

# Topological invariants of 3-dimensional manifold with boundary by using crossed module

Tommy Shu

## 1 introduction

Crossed module is invited by J.H.C. Whitehead([3]) in early 20 century. This is induced for algebra model of 2-type homotopy(i.e connected spaces where there are no more than 2 degree homotopy group). Crossed module, which is define by two group and some relation between them, is known that it have a relation with 2-group.

By using crossed module, we can construct invariant of closed 3-dimensional manifold and 4-dimensional manifold which proof is witten by ([4]). Roughly, this invariant is counting correct colour over the trianguler of closed manifold.

I find that this invariant can be used to compact 3-dimensional manifold with boundary. So in this paper, I will proof that this invariant can be used to compact 3-dimensional manifold with boundary and show some result of using this invariant to manifold with boundary(include knot complement).

## 2 Crossed module

Crossed module use two group, homeomorphism, and left action.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $H, G$  be a group,  $\partial : H \rightarrow G$  be a homeomorphism,  $\triangleright$  as a left action of  $G$  on  $G$ . and  $\triangleright$  as a left action of  $G$  on  $H$  (we write both left action as a same sympol because most of the time it is obvious). We call  $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$  crossed module if it satisfies the following three properties:*

- (1) all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  satisfy

$$g_1 \triangleright g_2 = g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1};$$

- (2) all  $g \in G$  and for all  $h \in H$  satisfy

$$\partial(g \triangleright h) = g \partial(h) g^{-1};$$

and

- (3) if a homeomorphism  $f_g : H \rightarrow H$  is defined by  $f_g(h) := g \triangleright h$  for  $h \in H$ , then  $f_g$  is a group isomorphism, for all  $g \in G$ .

We will give a most simple example of crossed module.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $H$  be a finite group, and let  $G$  be a  $\text{Aut}(H) := \{f : H \rightarrow H \mid f \text{ is group isomorphism}\}$ . Then we have a crossed module  $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$  if  $\partial$  and  $\triangleright$  are defined as follows:

(1) for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  we define

$$g_1 \triangleright g_2 := g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1};$$

(2) for all  $g \in G$  and all  $h \in H$  define

$$g \triangleright h := g(h);$$

and

(3) for all  $h, h' \in H$  define

$$\partial(h')(h) := h' h h'^{-1}.$$

In the next section we use this crossed module to construct an invariant.

### 3 Invariant of closed 3-dimensional manifold

To know more about this section you can see ([2]) and ([4]). The way to construct an invariant with crossed module gives by next theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $M$  be a closed 3-dimensional manifold and let  $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$  be a crossed module but both  $H$  and  $G$  is finite group.  $K$  is a triangular of  $M$ ,  $K_i$  is a set of all  $i$ -simplex in  $K$ , and there are total order in  $K_0$ . Then next formuler give an invariant of  $M$  and it don't depend on choice of  $K$  and total order in  $K_0$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(M) = & |G|^{-|K_0|+|K_1|-|K_2|} |H|^{|K_0|-|K_1|+|K_2|-|K_3|} \\ & \times \left( \prod_{(jk) \in K_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\ & \times \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \delta_G(g_{jkl}) \right) \left( \prod_{(jklm) \in K_3} \delta_H(h_{jklm}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$g_{jkl} := \partial(h_{jkl})g_{kl}g_{jk}g_{jl}^{-1} \quad (3.2)$$

$$h_{jklm} := h_{jlm}(g_{lm} \triangleright h_{jkl})h_{klm}^{-1}h_{jkm}^{-1}, \quad (3.3)$$

and,  $\delta_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for finite group  $X$  which define by

$$\delta_X(x) = \begin{cases} |X| & \text{if } x = e_X, \\ 0 & \text{others..} \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

However, the label of  $g_{jk}, h_{jkl}$  will always be  $j < k$  and  $j < k < l$  of total order in  $K_0$ .

Proof can be finded in [4]. What this invariant doing is counting the correct color. We color  $g_{jk} \in G$  for every edge  $(jk) \in K_1$  and  $h_{jkl} \in H$  for every face  $(jkl) \in K_1$ . The geometric meaning of  $g_{jkl}$  become figure 1.




Fig. 1: Color of  $g_{123}$

Since there are total order in  $K_0$ , there are no cyclic order for 2-simplex. For the order of the each edge which induced by total order in  $K_0$ , as you can see in figure 1,  $g_{13}$  can be written by other two colors of edges and one color of face.

We can write  $g_{14}$  in two ways in which one is used  $g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{34}, h_{123}, h_{134}$  (diagram 3) and the other is used  $g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{34}, h_{234}, h_{124}$  (see Figure 4).

This two formula must be equation so we get next equation.

$$\partial(h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})) = \partial(h_{124}h_{234}). \quad (3.5)$$

When formula 4.3 is equal to identity element, equation 3.5 will hold true.




Fig. 2:




Fig. 3: Using  $g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{34}, h_{123}, h_{134}$




Fig. 4: Using  $g_{12}, g_{23}, g_{34}, h_{234}, h_{124}$

## 4 Main Theorem

We extend the theorem 3.1 to not only closed 3-dimensional manifold but also compact 3-dimensional manifold with boundary.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact 3-dimensional manifold with boundary and let  $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$  be a crossed module but both  $H$  and  $G$  is finite group.  $K$  is a triangular of  $M$ ,  $K_i$  is a set of all  $i$ -simplex in  $K$ , and there are total order in  $K_0$ . Then next formuler give an invariant of  $M$  and it don't depend on choice of  $K$  and total order in  $K_0$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(M) = & |G|^{-|K_0|+|K_1|-|K_2|} |H|^{|K_0|-|K_1|+|K_2|-|K_3|} \\ & \times \left( \prod_{(jk) \in K_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\ & \times \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \delta_G(g_{jkl}) \right) \left( \prod_{(jklm) \in K_3} \delta_H(h_{jklm}) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$g_{jkl} := \partial(h_{jkl})g_{kl}g_{jk}g_{jl}^{-1} \quad (4.2)$$

$$h_{jklm} := h_{jlm}(g_{lm} \triangleright h_{jkl})h_{klm}^{-1}h_{jkm}^{-1}, \quad (4.3)$$

and,  $\delta_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for finite group  $X$  which define by

$$\delta_X(x) = \begin{cases} |X| & \text{if } x = e_X, \\ 0 & \text{others..} \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

However, the label of  $g_{jk}, h_{jkl}$  will always be  $j < k$  and  $j < k < l$  of total order in  $K_0$ .

