contained in  $C_n$ , then  $n_p(S) = |S : M|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P \leq C_n$ , P is a characteristic subgroup of  $C_n$ . Note that  $C_n \leq M$ , so  $P \leq M$ . Implying that  $N_M(P) = M$ . On the other hand,  $P \leq N_M(P) \leq N_S(P) < S$ . By the maximality of M, we have  $N_S(P) = M$ . Thus  $n_p(S) = |S:M|$ .  $\Box$ 

### 3. The number of Sylow subgroups of simple groups

In this section, we will discuss the number of Sylow *p*-subgroups *P* of finite simple groups and use the classification theorem for finite simple groups to prove Theorem 3.1. As usual,  $\pi(S)$  denotes the set of prime divisors of |S|, by  $\Phi_n(q)$  we denote the *n*th cyclotomic polynomial, by function  $\phi$  denotes Euler's totient function.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let S be a finite simple group and  $n_p(S) = 1 + rp$  for some integer r. Then  $n_p(S) < p^2$  if and only if one of the following holds:

(1)  $r = 1, S \cong PSL_2(p)$ . (2)  $r = 11, S \cong PSL_3(3)$  and p = 13. (3)  $r = \frac{p-3}{2}, S \cong PSL_2(p-1)$ , where p > 3 is a Fermat prime. (4)  $r = \frac{p+3}{2}, S \cong PSL_2(p+1)$  with p > 3 is a Mersenne prime.

We will analyse the different possibilities for S by using the classification of finite simple groups. Note that  $n_p(S) < p^2$  implies that a Sylow *p*-subgroup of S is isomorphic to  $C_p$  by Lemma 2.6, thus the *p*-part of |S| is p. We assume  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$  and let P be a Sylow *p*-subgroup of S. We will divide the proof of Theorem 3.1 into several propositions.

**Proposition 3.2.** If S is a sporadic simple group or  $S \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(2)'$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_{p}(S) < p^{2}$ .

Proof. Since S is a simple group,  $N_S(P) < S$ , it follows that there is a maximal subgroup M of S such that  $N_S(P) \leq M < S$ . Thus  $|S: N_S(P)| \geq |S: M|$ , it is not less than the degree of minimal permutation representation of simple group S. With the help of [10],  $n_p(S) \geq p^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $S \cong A_n$  with  $n \ge 5$ . Then  $n_p(S) < p^2$  if and only if  $S \cong A_5$  and p = 5.

*Proof.* Suppose now that  $S \cong A_n$  with  $n \ge 5$ . Obviously,  $p \le n < 2p$  since  $P \cong C_p$ , thus  $p \ne 2$ . Applying [11] we have

$$n_p(S) = \frac{n!}{(n-p)!p(p-1)} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-p+2)(n-p+1)}{p(p-1)}$$
  
$$\ge (n-2)(n-3)\cdots(n-(p-2))(n-(p-1)) \ge (p-2)!.$$

Assume  $p \ge 7$ , then  $(p-2)! > p^2$ , this implies that  $n_p(S) > p^2$ , a contradiction. Thus p = 5. If n = 5 then  $n_5(A_5) = 6 < 25$  and if  $n \ge 6$  then

$$n_p(S) = \frac{n!}{20(n-5)!} = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{20} \ge \frac{6!}{20}.$$

In the second case,  $n_p(S) > 25 = p^2$ . It remains to deal with the case p = 3, that is n = 5 because  $3 \le n < 6$ , it is easy to check that  $n_3(A_5) = 10 > 3^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $S \cong PSL_n(q)$  with  $q = r^f$ . Then  $n_p(S) < p^2$  if and only if the following hold:

- (1)  $S \cong PSL_2(p)$  and  $n_p(S) = 1 + p$ .
- (2)  $S \cong PSL_3(3)$ , p = 13 and  $n_{13}(S) = 144$ .
- (3)  $S \cong PSL_2(p-1)$  and  $n_p(S) = 1 + \frac{(p-3)p}{2}$  where p > 3 is a Fermat prime.
- (4)  $S \cong PSL_2(p+1)$  and  $n_p(S) = 1 + \frac{(p+3)p}{2}$  where p > 3 is a Mersenne prime.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S \cong PSL_n(q)$  with  $q = r^f$ , we have

$$|S| = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^3-1)\cdots(q^n-1)}{(q-1)(n,q-1)}.$$

Assume  $(p, 2r) \neq 1$ . If p = 2 then r = 2 because if this was not the case, then  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(q)$  with q odd and P would not be isomorphic to  $C_2$ . Therefore,  $S \cong \text{PSL}_n(2^f)$ . Observe that  $P \cong C_2$ , so  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(2)$ , a contradiction. If p = r is odd then  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(p)$ , it is not difficult to get that  $|N_S(P)| = p(p-1)/2$ , thus  $n_p(S) = 1 + p$ .

Next, we assume that (p, 2r) = 1. Let p be a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ , that is,

$$e = \min\{k \ge 1 | q^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}.$$

If  $e \ge 2$ , then p does not divide q-1 and  $n/2 < e \le n$  because  $P \cong C_p$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $n_p(\operatorname{PSL}_n(q)) = n_p(\operatorname{GL}_n(q))$  since  $|\operatorname{GL}_n(q) : \operatorname{SL}_n(q)|$  is not divisible by p. Note that  $\operatorname{GL}_e(q) \le \operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ , thus  $n_p(\operatorname{GL}_e(q)) \le n_p(\operatorname{GL}_n(q)) < p^2$ . Now, we are only concerned with the number of Sylow p- subgroups of  $\operatorname{GL}_e(q)$ . Let  $G := \operatorname{GL}_e(q)$  and  $Q \in Syl_p(G)$ . In the light of [12, Lemma 2.5],  $|N_G(Q)| = e(q^e - 1)$ , implying that

(1) 
$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}}(q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^{e-1}-1)}{e}.$$

Since p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ ,  $p | \Phi_e(q)$ , it follows that

$$p^2 \leq (\Phi_e(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(e)})^2$$

by [13, Lemma 2.1(f)].

If  $e \ge 6$  then  $q^{e-1} - 1 \ge e$  and  $q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}} > 16q^{2(e-1)}$ . Therefore  $n_p(G) \ge q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}} > 16q^{2(e-1)} \ge (4q^{\phi(e)})^2 > p^2$ ,

which is a contradiction. Thus  $e \in \{5, 4, 3, 2\}$ .

If e = 5, then by [13, Lemma 2.1(f)] and equality (1), we get

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{10}(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^3-1)(q^4-1)}{5} \ge q^{10}(q-1)^4(q+1)^2(q^2+1)$$
$$\ge q^{14} > 16q^8 = (4q^{\Phi(5)})^2 > (\Phi_5(q))^2 \ge p^2,$$

a contradiction.

Assume e = 4. If  $q \ge 3$ , then by [13, Lemma 2.1(f)] and equality (1),

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^6(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^3-1)}{4} \ge q^8 \ge (4q^{\phi(4)})^2 > p^2$$

and if q = 2 then p = 5,  $n_p(G) = 336 > 5^2$ . These cases are impossible.

We next assume that e = 3, the equality (1) implies that

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^3(q-1)(q^2-1)}{3} \ge \frac{q^4(q-1)^2}{3}$$

Note that  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_3(q))^2 = (q^2 + q + 1)^2 \leq 9q^4$ . Obviously, if  $q \geq 7$ , then  $n_p(G) \geq q^4(q-1)^2/3 \geq 9q^4 \geq p^2$ . Since p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^3 - 1$ , we can get that if q = 5, 4, 3 or 2 then p = 31, 7, 13 or 7 and  $n_p(G) = 4000, 960, 144$  or 8, respectively. Therefore (q, p) = (3, 13) or (q, p) = (2, 7). Recall that  $n/2 < 3 \leq n$ , thus n = 3, 4 or 5. Therefore we only need to check the number of Sylow 13-subgroups of PSL<sub>3</sub>(3), PSL<sub>4</sub>(3) and PSL<sub>5</sub>(3) and the number of Sylow 7-subgroups of PSL<sub>3</sub>(2), PSL<sub>4</sub>(2) or PSL<sub>5</sub>(2). By using  $[14] n_p(S) > p^2 \operatorname{except} n_7(\operatorname{PSL}_3(2)) = 8$  and  $n_{13}(\operatorname{PSL}_3(3)) = 144 = 1 + 11 \cdot 13$ .

