

# Trend to equilibrium and hypoelliptic regularity for the relativistic Fokker-Planck equation

Anton Arnold\*, Gayrat Toshpulatov\*

June 24, 2024

## Abstract

We consider the relativistic, spatially inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck equation with an external confining potential. We prove the exponential time decay of solutions towards the global equilibrium in weighted  $L^2$  and Sobolov spaces. Our result holds for a wide class of external potentials and the estimates on the rate of convergence are explicit and constructive. Moreover, we prove that the associated semigroup of the equation has hypoelliptic regularizing properties and we obtain explicit rates on this regularization. The technique is based on the construction of suitable Lyapunov functionals.

**Keywords:** Kinetic theory, Fokker-Planck equation, relativistic diffusion, confinement potential, degenerate diffusion, long time behavior, convergence to equilibrium, hypocoercivity, hypoelliptic regularity, Lyapunov functional.

**2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 35Q84, 35B40, 35Q82, 82C40.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Setting and main result</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Exponential convergence in <math>L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)</math></b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	The first Lyapunov functional . . . . .	6
3.2	Proof of Theorem 2.2 . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Exponential convergence in <math>\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)</math></b>	<b>12</b>
4.1	Preliminaries . . . . .	13
4.2	The second Lyapunov functional . . . . .	16
<b>5</b>	<b>Hypoelliptic regularity</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1	Weighted Poincaré inequalities and an elliptic regularity result . . . . .	23
6.2	Some matrix inequalities . . . . .	27
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>35</b>

---

\*Institut für Analysis & Scientific Computing, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10, A-1040 Wien, Austria, anton.arnold@tuwien.ac.at, gayrat.toshpulatov@tuwien.ac.at.

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the long time behavior of the relativistic, spatially inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck equation [22, 2]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \frac{p}{m\sqrt{1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2}}} \cdot \nabla_x f - q \nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_p f = \operatorname{div}_p(\sigma D(p) \nabla_p f + \nu p f), & x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0 \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0 \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . This kinetic model describes the time evolution of a system with a large number of particles (e.g. in a plasma) undergoing diffusion and friction. In (1),  $x$  denotes position,  $p$  the relativistic momentum, and  $\frac{p}{m\sqrt{1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2}}}$  the velocity. The unknown  $f = f(t, x, p) \geq 0$  represents the evolution of

the phase space probability density of particles. The left hand side is the transport operator with force field  $-\nabla_x V(x)$ , while the right hand side describes the diffusion of particles and the interaction with the environment. The (positive) physical constants denoted by  $m$ ,  $c$ ,  $q$ ,  $\sigma$ , and  $\nu$  are, respectively, the particle rest mass, the vacuum speed of light, the particle charge, diffusion, and friction coefficients.  $D(p)$  is the relativistic diffusion matrix given by

$$D = \frac{I + \frac{p \otimes p}{m^2 c^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2}}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d},$$

where  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the identity matrix and  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product. The resulting anisotropic diffusion arises as the Laplace-Beltrami operator over the relativistic hyperboloid with respect to the Minkowski metric [2].

Equation (1) has several properties following standard physical considerations. Whenever  $f(t, x, p)$  is a (well-behaved) solution of (1), one has *global conservation of mass*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(t, x, p) dx dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_0(x, p) dx dp, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Therefore, without loss of generality, we shall assume  $f_0 \geq 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f_0(x, p) dx dp = 1$ .

If  $V$  grows fast enough, (1) has a unique normalized *steady state* or *global equilibrium* [2, Section 3.4] given by

$$f_\infty(x, p) = \rho_\infty(x) M(p), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\rho_\infty(x) := \frac{e^{-\frac{mq\nu}{\sigma} V(x)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{qm\nu}{\sigma} V(x')} dx'}, \quad M(p) := \frac{e^{-\frac{mc\nu}{\sigma} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + |p|^2}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{mc\nu}{\sigma} \sqrt{m^2 c^2 + |p'|^2}} dp'}. \quad (4)$$

$M(p)$  is called the Maxwell-Jüttner distribution.

(1) is *dissipative* in the sense that the relative entropy or free energy functional decreases: let  $H$  be a functional defined on the space of probability densities by

$$f \mapsto H[f] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f \ln \frac{f}{f_\infty} dx dp$$

( $f$  is not necessarily the solution). We note that  $H[f_\infty] = 0$  and  $H[f] \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f - f_\infty\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^2$  by the Csiszár-Kullback-Pinsker inequality [16]. Hence, the minimum of  $H$  is zero and it is attained at  $f_\infty$ . If  $f = f(t, x, p)$  is a smooth solution of (1), we have (see [2, Section 3.3])

$$\frac{d}{dt} H[f(t)] \leq 0.$$

This decay of the functional  $H$  is a version of Boltzmann's  $H$ -theorem stated for the Boltzmann equation [13, 30].

On the basis of the decay of the functional  $H$ , one may conjecture that  $H[f(t)]$  decreases to its minimum (which is zero) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Since this minimum is obtained at  $f_\infty$ , one can expect that  $f(t)$  converges to the equilibrium distribution  $f_\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall therefore tackle the interesting problem to prove (or disprove) that solutions of (1) converge towards this equilibrium as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and to estimate the convergence rate with constructive bounds. Such explicit and constructive estimates are essential for applications in physics (equilibration process, numerical simulations).

Equation (1) was introduced in [22, Eq.(47)] and [2, Eq.(8)] as a relativistic generalization of the classical kinetic Fokker-Planck equation [14, 15, 29]

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \frac{p}{m} \cdot \nabla_x f - q \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p f = \operatorname{div}_p(\sigma \nabla_p f + \nu p f), & x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0 \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0 \end{cases} . \quad (5)$$

This classical equation can be obtained from (1) by formally taking the Newtonian limit  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . In [3], this formal limit was justified in the sense that solutions of (1) converge to solutions of (5) in  $L^1$  as  $c \rightarrow \infty$ . Equation (5) is inconsistent with relativistic mechanics because it has infinite speed of propagation: if the particles are initially in a compact region (i.e.  $f_0(x, p)$  has compact support with respect to  $x$  and  $p$ ), then, after any short time  $t > 0$ , we can find particles everywhere with non-zero probability (i.e.  $f(t, x, p) > 0$ ), see [31, Appendix A.22]. This property contradicts the law of special relativity that particles can not move faster than light. By contrast, Equation (1) is compatible with this physical law as it exhibits finite speed of propagation w.r.t. the  $x$  variable [2, Section 3.2]. Note, however, that the degenerate parabolicity of (1) does entail infinite speed of propagation with respect to the  $p$  variable.

The classical equation (5) has been studied comprehensively: well-posedness and hypoelliptic regularity were obtained in [28, 24, 31]. The long time behavior of (5) was studied in [25] for fast growing potentials. By using hypocoercive methods, Villani proved exponential convergence results in [17, 31]. This result was extended in [9] for potentials with singularities. In [19], Dolbeault, Mouhot, and Schmeiser developed a method to obtain exponential decay in  $L^2$  for a large class of linear kinetic equations, and, as an application, an exponential decay in  $L^2$  was proven for (5). Their method was also used to study the long time behavior of (5) when the potential  $V$  is zero or grows slowly as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , see [10, 11]. Based on a probabilistic coupling method, Eberle, Guillin, and Zimmer [20] obtained an exponential decay result in Wasserstein distance. We also refer the recent work [6] where sharper exponential rates were obtained using a modified entropy method.

Concerning the relativistic equation (1), there are only few studies: global existence and uniqueness were proven in [2]. The long time behavior of spatially homogeneous solutions of (1) was studied [4, 3], where the authors used logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and entropy methods [5, 27]. When (1) is supplemented with periodic boundary conditions (i.e.  $x \in \mathbb{T}^d$ ) and  $V = 0$ , exponential decay of solutions to the steady state was proven in [12] by using the hypocoercive method developed by Villani [31].

In this paper, we shall improve these previous results when there is a non-zero potential  $V$ . For the full system (1) with a non-zero potential  $V$  we shall prove the exponential convergence  $f(t) \rightarrow f_\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for a wide class of potentials  $V$ . Our convergence rates are explicit and constructive. We show that, although the equation is only degenerate parabolic, the equation has instantaneous regularizing properties which is called *hypoellipticity* [26]. We provide explicit rates on this regularization. We believe our results are the first convergence and regularity results for (1) with a non-zero potentials  $V$ .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we define the assumptions on the potential and state the main results. Section 3 and 4 are devoted to prove the convergence  $f(t) \rightarrow f_\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in the weighted  $L^2$  and Sobolev spaces. We study hypoelliptic regularity properties of the equation in Section 5 and list several technical results in the Appendix.

## 2 Setting and main result

We use the notations

$$V_0(x) := \sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p_0(p) := \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}.$$

For simplicity, we set all physical constants to unity:  $m = c = q = \sigma = \nu = 1$ . Therefore, we shall consider the normalized equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f + \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_x V(x) \cdot \nabla_p f = \operatorname{div}_p(D(p)\nabla_p f + pf), & x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, t > 0 \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with  $D(p) = \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0}$ . We define the weighted spaces  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d, \rho_\infty)$ ,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^d, M)$ , and  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  as the Lebesgue spaces associated, respectively, to the norms

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d, \rho_\infty)} := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2 \rho_\infty dx}, \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^d, M)} := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2 M dp},$$

and

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} := \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} g^2 f_\infty dx dp}.$$

We note that  $M(p) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{1+|p|^2}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\sqrt{1+|p'|^2}} dp'}$  gives rise to the following Poincaré inequality [3, Theorem 3]: there is a positive constant  $\kappa_1$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 M dp - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h M dp \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h M dp \quad (7)$$

holds for all  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_p^d, M)$  with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h M dp < \infty$ .

We shall assume that  $\rho_\infty(x) = \frac{e^{-V(x)}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-V(x')} dx'}$  also gives rise to a Poincaré inequality. Also we shall assume some growth conditions on  $V$ :

**Assumption 2.1.** *i) Let  $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be such that  $e^{-V} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and there exists a constant  $\kappa_2 > 0$  such that the Poincaré inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 \rho_\infty dx - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \rho_\infty dx \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx \quad (8)$$

*holds for all  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d, \rho_\infty)$  with  $|\nabla_x h| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_x^d, \rho_\infty)$ .*

*ii) There exist constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 \in [0, 1)$ , and  $c_3 > 0$  such that*

$$\Delta_x V(x) \leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{2} |\nabla_x V(x)|^2, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F \leq c_3 (1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (9)$$

where  $\left\| \frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F := \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^d (\partial_{x_i x_j} V(x))^2}$  is the Frobenius norm of  $\frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2}$ .

There are a lot of studies and sufficient conditions implying the Poincaré inequality (8). For example, if  $V$  is uniformly convex (Bakry-Emery criterion) or if

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} (a |\nabla V(x)|^2 - \Delta V(x)) > 0$$

for some  $a \in (0, 1)$ , then the Poincaré inequality (8) holds. For more information see [7], [8, Chapter 4]. We note that the potentials of the form

$$V(x) = r|x|^{2k} + \tilde{V}(x),$$

where  $r > 0$ ,  $k > 1$  and  $\tilde{V}: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a polynomial of degree  $j < 2k$ , satisfy our assumptions.