What this theorem want to say is it doesn't depend the triangular of its boundary. Before moving to the proof, I will give two theoremes that is used to proof the theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *(Turaev-Viro)*

*Let  $P, Q$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Combinatorial manifold and  $K$  and  $L$  is a triangular of  $P$  and  $Q$  which satisfy  $P = |K|, Q = |L|$ . In this case next two properties is equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a piecewise linear homeomorphism  $f : P \rightarrow Q$  where  $f|_{\partial P} : \partial P \rightarrow \partial Q$  is a simplex homeomorphism from  $\partial K$  to  $\partial L$ .*
- (2)  *$L$  can be obtained by using finite Alexander moves from  $K$ .*

In the case where  $n = 3$ , it has been shown that internal Alexander moves can be realized through a finite sequence of 3-dimensional Pachner moves. ([1]:Theorem 4.6). Figure 5, 6 is 3-dimensional Pachner moves.




Fig. 5: (1-4)move




Fig. 6: (2-3)move

**Remark 4.3.** By [12], every triangulated 3-manifold  $K$  (with boundary) is a combinatorial 3-manifold (with boundary).  $K$  is triangulated  $n$ -manifold, if  $K$  is a complex satisfying that the space  $M = |K|$  is an  $n$ -manifold.

**Theorem 4.4.** ([5]:Theorem 10.6)

Let  $M$  be a manifold with boundary. In this case, for any simplex subdivision of the boundary  $\partial M$ , there exists an extended triangulation of  $M$ . The meaning of extended triangulation is as follows: Let  $J$  be a simplicial complex, and consider a homeomorphism  $f : J \rightarrow \partial M$  as a simplex subdivision of  $\partial M$ . In this context, an extension of  $f$  refers to the existence of a simplicial complex  $L$  and a homeomorphism  $g : L \rightarrow M$  with a simplex subdivision of  $M$ , such that  $g^{-1} \circ f$  induces a linear isomorphism between a subcomplex of  $L$  and  $J$ .

## 5 Proof of Main Theorem

*Proof.* In the appendix A, we prove that formula 4.1 does not depend on the choice of total order in  $K_0$  and it is invariant in 3-dimension Pachner move. Since of this fact and theorem 4.2, if there are two different triangulations  $K, L$  of  $M$  which  $\partial K$  and  $\partial L$  of triangulation of  $\partial M$  coincide, then  $Z_K(M) = Z_L(M)$  which one is used  $K$  and the other used  $L$ . Only we have to prove is to show that we can transform two different triangulations of  $\partial M$  one to another by preserving  $Z(M)$ . To transform the triangulation of the boundary, we think about figure 7, 8.




Fig. 7: 3-dimension (1-3)move

However, there are conditions for performing this move. The (1-3) move in Figure 7 is permissible when the 2-simplex  $—345—$  belongs to the boundary  $\partial M$  of manifold  $M$ , i.e. when  $|345| \subset \partial M$ . As for the (2-2) move in Figure 8, it can be executed when the union of 2-simplices  $—245—$  and  $—356—$  belongs




Fig. 8: 3-dimension (2-2)move

to the boundary  $\partial M$ , i.e. when  $|245| \cup |356| \subset \partial M$ . The 3-dimension (1-3)move and (2-2)move preserve formula 4.1 which you can see in appendix—

Next we will prove that two different triangular of  $M$  which we write  $K, L$  can be transformed one to another by a sequence of 3-dimension (1-3)move, (2-2) move, and pachner move. As previous stated, It is sufficient to show that  $\partial K$  can transform to  $\partial L$  by a sequence of 3-dimension (1-3)move, (2-2) move, and pachner move.  $\partial K$  and  $\partial L$  is triangular of  $\partial M$  so we can be think as triangular of closed 2-dimensional manifold. If we forget about internal of  $M$ ,  $\partial K$  can be transformed to  $\partial L$  by sequence of 2-dimension Pachner move which show in Figure 9,10.




Fig. 9: 2-dimension (1-3)move

It is sufficient to prove that the sequence of two-dimensional Pachner moves, consisting of two-dimensional (1-3) moves and two-dimensional (2-2) moves, can be replaced with corresponding three-dimensional (1-3) moves and three-dimensional (2-2) moves.

(a) About (1-3)move






Fig. 10: 2-dimension (2-2)move

It is trivial that we can replace two-dimensional (1-3) moves from left to right with three-dimensional (1-3) moves from left to right. The reason why the transformation of a two-dimensional Pachner move (1-3) move from right to left can be replaced with a three-dimensional (1-3) move is as follows: For the portion where you want to transform the two-dimensional Pachner move (1-3) move from right to left, you can perform the operation depicted in Figure 11 and create a cone in that region.




Fig. 11: Deformation near the boundary

Figure 11 illustrates the concept of inserting a cone, shaped as shown, into the portion where the boundary is to be transformed. The resulting structure, with the inserted cone, remains a simplicial complex. By applying this operation once, you can transform the original simplicial complex  $K'_a$  into a new one, denoted as  $K'_b$ .  $|K'_a| = |K'_b|$ , and the identity map  $id : |K'_a| \rightarrow |K'_b|$  is a PL homeomorphism. Furthermore, since  $id|_{\partial K'_a} : \partial K'_a \rightarrow \partial K'_b$  is a simplicial map isomorphism, it follows from Thm 4.2 that  $K'_a$  can be transformed

into  $K'_b$  using a three-dimensional Pachner move. Therefore, for the portion where you want to transform the two-dimensional Pachner move (1-3) move from right to left, you can consider that portion as a cone. By treating it as a cone, you can execute a three-dimensional (1-3) move and transform the boundary accordingly.

(b) About (2-2)move

The reason why the portion transformed by the two-dimensional Pachner move (2-2) move can be replaced with a three-dimensional (2-2) move is as follows: For the portion where you want to perform the transformation using the two-dimensional Pachner move (2-2) move, you can apply the operation depicted in Figure 12 and create a cone in that region.




Fig. 12: Deformation near the boundary

First, replace the simplicial complex of the boundary region with a structure resembling a barycentric subdivision only for the region where the transformation is desired, while keeping the rest unchanged. In this case, according to Theorem 4.4, it is possible to extend this simplicial subdivision of the boundary to consider the subdivision of the entire complex. Subsequently, Figure 12 envisions inserting a cone into the region where the boundary is desired to be transformed, similar to Figure 11.