Suppose e = 2, then  $n_p(G) = \frac{q(q-1)}{2}$  and n = 2 or 3. Since p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^2 - 1$ , thus  $p \mid q + 1$ . We write kp = q + 1 for some integer k. Assume  $k \ge 2$ . If  $q \ge 5$  then

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \ge \frac{(q+1)^2}{4} \ge p^2.$$

We are left to examine q = 2, 3 or 4. In these cases, (q, p) = (2, 3) or (4, 5) because p divides q + 1 and (p, 2r) = 1. Therefore we only need to calculate  $n_3(\text{PSL}_3(2))$ ,  $n_5(\text{PSL}_2(4))$  and  $n_5(\text{PSL}_3(4))$ . Simple calculations by using GAP [14] show that  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(4)$  with  $n_5(S) = 6$  because  $n_5(\text{PSL}_3(4)) = 2016, n_3(\text{PSL}_3(2)) = 28$ , and both of them are greater than  $p^2$ . Now assume that k = 1, we have p = q + 1, thus  $n_p(G) = \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2} < p^2$ , so we cannot determine the relationship between  $p^2$  and the number  $n_p(S)$  of Sylow p-subgroups of S by considering  $n_p(G)$ . Therefore, we need to consider the simple group S. Note that p is an odd prime integer, so  $q = 2^f$  and  $p = 2^f + 1$  is Fermat. Moreover,  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(2^f)$  or  $\text{PSL}_3(2^f)$ . If  $S \cong \text{PSL}_2(2^f)$ , then S has a maximal subgroup M of type  $D_{2(q+1)}$ . Thus there is a Sylow p-subgroup P of S such that  $P \leq M$ . Obviously,  $P \in Syl_p(M)$  and  $P \leq M$ .

By the maximality of M,  $M = N_M(P) = N_S(P)$ . Therefore,

$$n_p(S) = \frac{|S|}{|M|} = \frac{q(q-1)}{2} = \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2} = 1 + rp,$$

where  $r = \frac{p-3}{2}$ . Let  $S \cong \text{PSL}_3(2^f)$ . In the light of [19, Lemma 1.2(1)], the order of maximal torus of S is  $\frac{(q-1)^2}{(3,q-1)}$ ,  $\frac{q^2-1}{(3,q-1)}$  or  $\frac{q^2+q+1}{(3,q-1)}$ , it implies that the Sylow psubgroup P of S is contained in the maximal torus of order  $\frac{q^2-1}{(3,q-1)}$ . Thus,  $\frac{q^2-1}{(3,q-1)}$ divides  $|C_S(P)|$ . By [19, Table 4], S does not have element of order 2p, so that the order  $|C_S(P)|$  of the centralizer of P in S is  $\frac{q^2-1}{(3,q-1)}$ . Note that  $N_S(P)/C_S(P)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of Weyl group  $S_3$ . It is also isomorphic to a subgroup of Aut(P), which is a cyclic group of order  $2^f$ . Thus  $N_P(S)/C_P(S) \cong C_2$  (Since S is simple, it is not a p-nilpotent group, so that  $|N_S(P)| \neq |C_S(P)|$ ). Thus  $|N_S(P)| = \frac{2(q^2-1)}{(3,q-1)}$ . Therefore,

$$n_p(S) = \frac{|S|}{|N_S(P)|} = \frac{q^3(q^3 - 1)}{2} > q^2 + 2q + 1 = p^2,$$

a contradiction.

Now we consider e = 1, i.e.,  $p \mid q - 1$ . Since  $P \cong C_p$ ,  $n \leq 3$ . Let  $S \cong PSL_2(q)$ . If  $q \in \{5,7,9,11\}$ , then, by (p,2r) = 1 and p divides q - 1, we only need to consider  $n_3(PSL_2(7))$  and  $n_5(PSL_2(11))$ . By applying [14], we have  $n_3(PSL_2(7))$  and  $n_5(PSL_2(11))$  are greater than  $p^2$ . If  $q \geq 13$  is odd, then S has a maximal subgroup M isomorphic to  $D_{q-1}$ . Clearly, S has a Sylow p-subgroup P that is contained in  $C_{\frac{q-1}{2}}$ . Moreover,  $C_{\frac{q-1}{2}} \leq M$ . By Lemma 2.7,  $n_p(S) = |S|/|M| = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}$ . Recalled that p|q - 1, we can say q - 1 = kp for some integer k. If  $k \geq 2$  then  $n_p(S) \geq \frac{(q-1)^2}{k^2} = p^2$ . Hence, p = q - 1. Note that p is prime and q is odd, so p = 2, contradicting the fact that (p, 2r) = 1. Suppose  $q = 2^f \geq 4$ , then  $M := D_{2(q-1)}$  is a maximal subgroup of S. Similarly,  $N_S(P) = M$  and  $n_p(S) = \frac{q(q+1)}{2}$ . Since  $n_p(S) < p^2$  and  $p|(q-1), p = q-1 = 2^f - 1$  is a Mersenne prime number. Therefore,

$$n_p(S) = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)}{2} = 1 + rp,$$

where  $r = \frac{p+3}{2}$ . Let  $S \cong PSL_3(q)$ . Observe that  $PSL_2(q) \leq PSL_3(q)$ , thus we only need to consider  $PSL_3(2^f)$  where  $2^f = p + 1 \geq 4$  because otherwise  $n_p(PSL_3(q)) \geq n_p(PSL_2(q)) \geq p^2$  by above discussion. We claim that  $PSL_3(2^f) = SL_3(2^f)$ . If this was not the case, then  $Z(SL_3(2^f))$  would be isomorphic to  $C_{(3,2^f-1)} = C_3$ , thus p = 3 and  $S \cong PSL_3(4)$ , by using GAP [14] again,  $n_3(PSL_3(4)) = 280 > 9$ , a contradiction. By [15, Table 8.3] S has a maximal subgroup M of type  $(q - 1)^2$ :  $S_3 = p^2 : S_3$ . Contradicting the fact that  $P \cong C_p$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $S \cong PSU_n(q)$  where  $q = r^f \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 3$ . Then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose now that  $S \cong PSU_n(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = \frac{q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)\cdots(q^n-(-1)^n)}{(n,q+1)(q+1)}$$

Obviously, p is coprime to 2r since otherwise n = 2, contradicting  $n \ge 3$ .

If  $n \ge 4$ , then by Lemma 2.6, p does not divide q + 1. Let

$$e = \min\{k \ge 1 | (-q)^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}$$

Since  $P \cong C_p$ ,  $n/2 < e \leq n$ . Lemma 2.5 implies that  $n_p(\text{PSU}_n(q)) = n_p(\text{GU}_n(q)) < p^2$  because  $\text{SU}_n(q) \trianglelefteq \text{GU}_n(q)$  and  $|\text{GU}_n(q) : \text{SU}_n(q)|$  is not divisible by p. In the light of [16, Theorem 3.9],  $\text{GU}_e(q) \leq \text{GU}_n(q)$ , so  $n_p(\text{GU}_e(q)) \leq n_p(\text{GU}_n(q)) < p^2$ . In order to prove our result, it is enough to prove that  $n_p(\text{GU}_e(q)) \ge p^2$ . For the convenience, let  $G := \text{GU}_e(q)$  and let Q denote the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Using [18, Theorem 3] we can obtain

$$N_G(Q)| = |C_{(q^e - (-1)^e)_p}| \cdot |C_{(q^e - (-1)^e)_{p'}}| \cdot |C_e| = e \cdot (q^e - (-1)^e).$$

Therefore,

(2) 
$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}}(q+1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^{e-1}-(-1)^{e-1})}{e}.$$

Clearly,  $q^{e-1} + 1 \ge q^{e-1} - 1 \ge e$  since  $e \ge 3$ . By the above formula (2), we have

(3) 
$$n_p(G) \ge q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}}(q+1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^{e-2}-(-1)^{e-2}).$$

If e is even then p divides  $q^e - 1$  and if e is odd then p divides  $q^e + 1$ . Thus, the order of q in the multiplicative group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$  is either e or e/2 when e is even, and it is at most 2e when e is odd by Lemma 2.3. Implying that the order of q in this group is at most 2e. By the properties of Euler's totient function and [13, Lemma 2.1(f)], we have

$$p^2 \leqslant (\Phi_{2e}(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(2e)})^2 \leqslant 16q^{4e-2}.$$

