

ANCELLATION PROPERTIES AND POINTWISE BOUNDS FOR THE GREEN'S FUNCTIONS FOR THE LAPLACE OPERATOR

DAVID HOFF

Indiana University
Department of Mathematics
Bloomington, IN, USA

June 24, 2024

We derive a cancellation property satisfied by the derivatives of the Green's functions for the Laplace operator corresponding to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on bounded sets in \mathbf{R}^n . The main result is derived in a broader, self-contained exposition which includes construction of and basic pointwise bounds for the Green's functions and their derivatives. We also give an application of the cancellation property to a problem in fluid mechanics.

1. INTRODUCTION

We derive a cancellation property satisfied by derivatives of the Green's functions G_D and G_N for the Laplace operator corresponding respectively to Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions on subsets Ω of \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. This cancellation property is expressed in terms of pointwise bounds independent of distances to $\partial\Omega$ and generalizes Newton's third law concerning equal and opposite forces. Bounds for arbitrary derivatives $D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}$ are also derived, partial results being known but not otherwise easily accessed in the literature. The analysis applies certain "interior-type estimates at the boundary" which although well established in greater generality and corresponding technicality, admit fairly straightforward derivations for second-order scalar elliptic equations; these derivations are therefore included as well. Besides introducing the cancellation property, which is our main result, we thus give a complete and self-contained development of the important pointwise bounds satisfied by the Green's functions. We also describe

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 58F15, 58F17; Secondary: 53C35.

Key words and phrases. Pointwise bounds, Green's function.

the particular problem in fluid mechanics whose resolution requires the cancellation property and which motivated these results.

More specifically we extend to G_D and G_N versions of the following properties of the Newtonian potential Γ , which for $n \geq 3$ and distinct points x and y in \mathbf{R}^n is given by

$$\Gamma(x, y) = -c_n^{-1}|x - y|^{2-n} \quad (1.1)$$

where c_n is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbf{R}^n . One easily checks that for multi-indices α and β there is a constant C depending on $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$ such that

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta \Gamma(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \quad (1.2)$$

and that

$$\nabla_x \Gamma(x, y) + \nabla_y \Gamma(x, y) = 0, \quad (1.3)$$

where ∇_x and ∇_y are the usual gradients with respect to x and y . The cancellation in (1.3) expresses the familiar fact concerning equal and opposite gravitational and electrostatic forces in \mathbf{R}^3 . We will show that G_D and G_N satisfy (1.2) for $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$ in a range determined by the regularity of $\partial\Omega$ and that while the exact cancellation (1.3) does not hold in general, a particular such property does:

$$|(\sigma(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \sigma(y) \cdot \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \quad (1.4)$$

for x and y near $\partial\Omega$ and for local vector fields σ which are tangential on $\partial\Omega$. Observe that the rate of blowup as $y \rightarrow x$ in (1.4) is *one order less* than that given by (1.2) for either of the gradients on the left. This improvement is crucial for the application of interest in which the term on the left in (1.4) is required to be locally integrable for $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 1$, even though second derivatives of $G_{D,N}$ are not.

It is instructive to look at two examples. First, for the lower half-space in \mathbf{R}^n , G_D and G_N are known to be $\Gamma - \Gamma_{rfl}$ and $\Gamma + \Gamma_{rfl}$ respectively, where $\Gamma_{rfl}(x, y) = \Gamma(x, \bar{y})$ and \bar{y} is the reflected point $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_n)$. Direct computation shows that

$$(\partial/\partial x_j)G_{D,N} + (\partial/\partial y_j)G_{D,N} = 0$$

for $j < n$ but not for $j = n$, consistent with (1.4) but also showing that (1.4) need not hold for nontangential vector fields σ .

A more interesting example is that in which Ω is the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n centered at the origin. We let G denote either G_D or G_N and exploit the symmetry by considering a rotation $Q(\theta)$ through angle θ about an arbitrary axis through the origin. Anticipating basic facts about Green's functions (which are derived in detail in Section 3 and with which most readers will already be familiar), we compute by changing variables that the Green's function for $Q\Omega$ is $G(Q(\theta)x, Q(\theta)y)$. But

$Q\Omega = \Omega$ and Green's functions are unique, so that $G(Q(\theta)x, Q(\theta)y) = G(x, y)$. Taking the derivative with respect to θ at $\theta = 0$ and observing that $\dot{Q}(0)x \equiv x^\perp$ is perpendicular to the outer normal vector $x \in \partial\Omega$ and similarly for y , we thus find that

$$(x^\perp \cdot \nabla_x + y^\perp \cdot \nabla_y)G(x, y) = 0$$

as in (1.3). This is a stronger result than in (1.4), and this same argument can be applied more generally to achieve exact cancellation when the set Ω exhibits rotational symmetries, as for tori and ellipsoids. Exact cancellation as in (1.3) for general domains Ω is not anticipated however, and is likely inaccessible by our methods.

Our analysis consists primarily in approximation by Greens functions for half-spaces determined by planes tangent to $\partial\Omega$ together with elementary scaling estimates for standard imbedding theorems applied on small sets. The approximation arguments are quite technical because the approximation operator must be understood in sufficient detail to allow for its commutation with the differential operator on the left in (1.4). The scaling estimates are of note primarily for their usefulness, the proofs being fairly simple. As we shall see, the pointwise bounds of interest for $G_{D,N}(x, y)$ are relatively straightforward when either x or y is far from $\partial\Omega$ or when x and y are far from each other. The main difficulty therefore occurs when x and y are close and both are close to $\partial\Omega$, and in this case we require the ‘‘interior-type estimates near the boundary’’ for weak solutions of general elliptic second-order equations referred to above. These show that for small balls B' and B centered at a point on $\partial\Omega$ with B' compactly contained in B , a higher-order norm of a solution of such an equation on $B' \cap \Omega$ can be estimated in terms of its lower-order norm on $B \cap \Omega$. These estimates are given for both Lebesgue and Sobolev norms (but on cylinders rather than balls in order to more easily accommodate our preference for representing $\partial\Omega$ locally in terms of graphs rather than level sets).

The plan of the paper is as follows. First, after collecting various definitions and notations in Section 2, we give a complete, elementary derivation in Section 3 of the basic properties of the Green's functions G_D and G_N , the main results given in Theorem 3.1. In Section 4 we state and prove pointwise bounds for $D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)$ similar to those in (1.2) detailing rates of blowup as $y \rightarrow x$, bounds which are independent of distances to $\partial\Omega$, together with results concerning Hölder continuity of derivatives. These are given in Theorem 4.1, and in Corollary 4.2 we derive more basic bounds which do depend on distances to $\partial\Omega$ and which are required in subsequent sections. The main result of the paper is Theorem 5.1 in which we prove (1.4) together with two

useful variations. In Section 6 we show how the bounds in Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 are applied in resolving the instantaneous regularization of the velocity field for viscous, compressible fluid flow in sets $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. Finally in the Appendix we state and prove in Theorems 7.2 and 7.3 interior-type estimates near the boundary for general elliptic equations and in Theorem 7.4 the scaling results referred to above.

There is a considerable literature on Green's functions for the Dirichlet problem for general elliptic equations and systems; see for example [10], [4], [5], [14], [16] and the references therein. This literature includes some but not all the results in Theorem 4.1 for the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions. For the Neumann case the subject is somewhat less developed however: [15] and [6] include results tangentially related to those of the present paper, but concerning pointwise bounds we find only [7] and [13]. Theorem 4.1 for the Neumann case therefore extends the literature in a significant way, and our main result, Theorem 5.1, is new. Definitive interior-type estimates at the boundary, including for higher order equations and systems, are derived in the classical papers [2] and [3].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We begin with some preliminary facts and notations. First, the ball centered at x in \mathbf{R}^n or \mathbf{R}^{n-1} is denoted $B_R(x)$, or simply B_R if $x = 0$; and \mathcal{C}_R is the cylinder $B_R \times (-R, R) \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. The spatial domain Ω will be fixed throughout and is described as follows:

Definition 2.1. Ω will be bounded, open and connected in \mathbf{R}^n with a C^{k+1} boundary, where $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 0$. This means that there are positive constants R_0 and $M_\Omega(k)$ such that for every $z \in \partial\Omega$ there is a rigid motion T^z of \mathbf{R}^n (that is, a translation followed by a rotation) and a C^{k+1} mapping ψ^z such that for all $R \in (0, R_0]$, ψ^z maps $B_R \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ into $(-R/2, R/2)$ and the following hold:

- $T^z(z) = 0$
- $\psi^z(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\psi^z(0) = 0$
- the derivatives of ψ^z up to order $k + 1$ are bounded in absolute value by $M_\Omega(k)$ in B_{R_0}
- $T^z(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}_{R_0} = \{(\tilde{y}, y_n) : \tilde{y} \in B_{R_0} \text{ and } -R_0 < y_n < \psi^z(\tilde{y})\}$.

It will be convenient to define the inverse mapping $S^z = (T^z)^{-1}$ and sets $\mathcal{C}_R^z = S^z(\mathcal{C}_R)$ and

$$\mathcal{W}_R^z = S^z(\{(\tilde{y}, y_n) : \tilde{y} \in B_R \text{ and } -R < y_n < \psi^z(\tilde{y})\}). \quad (2.1)$$

With these assumptions there is a C^k vector field ν defined in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ whose restriction to $\partial\Omega$ is the unit outer normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$, a nonnegative Radon measure dS representing surface area on the Borel sets in $\partial\Omega$ and for $p \in [1, \infty)$ a bounded linear transformation from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^p(\partial\Omega)$ whose action on a continuous function in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is its restriction to $\partial\Omega$. ($W^{j,p}(\Omega)$ is the Banach space of elements of $L^p(\Omega)$ having weak derivatives up to order j which also are in L^p , and $W^{2,j} \equiv H^j$); and the divergence theorem holds for integrands in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Finally, the set of restrictions to Ω of C^∞ functions on \mathbf{R}^n is dense in $W^{j,p}(\Omega)$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, this fact applying as well to open sets satisfying a ‘‘segment condition.’’ See [12] sections 3.2 and A.4 and [1] Theorem 3.22 for complete statements and proofs.

The outward normal derivative of a sufficiently smooth function u on $\overline{\Omega}$ is the directional derivative $\nabla u \cdot \nu$, where ∇ is the usual gradient; this normal derivative will be denoted $D_\nu u$. Observe that if $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ then $D_\nu u \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$ by the remarks in the preceding paragraph.

We denote the distance from a point $y \in \overline{\Omega}$ to $\partial\Omega$ by $d_\partial(y)$. The following fact is easily checked: There is a positive number $\kappa_0 < R_0$ depending on $M_\Omega(0)$ such that if $d_\partial(y) \leq \kappa_0$ then there is a unique $y' \in \partial\Omega$ such that $d_\partial(y) = |y' - y|$.

We denote by $C(\Omega, k, \dots)$ a generic positive constant depending only on n, k and the parameters R_0 and $M_\Omega(k)$ in Definition 2.1, on the diameter of Ω and on other parameters listed in the ellipsis, if any. The value of $C(\Omega, k, \dots)$ may change from step to step but is allowed only to increase as the proofs proceed. Similar conventions will hold for other constants R_1, R_2 and R_3 which will appear in later sections.

The usual Laplace operator is denoted by Δ and the average value of an element $u \in L^1(\Omega)$, that is, its integral over Ω divided by the measure of Ω , will be denoted by \bar{u} . The diagonal in $\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$ is the set $\{(x, x) : x \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$ which will be denoted by \mathcal{D} , and for $\varepsilon > 0$, $\mathcal{D}_\varepsilon \equiv \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n : |x - y| \leq \varepsilon\}$.

A mapping u from a subset \mathcal{W} of \mathbf{R}^n into \mathbf{R} is Hölder continuous with exponent $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ if the seminorm

$$\langle u \rangle_{\mathcal{W}, \lambda} \equiv \sup \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^\lambda},$$

where the sup is over distinct points x and y in \mathcal{W} , is finite. If \mathcal{W} is open, $C^{j,\lambda}(\mathcal{W})$ is the set of functions whose derivatives up to order j exist and are bounded and Hölder- λ in \mathcal{W} , so that the norm

$$|u|_{C^{j,\lambda}(\mathcal{W})} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq j} (\sup_{\mathcal{W}} |D^\alpha u| + \langle D^\alpha u \rangle_{\mathcal{W}, \lambda})$$

is finite. Note that if $u \in C^{j,\lambda}(\mathcal{W})$ then u and its derivatives up to order j have continuous extensions to $\partial\mathcal{W}$.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF THE GREEN'S FUNCTIONS

First we review some basic facts concerning the Newtonian potential Γ defined in (1.1). Beyond the obvious regularity and symmetry properties, $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in $\mathbf{R}^n - \{x\}$ and similarly for $\Gamma(\cdot, y)$, and (1.2) holds. In addition, if a function u is defined and continuous in a neighborhood of $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(x)} \Gamma(x, z) u(z) dS_z &= 0, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(x)} (D_{\nu_z} \Gamma)(x, z) u(z) dS_z &= u(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Applying these facts together with the divergence theorem we arrive at the fundamental representation formula

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[(D_{\nu_z} \Gamma(x, z)) u(z) - \Gamma(x, z) D_\nu u(z) \right] dS_z \\ + \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(x, z) \Delta u(z) dz \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

for the restrictions u of C^2 functions on \mathbf{R}^n to Ω and for $x \in \Omega$. The Green's functions G_D and G_N for Ω are then constructed by adding to Γ functions h_D and h_N defined on $\Omega \times \bar{\Omega}$ which for fixed $x \in \Omega$ satisfy $\Delta h_D(x, \cdot) = 0$ and $\Delta h_N(x, \cdot) = -|\Omega|^{-1}$ in Ω and with boundary conditions adjusted so as to cancel one or the other of the summands in the boundary integral in (3.2). It will then follow that

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[(D_{\nu_z} G_D(x, z)) u(z) \right] dS_z + \int_{\Omega} G_D(x, z) \Delta u(z) dz \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$u(x) - \bar{u} = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[G_N(x, z) D_\nu u(z) \right] dS_z + \int_{\Omega} G_N(x, z) \Delta u(z) dz. \quad (3.4)$$

(Observe that (3.4) cannot hold for $u \equiv 1$ without the term \bar{u} on the left.) These constructions are made precise in the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n in the sense of Definition 2.1 where $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 1$.*

- (a) *There are functions h_D and h_N in $C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ such that the mappings $x \in \Omega \mapsto h_{D,N}(x, \cdot)$ and $y \in \Omega \mapsto h_{D,N}(\cdot, y)$ are continuous from Ω into $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and for fixed $x \in \Omega$*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y h_D(x, y) = 0, & y \in \Omega, \\ h_D(x, \cdot) = -\Gamma(x, \cdot) & \text{in } L^2(\partial\Omega) \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y h_N(x, y) = -|\Omega|^{-1}, & y \in \Omega, \\ (D_\nu h_N)(x, \cdot) = -(D_\nu \Gamma)(x, \cdot) & \text{in } L^2(\partial\Omega), \\ \overline{h_N(x, \cdot)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Also, h_D and h_N are symmetric: $h_{D,N}(x, y) = h_{D,N}(y, x)$ for $x, y \in \Omega$.

(b) The functions $G_D \equiv \Gamma + h_D$ and $G_N(x, \cdot) \equiv \Gamma(x, \cdot) - \overline{\Gamma(x, \cdot)} + h_N(x, \cdot)$ satisfy the following:

(i) The representations (3.3) and (3.4) hold for the restrictions u of C^2 functions on \mathbf{R}^n to $\overline{\Omega}$.

(ii) $G_{D,N}(x, y) = G_{D,N}(y, x)$ for $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$.