Even after inserting the cone, the structure remains a simplicial complex. By applying this sequence of operations once, you can transform the original simplicial complex  $K'_a$  into a new one, denoted as  $K'_b$ . The subsequent discussion is similar to the case (1). That is,  $|K'_a| = |K'_b|$ ,  $id : |K'_a| \rightarrow |K'_b|$  is a PL homeomorphism, and  $id|_{\partial K'_a} : \partial K'_a \rightarrow \partial K'_b$  is a simplicial map isomorphism. Therefore,  $K'_a$  can be transformed into  $K'_b$  using a three-dimensional Pachner move. Consequently, for the portion where you want to transform the two-dimensional Pachner move (2-2) move, you can consider that portion as a cone. By treating it as a cone, you can execute a three-dimensional (2-2) move and transform the boundary accordingly.

From the above considerations, it follows that by using three-dimensional Pachner moves, three-dimensional (1-3) moves, and three-dimensional (2-2) moves, it is possible to make the triangular K coincide with L.  $\square$

## 6 Example

Here, we will show some of the result of using this invariant. In this section, we will give the result of 3 different basic geometris and 3 different complement of a knot. Knot we used is  $4_1$  knot, torus knot (5,2). and  $5_1$  knot.

### (1) Result of $D^3$

$D^3$  means next set:  $D^3 := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \leq 1\}$ . This image will be like Figure 13 and triangular which I used will be like Figure 14

As you can calculate, color will be uniquely defined by label of 3 different 1-simplex and 3 different 2-simplex:  $g_{12}, g_{13}, g_{14}, h_{123}, h_{134}, h_{124}$ . The invariant will be as follow:




Fig. 13: Image of  $D^3$




Fig. 14: Triangulation of  $D^3$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(D^3) &= |G|^{-4+6-4}|H|^{4-6+4-1} \\
&\times \frac{1}{|G|^6} \sum_{g_{12} \in G} \sum_{g_{13} \in G} \sum_{g_{14} \in G} \sum_{g_{23} \in G} \sum_{g_{24} \in G} \sum_{g_{34} \in G} \\
&\times \frac{1}{|H|^4} \sum_{h_{123} \in H} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \sum_{h_{134} \in H} \sum_{h_{234} \in H} \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{123}) \delta_G(g_{124}) \delta_G(g_{134}) \delta_G(g_{234}) \delta_H(h_{1234}) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^2} |H| \frac{1}{|G|^6} \frac{1}{|H|^4} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{g_{12} \in G} \sum_{g_{13} \in G} \sum_{g_{14} \in G} \sum_{h_{123} \in H} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \sum_{h_{134} \in H} |G|^4 |H|^1 \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^2} |H| \frac{1}{|G|^6} \frac{1}{|H|^4} |G|^3 |H|^3 |G|^4 |H|^1 \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|} |H|
\end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

**(2) Result of  $D^2 \times S^1$**

The image of  $D^2 \times S^1$  will be like Figure 15 and Figure 16 will be an one of the example of singular triangulation of  $D^2 \times S^1$ . You can check that this invariant can be calculated by triangular with a local order. Because of this, we can used singular triangular instead of triangular.




Fig. 15: Image of  $D^2 \times S^1$

The color of singular triangulation of  $D^2 \times S^1$  will be uniquely defined by value of  $g_{12}, g_{25}, g_{46}, h_{125}, h_{256}, h_{456}, h_{345}, h_{246}$ . The invariant will be as follow:




Fig. 16: Singular triangulation of  $D^2 \times S^1$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(D^2 \times S^1) &= |G|^{-3+9-9} |H|^{3-9+9-3} \\
&\times \left( \prod_{(jk) \in K_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\
&\times \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \delta_G(g_{jkl}) \right) \left( \prod_{(jklm) \in K_3} \delta_H(h_{jklm}) \right) \quad (6.2) \\
&= |G|^{-3} \frac{1}{|G|^9} \frac{1}{|H|^9} \sum_{g_{12} \in G} \sum_{g_{25} \in G} \sum_{g_{46} \in G} \\
&\times \sum_{h_{125} \in H} \sum_{h_{256} \in H} \sum_{h_{456} \in H} \sum_{h_{345} \in H} \sum_{h_{246} \in H} \sum_{h_{234} \in H} |G|^9 |H|^3 \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

**(3) Result of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$**

The image of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$  will be like Figure 17 and Figure 18 will be an one of the example of singular triangulation of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$ .

The color of singular triangulation of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$  will be uniquely defined by value of  $g_{25}, g_{57}, g_{78}, g_{18}, g_{14}, h_{257}, h_{278}, h_{128}, h_{178}, h_{125}, h_{147}, h_{145}, h_{138}$ . In this case,  $h_{138}$  can not be taken for all  $H$ . Instead of it,  $h_{138}$  have to satisfy




Fig. 17: Image of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$




Fig. 18: Singular triangulation of  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$

following equation:  $\partial(h_{128}) = \partial(h_{138})$ . The invariant will be as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(S^2 \times [0, 1]) &= |G|^{-6+12-14} |H|^{6-12+14-6} \\
&\times \left( \prod_{(jk) \in K_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\
&\times \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in K_2} \delta_G(g_{jkl}) \right) \left( \prod_{(jklm) \in K_3} \delta_H(h_{jklm}) \right) \\
&= |G|^{-8} |H|^2 \frac{1}{|G|^{12}} \frac{1}{|H|^{14}} \sum_{g_{25} \in G} \sum_{g_{57} \in G} \sum_{g_{78} \in G} \sum_{g_{18} \in G} \sum_{g_{14} \in G} \sum_{h_{257} \in H} \sum_{h_{278} \in H} \sum_{h_{128} \in H} \\
&\times \sum_{h_{178} \in H} \sum_{h_{125} \in H} \sum_{h_{147} \in H} \sum_{h_{145} \in H} \sum_{h_{138} \in H} |G|^{13} \delta_G(\partial(h_{128}^{-1} h_{138})) |H|^6 \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^8} |H|^2 \frac{1}{|G|^{12}} \frac{1}{|H|^{14}} |G|^5 |H|^6 |G|^{13} |H|^6 \sum_{h_{138} \in H} \sum_{h_{128} \in H} \delta_G(\partial(h_{128}^{-1} h_{138})) \\
&= \frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{h_{138} \in H} \sum_{h_{128} \in H} \delta_G(\partial(h_{128}^{-1} h_{138}))
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

The difference between the value of invariant of  $D^3$  and  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$  come from hall. When we fill  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$ , we will have a new 3-simplex in it so the  $h_{138}$  in  $S^2 \times [0, 1]$  have to be equal to  $h_{128}$ . Then the equation (6.3) will be coincide with equation (6.1).

