

Generalized Vector Locus Transformation for Unbalanced Three-Phase Systems

Maitraya Avadhut Desai, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, Francisco Escobar, *Member, IEEE*, Gabriela Hug, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Coordinate transformations significantly simplify power systems computations. Most notably, the classical Clarke and $dq0$ transformations are widely used in three-phase systems, as together they transform balanced abc quantities into constant-valued signals. However, during unbalanced operation, the utility of these transformations diminishes, since a null 0-coordinate cannot be ensured and oscillating signals emerge. While recently proposed transformations ensure a null 0-coordinate, they still do not lead to constant-valued signals in the $dq0$ domain. In this letter, we propose a generalized vector locus transformation that ensures both a null 0-coordinate and constant-valued signals. Moreover, we show that, in the balanced case, the classical amplitude-invariant Clarke transformation is an instance of the proposed transformation.

Index Terms—Clarke, coordinate transformation, $dq0$, Park, reference frame, unbalanced three-phase systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

COORDINATE TRANSFORMATIONS are crucial for the modeling, analysis, and control of power systems. Two notable examples are the Clarke transformation, which maps abc coordinates to a stationary $\alpha\beta0$ reference frame, and the $dq0$ transformation, which maps $\alpha\beta0$ coordinates to a rotating $dq0$ reference frame [1]. In the Clarke transformation, the basis vectors are chosen so that a balanced set of three-phase quantities produces α - and β -coordinates of equal amplitude and in quadrature with each other. In the $dq0$ transformation, the speed of rotation is set equal to the electrical frequency, in which case the α - and β -coordinates further simplify to constant-valued signals. Both transformations produce a null 0-coordinate in the balanced case. Among other advantages, these simplifications enable the control of balanced three-phase systems using elementary techniques, e.g. proportional-integral control.

In an *unbalanced* four-wire system, however, the same choice of basis vectors for the Clarke transformation fails to achieve the desired simplifications. This happens because the locus traced out by the three-phase quantities in Cartesian space morphs from a circle in a canonical plane to an ellipse in a different plane, determined by the degree of unbalance.

Recently, transformations have been proposed to address the aforementioned drawbacks of classical coordinate transformations. In this letter, we restrict our focus to transformations that rely on the locus of the space vector. In [2], a stationary mno reference frame is proposed, whose orientation depends on the voltage unbalance. However, this frame is not defined for

voltage conditions such as zero voltage magnitude in phase a . In [3], a non-orthogonal reference frame was proposed for three-phase four-wire systems; however, when any of the phase magnitudes is null or the phase difference between any two of them is 0 or π radians, this transformation fails. The Reduced Reference Frame (RRF) proposed in [4] takes advantage of the locus geometry by rotating and orienting the reference frame along the semi-major and semi-minor axes. As a result, dimensional reduction is obtained in the signals; nonetheless, constant-valued signals are not obtained by further application of the $dq0$ transformation. Finally, a generalization of the Park transformation has been proposed in [5] using the Frenet-Serret formulae, but, it also fails to obtain constant-valued quantities.

In this letter, we propose a generalized vector locus transformation that is valid for any type of unbalance in a three-phase, four-wire system. The proposed transformation maps the three-phase quantities to two sinusoids of unit amplitude, in quadrature with each other. Thus, subsequent application of the $dq0$ transformation results in two constant-valued signals. We also show that, in the balanced case, the proposed transformation simplifies to the classical amplitude-invariant Clarke transformation up to a constant scalar factor.

II. VECTOR LOCUS TRANSFORMATION

We consider the three-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^3 with orthogonal axes abc and canonical basis vectors $\hat{e}_a = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T$, $\hat{e}_b = [0 \ 1 \ 0]^T$, and $\hat{e}_c = [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T$. Inside this space, any set of three-phase quantities can be represented as a vector of the form $\mathbf{v} = v_a \hat{e}_a + v_b \hat{e}_b + v_c \hat{e}_c$. For illustration purposes, we consider \mathbf{v} to be a voltage vector, but it can represent other three-phase quantities, such as currents or magnetic fluxes. In the general case, the individual phase coordinates are

$$\begin{aligned} v_a &= V_a \cos(\omega t + \varphi_a), \\ v_b &= V_b \cos(\omega t + \varphi_b - 2\pi/3), \\ v_c &= V_c \cos(\omega t + \varphi_c + 2\pi/3), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ω denotes the fundamental angular frequency. These coordinates can be rearranged to write the voltage vector as

$$\mathbf{v} = \cos(\omega t - \varphi_o) \mathbf{e}_1 + \sin(\omega t - \varphi_o) \mathbf{e}_2, \quad (2)$$

where φ_o is an arbitrary angle and the constant vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_1 &= \mathbf{v}|_{\omega t = \varphi_o} = \begin{bmatrix} V_a \cos(\varphi_o + \varphi_a) \\ V_b \cos(\varphi_o + \varphi_b - 2\pi/3) \\ V_c \cos(\varphi_o + \varphi_c + 2\pi/3) \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{e}_2 &= \mathbf{v}|_{\omega t = \varphi_o + \pi/2} = - \begin{bmatrix} V_a \sin(\varphi_o + \varphi_a) \\ V_b \sin(\varphi_o + \varphi_b - 2\pi/3) \\ V_c \sin(\varphi_o + \varphi_c + 2\pi/3) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This research was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under NCCR Automation, grant agreement 51NF40_180545.

