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#### Abstract

We consider $O(1)$ dense loop model in a square lattice wrapped on a cylinder of odd circumference $L$ and calculate the exact densities of loops. These densities of loops are equal to the densities of critical bond percolation clusters on a forty-five-degree rotated square lattice rolled into a cylinder with special boundary conditions which we refer to as half-turn self-dual percolation. The solution is based on a correspondence between the $O(1)$ dense loop model and the six-vertex model at the Razumov-Stroganov point.
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## 1 Introduction

Percolation theory is a thriving field at the intersection of statistical mechanics and probability theory studying one of the simplest though extremely rich examples of phase transitions and thus serving as a testing lab for the theory of critical phenomena. The bond percolation model is defined in terms of a graph in which bonds are independently chosen to be open with probability $p$ or closed with probability $1-p$. Open bonds form connected clusters, whose statistics is the main subject of studies. In particular, when the underlying graph is infinite, a typical situation is that a giant connected cluster appears when $p$ exceeds a certain critical value $p_{c}$. This phenomenon is coined percolation transition.

As usual in the theory of phase transitions and critical phenomena, one is interested in the statistics of physical observables, some of which are expected to reveal a universal behaviour in the vicinity and at the critical point. In particular, significant progress was made in studies of the percolation transition at two-dimensional periodic planar lattices. The statistics of connected clusters in this case is expected to possess conformal invariance, which severely restricts the range of critical indices and scaling functions characterizing their large-scale behavior. Though in a few cases the conformal invariance of planar percolation was proved mathematically rigorously, exact solutions still remain the major tool for testing the predictions of conformal field theory. In particular, the critical bond percolation models on square and triangular lattices have the advantage of being exactly solvable due to their relation to the exactly solvable six-vertex model. There are also particular cases of the Fortuin-Kasteleyn random cluster model in turn related to the Potts model, which is also solvable at the critical point due to the same connection.

A particular example of the quantity of interest, which we focus on in the present paper, is the average number of connected clusters per lattice site. This quantity has been widely studied since long ago, first approximately at arbitrary $p$, using the series expansions [1, 2], and then at the critical point, $p=p_{c}$, using the exact solutions [3]. In particular exact infinite plane limits of these densities were obtained in [4, 5].

Though these limits are not universal, e.g. lattice dependent, the universality is expected to be manifested in the finite-size corrections to the bulk values of the cluster densities, when the model is considered in confined geometry. The conformal field theory (CFT) predicts universal finite-size corrections, which depend on the boundary conditions (BCs). Their values
were conjectured within the Coulomb gas theory [6] and can be found using the exact Bethe ansatz solution of related models [7, 8]. In particular, the CFT-based leading finite-size corrections to critical percolation cluster densities on the infinite strip with free and periodic BCs were conjectured and numerically checked in 9, 10.

The exact values of the densities of connected clusters in confined geometry, which in particular would allow one to test the predicted finite-size corrections, stayed unknown until recently. One approach to studying their dependence on the open bond probability $p$ in strips of finite width was undertaken in 11, 12]. However, being based on manipulations with a finite dimensional transfer matrix it allowed obtaining exact cluster densities for only a few small values of the strip width. No generalization for arbitrary lattice sizes is known to be achievable in this way.

In the present paper, we employ another approach suitable for studying bond percolation at the square lattice exactly at the critical point $p=$ $p_{c}=1 / 2$. It is based on the tight connection of the critical percolation with the $O(1)$ dense loop model (DLM) 13 that in turn is related to the six-vertex model [14 at specific values of parameters coined combinatorial or stochastic point. The transfer matrices of the models at this point can be associated with a Markov chain whose stationary state has a remarkable structure discovered by Razumov and Stroganov [15, 16]. They observed that the stationary state probabilities can be rescaled to become integers having a purely combinatorial meaning. These observations revealed a possibility of obtaining exact finite-size formulas for the ground state observables of the model and triggered a burst of activity in establishing connections between the percolation, the $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$, the six-vertex model, the XXZ model, the fully packed loop model, and alternating sign matrices $17,16,18,19$. These studies resulted in plenty of conjectures and exact results for finite lattices with various BCs $[20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27,28,29,30]$. In particular, the findings by Razumov and Stroganov suggest that the averages of integer-valued observables over the stationary state should be given by rational numbers.

Exact rational values of the average densities of contractible and non-contractible loops in $O(1)$ DLM on the square lattice wrapped into an infinite cylinder of arbitrary circumference were recently obtained in [31]. The configurations of this model, consisting of paths passing through every bond of the square lattice and making a ninety-degree turn at every site, are in one-to-one correspondence with configurations of percolation clusters on an-
other square lattice of forty-five-degree rotated orientation also wrapped into a cylinder, and the uniform measure on path configurations corresponds to the critical $p=1 / 2$ point of the percolation model. Then, the densities of loops formed by paths can be interpreted as the densities of critical percolation clusters that do or do not wrap around the cylinder. The asymptotic expansion of the obtained exact rational values at large cylinder circumference indeed reproduced the irrational limiting value obtained in [4] and the leading finite-size corrections predicted in [10] for total density of all loops using the Coulomb gas theory [6] and for non-contractible loops [32] using the Bethe ansatz results for the related XXZ quantum spin chain [7, 8], which also fit into the CFT framework [33]. These results were extended to the square lattice with arbitrary tilt [34] wrapped into a cylinder with helicoidal BCs. This in particular allowed the calculation of critical percolation cluster densities on the lattice of standard orientation considered by other authors [11, 12] for small circumferences. Though [34] did not provide a closed formula for the densities, which would be suitable for asymptotic analysis, it still allowed systematic high-precision numerical studies of the dependence of leading universal and the sub-leading non-universal finite-size corrections on the tilt.