(iii) Given $p \in [1, n/(n-2))$ there is a constant $C(\Omega, k, p)$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$,

$$|G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, k, p).$$

(iv) G_D and G_N are unique in the following sense: if $g \in H^2(\Omega)$ and if for some $x \in \Omega$ and for all u as in (3.3) and (3.4)

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_\nu g(y))u(y) dS_y + \int_{\Omega} g(y)\Delta u(y) dy, \quad (3.7)$$

then $g = G_D(x, \cdot)$ as elements of L^p for $p \in [1, n/(n-2))$. Similarly, if $g \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\overline{g} = 0$ and if for some $x \in \Omega$

$$u(x) - \overline{u} = - \int_{\partial\Omega} g(y)D_\nu u(y) dS_y + \int_{\Omega} g(y)\Delta u(y) dy \quad (3.8)$$

for all u as above, then $g = G_N(x, \cdot)$ as elements of L^p for $p \in [1, n/(n-2))$.

The solutions h_D and h_N of (3.5) and (3.6) are understood in the usual weak sense, following the formalism in [12] section 4 or [13] section 2, (5)-(7) for example. Thus $h_D(x, \cdot)$ and $h_N(x, \cdot)$ are in $H^1(\Omega)$ and the weak forms of the Poisson equations in (a) hold for test functions in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ respectively. Note that since h_D and h_N are continuous mappings from Ω into $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ and since $k+1 \geq 2$, their traces and the traces of their gradients are continuous mappings from Ω into $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

Various properties of G_D and G_N are easily derived from those of Γ , h_D and h_N . For example, for $x \in \Omega$, $G_D(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in $\Omega - \{x\}$ and $\Delta G_N(x, \cdot) = -1/|\Omega|$ pointwise in $\Omega - \{x\}$. Also, $G_{D,N}(x, \cdot) \in H^{k+1}(\Omega - B_\varepsilon(x))$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, modulo equivalence classes, so that the traces of $G_D(x, \cdot)$ and $G_N(x, \cdot)$ and their gradients are in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. In particular, $G_D(x, \cdot) = 0$ and $D_\nu G_N(x, \cdot) = 0$ in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$.

Note that the bound in (b)(iii) is independent of $d_\partial(x)$. This bound will serve as the essential starting point for the derivation of pointwise bounds in Theorem 4.1 for the derivatives of the Green's functions, bounds which will also be independent of distances to the boundary.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We begin with the constructions of the Green's functions following the exposition and notations in [7]. Let η be a smooth, nondecreasing function of $s \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1/3$ and $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 2/3$. Then for fixed $x \in \Omega$ define

$$f(x, y) = \eta\left(\frac{|x - y|}{d_\partial(x)}\right)\Gamma(x, y).$$

Then $\Delta_y f(x, \cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and the map $x \mapsto f(x, \cdot)$ is continuous from Ω into $H^j(\Omega)$ for all $j \geq 0$. We can therefore fix $x \in \Omega$ and let $w_D(x, \cdot)$ and $w_N(x, \cdot)$ be the solutions of the (formal) systems

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y w_D(x, y) = \Delta_y f(x, y), & y \in \Omega, \\ w_D(x, y) = 0, & y \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y w_N(x, y) = \Delta_y f(x, y) - \overline{\Delta_y f(x, \cdot)}, & y \in \Omega, \\ D_{\nu_y} w_N(x, y) = 0, & y \in \partial\Omega, \\ \overline{w_N(x, \cdot)} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

Observe that the first two conditions in (3.10) could be inconsistent without the term $\overline{\Delta_y f(x, \cdot)}$. Note also that

$$\overline{\Delta_y f(x, \cdot)} = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_\Omega \Delta_y f = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_\nu \Gamma)(x, \cdot) = |\Omega|^{-1},$$

the last equality following by putting $u \equiv 1$ in (3.2). The solutions w_D and w_N here are understood in the usual weak sense as described above. Thus $w_D(x, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $w_N(x, \cdot) \in H_{1^\perp}^1(\Omega) \equiv \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : \bar{v} = 0\}$, and the weak forms of the Poisson equations above hold for test functions in H_0^1 and $H_{1^\perp}^1$ respectively. Also, Theorem 4.2 of [12] shows that w_D and w_N are continuous mappings from Ω into $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$, and since $k + 1 \geq 2$, their traces and the traces of their gradients are

continuous mappings from Ω into $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. All these statements are therefore true for the functions

$$h_D(x, \cdot) \equiv w_D(x, \cdot) - f(x, \cdot)$$

and

$$h_N(x, \cdot) \equiv w_N(x, \cdot) - f(x, \cdot) + \overline{f(x, \cdot)},$$

which are weak solutions of the systems (3.5) and (3.6). In particular, $h_D(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in Ω as is $h_N(x, \cdot)$ modulo a polynomial; these functions are therefore in $C^\infty(\Omega)$.

Next we check that for fixed $y \in \Omega$, $h_D(x, y)$ and $h_N(x, y)$ are continuous functions of $x \in \Omega$. To see this for the Neumann case we take $u = h_N(\cdot, y)$ in the representation formula (3.2) (which is allowed because the set of restrictions to Ω of C^2 functions on \mathbf{R}^n is dense in $H^2(\Omega)$). Substituting from (3.6) into the second and third terms on the right in (3.2) we see by inspection that these terms are continuous in x . For the first term on the right we have that $|(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma)(x, z)|$ is bounded by a constant depending on $d_\partial(x)$ so that the difference between the values of this term at points x_1 and x_2 in Ω is bounded by

$$C|\nabla_z h_N(x_1, \cdot) - \nabla_z h_N(x_2, \cdot)|_{L^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C|h_N(x_1, \cdot) - h_N(x_2, \cdot)|_{W^{2,1}(\partial\Omega)},$$

which goes to zero as $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$ because the map $x \mapsto h_N(x, \cdot)$ is continuous from Ω into $H^2(\Omega)$. The proof for h_D is similar. Summarizing, we now have that $h_{D,N} \in C(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and that for $x \in \Omega$, $h_{D,N}(x, \cdot) \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and depends continuously on $x \in \Omega$ as an element of $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

The representations (3.3) and (3.4) for G_D and G_N now follow easily from (3.2), (3.5) and (3.6). We can apply these to prove the symmetry property (b)(ii), first for the Neumann case. Let φ be a smooth function on Ω with compact support in Ω and solve the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \varphi & \text{in } \Omega \\ D_\nu u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \bar{u} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for u , and similarly with φ and u replaced by ψ and v . Then the function $G_N(x, y)\varphi(x)\psi(y)$ is continuous on $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$, hence measurable on $\Omega \times \Omega$, and is locally integrable because Γ is integrable on $\Omega \times \Omega$ and the H^{k+1} norm of $h_N(x, \cdot)$ is bounded uniformly for x in the support of φ . The Fubini and Tonelli theorems are therefore justified in the following computation in which the representation (3.4) is applied twice:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} G_N(y, x) \varphi(x) \psi(y) d(x, y) &= \int \left(\int G_N(y, x) \varphi(x) dx \right) \psi(y) dy \\
&= \int u(y) \Delta v(y) dy = \int \Delta u(y) v(y) dy = \int \varphi(x) v(x) dx \\
&= \int \varphi(x) \left(\int G_N(x, y) \psi(y) dy \right) dx = \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} G_N(x, y) \varphi(x) \psi(y) d(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

The symmetry statement in (b)(ii) for G_N now follows by letting φ and ψ tend to Dirac masses at distinct points x_0 and y_0 in Ω and recalling that h_N and therefore G_N are continuous on $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$. The proof for G_D is similar. This symmetry together with the conclusion at the end of the previous paragraph then combine to complete the proofs of the regularity statements in part (a) of the theorem.

Next we prove the L^p bound in (b)(iii) for $p \in (1, n/(n-2))$. Let $p' \in (n/2, \infty)$ be its Hölder conjugate and let $\varphi \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$. Then for the Dirichlet case we solve the system

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = \varphi \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for u , and similarly for the Neumann case with the boundary condition above replaced by the conditions $D_\nu u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\bar{u} = 0$. Then $|u|_{W^{2,p'}} \leq C|\varphi|_{L^{p'}}$ by standard elliptic regularity results (see [9] pg. 110 for example), and $\sup_\Omega |u| \leq C|u|_{W^{2,p'}}$ since $p' > n/2$ (see [1] Theorem 4.12). Applying (3.3) for the Dirichlet case we then find that for $x \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_\Omega |G_D(x, y) \varphi(y) dy| &= \left| \int_\Omega |G_D(x, y) \Delta u(y) dy| \right. \\
&= |u(x)| \leq C|u|_{W^{2,p'}} \leq C|\varphi|_{L^{p'}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $|G_D(x, \cdot)|_{L^p} \leq C(\Omega, k, p)$. The argument for G_N is similar.

Finally we prove the uniqueness statement in (b)(iv) for the Neumann case. Letting g be as in the hypothesis we subtract (3.4) from (3.8) to find that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (G_N(x, \cdot) - g) D_\nu u dS + \int_\Omega (g - G_N(x, \cdot)) \Delta u = 0$$

for restrictions to Ω of functions $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$, hence for u in $W^{2,p'}(\Omega)$. Letting φ be an arbitrarily element of $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ we can choose $u \in W^{2,p'}(\Omega)$ to satisfy $\Delta u = \varphi$ in Ω , $D_\nu u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\bar{u} = 0$. The result then shows that $g = G_N(x, \cdot)$ as elements of $L^p(\Omega)$. The proof for the Dirichlet case is similar.

□

4. POINTWISE BOUNDS

In this section we derive bounds for the Green's functions and their derivatives at points $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$, bounds which are independent of $d_{\partial}(x)$ and $d_{\partial}(y)$ and which detail the rates of blowup as $y \rightarrow x$. In particular, we show in Theorem 4.1(a) below that the derivatives of G_D and G_N satisfy the same bounds (1.2) satisfied by the fundamental solution Γ up to an order determined by the regularity of $\partial\Omega$.

To formulate these results we recall the following imbedding theorem, which will be applied extensively throughout: Let k_0 be the positive integer

$$k_0 = \begin{cases} n/2 + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ (n + 1)/2 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd;} \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

and let $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^n with a Lipschitz boundary; that is, \mathcal{V} satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.1 but with the ψ^z assumed only to be Lipschitz continuous. Then if $k = k_0 + k_1$ with $k_1 \geq 0$, elements of $H^k(\mathcal{V})$ have specific Lebesgue representations in $C^{k_1, \lambda_0}(\Omega)$ where $\lambda_0 = 1/2$ if n is even and λ_0 is an arbitrary number in $(0, 1)$ if n is odd; and for $u \in H^k(\Omega)$,

$$|u|_{C^{k_1, \lambda_0}(\Omega)} \leq C(\mathcal{V}, k, \lambda_0) |u|_{H^k(\Omega)} \quad (4.2)$$

where the constant C depends on an upper bound for the Lipschitz constants for the functions ψ^z in Definition 2.1 and on λ_0 if n is even. See [1], Theorem 4.12, for the complete statement and related discussion.

Pointwise bounds for the Green's functions G_D and G_N are detailed in the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ω be a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n in the sense of Definition 2.1 where $n \geq 3$ and $k = k_0 + k_1$ with $k_1 \geq 0$, and let λ_0 be as above.*

There are constants $C(\Omega, k)$ and $C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)$ such that for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1$ the derivatives $D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_N$ and $D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta h_N$ exist in the sense of calculus on $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$; these derivatives are Hölder- λ_0 on $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and therefore have continuous extensions to $\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega} - \mathcal{D}_\varepsilon$. The extensions satisfy

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_N(x, y)|, |D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta h_N(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{(2-n) - (|\alpha| + |\beta|)} \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_N \rangle_{(B_r(x) \cap \bar{\Omega}) \times (B_r(y) \cap \bar{\Omega}), \lambda_0} \\ \leq C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0) |x - y|^{(2-n) - (|\alpha| + |\beta|) - \lambda_0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where $r(x, y) = \min\{|x - y|, 1\} / C(\Omega, k)$. Also, for $|\alpha| \leq k_1$ and $|\beta| \leq k$,

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_N(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(B_r(y) \cap \Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{(2-n) - (|\alpha| + |\beta|)} r^{n/2}, \quad (4.5)$$

for $(x, y) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega} - \mathcal{D}$.

The same results hold for G_D and h_D but under the stronger hypothesis that $k_1 \geq 1$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 begins below, following the statement and proof of Corollary 4.2. Observe that the rates of blowup as $y \rightarrow x$ in the above bounds are exactly the same as in (1.2) for the fundamental solution Γ . The apparent discrepancy between the $C^{k_0+k_1+1}$ regularity assumed here for Ω and the C^{k_1, λ_0} regularity proved for the Green's functions arises because the latter is derived from the $H^{k_0+k_1}$ bound in (4.5) by way of the imbedding result (4.2). On the other hand the $H^{k_0+k_1}$ regularity of $D_x^\alpha G_N(x, \cdot)$ in (4.5) is of importance in itself, and this entails a loss of only one order, arising in the construction of a certain cut-off function in Lemma 7.1 in the Appendix.

Bounds for the Green's functions which depend on distances to $\partial\Omega$ are also of interest and are easily derived from the maximum principle for harmonic functions, standard interior bounds (Theorem 7.3) and the bound in (4.3) for $\alpha = \beta = 0$. We include these here both for completeness and for their usefulness in applications, including the application given in Section 6. Before giving the statement we recall that if Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^n then given $f \in C(\partial\Omega)$ there is a harmonic extension of f to $\bar{\Omega}$ provided that there is a "barrier function" at each point of $\partial\Omega$. This barrier condition is satisfied for example if Ω satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.1 with Lipschitz continuous mappings ψ^y ; the barrier condition is much more general, however. See [8] pg. 367 or [9] Theorem 2.14 for detailed statements and related discussion.

Corollary 4.2. *Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, and assume that there is a barrier at each point of $\partial\Omega$. Then the function h_D defined in (3.5) is in $C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$ and satisfies*

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta h_D(x, y)| \leq C [d_\partial(x)^s d_\partial(y)^{1-s}]^{(2-n)} d_\partial(x)^{-|\alpha|} d_\partial(y)^{-|\beta|} \quad (4.6)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, all α and β and all $s \in [0, 1]$, where C depends only on $|\alpha|$ and $|\beta|$. The same bound holds for h_N but under the stronger hypothesis that Ω is a C^{k_0+1} domain and $C = C(\Omega, k_0 + 1, |\alpha|, |\beta|)$.

Proof. If $x, x_0 \in \Omega$ with $|x - x_0| \leq d_\partial(x_0)/2$, then $d_\partial(x) \geq d_\partial(x_0)/2$. And since $h_D(x, \cdot) = -\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$|h_D(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{2-n} \leq Cd_\partial(x_0)^{2-n} \quad (4.7)$$

for $y \in \partial\Omega$ and therefore for all $y \in \bar{\Omega}$ by the maximum principle. This bound holds in particular on $B_{d_\partial(y_0)}(y_0)$ for a given fixed $y_0 \in \Omega$ so that by standard interior estimates (see Theorem 7.3)

$$|D_y^\beta h_D(x, y_0)| \leq C(|\beta|)d_\partial(x_0)^{2-n}d_\partial(y_0)^{-|\beta|}$$

for all $x \in B_{d_\partial(x_0)/2}(x_0)$. Consequently by standard interior estimates again, applied to the harmonic function $D_y^\beta h_D(\cdot, y_0)$,

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta h_D(x_0, y_0)| \leq C(|\alpha|, |\beta|)d_\partial(x_0)^{(2-n)-|\alpha|}d_\partial(y_0)^{-|\beta|}.$$

The same result holds with x_0 and y_0 reversed and α and β reversed, and the two bounds can then be combined to obtain (4.6).