We now state our first result:

**Theorem 2.2 (Exponential decay in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ ).** Let  $\frac{f_0}{f_\infty} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  and  $V$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Then there are explicitly computable constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$  (independent of  $f_0$ ) such that

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq C_1 e^{-\lambda t} \left\| \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$$

holds for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Theorem 2.2 shows that the solution  $\frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty}$  converges exponentially to zero in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Next we want to obtain this convergence in a more regular space. Hence, we define the following weighted Sobolev space  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  associated to the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3(x) p_0^3} \nabla_x^T h \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x h f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0(x) p_0} \nabla_p^T h (I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3(x) p_0^3} \left( |\nabla_x h|^2 - \frac{|p \cdot \nabla_x h|^2}{p_0^2} \right) f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0(x) p_0} (|\nabla_p h|^2 + |p \cdot \nabla_p h|^2) f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

This norm is well-defined since the matrices  $\frac{1}{V_0^3(x) p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right)$  and  $\frac{1}{V_0(x) p_0} (I + p \otimes p)$  are positive definite for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ .

Our second result shows that the solution  $\frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty}$  converges exponentially to zero in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ :

**Theorem 2.3 (Exponential decay in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ ).** Let  $\frac{f_0}{f_\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  and  $V$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Then there are constants  $C_2 > 0$  and  $\Lambda > 0$  (independent of  $f_0$ ) such that

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq C_2 e^{-\Lambda t} \left\| \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$$

holds for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Our next result is about the estimates on the hypoelliptic regularization:

**Theorem 2.4 (Hypoelliptic regularity from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  to  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ ).** Assume  $\frac{f_0}{f_\infty} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  and that there exists a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F \leq c_3 (1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, for any  $t_0 > 0$ , there are explicitly computable constants  $C_3 > 0$  and  $C_4 > 0$  (independent of  $f_0$ ) such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3(x) p_0^3} \nabla_x^T \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) f_\infty dx dp \leq \frac{C_3}{t^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp \quad (11)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0(x) p_0} \nabla_p^T \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) (I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) f_\infty dx dp \leq \frac{C_4}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp \quad (12)$$

hold for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ . In particular,

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq \frac{(C_3 + C_4 t_0^2)^{1/2}}{t^{3/2}} \left\| \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \quad (13)$$

holds for all  $t \in (0, t_0]$ .

Theorem 2.4 shows that, for any initial data  $\frac{f_0}{f_\infty} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ , the solution  $\frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  for any time  $t > 0$ . Compared to Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we do not require the validity of a Poincaré inequality in Theorem 2.4. Also note that the regularization rates for the  $x$  derivative and the  $p$  derivative are not the same: the regularization rate in the  $p$  derivative is faster, as it also is for the kinetic Fokker-Planck equation [24, 31, 6]. This difference is expected since (6) can be considered as a transport equation with respect to the  $x$  variable and as a parabolic equation with respect to the  $p$  variable.

In Theorem 2.3 we assumed that the initial data  $f_0/f_\infty$  is in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ . If we use the regularity estimates from Theorem 2.4, this condition can be relaxed:

**Corollary 2.5.** *Assume  $\frac{f_0}{f_\infty} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  and  $V$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. Then, for any  $t_0 > 0$ , there is an explicitly computable constant  $C_5 > 0$  (independent of  $f_0$ ) such that*

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq C_5 e^{-\Lambda t} \left\| \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$$

holds for all  $t \geq t_0 > 0$ , where  $\Lambda > 0$  is the constant appearing in Theorem 2.3.

**Remark 2.6.** *If one considers (6) on a torus as done in [12], our results also hold in this setting since the method which we use can be adapted without difficulty.*

### 3 Exponential convergence in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$

#### 3.1 The first Lyapunov functional

Let us consider the relativistic, spatially homogeneous Fokker-Planck equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varrho = \operatorname{div}_p(D(p)\nabla_p \varrho + p\varrho), & p \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad t > 0, \\ \varrho|_{t=0} = \varrho_0, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

with  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varrho dx = 1$ . This equation is a special case of (6) when the problem is independent of  $x$  and  $V = 0$ . The unique normalized global equilibrium for this equation is  $M(p) = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{1+|p|^2}}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\sqrt{1+|p'|^2}} dp'}$ . The convergence

$$\varrho(t) \rightarrow M \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

can be easily proven using the Poincaré inequality (7): Noting that the right hand side of (14) equals  $\operatorname{div}_p \left( MD\nabla_p \left( \frac{\varrho}{M} \right) \right)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{\varrho(t) - M}{M} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, M)}^2 &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_p^T \left( \frac{\varrho(t)}{M} \right) D\nabla_p \left( \frac{\varrho(t)}{M} \right) M dp \\ &\leq -2\kappa_1 \left\| \frac{\varrho(t) - M}{M} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, M)}^2, \quad \forall t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Grönwall's lemma we obtain the exponential decay

$$\left\| \frac{\varrho(t) - M}{M} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, M)} \leq e^{-\kappa_1 t} \left\| \frac{\varrho_0 - M}{M} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, M)}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

On the contrary, we do not obtain easily such exponential decay for the relativistic, spatially inhomogeneous Fokker-Planck equation (6). As the Fokker-Planck operator on the right hand side of (6) acts only on the variable  $p$ , we only have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) D\nabla_p \left( \frac{f(t)}{f_\infty} \right) f_\infty dx dp \leq 0. \quad (15)$$

The integral on the right hand side only gives information on the  $p$ -derivative and it is lacking information on the  $x$ -derivatives. Hence, in general, the integral on the right hand side of (15) is not bigger than  $2\lambda \left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

The idea to overcome this difficulty is to construct an appropriate Lyapunov functional which is equivalent to the  $L^2$ -norm and satisfies a Grönwall type differential inequality under the evolution of the solution  $f(t)$ . A method in Hilbert spaces was introduced by Dolbeault, Mouhot, and Schmeiser in [18, 19] for proving exponential stability for a large class of linear kinetic models confined by an external potential. We will apply this method to (6) to obtain our results. In the following we explain this method for linear kinetic equations of the form

$$\partial_t f + \mathsf{T}f = \mathsf{L}f, \quad t > 0 \quad (16)$$

in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with initial data  $f|_{t=0} = f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ . Here,  $\mathsf{T}$  and  $\mathsf{L}$  are closed linear operators such that  $\mathsf{L} - \mathsf{T}$  generates the strongly continuous semigroup  $e^{(\mathsf{L}-\mathsf{T})t}$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathsf{I}$  be the identity operator,  $\mathsf{\Pi}$  be the orthogonal projection on the null space  $\mathcal{N}(\mathsf{L})$  of  $\mathsf{L}$ . The domains of  $\mathsf{T}$  and  $\mathsf{L}$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{T})$  and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathsf{L})$ , respectively. We define the operator

$$\mathsf{A}f := (\mathsf{I} + (\mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi})^* \mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi})^{-1} (\mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi})^* f \quad (17)$$

and the functional

$$\mathsf{H}_\delta[f] := \frac{1}{2} \|f\|^2 + \delta \langle \mathsf{A}f, f \rangle, \quad \delta > 0, \quad (18)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  associated with the scalar product. We assume the following conditions are satisfied:

- (*microscopic coercivity*)  $\mathsf{L}$  is symmetric and there exists  $\lambda_m > 0$  such that

$$-\langle \mathsf{L}f, f \rangle \geq \lambda_m \|(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{\Pi})f\|^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{L}). \quad (19)$$

- (*macroscopic coercivity*)  $\mathsf{T}$  is skew symmetric and there exists  $\lambda_M > 0$  such that

$$\| \mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi}f \|^2 \geq \lambda_M \| \mathsf{\Pi}f \|^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H} \text{ with } \mathsf{\Pi}f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathsf{T}). \quad (20)$$

- 

$$\mathsf{\Pi}\mathsf{T}\mathsf{\Pi} = 0. \quad (21)$$

- (*boundedness of auxiliary operators*) The operators  $\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{\Pi})$  and  $\mathsf{A}\mathsf{L}$  are bounded, and there exists a constant  $C_M > 0$  such that, for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\| \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T}(\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{\Pi})f \| + \| \mathsf{A}\mathsf{L}f \| \leq C_M \| (\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{\Pi})f \|. \quad (22)$$

We define

$$\delta_0 := \min \left\{ 2, \lambda_m, \frac{4\lambda_m\lambda_M}{4\lambda_M + C_M^2(1 + \lambda_M)} \right\}. \quad (23)$$

Under the validity of these conditions and for  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ , one can show that  $\mathsf{H}_\delta$  is a Lyapunov functional for (16) and it decays exponentially:

**Theorem 3.1** ([19, Theorem 2]). *Assume (19)-(22) are satisfied and  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ . Then,*

- i)  $\mathsf{H}_\delta$  and  $\|\cdot\|^2$  are equivalent, more precisely,

$$\frac{2 - \delta}{4} \|f\|^2 \leq \mathsf{H}_\delta[f] \leq \frac{2 + \delta}{4} \|f\|^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

ii) There exists a positive constant  $\lambda$ , which is computable in terms of  $\lambda_m$ ,  $\lambda_M$ , and  $C_M$ , such that, for any initial data  $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{H}_\delta[e^{(L-T)t} f_0] \leq -2\lambda \mathbf{H}_\delta[e^{(L-T)t} f_0], \quad t > 0.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|e^{(L-T)t} f_0\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2+\delta}{2-\delta}} e^{-\lambda t} \|f_0\| \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (24)$$

This method has been successfully applied to study the long time behavior of various linear kinetic models, see [19, 10, 21, 1]. In particular, in [19, Theorem 10], the exponential convergence  $f(t) \rightarrow f_\infty$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  was proven for the classical kinetic Fokker-Planck equation (5).

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Let  $h := \frac{f - f_\infty}{f_\infty}$ . Then (6) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h + \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h = \frac{1}{f_\infty} \operatorname{div}_p (D \nabla_p h f_\infty) \\ h|_{t=0} = \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty}. \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

We shall apply Theorem 3.1 to (25). To end we first define an appropriate Hilbert space,

$$\mathcal{H} := \left\{ h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h f_\infty dx dp = 0 \right\}$$

with the scalar product  $\langle h, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h_1 h_2 f_\infty dx dp$  and the norm  $\|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle}$ . We note that if  $h(t)$  is the solution of (25), then the conservation of mass (2) shows  $h(t) \in \mathcal{H}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . We can present (25) in the form of (16) with

$$\mathbf{T}h := \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h \quad (26)$$

and

$$\mathbf{L}h := \frac{1}{f_\infty} \operatorname{div}_p (D \nabla_p h f_\infty). \quad (27)$$

$\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  can be defined in the space of smooth functions with compact support. These operators can be extended using the Friedrichs extension, but we omit details concerning domain issues and extensions as we need only properties that apply to solutions of the evolution problem (25).

We define

$$\mathbf{\Pi}h = \mathbf{\Pi}h(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x, p') M(p') dp', \quad h \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (28)$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is a symmetric operator in  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi} \circ \mathbf{\Pi} = \mathbf{\Pi}$ .