The two last-mentioned results for the densities of loops of $O(1)$ DLM are however limited to strips of even width with periodic and helicoidal BCs, i.e. the cylinders with even circumferences only. It is also the case, in which the $O(1)$ DLM path configurations can be mapped to the percolation on the rotated lattice with periodic or helicoidal BCs. The situation turns out to be very different when one considers DLM on the cylinder of odd circumference.

A significant distinction between the odd and even number of sites in the spin chains has been observed by several authors [35, 36, 37, 38, 39]. In particular, the structure of the spectrum of the Hamiltonian depends drastically on whether the chain has an even or odd number of spins. The discrepancy also applies to the related lattice models. In particular, it can be seen in the structure of $O(1)$ DLM path configurations: on the infinitely long cylinder of even circumference the configurations almost surely consist only of finite loops, while on the cylinder of odd circumference at least one infinite path called defect exists preventing non-contractible loops from closing around the cylinder. Therefore, though the infinite plane limit of the cluster densities in odd and even cases coincide, already the leading finite-size corrections, expected to be universal CFT-determined quantities depending on the BCs, are distinct. It is indeed the case for the finite-size corrections to similar
quantities obtained for the continuous time relatives of the mentioned lattice models, XXZ model [7, 8, 37] and Raise and Peel stochastic process [40, 41]. In addition, the mapping of $O(1)$ DLM on the cylinder of odd circumference to the periodic critical percolation breaks up and needs a modification of BCs. Therefore, the odd case of $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$ as well as the related percolation model, if it can be defined, requires a separate consideration.

In the present paper, we extend the results of [31] to the $O(1)$ DLM to the case of a cylinder with an odd circumference obtaining the exact loop density as a function of the circumference. We also establish the mapping of path configurations in this case to the percolation on the cylinder with special BCs, which we refer to as the half-turn self-dual percolation. As before, the loop density in $O(1)$ DLM becomes the density of percolation clusters at the critical point under this mapping. Using the formulas obtained we derive a few first terms of the asymptotic expansion of the densities. In particular, we reproduce the infinite plane density limit and obtain the leading finitesize correction to it, which is compared to the even case and the continuous time analogs. The solution is based on the mapping of $O(1)$ DLM to the six-vertex model. It follows the line of $[42,43]$ and especially of [37], where specific solutions of Baxter's T-Q equation [44] for the ground states of the XXZ model with $\Delta= \pm 1 / 2$ for the chains with even and odd number of spins respectively were studied.

The article is organized as follows. Section 1 introduces the $O(1)$ DLM on a cylinder of odd circumference and explains its relation to the critical percolation model. We present the obtained exact formulas for the loop densities and compare the case of an odd circumference with an even one. Then, in section 2 we exploit the connection between $O(1)$ DLM and the six-vertex model at the level of transfer matrices and apply algebraic Bethe ansatz to obtain exact formulas for loop densities.

## 2 O(1) DLM and percolation

We define the $O(n)$ DLM on a strip $\mathbb{Z} / L \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ of a two-dimensional square lattice wrapped into an infinite cylinder, i.e. with periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal direction. Here, we assume the circumference $L$ to be odd $L=2 N+1, N \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Configurations of the model consist of lattice paths passing along every bond of the lattice and making a ninety-degree turn at every site. The path configurations are supposed to be distributed according to a measure that assigns (unnormalized) Boltzmann weight $n$ to every closed loop and a unit weight to infinite paths, if there are any. Here we focus on the $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$, which means that the measure on path configurations is uniform. In this case, one can also construct a random path configuration by solely local operations placing one of two vertices with a pair of arcs shown in Fig.1, chosen with equal probabilities independently at every site of the lattice.


Figure 1: Two local vertex configurations in DLM.

It is obvious that while under this weighting only finite loops exist with probability one on the infinite cylinder with even circumference, there is exactly one infinite path at the odd one. To see this, consider the infinite in the vertical direction cylinder and cut the vertical bonds between any two subsequent horizontal site rows. A random lattice path composed of arcs starting from a cut bond and walking in the upper half of the cylinder returns to another cut bond with probability one. So do paths in the lower half of the cylinder. Therefore, vertical links at any horizontal level are paired by half-loops in the upper and lower half-cylinders, provided there is a match for each of them, which is the case when the number of cut bonds is even. This guarantees that any path is a loop with probability one. On the other hand, when the number of vertical bonds at a horizontal cut is odd, there is no match for one of them, and hence the corresponding path should go upward and downward to infinity. Of course, one can draw more than one path going to infinity. However, due to the random walk recurrence in one and two dimensions, such configurations on an infinite cylinder have zero measure. The presence of such an infinite path, which we refer to as a
defect, does not allow any loop to wind around the cylinder, making all loops contractible (see Fig. 22).


Figure 2: A typical loop configuration on an infinite cylinder of odd circumference $L=7$. The defect line of the lower semi-infinite cylinder is colored blue. The vertices on its boundary are labelled from left to right. The chord diagram shows how the vertices are connected to each other.

The mapping between $O(1)$ DLM and critical percolation clusters can be constructed in the following way. The percolation is considered on a forty-five-degree rotated square lattice, for which the original lattice is the so-called medial graph. This means that every site of the original lattice is placed in the middle of the bond of the rotated lattice, while the sites of the rotated lattice are at the center of every second face of the original lattice arranged in checkerboard order. The open bonds of the percolation configuration are then between two arcs of DLM and the bonds traversed by a pair of arcs are closed.