For h_N we apply (4.3) in Theorem 4.1 to obtain that

$$|h_N(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k_0 + 1)|x - y|^{2-n} \leq Cd_\partial(x_0)^{2-n},$$

for $y \in \partial\Omega$, as in (4.7). The rest of the proof is then the same as for h_D . \square

Note that (4.6) does not hold for $G_{D,N}$ in general because otherwise it would hold for Γ , which in general it does not. We also note that geometric combinations of (4.3) and (4.6) can be taken and are often useful, as will be seen in the proof of Theorem 5.1 in the next section.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The proofs of (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) are straightforward when either x or y is far from the boundary or when x and y are far apart. These cases are covered in Lemma 4.3 below. The remaining case that x and y are close and are close to the boundary is considerably more technical, involving approximations of the Green's functions by the Green's functions for the half-space determined by the tangent plane at y' together with appropriately scaled interior-type estimates at the boundary and a scaled version of the Sobolev imbedding $H^1 \mapsto L^{2n/(n-2)}$. Statements and proofs of the latter results for general elliptic equations are given in Theorems 7.2 and 7.4 in the Appendix and will be cited as needed, and all the definitions and notations in Section 2 will be in force in this section without further reference.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 requires three preparatory lemmas, the first of which gives bounds for the Green's functions for the two cases referred to above as well as a separation of points construction which will be applied here and in sections 5 and 7.

Lemma 4.3. *Let Ω be a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n in the sense of Definition 2.1 where $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 3$.*

- (a) *Given a compact set $K \subset \Omega$ there is a constant $C(\Omega, k, K)$ such that if $x \in K$ then $|h_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, k)$; and if $y \in K$ then $|h_{D,N}(\cdot, y)|_{H^{k+1}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega, k)$.*
- (b) *There are constants $R_1(\Omega, k) \in (0, \min\{R_0, \kappa_0\})$ and $C(\Omega, k)$ such that the following separation of points property holds: Given distinct points x and y in $\overline{\Omega}$ let $s(x, y) = \min\{R_1, |x - y|\}$ and define sets $\mathcal{V}_0(x, y)$, $\mathcal{V}_1(x, y)$ and $\mathcal{V}_2(x, y)$ as follows:*

if $d_\partial(y) \geq s/12$ then $\mathcal{V}_0 = B_{s/14}(y)$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = B_{s/16}(y)$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = B_{s/18}(y)$;

if $d_\partial(y) < s/12$ then $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{W}_{s/3}^{y'}(y)$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{W}_{s/4}^{y'}(y)$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = \mathcal{W}_{s/6}^{y'}(y)$

where y' is the point on $\partial\Omega$ closest to y and the sets $\mathcal{W}_t^{y'}$ are as defined in (2.1). Then in either case $\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{V}_0(x, y)) \geq C^{-1}|x - y|$ and $B_{s/18}(y) \subset \mathcal{V}_2(x, y)$.

- (c) *Given $r > 0$ there is a constant $C(\Omega, k, r)$ such that if x and y are points of Ω with $|x - y| \geq r$ then*

$$|h_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{H^k(\mathcal{V}_2(x, y))}, |G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{H^k(\mathcal{V}_2(x, y))} \leq C(\Omega, k, r^{-1}).$$

Proof. Part (a) follows directly from the statement in Theorem 3.1(a) that the maps $x \rightarrow h_{D,N}(x, \cdot)$ and $y \rightarrow h_{D,N}(\cdot, y)$ are continuous from Ω into $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$.

The statements in (b) are obvious for the case that $d_\partial(y) \geq s/12$. For the other case we first observe that if w and z are points of the cylinder $B_t(0) \times (-t, 0)$ in \mathbf{R}^n and $z = (0, z_n)$, then $|w - z| \leq \sqrt{2}t$. It follows that if R_1 is sufficiently small depending on the moduli of continuity of the $\nabla\psi^z$ in Definition 2.1 then for $t \leq R_1$ the following holds: if $y \in \Omega$ with $d_\partial(y) \leq \kappa_0$ then $|w - z| \leq 2t$ for points w and z in $\mathcal{W}_t^{y'}$ with $z = (0, z_n)$. The statements in (b) for the case that $d_\partial(y) < s/12$ then follow easily.

To prove (c) we begin with the bound in Theorem 3.1(b)(iii) with p the average of one and $n/(n-2)$ so that $|G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^p(\mathcal{V}_0(x, y))} \leq C(\Omega, k)$. Then since $G_D(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in \mathcal{V}_0 , as is $G_N(x, \cdot)$ modulo a polynomial, Theorem 7.2 applies to show first by repeated application of part (c) through sets interpolating \mathcal{V}_0 and \mathcal{V}_1 that $|G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_1)} \leq C(\Omega, k, r^{-1})$, and then by repeated application of part (b) through sets interpolating \mathcal{V}_1 and \mathcal{V}_2 that $|G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{H^k(\mathcal{V}_2)} \leq C(\Omega, k, r^{-1})$. The same bound holds for $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ by inspection, hence for $h_{D,N}(x, \cdot)$. \square

In the following lemma we define the reflection \bar{y} of a point y near $\partial\Omega$ and we give an approximate Pythagorean theorem for the triangle whose vertices are y' , a nearby point $z \in \partial\Omega$ and either y or \bar{y} :

Lemma 4.4. *Let Ω be a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n in the sense of Definition 2.1 where $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2$.*

- (a) *If $y_0 \in \Omega$ with $d_{\partial}(y_0) \leq \kappa_0$ then there is a positive ε depending on $d_{\partial}(y_0)$ such that for $y \in B_{\varepsilon}(y_0)$, say $y = S^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}, w_n)$, the reflected point $\bar{y} \equiv S^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}, -w_n)$ is in $S^{y'_0}(\mathcal{C}_{R_0}) - \bar{\Omega}$.*
- (b) *There is constant $R_2 \in (0, R_1]$ depending on Ω and k and a constant $C(\Omega, k)$ such that if y_0 and \bar{y}_0 are as in (a) and $z \in \partial\Omega \cap S^{y'_0}(\mathcal{C}_{R_2})$, say $z = S^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}, \psi^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}))$, then*

$$C^{-1}(|\tilde{w}|^2 + d_{\partial}(y_0)^2) \leq |z - y_0|^2, |z - \bar{y}_0|^2 \leq C(|\tilde{w}|^2 + d_{\partial}(y_0)^2).$$

Proof. Since $d_{\partial}(y_0) \leq \kappa_0 < R_0$, y_0 is in $\mathcal{C}_{R_0}^{y'_0}$, hence so is y if ε is small. In particular, if $T^{y'_0}(y) = (\tilde{w}, w_n)$ then $|\tilde{w}| < R_0$ and $-R_0 < w_n < R_0$. Also, since $T^{y'_0}(y_0) = (0, -d_{\partial}(y_0))$,

$$|\psi^{y'_0}(\tilde{w})| \leq |\psi^{y'_0}(0)| + C|y - y_0| \leq C\varepsilon$$

and

$$w_n \leq (T^{y'_0}(y_0))_n + C|y - y_0| \leq -d_{\partial}(y_0) + C\varepsilon.$$

Thus

$$R_0 > -w_n > d_{\partial}(y_0) + \psi^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}) - C\varepsilon > \psi^{y'_0}(\tilde{w})$$

if ε is small. This proves that $\bar{y} \in S^{y'_0}(\mathcal{C}_{R_0}) - \bar{\Omega} = \mathcal{C}_{R_0}^{y'_0} - \bar{\Omega}$.

The result in (b) follows because

$$|z - y_0|, |z - \bar{y}_0| = |(\tilde{w}, \psi(w)) - (0, \mp d_{\partial}(y_0))|$$

and $\psi^{y'_0}(0)$ and $\nabla\psi^{y'_0}(0)$ are zero. □

Note that the definition $\bar{y} = S^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}, -w_n)$ in part (a) above shows that \bar{y} depends on y'_0 as well as on y . We will therefore refer to \bar{y} as *the reflection of y relative to y'_0* when required for clarity.

In the following lemma we give representations for $h_D(x, y)$ and $G_N(x, y)$ for y in a neighborhood of a point y_0 near $\partial\Omega$ in terms of $\Gamma(y, \cdot) - \Gamma(\bar{y}, \cdot)$ and $\Gamma(y, \cdot) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, \cdot)$, these being the Dirichlet and Neumann Green's functions respectively for the half space determined by the plane tangent to $\partial\Omega$ at y'_0 .

Lemma 4.5. *Let Ω be a C^2 domain in \mathbf{R}^n as in Definition 2.1 and let $R_2, y_0 \in \Omega$ and $y \in B_\varepsilon(y_0)$ be as in Lemma 4.4 and $x \in \Omega$.*

(a) *If \bar{y} is the reflection of y relative to y'_0 then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_D(x, y) = & - \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z}[\Gamma(y, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)])\Gamma(x, z)dS_z \\ & - \int_{\partial\Omega} [\Gamma(y, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)]D_{\nu_z}h_D(x, z)dS_z; \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

and if $x \neq y$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_N(x, y) = & \Gamma(x, y) + \Gamma(x, \bar{y}) - \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, y)} + \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, \bar{y})} \\ & + \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z}[\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)])\tilde{G}_N(x, z)dS_z \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where $\tilde{G}_N = \Gamma + h_N$.

(b) *If Ω is a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n with $k \geq 1$ then for $z \in \partial\Omega \cap \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R_2}^{y'_0}}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(y_0, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, z)| & \leq Cd_{\partial}(y_0)|z - y_0|^{2-n}, \\ |D_{\nu_z}(\Gamma(y_0, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, z))| & \leq C|z - y_0|^{2-n} \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and for unit vectors σ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |\sigma \cdot \nabla_z(\Gamma(y_0, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, z))| \\ & \leq Cd_{\partial}(y_0) \begin{cases} |z - y_0|^{1-n} & \text{if } \sigma \perp \nu(y_0) \\ |z - y_0|^{-n} & \text{if } \sigma \parallel \nu(y_0). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Proof. To prove the representation in (a) for h_D we note first that since $h_D(x, z)$ and $\Gamma(\bar{y}, z)$ are harmonic functions of $z \in \Omega$,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} [(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(\bar{y}, z))h_D(x, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)D_{\nu_z}h_D(x, z)]dS_z = 0;$$

and from (3.2)

$$h_D(x, y) = \int_{\partial\Omega} [(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(y, z))h_D(x, z) - \Gamma(y, z)D_{\nu_z}h_D(x, z)]dS_z.$$

The representation for h_D then follows by putting $h_D(x, \cdot) = -\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ on $\partial\Omega$ and subtracting.

To derive the representation for \tilde{G}_N we recall from (3.6) that $\Delta_z h_N(x, z) = -|\Omega|^{-1}$. Multiplying by $\Gamma(\bar{y}, z)$, which is harmonic in z for $z \in \Omega$, and integrating we therefore get

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\Gamma(\bar{y}, z)D_{\nu_z}h_N(x, z) - (D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(\bar{y}, z))h_N(x, z))dS_z = -\overline{\Gamma(\bar{y}, \cdot)}.$$

Subtracting this from the representation (3.3) with $u = h_N(x, \cdot)$ we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_N(x, y) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[(D_{\nu_z}[\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)])h_N(x, z) \right. \\ \left. - (\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z))D_{\nu_z}h_N(x, z) \right] dS_z \quad (4.12) \\ - \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, y)} + \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, \bar{y})}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we derive a corresponding representation for $\Gamma(x, y) + \Gamma(x, \bar{y})$. First, since $\Gamma(x, \bar{y})$ is harmonic in $x \in \Omega$, we get from (3.3) that

$$\Gamma(x, \bar{y}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} [(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(x, z))\Gamma(z, \bar{y}) - \Gamma(x, z)D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(\bar{y}, z)] dS_z.$$

Also, since $\Gamma(x, y)$ is a harmonic function of $x \in \partial\Omega - B_\delta(y)$ for small δ , we can apply the representation (3.2) with Ω replaced by $\Omega - B_\delta(y)$ then let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and apply (3.1) to get that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} [(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(x, z))\Gamma(y, z) - \Gamma(x, z)D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(y, z)] dS_z = 0.$$

Adding the last two equations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x, \bar{y}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} [(D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(x, z))(\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)) \\ - \Gamma(x, z)D_{\nu_z}(\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z))] dS_z. \quad (4.13) \end{aligned}$$

We subtract this from (4.12), recalling that $D_{\nu_z}h_N(x, z) = -D_{\nu_z}\Gamma(x, z)$ for $z \in \partial\Omega$ to obtain finally that

$$\begin{aligned} h_N(x, y) = \Gamma(x, \bar{y}) + \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z}[\Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)])(\Gamma(x, z) + h_N(x, z)) dS_z \\ - \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, y)} + \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, \bar{y})}. \end{aligned}$$

The representation in (a) for \tilde{G}_N then follows by adding $\Gamma(x, y)$ to both sides.

To prove the bounds in (b) we recall that $T^{y'_0}(y_0) = (0, -d_\partial(y_0))$, $T^{y'_0}(\bar{y}_0) = (0, d_\partial(y_0))$ and $T^{y'_0}(z) = (\tilde{w}, \psi^{y'_0}(\tilde{w}))$ for some \tilde{w} . Then since $T^{y'_0}$ is an isometry,

$$||y_0 - z|^2 - |\bar{y}_0 - z|^2| \leq Cd_\partial(y)|\tilde{w}|^2.$$

The required bounds then follow by elementary computations together with the results in Lemma 4.4(b) and the fact tht $\bar{y}_0 - y_0 = 2d_\partial(y_0)\nu(y_0)$. \square

We now complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, fixing $k = k_0 + k_1$ as in the hypothesis.

Step 1: Proof of (4.3) with $\alpha = \beta = 0$. We will prove that there is a constant $C(\Omega, k)$ such that

$$|h_{D,N}(x, y)|, |G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^{2-n} \quad (4.14)$$

for all $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$. This bound holds by Lemma 4.3(a) if either $d_{\partial}(x) \geq \kappa_0$ or $d_{\partial}(y) \geq \kappa_0$ since $H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ is continuously included in $C^{k_1}(\Omega)$ and C may depend on the diameter of Ω . We therefore consider only points x and y in Ω both of which are within κ_0 of $\partial\Omega$. We also note that if $|x - y| \geq R$ for some $R > 0$ then Lemma 4.3(c) applies to show that the terms on the left in (4.14) are bounded by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$.

We begin with the Neumann case, this being somewhat less technical. Fix $x \in \Omega$ with $d_{\partial}(x) < \kappa_0$, temporarily fix an $R \in (0, R_2/4)$ and define

$$M_R = \sup\{|x - y|^{n-2}|\tilde{G}_N(x, y)| : y \in \overline{\Omega \cap B_R(x)} \text{ and } d_{\partial}(y) \leq \kappa_0\}$$

where $\tilde{G}_N = \Gamma + h_N$. Then M_R is nonempty and the sup is finite by properties of Γ and $h_D(x, \cdot)$. To bound M_R we fix a point y_0 in the defining set and may assume without loss of generality that $y_0 \in \overline{\Omega \cap B_R(x)}$ because $\Gamma(x, \cdot)$ and $h_N(x, \cdot)$ are continuous on $\overline{\Omega} - \{x\}$.

Now consider the representation (4.9) for $\tilde{G}_N(x, y_0)$. The first four terms on the right are easily seen to be bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|x - y_0|^{2-n}$ and we claim that in the integral on the right the contribution from points z in the set $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x)^c$ is bounded by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$. To see this note that if $|z - x| \geq R$ then $|\tilde{G}_N(x, z)| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$ and if also $R < |x - z| \leq R_2/2$ then $|y_0 - z| \leq R_2$ and in this case the second bound in Lemma 4.5(b) applies to show that

$$|D_{\nu_z}[\Gamma(y_0, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, z)]| \leq C(\Omega, k)|z - y_0|^{2-n}. \quad (4.15)$$

If $|x - z| \geq R_2/2$ then $|y_0 - z| \geq R_2/4$ and the same bound therefore holds. Thus the integrand in (4.9) is bounded in $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x)^c$ by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})|z - y_0|^{2-n}$, whose integral is bounded by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$.