In the following proposition we show that the operators defined in (26)-(28) satisfy the conditions (19)-(21) in  $\mathcal{H}$ :

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume that Assumption 2.1 holds. Then we have*

- i)  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  are, respectively, skew-symmetric and symmetric operators in  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- ii)  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is the orthogonal projection on the null space  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{L})$  of  $\mathbf{L}$ . Microscopic coercivity (19) holds with  $\lambda_m = \kappa_1$ , where  $\kappa_1$  is the constant appearing in the Poincaré inequality (7).
- iii) Macroscopic coercivity (20) holds with  $\lambda_M = \kappa_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{(1+|p|^2)^{3/2}} M dp \right)^{-1}$ , where  $\kappa_2$  is the constant in the Poincaré inequality (8).



iv)  $\Pi\Pi\Pi = 0$ .

*Proof.* i) Let  $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$  be smooth functions with compact support. The equations

$$\nabla_x f_\infty = -\nabla_x V f_\infty, \quad \nabla_p f_\infty = -\frac{p}{p_0} f_\infty,$$

and integration by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{T}h, g \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h \right) g f_\infty dx dp \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x g - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p g \right) h f_\infty dx dp \\ &= -\langle h, \mathbb{T}g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, integrating by parts we show that  $L$  is symmetric:

$$\langle Lh, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \operatorname{div}_p(D\nabla_p h f_\infty) g dx dp = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D\nabla_p g f_\infty dx dp = \langle h, Lg \rangle. \quad (29)$$

ii) As  $D = D(p)$  is positive definite for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , (29) implies

$$\langle Lh, h \rangle = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D\nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp \leq 0.$$

This shows that  $Lh$  vanishes if  $h$  is constant with respect to  $p$ , in particular  $L\Pi h = 0$ . Moreover, the Poincaré inequality (7) shows

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle Lh, h \rangle &\geq \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 M dp - \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h M dp \right)^2 \right) \rho_\infty dx \\ &= \kappa_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (h - \Pi h)^2 f_\infty dx dp \\ &= \kappa_1 \|(\mathbb{I} - \Pi)h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This justifies that  $\Pi$  is the orthogonal projection on the null space  $\mathcal{N}(L)$  of  $L$ .

iii) Using  $\mathbb{T}\Pi h = \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x \Pi h$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{T}\Pi h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \partial_{x_i} \Pi h \partial_{x_j} \Pi h f_\infty dx dp \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \partial_{p_i} p_0 \partial_{x_i} \Pi h \partial_{x_j} \Pi h \partial_{p_j} f_\infty dx dp \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 p_0 \partial_{x_i} \Pi h \partial_{x_j} \Pi h f_\infty dx dp \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_x^T \Pi h \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \nabla_x \Pi h f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} = \frac{1}{p_0} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \geq \frac{1}{p_0^3} I, \quad (31)$$

where  $I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the identity matrix. (30) and (31) yield

$$\|\mathbb{T}\Pi h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 \geq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{p_0^3} M dp \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \Pi h|^2 \rho_\infty dx.$$

Then, the Poincaré inequality (8) provides the claimed result.

iv) Using  $\nabla_p M = -\frac{p}{p_0} M$  and integrating by parts with respect to  $p$  we obtain

$$\Pi \mathbb{T} \Pi h = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x \Pi h M dp = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x \Pi h \cdot \nabla_p M dp = 0.$$

□

Next we define as in (17):  $Af := (I + (\mathbb{T}\Pi)^* \mathbb{T}\Pi)^{-1} (\mathbb{T}\Pi)^* f$ , which is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , due to [19, Lemma 1]. We now show that (22) holds.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume Assumption 2.1 holds. Then, the operators  $\text{AT}(1 - \Pi)$  and  $\text{AL}$  are bounded, and there exists a constant  $C_M > 0$  such that, for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,*

$$\|\text{AT}(I - \Pi)h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} + \|\text{AL}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq C_M \|(I - \Pi)h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}.$$

*Proof. Step 1, boundedness of  $\text{AT}(I - \Pi)$ :*

The operator  $\text{AT}(I - \Pi)$  is bounded if and only if its adjoint  $[\text{AT}(I - \Pi)]^*$  is bounded. Since  $\Pi$  is self-adjoint and  $\mathbb{T}$  is skew-symmetric, we have

$$[\text{AT}(I - \Pi)]^* = -(I - \Pi) \mathbb{T}^2 \Pi [I + (\mathbb{T}\Pi)^* (\mathbb{T}\Pi)]^{-1}.$$

Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $g := [I + (\mathbb{T}\Pi)^* (\mathbb{T}\Pi)]^{-1} h$ , then

$$[\text{AT}(I - \Pi)]^* h = -(I - \Pi) \mathbb{T}^2 \Pi g. \quad (32)$$

We compute

$$\mathbb{T}^2 \Pi g = \frac{p^T}{p_0} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \frac{p}{p_0} - \nabla_x^T V \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \nabla_x \Pi g = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 \Pi g - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 p_0 \partial_{x_j} \Pi g. \quad (33)$$

We note that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} M dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 p_0 M dp = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , and we denote  $a := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_i^2}{p_0^2} M dp = \frac{1}{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|p|^2}{1 + |p|^2} M dp > 0$ . Then, using (33) we compute

$$\Pi \mathbb{T}^2 \Pi g = a \Delta_x \Pi g - a \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_x \Pi g = \frac{a}{\rho_\infty} \text{div}_x (\nabla_x \Pi g \rho_\infty), \quad (34)$$

hence

$$h = g + (\mathbb{T}\Pi)^* \mathbb{T}\Pi g = g - \Pi \mathbb{T}^2 \Pi g = g - \frac{a}{\rho_\infty} \text{div}_x (\nabla_x \Pi g \rho_\infty).$$

Applying the operator  $\Pi$  to this equation we get

$$\Pi g - \frac{a}{\rho_\infty} \text{div}_x (\nabla_x \Pi g \rho_\infty) = \Pi h.$$

For the last equation we have the following regularity estimates (see Theorem 6.1 in Appendix 6.1)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x \Pi g|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Pi h)^2 \rho_\infty dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Pi h)^2 \rho_\infty dx \quad (35)$$

for some constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$ .

Using (32) and (33) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\text{AT}(\text{I} - \Pi)]^* h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 &= \|(\text{I} - \Pi)\text{T}^2 \Pi g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 \leq \|\text{T}^2 \Pi g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p^T}{p_0} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \frac{p}{p_0} - \nabla_x^T V(x) \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \nabla_x \Pi g \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp \\
&\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p^T}{p_0} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \frac{p}{p_0} \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \nabla_x^T V(x) \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \nabla_x \Pi g \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp.
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Using the Hölder inequality and (35) we estimate the last two terms of (36):

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p^T}{p_0} \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \frac{p}{p_0} \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{|p|^2}{1 + |p|^2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \Pi g}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 f_\infty dx dp \leq adC_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Pi h)^2 \rho_\infty dx, \tag{37}$$

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \nabla_x^T V(x) \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \nabla_x \Pi g \right)^2 f_\infty dx dp \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \right\|_F^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 |\nabla_x \Pi g|^2 f_\infty dx dp \leq K_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Pi h)^2 \rho_\infty dx, \tag{38}$$

where  $K_1 := 2C_1 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 p_0}{\partial p^2} \right\|_F^2 M dp \right)$ .

(36), (37), and (38) show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[\text{AT}(\text{I} - \Pi)]h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 &= \|[\text{AT}(\text{I} - \Pi)]^* h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 \\
&\leq (adC_2 + K_1) \|\Pi h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 \leq (adC_2 + K_1) \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\text{AT}(\text{I} - \Pi)$  is bounded. Moreover, replacing  $h$  with  $(\text{I} - \Pi)h$  and using  $(\text{I} - \Pi)^2 = (\text{I} - \Pi)$  we obtain

$$\|[\text{AT}(\text{I} - \Pi)]h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq \sqrt{adC_2 + K_1} \|(\text{I} - \Pi)h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}.$$

### Step 2, boundedness of AL:

Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $g := \text{AL}h$ . Then

$$(\text{TII})^*(\text{L}h) = g + (\text{TII})^*(\text{TII})g \iff g = -\text{IIT}(\text{L}h) + \text{IIT}^2 \Pi g.$$

This shows that  $g = \Pi g$ . Using (34) we obtain

$$g - \frac{a}{\rho_\infty} \text{div}_x (\nabla_x g \rho_\infty) = -\text{IIT}(\text{L}h). \tag{39}$$

Integrating by parts we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{IIT}(\text{L}h) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x (\text{L}h) - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p (\text{L}h) \right] M dp \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x (\text{L}h) - \nabla_x V \cdot \frac{p}{p_0} (\text{L}h) \right] M dp \\
&= \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \text{div}_x \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p}{p_0} (\text{L}h) f_\infty dp \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Then, for  $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_d)^T$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_k}{p_0} (\mathbf{L}h) f_\infty dp &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{p_k}{p_0} \operatorname{div}_p (D\nabla_p h f_\infty) dp \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_p^T \left( \frac{p_k}{p_0} \right) D\nabla_p h f_\infty dp \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[ D\nabla_p \left( \frac{p_k}{p_0} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla_p h f_\infty dp \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{p_0^2} \partial_{p_k} h f_\infty dp \\
&= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{p_k}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p_k}{p_0^4} \right) h f_\infty dp. \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

(39), (40), and (41) show that

$$g - \frac{a}{\rho_\infty} \operatorname{div}_x (\nabla_x g \rho_\infty) = \frac{1}{\rho_\infty} \operatorname{div}_x \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{p}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p}{p_0^4} \right) h f_\infty dp \right]. \tag{42}$$

We multiply this equation by  $g \rho_\infty$  and integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2 \rho_\infty dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x^T g \nabla_x g \rho_\infty dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x g \cdot \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{p}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p}{p_0^4} \right) h M dp \right] \rho_\infty dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x g|^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{p}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p}{p_0^4} \right) h M dp \right|^2 \rho_\infty dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough so that  $a - \varepsilon$  is positive. Then by the Hölder inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g^2 \rho_\infty dx + (a - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x^T g \nabla_x g \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{p}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p}{p_0^4} \right|^2 M dp \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp.$$

This inequality implies

$$\|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{L}h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq K_2 \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$$

with  $K_2 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{p}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p}{p_0^4} \right|^2 M dp}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{L}h$  is bounded. Moreover, replacing  $h$  with  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi})h$  in the estimate above and using  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{\Pi} = 0$ , we obtain

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{L}h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq K_2 \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{\Pi})h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}.$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f$  be the solution of (6). Then  $h := \frac{f - f_\infty}{f_\infty}$  satisfies

$$\partial_t h + \mathbf{T}h = \mathbf{L}h, \quad h|_{t=0} = h_0, \tag{43}$$

where the operators  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $\mathbf{L}$  are defined in (26) and (27), respectively. If  $\mathbf{\Pi}$  is defined as in (28), Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 show that these operators satisfy the conditions (19)-(22). Therefore, Theorem 3.1 holds for (43), and (24) provides the claimed result. □

## 4 Exponential convergence in $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$

In this section, we shall study the long time behavior of (25) in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ . To do that we shall construct another Lyapunov functional (rather than  $H_\delta$  which is used to prove Theorem 2.2).