We will examine  $n_p(G)$  by discussing e. Assume  $e \ge 9$ , we have

$$n_p(G) \geqslant q^{\frac{e(e-1)}{2}} \geqslant 16q^{4(e-1)} \geqslant p^2,$$

a contradiction. If e = 8 or 7, then by the equality (3), it is easy to check that  $n_p(G) \ge 16q^{4e-2}$ , these cases are impossible. Let e = 6, so that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^6 - 1$  or  $q^3 - 1$ , this follows that  $p^2 \le (4q^{\phi(3)})^2 = (4q^{\phi(6)})^2 = 16q^4$  with the help of [13, Lemma 2.1(f)]. On the other hand,

$$n_p(G) \ge q^{15}(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)(q^4-1) > q^{15} > 16q^4 > p^2,$$

by the equality (2), contradicting  $n_p(G) < p^2$ . Assume e = 5, the formula (2) implies that

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{10}(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)(q^4-1)}{5} \ge q^{16}(q-1)^2(q^2-q+1).$$

Recall that  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_{10}(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(10)})^2 = 16q^8$  by [13, Lemma 2.1(f)]. Thus,  $n_p(G) \geq q^{16}(q-1)^2(q^2-q+1) > 16q^8 > p^2$ . Assume now that e = 4, in other words, p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^4 - 1$  or  $q^2 - 1$ , so that  $p^2 \leq (4q^{\phi(2)})^2 \leq (4q^{\phi(4)})^2 = 16q^4$  by using [13, Lemma 2.1(f)] again. In the light of the formula (2),

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^6(q+1)(q^2-1)(q^3+1)}{4} \ge q^6(q^3+1)(q-1) > 16q^4 > p^2,$$

which yields a contradiction. Finally, assume e = 3, then  $n_p(G) = \frac{q^3(q+1)(q^2-1)}{3}$  by the formula (2). Since  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_6(q))^2 = (q^2 - q + 1)^2 \leq 3q^4$ , we have

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^3(q+1)(q^2-1)}{3} \ge 3q^4 \ge p^2$$

if  $q \ge 4$ . Finally, if q = 3 then p = 7 by the definition of e and (p, 2r) = 1. Moreover,  $n_7(G) = 288$ . If q = 2 then p = 3 and  $n_p(G) = 24$ . Both of them are greater than  $p^2$ , we deduce a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that n = 3, that is,  $S \cong PSU_3(q)$  where q > 2. In the light of [6, Lemma 2.2],  $n_p(S) = n_p(SU_3(q)) < p^2$ . Write  $H := SU_3(q)$  and Q the Sylow *p*-subgroup of H, we have

$$|H| = q^{3}(q-1)(q+1)^{2}(q^{2}-q+1).$$

We claim that  $p \nmid q + 1$ . If this was not the case, then p = 3 because the Sylow p-subgroup of S is isomorphic to  $C_p$ , since H has a maximal subgroup of type  $(q+1)^2: S_3$  (see [15, Table 8.5]), this implies that 27 would be a divisor of |H|, and hence  $3^2||S|$ , contradicting  $P \cong C_p$ . Assume  $p \mid q^2 - q + 1$ , by [15, Table 8.5], H has a maximal subgroup M of type  $(q^2 - q + 1): 3$ , thus H has a Sylow p-subgroup Q such that  $Q \leq M$  because  $(|H:M|, q^2 - q + 1) = 1$ . Since  $Q \leq_c C_{q^2-q+1} \leq M$ , Q is normal in M. It is obvious that Q is not normal in H since p does not divide q + 1 and H has an unique normal subgroup Z(H) with Z(H) = (q + 1, 3). Thus  $M = N_H(Q) \geq N_M(Q) = M$  by the maximality of M, therefore

$$n_p(H) = |H: ((q^2 - q + 1):3)| = \frac{q^3(q-1)(q+1)^2}{3} \ge q^4(q-1).$$

Clearly, if  $q \ge 4$  then  $q^4(q-1) \ge 3q^4 \ge (q^2+1)^2 \ge (q^2-q+1)^2 \ge p^2$  and if q = 3 then p = 7,  $n_7(H) = 288 > 49$ , a contradiction. Finally, if  $p \mid q-1$ , then  $p^2 \le (q-1)^2 \le 2q^2$ . By applying [15, Table 8.5] again, H has a maximal subgroup M isomorphic to  $[q^3] : (q^2-1)$ . Note that Q is a Sylow p-subgroup of M because |H:M| is not divisible by p. We claim that  $N_M(Q) = C_{q^2-1}$ . In fact, we assume that  $N := N_M(Q) \cap [q^3]$  is a nontrivial r-group, thus  $N \le N_M(Q)$  since  $[q^3] \le M$ . This implies that  $Q \le N_M(Q) \le N_M(N)$ . Observe that (|N|, |Q|) = 1, so mn = nm for any  $m \in Q$ ,  $n \in N$ , that is, H has an element with order rp, where pis a primitive prime divisor of q-1. Since p is an odd prime and  $p \mid q-1, q-1 \ne 2^k$ for some integer k. By applying [19, Table 4], H does not have an element of order rp, a contradiction. Therefore, N = 1, and  $N_M(Q) = C_{q^2-1}$ . Consequently,

$$n_p(M) = |M: N_M(Q)| = q^3 \ge 2q^2 \ge p^2.$$

This implies that  $n_p(H) \ge n_p(M) \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.6.** If  $S \cong PSp_{2n}(q)$  where  $q = r^f \ge 2$  and  $n \ge 2$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $S \cong PSp_{2n}(q)$ , where  $n \ge 2$  and  $q = r^f \ge 2$ . Then

$$|S| = \frac{q^{n^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2n} - 1)}{(2, q - 1)}.$$

Since  $P \cong C_p$ , (p, 2r) = 1. Set

$$e = \min\{k \ge 1 | q^{2k} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } p)\},\$$

then p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$  or  $q^{2e} - 1$  by Lemma 2.3. Clearly,  $n/2 < e \leq n$  (because  $P \cong C_p$ ). By [16, Theorems 3.7 and 3.8], S has a subgroup isomorphic to  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2e}(q)$ . Note that  $n_p(\operatorname{Sp}_{2e}(q)) \leq n_p(S) < p^2$ , so we can prove our proposition by considering  $n_p(\operatorname{Sp}_{2e}(q))$ . For convenience, let  $G := \operatorname{Sp}_{2e}(q)$  and  $Q \in Syl_p(G)$ , then

$$|G| = q^{e^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e} - 1).$$

We first suppose that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e} - 1$ , Clearly, e = 2e/(2e, 2). By [12, Theorem 2.6],

$$|N_G(Q)| = 2e(q^e + (-1)^{2e}) = 2e(q^e + 1),$$

so that

(4) 
$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{e^2}(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2e-2}-1)(q^e-1)}{2e}.$$

If  $e \ge 4$ , then  $q^e - 1 \ge 2e$  and  $q^{e^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^6 - 1) \ge q^{e^2 + 3}(q^6 - 1) \ge 16q^{4e-2}$ , thus

$$n_p(G) \ge q^{e^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^6 - 1) \ge 16q^{4e-2}$$

Recall that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e} - 1$ ,

$$p^2 \leqslant (\Phi_{2e}(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(2e)})^2 \leqslant 16q^{4e-2}$$

by [13, Lemma 2.1(f)]. This follows that  $n_p(G) \ge 16q^{4e-2} \ge p^2$ , a contradiction. Assume e = 3 then

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^9(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)}{6} \ge q^{12}.$$

[13, Lemma 2.1(f)] shows that  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_6(q))^2 \leq (4q^2)^2 = 16q^4 \leq q^{12}$ , thus  $p^2 \leq n_p(G)$ . We now assume e = 2 then

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^4(q^2 - 1)^2}{4}$$

by the formula (4) and  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_4(q))^2 \leq (4q^{\phi(4)})^2 = 16q^4$ . It is not difficult to get that  $n_p(G) \geq p^2$  if  $q \geq 3$ . We are left to examine the case q = 2, meaning that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $2^4 - 1$ , and thus p = 5 and  $n_p(G) = 36$ . Therefore,  $n_p(G) > 25 = p^2$ . Finally, if e = 1, then n = 1 since  $n < 2e \leq 2n$ , thus  $S \cong PSp_2(q) \cong PSL_2(q)$ , which has been considered in the case of linear groups.

We next suppose that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ , thus e is odd by the minimality of e. Observe that e = e/(e, 2). Using [12, Theorem 2.6] again, we obtain

$$n_p(G) = \frac{q^{e^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e-2} - 1)(q^{2e} - 1)}{2e(q^e - 1)}$$
$$= \frac{q^{e^2}(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e-2} - 1)(q^e + 1)}{2e}.$$

If  $e \ge 3$  then  $q^e + 1 \ge q^e - 1 \ge 2e$ , so that

$$n_p(G) \ge q^{e^2}(q^2-1)(q^4-1) \ge (q^2+1)(q+1)^2 q^{e^2} \ge 16q^{2e-2}.$$

Obviously,  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_e(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(e)})^2 \leq 16q^{2e-2}$ . Thus  $n_p(G) \geq p^2$ . Since *e* is odd, thus e = 1 and then n = 1. In this case  $S \cong PSp_2(q) \cong PSL_2(q)$ , which has been considered before.