Next we consider the contribution to the integral in (4.9) from points $z \in \partial\Omega \cap B_R(x)$. For such z we have that $|\tilde{G}_N(x, z)| \leq M_R|z - x|^{2-n}$ and since $|z - y_0| \leq R/2$ here, (4.15) again holds. Assembling these bounds, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{G}_N(x, y_0)| &\leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y_0|^{2-n} + C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) \\ &\quad + C(\Omega, k)M_R \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x)} |z - x|^{2-n}|z - y_0|^{2-n} dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the integral I here is bounded by $C(\Omega, k)R|x - y_0|^{2-n}$. To see this we apply the triangle inequality to bound $|x - y_0|^{n-2}I$ by the

integral over $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x)$ of $|z-x|^{2-n} + |z-y_0|^{2-n}$ modulo a multiplicative constant. The first of these can be computed explicitly in local polar coordinates and is seen to be bounded by $C(\Omega, k)R$. For the second we simply note that if $|z-x| \leq R$ then $|z-y_0| \leq 2R$, so that its integral satisfies the same bound. Multiplying both sides above by $|x-y_0|^{n-2}$ we thus obtain

$$|x-y_0|^{n-2} |\tilde{G}_N(x, y_0)| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k)RM_R, \quad (4.16)$$

and taking the sup over y_0 in the set defining M_R that

$$M_R \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k)RM_R. \quad (4.17)$$

We choose $R(\Omega, k) = C(\Omega, k)/2$ and conclude finally that $M_R \leq C(\Omega, k)$ for a new constant $C(\Omega, k)$. And since $|G_N(x, y) - \tilde{G}_N(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k)$ by Theorem 3.1(b), the bound in (4.14) holds for G_N , hence for h_N .

The proof of (4.14) for G_D and h_D is somewhat more technical. Again fix $x \in \Omega$ with $d_\partial(x) < \kappa_0$, temporarily fix $R \in (0, R_2/4]$ and define (a new)

$$M_R = \sup\{|x-y|^{n-2}|h_D(x, y)| : y \in \overline{\Omega \cap B_R(x)} \text{ and } 0 \leq d_\partial(y) \leq d_\partial(x)\}. \quad (4.18)$$

The above set is clearly nonempty and the sup is finite by Theorem 3.1(a). To bound M_R we again fix y_0 in the above set, assuming without loss of generality that $y_0 \in \Omega$. Then by (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} -h_D(x, y_0) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(z)) \Gamma(x, z) dS_z \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}(z) D_{\nu_z} h_D(x, z) dS_z \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}(z) = \Gamma(y_0, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, z)$. We claim that the first integral on the right here is bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|x-y_0|^{2-n}$. To see this we let $\delta > 0$ be small and integrate by parts over the set $\Omega - B_\delta(x) - B_\delta(y_0)$ then let $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and apply (3.1) to obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(z)) \Gamma(x, z) dS_z = \Gamma(y_0, x) - \Gamma(\bar{y}_0, x) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}(z) D_{\nu_z} \Gamma(x, z) dS_z.$$

The first two terms on the right are bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|x-y_0|^{2-n}$ because $|x-\bar{y}_0| \geq C^{-1}|x-y_0|$ if R is small depending on Ω . Also, by Lemma 4.5(b) the integrand on the right is bounded by

$$Cd_\partial(y_0)|z-y_0|^{2-n}|z-x|^{1-n} \leq C|z-y_0|^{2-n}|z-x|^{2-n}$$

because $d_\partial(y_0) \leq d_\partial(x) \leq |z-x|$. The resulting integral is then bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|x-y_0|^{2-n}$ as in the discussion above preceding (4.16).

Next we bound the second integral on the right in (4.19). If $z \in \partial\Omega - B_{R/2}(x)$ then $|\nabla_z h_D(x, z)| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$ by Lemma 4.3(c), (4.2) and our assumption that $k_1 \geq 1$. Therefore since $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is integrable on $\partial\Omega$, the contribution to this integral from points in $\partial\Omega - B_{R/2}(x)$ is bounded by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$. Assembling these bounds we then have from (4.19) that

$$|h_D(x, y_0)| \leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y_0|^{2-n} + C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + \left| \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}(x)} \tilde{\Gamma}(z) D_{\nu_z} h_D(x, z) dS_z \right|. \quad (4.20)$$

The next step is to bound the term $D_{\nu_z} h_D(x, z)$ in the integrand on the right here in terms of M_R . To do this we fix $z \in \partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}(x)$ and first bound the L^2 norm of $h_D(x, \cdot)$ in a small neighborhood of z . Define $t = \min\{|z - x|/2, d_\partial(x)\}$ and check that if $w \in \Omega \cap B_t(z)$ then $w \in \Omega \cap B_R(x)$ and $d_\partial(w) \leq d_\partial(x)$. The point w is thus in the set defining M_R and therefore $|h_D(x, w)| \leq M_R |w - x|^{2-n} \leq CM_R |z - x|^{2-n}$. Then since $\mathcal{W}_{t/2}^z \subset \Omega \cap B_t(z)$,

$$|h_D(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{t/2}^z)} \leq C(\Omega, k) M_R |x - z|^{2-n} t^{n/2}.$$

Next, since $h_D(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in Ω we can apply Theorem 7.2(b) to find that for $j \leq k = k_0 + k_1$,

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=j} |D^\alpha h_D(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{t/4}^z)} \leq C(\Omega, k) M_R |z - x|^{2-n} t^{n/2-j}$$

and then by the scaled imbedding result $H^{k_0+1} \mapsto C^{1, \lambda_0}$ in Theorem 7.4(a) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{t/4}^z} |\nabla h_D(x, w)| &\leq C(\Omega, k) \sum_{j=0}^{k_0} t^{j-n/2} |D^{j+1} h_D(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{t/4}^z)} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, k) M_R t^{-1} |z - x|^{2-n} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, k) M_R (d_\partial(x))^{-1} |z - x|^{2-n} \end{aligned}$$

since $t \geq C^{-1} d_\partial(x)$. In particular, $\nabla_z h_D(x, z)$ satisfies this same bound for all z in the integrand in (4.20). Combining this with the bound in Lemma 4.5(b) for $|\tilde{\Gamma}(z)|$, we conclude that the integrand in (4.20) is bounded by $C(\Omega, k) R M_R |z - x|^{2-n} |z - y_0|^{2-n}$ and therefore that the integral is bounded by $C(\Omega, k) R M_R |x - y_0|^{2-n}$, as in the discussion preceding (4.16). Applying this bound in (4.20) and then multiplying both sides by $|x - y_0|^{n-2}$ we conclude that

$$|x - y_0|^{n-2} |h_D(x, y_0)| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k) R M_R. \quad (4.21)$$

The proof now proceeds just as for the Neumann case starting from (4.16), thus proving that (4.14) holds for all x and y in Ω with $0 < d_{\partial}(y) \leq d_{\partial}(x) < \kappa_0$. The condition that $d_{\partial}(y) \leq d_{\partial}(x)$ is eliminated by symmetry, and cases in which either $d_{\partial}(x)$ or $d_{\partial}(y)$ exceeds κ_0 were included in the discussion at the beginning of the proof of Step 1, which is now complete.

Step 2: Bounds for derivatives. The next step is to bound $|D_y^\alpha G_{D,N}|$ in $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$. As at the beginning of Step 1 we may restrict consideration to points x and y in Ω which are close and which are close to $\partial\Omega$. For such x and y let $\mathcal{V}_i = \mathcal{V}_i(x, y)$ as in Lemma 4.3(b) and recall that $\mathcal{V}_0 \supset \mathcal{V}_1 \supset \mathcal{V}_2$, $\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{V}_0) \geq C^{-1}|x - y|$, $B_{s(x,y)/18}(y) \subset \mathcal{V}_2$ and $|\mathcal{V}_i| \leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^n$. We have that $G_D(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in \mathcal{V}_0 , as is $G_N(x, \cdot)$ modulo a polynomial, and $|G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_0)} \leq C|x - y|^{(2-n)+n/2}$ by (4.14). Theorem 7.2(b) therefore applies to show that

$$|D_y^\gamma G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_2)} \leq C|x - y|^{(2-n)+n/2-|\gamma|} \quad (4.22)$$

for $|\gamma| \leq k = k_0 + k_1$. Then by (7.17) in Theorem 7.4(a),

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathcal{V}_2} |D_y^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)| &\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{k_0} \sum_{|\beta|=i} |x - y|^{i-n/2} |D_y^{\alpha+\beta} G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_2)} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^{(2-n)-|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

for $|\alpha| \leq k_1$; and by (7.18),

$$\langle D_y^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot) \rangle_{C^{0,\lambda_0}(\mathcal{V}_2)} \leq C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)|x - y|^{2-n-|\alpha|-\lambda_0}.$$

Summarizing and applying symmetry, we thus have that for $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$ and for $|\alpha| \leq k_1$,

$$|D_y^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, y)|, |D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^{(2-n)-|\alpha|} \quad (4.23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_y^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot) \rangle_{C^{0,\lambda_0}(\mathcal{V}_2(x,y))}, \langle D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(\cdot, y) \rangle_{C^{0,\lambda_0}(\mathcal{V}_2(y,x))} \\ \leq C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)|x - y|^{2-n-|\alpha|-\lambda_0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Next we consider mixed derivatives. Again fix x and y and $\mathcal{V}_i(x, y)$ as above. Then by (4.23)

$$|D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_0(x,y))} \leq C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^{(2-n)+n/2-|\alpha|}$$

for $|\alpha| \leq k_1$. Also, an easy argument based on test functions shows that if $\alpha \neq 0$ then $D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)$ is harmonic in $\Omega - \{x\}$. We can therefore

apply Theorem 7.2 again, exactly as in the derivation of (4.22) above to obtain that

$$|D_y^\gamma D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_2(x,y))} \leq C|x-y|^{(2-n)+n/2-(|\alpha|+|\gamma|)} \quad (4.25)$$

for $|\gamma| \leq k$, and then by Theorem 7.4 that

$$|D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k)|x-y|^{(2-n)-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \quad (4.26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, \cdot) \rangle_{C^{0,\lambda_0}(\mathcal{V}_2(x,y))}, \langle D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(\cdot, y) \rangle_{C^{0,\lambda_0}(\mathcal{V}_2(y,x))} \\ \leq C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)|x-y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)-\lambda_0} \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

for $|\beta| \leq k_1$. This proves the bounds in (4.3) and (4.4) for points $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$.

Step 3: Extensions to the Boundary. Next we extend the bounds (4.26) and (4.27) to points on $\partial\Omega$. Let $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1$, fix $(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega} - \mathcal{D}$ and suppose that (x_i, y_i) , $i = 1, 2$, are points of $\Omega \times \Omega - \mathcal{D}$ which are within $s(x, y)/C$ of (x, y) , where $s(x, y)$ is as in Lemma 4.3(b). Then $|x_i - y_i| \geq C^{-1}|x - y|$ if C is large so that (4.26) and (4.27) hold with x and y replaced by x_i and y_i on the left but not on the right. Also, if C is sufficiently large,

$$|y_2 - y_1| \leq s(x, y)/C \leq s(x_2, y_2)/18$$

so that by Lemma 4.3(b), $y_1 \in \mathcal{V}_2(x_2, y_2)$ and therefore by (4.27) with (x, y) replaced by (x_2, y_2) on the left,

$$\left| D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x_2, \cdot) \Big|_{y_1}^{y_2} \right| \leq C|x-y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)-\lambda_0} |y_2 - y_1|^{\lambda_0};$$

and similarly

$$\left| D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(\cdot, y_1) \Big|_{x_1}^{x_2} \right| \leq C|x-y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)-\lambda_0} |x_2 - x_1|^{\lambda_0}.$$

Triangulating, we conclude that for $C = C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)$ sufficiently large, $\left| D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N} \Big|_{(x_1, y_1)}^{(x_2, y_2)} \right|$ is bounded by the sum of the right sides above and therefore that $D_y^\beta D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}$ extends Hölder-continuously to the closure $(\overline{B_{s/C}(x)} \cap \Omega) \times (\overline{B_{s/C}(y)} \cap \Omega)$ with constant

$$C(\Omega, k, \lambda_0)|x-y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)-\lambda_0}.$$

The more convenient formulation (4.4) then follows because $s/C = \min\{|x-y|, 1\}/C$ for a new constant C . The statements in (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) then follow from this Hölder continuity and (4.25). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

5. THE CANCELLATION PROPERTY

In this section we formulate and prove the cancellation property described in the introduction, this being the main result of the paper. Definitions and notations from section 2 will be in force and will be applied throughout. We recall in particular the sets \mathcal{C}_R^z and \mathcal{W}_R^z in Definition 2.1 for points $z \in \partial\Omega$ and the constants $R_2 \leq R_1 \leq R_0$ and $\kappa_0 \leq R_0$ defined in Definition 2.1, Lemma 4.3(b) and Lemma 4.4(b).

Before formulating the theorem we introduce local tangential and normal vector fields τ_i^z and N^z as follows. Let $z \in \partial\Omega$ and let T^z be the rigid motion described in Definition 2.1, say T^z is multiplication by an orthogonal matrix Q^z followed by a translation. Then for $y \in \mathcal{C}_{R_0}^z$ and $w = (\tilde{w}, w_n) = T^z(y)$ define vector fields $\tau_i^z(y) = (Q^z)^{tr}(e_i + \psi_{w_i}(\tilde{w})e_n)$ for $i \leq n-1$, where e_i the standard basis vector whose j -th component is the Kronecker delta $\delta^{i,j}$; and $N^z(y) = (Q^z)^{tr}(-\psi_{w_1}^z, \dots, -\psi_{w_{n-1}}^z, 1)$. Thus if $y \in \partial\Omega \cap \mathcal{C}_{R_0}^z$ then the unit outward normal vector at y is $\nu(y) = N^z(y)/|N^z(y)|$ and the $\tau_i^z(y)$ span the tangent space to $\partial\Omega$ at y .

In part (a) of the following theorem we state the cancellation property for directional derivatives of the Green's functions determined locally by the τ_i^z . Parts (b) and (c) are reformulations which may be useful in particular applications. The proof of part (a) occupies the greater part of this section and is similar to but considerably more technical than that of Theorem 4.1, relying again on local approximation by Green's functions for half-spaces determined by planes tangent to $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let Ω be a C^{k+1} domain in \mathbf{R}^n in the sense of Definition 2.1 where $n \geq 3$ and $k = k_0 + k_1$ with $k_1 \geq 1$, and let τ_i^z and N^z be as above for $z \in \partial\Omega$. Then there is a constant $C(\Omega, k)$ and a positive number $R_3(\Omega, k) \leq R_2$ such that the following hold:*

(a) *For $z \in \partial\Omega$ the bound*

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\tau_i^z(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_i^z(y) \cdot \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)| \\ & \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

holds for $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R_3}^z}$, $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

(b) *Given $z \in \partial\Omega$, $R \in (0, R_3]$ and a vector field $\sigma \in C^{0,s}(\mathcal{W}_R^z)$ for some $s \in (0, 1]$ where the extension of σ to $\overline{\mathcal{W}_R^z}$ satisfies $\sigma \perp \nu$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \overline{\mathcal{W}_R^z}$, the bound*

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\sigma(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \sigma(y) \cdot \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)| \\ & \leq C(\Omega, k) |\sigma|_{C^{0,s}(\mathcal{W}_R^z)} |x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)+(s-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

holds for $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_R^z}$ and $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$.

(c) For $z \in \partial\Omega$ the bound

$$|q \cdot (\nabla_x + \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k) |q| |x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)} \quad (5.3)$$

holds for $x, y \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_R^z}$, $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$ and vectors q satisfying either $q \perp \nu(x)$ or $q \perp \nu(y)$.

Proof. We can choose $R_3(\Omega, k) \leq R_0$ sufficiently small so that if $z \in \partial\Omega$, $R \leq R_3$ and $y \in \mathcal{C}_R^z$, then $d_\partial(y) \leq \kappa_0/2$ and $\mathcal{C}_R^{y'} \subset \mathcal{C}_{R_0}^{z_0}$. This insures that the vector fields τ_i^z and N^z are defined in $\mathcal{C}_R^{y'}$. The constant R_3 may be reduced further as the proof proceeds.

