CORKS

SELMAN AKBULUT

ABSTRACT. Remarks relating the various notions of corks.

I recall, my advisor Kirby kindly named the object I had constructed in [A2] "Akbulut cork". Since then, this concept went through some evolutions, resulting some confusions with its various different definitions. To clarify them here, I decided to collect them into mutually consistent definitions.

Definition: A **loose cork** of a smooth manifold M is a pair (W, f), where W is a smooth contractible submanifold of M with involution $f : \partial W \to \partial W$, such that cutting W out of M then regluing with f changes the smooth structure of M. A **cork** (W, f) is a loose cork, which is a Stein manifold.

We call the operation of cutting W from M and reglueing by map f a (loose) cork-twisting operation on M. At first glance the 'Stein' condition might look artificial, but it really is not, because by [AM] every loose cork (W, f) contains a cork, hence cork is a smaller fundamental object. Furthermore, given a contractible manifold with involution on its boundary (W, f), it is difficult to decide whether W is a loose cork or not (i.e. is there a corresponding M?). If W is a Stein manifold, by [LM] and [AO] we can compactify W to a closed symplectic manifold M, which would play the role of M in the above definition.

Sometimes after I wrote [A2], I realized that, even though the cork, I constructed there, looks like the manifold which Mazur had defined in his Annals paper, it is not. Mazur's manifold is not even a 'loose cork'!

Matveyev [M] generalized [A2] by proving any exotic copy M' of a simply connected closed smooth 4-manifold M can be obtained by performing a loose cork-twisting operation on M. Hence by [AM] it can be obtained by a corktwisting operation on M. Curtis-Freedman-Hsiang-Stong [CFHS] proved a weaker version of [M] without mentioning a cork, namely "M' can be obtained by cutting out a smooth compact contractible submanifold of M, then gluing another smooth compact contractible manifold with the same boundary".

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 58D27, 58A05, 57R65.

SELMAN AKBULUT

By weakening the involution condition f on a loose cork (W, f) one can construct an infinite order loose cork; [A3] and [G] are such examples. Notice that in minute 1:14:20 of the talk in [A4] I am trying to construct an infinite order cork (with "Stein" condition), which we still don't know if exists.

References

- [A1] S. Akbulut, 4-Manifolds, OxfordUP (2016)
- [A2] S. Akbulut, A Fake compact contractible 4-manifold, Journ. of Diff. Geom. 33, (1991), 335-356.
- [A3] S.Akbulut, On infinite order corks PGGT (2017), IP Paperback, ISBN 9781571463401 151-157.
- [A4] S.Akbulut, A talk on infinite order corks (2014): https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J0EaxAy0DTo&list=PLZQg0F7a2hhVpsnYRyMVZXALiVUpB1Dk6&index=3&t
- [AM] S. Akbulut, R. Matveyev, A convex decomposition theorem for 4-manifolds, IMRN International Math Research Notices, 1998, No.7 371-381
- [AO] S. Akbulut, B. Ozbagci, On the Topology of Compact Stein Surfaces, IMRN, vol 15 (2002), 769-782
- [AY] S. Akbulut and K. Yasui, Corks, plugs and exotic structures, J. Gokova Geom. Topol. 2 (2008) 40–82.
- [G] R. E. Gompf Infinite order corks (2016) arXiv:1603.05090.
- [LM] P. Lisca and G. Matic Tight contact structures and Seiberg-Witten invariants, Invent. Math.129 (1997) 509–525.
- [M] R. Matveyev, A decomposition of smooth simply-connected h-cobordant 4-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), no. 3, pp. 571–582.
- [CFHS] C.L. Curtis, M.H. Freedman, W.C. Hsiang, R. Stong, A decomposition theorem for h-cobordant smooth simply-connected compact 4-manifolds., Invent. Math. 123 (1996) no.2, pp. 343–348.

GÖKOVA GEOMETRY TOPOLOGY INSTITUTE, MUĞLA, TURKIYE *Email address*: akbulut.selman@gmail.com

 $\mathbf{2}$