**Proposition 3.7.** If  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where  $q = r^f$  is odd and  $n \ge 2$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

Proof. Suppose  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  where  $q = r^f$  is odd, then  $|P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)| = |PSp_{2n}(q)|$ . If p = 2, then n = 1 since  $P \cong C_2$ , a contradiction. If  $p \neq 2$  then, by [20, Corollary 2.2],  $|N_{P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)}(P_1)| = |N_{PSp_{2n}(q)}(P_2)|$  where  $P_1, P_2$  are Sylow *p*-subgroups of  $P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)$  and  $PSp_{2n}(q)$ , respectively. Thus  $n_p(P\Omega_{2n+1}(q)) = n_p(PSp_{2n}(q)) \ge p^2$  by Proposition 3.6, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.8.** Assume  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ , where  $q = r^f$  and  $n \ge 3$ . Then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n}^+(q)$ , then

$$|S| = \frac{q^{n(n-1)}(q^n-1)(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2n-2}-1)}{(4,q^n-1)}$$

It is not difficult to check that (p, 2r) = 1 by Lemma 2.6. Since  $\Omega_{2n}^+(q) \leq \operatorname{GO}_{2n}^+(q)$ and p does not divide  $|\operatorname{GO}_{2n}^+(q) : \Omega_{2n}^+(q)|$ ,  $n_p(\operatorname{GO}_{2n}^+(q)) = n_p(S) < p^2$  with the help of Lemma 2.5. Let  $G := \operatorname{GO}_{2n}^+(q)$ . Then

$$|G| = 2q^{n(n-1)}(q^n - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2n-2} - 1).$$

Set

$$e = \min\{k \ge 1 | q^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\}.$$

Since |G:S| is not divisible by p, the Sylow p-subgroup Q of G is also a cyclic subgroup of order p by Lemma 2.6. Therefore,  $n-1 < 2e \leq 2n-2$  and p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$  or  $q^{2e} - 1$  by Lemma 2.3.

Assume that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e}-1$ . In the light of [16, Theorem 3.12], G has a maximal subgroup of type  $\operatorname{GO}_{2k}^+(q) \times \operatorname{GO}_{2m}^+(q)$ , with k+m=n and 0 < k < n. If e < n-1, then G has a subgroup H isomorphic to  $\operatorname{GO}_{2(e+1)}^+(q)$ . Obviously, the Sylow p-subgroup of H is not trivial because

$$|H| = 2q^{(e+1)e}(q^{e+1} - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e} - 1)$$

and  $p \mid q^{2e} - 1$ . Note that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e} - 1$  and  $n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) = n_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2(e+1)}(q))$ . Let  $S_1$  denote  $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2(e+1)}(q)$  and  $Q_1$  denote its Sylow p-subgroup. In the light of [20, Lemma 2.4] we have

$$|N_{S_1}(Q_1)| = 2e(q^e + 1)(q + 1)/(q^{e+1} - 1, 4),$$

so that

(5) 
$$n_p(S_1) = \frac{q^{(e+1)e}(q^{e+1}-1)(q-1)(q^4-1)(q^6-1)\cdots(q^{2e-2}-1)(q^e-1)}{2e}.$$

Recall that  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_{2e}(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(2e)})^2 \leq 16q^{4e-2}$ . Let  $e \geq 4$ , we have  $q^{(e+1)e}(q^{e+1}-1)(q^4-1) \geq (q^{e+1}-1)q^{e^2+e+3} \geq 16q^{4e-2}$  and  $q^e-1 \geq 2e$ . Thus

$$n_p(S_1) \ge q^{(e+1)e}(q^{e+1}-1)(q^4-1) \ge 16q^{4e-2} \ge p^2,$$

therefore,  $n_p(S) = n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) = n_p(S_1) > p^2$ , we get a contradiction. Next if e = 3 then

$$n_p(S_1) = q^{12}(q^4 - 1)(q - 1)(q^4 - 1)(q^3 - 1)/6$$

and if e = 2 then

$$n_p(S_1) = q^6(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)/4$$

by the formula (5). By  $p^2 \leq (\Phi_{2e}(q))^2 < (4q^{\phi(2e)})^2$ , it is easy to check that  $n_p(S_1) \geq p^2$  unless e = q = 2, in which case p = 5 and  $n_p(S_1) = 336 > 5^2$  (since p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^4 - 1$ ). Hence  $n_p(G) \geq n_p(H) = n_p(S_1) \geq p^2$ , a contradiction. Finally let e = 1, then from  $n - 1 < 2e \leq 2n - 2$  deduce that n = 2, this contracts the assumption. Next if e = n - 1, that is p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2(e-1)} - 1$ . We can use [20, Lemma 2.4] again to calculate  $n_p(S)$  directly. We have

$$N_S(P)| = 2(q^{n-1}+1)(q+1)(n-1)/(4,q^n-1),$$

and hence

(6) 
$$n_p(S) = \frac{q^{n(n-1)}(q^n-1)(q-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2n-4}-1)(q^{n-1}-1)}{2(n-1)}.$$

If  $n \ge 5$ , then  $q^{n-1} - 1 \ge 2(n-1)$ , so that

$$n_p(S) \ge q^{n(n-1)}(q^n-1) \ge 16q^{4n-4} \ge (4q^{\phi(2n-2)})^2 > (\Phi_{2n-2}(q))^2 \ge p^2$$

a contradiction. Similarly to the previous case, if n = 4 then

$$n_p(S) = q^{12}(q^4 - 1)(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)(q^4 - 1)/6$$

and if n = 3 then

$$n_p(S) = q^6(q^3 - 1)(q - 1)(q^2 - 1)/4$$

by the quality (6). Using [13, Lemma 2.1(f)], we have  $p^2 < 16q^4$ , this implies that  $n_p(S) > p^2$  except for (n,q) = (3,2). Suppose (n,q) = (3,2), then p is a primitive prime divisor of  $2^4 - 1$ , implying that p = 5 and  $n_p(S) = 336 > p^2$ , a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ . Then, by the minimality of e, e is odd. Moreover, if p divides  $q^n - 1$ , then n must be odd because the Sylow p-subgroup of G is a cyclic group of order p and n < 2n - 2. Thus, in this case, p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^n - 1$ . Therefore,  $e \leq n$ . [16, Theorem 3.12] implies that G admits a subgroup H of type  $\operatorname{GL}_n(q)$ . Note that

$$|H| = q^{n(n-1)/2}(q-1)(q^2-1)(q^3-1)\cdots(q^n-1)$$

and  $p \mid q^e - 1$ , where  $e \leq n$ , thus the Sylow *p*-subgroup of G is contained in H. In particular,

$$n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) \ge n_p(\mathrm{SL}_n(q)) = n_p(\mathrm{PSL}_n(q)).$$

By Proposition 3.4, we can get  $n_p(G) \ge p^2$  unless (n, p, q) = (3, 13, 3) and n = 2, namely  $G = \operatorname{GO}_6^+(3)$  and  $S = \operatorname{PO}_6^+(3) \cong \operatorname{PSL}_4(3)$  (Since  $n \ge 3$ ). In the light of Proposition 3.4,  $n_{13}(S) \ge 13^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.9.** Assume  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n}(q)$ , where  $q = r^f$  and  $n \ge 2$ . Then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong P\Omega_{2n}^{-}(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = \frac{q^{n(n-1)}(q^n+1)(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2n-2}-1)}{(4,q^n+1)}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we can get that p is coprime to 2r, that is p is an odd integer. Similarly as Proposition 3.8,

$$n_p(\mathbf{P}\Omega_{2n}^-(q)) = n_p(\Omega_{2n}^-(q)) = n_p(\mathbf{G}O_{2n}^-(q)) < p^2$$

because  $|\mathrm{GO}_{2n}^-(q) : \Omega_{2n}^-(q)|$  is not divisible by p. Now we are concerned with studying  $n_p(\mathrm{GO}_{2n}^-(q))$ . Let  $G := \mathrm{GO}_{2n}^-(q)$ , then

$$|G| = 2q^{n(n-1)}(q^n+1)(q^2-1)(q^4-1)\cdots(q^{2n-2}-1).$$

In particular, the Sylow *p*-subgroup Q of G is also isomorphic to  $C_p$  because p does not divide  $|\text{GO}_{2n}^-(q):S|$ . Set

$$e = \min\{k \ge 1 | q^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}\},\$$