Step 1: Proof of (a) for $|\alpha| = |\beta| = 0$.

Fix $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R_3}^{z_0}}$ as in the statement. We will prove (5.1) for $i = 1$ assuming without loss of generality that $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $d_\partial(x_0) \leq \kappa_0/2$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we define the following sets for $R \in (0, R_3]$:

$$\mathcal{M}_D(R) = \sup_y \{ |(\tau_1^{z_0}(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1^{z_0}(y) \cdot \nabla_y) h_D(x_0, y)| |x_0 - y|^{n-2} \}$$

where the sup is over $y \in B_R(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}$ with $d_\partial(y) \leq d_\partial(x_0)$; and

$$\mathcal{M}_N(R) = \sup_y \{ |(\tau_1^{z_0}(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1^{z_0}(y) \cdot \nabla_y) G_N(x_0, y)| |x_0 - y|^{n-2} \}$$

where the sup is over $y \in B_R(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}$. We will prove that if R is sufficiently small depending only on Ω and k then

$$\mathcal{M}_{D,N}(R) \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k) R \mathcal{M}_{D,N}(R). \quad (5.4)$$

It will then follow that if R is reduced further, again depending only on Ω and k , then $\mathcal{M}_{D,N}(R) \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1})$ and therefore that (5.1) holds for points y_0 in $B_R(x_0) \cap \overline{\Omega}$ (with $d_\partial(y_0) \leq d_\partial(x_0)$ in the Dirichlet case). Note that since $(\nabla_x + \nabla_y)\Gamma = 0$ the bound for h_D implies the required bound for G_D and in either case we can apply Lemma 4.3(c) for points $y_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R_3}^{z_0}} - B_R(x_0)$. The restriction that $d_\partial(y_0) \leq d_\partial(x_0)$ for the Dirichlet case is then removed by symmetry. This will prove that (5.1) holds with $i = 1$ and similarly for all i .

To prove (5.4) we fix a point y_0 in the defining set of $\mathcal{M}_{D,N}$ and may assume the following: $y_0 \in \Omega$, again by (4.4); that $d_\partial(y_0) \leq \kappa_0 < R_0$ since $d_\partial(x_0) \leq \kappa_0/2$ and R is small; that in an appropriate coordinate system $y'_0 = 0$ and $y_0 = (0, -d_\partial(y_0))$; and that ψ^{z_0} is transformed in this coordinate system to a C^k function ψ satisfying $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\psi(0) = 0$, and the $\tau_i^{z_0}$ are transformed to vector fields $\tau_i = (e_i + \psi_{y_i} e_n)$ and

N^z to $(-\psi_{z_1}(\tilde{w}), \dots, -\psi_{z_{n-1}}(\tilde{w}), 1)$. In particular, $\tau_i(y_0) = e_i$. Abusing notation slightly we write

$$\mathcal{W}_{R_0}^{y'_0} = \{(\tilde{y}, \psi(\tilde{y})) : |\tilde{y}| < R_0 \text{ and } -R_0 < y_n < \psi(\tilde{y})\}$$

and have to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y_0) \cdot \nabla_y)(h_D, G_N)(x_0, y_0)| |x_0 - y_0|^{n-2} \\ & \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k)R\mathcal{M}_{D,N}(R). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Then taking the sup over y_0 in the sets defining $\mathcal{M}_{D,N}$ we conclude that (5.4) holds, thus completing Step 1.

Throughout the argument terms bounded by $C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k)|x_0 - y_0|^{2-n}$ will be denoted simply by $O(\mathcal{E})$. We begin with the Dirichlet case. From Lemma 4.5(a) we have that for x and y near x_0 and y_0 ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_D(x, y) &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y, z)) \Gamma(x, z) dS_z \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}(y, z) D_{\nu_z} h_D(x, z) dS_z \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}(y, z) = \Gamma(y, z) - \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)$ and $\bar{y} = (\tilde{y}, -y_n)$ if $y = (\tilde{y}, y_n)$ (recall Lemma 4.4(a)). Define

$$H(y) = (\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y) \cdot \nabla_y) h_D(x_0, y). \quad (5.7)$$

Computing from (5.6) and noting that $\tau_1(y'_0) = \tau_1(0) = e_1$ we find that

$$-H(x_0, y_0) = I + II + III + IV$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z)) (\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x \Gamma(x_0, z)) dS_z \\ II &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1, z_j}(y_0, z) \Gamma(x_0, z) \nu^j(z) dS_z \\ III &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) D_{\nu_z} (\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x h_D(x_0, z)) dS_z \\ IV &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1}(y_0, z) D_{\nu_z} h_D(x_0, z) dS_z. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

We will integrate by parts in II then combine with I to show that $I + II = O(\mathcal{E})$. First, if $|z - x_0| \geq 2R$ then $|z - y_0| \geq R$ so that the integral in II over the set where $|z - x_0| \geq 2R$ is $O(\mathcal{E})$. We parameterize the remaining integral by writing $z = (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))$ and $\nu(z) dS_z = N(z) d\tilde{z}$

and noting that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1, z_j} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{z_1, z_j}$. Thus

$$II = O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{V_{2R}} \left[-\frac{\partial}{dz_1} \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j, z_n}(y_0, (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))) \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \right] \Gamma(x_0, (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))) N^j(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{z}$$

where $V_{2R} = \{\tilde{z} : |(\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z})) - x_0| < 2R\}$. Integrating by parts with respect to z_1 and checking that the boundary term is $O(\mathcal{E})$ we obtain

$$II = O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{V_{2R}} \left([\nabla_z \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) \cdot N(z)] [\tau_1(z) \cdot \nabla_z \Gamma(x_0, z)] + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(z) \Gamma(x_0, z) N_{z_1}^j(z) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j, z_n}(y_0, z) \Gamma(x_0, z) \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) N^j(z) \right) d\tilde{z} \quad (5.9)$$

where $z = (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))$. We replace $\tau_1(z)$ by $\tau_1(x_0)$ on the right, incurring a change in the integrand which by Lemma 4.5(b) is bounded by $C(\Omega, k) d_{\partial}(y_0) |z - y_0|^{-n} |z - x_0|^{2-n}$, and therefore an $O(\mathcal{E})$ change in the integral. (To see this let $\delta = 2|x_0 - y_0|/3$ and consider the integrals over $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(x_0)$, $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(y_0)$ and the complement of their union. The argument for $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta}(y_0)$ requires explicit use of the term $d_{\partial}(y_0)$ appearing in Lemma 4.5(b).) In the second term on the right we have that $j \neq n$ so that the integrand is $O(\mathcal{E})$, again by Lemma 4.5(b), with the same result. The same is true for the third term in the integral on the right for $j \neq n$ because $|\nabla_z \psi(\tilde{z})| \leq C|\tilde{z}| \leq C|z - y_0|$ by Lemma 4.4(b). For $j = n$ we write $\tilde{\Gamma}_{z_n, z_n} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{z_1, z_1} + \dots$ and integrate by parts with respect to z_1, \dots . The resulting integrals are then bounded as for the previous terms. Thus

$$II = O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} D_{\nu} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) (\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_z \Gamma(x_0, z)) dS_z. \quad (5.10)$$

We can also replace the domain of integration in I by $\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)$, just as for II . The resulting integral then cancels the integral in (5.10) because $(\nabla_x + \nabla_z)\Gamma = 0$. We conclude that

$$|I + II| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k) |x_0 - y_0|^{2-n} = O(\mathcal{E}). \quad (5.11)$$

Next we estimate term IV and combine the result with III . First, just as for II above, the integral in IV over the set where $|z - x_0| \geq 2R$ is $O(\mathcal{E})$ by Lemma 4.3(c) (it is at this step that we require $k_1 \geq 1$). The integral over the remaining set can again be parameterized by writing $z = (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))$ and $\nu(z) dS_z = N(z) d\tilde{z}$. In the following computation we integrate by parts with respect to z_1 , checking that the boundary term is $O(\mathcal{E})$, omitting the arguments (y_0, z) , (x_0, z) and \tilde{z} on the $\tilde{\Gamma}$, Γ and N terms respectively and writing h in place of h_D :

$$\begin{aligned}
IV &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{V_{2R}} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_n}(y_0, z) \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \right] h_{z_j}(x_0, z) N^j(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{z} \\
&= O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{V_{2R}} \left[\tilde{\Gamma}(h_{z_1, z_j} + \Gamma h_{z_n, z_j} \psi_{z_1}) N^j + \tilde{\Gamma} h_{z_j} N_{z_1}^j + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_n} h_{z_j} \psi_{z_1} N^j \right] d\tilde{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

The term in parentheses inside the brackets is

$$(h_{z_1} + h_{z_n} \psi_{z_1})_{z_j} - h_{z_n} \psi_{z_1, z_j} = [\tau_1(z) \cdot \nabla_z h(x_0, z)]_{z_j} - h_{z_n} \psi_{z_1, z_j}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
IV &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} [\tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) D_{\nu_z}(\tau_1(z) \cdot \nabla_z h_D(x_0, z))] dS_z \\
&\quad + \int_{V_{2R}} \left[-\tilde{\Gamma} h_{z_n} \psi_{z_1, z_j} N^j + \tilde{\Gamma} h_{z_j} N_{z_1}^j + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_n} h_{z_j} \psi_{z_1} N^j \right] d\tilde{z}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second integral on the right here is $O(\mathcal{E})$ just as for term *II* because by (4.3) and Lemma 4.5(b) h_D satisfies the same pointwise bounds (1.2) satisfied by Γ (the assumption that $d_\partial(y_0) \leq d_\partial(x_0)$ is applied here). Combining with the integral in *III* and applying (5.11) we therefore have that

$$H(y_0) = O(\mathcal{E}) - \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}(x_0)} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) D_{\nu_z} H(z) dS_z,$$

the change in the domain of integration incurring an error bounded by $O(\mathcal{E})$. Next we define a harmonic function $H'(y)$ to be the same as $H(y)$ in (5.7) but with $\tau_1(y)$ replaced by $\tau_1(x_0)$. Then since $H'(y_0) - H(y_0) = O(\mathcal{E})$ by Theorem 4.1, $H(z)$ can be replaced by $H'(z)$ in the integral on the right above at the expense of another $O(\mathcal{E})$ term. Thus

$$H'(y_0) = O(\mathcal{E}) - \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}(x_0)} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z) D_{\nu_z} H'(z) dS_z. \quad (5.12)$$

Now if we define

$$\mathcal{M}'_D(R) = \sup_y \{|x_0 - y|^{n-2} |H'(y)|\} \quad (5.13)$$

where the sup is over the same set as in the definition of $M_D(R)$ in (4.18) then (5.13) is the same as (4.18) but with H' in place of h_D ; and (5.12) implies the bound in (4.20), again with H' in place of h_D . Since H' is harmonic, we can therefore repeat the argument proceeding from (4.20) to find that

$$|x_0 - y_0|^{n-2} |H'(y_0)| \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k) R \mathcal{M}'_D(R)$$

just as in (4.21). The same bound then holds for $H(y_0)$, and this proves (5.5) for the Dirichlet case.

Next we prove (5.5) for the Neumann case. From Lemma 4.5(a) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_N(x, y) &= \tilde{\Gamma}(x, y) - \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, y)} + \overline{\Gamma(\cdot, \bar{y})} \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y, z)) \tilde{G}_N(x, z) dS_z \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

for x and y near x_0 and y_0 , where $\tilde{G}_N = \Gamma + h_N$ and now $\tilde{\Gamma}(y, z) = \Gamma(y, z) + \Gamma(\bar{y}, z)$. We define a new function H by

$$H(y) = (\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y) \cdot \nabla_y) \tilde{G}_N(x_0, y) \quad (5.15)$$

and compute from (5.14) that

$$H(y_0) = I + II + III$$

where I is the result of applying the operator $\tau_1(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y_0) \cdot \nabla_y$ to the three terms preceding the integral on the right in (5.14) and

$$II = \int_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z)) (\tau(x'_0) \cdot \nabla_x \tilde{G}_N(x_0, z)) dS_z$$

and

$$III = \int_{\partial\Omega} \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j, y_1}(y_0, z) \tilde{G}_N(x_0, z) \nu^j(z) dS_z.$$

It is easy to see that $I = O(\mathcal{E})$ and we can restrict the domain of integration in both II and III to $\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)$, the integrals in the complement being $O(\mathcal{E})$. We parameterize the remaining part of III by writing $z = (\tilde{z}, \psi(\tilde{z}))$, $\nu dS = Nd\tilde{z}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{y_1, z_j} = -\tilde{\Gamma}_{z_1, z_j}$. Integrating by parts with respect to z_1 and checking that the boundary term is $O(\mathcal{E})$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} III &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{V_{2R}} \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j, z_n}(y_0, z) \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \right] \tilde{G}(x_0, z) N^j(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{z} \\ &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z)) (\tau_1(z) \cdot \nabla_z) \tilde{G}(x_0, z) dS_z + IV \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where

$$IV = \int_{V_{2R}} \left[\tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z) N_{z_1}^j(\tilde{z}) + \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j, z_n}(y_0, z) \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) N^j(\tilde{z}) \right] \tilde{G}(x_0, z) d\tilde{z}.$$

Combining this with II we then have that

$$H(y_0) = O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z)) H(z) dS_z + IV. \quad (5.17)$$

Term IV is computed in the following steps: we substitute $N^j = -\psi_{z_j}$ for $j < n$ and $N^n = 1$, rearrange terms, integrate by parts, noting that

the boundary integral over ∂V_{2R} is $O(\mathcal{E})$, and identify divergence free vector fields $K^j = -\tilde{G}_{z_n} e_j + \tilde{G}_{z_j} e_n$ for $j < n$. The result is that

$$\begin{aligned} IV &= - \int_{V_{2R}} \sum_{j < n} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} [\psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z)] \tilde{G}(x_0, z) d\tilde{z} \\ &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \sum_{j < n} \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z) K^j(x_0, z) \cdot \nu(z) dS_z. \end{aligned}$$

To bound the integral here for fixed $j < n$ we replace the domain of integration by $\partial\Omega_{2R}^\varepsilon$ where

$$\Omega_{2R}^\varepsilon = (\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)) - B_\varepsilon(x_0) - B_\varepsilon(y_0)$$

for small $\varepsilon > 0$ then take $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$. To justify this we let $\mathcal{I}(z)$ be the integrand and note first that \mathcal{I} is $O(\mathcal{E})$ on $\partial B_{2R}(x_0)$ hence so is its integral over $\partial B_{2R}(x_0)$; and we claim further that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(x_0)} \mathcal{I}(z) dS_z = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\varepsilon(y_0)} \mathcal{I}(z) dS_z = 0.$$

To prove these statements we can first replace \tilde{G}_N by Γ in the definition of K^j , hence of \mathcal{I} , because $h_N(x_0, \cdot)$ is bounded independently of ε by Theorem 3.1(a). The remaining terms in \mathcal{I} are then identically zero on $\partial B_\varepsilon(x_0)$ by direct computation; and for $z \in \partial B_\varepsilon(y_0)$, $|\mathcal{I}(z)| \leq C|z - y_0|^{2-n}|x_0 - y_0|^{1-n}$, whose integral goes to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We therefore have that

$$\begin{aligned} IV &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{j < n} \int_{\partial\Omega_{2R}^\varepsilon} \psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z) K^j(x_0, z) \cdot \nu(z) dS_z \\ &= O(\mathcal{E}) + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{2R}^\varepsilon} \nabla_z (\psi_{z_1}(\tilde{z}) \tilde{\Gamma}_{z_j}(y_0, z)) \cdot K^j(x_0, z) dz \end{aligned}$$

because $K^j(x_0, \cdot)$ is divergence free. The integrand on the right here is bounded by $C(|z - y_0||z - x_0|)^{1-n}$ so that the limit is $O(\mathcal{E})$, and therefore IV is $O(\mathcal{E})$. Returning to (5.17) we thus obtain

$$H(y_0) = O(\mathcal{E}) + \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_{2R}(x_0)} (D_{\nu_z} \tilde{\Gamma}(y_0, z)) H(z) dS_z.$$

We can replace the domain of integration here by $\partial\Omega \cap B_{R/2}(x_0)$ at the expense of another $O(\mathcal{E})$ term and then apply Lemma 4.5(b) to bound the integrand by $C(\Omega, k) \mathcal{M}_N(R) (|z - y_0||z - x_0|)^{2-n}$. The integral is then bounded by $C(\Omega, k) \mathcal{M}_N(R) R |x_0 - y_0|^{2-n}$ just as in the discussion preceding (4.16). We then multiply by $|x_0 - y_0|^{n-2}$ and take the sup

over y_0 in the set defining $\mathcal{M}_N(R)$ to obtain finally that

$$\mathcal{M}_N(R) \leq C(\Omega, k, R^{-1}) + C(\Omega, k)R\mathcal{M}_N R.$$

This proves (5.4) and completes the proof of Step 1 for the Neumann case.