## 4.1 Preliminaries

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $h$  be the solution of (25). Then, for all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp.$$

*In particular, we have  $\|h(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq \|h_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We integrate by parts and use  $\nabla_p f_\infty = -\frac{p}{p_0} f_\infty$  and  $\nabla_x f_\infty = -\nabla_x V f_\infty$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h \partial_t h f_\infty dx dp \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h - \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h \right) h f_\infty dx dp + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \operatorname{div}_p (D \nabla_p h f_\infty) h dx dp \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $P = P(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d \times 2d}$  be a symmetric, positive definite matrix depending on the variables  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and specified later. We define

$$S_P[h] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp. \quad (44)$$

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $h$  be the solution of (25). Then, for all  $t > 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} S_P[h(t)] &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x (\partial_{p_i} h) \\ \nabla_p (\partial_{p_i} h) \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x (\partial_{p_j} h) \\ \nabla_p (\partial_{p_j} h) \end{pmatrix} a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i}^2 h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \{QP + PQ^T\} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where  $Q = Q(x, p) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{p_0} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) \\ -\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} & I - \frac{d}{p_0} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) \end{pmatrix}$  and  $a_{ij} := \frac{\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j}{p_0}$  (which are the elements of  $D = D(p) = \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0}$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol).

*Proof.* We write (25) as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t h &= \frac{1}{f_\infty} \operatorname{div}_p (D \nabla_p h f_\infty) - \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h + \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{p_i}^2 h - \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{p_j} h + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{p_i} a_{ij} \partial_{p_j} h - \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h + \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{p_i}^2 h - p \cdot \nabla_p h + \frac{dp}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_p h - \frac{p}{p_0} \cdot \nabla_x h + \nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_p h, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where we used

$$\sum_{i=1}^d a_{ij} \frac{p_i}{p_0} = p_j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} a_{ij} = \frac{dp_j}{p_0}. \quad (47)$$

We denote  $u := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $u_1 := \nabla_x h$ ,  $u_2 := \nabla_p h$ . We get from (46) that  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_1 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u_1 - \sum_{j=1}^d p_j \partial_{p_j} u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{dp_j}{p_0} \partial_{p_j} u_1 - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{p_j}{p_0} \partial_{x_j} u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} V \partial_{p_j} u_1 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} u_2, \\ \partial_t u_2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u_2 - \sum_{j=1}^d p_j \partial_{p_j} u_2 + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{dp_j}{p_0} \partial_{p_j} u_2 - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{p_j}{p_0} \partial_{x_j} u_2 + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} V \partial_{p_j} u_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h - \left( I - \frac{d}{p_0} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \right) u_2 - \frac{1}{p_0} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) u_1. \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be written with respect to  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u - \sum_{j=1}^d p_j \partial_{p_j} u + \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{dp_j}{p_0} \partial_{p_j} u - \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{p_j}{p_0} \partial_{x_j} u + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} V \partial_{p_j} u \\ &\quad - Q^T u + \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h \right). \end{aligned}$$

It allows us to compute the time derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} S_P[h(t)] &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_t u f_\infty dx dp \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u p_j f_\infty dx dp + 2d \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{x_j} u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \partial_{x_j} V f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \{QP + PQ^T\} u f_\infty dx dp + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h \right) f_\infty dx dp. \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider the term in the second line of (48), and we integrate by parts using (47) and  $\partial_{p_i} f_\infty =$

$-\frac{p_i}{p_0} f_\infty :$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\
&= -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{p_i} u)^T P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \partial_{p_i} a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} \frac{p_i}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_i} P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\
&= -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{p_i} u)^T P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \frac{dp_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u p_j f_\infty dx dp - 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_i} P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp. \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

We now compute the last integral in (49) by integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_i} P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\
&= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{p_j} u)^T \partial_{p_i} P u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp + 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) u dx dp.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $P$  is symmetric and  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ , this equation implies

$$-2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_i} P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \right) u f_\infty dx dp. \tag{50}$$

(48), (49), and (50) show that the sum of the terms in the second and third lines of (48) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u p_j f_\infty dx dp + 2d \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u p_j \frac{1}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp \\
&= -2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{p_i} u)^T P \partial_{p_j} u a_{ij} f_\infty dx dp + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \right) u f_\infty dx dp. \tag{51}
\end{aligned}$$

We consider the terms in the fourth line of (48) and integrate by parts in both terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{x_j} u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \partial_{x_j} V f_\infty dx dp \\
&= 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{x_j} u)^T P u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{x_j} P u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} (\partial_{p_j} u)^T P u \partial_{x_j} V f_\infty dx dp - 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \partial_{p_j} P u \partial_{x_j} V f_\infty dx dp.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $P$  is symmetric, we conclude from this last equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{x_j} u \frac{p_j}{p_0} f_\infty dx dp + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T P \partial_{p_j} u \partial_{x_j} V f_\infty dx dp \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \left( \partial_{x_j} P \frac{p_j}{p_0} - \partial_{p_j} P \partial_{x_j} V \right) u f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

(48), (51), and (52) yield the claimed equality (45).  $\square$

## 4.2 The second Lyapunov functional

Let  $H_\delta$  and  $S_P$  be, respectively, the functionals defined in (18) and (44). For  $\gamma > 0$ , we define the functional

$$\mathbf{E}[h] := \gamma \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 + H_\delta[h] + S_P[h].$$

It is clear that  $\mathbf{E}$  depends on the parameters  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ , and the matrix  $P$ . Let  $\delta_0$  be given in (23) and choose any  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ . Then the decay estimates of Theorem 3.1 holds for the relativistic Fokker-Planck equation (25). Our goal is to choose a suitable  $\gamma > 0$  and  $P$  so that  $\mathbf{E}[h]$  is equivalent to  $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2$  and satisfies a Grönwall inequality (see (55) below) for the solution  $h$  of (25). We choose

$$P = P(x, p) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & \frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I \\ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I & \frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (53)$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is a positive constant which will be fixed later. We note the matrices  $I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}$  and  $I + p \otimes p$  are positive definite, and  $\left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right)^{-1} = I + p \otimes p$ . This helps to check that  $P$  is positive definite for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and

$$0 < \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \leq P \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (54)$$

We now state the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let Assumption 2.1 hold and  $h$  be the solution of (25) with initial data  $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  such that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h_0 f_\infty dx dp = 0$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  in (53) is small enough, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{E}[h(t)] \leq -2\Lambda \mathbf{E}[h(t)], \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (55)$$

holds for a positive constant  $\Lambda$  (independent of  $h_0$ ). In particular,

$$\mathbf{E}[h(t)] \leq e^{-2\Lambda t} \mathbf{E}[h_0], \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (56)$$

*Proof.* Theorem 3.1 provides

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_\delta[h(t)] \leq -2\lambda H_\delta[h(t)] \leq -\frac{\lambda(2-\delta)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp.$$



This estimate, Lemma 4.1, and Lemma 4.2 show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[h(t)] \leq & -\frac{\lambda(2-\delta)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp - 2\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp \\
& - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left( \frac{\nabla_x(\partial_{p_i} h)}{\nabla_p(\partial_{p_i} h)} \right)^T P \left( \frac{\nabla_x(\partial_{p_j} h)}{\nabla_p(\partial_{p_j} h)} \right) a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right)^T P \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h \right) f_\infty dx dp \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right)^T \{QP + PQ^T\} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right) f_\infty dx dp \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right)^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \right\} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right) f_\infty dx dp. \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

**Step 1, estimates on the second order derivatives:**

We first consider the term in the third line of (57):

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left( \frac{\nabla_x h}{\nabla_p h} \right)^T P \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h \right) f_\infty dx dp \\
= & 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0^2} \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp + 4\varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h (I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp. \quad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $w := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_p(\partial_{p_1} h) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_d} h) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$  and  $z := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{11} \\ \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{dd} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}$ . Using the relation  $(D \otimes D)^{-1} = D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} > 0$  (see [23, Corollary 4.2.11]) and applying (103) (from Appendix) to  $w$  and  $z$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0^2} \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp & = 2\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0^2} z \cdot w f_\infty dx dp \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3 p_0^3} z^T D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} z f_\infty dx dp + \varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp, \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta > 0$  will be fixed later. We use  $D^{-1} = p_0 \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right)$  and (104) (from Appendix) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
z^T D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} z & = \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) (\nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{ki}) \\
& = \nabla_x^T h \left\{ \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_p a_{lj} \otimes \nabla_p a_{ki} \right\} \nabla_x h \\
& \leq d \nabla_x^T h \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x h.
\end{aligned}$$

(59) and the last estimate imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0^2} \nabla_x h \cdot \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon^3 d}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \nabla_x^T h \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x h f_\infty dx dp + \varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp. \quad (60)
\end{aligned}$$

We now work on the last term of (58). We define

$$z_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{11} \\ \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{12} \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{dd} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{d^2}.$$

Similar to (59), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
4\varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp &= 4\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} z_1 \cdot w f_\infty dx dp \\
&\leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} z_1^T D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} z_1 f_\infty dx dp + 2\varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp. \quad (61)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (107) (from Appendix) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1^T D^{-1} \otimes D^{-1} z_1 &= \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{lj}) (\nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ki}) \\
&= \nabla_p^T h \left\{ \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{lj}) \otimes ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ki}) \right\} \nabla_p h \\
&\leq d \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p h.
\end{aligned}$$

(61) and the last estimate imply

$$\begin{aligned}
4\varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h f_\infty dx dp \\
\leq \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h(I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp + 2\varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp. \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

Then (58), (60), and (62) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 d}{\eta V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\
& \quad + 3\varepsilon \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp. \quad (63)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $u := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_1} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_1} h) \\ \vdots \\ \nabla_x(\partial_{p_d} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_d} h) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d^2}$ . and  $\tilde{P} := D \otimes P = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}P & \cdots & a_{1d}P \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ a_{d1}P & \cdots & a_{dd}P \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2d^2 \times 2d^2}$ . Then we can

write

$$-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_i} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_i} h) \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_j} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_j} h) \end{pmatrix} a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T \tilde{P} u f_\infty dx dp. \quad (64)$$

Since  $P$  and  $D$  are positive definite,  $D \otimes P$  is also positive definite, see [23, Corollary 4.2.13]. Moreover,  $P$  can be written as a sum of two positive semi-definite matrices:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & \frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I \\ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I & \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies

$$\tilde{P} = D \otimes P \geq D \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This inequality and (64) show that

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_i} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_i} h) \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_j} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_j} h) \end{pmatrix} a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp \\ & \leq -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} u^T D \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} u f_\infty dx dp = -2\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We choose any  $\eta \in (0, \frac{2}{3}]$ , and hence  $2 - \frac{3\eta}{p_0} \geq 0$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then (65) and (63) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_i} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_i} h) \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x(\partial_{p_j} h) \\ \nabla_p(\partial_{p_j} h) \end{pmatrix} a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp \\ & \quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i} \partial_{p_j} h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 d}{\eta V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varepsilon \left( 2 - \frac{3\eta}{p_0} \right) \frac{1}{V_0} w^T D \otimes D w f_\infty dx dp \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 d}{\eta V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

## Step 2, Grönwall type inequality:

(57) and (66) show

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{E}[h(t)] \leq -\frac{\lambda(2-\delta)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P_1 \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp, \quad (67)$$

where

$$P_1 := 2\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + (QP + PQ^T) - \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 d}{\eta V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (68)$$