Thus  $n-1 < 2e \leq 2n-2$  by Lemma 2.6, and p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$  or  $q^{2e} - 1$  with the help of Lemma 2.3. Let e = 1, then, n = 2 since  $n-1 < 2e \leq 2n-2$ . Thus,  $G \cong \operatorname{GO}_4^-(q)$  and  $S \cong \operatorname{PO}_4^-(q) \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(q^2)$ . Moreover,  $p|(q^2-1)$ . By Proposition 3.4 we have  $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(p-1)$  or  $S \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(p+1)$ . Thus  $q^2 = p-1$  or  $q^2 = p+1$ . Since  $q^2-1$  is divisible by p and (p,2r) = 1,  $p = q^2 - 1$ , thus q = 2 and p = 3, and hence  $S \cong \operatorname{PO}_4^-(2) \cong \operatorname{PSL}_2(4)$ . By Proposition 3.4 we have  $n_3(S) > p^2$ , a contradiction. In the rest of the proof we will assume that  $e \geq 2$ .

Assume p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^e - 1$ , then  $e \leq n - 1$  and e is odd by the minimality of e, so that  $e \geq 3$ . [16, Theorem 3.11] shows that G has a maximal subgroup of type  $\operatorname{GO}_{2k}^+(q) \times \operatorname{GO}_{2m}^-(q)$ , with k + m = n and 0 < k < n. Thus, we can consider the subgroup H of type  $\operatorname{GO}_{2e}^+(q)$ . Note that  $p|(q^e - 1)$  and

$$|H| = 2q^{e(e-1)}(q^e - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e-2} - 1),$$

so the Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G* is contained in *H*. Moreover,  $n_p(G) \ge n_p(H)$ . By Proposition 3.8,  $n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) = n_p(P\Omega_{2e}^+(q)) \ge p^2$  since  $e \ge 3$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose that p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2e} - 1$ . Then  $e \leq n - 1$ . Assume e < n - 1, we consider the subgroup H of type  $\operatorname{GO}_{2(e+1)}^+(q)$ . Note that  $p|(q^{2e}-1)$  and

$$|H| = 2q^{(e+1)e}(q^{e+1} - 1)(q^2 - 1)(q^4 - 1)\cdots(q^{2e} - 1).$$

Thus H contains the Sylow p-subgroup of G. In particular,  $e + 1 \ge 3$ . By using Proposition 3.8,

$$n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) = n_p(\mathrm{P}\Omega^+_{2(e+1)}(q)) \ge p^2$$

(Since p does not divide  $|H : \Omega_{2(e+1)}^+(q)|$ , we have  $n_p(H) = n_p(P\Omega_{2(e+1)}^+(q)))$ , a contradiction. Suppose that e = n - 1, that is, p is a primitive prime divisor of  $q^{2n-2}-1$ , so that  $p|(q^{n-1}+1)$ . Assume q is odd. By using [16, Theorem 3.11] G has a maximal subgroup M of type  $[q^{2(n-1)}].(C_{q-1} \times \operatorname{GO}_{2(n-1)}^-(q))$ . Since  $Q \cong C_p$  and p||M| (Recall that  $Q \in Syl_p(G)$ ), any Sylow p-subgroup of M is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. For convenience, we write

$$H := [q^{2(n-1)}], \quad K := C_{q-1} \times \operatorname{GO}_{2(n-1)}^{-}(q)$$

and  $T \in Syl_p(M)$ . We claim that  $H \cap N_M(T) = 1$ . If this was not the case, then  $N := H \cap N_M(T)$  would not be a trivial *r*-group, and  $N \leq N_M(T)$  since  $H \leq M$ . We deduce that  $T \leq N_M(T) \leq N_M(N)$ . Thus the commutator of T and N would be trivial because (|N|, |T|) = 1 (Since  $N^T = N$ ,  $T^N = T$  and (|N|, |T|) = 1).

Therefore M would have an element of order rp, contradicting [19, Table 4], which shows that M does not have an element of order rp. Hence  $H \cap N_M(T) = 1$  and  $N_M(T) \leq K$ . It follows that

$$n_p(M) = |M: N_M(T)| \ge |M: K| = q^{2(n-1)}$$

Recall that  $p \mid q^{n-1} + 1$ , we write  $kp = q^{n-1} + 1$  for some positive integer k. Since both p and q are odd numbers,  $k \ge 2$ . Hence

$$p^{2} = \frac{q^{2n-2} + 2q^{n-1} + 1}{k^{2}} \leqslant \frac{3q^{2n-2}}{k^{2}} \leqslant q^{2(n-1)} \leqslant n_{p}(M).$$

Therefore,  $n_p(G) \ge n_p(M) \ge p^2$ , a contradiction. Assume q is even, i.e.,  $q = 2^f$ . By [16, Theorem 3.11], G has a maximal subgroup of type  $\operatorname{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(q)$ . Obviously,  $Q \le M$  and

$$n_p(G) \ge n_p(\operatorname{Sp}_{2(n-1)}(q)) = n_p(\operatorname{PSp}_{2(n-1)}(q)) > p^2$$

unless n = 2 by Proposition 3.6. Finally, let n = 2, then  $G \cong \text{GO}_4^-(q)$  and  $S \cong P\Omega_4^-(q) \cong \text{PSL}_2(q^2)$ . By Proposition 3.4,  $q^2 = p - 1$  or  $q^2 = p + 1$ , this implies that  $p = q^2 + 1$  or  $p = q^2 - 1$ , contradicting the fact that p|(q+1).

Finally, we suppose that  $p \mid q^n + 1$ . If n is odd, then G has a subgroup  $H := \operatorname{GU}_n(q)$  by [16, Theorem 3.11]. Obviously, H contains the Sylow p-subgroup of G and

$$n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) \ge n_p(\mathrm{PSU}_n(q)) \ge p^2$$

by Proposition 3.5, a contradiction. Assume n is even. Write  $n = 2^a \cdot d$  with d odd. [16, Theorem 3.11] implies that G has a subgroup H isomorphic to  $\operatorname{GU}_d(q^{2^a})$ . It is easy to check that the Sylow p-subgroup of H is not trivial and  $n_p(G) \ge n_p(H)$ . If  $d \ge 3$ , then by Proposition 3.5,

$$n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) \ge n_p(\mathrm{PSU}_d(q^{2^a})) \ge p^2,$$

a contradiction. Let d = 1, that is  $G \cong \operatorname{GO}_{2\cdot 2^a}^{-}(q)$  and  $p|(q^{2^a} + 1)$ . Similarly as above, G has a subgroup H of type  $\operatorname{GO}_{2\cdot 2}^{-}(q^{2^{a-1}})$ . Note that

$$n_p(S) = n_p(G) \ge n_p(H) = n_p(\Omega_4^-(q^{2^{a-1}})) = n_p(\text{PSL}_2(q^{2^a})).$$

Since  $n_p(S) < p^2$ , by Proposition 3.4,  $q^{2^a} + 1 = p$  is a Fermat prime number, so that q is even. On the other hand, G also has a subgroup M of type  $\operatorname{GO}_8^-(u)$  where  $u = q^{2^{a-2}}$  and it is easy to see that P is contained in the simple group  $A := \operatorname{PO}_8^-(u)$ . Moreover,  $p = u^4 + 1$ . Since  $n_p(S) = n_p(G) \ge n_p(M) = n_p(A)$  and every maximal torus of A has order

$$\frac{1}{(2,q^4+1)}(q^{n_1}-1)(q^{n_2}-1)\cdots(q^{n_k}-1)(q^{l_1}+1)(q^{l_2}+1)\cdots(q^{l_t}+1)$$

for appropriate partition  $4 = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k + l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_t$  of 4, where t is odd. This implies that P is a maximal torus of A and  $C_A(P) = P$ . Note that  $N_A(P)/C_A(P)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(P) \cong C_{u^4}$ , thus  $|N_A(P)| \leq u^4(u^4 + 1)$ . It follows that

$$n_p(A) = \frac{|A|}{|N_A(P)|} \ge \frac{u^{12}(u^4 + 1)(u^2 - 1)(u^4 - 1)(u^6 - 1)}{u^4(u^4 + 1)}$$
$$= u^8(u^2 - 1)(u^4 - 1)(u^6 - 1) > u^{17}.$$

Since  $p = u^4 + 1$ ,  $p^2 = (u^4 + 1)^2 \leq 3u^8 < u^{17}$ , it implies that  $n_p(A) > p^2$ . Therefore,  $n_p(S) \geq n_p(A) > p^2$ , a contradiction.

