

STABILITY OF ADDITIVE-QUADRATIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION IN MODULAR SPACE

ABDERRAHMAN BAZA¹, MOHAMED ROSSAFI², AND CHOONKIL PARK^{3*}

ABSTRACT. Using the direct method, we prove the generalised Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional equation

$$\phi(x + y, z + w) + \phi(x - y, z - w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w) = 0 \quad (0.1)$$

in modular space satisfying the Fatou property or Δ_2 -condition.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Nakano [13] looked into the concept of modular linear spaces in 1950. Many authors, including Luxemburg [9], Amemiya [1], Musielak [12], Koshi [8], Mazur [11], Turpin [17], and Orlicz [14], have now thoroughly proved these hypotheses. There are numerous uses for Orlicz spaces [14] and the idea of interpolation [14] in the context of modular spaces. As suggested by Khamsi [7], several scholars used a fixed point approach of quasi-contractions to test stability in modular spaces without using the 2-condition. Recent results on the stability of various functional equations combining the Δ_2 -condition and the Fatou property were reported by Sadeghi [16]. Firstly, we review some vocabulary, notations, and common characteristics of the theory of Modulares and modular spaces.

Definition 1.1. Let Y be an arbitrary vector space. A functional $\rho : Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a modular if for arbitrary $u, v \in Y$;

- (1) $\rho(u) = 0$ if and only if $u = 0$.
- (2) $\rho(\alpha u) = \rho(u)$ for every scalar α with $|\alpha| = 1$.
- (3) $\rho(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \rho(u) + \rho(v)$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.
If (1) is replaced by:
- (4) $\rho(\alpha u + \beta v) \leq \alpha\rho(u) + \beta\rho(v)$ if and only if $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then we say that ρ is a convex modular.
- (5) It is said that the modular ρ has the Fatou property if and only if $\rho(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n)$ whenever $x = \rho - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82, Secondary 39B52.

Key words and phrases. Hyers-Ulam stability, radical cubic functional inequalities, modular sapaces, fuzzy Banach, Δ_2 -condition.

*Corresponding author.

A modular ρ defines a corresponding modular space, i.e., the vector space Y_ρ given by:

$$Y_\rho = \{u \in Y : \rho(\lambda u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}.$$

A function modular is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if there exist $\tau > 0$ such that $\rho(2u) \leq \tau\rho(u)$ for all $u \in Y_\rho$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\{u_n\}$ and u be in Y_ρ . Then:

- (1) The sequence $\{u_n\}$, with $u_n \in Y_\rho$, is ρ -convergent to u and write: $u_n \rightarrow u$ if $\rho(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (2) The sequence $\{u_n\}$, with $u_n \in Y_\rho$, is called ρ -Cauchy if $\rho(u_n - u_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (3) Y_ρ is called ρ -complete if every ρ -Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ is ρ -convergent.

Proposition 1.3. In modular space,

- If $u_n \xrightarrow{\rho} u$ and a is a constant vector, then $u_n + a \xrightarrow{\rho} u + a$.
- If $u_n \xrightarrow{\rho} u$ and $v_n \xrightarrow{\rho} v$ then $\alpha u_n + \beta v_n \xrightarrow{\rho} \alpha u + \beta v$, where $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 1$.

Remark 1.4. Note that $\rho(u)$ is an increasing function, for all $u \in X$. Suppose $0 < a < b$, then property (4) of Definition 1.1 with $v = 0$ shows that $\rho(\alpha u) = \rho\left(\frac{a}{b}bu\right) \leq \rho(bu)$ for all $u \in Y$. Moreover, if ρ is a convex modular on Y and $|\alpha| \leq 1$, then $\rho(\alpha u) \leq \alpha\rho(u)$.

In general, if $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \leq 1$ then $\rho(\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2 + \dots + \lambda_n u_n) \leq \lambda_1 \rho(u_1) + \lambda_2 \rho(u_2) + \dots + \lambda_n \rho(u_n)$.

If $\{u_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to u , then $\{cu_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to cu , where $|c| \leq 1$. But the ρ -convergent of a sequence $\{u_n\}$ to u does not imply that $\{\alpha u_n\}$ is ρ -convergent to αu for scalars α with $|\alpha| > 1$.

If ρ is a convex modular satisfying Δ_2 condition with $0 < \tau < 2$, then $\rho(u) \leq \tau\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}u\right) \leq \frac{\tau}{2}\rho(u)$ for all u .