The first two terms can be rewritten as

$$2\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + (QP + PQ^T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) (\frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI) + (\frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0^2}) I \\ -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (\frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI) (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) + (\frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0^2}) I & -\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + (\frac{4\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2\gamma) \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0} - \frac{4\varepsilon d}{V_0 p_0^2} I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, using Lemma 6.6 (from Appendix) for the forth term of (68) and Lemma 6.7 (from Appendix) for the third term of (68), we obtain that there exist constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 > 0$  such that

$$P_1 \geq \begin{pmatrix} X & Y^T \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

where

$$X := \left( 1 - \theta_3 \varepsilon - \frac{\theta_1 \varepsilon}{V_0} - \frac{\varepsilon d}{2\eta V_0} \right) \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right),$$

$$Y := -\frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( \frac{2\varepsilon}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI \right) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2 \right) \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0^2} I,$$

$$Z := -\frac{2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{(4 - 2\theta_2)\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2\gamma - 2\theta_4 \varepsilon - \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\eta V_0} \right) \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0} - \frac{4\varepsilon d}{V_0 p_0^2} I.$$

We choose a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$1 - \theta_3 \varepsilon - \frac{\theta_1 \varepsilon}{V_0(x)} - \frac{\varepsilon d}{2\eta V_0(x)} > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It is possible to choose such  $\varepsilon > 0$  because  $\frac{1}{V_0(x)}$  is uniformly bounded for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then we have

$$X \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) > 0 \quad (70)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since the elements of the matrix  $\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$  are bounded (due to Assumption (9)) and  $\frac{1}{p_0^2} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{p_0^2} I$ , if we (possibly) choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  even smaller, we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0^2} I \leq Y \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0^2} I \quad (71)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Similarly, since the elements of the matrix  $\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$  are bounded and  $\frac{1}{p_0^2} \leq \frac{1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p)$ , if we (possibly) choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  even smaller, we have

$$Z \geq \frac{2\gamma - 1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) > 0 \quad (72)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . (70), (71), and (72) show that, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough and  $\gamma$  is large enough, then  $\begin{pmatrix} X & Y^T \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix}$  is positive definite and there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y^T \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix} \geq CP. \quad (73)$$

We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that (70)-(73) hold. Then (67), (69), and (73) imply

$$\frac{d}{dt}E[h(t)] \leq -\frac{\lambda(2-\delta)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp.$$

$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} h^2 f_\infty dx dp$  and  $H_\delta[h]$  are equivalent by Theorem 3.1. Hence, from the equation above we conclude that there is a constant  $\Lambda > 0$  such that (55) holds.  $\square$

We now ready to prove Theorem 2.3.

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.**  $H_\delta$  is equivalent to the square of the  $L^2$ -norm by Theorem 3.1 (i). This fact and the inequalities (54) show that  $E$  is equivalent to the  $\mathcal{H}^1$ -norm. Then the proof follows from (56).  $\square$

## 5 Hypocoelliptic regularity

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.4, i.e., we show that, for any initial data  $h_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$ , the solution  $h(t)$  of (25) is in  $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then, we shall prove Corollary 2.5.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** Let  $h := \frac{f - f_\infty}{f_\infty}$ . Then  $h$  solves (25). We define the functional

$$\mathcal{E}[h] := \gamma \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2 + S_P[h],$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ , and  $S_P[h]$  is defined in (44). In order to prove the short-time regularization of (11) and (12) we consider this functional with a matrix  $P$  which depends not only on  $x$  and  $p$  but also on time  $t$ , i.e.

$$P = P(t, x, p) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\varepsilon^3 t^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & \frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I \\ \frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I & \frac{2\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  will be fixed later. Compared to (53),  $\varepsilon$  was replaced by  $\varepsilon t$ . It is easy to check

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 t^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \leq P, \quad (74)$$

which implies that  $P(t, x, p)$  is positive definite for all  $t > 0$  and  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Our goal is to show that  $\mathcal{E}[h(t)]$  decreases. To this end we compute the time derivative of  $\mathcal{E}[h(t)]$ . We follow the proofs of Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 to compute the time derivative of  $\mathcal{E}[h(t)]$ , but now we need to take into account that  $P$  depends on time  $t$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}[h(t)] &= -2\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \nabla_p^T h D \nabla_p h f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x (\partial_{p_i} h) \\ \nabla_p (\partial_{p_i} h) \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x (\partial_{p_j} h) \\ \nabla_p (\partial_{p_j} h) \end{pmatrix} a_{ij} \right\} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \nabla_p a_{ij} \partial_{p_i}^2 \partial_{p_j} h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \{QP + PQ^T - \partial_t P\} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \right\} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp. \quad (75) \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the terms on the right as in (59)-(66) (where we need to replace  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon t$ ) and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}[h(t)] \leq - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix}^T P_2 \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x h \\ \nabla_p h \end{pmatrix} f_\infty dx dp, \quad (76)$$

where

$$P_2 := 2\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + (QP + PQ^T) - \partial_t P - \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon^3 t^3 d}{\eta V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\varepsilon t d}{\eta V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}; \quad (77)$$

note the similarity of  $P_2$  with  $P_1$  in (68). The first three terms can be rewritten as

$$2\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + (QP + PQ^T) - \partial_t P = \begin{pmatrix} (1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{V_0}) \frac{2\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & -\frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) (\frac{2\varepsilon t}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI) + (\frac{\varepsilon t - 2\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2) \frac{\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0^2} I \\ -\frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (\frac{2\varepsilon t}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI) (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) + (\frac{\varepsilon t - 2\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2) \frac{\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0^2} I & -\frac{2\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + (\frac{4\varepsilon t - 2\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2\gamma) \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0} - \frac{4\varepsilon t d}{V_0 p_0^2} I \end{pmatrix},$$

Then, using (from Appendix) Lemma 6.6 for the fifth term of (77) and Lemma 6.7 for the fourth term of (77) (where we need to replace  $\varepsilon$  by  $\varepsilon t$ ), we obtain that there exist constants  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 > 0$  such that

$$P_2 \geq \begin{pmatrix} X & Y^T \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix}, \quad (78)$$

where

$$X := \left( 1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{V_0} - \theta_3 \varepsilon t - \frac{\theta_1 \varepsilon t}{V_0} - \frac{\varepsilon t d}{2\eta V_0} \right) \frac{2\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right),$$

$$Y := -\frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( \frac{2\varepsilon t}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + dI \right) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) + \left( \frac{\varepsilon t - 2\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2 \right) \frac{\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0^2} I,$$

$$Z := -\frac{2\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \left( \frac{(4 - 2\theta_2)\varepsilon t - 2\varepsilon}{V_0} + 2\gamma - 2\theta_4 \varepsilon t - \frac{2\varepsilon t d}{\eta V_0} \right) \frac{I + p \otimes p}{p_0} - \frac{4\varepsilon t d}{V_0 p_0^2} I.$$

We choose a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{V_0} - \theta_3 \varepsilon t - \frac{\theta_1 \varepsilon t}{V_0} - \frac{\varepsilon t d}{2\eta V_0} > \frac{1}{2}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, t_0]$ . It is possible to choose such  $\varepsilon > 0$  because  $\frac{1}{V_0(x)}$  is bounded for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t$  varies in a bounded interval. Then we have

$$X \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2 t^2}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \geq 0 \quad (79)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, t_0]$ . Since the elements of the matrix  $\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$  are uniformly bounded by Assumption (9) and  $\frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{p_0^3} I$ , if we (possibly) choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  even smaller, then we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0^2} I \leq Y \leq \frac{3\varepsilon t}{V_0 p_0^2} I \quad (80)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \in [0, t_0]$ . Similarly, since the elements of the matrix  $\frac{1}{V_0} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$  are bounded and  $\frac{1}{p_0^3} \leq \frac{1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p)$ , if we (possible) choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  even smaller, we have

$$Z \geq \frac{2\gamma - 1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \geq 0 \quad (81)$$

for all  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . (79), (80), and (81) show that, if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small enough and  $\gamma > 0$  is large enough, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & Y^T \\ Y & Z \end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (82)$$

We fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that (79)-(82) hold. Then (76), (78), and (82) imply

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}[h(t)] \leq 0.$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{E}[h(t)]$  is decreasing in  $[0, t_0]$  and therefore

$$\mathcal{E}[h(t)] \leq \mathcal{E}[h(0)] = \gamma \|h_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, t_0]. \quad (83)$$

Moreover, we have by (74) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[h(t)] &\geq \varepsilon^3 t^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \nabla_x^T h(t) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x h(t) f_\infty dx dp \\ &\quad + \varepsilon t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h(t) (I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p h(t) f_\infty dx dp. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

(83) and (84) show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0^3 p_0^3} \nabla_x^T h(t) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_x h(t) f_\infty dx dp \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon^3 t^3} \|h_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{V_0 p_0} \nabla_p^T h(t) (I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p h(t) f_\infty dx dp \leq \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon t} \|h_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}^2.$$

Hence, (11) and (12) hold with constants  $C_3 := \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon^3}$  and  $C_4 := \frac{\gamma}{\varepsilon}$ . (13) follows easily by adding these estimates.  $\square$

**Proof of Corollary 2.5.** Let  $t_0 > 0$ . Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 2.3 show that  $\frac{f(t_0)}{f_\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)$  and

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq C_2 e^{-\Lambda(t-t_0)} \left\| \frac{f(t_0) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}$$

holds for all  $t \geq t_0 > 0$  with the constant  $C_2$  and the rate  $\Lambda$  given in Theorem 2.3. Using (13) at  $t = t_0$ , we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(t) - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)} \leq \frac{C_2 (C_3 + C_4 t_0^2)^{1/2} e^{\Lambda t_0}}{t_0^{3/2}} e^{-\Lambda t} \left\| \frac{f_0 - f_\infty}{f_\infty} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, f_\infty)}.$$

This proves the claimed estimate with the constant  $C_5 := \frac{C_2 (C_3 + C_4 t_0^2)^{1/2} e^{\Lambda t_0}}{t_0^{3/2}}$ .  $\square$

## 6 Appendix

### 6.1 Weighted Poincaré inequalities and an elliptic regularity result

In this section we consider the elliptic equation

$$u(x) - \frac{a}{\rho_\infty(x)} \operatorname{div}_x (\nabla_x u(x) \rho_\infty(x)) = w(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (85)$$

where  $u$  is unknown,  $a$  is a positive constant, and  $w$  is a given function. We will establish some regularity estimates for this equation in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  with  $\rho_\infty > 0$  from (4).

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w \rho_\infty dx = 0$ . Assume that the potential  $V$  satisfies Assumption 2.1. Then, there are positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that the unique solution of (85) satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx, \quad (86)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx. \quad (87)$$

To prove Theorem 6.1, we need the weighted Poincaré inequalities (88) and (89) below. We mention that these inequalities were obtained in [19] in a general setting, but we provide proofs for being self-contained.

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume that Assumption 2.1 holds. Then

i) There exists  $\kappa_3 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx \quad (88)$$

holds for all  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  with  $|\nabla_x h| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \rho_\infty dx = 0$ .

ii) There exists  $\kappa_4 > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 (1 + |\nabla_x V|^2) |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 (1 + |\nabla_x V|^2) \rho_\infty dx \quad (89)$$

holds for all  $h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  with  $|\nabla_x h| (1 + |\nabla_x V|) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \rho_\infty dx = 0$ .