Step 2: Proof of (a) for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$. Fix $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $x_0, y_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{R_3}^{z_0}$ and let $\delta = |x_0 - y_0|$. We may assume that $\delta \leq R_1$, otherwise Lemma 4.3(c) applies to show that the left side of (5.1) is bounded by $C(\Omega, k)$ since $|\alpha| + 1, |\beta| + 1 \leq k_1$. Recalling Lemma 4.3(b), we therefore have that $s(x_0, y_0) = \delta$ and so in the nontrivial case of that lemma that $d_{\partial}(y) < \delta/12$,

$$\text{dist}(x_0, \mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}) \geq C^{-1}\delta \text{ and } y_0 \in \mathcal{W}_{\delta/6}^{y_0}.$$

It then follows from (4.5) in Theorem 4.1 that for $|\alpha| \leq k_1$ and $|\gamma| \leq k$

$$|D_x^\alpha D_y^\gamma G_{D,N}(x_0, \cdot)|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0})} \leq C\delta^{2-n/2-(|\alpha|+|\gamma|)}. \quad (5.18)$$

We are going to apply Theorem 7.2(b) to the function

$$K_\alpha(y) \equiv (\tau_i(x_0) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_i(y) \cdot \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x_0, y) \quad (5.19)$$

for fixed α with $|\alpha| \leq k_1 - 1$. To facilitate the computations we denote by $\mathcal{E}_i^{p,q}(y)$ generic linear combinations of derivatives $D_x^\zeta D_y^\eta G_{D,N}(x_0, y)$ for $|\zeta| \leq p$ and $|\eta| \leq q$ and with coefficients which are C^{k-i} functions with derivatives bounded by $C(\Omega, k)$ (the latter will be determined by i -th order derivatives of the τ_j). We check that $\Delta K_\alpha = \mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2}$ on $\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}$ so that in the Dirichlet case

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \nabla K_\alpha \cdot \nabla v \, dy = - \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \Delta_y K_\alpha v \, dy = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2} v \, dy$$

for $v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0})$. Note also that $K_\alpha = 0$ on the top of $\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}$, as required in case (D) of Theorem 7.2. In the Neumann case we take $v \in H^1(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0})$ vanishing on the sides and bottom of $\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}$ and compute that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \nabla K_\alpha \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\partial\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} (D_\nu K_\alpha) v - \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2} v.$$

Now $D_\nu K_\alpha = \mathcal{E}_1^{|\alpha|,1}$ on $\partial\Omega$ because $D_\nu G_N(x_0, \cdot) = 0$. Applying the trace theorem to the boundary integral, we therefore conclude that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} \nabla K_\alpha \cdot \nabla v = \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y_0}} (\mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2} v + \mathcal{E}_1^{|\alpha|,1} \nabla v)$$

in either case (D) or (N) of Theorem 7.2. We now apply (7.5) with $u = K_\alpha$, $\varphi = \mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2}$ and $\Phi = \mathcal{E}_1^{|\alpha|,1}$ and note that for $i \leq j-1 \leq k-1$,

$$|\mathcal{E}_2^{|\alpha|,2}|_{H^{i-1}}, |\mathcal{E}_1^{|\alpha|,1}|_{H^i} = |\mathcal{E}_{i+1}^{|\alpha|,i+1}|_{L^2} \leq C\delta^{2-n/2-(|\alpha|+i+1)}$$

(norms are over $\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y'_0}$) by (5.18). The result is that for $|\gamma| \leq k$,

$$|D_y^\gamma K_\alpha|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/6}^{y'_0})} \leq C(\Omega, k) [\delta^{-|\gamma|} |K_\alpha|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y'_0})} + \delta^{2-n/2-(|\alpha|+|\gamma|)}]. \quad (5.20)$$

Now for the case that $\alpha = 0$ we can apply the pointwise bound proved in Step 1 to compute that $|K_0|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y'_0})} \leq C\delta^{2-n/2}$ and therefore that

$$|D_y^\gamma K_0|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/6}^{y'_0})} \leq C(\Omega, k)\delta^{2-n/2-|\gamma|}$$

for $|\gamma| \leq k$. We then apply the scaling result Theorem 7.4(a) just as in (4.22)–(4.23) in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to find that

$$\sup_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta/6}^{y'_0}} |D_y^\beta K_0| \leq C(\Omega, k)\delta^{2-n/2-|\beta|}$$

for $|\beta| \leq k_1$. Thus in particular

$$\left| D_y^\beta [(\tau_1(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y) \cdot \nabla_y) G_{D,N}(x, y)] \right| \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{2-n-|\beta|}$$

at (x_0, y_0) and therefore for all $x, y \in \mathcal{W}_{R_3}^{z_0}$ and $|\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$ (which was assumed earlier in Step 2). The operator D_y^β can then be passed under the directional derivatives at the expense of an error which by (4.3) can be absorbed into the term on the right. Applying symmetry and replacing β by α we conclude that

$$|(\tau_1(x) \cdot \nabla_x + \tau_1(y) \cdot \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha G_{D,N}(x, y)| \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{2-n-|\alpha|} \quad (5.21)$$

for $|\alpha| \leq k_1 - 1$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{W}_{R_3}^{z_0}$.

We now return to the points x_0 and y_0 fixed at the beginning of Step 2, again with $\delta = |x_0 - y_0|$ and K_α as in (5.19). The left side of (5.21) with $x = x_0$ is precisely $|K_\alpha(y)|$ so that $|K_\alpha|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/3}^{y'_0})} \leq C\delta^{2-n/2-|\alpha|}$. Substituting this on the right in (5.20) we then find that $|D_y^\gamma K_\alpha|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta/6}^{y'_0})} \leq C(\Omega, k)\delta^{2-n/2-(|\alpha|+|\gamma|)}$ for $|\gamma| \leq k$. Then just as for the K_0 case we can apply Theorem 7.4(b) with the result that (5.1) holds for $|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq k_1 - 1$ and points $x, y \in \mathcal{W}_{R_3}^z$, for all $z \in \partial\Omega$. This completes the proof of part (a) of the theorem.

Step 3: Proofs of (b) and (c). We can replace the term $\tau_i^z(y)$ on the left side of (5.1) by $\tau_i^z(x)$ at the expense of a term bounded by $C|x - y| |\nabla_y D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y)|$. Since $|\beta| + 1 \leq k_1$, (4.3) applies to

show that the latter is bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}$, which can be absorbed into the right side of (5.1). The same is true if $\tau_i^z(x)$ is replaced by $\tau_i^z(x')$, again by (4.3) and Corollary 4.2. We thus have that for $z \in \partial\Omega$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{W}_{R_3}^z$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tau_i^z(x') \cdot (\nabla_x + \nabla_y) D_x^\alpha D_y^\beta G_{D,N}(x, y) \right| \\ & \leq C(\Omega, k) |x - y|^{2-n-(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Note that this reduction required only the Lipschitz regularity of τ_i^z . Now if $q \perp \nu(x')$ then q is a linear combination of $\tau_1^z(x'), \dots, \tau_{n-1}^z(x')$ and one checks easily that the coefficients in this linear combination are bounded by $C(\Omega, k)|q|$. Thus (5.3) holds, and this proves (c). Similarly, if σ is a vector field as in (b), then (5.22) holds with $\sigma(x')$ in place of q . The steps leading from (5.1) to (5.22) with σ in place of τ_i^z can then be reversed (with the Hölder- s regularity of σ in place of the Lipschitz regularity of the τ_i) to prove (5.2). \square

6. AN APPLICATION TO FLUID MECHANICS

In this section we describe the application of the pointwise bounds in Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 to the construction of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations of barotropic, compressible flow on fairly general subsets of \mathbf{R}^3 . This construction extends earlier results in [11] for flows in \mathbf{R}^3 and in half-spaces of \mathbf{R}^3 , now enabled in particular by the cancellation property in Theorem 5.1(b) for the Green's function for the Neumann-Laplace operator. Complete details will be reported elsewhere. Here we will content ourselves with extracting a single *a priori* bound required in the proof and describing informally how the results of the present paper are applied in an essential way.

The Navier-Stokes equations describe a compressible fluid occupying a bounded open set Ω in \mathbf{R}^3 in terms of its density ρ and the particle velocity $u = (u^1, u^2, u^3)$ which are the unknown functions of $x \in \Omega$ and time $t \geq 0$. The evolution of ρ is governed by the principle of conservation of mass, expressed by the familiar equation

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0; \quad (6.1)$$

and the evolution of the velocity is governed by Newton's law, as follows. If $x(t)$ is the position at time t of a fixed fluid particle, then its velocity is $u(x(t), t)$ and therefore the j -th component of its acceleration is $\dot{u}^j \equiv$

$u_t^j + (\nabla_x u^j) \cdot u$. Thus the mass (per volume) of a fluid particle times its acceleration is

$$\rho \dot{u}^j = \rho(u_t^j + \nabla_x u^j \cdot u) = (\rho u^j)_t + (\rho u^j u^k)_{x_k}$$

(sum over k), and this equals the sum of the forces acting on the particle. We need not describe these forces in detail but instead simply note that for each fixed t the sum of the forces is a vector field on Ω which can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar potential F plus a divergence-free vector field H . Thus

$$\rho \dot{u} = \nabla_x F + H. \quad (6.2)$$

In the Navier-Stokes system F and H are determined by ρ , u and ∇u and can therefore be regarded as functions of x and t by composition. A boundary condition is imposed on the velocity and this includes the condition $u(x, t) \cdot \nu(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Initial data (ρ_0, u_0) is given and the system (6.1)-(6.2) together with the boundary and initial conditions are to be solved for $t \geq 0$ under fairly mild restrictions on the system parameters and under the assumptions that ρ_0 is measurable and takes values in a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$, and that $u_0 \in L^6(\Omega)$ and the initial energy, kinetic plus potential, satisfies a certain size restriction.

The proof consists in a set of *a priori* bounds for smooth approximate solutions, bounds which are fairly technical and which are coupled in complicated ways. A crucial step in this construction is to bound the quantity $\mathcal{F} \equiv \int_0^{\bar{t}} F(x(t), t) dt$, where $x(t)$ is a particle trajectory near $\partial\Omega$, in terms of a bound C_1 for the quantities

$$\sup_t |\rho(\cdot, t)|_{L^\infty}, \sup_t |u(\cdot, t)|_{L^6} \text{ and } \int_0^{\bar{t}} |u(\cdot, t)|_{C^{0,s}} |u(\cdot, t)|_{L^q} dt \quad (6.3)$$

(norms over Ω) for particular $s \in (0, 1)$ and $q > 6$ satisfying $q'(3 - s) < 3$ determined elsewhere in the proof. We will describe how the required bound for \mathcal{F} is obtained for an approximate solution (ρ, u) defined up to time \bar{t} where ρ , u and $\partial\Omega$ are as smooth as required for the arguments that follow.

First we compute from (6.2) that at each t ,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x F = \operatorname{div}_x(\rho \dot{u}) \text{ in } \Omega \\ D_\nu F = (\rho \dot{u} - H) \cdot \nu \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

Observe that the term $\rho \dot{u}$ here indicates a derivative along a particle path whereas \mathcal{F} is an integral over a particle path. Cancellation can therefore be anticipated and will be detected through the representation

(3.4) of $F(x(t), t)$ in terms of the Neumann-Green's function G :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = & \int_0^{\bar{t}} \left(\overline{F(\cdot, t)} - \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x(t), y) D_\nu F(y, t) dS_y \right. \\ & \left. + \int_\Omega G(x(t), y) [(\rho u^j)_{y_j, t} + (\rho u^j u^k)_{y_j, y_k}] dy \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The term involving \bar{F} is of lower order and together with other such terms will be denoted by an ellipsis. We integrate by parts in the integral over Ω with respect to t and y_k , noting that first-order derivatives of $G(x(t), \cdot)$ are integrable by (4.3). Applying the relation $\dot{x}^k(t) = u^k(x(t), t)$ and rearranging we find that, modulo the ellipsis,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = & \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x(t), y) [-D_\nu F(y, t) + (\rho u^j u^k)_{y_j}(y, t) \nu^k(y)] dS_y dt \\ & + \int_\Omega G(x(\cdot), y) (\rho u^j)_{y_j}(y, \cdot) dy \Big|_0^{\bar{t}} \\ & - \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_\Omega [G_{x_k}(x(t), y) u^k(x(t), t) (\rho u^j)_{y_j}(y, t) \\ & \quad + G_{y_k}(x(t), y) (\rho u^j u^k)_{y_j}(y, t)] dy dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Applying the relations $u \cdot \nu = u_t \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we find that the term in brackets in the boundary integral here can be written

$$[-\nabla F + (\rho u^j)_{y_j} u + \rho(\nabla u u)] \cdot \nu = (-\nabla F + \rho \dot{u}) \cdot \nu = -H \cdot \nu.$$

This boundary integral thus depends on details of the divergence-free field H and on the specific boundary conditions. The required bound is technical and not germane to the present discussion and so will be omitted. Concerning the single integral on the right in (6.5) we integrate by parts and then note that $G_{y_j}(x(\cdot), \cdot)$ is in $L^p(\Omega)$ for $p < 3/2$ by (4.3) and that $(\rho u)(\cdot, t) \in L^6(\Omega)$ by our assumptions in (6.3). Bounds for the first two integrals on the right in (6.5) can therefore be included in the ellipsis.

Integration by parts appears to be required in the third integral on the right in (6.5) because derivatives of ρ and u are not included in (6.3). Second derivatives of $G(x, \cdot)$ are not in general integrable, however, so that if this analysis is to succeed, some cancellation in the integrand must occur. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} = \dots - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon(x(t))} & \left(G_{x_k}(x(t), y) [(\rho u^j)(y, t) u^k(x(t), t)]_{y_j} \right. \\ & \left. + G_{y_k}(x(t), y) [(\rho u^j u^k)(y, t)]_{y_j} \right) dy dt. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

We now integrate by parts with respect to y_j in both terms and note that the boundary integral over $\partial\Omega$ is zero because $u(x, \cdot) \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Concerning the integral over $\partial B_\varepsilon(x(t))$ we recall that by Theorem 3.1 $G(x, \cdot) = \Gamma(x, \cdot) + h(x, \cdot)$ where $h(x(t), \cdot) \in H^{k+1}(\Omega)$. The contribution from h to the integral over $\partial B_\varepsilon(x(t))$ therefore vanishes in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and we need only consider the contribution from Γ . Modulo multiplicative constants this contribution is

$$\int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{B_\varepsilon(x(t))} \left(\frac{x_k - y_k}{|x - y|^3} u^k(x(t), t) + \frac{y_k - x_k}{|x - y|^3} u^k(y, t) \right) (\rho u)(y, t) \cdot \nu(y) dS_y dt$$

which is easily seen to go to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (recall that u is an approximate smooth solution here). We thus have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &= \dots + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon(x(t))} \left(G_{x_k, y_j}(\rho u^j)(y, t) u^k(x(t), t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + G_{y_k, y_j}(\rho u^j u^k)(y, t) \right) dy dt \quad (6.7) \\ &= \dots + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\bar{t}} \int_{\Omega - B_\varepsilon(x(t))} \left([G_{x_k, y_j} u^k(x(t), t) + G_{y_k, y_j} u^k(y, t)] \right) \\ &\quad \times (\rho u^j)(y, t) dy dt \end{aligned}$$

where each term involving G is evaluated at $(x(t), y)$. Contributions to this integral from points y far from $\partial\Omega$ or far from $x(t)$ can be absorbed into the ellipsis by Corollary 4.2 (because G can be replaced by h_N in the integrand) or by Lemma 4.3(c). For $x(t)$ and y close and close to $\partial\Omega$, Theorem 5.1(b) applies with $|\alpha| + |\beta| = 1$ to show that the term in parentheses here is bounded by $C|u(\cdot, t)|_{C^{0,s}}|y - x(t)|^{s-3}$. Taking the $L^{q'}$ norm of this term and the L^q norm of $(\rho u^j)(\cdot, t)$ we can then bound the integral in (6.7) by

$$C_1 \int_0^{\bar{t}} |u(\cdot, t)|_{C^{0,s}} |u(\cdot, t)|_{L^q} dt$$

since $q'(3-s) < 3$. This completes the required bound for \mathcal{F} .