The above description does not yet take the boundary conditions into account. If one assumes the periodic boundary conditions associated with the DLM on the cylinder of even circumference, the corresponding rotated lattice is also rolled into a cylinder, see e.g. [31]. However, it is not the case for an odd circumference. Can we still map it to any version of percolation? The


Figure 3: Correspondence between the configuration of the $O(1)$ loop model (pictured twice side-by-side in thin black solid lines with the defect line shown in blue) and the associated percolation cluster (thick solid black lines) on the rotated lattice. There is a dual percolation cluster pictured in thick grey solid lines.
route around this problem is to duplicate the original DLM lattice, placing its two copies next to each other in the horizontal direction, and to roll the doubled lattice into the cylinder as shown in Fig. 3. Then, the doubled DLM configuration is mapped as explained above to the percolation on the forty-five-degree rotated lattice also rolled into the cylinder. Of course, produced from two copies of one DLM configuration, the percolation configuration is not arbitrary any more. Instead, it possesses a special symmetry turning into the dual one under the half rotation of the cylinder that exchanges the sites and the faces of the rotated lattice. Thus, we call percolation on the cylinder with so defined BCs the half-turn self-dual percolation. One can see in Fig. 3 that configurations of the half-turn self-dual percolation always contain one or two infinite connected clusters. The two infinite clusters include infinite chains of open bonds of the rotated lattice adjacent to two copies of the infinite defect in the DLM configurations, one to the right of one copy and the other to the left of the other. If these two chains are connected with each other, there is only one infinite cluster.

Finally, we note that the density of loops and of finite percolation clusters obtained under the mapping described are equal. Indeed, every loop is either
circumscribed on a percolation cluster or is inscribed into a circuit inside a percolation cluster and hence is circumscribed on the dual cluster. The doubling of the DLM configuration simply doubles the number of loops as well as sites of the DLM lattice. Since at the critical point $p=p_{c}=1 / 2$ the average number of clusters and dual clusters coincide, while the number of sites of the rotated lattice is twice less than that of the original lattice, the density of loops in $O(1)$ DLM on the original odd circumference cylinder is equal to the density of connected percolation clusters on the rotated lattice obtained from the doubled DLM configuration.

## Results and discussion

Here we present and discuss the main result of the article, the density $\nu(L)$ of loops in $O(1)$ DLM on a strip of odd width of the square lattice with periodic boundary conditions, i.e. rolled into a cylinder of odd circumference $L=2 N+1$. It is also the density of the half-turn self-dual percolation clusters in the forty-five-degree rotated lattice rolled into a cylinder with as many sites in a row. The density is given by the following formula

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nu(2 N+1)=\frac{1}{1+2 N}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3 N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(2+\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}\right)-\frac{5}{2}  \tag{1}\\
=\frac{1}{12}, \frac{37}{400}, \frac{597}{6272}, \frac{2441}{25344}, \frac{78035}{805376}, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

where $N=1,2, \ldots$, and we show its rational numerical values of $\nu(2 N+1)$ for $N=1,2,3,4,5$. Also it is obvious that $\nu(1)=0$, since no loops exist on the lattice with a single vertical line, while only a single infinite defect remains. This corresponds to the only infinite chain of open bonds in the corresponding half-turn self-dual percolation configuration. Though the same result, can formally be obtained as the $N \rightarrow 0$ limit of the formula (11), it should be tackled separately, since the formula is applicable only for $N \geq 1$.

Similarly to the even case the densities are rational numbers, which can be seen from yet another form of (1) written in terms of Pochhammer symbols $(a)_{n}=a(a+1) \ldots(a+n-1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(2 N+1)=\frac{1}{1+2 N}\left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right)_{N+1}}{\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)_{N}}+\frac{\left(1+\frac{N}{2}\right)_{N+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right)_{N}}\right)-\frac{5}{2}, N=1,2, \ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation (1) is suitable for an asymptotic expansion where the application of Stirling formula to the gamma functions yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(L)=\frac{3 \sqrt{3}-5}{2}-\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} L^{-2}+\frac{35}{144 \sqrt{3}} L^{-4}+O\left(L^{-6}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As expected, the infinite plane limit $\nu(\infty)=(3 \sqrt{3}-5) / 2$ is as obtained in [4, 5]. The $O\left(L^{-2}\right)$ finite-size correction is expected to be universal being the CFT-prescribed conformal anomaly of the theory.

It is informative to compare the result with the density of contractible loops on the cylinder of even circumference $L=2 N$, found in [31], which can be written in a form similar to (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{c}(2 N)=\frac{3 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{3 N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+\frac{9 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3 N}{2}\right)}-\frac{5}{2} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its asymptotic expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{c}(L)=\frac{3 \sqrt{3}-5}{2}+\frac{1}{4 \sqrt{3}} L^{-2}-\frac{23}{48 \sqrt{3}} L^{-4}+O\left(L^{-6}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains the $O\left(L^{-2}\right)$ finite-size correction, which coincides with that in formula (1) in absolute value but has the opposite sign. This is consistent with the results for the Hamiltonian aka continuous-time version of DLM obtained in $37,28,40,41$ in context of XXZ model, continuous time DLM and Raise and Peel model. Note that these continuous time limits contain arbitrary dimensional factors responsible for the continuous time scale. As a result, the CFT-based finite-size correction to the dominant eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian or Markov generator differs from the similar correction to the eigenvalue of the related transfer matrix by a factor sometimes referred to as the speed of sound. This factor, though non-universal, depends only on the time scaling rather than on the boundary conditions [45]. It follows that the ratio between the corrections in two models with different boundary conditions should be the same for both the Hamiltonian and for the lattice model. Indeed, the leading finite-size corrections to the analogs of the contractible loop densities, which are the correlation functions of projections of the nearest neighboring spins on the direction transverse to the z-axis for XXZ model [37], minimal one nest probability in the connectivity structure of $O(1)$ DLM model [28] and the local avalanche current in the Raise and

Peel model 40, 41], have the same absolute values and the opposite signs in the odd and even circumference cases.