*Proof.* i) By the identity  $\sqrt{\rho_\infty} \nabla_x h = \nabla_x (h \sqrt{\rho_\infty}) + \frac{h \sqrt{\rho_\infty}}{2} \nabla_x V$  and integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx &\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \sqrt{\rho_\infty} \nabla_x (h \sqrt{\rho_\infty}) \cdot \nabla_x V dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 \Delta_x V \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate and the first condition in (9) show

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx \geq \frac{1 - c_2}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx - \frac{c_1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 \rho_\infty dx.$$

Then, (8) lets us obtain (88) with the constant  $\kappa_3 := \frac{(1 - c_2)(c_1 + 2\kappa_2)}{8\kappa_2}$ .

ii) We recall  $V_0(x) := \sqrt{1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|^2}$ . Let  $\bar{h} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h V_0 \rho_\infty dx$ , then by (88)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (h V_0 - \bar{h})^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x (h V_0)|^2 \rho_\infty dx.$$

This leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 V_0^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{\kappa_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x (h V_0)|^2 \rho_\infty dx + 2\bar{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h V_0 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx. \quad (90)$$

Next, we estimate the terms on the right hand side of (90):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x (h V_0)|^2 \rho_\infty dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \nabla_x h V_0 + h \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \frac{\nabla_x V}{V_0} \right|^2 \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 V_0^2 \rho_\infty dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \frac{|\nabla_x V|^2}{V_0^2} \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 V_0^2 \rho_\infty dx + 4c_3^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 V_0^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{4c_3^2}{\kappa_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx, \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$



where we used the second condition in (9) and (88). By the Hölder inequality and (8)

$$|\bar{h}| \leq \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)} \|h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_2}} \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)} \|\nabla_x h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)}.$$

We note that  $\|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)}$  is finite, because (4) and the first condition in (9) yields:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x V \rho_\infty dx \leq c_1 + \frac{c_2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx,$$

hence  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{2c_1}{2-c_2}$ . Then, the Hölder inequality shows

$$2\bar{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h V_0 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{2\|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)}^4}{\kappa_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 V_0^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx. \quad (92)$$

(90), (91), and (92) yield

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h^2 V_0^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (4\kappa_2^{-1} \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)}^4 + 8c_3^2 \kappa_3^{-1} + 4V_0^2) |\nabla_x h|^2 \rho_\infty dx.$$

Therefore, we obtain (89) with  $\kappa_4^{-1} := 4\kappa_2^{-1} \|V_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \rho_\infty)}^4 + 8c_3^2 \kappa_3^{-1} + 4$ . □

**Proof of Theorem 6.1.** Multiplying (85) by  $\rho_\infty$  and integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \rho_\infty dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w \rho_\infty dx = 0.$$

Next we multiply (85) by  $u \rho_\infty$ , integrate by parts, and use the Hölder inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \rho_\infty dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 \rho_\infty dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u w \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \rho_\infty dx.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \rho_\infty dx + 2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx. \quad (93)$$

We start proving (86): We multiply (85) by  $u |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty$  and integrate by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx + a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w u |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx - a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x (|\nabla_x V|^2) \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

Using the Hölder inequality we estimate the terms on the right hand side of (94)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w u |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^4 \rho_\infty dx \quad (95)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \nabla_x u \cdot \nabla_x (|\nabla_x V|^2) \rho_\infty dx &= -2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \nabla_x u \cdot \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \nabla_x V \right) \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq 2a \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u| |\nabla_x u| \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F |\nabla_x V| \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{a^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx, \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

for any  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . (94), (95), and (96) show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx + (a - \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \\ \leq \frac{1}{2\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^4 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{a^2}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

The Poincaré inequality (89) and (93) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^4 \rho_\infty dx &\leq \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 (1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|^2) \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2a\kappa_4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{1}{\kappa_4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

To estimate the last term in (97), we use the second condition in (9), (93), and the Poincaré inequality (88)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx &\leq 2c_3^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 (1 + |\nabla_x V|^2) \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq 2c_3^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx + \frac{1}{\kappa_3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 \rho_\infty dx \right) \\ &\leq 2c_3^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2a\kappa_3} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

(97), (98), and (99) show that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx + (a - \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2\kappa_4}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx \\ \leq \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta} + \frac{\delta}{4a\kappa_4} + \frac{2c_3^2 a^2}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2a\kappa_3} \right) \right] \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} w^2 \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

We choose  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that  $a - \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2\kappa_4} > 0$ . Then, (100) shows that (86) holds with  $C_1 := \frac{1}{a - \varepsilon - \frac{\delta}{2\kappa_4}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\delta} + \frac{\delta}{4a\kappa_4} + \frac{2c_3^2 a^2}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2a\kappa_3} \right) \right]$ .

Next, we prove (87): We integrate by parts twice

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx &= \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u \rho_\infty dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i x_j x_j}^3 u \partial_{x_i} u \rho_\infty dx + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_j} V \rho_\infty dx \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_j x_j}^2 u \operatorname{div}_x (\nabla_x u \rho_\infty) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x^T u \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \nabla_x V \rho_\infty dx. \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

We use (85) in the form  $a \operatorname{div}_x (\nabla_x u \rho_\infty) = (u - w) \rho_\infty$  and the Hölder inequality to estimate (101)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx &= a^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Delta_x u (u - w) \rho_\infty dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nabla_x^T u \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \nabla_x V \rho_\infty dx \\ &\leq a^{-1} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\Delta_x u|^2 \rho_\infty dx} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u - w)^2 \rho_\infty dx} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx} \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality and  $|\Delta_x u|^2 \leq d \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2$  show that

$$\sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F^2 \rho_\infty dx} \leq a^{-1} \sqrt{d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (u-w)^2 \rho_\infty dx} + \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla_x u|^2 |\nabla_x V|^2 \rho_\infty dx}. \quad (102)$$

Finally, (102), (93), and (86) yield (87).  $\square$

## 6.2 Some matrix inequalities

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a symmetric, positive definite matrix. For any  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have*

$$2u^T Av \leq u^T Au + v^T Av \quad \text{and} \quad 2u \cdot v \leq u^T Au + v^T A^{-1}v. \quad (103)$$

*Proof.* Since the matrices  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  are positive semi-definite, their Kronecker product  $\begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ -A & A \end{pmatrix}$  is also positive semi-definite, see [23, Corollary 4.2.13]. Hence,

$$u^T Au + v^T Av - 2u^T Av = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & -A \\ -A & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

The second inequality follows by replacing  $v$  with  $A^{-1}v$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $a_{ij} := \frac{\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j}{p_0}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_p a_{lj} \otimes \nabla_p a_{ki} \leq d \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \quad (104)$$

holds for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* We compute the element which is in the intersection of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  column and the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \partial_{p_m} a_{ki} \partial_{p_n} a_{lj} \\ = \sum_{l,i=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \partial_{p_n} a_{lj} \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \partial_{p_m} a_{ki} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We first compute the sums in the brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \partial_{p_n} a_{lj} &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{nj} + p_j \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{lj} + p_l p_j) p_n}{p_0^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} + p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{li} + p_l p_i) p_n}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_i}{p_0^2} \left( \frac{p_l p_n + |p|^2 \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(p_l + p_l |p|^2) p_n}{p_0^3} \right) \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} + p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{li} + p_l p_i) p_n}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_i p_l p_n + p_i |p|^2 \delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l p_i p_n}{p_0^3} \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} + p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{li} + p_l p_i) p_n}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_i |p|^2 \delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{li} + p_l p_i) p_n}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni}}{p_0} - \frac{p_n \delta_{li}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l p_i p_n}{p_0^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Similarly, we can show

$$\sum_{k=1}^d \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \partial_{p_m} a_{ki} = \frac{p_i \delta_{ml}}{p_0} - \frac{p_m \delta_{li}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l \delta_{mi}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_i p_i p_m}{p_0^3}. \quad (106)$$

Next, we sum the product of (105) and (106) with respect to  $i$  and  $l$  :

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l,i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{ni}}{p_0} - \frac{p_n \delta_{li}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_i \delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l p_i p_n}{p_0^3} \right) \left( \frac{p_i \delta_{ml}}{p_0} - \frac{p_m \delta_{li}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l \delta_{mi}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_i p_i p_m}{p_0^3} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^d \left[ \frac{p_l}{p_0} \left( \frac{p_n \delta_{ml}}{p_0} - \frac{p_m \delta_{ln}}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l \delta_{mn}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l p_n p_m}{p_0^3} \right) - \frac{p_n}{p_0^3} \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{ml}}{p_0} - \frac{p_m}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l \delta_{ml}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l^2 p_m}{p_0^3} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( \frac{\delta_{nl}}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l p_n}{p_0^3} \right) \left( \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{ml}}{p_0} - \frac{p_m p_l}{p_0^3} + \frac{p_l p_m}{p_0^3} - \frac{p_l p_m |p|^2}{p_0^3} \right) \right] \\ &= \left( \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^2} - \frac{p_m p_n}{p_0^4} + \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{mn}}{p_0^4} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^4} \right) - \left( \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^4} - \frac{d p_m p_n}{p_0^6} + \frac{p_m p_n}{p_0^6} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^6} \right) \\ & \quad + \left( \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{mn}}{p_0^4} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^6} \right) - \left( \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^4} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^4}{p_0^6} \right) \\ &= \frac{2|p|^2 \delta_{mn}}{p_0^4} + \frac{(d-2)p_m p_n}{p_0^6} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^4} - \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^6} + \frac{p_n p_m |p|^4}{p_0^6} \\ &= \frac{2\delta_{mn}}{p_0^2} - \frac{2\delta_{mn}}{p_0^4} + \frac{(d-2)p_m p_n}{p_0^6} - \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^2} + \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^4} + \frac{p_m p_n}{p_0^2} - \frac{3p_m p_n}{p_0^4} + \frac{2p_m p_n}{p_0^6} \\ &= \frac{2\delta_{mn}}{p_0^2} - \frac{2\delta_{mn}}{p_0^4} + \frac{d p_m p_n}{p_0^6} - \frac{2p_m p_n}{p_0^4} = \frac{2}{p_0^2} (\delta_{mn} - \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^2}) - \frac{2}{p_0^4} (\delta_{mn} - \frac{p_n p_m}{p_0^2}) + \frac{(d-2)p_m p_n}{p_0^6}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \nabla_p a_{lj} \otimes \nabla_p a_{ki} = 2 \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{2}{p_0^2} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) + \frac{(d-2)p \otimes p}{p_0^4}.$$

The claimed inequality follows from

$$\frac{(d-2)p \otimes p}{p_0^4} < \frac{d-2}{p_0^2} I \leq (d-2) \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right).$$

□

**Lemma 6.5.** Let  $a_{ij} := \frac{\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j}{p_0}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then

$$\sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{lj}) \otimes ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ki}) \leq d(I + p \otimes p) \quad (107)$$

holds for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* We compute the element which is in the intersection of the  $m^{\text{th}}$  column and the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row:

$$\sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_n} a_{lj} + p_n p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) (\partial_{p_m} a_{ki} + p_m p \cdot \nabla_p a_{ki})$$

$$= \sum_{l,i=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_n} a_{lj} + p_n p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_m} a_{ki} + p_m p \cdot \nabla_p a_{ki}) \right).$$