Hence $\rho = 0$. Consequently, we must have $\tau \geq 2$ if ρ is convex modular.

The functional equations are crucial for the research of stability issues in a variety of contexts. Ulam [18] was the first to question the stability of group homomorphisms. We refer to an equation as being stable if it only permits one distinct solution. Ulam [18] developed the next Equation of Cauchy function. Hyers [6] addressed Cauchy's functional equation in the setting of a Banach space to overcome this issue. Aoki [2] improved the work of Hyers by taking an unbounded Cauchy difference. In his study, Rassias [15] examined additive mappings, and Gavruta [4] has already provided the same conclusions. See [3, 6] for further information regarding the stability results.

in this paper wich is constituted of 3 sections, we study the Hyers Ulam

stability of the following functional equation:

$$\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in X. \quad (1.1)$$

in modular space satisfying the Fatou property or with Δ_2 -condition.

2. HYERS ULAM STABILITY OF (0.1) IN MODULAR SPACE SATISFYING THE FATOU PROPERTY

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). *Let X and Y be vector spaces and $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfies: $\phi(0, z) = \phi(x, 0) = 0$ and*

$$\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in X.$$

Then ϕ is additive in the first variable and quadratic in the second variable. The mapping ϕ is called an additive-quadratic mapping.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a vector space, and Y_ρ be a ρ -complete convex modular space satisfying the Fatou property. Let $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \alpha(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}y) < \infty \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^j} \alpha(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}y) < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be a mapping that satisfies

$$\phi(x, 0) = \phi(0, z) = 0,$$

and

$$\rho(\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w)) \leq \alpha(x, y)\alpha(z, w) \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \min\{\varphi(x, x)\alpha(z, 0), \alpha(x, 0)\psi(z, z)\} \text{ for all } x, z \in X. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Letting $y = x$ and $w = 0$ in (2.3), we get

$$\rho(\phi(2x, z) - 2\phi(x, z)) \leq \alpha(x, x)\alpha(z, 0)$$

Then by convexity of ρ , we have

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\phi(2x, z) - \phi(x, z)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\alpha(x, x)\alpha(z, 0) \quad (2.5)$$

and by induction, we have

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\phi(2^k x, z) - \phi(x, z)\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^j} \alpha(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x) \alpha(z, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $x, z \in X$ and all positive integer k . For $k = 1$, we obtain (2.5). Suppose that (2.6) holds for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^{k+1}}\phi(2^{k+1}x, z) - \phi(x, z)\right) &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2^k}\phi(2^k \cdot 2x, z) - \phi(2x, z)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(2x, z) - \phi(x, z)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^j}\alpha(2^j x, 2^j x)\alpha(z, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(x, x)\alpha(z, 0) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^j}\alpha(2^{j-1}x, 2^{j-1}x)\alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.6) holds for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let m, n be positive integers such that $n > m$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\phi(2^n x, z) - \frac{1}{2^m}\phi(2^m x, z)\right) &= \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^m}\left(\frac{\phi(2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m x, z)}{2^{n-m}} - \phi(2^m x, z)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{2^j}\alpha(2^{m+j-1}x, 2^{m+j-1}x)\alpha(z, 0) \\ &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2^k}\alpha(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}x)\alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

it follows from (2.7) and (2.1) that $\left\{\frac{\phi(2^n x, z)}{2^n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ which is complete, and this guarantees the existence a mapping $A : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$A(x, z) = \rho - \text{limit } \frac{\phi(2^n x, z)}{2^n}, \quad x, z \in X. \tag{2.8}$$

Now, by application the Fatou property, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A(x, z) - \phi(x, z)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\phi(2^n x, z) - \phi(x, z)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}\alpha(2^{k-1}x, 2^{k-1}x)\alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\rho(A(x, z) - \phi(x, z)) \leq \varphi(x, x)\alpha(z, 0) \tag{2.9}$$

