

# THE STABILITY OF A BIADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION VIA A NEW DIRECT METHOD

GANG LU\*, YANG LIU, YUANFENG JIN\*, AND QI LIU

ABSTRACT. Addressing stability in functional equations is a critical task with broad implications across mathematics and its applications. In this paper, we present a novel direct method for proving the stability of the following equation,

$$f(x, y) = \alpha f(f_1(x, y)) + \beta f(f_2(x, y))$$

subjecting to certain constraints on the constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , as well as the functions  $f_1(x, y)$  and  $f_2(x, y)$ . We introduce the direct method to prove the stability of the following functional equation and inequality,

$$\|f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \leq \|x\|^p \|y\|^p \|z\|^p \|w\|^p;$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x + y, z - w) + af\left(\frac{x - y}{a}, z + w\right) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w))\|. \end{aligned}$$

This technique efficiently confirms stability, outperforming traditional proof methods in simplicity and efficacy. The application of our direct approach to various equations solidifies its validity, ensuring stable behavior across different mathematical contexts.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The concept of investigating the stability of functional equations originated from a challenge presented by S.M. Ulam regarding the approximations of homomorphisms between groups (refer to [31]). Hyers [17] provided a partial resolution to this problem

Subsequently, numerous papers on Cauchy equations emerged, utilizing the direct approach to demonstrate stability.(see [4, 16, 18, 24, 28]). Indeed, the importance tool is to discuss the stability of a suitable functional equation. For some information concerning various functional equations (see [2, 3, 6, 5, 10, 7, 8, 9, 11, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 32]). In[14], Forti provided a broad methodology that can yield numerous stability outcomes without the need for repetitive procedures. However, his result cannot be used for each functional equation. Sikorska [29] built the a direct method (see, e.g., [34, 35]) to improve the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B82, 39B52, 47H10, 46B25.

*Key words and phrases.* Hyers-Ulam stability; Functional Equations, Stability, Direct Method, Inequality.

\*Corresponding authors: lvgang@gzgs.edu.cn (G. Lu); yfkim@ybu.edu.cn (Y. Jin).

approximating constant for the following mappings

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) - af(h(x)) - bf(-h(x))\| &\leq \epsilon(x); \\ \|f(x) - af(h^n(x)) - bf(h^{n+1}(x))\| &\leq \epsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Recently, several mathematicians investigated the problem of Hyers-Ulam stability of various functional equations (see [1, 12, 15, 19, 20, 27, 29, 30, 33]). The present approach enables us to study several equations not covered by earlier results.

We introduce the a direct method to obtain the Hyers-Ulam stability result for a two-variable function equations in Banach spaces.

Throughout the paper, let  $\mathbb{N}$  be the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{R}_+$  denote the set of all positive reals,  $Y^{X \times X}$  be the family of all mappings from  $X \times X$  to  $Y$ . The main theme of the present work is to analyze the stability of the solution of the following functional equation

$$\|f(x, y) - \alpha f(f_1(x, y)) - \beta f(f_2(x, y))\| \leq \mu(x, y), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers, and mappings  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X, \mu : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are arbitrarily given. In fact, the above inequality is still more general form. In Section 2, we give some improvements to the approximations known so far. In Section 3, we find several applications of the stability results. For the sake of simplicity we provide our results for functions with values in Banach spaces, but with some small additional assumptions they can be formulated in complete metric spaces or other spaces.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a linear normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. Let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|f(x, y) - \alpha f(f_1(x, y)) - \beta f(f_2(x, y))\| \leq \mu(x, y) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers, and the mappings  $f_1, f_2 : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X, \mu : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are arbitrarily given,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\Lambda^n \mu)(x, y) =: \mu^*(x, y) < \infty$$

holds and  $\Lambda$  is a linear operator defined by

$$(\Lambda \delta)(x, y) := |A|\delta(f_1(x, y)) + |B|\delta(f_2(x, y))$$

for  $\delta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , then there exists a unique determined mapping  $K : X \times X \rightarrow Y$