7. APPENDIX: INTERIOR-TYPE ESTIMATES NEAR THE BOUNDARY

In this section we derive regularity results for weak solutions of second-order elliptic equations near the boundary of the spatial domain. Specifically, we consider a solution u of the elliptic problem

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R_0}} (A\nabla u) \cdot \nabla v = F \cdot v \quad (7.1)$$

which is to hold for test functions v in a specified space and for elements F in its dual. Here A is an $n \times n$ matrix-valued function on a spatial domain $\mathcal{W}_{R_0} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ described as follows, consistent with the notations in Definition 2.1:

Let \mathcal{C}_R be the cylinder $\mathcal{C}_R \equiv B_R \times (-R, R)$ where for $R > 0$ B_R is the ball of radius R centered at the origin in \mathbf{R}^{n-1} where $n \geq 2$. Fix $R_0 > 0$ and $k \geq 1$ and assume that there is a mapping $\psi \in C^{k+1}(B_{R_0})$ such that $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\nabla\psi(0) = 0$, that $\psi(B_R) \subset (-R/2, R/2)$ for $R \leq R_0$ and that the derivatives of ψ up to order $k+1$ are bounded by a constant $M_\psi(k)$. Then for $R \in (0, R_0]$ define

$$\mathcal{W}_R = \{(\tilde{z}, z_n) : \tilde{z} \in B_R \text{ and } -R < z_n < \psi(\tilde{z})\},$$

its top

$$\partial\mathcal{W}_R^+ = \{(\tilde{z}, z_n) : \tilde{z} \in B_R \text{ and } z_n = \psi(\tilde{z})\}$$

and a C^k vector field $N(z) = (-\nabla\psi(\tilde{z}), 1)$ at points $z = (\tilde{z}, z_n)$ of \mathcal{C}_{R_0} . Thus $\nu \equiv N/|N|$ is the unit outer normal to \mathcal{W}_{R_0} at points on $\partial\mathcal{W}_{R_0}^+$. Concerning A we assume that its derivatives up to order k exist and are bounded on B_{R_0} by a constant $M_A(k)$ and that there is a positive constant η_A such that for all $x \in \mathcal{W}_{R_0}$ and all $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$(A(x)\xi) \cdot \xi \geq \eta_A |\xi|^2. \quad (7.2)$$

The major result of this section is Theorem 7.2 below in which we show that for small $\delta, \delta' \in (0, R_0)$ with $\delta' < \delta$, higher-order regularity norms of a solution u of (7.1) on the smaller set $\mathcal{W}_{\delta'}$ are bounded by lower-order regularity norms on the larger set \mathcal{W}_δ modulo constants and inverse powers of $\delta - \delta'$. Sobolev norms are considered in Theorem 7.2(b) and Lebesgue norms in Theorem 7.2(c). The proofs apply standard cutoff functions which modulate the two sets in question but which must also respect the natural boundary condition associated to (7.1) on $\partial\mathcal{W}_\delta^+$:

Lemma 7.1. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations above are in force. Then given $\theta \in (0, 1)$ there is a number $\delta_0 \in (0, R_0)$ and a positive constant C , both depending on θ, R_0, M_ψ, M_A and η_A , such that for $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $\delta' \in (0, \theta\delta]$ there is a C^k function χ defined on a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_\delta$ for which the following hold:*

- $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi = 0$ on $\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{C}_\delta$ and $\chi = 1$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\delta'}$
- $|D^\alpha \chi(z)| \leq C|\delta - \delta'|^{-|\alpha|}$ for $|\alpha| \leq k$ and $z \in \mathcal{V}$
- $\nabla \chi \cdot (A(z)N(z)) = 0$ for $z \in \partial \mathcal{W}_\delta^+$.

Proof. Define a mapping $F : B_{R_0} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by

$$F(\tilde{w}, s) = (\tilde{w}, \psi(\tilde{w})) - s(AN)(\tilde{w}, \psi(\tilde{w})). \quad (7.3)$$

Then F is C^k and its derivative at the origin is invertible by (7.2). Therefore for sufficiently small δ_0 there is a neighborhood S of the origin such that F is a C^k diffeomorphism of S onto a neighborhood \mathcal{V} of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\delta_0}$.

Now let δ, δ' and θ be given as in the statement and define $\varepsilon_j = \delta' + j(\delta - \delta')/3$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then choose a C^∞ function $\tilde{\chi}_1$ on \mathbf{R}^n which is a decreasing function of $|\tilde{w}|$ and whose value at (\tilde{w}, s) is one or zero according as $|\tilde{w}|$ is less than ε_1 or greater than ε_2 . We claim that if $\chi_1 \equiv \tilde{\chi}_1 \circ F^{-1}$, which is thus a C^k function on \mathcal{V} taking values in $[0, 1]$, then there is a constant C_1 depending on the same parameters as for C in the statement of the theorem such that, if $z = (\tilde{z}, z_n) \in \mathcal{V}$ with $|z_n| \leq (\delta - \delta')/C_1$ and if δ_0 is reduced further if necessary, then $\chi_1(z)$ is one or zero according as $|\tilde{z}|$ is less than δ' or greater than δ . The proof consists in checking that with $|z_n|$ so restricted and with $z = (\tilde{z}, z_n) = F(\tilde{w}, s) \in \mathcal{V}$ then $|\tilde{w}|$ is less than ε_1 or greater than ε_2 according as $|\tilde{z}|$ is less than δ' or greater than δ ; details are left to the reader.

Three more cutoff functions are required: Let $\chi_2(\tilde{z}, z_n)$ be a decreasing C^∞ function of $|\tilde{z}|$ which is one or zero according as $|\tilde{z}|$ is less than δ' or greater than δ ; let φ be a decreasing C^∞ function of $|z_n|$ which is one or zero according as $|z_n|$ is less than $(\delta - \delta')/12C_1$ or greater than $(\delta - \delta')/6C_1$; and let ζ be a decreasing C^∞ function of $|z_n|$ which is one or zero according as $|z_n|$ is less than δ' or greater than δ . We then take

$$\chi(\tilde{z}, z_n) = \zeta(z_n) [\varphi(z_n)\chi_1(\tilde{z}, z_n) + (1 - \varphi(z_n))\chi_2(\tilde{z}, z_n)].$$

The first two items in the statement of the theorem are then easily checked. For the third we note that if $z = (\tilde{z}, z_n) \in \partial \mathcal{W}_\delta^+$ then $|z_n| = |\psi(\tilde{z})| \leq C|\tilde{z}|^2$. Reducing δ_0 further if necessary we find that $\varphi(z_n) = \zeta(z_n) = 1$ and therefore $\chi(z) = \chi_1(z)$, and in fact this holds as well for

z in a neighborhood of $\partial\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}^+$. Thus if $z = F(\tilde{w}, s)$ then $\chi(z) = \tilde{\chi}_1(\tilde{w}, s)$, which is independent of s . Differentiating with respect to s and recalling the definition (7.3), we conclude that $\nabla\chi \cdot (AN) = 0$ at z as required.

□

The following is the main result of this section:

Theorem 7.2. *Assume that the hypotheses and notations in the first paragraph of this section are in force, let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be given and let $\delta_0 \in (0, R_0)$ be as in Lemma 7.1. Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and $\delta' \in (0, \theta\delta]$ and assume that $u \in H^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ satisfies one of the following:*

(D) $u = 0$ on $\partial\mathcal{W}_\delta^+$ in the sense of traces and

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} (A \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v = F \cdot v \quad (7.4)$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ and for some F in the dual of $H_0^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$.

(N) the relation (7.4) holds for all $v \in H^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ which vanish in the sense of traces on the sides and bottom of \mathcal{W}_δ and for some F in the dual of $H^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$.

Then the following hold:

(a) There is a constant C depending on $\theta, R_0, M_\psi(k), M_A(k)$ and η_A such that if

$$|F \cdot v| \leq a|v|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)} + b|\nabla v|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}$$

for some constants a and b and for all v as described in the respective cases (D) or (N) then

$$|\nabla u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})}^2 \leq C \left[(\delta - \delta')^{-2} |u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2 + a|u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)} + b^2 \right].$$

(b) There is a constant C depending on $k, \theta, R_0, M_\psi(k), M_A(k)$ and η_A and on an upper bound for $(\delta - \delta')$ such that if

$$F \cdot v = \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} (\varphi v + \Phi \cdot \nabla v)$$

for some $\varphi \in H^{\min\{k-2, 0\}}(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ and $\Phi \in [H^{k-1}(\mathcal{W}_\delta)]^n$ then in either case (D) or (N) the solution u is in $H^k(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})$ and for $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} |D^\alpha u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})} &\leq C\varepsilon^{-j} \left[|u|_{L^2} + \varepsilon^2 |\varphi|_{L^2} + \varepsilon |\Phi|_{L^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \varepsilon^{i+1} (|\varphi|_{H^{i-1}} + |\Phi|_{H^i}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where $\varepsilon \equiv \delta - \delta'$, the norms on the right are taken over \mathcal{W}_δ and the sum on the right is omitted if $j = 1$.

- (c) *Apart from the hypotheses in (a) and (b) let $\theta' \in (0, \theta)$ be given and let $p \in (1, 2]$ and $q = np/(n-2)$. Then there is a constant C depending on $\theta, \theta', R_0, M_\psi(k), M_A(k), \eta_A$ and p such that if $\delta' \in [\theta'\delta, \theta\delta]$ and if $F \cdot v = \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \varphi v$ for some $\varphi \in L^p(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ then in either case (D) or (N) the solution u is in $L^q(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})$ and*

$$|u|_{L^q(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})} \leq C \left[(\delta - \delta')^{-2/p} |u|_{L^p(\mathcal{W}_\delta)} + (\delta - \delta')^{2(p-1)/p} |\varphi|_{L^p(\mathcal{W}_\delta)} \right]. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof of Theorem 7.2(a). Let χ be as in Lemma 7.1. Then $v = \chi u$ is an allowable test function in (7.4) in either case (D) or (N) so that by (7.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \chi (A \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u \\ &= C \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} [(A \nabla u) \cdot \nabla (\chi u) - u \nabla u \cdot (A^{tr} \nabla \chi)] \\ &= C \left[F \cdot (\chi u) - \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}_\delta^+} \frac{1}{2} u^2 \cdot (A^{tr} \nabla \chi) \cdot \nu + \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \frac{1}{2} u^2 \operatorname{div}(A^{tr} \nabla \chi) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where A^{tr} is the transpose of A . The boundary integral here is zero by the third item in Lemma 7.1, and bounds for the other two terms are obtained from the second item in Lemma 7.1 and the hypotheses on F .

Proof of Theorem 7.2(b). This result is a special case of Proposition 4.3 of [12] for much more general problems but whose proof is correspondingly more technically involved than is required here. This proof is a standard argument in which \mathcal{W}_{δ_0} is mapped to a region whose upper boundary is contained in a horizontal plane so that bounds for difference quotients of the transformed solution in the horizontal direction can be computed. These bounds are uniform in the increment, thus proving weak differentiability and providing bounds in L^2 for the corresponding horizontal derivatives; bounds for nonhorizontal derivatives

are then obtained from (7.1) via the the ellipticity assumption (7.2). We will omit the difference quotient argument, which is familiar to most readers, assuming therefore that $u \in H^k(\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0})$, and content ourselves with deriving the bound in (7.5), which is the point of interest here.

Fix δ and δ' as in the statement and let $\delta' = \varepsilon_k < \varepsilon_{k-1} < \dots < \varepsilon_0 = \delta$ so that $\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_{j+1} = \varepsilon/k$. The hypothesis of (a) then holds with δ, δ' replaced by $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ and with $a = |\varphi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}$ and $b = |\Phi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}$. Thus

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_1}} |\nabla u|^2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}|u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2 + \varepsilon^2|\varphi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2 + |\Phi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2) \equiv M_1. \quad (7.7)$$

This proves (7.5) for $k = 1$. We therefore assume that $k \geq 2$ and flatten $\partial\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0}^+$ as follows. Let Y be the C^{k+1} map defined by $Y(\tilde{z}, z_n) = (\tilde{y}, y_n)$ where $\tilde{y} = \tilde{z}$ and $y_n = z_n - \psi(\tilde{z})$ and let $\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0} = Y(\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0})$. Define $u' \in H^k(\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0})$ and $\varphi' \in H^{k-2}(\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0})$ by $u = u' \circ Y$ and $\varphi = \varphi' \circ Y$, and for allowable test functions v let $v = v' \circ Y$. It then follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} (A' \nabla u') \cdot \nabla v' = \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} (\varphi' v' + \Phi' \cdot \nabla v') \quad (7.8)$$

where

$$A' = SAS^{tr}, \quad S = \partial Y / \partial z \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi' = S(\Phi \circ Y). \quad (7.9)$$

Also, if we define

$$\tilde{M}_j = \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq j} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} |D^\alpha u'|^2$$

where $\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j} = Y(\mathcal{W}_{\varepsilon_j})$, then by (7.7)

$$\tilde{M}_1 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}|u|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2 + \varepsilon^2|\varphi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2 + |\Phi|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2). \quad (7.10)$$

Now suppose that $v \in H^k(\mathcal{W}_{\delta_0})$ is an allowable test function which is zero on neighborhoods of the sides and bottom of \mathcal{W}_{δ_0} and let v' be as above. Then if $0 < |\alpha| \leq k-1$ and D_y^α is a horizontal derivative, that is, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_n = 0$, then $D_y^\alpha v'$ is an allowable test function in (7.8):

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} (A' \nabla u') \cdot \nabla (D^\alpha v') = \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} (\varphi' D^\alpha v' + \Phi' \cdot \nabla D^\alpha v').$$

We integrate by parts on the left, noting that the boundary integrals are zero, and apply the Leibnitz formula to bound $D^\alpha(A' \nabla u')$. On the right side we let $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ where $|\gamma| = 1$ and $|\beta| \leq k-2$, then integrate

by parts again. The result is that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} (A' \nabla(D^\alpha u')) \cdot \nabla v' \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} [(D^\beta \varphi') (D^\gamma v') + (D^\beta \Phi') \cdot \nabla(D^\gamma v')] \quad (7.11) \\ & \quad + O\left(\sum_{|\eta| \leq |\alpha|} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0}} |D^\eta u'| |\nabla v'|\right) \end{aligned}$$

(the second term on the right is a generic quantity bounded by C times the enclosed sum). A standard density argument shows that this holds as well for allowable test functions $v' = v \circ Y^{-1} \in H^1(\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0})$.