Now, we prove that A is an additive-quadratic mapping. In the first, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{1}{2^n}\phi(2^n(x+y), z+w) + \frac{1}{2^n}\phi(2^n(x-y), z-w) - \frac{2}{2^n}\phi(2^n x, z) - \frac{2}{2^n}\phi(2^n x, w)\right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{2^n}\alpha(2^n x, 2^n y)\alpha(z, w) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho \left(\frac{1}{12} (A(x+y, z+w) + A(x-y, z-w) - 2A(x, z) - 2A(x, w)) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \left(A(x+y, z+w) - \frac{\phi(2^n(x+y), z+w)}{2^n} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(A(x-y, z-w) - \frac{\phi(2^n(x-y), z-w)}{2^n} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \rho \left(A(x, z) - \frac{\phi(2^n x, z)}{2^n} \right) + \rho \left(A(x, w) - \frac{\phi(2^n x, w)}{2^n} \right) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{12} \rho \left(\frac{\phi(2^n(x+y), z+w)}{2^n} + \frac{\phi(2^n(x-y), z-w)}{2^n} - \frac{2}{2^n} \phi(2^n x, z) - \frac{2}{2^n} \phi(2^n x, w) \right) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$A(x+y, z+w) + A(x-y, z-w) - 2A(x, z) - 2A(x, w) = 0$$

and by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that A is an additive-quadratic mapping. Now, letting $B : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be another additive-quadratic mapping satisfying (2.9). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{A(x, z) - B(x, z)}{2} \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{A(2^k x, z)}{2^k} - \frac{\phi(2^k x, z)}{2^k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi(2^k x, z)}{2^k} - \frac{B(2^k x, z)}{2^k} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{A(2^k x, z)}{2^k} - \frac{\phi(2^k x, z)}{2^k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(\frac{\phi(2^k x, z)}{2^k} - \frac{B(2^k x, z)}{2^k} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^k} \{ \rho (A(2^k x, z) - \phi(2^k x, z)) + \rho (\phi(2^k x, z) - B(2^k x, z)) \} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} \varphi(2^k x, 2^k x) \alpha(z, 0) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+j}} \alpha(2^{k+j-1} x, 2^{k+j-1} x) \alpha(z, 0) \\
 &= \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \alpha(2^{l-1} x, 2^{l-1} x) \alpha(z, 0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $A(x, z) = B(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$.

On the other hand, letting $y = 0$ and $w = z$ in (2.3), we get

$$\rho(\phi(x, 2z) - 4\phi(x, z)) \leq \alpha(z, z)\alpha(x, 0)$$

Then

$$\rho \left(\frac{1}{4} \phi(x, 2z) - \phi(x, z) \right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \alpha(z, z) \alpha(x, 0)$$

by simple induction, we have

$$\rho \left(\frac{1}{4^k} \phi(x, 2^k z) - \phi(x, z) \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{4^j} \alpha(2^{j-1}z, 2^{j-1}z) \alpha(x, 0).$$

Let m, n be positive integers with $n > m$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{1}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n z) - \frac{1}{4^m} \phi(x, 2^m z) \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{4^m} \left(\frac{\phi(x, 2^{n-m} \cdot 2^m z)}{4^{n-m}} - \phi(x, 2^m z) \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4^m} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{1}{4^j} \alpha(2^{m+j-1}z, 2^{m+j-1}z) \alpha(x, 0) \\ &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{4^k} \alpha(2^{k-1}z, 2^{k-1}z) \alpha(x, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

by (2.10) and (2.2), we conclude that $\left\{ \frac{\phi(x, 2^n z)}{4^n} \right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ which is ρ -complete.

Then there exists a mapping $C : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$C(x, z) = \rho \text{ limit } \frac{\phi(x, 2^n z)}{4^n}, \quad x, z \in X.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(C(x, z) - \phi(x, z)) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho \left(\frac{1}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n z) - \phi(x, z) \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^k} \alpha(2^{k-1}z, 2^{k-1}z) \alpha(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\rho(C(x, z) - \phi(x, z)) \leq \psi(z, z) \alpha(x, 0) \quad (2.11)$$