Next we will consider the exceptional group of Lie type.

**Proposition 3.10.** Let  $S \cong Sz(q)$  where  $q = 2^{2m+1} \ge 8$ . Then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong Sz(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = q^2(q-1)(q-\sqrt{2q}+1)(q+\sqrt{2q}+1).$$

Since  $P \cong C_p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . Assume  $p \mid (q-1)$ . By [15, Table 8.16] S has a maximal subgroup M of type  $D_{2(q-1)}$ . Obviously,  $P \leq C_{q-1} \leq D_{2(q-1)}$ . Thus

$$n_p(S) = \frac{|S|}{|M|} = \frac{q^2(q^2+1)}{2}$$

with the help of Lemma 2.7. Note that  $p^2 \leq (q-1)^2$  and  $q \geq 8$ . Therefore  $n_p(S) = \frac{q^2(q^2+1)}{2} \geq p^2$ , a contradiction. Suppose  $p \mid (q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)$ , then

$$n_p(S) = \frac{q^2(q-1)(q^2 + \sqrt{2q} + 1)}{4} \ge q^2(q + \sqrt{2q} + 1)$$

by [15, Table 8.16] and Lemma 2.7. Since  $p \mid (q - \sqrt{2q} + 1), p^2 \leq (q - \sqrt{2q} + 1)^2 \leq (q+1)^2 \leq 4q^2$ . Implying that  $n_p(S) \geq q^2(q + \sqrt{2q} + 1) \geq 4q^2 \geq p^2$  since  $q \geq 8$ , it is impossible. Finally, we assume that  $p \mid (q + \sqrt{2q} + 1)$ . Then  $p^2 \leq (q + \sqrt{2q} + 1)^2 \leq 5q^2$ . Similarly as above,

$$n_p(S) = \frac{q^2(q-1)(q-\sqrt{2q}+1)}{4} = \frac{q^2((q-\sqrt{2q})q+(\sqrt{2q}-1))}{4} \ge q^3$$

because  $q - \sqrt{2q} \ge 4$ , it follows that  $n_p(S) \ge q^3 \ge 5q^2 \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.11.** Assume  $S \cong G_2(q)$  where  $q \ge 3$ . Then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong G_2(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = q^{6}(q-1)^{2}(q+1)^{2}(q^{2}+q+1)(q^{2}-q+1).$$

Since  $P \cong C_p$ , p is coprime to  $q(q^2 - 1)$ . Thus,  $p \mid (q^2 + q + 1)$  or  $p \mid (q^2 - q + 1)$ . By [15, Tables 8.30, 8.41 and 8.42] S has subgroups  $SU_3(q)$  and  $SL_3(q)$ . Assume p divides  $q^2 + q + 1$ , then the Sylow p-subgroup P of S is contained in  $SL_3(q)$ , so that  $n_p(S) \ge n_p(SL_3(q)) = n_p(PSL_3(q)) \ge p^2$  unless  $S \cong G_2(3)$  by [6, Lemma 2.2] and Proposition 3.4. Suppose  $S \cong G_2(3)$ , then p = 13. Note that S is simple, so P is not normal in G, implying that there exists a maximal subgroup M of S such that  $N_S(P) \le M$ , thus  $n_p(S) = |S : N_S(P)| \ge |S : M|$ . By applying [10],  $n_p(S) \ge |S : M| > 13^2$ , it is impossible. Suppose p divides  $q^2 - q + 1$ . Then  $P \le SU_3(q)$  and  $n_p(S) \ge n_p(SU_3(q)) = n_p(PSU_3(q)) \ge p^2$  with the help of [6, Lemma 2.2] and Proposition 3.5, a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.12.** If  $S \cong {}^{2}G_{2}(q)$  where  $q = 3^{2m+1} \ge 27$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_{p}(S) < p^{2}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $S \cong {}^{2}G_{2}(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = q^{3}(q+1)(q-1)(q-\sqrt{3q}+1)(q+\sqrt{3q}+1).$$

We first suppose that p divides q+1. By [15, Table 8.43], S has a maximal subgroup H of type  $(2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}})$ : 3. Since |S:H| is not divisible by p, the Sylow p-subgroup P of S is contained in H. Moreover,  $P \leq D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ . Clearly, P is normal in  $D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ , so P is also normal in  $K := 2^2 \times D_{\frac{q+1}{2}}$ . This implies that  $K = N_K(P) \leq N_S(P) < S$ . Thus  $N_S(P) = K$  or H, and

$$n_p(S) = |S: N_S(P)| \ge |S:H| = \frac{q^3(q^2 - q + 1)(q - 1)}{6}$$

Since  $q \ge 27$ ,  $n_p(S) > q^3 \ge 3q^2 \ge (q+1)^2 \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.

If  $p \mid q-1$ . Applying [15, Table 8.43] again, S has a maximal subgroup M isomorphic to  $[q^3]: C_{q-1}$ . Let Q be a Sylow p-subgroup of M. Then  $N_M(Q) = C_{q-1}$  by using [19, Table 5], thus  $n_p(M) = |M : N_M(Q) = |M : C_{q-1}| = q^3$ . Since  $n_p(S) \ge n_p(M)$  and  $q^3 > (q-1)^2 \ge p^2$ ,  $n_p(S) \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.

We now suppose that  $p \mid q - \sqrt{3q} + 1$ . By using [15, Table 8.43] again, S has a maximal subgroup M of type  $C_{q-\sqrt{3q}+1}$ :  $C_6$ . In the light of Lemma 2.7,  $N_S(P) = M$ . Therefore,

$$n_p(S) = |S:M| = \frac{q^3(q^2 - 1)(q + \sqrt{3q} + 1)}{6} \ge q^4.$$

Note that  $p^2 \leq (q - \sqrt{3q} + 1)^2 \leq 4q^2 < q^4$ , so  $n_p(S) \geq p^2$ . Finally, we assume that  $p \mid q + \sqrt{3q} + 1$ . Similarly as above, we have

$$n_p(S) = \frac{q^3(q^2 - 1)(q - \sqrt{3q} + 1)}{6} \ge q^4.$$

Observe that  $p^2 \leq (q + \sqrt{3q} + 1)^2 \leq 9q^2$  since  $q \geq 27$ . Thus  $n_p(S) \geq q^4 \geq 9q^2 \geq p^2$ . These cases are impossible.

**Proposition 3.13.** If  $S \cong {}^{3}D_{4}(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_{p}(S) < p^{2}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $S \cong {}^{3}D_{4}(q)$ , then

$$S| = q^{12}(q^2 + q + 1)^2(q^2 - q + 1)^2(q^4 - q^2 + 1)(q + 1)^2(q - 1)^2.$$

Since the Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *S* is isomorphic to  $C_p$ , *p* divides  $q^4 - q^2 + 1$ . By [15, Table 8.51], *S* has a maximal subgroup *M* of type  $C_{q^4-q^2+1}.C_4$ . In the light of Lemma 2.7 we have

$$n_p(S) = |S:M| = \frac{q^{12}(q^2 + q + 1)^2(q^2 - q + 1)^2(q + 1)^2(q - 1)^2}{4} \ge 8q^8.$$
  
Clearly,  $p^2 \le (q^4 - q^2 + 1)^2 \le 8q^8$ , so  $n_p(S) \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.14.** If  $S \cong F_4(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $S \cong F_4(q)$ , then

$$|S| = q^{24}(q^6 - 1)^2(q^2 - 1)^2(q^2 + 1)^2(q^4 + 1)(q^4 - q^2 + 1).$$

Since the Sylow *p*-subgroup *P* of *S* is isomorphic to  $C_p$ , either  $p \mid q^4 + 1$  or  $p \mid q^4 - q^2 + 1$  by Lemma 2.6, so that  $p^2 \leq (q^4 + 1)^2 \leq 3q^8$ . Since  $N_S(P) < S$ , there exists a maximal subgroup *M* of *S* such that  $N_S(P) \leq M$ . Therefore,  $n_p(S) = |S: N_S(P)| \geq |S: M|$ , which is not less than the degree of minimal permutation representation of  $F_4(q)$ . In the light of [22, Theorem 2],

$$n_p(S) \ge \frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^4 + 1)}{(q - 1)} \ge q^{15} > 3q^8.$$