Next we fix $j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and derive a bound for \tilde{M}_{j+1} in terms of \tilde{M}_j . Let χ be as in Theorem 7.1 with δ, δ' replaced by $\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_{j+1}$. Define χ' by $\chi = \chi' \circ Y$ and let α be a horizontal derivative as above with $|\alpha| = j$. Then since $u' \in H^k(\mathcal{W}'_{\delta_0})$, (7.11) holds with $v' = \chi' D^\alpha u'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} (A' \nabla(D^\alpha u')) \cdot \nabla(\chi' D^\alpha u') \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} [(D^\beta \varphi') (D^\gamma(\chi' D^\alpha u')) + (D^\beta \Phi') \cdot \nabla D^\gamma(\chi' D^\alpha u')] \\ & \quad + O\left(\sum_{|\eta| \leq j} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} |D^\eta u'| |\nabla(\chi' D^\alpha u')|\right). \quad (7.12) \end{aligned}$$

The second item in Theorem 7.1 shows that the first two terms on the right here are bounded by

$$C(|\varphi'|_{H^{j-1}(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})} + |\Phi'|_{H^j(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})}) \left[\left(\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} \chi' |\nabla D^\alpha u'|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{-1} \tilde{M}_j^{1/2} \right]$$

and the third is bounded by

$$C \tilde{M}_j^{1/2} \left[\left(\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} \chi' |\nabla D^\alpha u'|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \varepsilon^{-1} \right].$$

The term on the left in (7.12) is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} (A' \nabla(D^\alpha u')) \cdot [\chi' \nabla(D^\alpha u') + (D^\alpha u') \nabla \chi'] \\ & \geq \eta_A \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} \chi' |\nabla(D^\alpha u')|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} (A' \nabla(D^\alpha u'^2)) \cdot \nabla \chi' \end{aligned}$$

by (7.2). The first term on the right here absorbs the integrals in the previous two displays. For the second term we again integrate by parts, noting that the integrand is zero on the bottom and sides of the domain and that the normal on the top is the standard basis vector $e_n \equiv (0, \dots, 1)$. The boundary integral in this integration by parts is therefore zero because by direct computation from the definition of Y , $(A'e_n) \cdot \nabla \chi' = (A\nu) \cdot \nabla \chi$, which is zero by the third item in Lemma 7.1. The resulting integral over $\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}$ is then easily seen to be bounded by $C\varepsilon^{-2}\tilde{M}_j$. Assembling these three bounds and simplifying we obtain finally

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j}} \chi' |\nabla(D^\alpha u')|^2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}\tilde{M}_j + |\varphi'|^2_{H^{j-1}(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})} + |\Phi'|^2_{H^j(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})}).$$

Recall that this holds for horizontal derivatives D^α of order $j \leq k-1$. We now change notation and let α denote a multi-index of length $j+1 \leq k$. Then since $\chi' \equiv 1$ on $\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_{j+1}}} |D^\alpha u'|^2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}\tilde{M}_j + |\varphi'|^2_{H^{j-1}(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})} + |\Phi'|^2_{H^j(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})}) \quad (7.13)$$

for $|\alpha| \leq j+1$ provided that α_n is zero or one.

Now consider the case $j=1$. By taking test functions v' of compact support in \mathcal{W}'_{δ_0} in (7.8) we find that

$$\operatorname{div}(A'\nabla u') = \varphi' \quad (7.14)$$

in the weak sense on \mathcal{W}'_{δ_0} . Also, if $a^{i,j}$ are the components of the matrix A' then (7.13) shows that the terms $a^{i,j}u'_{y_i,y_j}$ in (7.14) for $(i,j) \neq (n,n)$ are in $L^2(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_2})$ with the squares of their norms bounded by the right side of (7.13) with $j=2$, as are φ' and the lower order terms; hence so is the term $a^{n,n}u'_{y_n,y_n}$ (with a new constant C). Putting $\xi = e_n$ in (7.2) and recalling that $A' = SAS^{tr}$, we find that $a^{n,n} \geq C^{-1}$. Thus (7.13) holds for *all* α of length two, that is,

$$\tilde{M}_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}\tilde{M}_1 + |\varphi'|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_1})} + |\Phi'|^2_{H^1(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_1})}).$$

More generally, for $j \leq k-1$ and α of length $j+1$ we write $\alpha = \beta + \gamma$ with $\gamma = 2$, differentiate (7.14) $j-1$ times with successively larger values of α_n and apply (7.13) to find that (7.13) holds for all α of length $j+1$. The conclusion is that

$$\tilde{M}_{j+1} \leq C(\varepsilon^{-2}\tilde{M}_j + |\varphi'|^2_{H^{j-1}(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})} + |\Phi'|^2_{H^j(\mathcal{W}'_{\varepsilon_j})}) \quad (7.15)$$

for $j=1, \dots, k-1$ and for a constant C as described in the statement of the theorem. To complete the proof we proceed by induction on j

starting from (7.10), then convert the resulting bounds for \tilde{M}_j by way of the C^{k+1} diffeomorphism Y to obtain the bound in (7.5).

Proof of Theorem 7.2(c). The idea of the proof is to apply (7.4) with test function $v = \chi|u|^{p/2}$ where χ is as in Lemma 7.1. Issues of regularity and integrability arise near points where $u = 0$, however. To avoid these we introduce C^∞ functions on \mathbf{R} defined for $\varepsilon > 0$ by

- $f_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{t^2}{\sqrt{t^2 + \varepsilon^2}} + \varepsilon$
- $g'_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon^{p-2}(f'_\varepsilon)^2$ and $h'_\varepsilon = g_\varepsilon$
- $g_\varepsilon(0) = h_\varepsilon(0) = 0$.

In particular, f_ε is a C^∞ approximation to the absolute value function. Elementary arguments prove the following, in which we denote by C' a universal positive constant independent of present considerations and by C'_ε a similar constant but which may also depend on ε :

- $\varepsilon \leq f_\varepsilon(t) \leq |t| + \varepsilon$, $|f'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C'$ and $f_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow |t|$ uniformly on \mathbf{R} as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
- $|g_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C'(|t|^{p-1} + \varepsilon^{p-1})$ and $|g'_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C'_\varepsilon$
- $|h_\varepsilon(t)| \leq C'(|t|^p + \varepsilon^{p-1}|t|)$.

We denote $f_\varepsilon \circ u$, $g_\varepsilon \circ u$ and $h_\varepsilon \circ u$ by F_ε , G_ε and H_ε and we let χ be as in Lemma 7.1. It then follows from the above that $F_\varepsilon^{p/2} \in H^1(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ and that G_ε is an allowable test function in (7.4). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta'}} |\nabla(F_\varepsilon^{p/2})|^2 &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \chi(A\nabla(F_\varepsilon^{p/2})) \cdot \nabla(F_\varepsilon^{p/2}) = C \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \chi(A\nabla u) \cdot \nabla G_\varepsilon \\ &= C \left[\int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} (A\nabla u) \cdot \nabla(\chi G_\varepsilon) - \nabla H_\varepsilon \cdot (A^{tr} \nabla \chi) \right] \\ &= C \left[\int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} \varphi \chi G_\varepsilon - \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}_\delta^+} H_\varepsilon (A^{tr} \nabla \chi) \cdot \nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} H_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}(A^{tr} \nabla \chi) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary integral here is zero by the third item in Lemma 7.1 and bounds for the other two terms on the right are obtained from the second item in Lemma 7.1, the assumed bounds for A and the above properties of g_ε and h_ε . These together with Hölder's inequality thus

show that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta'}} |\nabla(F_\varepsilon^{p/2})|^2 \right| &\leq C \left[(\delta - \delta')^{2(p-1)} |\varphi|_{L^p}^p + (\delta - \delta')^{-2} |u|_{L^p}^p \right] \\ &\quad + C\varepsilon^{p-1} \left[|\varphi|_{L^1} + [(\delta - \delta')^{-2} |u|_{L^1}] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.16)$$

where the norms on the right are taken over \mathcal{W}_δ . The scaling result in Theorem 7.3(b) below (whose proof is completely independent of all other results in this paper) shows that there is a constant C independent of δ' such that for $v \in H^1(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})$,

$$|v|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}} \leq C \left[(\delta')^{-1} |v|_{L^2} + |\nabla v|_{L^2} \right]$$

(norms are over $\mathcal{W}_{\delta'}$). Applying this together with the obvious bound $|F_\varepsilon^{p/2}|_{L^2} \leq C(|u|_{L^p}^{p/2} + \varepsilon^{p/2})$ and noting that $\delta' \geq \theta_1(\delta - \delta')/(1 - \theta_2)$ we find that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |F_\varepsilon^{p/2}|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})} \leq C \left[(\delta - \delta')^{-1} |u|_{L^p(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^{p/2} + (\delta - \delta')^{p-1} |\varphi|_{L^p(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^{p/2} \right].$$

The monotone convergence theorem shows that the limit on the left is $|u|_{L^q(\mathcal{W}_{\delta'})}^{2/p}$, and this proves the bound in (7.6). \square

In the following theorem we consider the problem (7.1) posed in a ball B_{R_0} and for test functions in $H_0^1(B_{R_0})$. Essentially the same results as in Theorem 7.2 hold, but the proofs are greatly simplified because boundary considerations do not enter. These proofs are therefore omitted.

Theorem 7.3. *Let $n \geq 2$ and fix $R_0 > 0$ and an $n \times n$ -matrix valued function A on $B_{R_0} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ whose derivatives up to order $k \geq 1$ exist and are bounded in B_{R_0} by a constant M_A and which satisfies the positive definiteness condition (7.2) on B_{R_0} with constant η_A . Assume that $u \in H^1(B_{R_0})$ satisfies (7.1) with \mathcal{W}_{R_0} replaced by B_{R_0} , for all $v \in H_0^1(B_{R_0})$ and for some $F \in (H_0^1(B_{R_0}))^*$. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be given and fix $\delta \in (0, R_0)$ and $\delta' \in (0, \theta\delta]$. Then all the statements in parts (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 7.2 hold with \mathcal{W}_δ and $\mathcal{W}_{\delta'}$ replaced by B_δ and $B_{\delta'}$ and with references to M_ψ omitted.*

In the final result of this section we derive scaled versions of the standard imbedding $H^1 \mapsto L^{2n/(n-2)}$, which holds on open sets satisfying a ‘‘cone condition,’’ and $H^{k_0} \mapsto C^{0,\lambda_0}$, which holds on sets having a Lipschitz boundary (see [1] pg. 85 for precise definitions and statements).

Theorem 7.4. (a) *Let $\mathcal{W}_\delta \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, be as in the first paragraph of this section with $\delta \leq R_0$ but with the exception that ψ is assumed only to be Lipschitz continuous with constant L ; and let k_0*

and λ_0 be as in (4.1). Then there are constants $C(n, R_0, L)$ and $C(n, R_0, L, \lambda_0)$ such that if $v \in H^{k_0}(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ then v is Hölder continuous with exponent λ_0 (modulo equivalence class) and satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{W}_\delta} |v(x)| \leq C(n, R_0, L) \sum_{j=0}^{k_0} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \delta^{j-n/2} |D_x^\alpha v|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)} \quad (7.17)$$

and

$$\langle v \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_\delta, \lambda_0} \leq C(n, R_0, L, \lambda_0) \sum_{j=0}^{k_0} \sum_{|\alpha|=j} \delta^{j-n/2-\lambda_0} |D_x^\alpha v|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}. \quad (7.18)$$

(b) Let \mathcal{V} be an open set in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, satisfying a K_δ cone condition; that is, there is a subset S of the $n-1$ -sphere in \mathbf{R}^n with positive surface measure $|S|$ and a positive number $\delta > 0$ such that if

$$K_\delta = \{r\omega : 0 \leq r \leq \delta \text{ and } \omega \in S\}$$

then every point in \mathcal{V} is the vertex of a cone contained in \mathcal{V} and congruent to K_δ . There is then a constant $C(n, |S|)$ independent of δ such that if $v \in H^1(\mathcal{V})$ then $v \in L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathcal{V})$ and

$$|v|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathcal{V})} \leq C(\delta^{-1}|v|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})} + |\nabla v|_{L^2(\mathcal{V})}).$$

Proof. To prove (a) we let $Y(x) = R_0 x / \delta$ and define

$$\mathcal{W}' \equiv Y(\mathcal{W}_\delta) = \{(\tilde{y}, y_n) : |\tilde{y}| < R_0 \text{ and } -R_0 < y_n < \varphi(\tilde{y})\}$$

where $\varphi = R_0(\psi \circ Y^{-1})/\delta$. Then φ is Lipschitz with constant L and the embedding $H^{k_0}(\mathcal{W}') \mapsto C^{0, \lambda_0}(\mathcal{W}')$ therefore holds with constant $C(n, R_0, L)$. Given $v \in H^{k_0}(\mathcal{W}_\delta)$ we then apply this embedding to the function $w \equiv v \circ Y^{-1}$, noting that

$$\begin{aligned} |D_y^\alpha w|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}')}^2 &= \int_{\mathcal{W}_\delta} (\delta/R_0)^{2|\alpha|} |D_x^\alpha v(x)|^2 (R_0/\delta)^n dx \\ &= C(R_0) \delta^{2|\alpha|-n} |v|_{L^2(\mathcal{W}_\delta)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

then rescale to obtain (7.17) and (7.18).

To prove (b) we define $\mathcal{V}_1 \equiv \{x/\delta : x \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Then \mathcal{V}_1 satisfies a K_1 -cone condition so that the embedding $H^1(\mathcal{V}_1) \rightarrow L^{2n/(n-2)}(\mathcal{V}_1)$ holds with a constant C which is independent of δ . Given $v \in H^1(\mathcal{V})$ we then apply this embedding to the element w defined by $w(y) = v(\delta y)$, then rescale to obtain the required bound for v .

□

REFERENCES

1. Robert A. Adams and John J. F. Fournier, *Sobolev spaces*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003. MR 2424078
2. S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **12** (1959), 623–727. MR 125307
3. S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg, *Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. II*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **17** (1964), 35–92. MR 162050
4. Zhen-Qing Chen and Jie-Ming Wang, *Green function estimates for second order elliptic operators in non-divergence form with Dini continuous coefficients*, *Electron. J. Probab.* **28** (2023), Paper No. 36, 54. MR 4554661
5. Joseph G. Conlon, Arianna Giunti, and Felix Otto, *Green's function for elliptic systems: existence and Delmotte-Deuschel bounds*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56** (2017), no. 6, Paper No. 163, 51. MR 3716620
6. Björn E. J. Dahlberg and Carlos E. Kenig, *Hardy spaces and the Neumann problem in L^p for Laplace's equation in Lipschitz domains*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **125** (1987), no. 3, 437–465. MR 890159
7. Olivier Druet, Frédéric Robert, and Juncheng Wei, *The Lin-Ni's problem for mean convex domains*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **218** (2012), no. 1027, vi+105. MR 2963797
8. Lawrence C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, 2 ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 19, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
9. David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2 ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 224, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
10. Michael Grüter and Kjell-Ove Widman, *The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations*, *Manuscripta Math.* **37** (1982), no. 3, 303–342. MR 657523
11. David Hoff, *Compressible flow in a half-space with Navier boundary conditions*, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **7** (2005), no. 3, 315–338. MR 2166979
12. ———, *Linear and quasilinear parabolic systems—Sobolev space theory*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, vol. 251, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2020] ©2020. MR 4249451
13. ———, *Pointwise bounds for the Green's function for the Neumann-Laplace operator in \mathbf{R}^3* , *Kinet. Relat. Models* **15** (2022), no. 4, 535–550. MR 4438795
14. Sukjung Hwang and Seick Kim, *Green's function for second order elliptic equations in non-divergence form*, *Potential Anal.* **52** (2020), no. 1, 27–39. MR 4056785
15. Carlos E. Kenig and Jill Pipher, *The Neumann problem for elliptic equations with nonsmooth coefficients*, *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), no. 3, 447–509. MR 1231834
16. Mihalis Mourgoglou, *Regularity theory and Green's function for elliptic equations with lower order terms in unbounded domains*, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **62** (2023), no. 9, Paper No. 266, 69. MR 4662426

Email address: hoff@iu.edu