#### (4) Result of complement of $4_1$ knot

$4_1$  knot will be like Figure 19 and triangulation of complement of  $4_1$  knot will be like Figure 20. When illustrating the thought-out divisions, presenting only one side here to avoid clutter in the diagram. The same approach is taken for the other sides as well.

We will give the result first. The result will be as follow:

$$Z(S^3 - 4_1) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{X, Y \in G, A \in H} \delta_G(XY^{-1}X^{-1}YX^{-1}Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}Y\partial(A^{-1})) \tag{6.4}$$

This equation holds for any crossed module  $(H \xrightarrow{\partial} G, \triangleright)$  with arbitrary finite groups  $H$  and  $G$ . However, fundamentally, it becomes apparent through






Fig. 19: Image of  $4_1$  knot




Fig. 20: Triangulation of complement of  $4_1$  knot

calculations specifically when  $H$  is a finite abelian group. In other words, the results are obtained by computing for all  $h \in H$  with  $\partial(h) = e_G$ .

To calculate this first we have to think about surface of left tetrahedron. The color of surface of left tetrahedron will be defined uniquely by  $b, r, g_{11'}, g_{3'4'}, g_{2'2''}, g_{3''4'}, g_{1'2}$ .  $b, r$  is the color of 1-simplex with blue and red arrow. By gluing it to right tetrahedron, the illustration depicting how it shares edges with other simplices is shown in Figure 21. However, at this point, it is necessary to investigate the condition  $g_{jkl} = e$  for the 2-simplex labeled with alphabets in the diagram.




Fig. 21: Triangulation of boundary of complement of  $4_1$  knot

This leads to obtaining the conditions for the following equation 6.5.

$$\begin{cases} g_{1'2} = g_{2'2''}g_{1'2}g_{11'} & (6.5a) \\ bg_{11'}^{-1}b^{-1}g_{3'4'}^{-1} = g_{3''4'}^{-1}g_{3'4'}bg_{11'}^{-1}b^{-1}g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{2'2''}^{-1} & (6.5b) \\ g_{3'4'} = rg_{2'2''}r^{-1}g_{3'4'}bg_{1'2}^{-1}r^{-1}g_{3''4'}^{-1} & (6.5c) \\ rg_{1'2}b^{-1}g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{3''4'}b = rg_{2'2''}r^{-1}g_{3''4'}bg_{11'} & (6.5d) \end{cases}$$

Indeed, upon examining this equation, it becomes evident that when equations (6.5a)-(6.5c) hold true, equation (6.5d) also holds. Therefore, solving equations (6.5a)-(6.5c) is sufficient. Solving these equations yields equation (6.6). Moreover, when equation (6.6) holds, unique values for  $g_{2'2''}$  and  $g_{1'2}$  are determined such that equations (6.5a)-(6.5c) are satisfied.

$$\begin{aligned} e &= g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{3''4'}bg_{11'}^{-1}b^{-1}g_{3''4'}^{-1}g_{3'4'}bg_{11'}b^{-1}g_{3''4'}^{-1}g_{3'4'}bg_{11'}^{-1}b^{-1}g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{3''4'}bg_{11'}b^{-1}g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{3'4'}bg_{11'}b^{-1} \\ &= XY^{-1}X^{-1}YX^{-1}Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}Y \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

However, by transforming variables as  $X := g_{3'4'}^{-1}g_{3''4'}$  and  $Y := bg_{11'}b^{-1}$ , we arrive at an expression akin to equation (6.4). Do a same thing with in general Crossed module we get equation (6.4).

**(5) Result of complement of torus knot (5,2)**

This can be calculated almost the same as the complement of a  $4_1$  knot. We just write the result. Torus knot (5,2) is like Figure 22 and the triangulation of the complement of torus knot (5,2) is like Figure 23.




Fig. 22: Image of torus knot (5,2)




Fig. 23: Triangulation of complement of torus knot (5,2)

The result will be as follows:

$$Z(S^3 - T(5, 2)) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{X, Y \in G, A \in H} \delta_G (Y X^4 Y X^{-1} \partial(A^{-1})) \quad (6.7)$$

**(6) Result of complement of  $5_2$  knot**

Complement of  $5_2$  knot can be calculated as same as other complement spaces of knot,so we just write the result.  $5_2$  knot is like Figure 24 and triangulation of complement of torus knot (5,2) is look like Figure 25.




Fig. 24: Image of  $5_2$  knot

The result will be as follow:

$$Z(S^3 - 5_2) = \frac{1}{|G|^2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{X,Y \in G, A \in H} \delta_G (XY^{-1}X^{-1}YXY^{-1}X^{-1}YX^{-1}Y^{-1}XYX^{-1}Y^{-1}\partial(A^{-1})) \quad (6.8)$$

We have examined three examples of the complement of knots, and it is evident that the content of  $\delta_G$  is closely related to certain aspects. Specifically, it corresponds to the relations in the fundamental group of the complement of knots. In fact, for the  $4_1$  knot and the Torus(5,2) knot, it has been verified that, apart from  $\partial(A^{-1})$ , the content of  $\delta_G$  is equivalent to the relations in the representation of the fundamental group. Therefore, even in the case of a manifold with boundary, when  $H$  is trivial, it can be anticipated that the number of representations from the fundamental group of the manifold with boundary to  $G$  is a constant multiplied by  $1/|G|$ .

## A 3-dimensional (1-3)move and 3-dimensional (2-2)move

In this chapter, we show that 3-dimensional (1-3)move and 3-dimensional (2-2)move preseve the Formula 4.1.