We want to compute the sums in the brackets. We first compute

$$p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj} = \sum_{r=1}^d p_r \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{rj} + p_j \delta_{rl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{lj} + p_l p_j) p_r}{p_0^3} \right) = \frac{2p_l p_j}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{lj} + p_l p_j) |p|^2}{p_0^3}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{p_n} a_{lj} + p_n (p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) &= \frac{p_l \delta_{nj} + p_j \delta_{nl}}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{lj} + p_l p_j) p_n}{p_0^3} + \frac{2p_l p_j p_n}{p_0} - \frac{(\delta_{lj} + p_l p_j) p_n |p|^2}{p_0^3} \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{nj} + p_j \delta_{nl} - \delta_{lj} p_n}{p_0} + \frac{p_l p_j p_n}{p_0}. \end{aligned}$$

This helps us to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_n} a_{lj} + p_n p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) &= \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{nj} + p_j \delta_{nl} - \delta_{lj} p_n}{p_0} + \frac{p_l p_j p_n}{p_0} \right) \\ &= \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} + p_i \delta_{nl} - \delta_{li} p_n}{p_0} + \frac{p_l p_i p_n}{p_0} - \frac{p_i}{p_0^2} \left( \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{nl}}{p_0} + \frac{p_l p_n |p|^2}{p_0} \right) = \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} - \delta_{li} p_n}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{nl} + p_l p_n) p_i}{p_0^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\sum_{k=1}^d \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_m} a_{ki} + p_m p \cdot \nabla_p a_{ki}) = \frac{p_i \delta_{ml} - \delta_{li} p_m}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{mi} + p_i p_m) p_l}{p_0^3}.$$

We sum the product of the last two equations with respect to  $i$  and  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l,i=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_n} a_{lj} + p_n p \cdot \nabla_p a_{lj}) \right) \left( \sum_{k=1}^d \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) (\partial_{p_m} a_{ki} + p_m p \cdot \nabla_p a_{ki}) \right) \\ = \sum_{l,i=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{ni} - \delta_{li} p_n}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{nl} + p_l p_n) p_i}{p_0^3} \right) \left( \frac{p_i \delta_{ml} - \delta_{li} p_m}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{mi} + p_i p_m) p_l}{p_0^3} \right) \\ = \sum_{l=1}^d p_0^2 \left[ \frac{p_l}{p_0} \left( \frac{p_n \delta_{ml} - \delta_{ln} p_m}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{mn} + p_n p_m) p_l}{p_0^3} \right) - \frac{p_n}{p_0} \left( \frac{p_l \delta_{ml} - p_m}{p_0} + \frac{(\delta_{ml} + p_l p_m) p_l}{p_0^3} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(\delta_{nl} + p_l p_n)}{p_0^3} \left( \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{ml} - p_l p_m}{p_0} + \frac{(p_m + |p|^2 p_m) p_l}{p_0^3} \right) \right] \\ = \frac{(\delta_{mn} + p_n p_m) |p|^2}{p_0^2} + (d-1) p_m p_n - \frac{p_n p_m + p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^2} + \frac{|p|^2 \delta_{mn}}{p_0^2} + \frac{p_n p_m |p|^2}{p_0^2} \\ = d(\delta_{mn} + p_n p_m) - (d-2) \delta_{mn} - \frac{2(\delta_{mn} + p_n p_m)}{p_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k,l,i,j=1}^d p_0^2 \left( \delta_{kl} - \frac{p_k p_l}{p_0^2} \right) \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{p_i p_j}{p_0^2} \right) ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{lj}) \otimes ((I + p \otimes p) \nabla_p a_{ki}) \\ = d(I + p \otimes p) - (d-2)I - \frac{2(I + p \otimes p)}{p_0^2} \leq d(I + p \otimes p). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 6.6.** Let  $P = P(x, p)$  be the matrix defined in (53). Then there are constant  $\theta_1 > 0$  and  $\theta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\theta_1 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\theta_2 \varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{1}{f_\infty} \partial_{p_j} (\partial_{p_i} P a_{ij} f_\infty) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 P a_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} P \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_{p_j} a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ij} p_j}{p_0} \right). \quad (108)$$

Since  $a_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j}{p_0}$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_{p_j} a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ij} p_j}{p_0} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i + \delta_{ij} p_j}{p_0} - \frac{\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j}{p_0^3} p_j - \frac{(\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j) p_j}{p_0^2} \right) = \left( \frac{d}{p_0} - 1 \right) p_i.$$

We denote  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(x) := \frac{\varepsilon}{V_0(x)} > 0$  which is uniformly bounded for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then

$$\partial_{p_i} P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-6\varepsilon_1^3 p_i}{p_0^5} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} \partial_{p_i} (p \otimes p) + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3 p_i}{p_0^5} p \otimes p & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 p_i}{p_0^4} I \\ -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 p_i}{p_0^4} I & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1 p_i}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) + \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0} \partial_{p_i} (p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The last two equations show

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} P \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_{p_j} a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ij} p_j}{p_0} \right) &= \left( \frac{d}{p_0} - 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} P p_i \\ &= \left( \frac{d}{p_0} - 1 \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-6\varepsilon_1^3 |p|^2}{p_0^5} I - \frac{4\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} p \otimes p + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3 |p|^2}{p_0^5} p \otimes p & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 |p|^2}{p_0^4} I \\ -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 |p|^2}{p_0^4} I & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1 |p|^2}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) + \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{p_0} p \otimes p \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{6\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3 p \otimes p}{p_0^5} - \frac{6\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} I & \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} I \\ \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} I & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) + \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{p_0} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

One can easily check

$$\frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} < I, \quad \frac{1}{p_0^5} I \leq \frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right), \quad \frac{1}{p_0} I \leq \frac{1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p). \quad (110)$$

These matrix inequalities help us to estimate

$$\left( 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right) \left( \frac{6\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3 p \otimes p}{p_0^5} - \frac{6\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^5} I \right) \leq \frac{22\varepsilon_1^3 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \quad (111)$$

and

$$\left( 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right) \left( -\frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) + \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{p_0} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) \right) \leq \frac{8\varepsilon_1 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p). \quad (112)$$

(109), (111), and (112) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} P \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_{p_j} a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ij} p_j}{p_0} \right) &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{22\varepsilon_1^3 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & \left( 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right) \left( \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} \right) I \\ \left( 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right) \left( \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4} \right) I & \frac{8\varepsilon_1 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{24\varepsilon_1^3 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{10\varepsilon_1 \left| 1 - \frac{d}{p_0} \right|}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

In the last inequality, we used the fact that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^3|1-\frac{d}{p_0}|}{p_0^3}(I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & \left(1 - \frac{d}{p_0}\right) \left(\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^2} - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4}\right) I \\ \left(1 - \frac{d}{p_0}\right) \left(\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^2} - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^4}\right) I & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1|1-\frac{d}{p_0}|}{p_0}(I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}$$

is negative semi-definite, which can be proven by using the second inequality in (103). Since  $\left|1 - \frac{d}{p_0}\right|$  is uniformly bounded for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , (113) shows that there are constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{p_i} P \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \partial_{p_j} a_{ij} - \frac{a_{ij} p_j}{p_0} \right) \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C_1 \varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C_2 \varepsilon_1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (114)$$

Next, using the computation above for  $\partial_{p_i} P$  we compute

$$\partial_{p_i}^2 P = \begin{pmatrix} X_{ij} & -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij}}{p_0^4} I + \frac{8\varepsilon_1^2 p_i p_j}{p_0^6} I \\ -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij}}{p_0^4} I + \frac{8\varepsilon_1^2 p_i p_j}{p_0^6} I & Y_{ij} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$X_{ij} := -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^3[3\delta_{ij}I + \partial_{p_i}^2(p \otimes p)]}{p_0^5} + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3[3p_i p_j I + p_j \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) + p_i \partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + \delta_{ij} p \otimes p]}{p_0^7} - \frac{70\varepsilon_1^3 p_i p_j p \otimes p}{p_0^9},$$

$$Y_{ij} := -2\varepsilon_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{ij}}{p_0^3} - \frac{3p_i p_j}{p_0^5} \right) (I + p \otimes p) - \frac{2\varepsilon_1[p_i \partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + p_j \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)]}{p_0^3} + \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p).$$

The identities

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^d \delta_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p) = 2I, \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^d p_i p_j \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p) = 2p \otimes p, \quad \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) = 2p \otimes p$$

will be used in the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} X &:= \sum_{i,j=1}^d X_{ij} a_{ij} = -\sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{2\varepsilon_1^3[3\delta_{ij}I + \delta_{ij} \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p) + 3\delta_{ij} p_i p_j I + p_i p_j \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p)]}{p_0^6} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3[3\delta_{ij} p_i p_j I + \delta_{ij} p_j \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) + \delta_{ij} p_i \partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + \delta_{ij} p \otimes p]}{p_0^8} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3 p_i p_j [3p_i p_j I + p_j \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) + p_i \partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + \delta_{ij} p \otimes p]}{p_0^8} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{70\varepsilon_1^3[\delta_{ij} + p_i p_j] p_i p_j p \otimes p}{p_0^{10}} \\ &= -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^3[3(d-1+p_0^2)I + 2(I+p \otimes p)]}{p_0^6} + \frac{10\varepsilon_1^3[3|p|^2 p_0^2 I + 4p_0^2 p \otimes p + (d-1+p_0^2)p \otimes p]}{p_0^8} - \frac{70\varepsilon_1^3|p|^2 p \otimes p}{p_0^8} \\ &= \frac{24\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(28+6d)}{p_0^6} I + \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(60+10d)}{p_0^8} p \otimes p, \\ Y &:= \sum_{i,j=1}^d Y_{ij} a_{ij} = -\sum_{i,j=1}^d 2\varepsilon_1 \left( \frac{\delta_{ij}}{p_0^4} + \frac{\delta_{ij} p_i p_j}{p_0^4} - \frac{3\delta_{ij} p_i p_j}{p_0^6} - \frac{3p_i^2 p_j^2}{p_0^6} \right) (I + p \otimes p) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{2\varepsilon_1[\delta_{ij}p_i\partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + \delta_{ij}p_j\partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)] + 2\varepsilon_1p_i p_j[p_i\partial_{p_j}(p \otimes p) + p_j\partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)]}{p_0^4} \\
& \quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{2\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} [\delta_{ij}\partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p) + p_i p_j \partial_{p_i p_j}^2(p \otimes p)] \\
& = \left( \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} - \frac{2\varepsilon_1(d+2)}{p_0^4} \right) (I + p \otimes p) - \frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} p \otimes p + \frac{4\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} (I + p \otimes p) \\
& = \frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1(d+2)}{p_0^4} (I + p \otimes p), \\
Z := \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left( -\frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij}}{p_0^4} I + \frac{8\varepsilon_1^2 p_i p_j}{p_0^6} I \right) a_{ij} &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^d \left( \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij}}{p_0^5} I - \frac{8\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij} p_i p_j}{p_0^7} I + \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2 \delta_{ij} p_i p_j}{p_0^5} I - \frac{8\varepsilon_1^2 p_i^2 p_j^2}{p_0^7} I \right) \\
&= \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I.
\end{aligned}$$

According to our notations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 P a_{ij} &= \begin{pmatrix} X & Z \\ Z & Y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{24\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(28+6d)}{p_0^6} I + \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(60+10d)}{p_0^8} p \otimes p & \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I \\ \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I & \frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1(d+2)}{p_0^4} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (115)
\end{aligned}$$