Thus  $n_p(S) \ge p^2$ , a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.15.** If  $S \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(q)$  where  $q = 2^{2m+1} \ge 8$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_{p}(S) < p^{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong {}^{2}F_{4}(q)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} |S| &= q^{12}(q^4-1)^2(q^2-q+1)(q^2+q+1+\sqrt{2q}(q+1))(q^2+q+1-\sqrt{2q}(q+1)).\\ \text{Since } P \cong C_p, \ p \text{ is a divisor of one of the following numbers: } q^2+q+1+\sqrt{2q}(q+1),\\ q^2+q+1-\sqrt{2q}(q+1) \text{ or } q^2-q-1. \text{ This implies that } p^2 \leqslant (q^2+q+1+\sqrt{2q}(q+1))^2 \leqslant 25q^4. \text{ On the other hand, since } S \text{ is a simple group, } N_S(P) \text{ must be contained in one of maximal subgroups of } S. \text{ Therefore, } |S:N_S(P)| \text{ is not less than the degree of minimal permutation representation of } ^2F_4(q). \text{ By using } [23, \text{ Theorem 5}], \end{split}$$

$$n_p(S) = |S: N_S(P)| \ge (q^6 + 1)(q^3 + 1)(q + 1) > q^{10} > 25q^4 \ge p^2,$$

a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.16.** If  $S \cong E_6(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong E_6(q)$ . Then  $|S| = \frac{q^{36}(q^{12}-1)(q^9-1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5-1)(q^2-1)}{(3,q-1)}.$ 

Obviously, p does not divide q-1 since the Sylow p-subgroup P of S is isomorphic to  $C_p$ , that is  $p \nmid (3, q-1)$ . We first assume that  $p|(q^9-1)$ . By using [24, Table 9] S has a subgroup H of type  $PSL_3(q^3)$ , thus  $n_p(S) \ge n_p(H) \ge p^2$  by Proposition 3.4, it is impossible. Suppose that p is a prime divisor of  $(q^{12}-1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5-1)(q^2-1)$ , then  $p^2 \le (q^6+1)^2 < 3q^{12}$ . On the other hand,  $n_p(S)$  is not less than the degree of the minimal permutation representation of  $E_6(q)$  since  $N_S(P) < S$ . With the help of [25, Theorem 1] we have

$$n_p(S) \geqslant \frac{(q^9-1)(q^8+q^4+1)}{q-1} > q^{16} > 3q^{12} > p^2,$$

which is a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.17.** If  $S \cong {}^{2}E_{6}(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_{p}(S) < p^{2}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong {}^{2}E_{6}(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = \frac{q^{36}(q^{12}-1)(q^9+1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5+1)(q^2-1)}{(3,q+1)}$$

Observe that p does not divide (3, q+1). We first suppose that p divides  $q^9 - 1$ . By [24, Table 10] S has a subgroup H of type  $PSU_3(q^3)$ , so that  $n_p(S) \ge n_p(H) \ge p^2$  with the help of Proposition 3.5, a contradiction. We next suppose that p divides  $(q^{12}-1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5+1)(q^2-1)$ , then  $p^2 \le (q^6+1)^2 \le 3q^{12}$ . Similarly as above,  $n_p(S)$  is not less than the smallest index of a maximal subgroup of S. In the light of [23, Theorem 4]

$$n_p(S) \ge \frac{(q^{12} - 1)(q^6 - q^3 + 1)(q^4 + 1)}{q - 1} > q^{15} > 3q^{12} \ge p^2,$$
  
tion.   

a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.18.** If  $S \cong E_7(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S \cong E_7(q)$ . Then

$$|S| = q^{63}(q^{18} - 1)(q^{14} - 1)(q^{12} - 1)(q^{10} - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1).$$

Since p is a prime divisor of |S|,  $p^2 \leq (q^9+1)^2 < 3q^{18}$ . S is a simple group, so  $N_S(P)$  must be contained in one maximal subgroups of S. Therefore,  $n_p(S) = |S : N_P(S)|$  is not less than the smallest index of a maximal subgroup of S. By [25, Theorem 2],

$$n_p(S) \geqslant \frac{(q^{14} - 1)(q^9 + 1)(q^5 + 1)}{(q - 1)} \geqslant q^{27} > 3q^{18} > p^2,$$
  
attradiction.

which is a contradiction.

**Proposition 3.19.** If  $S \cong E_8(q)$ , then there does not exist  $p \in \pi(S)$  such that  $n_p(S) < p^2$ .

# *Proof.* If $S \cong E_8(q)$ , then

$$|S| = q^{120}(q^{30} - 1)(q^{24} - 1)(q^{20} - 1)(q^{18} - 1)(q^{14} - 1)(q^{12} - 1)(q^8 - 1)(q^2 - 1).$$

Clearly,  $p^2 \leq (q^{15} + 1)^2 < 3q^{30}$  and  $n_p(S)$  is not less than the smallest index of a maximal subgroup of S. By using [25, Theorem 3]

$$n_p(S) \ge \frac{(q^{20} - 1)(q^{12} + 1)(q^{10} + 1)(q^6 + 1)}{(q - 1)} > q^{47} > 3q^{30} > p^2,$$
  
liction.

a contradiction.

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1 by the following theorem due to M. Hall.

**Theorem 4.1** (Theorem 2.2 of [1]). The number  $n_p(G)$  of Sylow p-subgroups in a finite group G is the product of factors of the following two kinds:

(1) the number  $s_p$  of Sylow p-subgroups in a simple group X;

(2) a prime power  $q^t$  where  $q^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Let G be a finite group with  $n_p(G) < p^2$ . Assume that  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of prime. In order to prove Theorem 1.1, it is enough to prove that  $n_p(G) = n_p(S)$  for some simple group S with  $n_p(S) < p^2$ . By Theorem 4.1 we have

$$n_p(G) = q_1^{t_1} q_2^{t_2} \cdots q_m^{t_m} \cdot n_p(S_1) n_p(S_2) \cdots n_p(S_n)$$

where  $S_i$  is a simple group for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $q_j^{t_j} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . Obviously, if  $q_j^{t_j}$  and  $n_p(S_i)$  are not equal to 1 then they are greater than p, for any i, j. Since  $n_p(G) < p^2$  is not a power of prime,  $q_1^{t_1} \cdots q_m^{t_m} = 1$  and there exists at most one index i such that  $n_p(S_i) \neq 1$ . Therefore  $n_p(G) = n_p(S_i)$  where  $S_i$  is a simple group with  $n_p(S_i) < p^2$ .

Conversely, a power of a prime r which is congruent to 1 mod p can become a Sylow p-number. In fact it is one of a Frobenius group with the complement of the cyclic group of order p and the kernel of elementary abelian r-group.

## 5. The proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.2. Recall that, if G is a p-solvable group, meaning that it admits a series of normal subgroups  $1 = V_0 < \ldots < V_n = G$  such that each factor  $V_{i+1}/V_i$  is either a p-group or a p'-group. It is easy to see that normal subgroups and the quotient groups of p-solvable group are also p-solvable.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $a = 1 + r_1 p$ ,  $b = 1 + r_2 p < p^2$  where  $r_1, r_2$  are integers. If a|b, then a = 1 or a = b.

*Proof.* We assume that  $a \neq 1$ , that is  $r_1 \neq 0$ . Since a divides b, we can write  $1 + r_2p = d(1 + r_1p)$  where d is an integer. Thus  $r_2 = \frac{d-1}{p} + dr_1$ . Note that  $r_2$ ,  $dr_1$  are integers, so  $\frac{d-1}{p}$  is also an integer, this implies that d = 1 or d > p. If d > p, then  $1 + r_2p = d(1 + r_1p) > p^2$ , a contradiction. Therefore d = 1.

First, we prove that if  $n_p(G)$  is a power of a prime then G is p-solvable. To prove our result, we can prove that if G is not a p-solvable group, then  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime. Since G is not a p-solvable group, G is nonsolvable. Therefore, Ghas a nonsolvable chief factor  $S^m$  such that p divides  $|S|^m$  where S is a nonabelian simple group and  $m \ge 1$ . Obviously,  $n_p(S) \ne 1$ . In the light of Lemma 2.4 we have  $n_p(S)$  divides  $n_p(G)$ . Moreover, we can get  $n_p(S) = n_p(G)$  by Lemma 5.1. On the other hand,  $n_p(G) < p^2$ , thus  $n_p(S)$  satisfies one of items (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1. If  $n_p(S) = 1 + p = q^a$  for some prime q and integer a, then q = 2, ais a prime number, that is  $p = 2^a - 1$  is a Mersenne prime number, a contradiction. If  $n_p(G) = 1 + \frac{(p-3)p}{2} = q^a$  where p is a Fermat prime number, q is prime and a is an integer, then  $p^2 - 3p + 2 = 2q^a$ . By Lemma 2.2, we have p = 3, contradicting p > 3. Therefore,  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime. This proves that if G is not a p-solvable group then  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime.