Fig. 25: Triangulation of complement of  $5_2$  knot

**(a) 3-dimensional (1-3) move in Figure 7**

First, let's examine the invariance of the equation (4.1) for the 3-dimensional (1-3) move shown in Figure 7. Since it is known that this invariance is independent of the choice of the total order on the 0-simplices, we will perform the calculation using the total order depicted in Figure 7. We denote the diagram on the left side of Figure 7 as l.h.s and the one on the right side as r.h.s. First, for the l.h.s, the equation (4.1) can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(l.h.s) &= |G|^{-4+6-4}|H|^{4-6+4-1} \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{345} \in H} \right) \delta_G(g_{345}) \delta_H(h_{2345}) Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-2}|H| \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{345} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G \left( \partial(h_{345}) g_{45} g_{34} g_{35}^{-1} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H \left( h_{245} (g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}) h_{345}^{-1} h_{235}^{-1} \right) Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

On the other hand, when using the equation (4.1) for the r.h.s, it can be

expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(r.h.s) &= |G|^{-5+10-9}|H|^{5-10+9-3} \left( \prod_{(jk) \in M_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in M_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{123})\delta_G(g_{124})\delta_G(g_{125})\delta_G(g_{134})\delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{145}) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H(h_{1234})\delta_H(h_{1235})\delta_H(h_{1245})Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Here,  $Z_{remainder}$  refers to the part of the sum and the common  $g_{jkl}$ ,  $h_{jklm}$  terms shared between l.h.s and r.h.s. The sets  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  in r.h.s are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= \{(12), (13), (14), (15)\}, \\
M_2 &= \{(123), (124), (125), (134), (135), (145)\},
\end{aligned}$$

Let's consider the transformation of the r.h.s. First, for the 2-simplex  $|123|$  in r.h.s, since  $\delta_G(g_{123})$ , when the coloring is correct, is equal to  $e$ , we can use this to transform it as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{123} &:= \partial(h_{123})g_{23}g_{12}g_{13}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff g_{12} = g_{23}^{-1}\partial(h_{123}^{-1})g_{13}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

By restricting  $g_{12}$  to satisfy the equation (A.3),  $\delta_G(g_{123})$  will always be equal to  $|G|$ . Furthermore, from the equation (A.3) and the coloring restriction on the 3-simplex  $|1234|$ , it follows that  $h_{1234} = e$ , leading to  $g_{124}$  always being equal to  $e$ . Substituting the result of equation (A.3) into  $g_{12}$  yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{124} &:= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}\partial(h_{123}^{-1})g_{13}g_{14}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Furthermore, from the coloring restriction on the 3-simplex  $|1234|$ , the following holds due to  $h_{1234} = e$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1234} &:= h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff h_{123}^{-1} = g_{34}^{-1} \triangleright (h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1}h_{134})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Substituting the result of equation (A.5) into  $h_{123}^{-1}$  in equation (A.4), we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{124} &= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}\partial(h_{123}^{-1})g_{13}g_{14}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}\partial\left(g_{34}^{-1} \triangleright (h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1}h_{134})\right)g_{13}g_{14}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}g_{34}^{-1}\partial(h_{234}^{-1})\partial(h_{124}^{-1})\partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}g_{14}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

Here, from the coloring restriction  $\delta_G(g_{234})$  on the 2-simplex  $|234|$ , when the coloring is correct,  $g_{234} = e$ . Similarly, from the coloring restriction  $\delta_G(g_{134})$  on the 2-simplex  $|134|$ , when the coloring is correct,  $g_{134} = e$ . These two conditions imply the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{234} &:= \partial(h_{234})g_{34}g_{23}g_{24}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff \underline{g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}g_{34}^{-1}\partial(h_{234}^{-1})} = e \\
g_{134} &:= \partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}g_{14}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff \underline{\partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}} = g_{14}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.7}$$

Using the equation (A.7), we can transform the equation (A.6) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{124} &= \partial(h_{124})\underline{g_{24}g_{23}^{-1}g_{34}^{-1}\partial(h_{234}^{-1})}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})\underline{\partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}}g_{14}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{124})\underline{e}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})\underline{g_{14}}g_{14}^{-1} \\
&= e
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Therefore, by introducing  $g_{12}$  as in equation (A.3) and demonstrating that  $g_{124}$  is always equal to  $e$  when the other colors are correct, it has been shown.

Similarly, by introducing  $g_{12}$  as in equation (A.3) and demonstrating that  $g_{125}$  is always equal to  $e$  when the other colors are correct, we proceed. Eliminating  $g_{12}$  in terms of  $g_{125}$  using equation (A.3), we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{125} &:= \partial(h_{125})g_{25}g_{12}g_{15}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{125})g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}\partial(h_{123}^{-1})g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Here, there is a coloring restriction  $\delta_H(h_{1235})$  on the 3-simplex  $|1235|$ , and when the coloring is correct,  $h_{1235} = e$ . This implies the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1235} &:= h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff h_{123}^{-1} = g_{35}^{-1} \triangleright (h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1}h_{135})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

Substituting the result of equation (A.10) into  $h_{123}^{-1}$  in equation (A.9), we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{125} &= \partial(h_{125})g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}\partial(h_{123}^{-1})g_{13}g_{15}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{125})g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}\partial\left(g_{35}^{-1}\triangleright(h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1}h_{135})\right)g_{13}g_{15}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{125})g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}g_{35}^{-1}\partial(h_{235}^{-1})\partial(h_{125}^{-1})\partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

Here, from the coloring restriction  $\delta_G(g_{235})$  on the 2-simplex  $[235]$ , when the coloring is correct,  $g_{235} = e$ . Similarly, from the coloring restriction  $\delta_G(g_{135})$  on the 2-simplex  $[135]$ , when the coloring is correct,  $g_{135} = e$ . These two conditions imply the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{235} &:= \partial(h_{235})g_{35}g_{23}g_{25}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff \underline{g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}g_{35}^{-1}\partial(h_{235}^{-1})} = e \\
g_{135} &:= \partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff \underline{\partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}} = g_{15}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Using the equation (A.12), we can transform the equation (A.11) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{125} &= \partial(h_{125})\underline{g_{25}g_{23}^{-1}g_{35}^{-1}\partial(h_{235}^{-1})}\partial(h_{125}^{-1})\underline{\partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}} \\
&= \partial(h_{125})\underline{e}\partial(h_{125}^{-1})\underline{g_{15}g_{15}^{-1}} \\
&= e
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

Therefore, by introducing  $g_{12}$  as in equation (A.3) and demonstrating that  $g_{125}$  is always equal to  $e$  when the other colors are correct, it has been shown.