The next corrections are not universal and, as one can see, are different between the even and odd cases. They still are expected to have a CFT meaning capturing the effects of breaking the rotational symmetry of the theory by the lattice, which should lead to the appearance of operators, whose conformal spin is a multiple of four, see 46]. The presence of these operators is revealed in the model on the lattice with an arbitrary tilt by the dependence of the finite-size corrections on the tilt angle via trigonometric functions of an argument that is a multiple of quadruple tilt angle, 47, 48, 31. Study of this dependence requires consideration of the $O(1)$ DLM on a tilted lattice rolled into a cylinder of odd circumference, as it was done in [31] for the even one, and constructing an asymptotic expansion for the tilt dependent loop/cluster densities, which is the matter of future investigation.

## 3 From O(1) DLM to six-vertex model

In this section, we explain the relation between $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$ and the six-vertex model. It allows us to express the average loop density $\nu(L)$ in terms of the largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix of the associated six-vertex model.

The six-vertex model is a vertex model on the square lattice. The states of the model are defined by giving an orientation to every bond of the lattice, i.e. by drawing arrows at the bonds in all possible ways subject to the socalled ice rule: the numbers of arrows incoming to and outgoing from every site are equal. Thus, the states can be constructed by placing one of six possible vertices shown in Fig. 4 at every lattice site so that the direction of arrows at two sites connected by a bond agree.


Figure 4: The six types of vertices in the six-vertex model and their corresponding weights.

Each of the six vertices is assigned its own Boltzmann weight, so that the
weight of a state $C$ of the model at a finite lattice domain $D$ is given by the product of weights $w_{i} \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ of individual vertices within this domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(C)=\prod_{i \in D} w_{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the probability assigned to $C$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}(C)=\frac{W(C)}{Z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the normalization factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{C \in \Omega(D)} W(C) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

referred to as partition function is the sum of weights over the set $\Omega(D)$ of states.

To establish a connection between the six vertex model and $O(n)$ DLM, we consider a directed DLM, with path configurations obtained from those of $O(n)$ DLM by giving one of two possible orientations to every path. If we assign the weight of $q$ to every contractible loop oriented clockwise and the weigh $q^{-1}$ to the one oriented anti-clockwise, the summation over loop orientations will yield the weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=q+q^{-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the undirected loop. In particular at the stochastic point

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=e^{\frac{\mathrm{i} \pi}{3}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have the unit loop weight of $O(1)$ DLM.
Alternatively, the oriented loop configurations can be constructed out of eight vertices with oriented arcs, see Fig. 5, generalizing the non-oriented ones from Fig. 1. The vertices are placed onto lattice sites, so that the arrows on the bonds agree.
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Figure 5: Oriented local loop vertex configurations. The corresponding weights reflect the connectivity type and the loop orientation.

Within this construction the weights $q$ and $q^{-1}$ of a contractible oriented loop reappear if the weight $q^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(q^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$ is ascribed to every arc that makes the clockwise (counterclockwise) ninety-degree turn, see Fig. 5. We also supply the weights with the dependence on an auxiliary spectral parameter $z$ that reflects the arc connectivity within the vertex and should be set to $z=1$ in the end to return to the $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$.

Having fixed the contractible loop weights we still have to take care of the weights of the defects, which were set equal to one in $O(1)$ DLM defined in the beginning. In a finite lattice domain these weights depend on the BCs under consideration. In the following we consider the $O(1) \mathrm{DLM}$ on the infinite cylinder of odd circumference that excludes non-contractible loops closed around the cylinder because of the presence of at least one infinite defect. To treat the loop model on the infinite cylinder, we start with the model on the finite torus and send one of the periods to infinity. The model on the torus still admits the non-contractible loops, which become the infinite defects in the limit. The orientation along every such directed loop is preserved when going around the torus so that the loop has a unit weight when $z=1$. Respectively, the weight of an undirected non-contractible loop, obtained from the summation over loop orientations, is equal to two, unlike the unit weight in the initial definition accepted to keep the connection to the percolation. This discrepancy, however, does not affect the infinite cylinder limit of the average values of quantities like the loop densities, to which only the configurations with a single defect bring a non-vanishing contribution. Alternatively, we could restrict the configuration set of the directed DLM to configurations with a fixed direction of the non-contractible loops. This amounts simply to choosing the sector with fixed difference between numbers of up and down arrows in a horizontal row of vertical bonds.

Attaching the orientation of arcs to the bonds incident to a site independently of the arc connectivity gives the vertices of the six-vertex model: the four and the two of them appear once and twice respectively. For the latter, we sum up the weights of vertices of directed DLM with the same bond directions to obtain the weights of vertices of the corresponding asymmetric six-vertex model

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=a_{2}=z \\
& b_{1}=b_{2}=1  \tag{11}\\
& c_{1}=z q^{1 / 2}+q^{-1 / 2} \\
& c_{2}=q^{1 / 2}+z q^{-1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

So defined Boltzmann weights $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ are real, when $z$ is real, while $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are complex, if $q$ is complex. However, they enter all the weights of configurations on the cylinder or torus via the product $c_{1} c_{2}$, which is real in the case $|q|=1$ under consideration.