The matrix inequalities in (110) help us to estimate

$$\frac{24\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(28+6d)}{p_0^6} I + \frac{\varepsilon_1^3(60+10d)}{p_0^8} p \otimes p \leq \frac{(84+10d)\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1(d+2)}{p_0^4} (I + p \otimes p) \leq \frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} (I + p \otimes p).$$

These estimates and (115) show

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{p_i p_j}^2 P a_{ij} &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(84+10d)\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I \\ \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I & \frac{8\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(84+10d + |6 - \frac{2(d+3)}{p_0^2}|)\varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(8 + |6 - \frac{2(d+3)}{p_0^2}|)\varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (116)
\end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality, we used the fact that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{|6 - \frac{2(d+3)}{p_0^2}| \varepsilon_1^3}{p_0^4} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I \\ \frac{6\varepsilon_1^2}{p_0^3} I - \frac{2\varepsilon_1^2(d+3)}{p_0^5} I & -\frac{|6 - \frac{2(d+3)}{p_0^2}| \varepsilon_1}{p_0^2} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}$$



is negative semi-definite, which can be proven by using the second inequality in (103). Since  $\left|6 - \frac{2(d+3)}{p_0^2}\right|$  is uniformly bounded for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , (116) shows that there are constants  $C'_1 > 0$  and  $C'_2 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_{p_i p_j} P a_{ij} &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C'_1 \varepsilon^3}{p_0^4} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C'_2 \varepsilon_1}{p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \\ &\leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C'_1 \varepsilon^3}{p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C'_2 \varepsilon_1}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \end{aligned}$$

Using this estimate and (114) in (108), we obtain the claimed result.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $P = P(x, p)$  be the matrix defined in (53). Assume there exists a constant  $c_3 > 0$  such that*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial^2 V(x)}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F \leq c_3 (1 + |\nabla_x V(x)|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (117)$$

Then there are constants  $\theta_3 > 0$  and  $\theta_4 > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \left( \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P - \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \right) \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2\theta_3 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^2 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2\theta_4 \varepsilon}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (118)$$

*Proof.* We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P &= \left[ \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\nabla_x V \cdot \nabla_x (\partial_{x_i} V) p_i}{V_0^2 p_0} \right] \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{6\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & \frac{-2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I \\ \frac{-2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I & \frac{-2\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0} \nabla_x^T V \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} p \right] \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{6\varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & \frac{-2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I \\ \frac{-2\varepsilon^2}{V_0^2 p_0^2} I & \frac{-2\varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (119) \end{aligned}$$

Since (117) implies  $\left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F \leq \sqrt{2} c_3 V_0$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0} \nabla_x^T V \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} p \right| \leq \frac{1}{V_0^2 p_0} |\nabla_x V| \left\| \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} \right\|_F |p| \leq \sqrt{2} c_3, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This uniform bound and (119) show that there are constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{p_i}{p_0} \partial_{x_i} P \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C_1 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C_2 \varepsilon}{V_0 p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C_1 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^3 p_0^3} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C_2 \varepsilon}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (120)$$

Next, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left( 2\varepsilon^3 \left[ \frac{5\partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0^3 p_0^5} (I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2}) - \frac{\partial_{x_i} V}{V_0^3 p_0^5} (2p_i I - \partial_{p_i} (p \otimes p)) \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{2\varepsilon^2 \partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0^2 p_0^4} I \quad \frac{2\varepsilon^2 \partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0^2 p_0^4} I \right. \\ & \quad \left. \frac{2\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0 p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) - \frac{2\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} V}{V_0 p_0} \partial_{p_i} (p \otimes p) \right). \quad (121) \end{aligned}$$

We denote  $\tilde{p}_i := \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_{i-1} \\ p_i - 1 \\ p_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ p_d \end{pmatrix} = p - e_i$  and  $\bar{p}_i := \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_{i-1} \\ p_i + 1 \\ p_{i+1} \\ \vdots \\ p_d \end{pmatrix} = p + e_i$ , where  $e_i$  denotes the  $i$ -th unit vector,

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . Let  $E_i := e_i \otimes e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  denote the matrix whose element in the intersection of the  $i$ -th column and the  $i$ -th row equals 1 and all other elements are zero. Using  $\partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) = e_i \otimes p + p \otimes e_i$  one can check

$$\frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{1}{p_0^5} (2p_i I - \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)) = \frac{1}{p_0^5} E_i + \frac{1}{p_0^5} (|\tilde{p}_i|^2 I - \tilde{p}_i \otimes \tilde{p}_i) \geq 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) + \frac{1}{p_0^5} (2p_i I - \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)) = \frac{1}{p_0^5} E_i + \frac{1}{p_0^5} (|\bar{p}_i|^2 I - \bar{p}_i \otimes \bar{p}_i) \geq 0.$$

From these equations we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{p_0^5} (2p_i I - \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)) \leq \frac{1}{p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right).$$

Using these inequalities and the fact that  $\left| \frac{\partial_{x_i} V}{V_0} \right|$  and  $\left| \frac{p_i}{p_0} \right|$  are uniformly bounded for  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we conclude that there is a constant  $C'_1 > 0$  such that

$$2\varepsilon^3 \left[ \frac{5\partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0^3 p_0^5} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) - \frac{\partial_{x_i} V}{V_0^3 p_0^5} (2p_i I - \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p)) \right] \leq \frac{2C'_1 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right), \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (122)$$

The inequalities

$$I + p \otimes p - \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) = \tilde{p}_i \otimes \tilde{p}_i + I - E_i \geq 0$$

and

$$I + p \otimes p + \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) = \bar{p}_i \otimes \bar{p}_i + I - E_i \geq 0$$

are easy to check and they imply

$$-(I + p \otimes p) \leq \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) \leq I + p \otimes p.$$

Using these inequalities and the fact that  $\left| \frac{\partial_{x_i} V}{V_0} \right|$  and  $\left| \frac{p_i}{p_0} \right|$  are uniformly bounded for  $x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we conclude that there is a constant  $C'_2 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{2\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} V p_i}{V_0 p_0^3} (I + p \otimes p) - \frac{2\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} V}{V_0 p_0} \partial_{p_i}(p \otimes p) \leq \frac{2C'_2 \varepsilon}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p). \quad (123)$$

(121), (122), and (123) show that there are constants  $C''_1 > 0$  and  $C''_2 > 0$  such that

$$-\sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i} V \partial_{p_i} P \leq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2C''_1 \varepsilon^3}{V_0^2 p_0^3} \left( I - \frac{p \otimes p}{p_0^2} \right) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2C''_2 \varepsilon}{p_0} (I + p \otimes p) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall x, p \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This inequality and (120) yield the claimed estimate (118).  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors acknowledge support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project [10.55776/F65](#).

**Data Availability.** Data will be made available on reasonable request.

**Conflict of interest.** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

## References

- [1] Addala, L., Dolbeault, J., Li, X., & Tayeb, M. L. (2021).  $L^2$ -hypocoercivity and large time asymptotics of the linearized Vlasov-Poisson-Fokker-Planck system. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 184(1), 4.
- [2] Alcántara, J. A., & Calogero, S. (2011). On a relativistic Fokker-Planck equation in kinetic theory. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 4(2), 401–426.
- [3] Alcántara, J. A., & Calogero, S. (2013). Newtonian limit and trend to equilibrium for the relativistic Fokker-Planck equation. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(3).
- [4] Angst, J. (2011). Trends to equilibrium for a class of relativistic diffusions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 52(11).
- [5] Arnold, A., Markowich, P., Toscani, G., & Unterreiter, A. (2001). On convex Sobolev inequalities and the rate of convergence to equilibrium for Fokker-Planck type equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 26(1-2), 43–100.
- [6] Arnold, A., & Toshpulatov, G. (2024). Exponential stability and hypoelliptic regularization for the kinetic Fokker-Planck equation with confining potential. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 191, 51.
- [7] Bakry, D., Barthe, F., Cattiaux, P., & Guillin, A. (2008). A simple proof of the Poincaré inequality for a large class of probability measures. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 13, 60–66.
- [8] Bakry, D., Gentil, I., & Ledoux, M. (2014). *Analysis and geometry of Markov diffusion operators*. Cham: Springer, 103.
- [9] Baudoin, F., Gordina, M., & Herzog, D. P. (2021). Gamma calculus beyond Villani and explicit convergence estimates for Langevin dynamics with singular potentials. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 241(2), 765–804.
- [10] Bouin, E., Dolbeault, J., Mischler, S., Mouhot, C., & Schmeiser, C. (2020). Hypocoercivity without confinement. *Pure and Applied Analysis*, 2(2), 203–232.
- [11] Bouin, E., Dolbeault, J. & Schmeiser, C. (2020). Diffusion and kinetic transport with very weak confinement. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 13, 345–371.
- [12] Calogero, S. (2012). Exponential convergence to equilibrium for kinetic Fokker-Planck equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 37(8), 1357–1390.
- [13] Cercignani, C. (2012). *The Boltzmann Equation and Its Applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 67.
- [14] Chandrasekhar, S. (1943). Stochastic problems in physics and astronomy. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 15(1), 1.
- [15] Chandrasekhar, S. (1949). Brownian motion, dynamical friction, and stellar dynamics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 21(3), 383.
- [16] Csiszár, I. (1967). Information-type measures of difference of probability distributions and indirect observation. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 2, 229–318.
- [17] Desvillettes, L., & Villani, C. (2001). On the trend to global equilibrium in spatially inhomogeneous entropy-dissipating systems: The linear Fokker-Planck equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences*, 54(1), 1–42.
- [18] Dolbeault, J., Mouhot, C., & Schmeiser, C. (2009). Hypocoercivity for kinetic equations with linear relaxation terms. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 347(9–10), 511–516.

- [19] Dolbeault, J., Mouhot, C., & Schmeiser, C. (2015). Hypocoercivity for linear kinetic equations conserving mass. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 367(6), 3807–3828.
- [20] Eberle, A., Guillin, A., & Zimmer, R. (2019). Couplings and quantitative contraction rates for Langevin dynamics. *Ann. Probab.* 47(4), 1982–2010.
- [21] Favre, G., & Schmeiser, C. (2020). Hypocoercivity and Fast Reaction Limit for Linear Reaction Networks with Kinetic Transport. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 178, 1319–1335.
- [22] Dunkel, J., & Hänggi, P. (2005). Theory of relativistic Brownian motion: The  $(1 + 3)$ -dimensional case. *Physical Review E*, 72(3).
- [23] Horn, R. A., & Johnson, C. R., *Topics in matrix analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [24] Hérau, F. (2007). Short and long time behavior of the Fokker–Planck equation in a confining potential and applications. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 244(1), 95–118.
- [25] Hérau, F., & Nier, F. (2004). Isotropic hypoellipticity and trend to equilibrium for the Fokker–Planck equation with a high-degree potential. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 171, 151–218.
- [26] Hörmander, L. (1967). Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Mathematica* 119(1), 147–171.
- [27] Jüngel, A. (2016). *Entropy methods for diffusive partial differential equations*. Berlin: Springer, 804.
- [28] Nier, F., & Helffer, B. (2005). *Hypoelliptic estimates and spectral theory for Fokker–Planck operators and Witten Laplacians*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1862.
- [29] Risken, H., & Frank, T. (1996). *The Fokker–Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 18.
- [30] Villani, C. (2002). A review of mathematical topics in collisional kinetic theory. *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, 1, 71–305.
- [31] Villani, C. (2009). Hypocoercivity. *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 202(950).