Based on what has been established so far, organizing the equation (A.2) yields the following:



$$\begin{aligned}
Z(r.h.s) &= |G|^{-4}|H| \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{12} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jk) \in M'_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in M_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{123}) \delta_G(g_{124}) \delta_G(g_{125}) \delta_G(g_{134}) \delta_G(g_{135}) \delta_G(g_{145}) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H(h_{1234}) \delta_H(h_{1235}) \delta_H(h_{1245}) Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-4}|H| \frac{1}{|G|} \left( \prod_{(jk) \in M'_1} \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{jk} \in G} \right) \left( \prod_{(jkl) \in M_2} \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{jkl} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times |G|^3 \delta_G(g_{134}) \delta_G(g_{135}) \delta_G(g_{145}) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H(h_{1234}) \delta_H(h_{1235}) \delta_H(h_{1245}) Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

However, setting  $M'_1 = (13), (14), (15)$ .

Here, the reason for being able to simplify as indicated by the blue underlines is that, due to equation (A.3), once  $g_{13}$ ,  $g_{14}$ , and  $h_{123}$  are determined,  $\delta_G(g_{123})$  uniquely determines  $g_{12}$ . The part underlined in red is always  $g_{123} = g_{124} = g_{125} = e$  based on the results obtained so far. Comparing equation (A.14) with equation (A.1), apart from the summation part, the terms  $\delta_G(g_{345})$  on the l.h.s and  $\delta_G(g_{134})\delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{145})$  on the r.h.s are roughly equal. To establish the equality, it suffices to show that the terms  $\delta_H(h_{2345})$  on the l.h.s and  $\delta_H(h_{1234})\delta_H(h_{1235})\delta_H(h_{1245})$  on the r.h.s are also equal.

Therefore, to demonstrate the equality of  $\delta_G(g_{345})$  on the l.h.s and  $\delta_G(g_{134})\delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{145})$  on the r.h.s, consider the transformation of  $\delta_G(g_{134})\delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{145})$  on the r.h.s.

For the restriction  $g_{134}, g_{135}$  on the 2-simplex  $|134|, |135|$  in r.h.s, when the colors are correct and  $g_{134} = g_{135} = e$ , it can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{134} &:= \partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}g_{14}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff g_{14} = \partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13} \\
g_{135} &:= \partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff g_{15}^{-1} = g_{13}^{-1}g_{35}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}^{-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Therefore, assuming that  $g_{14}$  and  $g_{15}$  always vary in such a way as to satisfy the equation (A.15), substituting the result of equation (A.15) into  $g_{14}$  and

$g_{15}$  in  $\delta_G(g_{145})$  gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_G(g_{145}) &:= \delta_G\left(\partial(h_{145})g_{45}g_{14}g_{15}^{-1}\right) \\
&= \delta_G\left(\partial(h_{145})\underline{g_{45}\partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}g_{13}^{-1}g_{35}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}^{-1})}\right) \\
&= \delta_G\left(\underline{\partial(h_{135}^{-1})\partial(h_{145})}\underline{(g_{45} \triangleright \partial(h_{134}))g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1}}\right) \\
&= \delta_G\left(\partial(h'_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Here, we set  $h'_{345} := h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})$ .

Next, consider the transformation of  $\delta_H(h_{1234})\delta_H(h_{1235})\delta_H(h_{1245})$  on the r.h.s. Here, for the restriction  $h_{1234}, h_{1235}$  on the 3-simplex  $|1234|, |1235|$  in the r.h.s, when the colors are correct and  $h_{1234} = h_{1235} = e$ , it can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1234} &:= h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff h_{124} = h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1} \\
h_{1235} &:= h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff h_{125}^{-1} = h_{235}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{135}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

Therefore, assuming that  $h_{124}$  and  $h_{125}$  always vary in such a way as to satisfy the equation (A.17), substituting the result of equation (A.17) into  $h_{124}$  and  $h_{125}$  in  $\delta_H(h_{1245})$  gives the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_H(h_{1234}) &:= \delta_H\left(h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright (h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}))h_{245}^{-1}h_{235}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}^{-1})h_{135}^{-1}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left(\underline{h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})}\underline{(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}^{-1})h_{245}^{-1}h_{235}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}^{-1})}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left(\underline{(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})}\underline{(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}^{-1})h_{245}^{-1}h_{235}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}^{-1})}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left(\underline{h'_{345}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}^{-1})h_{245}^{-1}h_{235}}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left((h'_{345}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}^{-1})h_{245}^{-1}h_{235})^{-1}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left(h_{235}^{-1}h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})h'_{345}{}^{-1}\right) \\
&= \delta_H\left(h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})h'_{345}{}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

In this transformation, the reason for the red underlined part being able to be simplified is because we previously defined  $h'_{345} := h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})$ . The reason for being able to simplify as indicated by the blue underlines is that the colors of the 2-simplices  $|135|, |145|, |134|$  are correct, i.e.  $g_{135} = g_{145} = g_{134} = e$ , and this is satisfied through the transformation below.

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123}) &= h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45}\underline{\partial(h_{134})g_{34}} \triangleright h_{123})(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \\
&= h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45}\underline{g_{14}g_{13}^{-1}} \triangleright h_{123})(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \\
&= h_{135}^{-1}(\underline{\partial(h_{145})g_{45}g_{14}g_{13}^{-1}} \triangleright h_{123})h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \\
&= h_{135}^{-1}(\underline{g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}} \triangleright h_{123})h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \\
&= (\underline{\partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}} \triangleright h_{123})h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \\
&= (\underline{g_{35}} \triangleright h_{123})h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

Using the results from the above equations (A.16) and (A.18), equation (A.14) can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(r.h.s) &= |G|^{-5}|H|^{-5} \sum_{g_{13} \in G} \sum_{g_{14} \in G} \sum_{g_{15} \in G} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{h_{123} \in H} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \sum_{h_{125} \in H} \sum_{h_{134} \in H} \sum_{h_{135} \in H} \sum_{h_{145} \in H} \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{134})\delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{145}) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H(h_{1234})\delta_H(h_{1235})\delta_H(h_{1245})Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-5}|H|^{-5} \sum_{g_{13} \in G} \sum_{h_{123} \in H} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \sum_{h_{125} \in H} \sum_{h_{145} \in H} \\
&\quad \times |G|^2 \delta_G\left(\partial(h'_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1}\right) \\
&\quad \times |H|^2 \delta_H\left(h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})h'_{345}{}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}\right)Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-5}|H|^{-5} \underbrace{|G||H|^3}_{h'_{345} \in H} \sum_{h'_{345} \in H} \\
&\quad \times |G|^2 \delta_G\left(\partial(h'_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1}\right) \\
&\quad \times |H|^2 \delta_H\left(h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})h'_{345}{}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}\right)Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-2}|H| \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h'_{345} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G\left(\partial(h'_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1}\right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_H\left(h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})h'_{345}{}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}\right)Z_{remainder} \\
&= Z(l.h.s)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.20}$$

As a result,  $Z(r.h.s) = Z(l.h.s)$  has been proved, showing that equation (4.1) remains invariant for the 3-dimensional (1-3) move.