Let us consider the six-vertex model on a rectangular domain of the square lattice with periodic BCs in both directions, i.e. $\mathbb{Z} / L \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} / H \mathbb{Z}$, where $L, H \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote its partition function, depending on the parameters $q, z$ by $Z_{L, H}^{(6 V)}(q, z)$. According to the previous discussion, when $z=1$ it coincides with the partition function $Z_{L, H}^{(D L M)}(n)$ of the modification of $O(n)$ DLM, where a contractible loop is assigned the weight $n$ given by (9) and a non-contractible loop has the weight 2 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{L, H}^{(D L M)}(n)=Z_{L, H}^{(6 V)}(q, 1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we define the per-site free energy for the infinite cylinder as a limit

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{L}(n)=\lim _{H \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L H} Z_{L, H}^{(D L M)}(n) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose derivative yields the average loop density in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(L)=n \frac{d}{d n} f(n) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we are going to evaluate below for odd $L$ at $n=1$.
To evaluate the partition function of the six-vertex model we define the row-to-row transfer matrix of the six-vertex model $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u): \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ acting in the space $\mathcal{H}=\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}\right)^{\otimes L}$ that spans a spin basis $\{\uparrow, \downarrow\}^{\otimes L}$, where the basis vectors $\uparrow=(1,0)^{T}$ and $\downarrow=(0,1)^{T}$ of every tensor component are associated with the up and down arrows at the corresponding vertical bonds in a horizontal row. To this end, we first introduce linear operators $R_{i j}(u)$ acting in an extended space $\mathbb{C}^{2} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Their lower indices $i, j \in\{0, \ldots, L\}$ refer to the tensor components, among which $L$ copies of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ are the factors of $\mathcal{H}$ with indices $1, \ldots, L$ associated with $L$ vertical bonds in the same horizontal row of the lattice and the one with index 0 is an auxiliary space representing the horizontal line. The operator $R_{i j}(u)$ acts non-trivially in the tensor factors with indices $i, j$ and as the identity operator in the other components. The non-trivial action in the pair of spaces recorded in the basis $\{\uparrow \uparrow, \uparrow \downarrow, \downarrow \uparrow, \downarrow \downarrow\}$
is given by the $R$-matrix

$$
\begin{align*}
R(u) & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a(u) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & b(u) & c(u) & 0 \\
0 & c(u) & b(u) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a(u)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{u-q}{1-q u} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \sqrt{\frac{u}{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{(1-q u)} & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{\frac{u}{q}} \frac{\left(1-q^{2}\right)}{(1-q u)} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{u-q}{1-q u}
\end{array}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

with coefficients being the vertex weights (11) reexpressed in terms of another spectral parameter $u$ obtained by the variable change

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{u-q}{1-q u} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the matrix $R(u)$ is an equivalent symmetric version of the matrix defined by weights (11). Instead of two different weights $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$, it contains their combination $c(u)=\sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}}$ on which, as was mentioned before, all the configuration weights depend. Algebraically, the two matrices are related by a simple conjugation in one of two tensor components where they act, which disappears under the trace operation used to define the transfer matrix below.

Then, for odd $L$ the transfer matrix is given by the trace over the auxiliary space of the product of $R$-operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u)=\operatorname{Tr}_{0}\left(R_{0 L}(u) \ldots R_{02}(u) R_{01}(u)\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the torus partition function is the trace over the other tensor components

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{L, H}^{(6 V)}(q, z)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u)\right)^{H} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The choice (16) of the spectral parameter $u$ is useful for the $R$-operators to satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{12}(u / q v) R_{13}(u) R_{23}(v)=R_{23}(v) R_{13}(u) R_{12}(u / q v) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ensures commutativity of the transfer matrices at different values of the spectral parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u) \mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(v)=\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(v) \mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the crucial fact for the exact solvability of the model.
We note that for even $L$, the product under the trace in 17 is supplied with an additional factor $\Omega_{0}$ acting as $\operatorname{diag}\left(q^{-1}, q\right)$ in the auxiliary space and as the identity in the other tensor components. This factor corrects the weight of the non-contractible loops on the cylinder of an even circumference. Since due to the defect there are no non-contractible loops when $L$ is odd, this factor is not necessary (see more details in [13, 23]). As we already mentioned, we should have inserted similar operators acting in $L$ tensor factors of $\mathcal{H}$ under the trace in (18) to correct the wrong weight of the non-contractible loops going once around the torus in the other direction and hence the weight of the defect on the infinite cylinder. However, this does not affect the limiting expression (13) of the free energy anyway.

In the infinite cylinder limit (13) only the contribution of the largest eigenvalue $\Lambda_{\max }$ of the transfer matrix $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u)$ survives under the trace so that the free energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{L}(n)=\frac{1}{L} \log \Lambda_{\max } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the problem is reduced to finding the largest eigenvalue of the sixvertex transfer matrix. Below, we employ the Bethe ansatz method to find the largest eigenvalue and its derivative at the stochastic point. Before going to certain formulas, let us discuss the general structure of the spectrum of the transfer matrix and the place of the largest eigenvalue in it.

We first note that the transfer matrix commutes with the operator $S^{z}=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{L} \sigma_{i}^{z}$, where $\sigma_{i}^{z}$ acts as the Pauli matrix $\sigma^{z}=\operatorname{diag}(1,-1)$ in $i$-th component of $\mathcal{H}$ and as the identity in the others. In other words, the transfer matrix preserves the number of up and down arrows in a transition between two subsequent horizontal rows of vertical bonds. Therefore, the vector space $\mathcal{H}$ can be decomposed to a direct sum $\mathcal{H}=\oplus_{M} \mathcal{H}_{M}$ of invariant sub-spaces indexed by the total number $0 \leq M \leq L$ of up arrows in the row. Which subspace the largest eigenvalue belongs to is the first natural question to ask.

Yang and Yang's seminal papers [49, 50 made the first step in this direction. They studied the XXZ spin chain, whose Hamiltonian shares its eigenvectors with the six-vertex transfer matrix, and identified the Bethe ansatz eigenstates, which give the ground states in the invariant subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{M}$ with every $0 \leq M \leq L$. Based on these results, Lieb [51] proved that in the disordered phase $|\Delta| \leq 1$ of the six-vertex model on the cylinder of circumference $L$, the largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(z)$ belongs
to an invariant subspace with the number of up arrows $M$ asymptotically equal to $L / 2$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$. This equality is also expected to be exact for finite even values of $L$. For odd $L$, the natural numbers closest to $L / 2$ are both $(L \pm 1) / 2$. Since the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{M}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{L-M}$ are related by the spin reversal symmetry possessed by the transfer matrix, the two-fold degenerate $\Lambda_{\max }$ is expected to belong to both the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{(L+1) / 2}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{(L-1) / 2}$ in the odd case. Though there are still no rigorous proofs neither for odd nor for even finite $L$, there is remarkable progress on the asymptotic behavior of the dominant eigenvalues, like, the bounds from above 52 and its ratios [53].