Now, we prove that C is an additive-quadratic mapping.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \left(\frac{1}{4^n} \phi(x+y, 2^n(z+w)) + \frac{1}{4^n} \phi(x-y, 2^n(z-w)) - \frac{2}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n z) - \frac{2}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n w) \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{4^n} \alpha(x, y) \alpha(2^n z, 2^n w) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho \left(\frac{1}{12} (C(x+y, z+w) + C(x-y, z-w) - 2C(x, z) - 2C(x, w)) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho \left(C(x+y, z+w) - \frac{\phi(x+y, 2^n(z+w))}{4^n} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \rho \left(C(x-y, z-w) - \frac{\phi(x-y, 2^n(z-w))}{4^n} \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \rho \left(C(x, z) - \frac{\phi(x, 2^n z)}{4^n} \right) + \rho \left(C(x, w) - \frac{\phi(x, 2^n w)}{4^n} \right) \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{12} \rho \left(\frac{\phi(x+y, 2^n(z+w))}{4^n} + \frac{\phi(x-y, 2^n(z-w))}{4^n} - \frac{2}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n z) - \frac{2}{4^n} \phi(x, 2^n w) \right) \\
 & \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$C(x+y, z+w) + C(x-y, z-w) - 2C(x, z) - 2C(x, w) = 0,$$

by Lemma 2.1, we deduce that C is an additive-quadratic mapping.

To shows the uniqueness of C , letting $D : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be an other mapping satisfying (2.11). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{C(x, z) - D(x, z)}{2} \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C(x, 2^k z)}{4^k} - \frac{\phi(x, 2^k z)}{4^k} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi(x, 2^k z)}{4^k} - \frac{D(x, 2^k z)}{4^k} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4^k} \{ \rho(C(x, 2^k z) - \phi(x, 2^k z)) + \rho(\phi(x, 2^k z) - D(x, 2^k z)) \} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4^k} \psi(2^k z, 2^k z) \alpha(x, 0) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k+j}} \alpha(2^{k+j-1} z, 2^{k+j-1} z) \alpha(x, 0) \\
 &= \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^l} \alpha(2^{l-1} z, 2^{l-1} z) \alpha(x, 0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $C(x, z) = D(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$.

It follows from (2.9) and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{C(x, z) - A(x, z)}{2} \right) &= \rho \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{C(2^n x, z)}{2^n} - \frac{\phi(2^n x, z)}{2^n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\phi(2^n x, z)}{2^n} - \frac{A(2^n x, z)}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \{ \rho(C(2^n x, z) - \phi(2^n x, z)) + \rho(\phi(2^n x, z) - A(2^n x, z)) \} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \alpha(2^n x, 0) \psi(z, z) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \varphi(2^n, 2^n x) \alpha(z, 0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$.

This implies that $C(x, z) = A(x, z) = H(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive-quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \min\{\varphi(x, x)\alpha(z, 0), \alpha(x, 0)\psi(z, z)\}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let X be a vector space and Y_ρ be a ρ -complete convex modular space. Let $0 < r < 1$ and θ be positives real numbers and $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be a mapping satisfying*

$$\phi(x, 0) = \phi(0, z) = 0$$

and

$$\rho(\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w)) \leq \theta (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r) (\|z\|^r + \|w\|^r) \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive-quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \frac{2\theta\|z\|^r\|x\|^r}{4 - 2^r}, \quad x, z \in X.$$

Proof. The proof is a result of Theorem 2.2 by taking $\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{\theta}(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and remarking that

$$\min \left\{ \frac{2\theta}{2 - 2^r} \|x\|^r \|z\|^r, \frac{2\theta\|x\|^r\|z\|^r}{4 - 2^r} \right\} = \frac{2\theta}{4 - 2^r} \|x\|^r \|z\|^r$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Now, we obtain a classical Ulam stability, by putting $\alpha = \varepsilon > 0$. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let X be a vector space, Y_ρ be a ρ -complete modular space with ρ is a convex modular. Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be a mapping such that $\phi(x, 0) = \phi(0, z) = 0$ and*

$$\rho(\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w)) \leq \varepsilon^2$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that $\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3}$, for all $x, z \in X$.

3. STABILITY OF (0.1) MODULAR SPACE SATISFYING Δ_2 -CONDITION

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a vector space, Y_ρ be a ρ -complete convex modular space, and satisfying the Δ_2 -condition. Let $\alpha : X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

(i)

$$\varphi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^j \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}\right) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^n \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

(ii)

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau^3}{2}\right)^j \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{y}{2^j}\right) < \infty \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau^{2n} \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be a mapping such that $\phi(x, 0) = \phi(0, z) = 0$ and

$$\rho(\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w)) \leq \alpha(x, y)\alpha(z, w) \quad (3.3)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive-quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x, x) \alpha(z, 0), \frac{1}{2\tau} \psi(z, z) \alpha(x, 0) \right\}$$

for all $x, z \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ and $w = 0$ in (3.3), we get

$$\rho(\phi(2x, z) - 2\phi(x, z)) \leq \alpha(x, x)\alpha(z, 0)$$

Hence

$$\rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 2\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) \leq \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{2}\right)\alpha(z, 0)$$