$$K(x, y) = \alpha K(f_1(x, y)) + \beta K(f_2(x, y)), (x, y) \in X \times X,$$

such that

$$\|f(x, y) - K(x, y)\| \leq \mu^*(x, y) \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T : Y^{X \times X} \rightarrow Y^{X \times X}$  be an operator satisfying  $(Tf)(x, y) = \alpha f(f_1(x, y)) + \beta f(f_2(x, y))$  in (2.1). Then we get

$$\|f(x, y) - (Tf)(x, y)\| \leq \mu(x, y). \quad (2.3)$$

At same time, we can see

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(T\xi)(x, y) - (T\zeta)(x, y)\| \\ & \leq |\alpha| \|\xi(f_1(x, y)) - \zeta(f_1(x, y))\| + |\beta| \|\xi(f_2(x, y)) - \zeta(f_2(x, y))\| \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all  $\xi, \zeta \in Y^{X \times X}$ ,  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . First, we get by induction that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|(T^n f)(x, y) - (T^{n+1} f)(x, y)\| \leq (\Lambda^n \mu)(x, y), (x, y) \in X \times X. \quad (2.5)$$

Obviously, from (2.3), the case  $n = 0$  holds. Now fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and suppose that the inequality (2.5) is valid. Then, using (2.4), for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(T^{n+1} f)(x, y) - (T^{n+2} f)(x, y)\| \\ & \leq |\alpha| \|(T^n f)(f_1(x, y)) - (T^{n+1} f)(f_1(x, y))\| + |\beta| \|(T^n f)(f_2(x, y)) - (T^{n+1} f)(f_2(x, y))\| \\ & \leq |\alpha| (\Lambda^n \mu)(f_1(x, y)) + |\beta| (\Lambda^n \mu)(f_2(x, y)) = (\Lambda^{n+1} \mu)(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Thus, we complete the proof of (2.5). For  $n, k \in \mathcal{N}, k > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T^n f)(x, y) - (T^{n+k} f)(x, y)\| & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|(T^n f)(x, y) - (T^{n+i+1} f)(x, y)\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+k-1} (\Lambda^i \mu)(x, y) \leq \mu^*(x, y), (x, y) \in X \times X. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From the convergence of the series  $\sum (\Lambda^n \mu)(x, y)$ , for every  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ ,  $\{(T^n f)(x, y)\}_{n \in \mathcal{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $Y$  is a Banach space, we can define  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^n f)(x, y) := \psi(x, y)$ . Taking  $n = 0$  and  $k \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.7), we know that (2.2) holds, and

$$\|(T\psi)(x, y) - (T^{n+1} f)(x, y)\| \leq (\Lambda^{n+1} \mu)(x, y), n \in \mathcal{N}, (x, y) \in X \times X,$$

and thus

$$(T\psi)(x, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T^{n+1} \psi)(x, y) = \psi(x, y), (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

In order to prove the uniqueness of  $\psi$ , suppose that  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in Y^{X \times X}$  are two fixed points of  $T$  with  $\|\psi_i(x, y) - f(x, y)\| \leq \mu^*(x, y)$  for every  $(x, y) \in X \times X, i = 1, 2$ . We can easily show that

$$\|\psi_1(x, y) - \psi_2(x, y)\| = \|(T^m \psi_1)(x, y) - (T^m \psi_2)(x, y)\| \leq 2 \sum_{i=m}^{\infty} (\Lambda^i \mu)(x, y), x \in X.$$

Thus  $\psi_1(x, y) = \psi_2(x, y)$ . □

**Remark 2.2.** We can easily prove

$$\left\| f(x, y) - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (f(f_i(x, y))) \right\| \leq \mu(x)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Thus there exists a unique determined mapping  $K : X \rightarrow Y$

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i K(f_i(x, y)), (x, y) \in X \times X,$$

such that

$$\|f(x, y) - K(x, y)\| \leq \mu^*(x, y)$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

### 3. APPLICATION

In this section, we provide an example of how the various functional equations can be applied in practice.

**3.1. General two-variable functional mapping.** Let us recall that a mapping  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is biadditive provided

$$f(x + y, w) = f(x, w) + f(y, w), \quad f(x, z + w) = f(x, z) + f(x, w)$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ . El-Fassi [13] investigated the stability of the following functional equation

$$f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) = 2f(x, z) - 2f(y, w).$$

The problem is of profound significance for the stability of functional equations.