Nevertheless, in our particular case, the problem simplifies significantly due to the connection of the six vertex model with the $n=1$ case of $O(n)$ DLM. The simplification comes from the fact that at the stochastic point the six-vertex transfer matrix written in a certain basis is reduced to the $O(1)$ transfer matrix, which in turn, up to a normalization factor of $2^{L}$ has the form of the transition probability matrix of a Markov chain. The states from the state space of the chain are non-crossing partial pairings of $L$ bonds, which show how vertical bonds in a horizontal row are connected by paths within the half of the cylinder below, see Fig. 6. They are pictured by diagrams, which we refer to as partial link patterns. Thus, the vector space, in which the $O(1)$ DLM transfer matrix acts, spans the basis consisting of vectors indexed by the partial link patterns.

The step of the chain is a concatenation of a random row of vertices from Fig. 1, each chosen independently with probability $1 / 2$, on top of the semiinfinite cylinder. When a row of vertices is concatenated to a partial link pattern, it results in another partial link pattern with the same or smaller number of unpaired bonds, as shown in Fig. 6(b)-(c). Correspondingly, the underlying vector space has a nested structure with respect to the action of the transfer matrix.

Being irreducible and aperiodic the Markov transition matrix has a unique stationary state in the subspace with a minimal number of unpaired bonds, which is zero when $L$ is even and is one when $L$ is odd. It corresponds to the non-degenerate Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue equal to one. Thus, the largest eigenvalue of the $O(1)$ DLM transfer matrix is $2^{L}$, which is the number of different ways one can construct a row of $L$ vertices from those in Fig. 1. Being non-degenerate, the largest eigenvalue is also analytic in $n$ in a vicinity of $n=1$.

To make sure that this is the same largest eigenvalue as the one of the

(a)

(c)

Figure 6: a) A bond cut of an infinite cylinder. b)The link pattern with three unpaired bonds corresponding to the lower half-cylinder with one row of vertices attached. c) The partial link pattern with one unpaired bond obtained after the concatenation.
corresponding six-vertex model, one has to identify the space that spans the partial link basis with the subspace of the space $\mathcal{H}$ associated with the six vertex model. This is done as follows. A basis element corresponding to a partial link pattern is represented as a linear combination of spin basis elements. They are obtained by giving all possible orientations to half-loops and defects, supplying every clockwise (counterclockwise) half-loop and a directed defect with the weight $q^{1 / 2}\left(q^{-1 / 2}\right)$ and the unit weight respectively. Then, the orientation is transferred onto the bonds, see e.g. Fig. 7 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rightarrow \quad q^{\frac{1}{2}} \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow+q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow+q^{\frac{1}{2}} \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow+q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 7: An example of the correspondence between a basis element of DLM transfer matrix $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(D L M)}$, namely partial link pattern, and a linear combination of spin basis elements.

It is then obvious that the sector with minimal number of unpaired vertical bonds belongs to the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{L / 2}$, when $L$ is even and to the union of $H_{(L+1) / 2}$ and $H_{(L-1) / 2}$, when $L$ is odd. Since both are the invariant subspaces
related by the spin reversal symmetry respected by the six-vertex transfer matrix, it is enough to limit the consideration to one of them. This is equivalent to considering undirected loops with a single directed defect, which, in particular, will have the correct unit weight, instead of the weight 2 of the undirected one. See more details on the spin-link correspondence in [30, 23, 13, 25, 54.

## 4 Bethe ansatz

The eigenvectors of the transfer matrix $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u)$ belonging to every subspace $\mathcal{H}_{M}$ are constructed with the standard machinery of the algebraic Bethe ansatz [55]. They are obtained from the upper vacuum vector with all spins up $(\uparrow)^{\otimes L}$ by the action of $M$ lowering operators, each depending on one spectral parameter $u_{j}$. The constructed vectors correspond to the eigenvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{M}(u)=a(u)^{L} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \frac{a\left(u_{j} / q u\right)}{b\left(u_{j} / q u\right)}+b(u)^{L} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \frac{a\left(u / q u_{j}\right)}{b\left(u / q u_{j}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

when the parameters $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{M}$ solve the system of the Bethe equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a\left(u_{j}\right)^{L}}{b\left(u_{j}\right)^{L}}=-\prod_{k=1, \neq j}^{M} \frac{a\left(u_{j} / q u_{k}\right)}{b\left(u_{k} / q u_{j}\right)} \frac{b\left(u_{k} / q u_{j}\right)}{a\left(u_{j} / q u_{k}\right)}, \quad j=1, \ldots M \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

After substitution of the vertex weights (15) the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{T}_{L}^{(6 V)}(u)$ become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{M}(u)=\left(\frac{u-q}{1-q u}\right)^{L} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \frac{u_{j}-q^{2} u}{q\left(u-u_{j}\right)}+\prod_{j=1}^{M} \frac{u-q^{2} u_{j}}{q\left(u_{j}-u\right)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{M}$ is a solution of the system of Bethe equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{u_{j}-q}{1-q u_{j}}\right)^{L}=(-)^{M-1} \prod_{k=1}^{M} \frac{u_{j}-q^{2} u_{k}}{u_{k}-q^{2} u_{j}}, \quad j=1, \ldots M \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case of $L=1$, the products in r.h.s. of (22) are empty and the only eigenvalue of the transfer matrix is $\Lambda_{1}=a(u)^{L}+b(u)^{L}$. It does not depend on $q$ when $u=1$. Thus, we obtain $\nu(1)=0$.