Then, by using the Δ_2 -condition and the convexity of ρ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 2^n \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) &= \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^j} \left(2^{2j-1} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^{j-1}}, z\right) - 2^{2j} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, z\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^j \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{x}{2^j}\right) \alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. So, for all positive integers m and n with $n > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(2^n \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2^m \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^m}, z\right)\right) &\leq \tau^m \rho\left(2^{n-m} \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^m}, z\right)\right) \\ &\leq \tau^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^j \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^{m+j}}, \frac{x}{2^{m+j}}\right) \alpha(z, 0) \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^m \sum_{l=m+1}^n \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^l \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^l}, \frac{x}{2^l}\right) \alpha(z, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

it follows from (3.4) and (3.1) that $\{2^n \phi(\frac{x}{2^n}, z)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ which is complete. Hence, we define a mapping $A : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ as

$$A(x, z) = \rho - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right); x, z \in X.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\phi(x, z) - A(x, z)) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2\phi(x, z) - 2^{n+1}\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2^{n+1}\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2A(x, z)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) + \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - A(x, z)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^j\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^j}, \frac{x}{2^j}\right)\alpha(z, 0) + \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - A(x, z)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Passing to limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - A(x, z)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\varphi(x, x)\alpha(z, 0) \quad (3.5)$$

Now, we prove that A is an additive-quadratic mapping.

In the first, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho\left(2^n\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}, z+w\right) + 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}, z-w\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, w\right)\right) \\
&\leq \tau^n\alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)\alpha(z, w) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(A(x+y, z+w) + A(x-y, z-w) - 2A(x, z) - 2A(x, w)) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8}\rho\left(8\left(A(x+y, z+w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}, z+w\right)\right)\right) + \\
&\quad \frac{1}{8}\rho\left(8\left(A(x-y, z-w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}, z-w\right)\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8}\rho\left(16\left(A(x, z) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right)\right) + \frac{1}{8}\rho\left(16\left(A(x, w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, w\right)\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8}\rho\left(8\left(2^n\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}, z+w\right) + 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}, z-w\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, w\right)\right)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\tau^3}{8}\rho\left(A(x+y, z+w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}, z+w\right)\right) + \\
&\quad \frac{\tau^3}{8}\rho\left(A(x-y, z-w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}, z-w\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau^4}{8}\rho\left(A(x, z) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) + \frac{\tau^4}{8}\rho\left(A(x, w) - 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, w\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{\tau^3}{8}\rho\left(2^n\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2^n}, z+w\right) + 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x-y}{2^n}, z-w\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2 \cdot 2^n\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, w\right)\right) \\
&\quad \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then we conclude that

$$A(x + y, z + w) + A(x - y, z - w) - 2A(x, z) - 2A(x, w) = 0$$

and by Lemma 2.1, we deduce that A is an additive-quadratic mapping. Now, let $B : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ another additive-quadratic mapping satisfying (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(A(x, z) - B(x, z)) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2^{n+1}A\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2^{n+1}\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2^{n+1}\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - 2^{n+1}B\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{n+1}}{2}\rho\left(A\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - \phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\tau^{n+1}}{2}\rho\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right) - B\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\tau^{n+1}}{2}\varphi\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{x}{2^n}\right)\alpha(z, 0) \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right)^{n-1} \sum_{l=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2}\right)^l \alpha\left(\frac{x}{2^l}, \frac{x}{2^l}\right)\alpha(z, 0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$, and all positive integer n . Then, we have

$$A(x, z) = B(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

On the other hand, letting $y = 0$ and $w = z$ in (3.3), we get

$$\rho(\phi(x, 2z) - 4\phi(x, z)) \leq \alpha(z, z)\alpha(x, 0).$$

Hence

$$\rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 4\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2}\right)\right) \leq \alpha\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right)\alpha(x, 0)$$