Next, the type of the following function equation can be demonstrated by using a different method.

$$f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) = 2f(x, z) - 2f(y, w).$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a linear normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. Let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\|f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \leq \|x\|^p \|y\|^p \|z\|^p \|w\|^p, \quad (3.1)$$

with  $p > 3$ , for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ . If  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is symmetric (i.e.,  $f(x, y) = f(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in X$ ), then there is a biadditive mapping  $K$  such that

$$\|K(x, y) - f(x, y)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \left(3 \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2 \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right)} \left(\frac{12}{25}\right)^p \|x\|^{2p} \|y\|^{2p}$$

for all  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ .

*Proof.* From the inequality (3.1), we get

$$\left\| f(x, y) + f\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2f\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) - 2f\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) \right\| \leq \left(\frac{12}{25}\right)^p \|x\|^{2p} \|y\|^{2p} \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Consider  $T : Y^{X \times X} \rightarrow Y^{X \times X}$  and  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given as

$$T\xi(x, y) = -\xi\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2\xi\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) - 2\xi\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X, \xi \in Y^{X \times X}$  and

$$\mu(x, y) = \left(\frac{12}{25}\right)^p \|x\|^{2p} \|y\|^{2p}, \quad x \in X.$$

The inequality (3.2) becomes

$$\|Tf(x, y) - f(x, y)\| \leq \mu(x, y), \forall x, y \in X.$$

For every  $g, h \in Y^{X \times X}, x, y \in X,$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Tg(x, y) - Th(x, y)\| \\ &= \left\| 2g\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) - g\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) - 2g\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2h\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) + h\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2h\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) \right\| \\ & \leq 2 \left\| g\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) - h\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| g\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) - h\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) \right\| + 2 \left\| g\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) - h\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

So  $T$  satisfies the inequality (2.4) with  $f_1(x, y) = \left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right); f_2(x, y) = \left(-\frac{x}{5}, 3y\right); f_3(x, y) = \left(-\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right)$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = 2, \alpha_2 = 1$ . Next, we define the operator  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^X$ , which is given by

$$\Lambda\eta(x, y) = 2\eta\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) + \eta\left(-\frac{x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2\eta\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\mu(x, y) &= 2\mu\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) + \mu\left(-\frac{x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2\mu\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right) \\ &= \left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right) \mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is linear, we can get

$$\Lambda^n \mu(x) = \left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right)^n \mu(x), x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since  $\left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right) < 1$ , the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \mu(x)$  is convergent for every  $x \in X$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n \mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right)^n \mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right)} \mu(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \left(3\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{2p} + 2\left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^{2p}\right)} \left(\frac{12}{25}\right)^p \|x\|^{2p} \|y\|^{2p}, x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a mapping  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f(x, y) \\ K(x, y) &= -K\left(\frac{-x}{5}, 3y\right) + 2K\left(\frac{3x}{5}, y\right) - 2K\left(\frac{2x}{5}, 2y\right). \end{aligned}$$

By [13, Property 2.2],  $K$  satisfies the biadditive functional equation.  $\square$

**3.2. The functional inequality.** In this subsection, we solve and investigate the biadditive  $\rho$ -functional inequality in normed spaces, which as the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x + y, z - w) + af\left(\frac{x - y}{a}, z + w\right) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \\ &\leq \|\rho(f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w))\|. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a linear normed space,  $Y$  is a Banach space, and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \neq 1$ . Let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f(x + y, z - w) + af\left(\frac{x - y}{a}, z + w\right) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \\ &\leq \|\rho(f(x + y, z - w) + f(x - y, z + w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w))\|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ . If  $\rho < \frac{2}{5}$ , then  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is biadditive.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies (3.3). Letting  $y = x = w = z = 0$  in (3.3), we can get  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Similarly, assume that  $x = y = w = 0$  in (3.3),  $f(0, x) = 0$  can be obtained. By the same way, we can have  $f(x, 0) = 0$ .