### 4.1 Functional Bethe ansatz

The eigenvalue (24) can be reformulated in terms of a Q-polynomial of degree $M$ having zeroes at Bethe roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(u-u_{k}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the system of $M$ Bethe equations (25) can be reformulated as one functional equation for polynomials $T(u)$ and $Q(u)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u) Q(u)=\phi\left(q^{-1} u\right) Q\left(q^{2} u\right)+\phi(q u) Q\left(q^{-2} u\right)(-q)^{2 M-L} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u)=\Lambda(u) \phi(q u)(-q)^{M-L} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u)=(1-u)^{L} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

A conjugate problem arises when one constructs the eigenvectors in $\mathcal{H}_{M}$ with the algebraic Bethe ansatz starting from the lower vacuum vector $(\downarrow)^{\otimes L}$ and acting at it by $L-M$ raising operators. In general, this procedure is equivalent to the one described above applied to another asymmetric sixvertex model, obtained from the first one by an exchange of the weights $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, b_{2}, c_{2}\right)$ in the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{L-M}$. In our case of the symmetric $R$-matrix $R(u)$, for which the triples of parameters coincide, it is enough to use already obtained T-Q equation written for the subspace $\mathcal{H}_{L-M}$ requiring that the eigenvalue $\Lambda(u)$ is still the same.

Thus, one obtains another functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u) P(u)=(-q)^{2 M-L} \phi\left(q^{-1} u\right) P\left(q^{2} u\right)+\phi(q u) P\left(q^{-2} u\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying equations (27) and (30) by $P(u)$ and $Q(u)$, respectively, subtracting one from the other and analyzing the structure of poles, we arrive at the quantum Wronskian relation between $P(u)$ and $Q(u)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(u)=\frac{Q(q u) P\left(q^{-1} u\right)-Q\left(q^{-1} u\right) P(q u)(-q)^{2 M-L}}{(-q)^{2 M-L} q-q^{-1}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this expression into (27) or (30) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(u)=\frac{Q\left(q^{2} u\right) P\left(q^{-2} u\right)-Q\left(q^{-2} u\right) P\left(q^{2} u\right)(-q)^{4 M-2 L}}{(-q)^{2 M-L} q-q^{-1}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $T-Q$ and $T-P$ equations are the ones obtained in 37] for $\Delta=-\frac{1}{2}$ XXZ model written in different parametrization $q=-e^{i \eta}, u=e^{2 i \tilde{u}}$.

### 4.2 FSZ solution to T-Q and T-P equations

Now we reproduce the solution of $T-Q$ and $T-P$ obtained by Fridkin, Strognov, Zagier 42, 43] for even $L$ and Stroganov (37] for odd $L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=N=\frac{L-1}{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue of the six-vertex transfer matrix at the stochastic point (10). Below we always mean $q=e^{\frac{i \pi}{3}}$ and use $q^{3}=-1$, where necessary.

Let us define functions $Q_{k}=Q\left(q^{2 k} u\right), \phi_{k}=\phi\left(q^{2 k-1} u\right), T_{k}=T\left(q^{2 k} u\right)$ with integer $k$. The T-Q equation (27) is equivalent to the homogeneous system of three linear in $Q_{k}$ equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k} Q_{k}=\phi_{k} Q_{k+1}+\phi_{k+1} Q_{k-1} q^{2}, \quad k=0,1,2 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Fridkin, Strognov, and Zagier, for the ground state at the stochastic point, the rank of the matrix for this system is one, which immediately gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}=q \phi_{k-1} \Longleftrightarrow T(u)=q(1+u)^{L} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q}(u)=(1+u)^{L} Q(u) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

of degree at most $3 N+1$. The T-Q equation is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q}(u)+q^{2} f_{Q}\left(q^{2} u\right)+q^{4} f_{Q}\left(q^{4} u\right)=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

that causes some coefficients of

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q}(u)=\sum_{k=0}^{3 N+1} f_{k} u^{k} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

to vanish,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3 m+2}=0, \quad m=0, \ldots, N-1 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The requirement of having a zero at $u=-1$ of order $2 N+1$ is a set of additional $2 N+1$ equations that fixes the other coefficients of $f_{Q}(u)$ yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{Q}(u) & =(-1)^{N} u \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(N+\frac{4}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(N+\frac{2}{3}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-N, \frac{1}{3}-N, \frac{4}{3},-u^{3}\right) \\
& +(-1)^{N}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-N,-\frac{1}{3}-N, \frac{2}{3},-u^{3}\right) \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the T-P equation written for

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{P}(u)=(1+u)^{L} P(u) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solved by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{P}(u) & =(-1)^{N} u^{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(N+\frac{5}{3}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(N+\frac{1}{3}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-N, \frac{2}{3}-N, \frac{5}{3},-u^{3}\right) \\
& -(-1)^{N}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(-N,-\frac{2}{3}-N, \frac{1}{3},-u^{3}\right) . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

See $42,43,37,31$ for details.
One can easily check that $f_{Q}(u)$ and $f_{P}(u)$ satisfy to differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+u^{3}\right) f_{Q}^{\prime \prime}(u)-6 N u^{2} f_{Q}^{\prime}(u)+3 N(3 N+1) u f_{Q}(u) & =0 \\
\left(1+u^{3}\right) u f_{P}^{\prime \prime}(u)-\left(u^{3}(6 N+1)+1\right) f_{P}^{\prime}(u)+3 N(3 N+2) u^{2} f_{P}(u) & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the notation $f^{\prime}(u)=\partial_{u} f(u)$ for the defivative of finction $f(u)$.