Then, by using the Δ_2 -condition and the convexity of ρ , we have (re-marking that $\sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{2^k} \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right) &= \rho\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2^j} \left(2^{3j-2}\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^j}\right) - 2^{3j}\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^j}\right)\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\tau^2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\tau^3}{2}\right)^j \alpha\left(\frac{z}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right)\alpha(x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(4^m\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^m}\right) - 4^{n+m}\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^{n+m}}\right)\right) &\leq \tau^{2m}\rho\left(\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^m}\right) - 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^{n+m}}\right)\right) \\
&\leq \tau^{2m-2}\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\tau^3}{2}\right)^j\alpha\left(\frac{z}{2^{m+j}}, \frac{z}{2^{m+j}}\right)\alpha(x, 0) \\
&\leq \frac{2^m}{\tau^{m+2}}\sum_{l=m+1}^{n+m}\left(\frac{\tau^3}{2}\right)^l\alpha\left(\frac{z}{2^l}, \frac{z}{2^l}\right)\alpha(x, 0) \\
&\rightarrow \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \text{ (because } \frac{2}{\tau} \leq 1)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Hence, the sequence $\{4^n\phi(x, \frac{z}{2^n})\}$ is a ρ -Cauchy sequence in Y_ρ which is ρ complete. Then we have a mapping $C : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that $C(x, z) = \rho - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n\phi(x, \frac{z}{2^n})$; $x \in X$. By using the Δ_2 -condition, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\phi(x, z) - C(x, z)) &\leq \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2\phi(x, z) - 2 \cdot 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(2 \cdot 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) - 2C(x, z)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(\phi(x, z) - 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right)\right) + \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) - C(x, z)\right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2\tau}\sum_{j=1}^n\left(\frac{\tau^3}{2}\right)^j\alpha\left(\frac{z}{2^j}, \frac{z}{2^j}\right)\alpha(x, 0) + \frac{\tau}{2}\rho\left(4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) - C(x, z)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, z \in X$. Passing to limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain.

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - C(x, z)) \leq \frac{1}{2\tau}\psi(z, z)\alpha(x, 0). \quad (3.6)$$

For proving that C is an additive-quadratic mapping, we will start with

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(4^n\phi\left(x+y, \frac{z+w}{2^n}\right) + 4^n\phi\left(x-y, \frac{z-w}{2^n}\right) - 2 \cdot 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{z}{2^n}\right) - 2 \cdot 4^n\phi\left(x, \frac{w}{2^n}\right)\right) \\
\leq \tau^{2n}\alpha(x, y)\alpha\left(\frac{z}{2^n}, \frac{w}{2^n}\right) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. And we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho \left(\frac{1}{7}C(x+y, z+w) + \frac{1}{7}C(x-y, z-w) - \frac{2}{7}C(x, z) - \frac{2}{7}C(x, w) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{7}\rho \left(C(x+y, z+w) - 4^n\phi \left(x+y, \frac{z+w}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{7}\rho \left(C(x-y, z-w) - 4^n\phi \left(x-y, \frac{z-w}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{2}{7}\rho \left(C(x, z) - 4^n\phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \right) + \frac{2}{7}\rho \left(C(x, w) - 4^n\phi \left(x, \frac{w}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & + \frac{1}{7}\rho \left(4^n\phi \left(x+y, \frac{z+w}{2^n} \right) + 4^n\phi \left(x-y, \frac{z-w}{2^n} \right) - 2 \cdot 4^n\phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) - 2 \cdot 4^n\phi \left(x, \frac{w}{2^n} \right) \right), \\
 & \hspace{15em} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Hence, we have

$$C(x+y, z+w) + C(x-y, z-w) - 2C(x, z) - 2C(x, w) = 0$$

and by Lemma 2.1, we deduce that C is an additive-quadratic mapping. To shows that C is unique, letting D be another mapping satisfying (3.6). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho(C(x, z) - D(x, z)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\rho \left(2 \cdot 4^n C \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) - 2 \cdot 4^n \phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\rho \left(2 \cdot 4^n \phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) - 2 \cdot 4^n D \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\tau^{2n+1}}{2}\rho \left(C \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) - \phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \right) + \frac{\tau^{2n+1}}{2}\rho \left(\phi \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) - D \left(x, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\tau^{2n}}{2}\psi \left(\frac{z}{2^n}, \frac{z}{2^n} \right) \alpha(x, 0) \\
 & = \frac{2^{n-1}}{\tau^n} \sum_{l=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau^3}{2} \right)^l \alpha \left(\frac{z}{2^l}, \frac{z}{2^l} \right) \alpha(x, 0) \\
 & \hspace{15em} \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that: $C(x, z) = D(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$. It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \rho \left(\frac{2^n\phi \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z \right) - 2^n C \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z \right)}{2} \right) & \leq \frac{\tau^n}{2}\rho \left(\phi \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z \right) - C \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, z \right) \right) \\
 & \leq \frac{\tau^n}{4\tau} \alpha \left(\frac{x}{2^n}, 0 \right) \psi(z, z) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $C : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ is additive in the first variable, we get