Next, if  $y = x, w = 0$  in (3.3), then

$$\|f(2x, z) - 2f(x, z)\| \leq |\rho| \|f(2x, z) - 2f(x, z)\|$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . Thus  $f(2x, z) = 2f(x, z)$ . The same technique is applied to obtain  $af\left(\frac{x}{a}, z\right) = f(x, z)$ .

Letting  $w = 0$  in (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y, z) + f(x-y, z) - 2f(x, z)\| \\ &= \left\| f(x+y, z) + af\left(\frac{x-y}{a}, z\right) - 2f(x, z) \right\| \\ &\leq |\rho| \|f(x+y, z) + f(x-y, z) - 2f(x, z)\|, \end{aligned}$$

ans so  $f(x+y, z) + f(x-y, z) = 2f(x, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Next, we can obtain that  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  is additive in the second variable. Therefore  $f(x, y)$  is biadditive.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a linear normed space,  $Y$  is a Banach space, and  $a, \rho \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $2\rho \leq |1+a|$ . Let  $f : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f(x+y, z-w) + af\left(\frac{x-y}{a}, z+w\right) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w) \right\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(f(x+y, z-w) + f(x-y, z+w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w))\| \\ & + (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r + \|w\|^r), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ . If  $r > 2$ , then there exists a unique biadditive mapping  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x, z) - K(x, z)\| \leq \frac{2^{3+r}}{2^r - 1} \frac{\|x/2\|^r + \|z/2\|^r}{1 - |\rho|}, \quad x, z \in X.$$

*Proof.* Letting  $x = y = z = w = 0$  in (3.4), we get  $f(0, 0) = 0$ . Letting  $y = x, w = -z$  in (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(2x, 2z) + af(0, 0) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(x, -z)\| \\ & \leq \|\rho(f(2x, 2z) + f(0, 0) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(x, -z))\| + 2\|x\|^r + 2\|z\|^r, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ .

It follows from (3.5) that

$$\|f(x, z) - 2f(x/2, z/2) + 2f(x/2, -z/2)\| \leq \frac{2\|x/2\|^r + 2\|z/2\|^r}{1 - |\rho|}. \quad (3.6)$$

Consider  $T : Y^X \rightarrow Y^X$  and  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given as

$$Tf(x, z) = 2f(x/2, z/2) - 2f(x/2, -z/2) \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $x, z \in X, f \in Y^{X \times X}$  and

$$\mu(x, z) = \frac{2\|x/2\|^r + 2\|z/2\|^r}{1 - |\rho|}, \quad x, z \in X.$$

The inequality (3.6) becomes

$$\|(Tf)(x, z) - f(x, z)\| \leq \mu(x, z), \quad \forall x, z \in X. \quad (3.8)$$

For every  $g, h \in Y^{X \times X}$  and  $x, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Tg(x, z) - Th(x, z)\| \\ &= \|2g(x/2, z/2) - 2g(x/2, -z/2) - 2h(x/2, z/2) + 2h(x/2, -z/2)\| \\ &\leq 2\|g(x/2, z/2) - h(x/2, z/2)\| + 2\|g(x/2, -z/2) - h(x/2, -z/2)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Let  $f_1(x, z) = (x/2, z/2)$ ,  $f_2(x, z) = (x/2, -z/2)$  and  $a_1(x) = a_2(x) = 2$  in Remark 2.2. The operator  $\Lambda : \mathbb{R}_+^{X \times X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^{X \times X}$  is given by

$$\Lambda\eta(x, z) = 2\eta(x/2, z/2) + 2\eta(x/2, -z/2) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x, z \in X$ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda\mu(x, z) &= 2\mu(x/2, z/2) + 2\mu(x/2, -z/2) \\ &= 2\frac{2\|x/4\|^r + 2\|z/4\|^r}{1 - |\rho|} + 2\frac{2\|x/4\|^r + 2\|z/4\|^r}{1 - |\rho|} \\ &= \left(\frac{4}{2^r}\right)\mu(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

Since  $\Lambda$  is linear, we can get

$$\Lambda^n\mu(x, z) = \left(\frac{4}{2^r}\right)^n \mu(x, z), \quad x, z \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (3.12)$$