### (b) 3-dimensional (2-2) move in Figure 8

Finally, for the 3-dimensional (2-2) move in Figure 8, let's verify that equation (4.1) remains invariant. Similar to before, as the independence on the choice of the total order of 0-simplices has been established, we will

perform the calculation based on the total order in Figure 8. We designate the left side of Figure 8 as l.h.s and the right side as r.h.s. In this case, for l.h.s, equation (4.1) can be expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(l.h.s) &= |G|^{-5+9-6}|H|^{5-9+6-2} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{24} \in G} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \right) \\
&\times \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{234} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{245} \in H} \right) \delta_G(g_{124}) \delta_G(g_{245}) \delta_G(g_{234}) \\
&\times \delta_H(h_{1245}) \delta_H(h_{1234}) Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

And on the r.h.s side, it becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(r.h.s) &= |G|^{-5+9-6}|H|^{5-9+6-2} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{35} \in G} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{135} \in H} \right) \\
&\times \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{235} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{345} \in H} \right) \delta_G(g_{135}) \delta_G(g_{235}) \delta_G(g_{345}) \\
&\times \delta_H(h_{1235}) \delta_H(h_{1345}) Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

And here, the term  $Z_{remainder}$  is defined as before, representing the common terms between l.h.s and r.h.s.

Let's first simplify the expression for l.h.s. For the 2-simplex  $[124]$  in l.h.s, the restriction on  $g_{124}$  implies that  $g_{124} = e$  when the color is correct. Therefore, let  $g_{24}$  vary in such a way that it satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{124} &:= \partial(h_{124})g_{24}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff g_{24} = \partial(h_{124}^{-1})g_{14}g_{12}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.23}$$

Using the expression (A.23), by substituting it into the restrictions  $g_{234}$ ,  $g_{245}$  for the 2-simplices  $[234]$  and  $[245]$ , we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{234} &:= \partial(h_{234})g_{34}g_{23}g_{24}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{234})g_{34}g_{23}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1}\partial(h_{124})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{245} &:= \partial(h_{245})g_{45}g_{24}g_{25}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{245})g_{45}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})g_{14}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

Here, for the restrictions  $h_{1245}, h_{1234}$  of the 3-simplex  $|1245|$  and  $|1234|$  in l.h.s, when the colors are correct,  $h_{1245} = h_{1234} = e$  holds, and therefore, the following equation is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1234} &:= h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{234} = h_{124}^{-1}h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.26})$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1245} &:= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{124})h_{245}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{245} = h_{124}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{125}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.27})$$

Here, substituting the expression (A.26) into the expression (A.24) for  $h_{234}$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{234} &= \partial(h_{234})g_{34}g_{23}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1}\partial(h_{124}) \\ &= \partial\left(h_{124}^{-1}h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})\right)g_{34}g_{23}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1}\partial(h_{124}) \\ &= \partial(h_{124}^{-1})\partial(h_{134})g_{34}\underline{\partial(h_{123})g_{23}g_{12}g_{14}^{-1}}\partial(h_{124}) \\ &= \partial(h_{124}^{-1})\partial(h_{134})\underline{g_{34}g_{13}g_{14}^{-1}}\partial(h_{124}) \\ &= \partial(h_{124}^{-1})\underline{g_{14}g_{14}^{-1}}\partial(h_{124}) \\ &= e \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.28})$$

When transforming the expression (A.28), the correctness of the color factors for the 2-quartets  $|123|$  and  $|134|$  was ensured, namely, by using  $g_{123} = g_{134} = e$ . Substituting (A.27) into (A.25) for  $h_{245}$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{245} &= \partial(h_{245})g_{45}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})g_{14}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \partial\left(h_{125}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{124})\right)g_{45}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})g_{14}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \partial(h_{125}^{-1})\partial(h_{145})g_{45}\underline{\partial(h_{124})}\partial(h_{124}^{-1})\underline{g_{14}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1}} \\ &= \partial(h_{125}^{-1})\underline{g_{15}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1}} \\ &= \underline{g_{25}g_{25}^{-1}} \\ &= e \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Using  $g_{145} = g_{125} = e$  in the transformation of (A.29), we get the following:

Now, let's consider the transformation of  $h_{1245}$  and  $h_{1234}$  in l.h.s. Since  $h_{1234} = e$  due to the correct coloring of the 3-simplex  $|1234|$ , let's assume that  $h_{124}$  moves in such a way that it always satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1234} &:= h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}h_{124}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{124} = h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Using the expression (A.30), substituting into  $h_{1245}$  for  $h_{124}$ , we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1245} &:= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{124})h_{245}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145} \left( g_{45} \triangleright (h_{134}(g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h_{234}^{-1}) \right) h_{245}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145} (g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) (g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123}) \underline{(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234}^{-1})} h_{245}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145} (g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) (g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123}) \underline{h^{-1}h_{125}^{-1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.31}$$

However, in the last equation, we express it as  $h := h_{245}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{234})$ . Using the results from equations (A.23) to (A.31), the expression (A.21) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(l.h.s) &= |G|^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{24} \in G} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{124} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{234} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{245} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{124})\delta_G(g_{245})\delta_G(g_{234})\delta_H(h_{1245})\delta_H(h_{1234})Z_{remainder} \\
&= |G|^{-2} \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|H|} \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times |G|^3 |H| \delta_H(h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h^{-1}h_{125}^{-1})Z_{remainder}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.32}$$

Next, similarly, let's transform the r.h.s. When it comes to the color factor  $g_{135}$  for the 2-simplex  $|135|$  on the r.h.s., if its color is correct, i.e.,  $g_{135} = e$ , we assume that  $g_{35}$  adjusts itself to satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{135} &:= \partial(h_{135})g_{35}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1} = e \\
&\iff g_{35} = \partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.33}$$

Using the expression (A.33), substituting into  $g_{35}$  in  $g_{235}$  and  $g_{345}$  we get next formula:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{235} &:= \partial(h_{235})g_{35}g_{23}g_{25}^{-1} \\
&\iff \partial(h_{235})\partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}g_{23}g_{25}^{-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{A.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{345} &:= \partial(h_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{35}^{-1} \\
&= \partial(h_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}\partial(h_{135})
\end{aligned} \tag{A.35}$$