$$\rho \left(\frac{1}{2}A(x, z) - \frac{1}{2}C(x, z) \right) \leq 0,$$

thus we conclude that

$$A(x, z) = C(x, z) = H(x, z) \text{ for all } x, z \in X.$$

Hence, there exists a unique additive-quadratic mapping

$$H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$$

such that

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} \psi(z, z) \alpha(x, 0), \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x, x) \alpha(z, 0) \right\}$$

for all $x, z \in X$, and that complete the proof. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a vector space, Y_ρ be a ρ -complete convex modular space satisfying Δ_2 -condition. Let $r > \log_2 \left(\frac{\tau^2}{2} \right)$ and θ be positive real numbers, and $\phi : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ be mapping satisfying $\phi(x, 0) = \phi(0, z) = 0$ and*

$$\rho(\phi(x+y, z+w) + \phi(x-y, z-w) - 2\phi(x, z) - 2\phi(x, w)) \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)(\|z\|^r + \|w\|^r)$$

for all $x, y, z, w \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive-quadratic mapping $H : X^2 \rightarrow Y_\rho$ such that:

$$\rho(\phi(x, z) - H(x, z)) \leq \frac{\theta\tau^2\|x\|^r\|z\|^r}{2^{r+1} - \tau^2}; \quad x, z \in X. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. The proof is a result of Theorem 3.1 by taking

$$\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{\theta}(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and remarking that

$$\min \left\{ \frac{\theta\tau^2\|x\|^r\|z\|^r}{2^{r+1} - \tau^2}, \frac{\theta\tau^2\|x\|^r\|z\|^r}{2^{r+1} - \tau^3} \right\} = \frac{\theta k^2\|x\|^r\|z\|^r}{2^{r+1} - \tau^2},$$

for all $x, z \in X$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Amemiya, On the representation of complemented modular lattices, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 9 (1957), 263-279.
- [2] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 2 (1950), 64-66.
- [3] A. Charifi, R. Lukasik, D. Zeglami, A special class of functional equations, Math. Slovaca, 68 (2018), 397-404.
- [4] P. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431-436.
- [5] I. Hwang, C. Park, Ulam stability of an additive-quadratic functional equation in Banach spaces. Journal of Mathematical Inequalities. (2020) 421-436.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. N. A. S., 27 (1941), 222-224.
- [7] M. A. Khamsi, Quasicontraction mappings in modular spaces without Δ_2 -condition, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008 (2008), 916187.

- [8] S. Koshi, T. Shimogaki, On F-norms of quasi-modular spaces, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. I Math., 15 (1961), 202-218.
- [9] W. A. J. Luxemburg, Banach function spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Delft, the Netherlands: Technische Hogeschool Delft, 1955.
- [10] L. Maligranda, Orlicz spaces and interpolation, Campinas: Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 1989.
- [11] B. Mazur, Modular curves and the Eisenstein ideal, Publ. Math. l'IHS, 47 (1977), 33-186.
- [12] J. Musielak, Orlicz spaces and nodular spaces, Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1983.
- [13] H. Nakano, Modulated semi-ordered linear spaces, Tokyo: Maruzen Company, Ltd., 1950.
- [14] W. Orlicz, Collected papers. Part I, II, Warsaw, Poland: PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, 1988.
- [15] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 72 (1978), 297-300.
- [16] G. Sadeghi, A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations in modular spaces, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 37 (2014), 333-344.
- [17] P. Turpin, Fubini inequalities and bounded multiplier property in generalized modular spaces, Comment. Math., 1 (1978), 331-353.
- [18] S. M. Ulam, A collection of mathematical problems, New York: Interscience, 1960.

¹DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, KENITRA, MOROCCO

Email address: `abderrahmane.baza@gmail.com`

²DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, KENITRA, MOROCCO

Email address: `rossafimohamed@gmail.com`

³RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR NATURAL SCIENCES, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04763, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `baak@hanyang.ac.kr`