Conversely, let G be a p-solvable group. We assume that G is a minimal order such that  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime. Write  $n_p(G) = q_1^{t_1} q_2^{t_2} \cdots q_k^{t_k}$  is the prime factorization of  $n_p(G)$ . We claim that G is nonsolvable. If this were not the case,

18

then  $q_i^{t_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  for any  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  (see [26]). By our assumption that  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime,  $n_p(G)$  has at least two prime divisors. This implies that  $n_p(G) > p^2$ , a contradiction. It is not difficult to see that G is not simple because otherwise  $p \notin \pi(G)$ , so that  $n_p(G) = 1$ , a contradiction.

Let M be a maximal normal subgroup of G, then either G/M is a cyclic group of order p or  $G/M \cong S$  where p does not divide |S|. Thus  $n_p(G/M) = 1$ . By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$n_p(G) = n_p(G/M)n_p(M)n_p(N_{PM}(P \cap M)/P \cap M),$$

where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Observe that  $n_p(G)$  is divisible by  $n_p(M)$ , so  $n_p(M) = 1$  or  $n_p(M) = n_p(G)$  with the help of Lemma 5.1. Since M is a p-solvable group and |M| < |G|, by the minimality of G,  $n_p(M) = 1$ . Thus

$$n_p(G) = n_p(N_{PM}(P \cap M)/P \cap M).$$

Assume p does divide |G/M|, then  $P \leq M$ . Moreover,  $P \leq M$ . Thus

$$N_{PM}(P \cap M)/P \cap M = N_M(P)/P = M/P,$$

this implies that  $p \notin \pi(M/P)$ , thus  $n_p(G) = n_p(M/P) = 1$ , contradicting the fact that  $n_p(G)$  is not a power of a prime.

Assume  $G/M \cong C_p$ , then  $P \cap M$  is a Sylow *p*-subgroup of M. Since  $n_p(M) = 1$ ,  $P \cap M \trianglelefteq M$ . Obviously,  $P \cap M \trianglelefteq P$  because M is normal in G, thus  $N_{PM}(P \cap M) = PM$ . Therefore

$$N_{PM}(P \cap M)/P \cap M = PM/P \cap M.$$

Now we have a normal series

$$P \cap M \trianglelefteq M \trianglelefteq PM,$$

thus  $M/P \cap M \trianglelefteq PM/P \cap M$ . Since p does not divides  $|M/M \cap P|$ , the Sylow p-subgroup of  $PM/M \cap P$  is  $P/M \cap P$ , which is isomorphic to  $C_p$  because  $P/M \cap P \cong MP/M = G/M$ . Note that

$$PM/P \cap M \cong (M/M \cap P)(P/M \cap P) \cong (M/M \cap P)C_p$$

and p does not divide  $M/(M \cap P)$ , thus  $PM/M \cap P$  is a p-solvable group. Recall that  $n_p(G) = n_p(PM/M \cap P)$ , the minimality of G implies that  $|PM/M \cap P| = |G|$ . Therefore G = MP and  $P \cap M = 1$ , that is

$$G \cong M \rtimes P \cong M \rtimes C_p.$$

Observe that (|M|, |P|) = 1, so the action of P on M is coprime. For any  $r \in \pi(M)$ , there exists a P-invariant Sylow r-subgroup R of M by [21, 8.2.3(a)], this implies that  $RP \leq G$ . In the light of [3, Theorem A],  $n_p(RP)$  divides  $n_p(G)$ , thus  $n_p(RP) = 1$  or  $n_p(RP) = n_p(G)$  with the help of Lemma 5.1. Assume  $n_p(G) = n_p(RP)$ . Since RP is a p-solvable group, by the minimality of G we can get RP = G, contradicting the nonsolvability of G. Hence  $n_p(RP) = 1$ , implying that  $RP = R \times P$ . Since

$$M = \prod_{r \in \pi(M)} R,$$

 $G = M \times P$ , and hence  $n_p(G) = 1$ , a contradiction.

#### References

- M. Hall. On the number of Sylow subgroups in a finite group. Journal of Algebra, 7(3):363-371, 1967.
- [2] J. P. Zhang. Sylow numbers of finite groups. Journal of Algebra, 176(1):111-123, 1995.
- [3] G. Navarro. Number of Sylow subgroups in p-solvable groups. Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society, 131(10):3019-3020, 2003.
- [4] T. Li and Y. Liu. Mersenne primes and solvable Sylow numbers. Journal of Algebra and its Application, 15(9):1650163, 2016.
- [5] W. Guo and E. P. Vdovin. Number of Sylow subgroups in finite groups. Journal of Group Theory, 21(4):695-712, 2018.
- [6] Z. Wu. On the number of Sylow subgroups in finite simple groups. Journal of Algebra and Its Applications, 20(07):2150115, 2021.
- [7] K. Zsigmondy. Zur theorie der potenzreste. Monatshefte f ür Mathematik, 3:265-284, 1892.
- [8] I. M. Isaacs. Algebra: a graduate course, volume 100. American Mathematical Society, 2009.
- [9] R. Brauer and W. F. Reynolds. On a problem of E.Artin. Annals of Mathematics, 68(3):713-720, 1958.
- [10] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson. Atlas of finite groups. Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [11] L. Weisner. On the Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric and Alternating Groups. American Journal of Mathematics, 47(2):121-124, 1925.
- [12] F. Gross. Hall subgroups of order not divisible by 3. The Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 23(2):569–591, 1993.
- [13] S. P. Glasby, F. Lübeck, A. C. Niemeyer, and C. E. Praeger. Primitive prime divisors and the n-th cyclotomic polynomial. Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society, 102:122–135, 2017.
- [14] The GAP Group. GAP-Groups, Algorithms, and Programming. Version 4.7.8.
- [15] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt, and C. M. Roney-Dougal. The maximal subgroups of the lowdimensional finite classical groups, volume 407. Cambridge university press, 2013.
- [16] R. Wilson. The finite simple groups, volume 147. Springer, 2009.
- [17] R. W. Carter. Simple Groups of Lie Type. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972.
- [18] A. V. Vasil'ev. Normalizers of Sylow subgroups in finite linear and unitary groups. Algebra and Logic, 59(1):1–18, 2020.
- [19] A. V. Vasiliev and E. P. Vdovin. An adjacency criterion for the prime graph of a finite simple group. Algebra and Logic, 44(6):381–406, 2005.
- [20] N. Ahanjideh and A. Iranmanesh. On the Sylow normalizers of some simple classical groups. Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, 35(2):459-467, 2012.
- [21] H. Kurzweil and B. Stellmacher. The Theory of Finite Groups: An Introduction. Springer, 2004.
- [22] A. V. Vasilyev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional groups of types  $G_2$  and  $F_4$ . Algebra and Logic, 35(6):371-383, 1996.
- [23] A. V. Vasilyev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional twisted groups. Algebra and Logic, 37(1):9–20, 1998.
- [24] D. A. Craven. The maximal subgroups of the exceptional groups  $F_4(q)$ ,  $E_6(q)$  and  ${}^2E_6(q)$  and related almost simple groups. Inventiones Mathematicae, 234:637–719, 2023.
- [25] A. V. Vasilyev. Minimal permutation representations of finite simple exceptional groups of types E<sub>6</sub>, E<sub>7</sub>, and E<sub>8</sub>. Algebra and Logic, 36(5):302–310, 1997.
- [26] P. Hall. A note on soluble groups. Journal of the London Mathematical Society, s1-3(2):98–105, 1928.

XIAOFANG GAO. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO, DARCY RIBEIRO, BRASÍLIA-DF, 70910-900, BRAZIL.

 $Email \ address: \verb"gaoxiaofang2020@hotmail.com"$ 

IGOR LIMA. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA, CAMPUS UNIVERSITÁRIO, DARCY RIBEIRO, BRASÍLIA-DF, 70910-900, BRAZIL.

 $Email \ address: \verb"igor.matematico@gmail.com"$ 

Rulin Shen. Department of Mathematics, Hubei Minzu University, Enshi, Hubei Province, 445000, P. R. China.

 $Email \ address: \ {\tt shenrulin@hotmail.com}$