Here, for the l.h.s. expressions  $h_{1235}$  and  $h_{1345}$ , when the color factors are correct, i.e.,  $h_{1235} = h_{1345} = e$ , the following equation holds.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1235} &:= h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{235} = h_{125}^{-1}h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.36})$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1345} &:= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})h_{345}^{-1}h_{135}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{345} = h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Here, substituting the expression (A.36) into the equation for  $h_{235}$  in (A.34), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{235} &= \partial(h_{235})\partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}g_{23}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \partial(h_{125}^{-1}h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}))\partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}g_{23}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \partial(h_{125}^{-1})\cancel{\partial(h_{135})}\partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{13}^{-1}\partial(h_{123})g_{23}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \partial(h_{125}^{-1})g_{15}g_{12}^{-1}g_{25}^{-1} \\ &= \underline{g_{25}g_{25}^{-1}} \\ &= e \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.38})$$

When transforming the expression (A.38), the color factors  $g_{123} = g_{125} = e$  were used. Similarly, substituting the expression (A.37) into  $h_{345}$  in equation (A.35) results in the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{345} &= \partial(h_{345})g_{45}g_{34}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}) \\ &= \partial(h_{135}^{-1}h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134}))g_{45}g_{34}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}) \\ &= \partial(h_{135}^{-1})\partial(h_{145})g_{45}\underline{\partial(h_{134})g_{34}g_{13}g_{15}^{-1}}\partial(h_{135}) \\ &= \partial(h_{135}^{-1})\partial(h_{145})g_{45}g_{14}g_{15}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}) \\ &= \partial(h_{135}^{-1})g_{15}g_{15}^{-1}\partial(h_{135}) \\ &= e \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.39})$$

When transforming the expression (A.29), the color factors  $g_{134} = g_{145} = e$  were used.

Next, let's consider the transformation of the r.h.s. expressions  $h_{1235}$  and  $h_{1345}$ . Due to the correct color factor for the 3-simplex  $|1345|$ , i.e.,  $h_{1345} = e$ , we use this to ensure that  $h_{135}$  always satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1345} &:= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})h_{345}^{-1}h_{135}^{-1} = e \\ &\iff h_{135} = h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})h_{345}^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.40})$$



Using the expression (A.40), substituting into  $h_{1235}$  for  $h_{135}$  yields the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{1235} &:= h_{135}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})\underline{h_{345}^{-1}(g_{35} \triangleright h_{123})}h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})\underline{\left(\partial(h_{345}^{-1})g_{35} \triangleright h_{123}\right)}h_{345}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \quad (\text{A.41}) \\
&= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})\underline{(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})}h_{345}^{-1}h_{235}^{-1}h_{125}^{-1} \\
&= h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h'^{-1}h_{125}^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

However, in the last equation, we express it as  $h' := h_{235}h_{345}$ . In the underlined portion in red, due to the correct color factor for the 2-simplex  $|345|$ , i.e.,  $g_{345} = e$ , this holds.

Using the results from equations (A.33) to (A.41), the expression (A.22) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\text{r.h.s.}) &= |G|^{-2} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g_{35} \in G} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{135} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{235} \in H} \right) \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h_{345} \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times \delta_G(g_{135})\delta_G(g_{235})\delta_G(g_{345})\delta_H(h_{1235})\delta_H(h_{1345})Z_{\text{remainder}} \\
&= |G|^{-2} \frac{1}{|G|} \frac{1}{|H|} \left( \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h' \in H} \right) \\
&\quad \times |G|^3 |H| \delta_H(h_{145}(g_{45} \triangleright h_{134})(g_{45}g_{34} \triangleright h_{123})h'^{-1}h_{125}^{-1}) Z_{\text{remainder}} \quad (\text{A.42})
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing equation (A.32) with equation (A.42), it is evident that the forms of the equations are identical. Therefore, we can conclude that  $Z(\text{l.h.s.}) = Z(\text{r.h.s.})$ . Thus, we have established the invariance of equation (4.1) with respect to the moves depicted in Figure 8.

## Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude for my supervisor Professor Yuji Terashima. He gave me a really large amount of worthwhile advices and suggestions, and offered a very comfortable place that made my life of research so pleasant. Without his continual help and encouragement, this paper would not be completed.

## References

- [1] J.W. Barrett and B.W. Westbury, *Invariants of piecewise-linear 3-manifolds*, Trans. A.M.S. **348** (1996), 3997-4022.
- [2] Tijana Radenkovic' and Marko Vojinovic', *Topological invariant of 4-manifolds based on a 3-group*, Journal of High Energy Physics **105 (2022)** (2022), DOI [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2022\)105](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2022)105).
- [3] J.H.C.Whitehead, *Combinatorial homotopy.2*, In:Bull.Amer.Math.Soc **55** (1949), 453-496.
- [4] F.Girelli, H.Pfeiffer, and E.M.Popescu, *Topological Higher Gauge Theory-from BF to BF CG theory*, J. Math. Phys **49** (2008).
- [5] J. R. Munkres, *Elementary Differential Topology*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 54, Princeton University Press, 1967.
- [6] M.Wakui, "On Dijkgraaf-Witten invariants of 3-manifolds" (2011).
- [7] V.G. Turaev and O.Y. Viro, *State sum invariants of 3-manifolds and quantum 6j-symbols*, Topology **31** (1992), 865-902.
- [8] U. Pachner, *P.L. homeomorphic manifolds are equivalent by elementary shellings*, Europ. J. Comb. **12** (1991), 129-145.
- [9] ———, *Bistellare Aquivalenz kombinatorischer Mannigfaltigkeiten*, (German) Arch. Math. (Basel) **30** (1978), 89-98.
- [10] T. Porter, *Topological quantum field theories from homotopy n-types*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 58 **No.3** (1998), 723-732.
- [11] J.W. Alexander, *The combinatorial theory of complexes*, Ann. of. Math **31** (1930), 292-320.
- [12] Edwin E. Moise, *Geometric Topology in Dimensions 2 and 3*, GTM, vol. 47, Springer New York, 1977.
- [13] B. Noohi, *Notes on 2-groupoids, 2-groups and crossed modules*, In: Homology, Homotopy **Appl.** **9.1** (2007), 75-106.