### 4.3 Calculation of derivative

In the next section, we find the density of loops calculating the derivative of the largest eigenvalue that we express in terms of the logarithmic derivative of $T(u)$ using 13 14 21 28).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(L)=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2 L q(1+q)}+\left.\frac{1}{L(1+q)}\left[\frac{d}{d q} \ln T(1)\right]\right|_{q=e^{\frac{i \pi}{3}}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

To differentiate $T(u)$ in $q$, we use its expression given by RHS of (32). The answer consists of the summands

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d T(u)}{d q}=2 A-\frac{Q\left(q^{-2} u\right) P\left(q^{2} u\right)}{q^{2}-1}+\frac{2 T(u)}{q^{2}-1}+B \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first and the second one come from the explicit dependence of r.h.s. of (32) on $q^{2}$ or $q^{-2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A=\left(q^{2}-1\right)^{-1} & \left(q Q^{\prime}\left(q^{2} u\right) P\left(q^{-2} u\right)+Q\left(q^{2} u\right) P^{\prime}\left(q^{-2} u\right)\right. \\
& \left.+q Q^{\prime}\left(q^{-2} u\right) P\left(q^{2} u\right)+q^{2} Q\left(q^{-2} u\right) P^{\prime}\left(q^{2} u\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The third term is obtained from the dependence of the denominator on $q$, and by the letter $B$, we denote the contribution from the unknown dependence of the Bethe roots on the parameter $q$.

The same term $B$ comes from the differentiating the Wronskian relation (31) at the shifted value of the spectral parameter

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{d \phi(\tilde{u})}{d q}\right|_{\tilde{u}=q^{3} u}=\frac{1}{q}\left(-A+\frac{q^{2} Q\left(q^{2} u\right) P\left(q^{-2} u\right)}{q^{2}-1}+B+\frac{2 q \phi(-u)}{q^{2}-1}\right)=0 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $B$ between the two equations we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln T(u)}{d q}=\frac{1}{q 2^{L}}\left(3 A-\frac{q^{2} Q\left(q^{2} u\right) P\left(q^{-2} u\right)+2 Q\left(q^{-2} u\right) P\left(q^{2} u\right)}{q^{2}-1}\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next step is to express the values of polynomials $Q\left(q^{ \pm^{2}}\right), P\left(q^{ \pm^{2}}\right), Q^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm^{2}}\right)$, $P^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm^{2}}\right)$. Using (36) and 41 we rewrite 46) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d q} \ln T(1) & =\frac{1}{q 2^{L}\left(q^{2}-1\right)}\left[3 \left(q f_{Q}^{\prime}\left(q^{2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{-2}\right)+f_{Q}\left(q^{2}\right) f_{P}^{\prime}\left(q^{-2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +q f_{Q}^{\prime}\left(q^{-2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{2}\right)+q^{2} f_{Q}\left(q^{-2}\right) f_{P}^{\prime}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
& \left.-L(1+q)\left(f_{Q}\left(q^{2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{-2}\right)+q f_{Q}\left(q^{-2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\left(q^{2} f_{Q}\left(q^{2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{-2}\right)+2 f_{Q}\left(q^{-2}\right) f_{P}\left(q^{2}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

The last and the most technical part is the calculation of $f_{P}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right), f_{Q}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)$, $f_{P}^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right), f_{Q}^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)$ and simplification of (43). We divide it into three parts. First, one calculates the derivatives of $f_{Q}(u)$ and $f_{P}(u)$ with the differentiation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c, z)=\frac{a b}{c}{ }_{2} F_{1}(a+1, b+1, c+1, z) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

applied to (42) and (40). Second, one evaluates all four polynomials at $q^{ \pm 2}$ with generalization of Kummer's theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, 1+a-b+n,-1)  \tag{49}\\
& \quad=\frac{\Gamma(1+a-b+n) \Gamma(1-b)}{2 \Gamma(a) \Gamma(1-b+n)} \sum_{k=0}^{n}(-)^{k}\binom{n}{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}+\frac{k}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}+\frac{k}{2}-b+1\right)},
\end{align*}
$$

proved in [56] for every integer $n$. We meet only $n=0$ and $n=1$ appearing after the differentiation. The final expressions in terms of gamma functions have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{Q}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)=(-)^{N} g\left(q^{ \pm 2}, 1\right), & f_{P}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)=(-)^{N} g\left(q^{ \pm 2}, 2\right) \\
f_{Q}^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)=(-)^{N} h\left(q^{ \pm 2}, 1\right), & f_{P}^{\prime}\left(q^{ \pm 2}\right)=(-)^{N} h\left(q^{ \pm 2}, 2\right) \tag{51}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x, k)= & \frac{x^{ \pm k} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3+k}{3}+N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}+N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{3}-N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{6+k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}+\frac{(-)^{k} \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(-\frac{k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(-\frac{k}{3}-N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{6-k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}, \\
h(x, k) & =x^{k} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3+k}{3}+N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}+N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{3}-N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{6+k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)} \\
& -x^{k} \frac{(3 N-1-k) \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(N+\frac{3+k}{3}\right)}{2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}+N\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{3+k}{3}-N\right)}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3+k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}-\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{6+k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{6+k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right) \\
& -\frac{(-)^{k-1} 3 x^{ \pm 2}(3 N+k) \Gamma\left(\frac{6-k}{3}\right)}{4 \Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{3}-N\right)}\left(\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3-k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}-\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{6-k}{6}-\frac{N}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{6-k}{6}+\frac{N}{2}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we substitute these expressions into (47) and (43) to obtain rational combinations of gamma functions and use the recurrent property of gamma functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z+1)=z \Gamma(z) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

the reflection identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi z)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Legendre duplication formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(z) \Gamma\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{1-2 z} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(2 z) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

to express the gamma functions at different values of of argument through each other and simplify it up to the form (1).
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