Since  $\left(\frac{4}{2^r}\right) < 1$ , the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n\mu(x)$  is convergent for every  $x \in X$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Lambda^n\mu(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4}{2^r}\right)^n \mu(x) \\ &= \frac{4}{1 - \frac{1}{2^r}} \mu(x, z) \\ &= \frac{2^{3+r}}{2^r - 1} \frac{\|x/2\|^r + \|z/2\|^r}{1 - |\rho|}, \quad x, z \in X. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.1, there exists a mapping  $K : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} K(x, z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n f(x, z) \\ K(x, z) &= 2K\left(\frac{x}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) - 2K\left(\frac{x}{2}, -\frac{z}{2}\right) \\ \|f(x, z) - K(x, z)\| &\leq \frac{4}{1 - \frac{1}{2^r}} \mu(x, z) \\ &= \frac{2^{3+r}}{2^r - 1} \frac{\|x/2\|^r + \|z/2\|^r}{1 - |\rho|}, \quad x, z \in X. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we prove that  $K$  satisfies the biadditive functional equation. Considering (3.4), we get

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - Af(ax) - Bf(by) - Df(-y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then, from the above inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f(x+y, z-w) + f(x-y, z+w) - 2f(x, z) + 2f(y, w)\| \\ & \leq 2(\|x\|^r + \|z\|^r) + \|y\|^r + \|w\|^r, x, y, z, w \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(Tf)(x+y, z-w) + (Tf)(x-y, z+w) - 2(Tf)(x, z) + 2(Tf)(y, w)\| \\ & \leq \frac{4}{2^r} \frac{2\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + 2\|z\|^r + \|w\|^r}{1-|\rho|} \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J^n f(x+y, z-w) + J^n f(x-y, z+w) - 2J^n f(x, z) + 2J^n f(y, w)\| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{4}{2^r}\right)^n \frac{2\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + 2\|z\|^r + \|w\|^r}{1-|\rho|} \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$K(x+y, z-w) + K(x-y, z+w) - 2K(x, z) + 2K(y, w) = 0, x, y \in X. \quad (3.17)$$

As a result,  $K(x, y)$  is a biadditive mapping.  $\square$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In the paper, we examined the stability of the biadditive function equation of the form

$$f(x, y) = \alpha f(f_1(x, y)) + \beta f(f_2(x, y)).$$

The employed approach is utilized to examine several functional equations that involve multiple variables, and stability theorems have been derived. Notably, this method does not impose any constraints on the parity, domain, or range of the function under scrutiny, unlike other techniques. We provided two examples to demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach.

#### DECLARATIONS

##### Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

##### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

##### Fundings

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 11761074), Project of Jilin Science and Technology Development for Leading Talent of Science and Technology Innovation in Middle and Young and Team Project (no. 20200301053RQ), Natural Science Foundation of Jilin Province (no. YDZJ202101ZYTS136), and Scientific Research Project of Guangzhou College of Technology and Business in 2023 (no. KYPY2023012).

### Authors' contributions

The authors equally conceived of the study, participated in its design and coordination, drafted the manuscript, participated in the sequence alignment, and read and approved the final manuscript.

### Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

### REFERENCES

1. L. Aiemsomboon and W. Sintunavarat, *Two new generalised hyperstability results for the Drygas functional equation*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **95** (2017), 269–280.
2. L. Aiemsomboon and W. Sintunavarat, *Stability of the generalized logarithmic functional equations arising from fixed point theory*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **112** (2018), 229–238.
3. N. Alessa, K. Tamilvanan, G. Balasubramanian and K. Loganathan, *Stability results of the functional equation deriving from quadratic function in random normed spaces*, AIMS Math. **6** (2021), 2385–2397.
4. T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
5. A. Bahyrycz, J. Sikorska, *On a general  $n$ -linear functional equation*, Results Math. **77** (2022), Paper No. 128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-022-01627-2>
6. J. Brzdęk, J. Chudziak and Zs. Páles, *A fixed point approach to stability of functional equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **74** (2011), 6728–6732.
7. L. Cădariu, L. Găvruta and P. Găvruta, *Fixed points and generalized Hyers-Ulam stability*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012** (2012), Article ID 712743.
8. Y. Cho, C. Park and R. Saadati, *Functional inequalities in non-Archimedean Banach spaces*, Appl. Math. Lett. **23** (2010), 1238–1242.
9. Y. Cho, R. Saadati and Y. Yang, *Approximation of homomorphisms and derivations on Lie  $C^*$ -algebras via fixed point method*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2013** (2013), Paper No. 415.
10. K. Ciepliński, *Applications of fixed point theorems to the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations—a survey*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **3** (2012), 151–164.
11. B. R. Ebanks, P. L. Kannappan and P. K. Sahoo, *A common generalization of functional equations characterizing normed and quasi-inner-product spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **35** (1992), 321–327.
12. I. El-Fassi, *Generalized hyperstability of a Drygas functional equation on a restricted domain using Brzdek's fixed point theorem*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), 2529–2540.
13. I. El-Fassi, J. Brzdęk, A. Chahbi and S. Kabbaj, *On hyperstability of the biadditive functional equation*, Acta Math. Sci. **37** (2017), no. 6, 1727–1739. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(17\)30103-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(17)30103-0)
14. G. L. Forti, *Comments on the core of the direct method for proving Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **295** (2004), 127–133.
15. G. L. Forti, *Stability of quadratic and Drygas functional equations with an application for solving alternative quadratic equation*, T. M. Rassias (ed.), *Handbook of Functional Equations*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications **96**, New York, 2014, pp. 155–179.
16. P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
17. D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **27** (1941), 222–224.
18. D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.

19. Z. Jin and J. Wu, *Ulam stability of some fuzzy number-valued functional equations and Drygas type functional equation*, J. Southwest Univ. **4** (2018), 59–66.
20. S. Jung and P. K. Sahoo, *Stability of a functional equation of Drygas*, Aequationes Math. **64** (2002), 263–273.
21. G. Lu, Q. Liu, Y. Jin and J. Xie, *3-Variable Jensen  $\rho$ -functional equations*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl. **9** (2016), 5995–6003.
22. G. Lu and C. Park, *Hyers-Ulam stability of additive set-valued functional equations*, Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 1312–1316.
23. G. Lu and C. Park, *Hyers-Ulam stability of general Jensen-type mappings in Banach algebras*, Results Math. **66** (2014), 385–404.
24. Z. Moszner, *On the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **77** (2009), 33–88.
25. C. Park, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2007** (2007), Article ID 50175.
26. C. Park, Y. Cho and M. Han, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von-Neumann-type additive functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2007** (2007), Article ID 41820.
27. M. Piszczek and J. Szczawińska, *Stability of the Drygas functional equation on restricted domain*, Results Math. **68** (2015), 11–24.
28. Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
29. J. Sikorska, *On a direct method for proving the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **372** (2010), 99–109.
30. W. Smajdor, *On set-valued solutions of a functional equation of Drygas*, Aequationes Math. **77** (2009), 89–97.
31. S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.
32. Z. Wang, *Stability of two types of cubic fuzzy set-valued functional equations*, Results Math. **70** (2016), 1–14.
33. D. Yang, *Remarks on the stability of Drygas equation and the Pexider quadratic equation*, Aequationes Math. **68** (2004), 108–116.
34. D. Zhang, Q. Liu, J. M. Rassias and Y. Li, *The stability of functional equations with a new direct method*, Math. **10** (2022), Paper No. 1188. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math10071188>
35. D. Zhang, J. M. Rassias, Q. Liu and Y. Li, *A note on the stability of functional equations via a celebrated direct method*, Eur. J. Math. Anal. **3** (2022), Paper No. 7. doi: 10.28924/ada/ma.3.7

GANG LU

SCHOOL OF GENERAL EDUCATION, GUANGZHOU COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY AND BUSINESS,  
GUANGZHOU 510850, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* lvgang1234@163.com

YANG LIU

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANBIAN UNIVERSITY, YANJI 133001, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* 1253237405@qq.com

YUANFENG JIN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YANBIAN UNIVERSITY, YANJI 133001, P.R. CHINA

*Email address:* yfkim@ybu.edu.cn

QI LIU

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, ANQING NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ANQING 246001, P.R.  
CHINA

*Email address:* liuqi67@aqnu.edu.cn