M. A. Desai, F. Escobar and G. Hug are with the Power Systems Laboratory at ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland, emails: {desai, escobarprado, hug}@eeh.ee.ethz.ch. (*Corresponding Author: M. A. Desai*)




Fig. 1. Clarke and $dq0$ transformations applied to three-phase quantities. (a) Coordinates of \mathbf{v} in abc during balanced and unbalanced operation. (b) Corresponding loci of \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 and basis vectors of both abc and $\alpha\beta 0$. (c) Coordinates of \mathbf{v} in $\alpha\beta 0$. (d) Coordinates of \mathbf{v} in $dq0$ for axes that rotate at the (synchronous) electrical frequency.

In the balanced case, where $V_a = V_b = V_c = V$ and $\varphi_a = \varphi_b = \varphi_c$, we have $\|\mathbf{e}_1\| = \|\mathbf{e}_2\| = \sqrt{3/2}V$. Thus, (2) reduces to the parametric equation of a circle centered at the origin with a radius of $\sqrt{3/2}V$. The amplitude-invariant Clarke transformation maps the canonical basis vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_a$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_b$, and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_c$ to $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = [1 \ -1/2 \ -1/2]^T$, $\mathbf{e}_\beta = [0 \ \sqrt{3}/2 \ -\sqrt{3}/2]^T$, and $\mathbf{e}_0 = [1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$, respectively. This results in two perpendicular basis vectors coplanar with the balanced voltage vector locus and one basis vector perpendicular to this plane. Therefore, during balanced operation, the Clarke transformation reduces the three original signals to two displaced by a quarter of the period, and further application of the $dq0$ transformation leads to constant-valued signals. However, in the general case, (2) represents the parametric equation of an ellipse centered at the origin. Thus, during an unbalanced operation, the Clarke transformation does not achieve the objectives mentioned above, as shown in Fig. 1.

The reformulated voltage vector as expressed in (2) facilitates the realization of the intended transformation. We observe that the vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are coplanar with the elliptical locus of the voltage vector during unbalanced operation. Thus, we define a third vector \mathbf{e}_3 that is perpendicular to this plane as

$$\mathbf{e}_3 = \sqrt{3} \frac{\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2}{\|\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2\|}. \quad (4)$$

The scaling factor $\sqrt{3}$ is included to ensure that the 3-coordinate obtained agrees with the 0-sequence component defined by the symmetrical components. Consequently, we propose a transformation such that the canonical basis vectors $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_a$, $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_b$, and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_c$ are transformed into \mathbf{e}_1 , \mathbf{e}_2 , and \mathbf{e}_3 . The inverse of the proposed reference frame transformation can therefore be represented as

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_a \\ v_b \\ v_c \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} | & | & | \\ \mathbf{e}_1 & \mathbf{e}_2 & \mathbf{e}_3 \\ | & | & | \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{T}^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The proposed vector locus transformation \mathbf{T} reduces the three original unbalanced signals to two sinusoidal signals displaced by a quarter of the period. Moreover, due to the scaling of the basis vectors, the two signals have the same (unit) amplitude. Therefore, further application of the $dq0$ transformation leads to two constant-valued signals.

At first glance, it may seem from (3) that \mathbf{T} cannot be obtained unless the specifics of the unbalance are known. Although this information can be estimated [6], we note that there is an easier way to implement the transformation. To find \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , it suffices to take two measurements of \mathbf{v} separated in time by a quarter of the period. The remaining basis vector \mathbf{e}_3 is obtained using (4) and therefore the transformation matrix \mathbf{T} can be obtained. Although the first instant for measurement is arbitrary, we emphasize that the second is constrained to be a quarter of a period apart.

The precise instant for the first measurement is determined by the angle φ_o introduced earlier. Any value results in a valid transformation, but we discuss two special choices. The first choice is the value $\varphi_o^* = -\varphi_a$, which corresponds to measuring \mathbf{v} when v_a reaches its maximum. In the balanced case, this leads to the simplification of \mathbf{T} to the amplitude-invariant Clarke transformation up to a constant factor that ensures coordinates with unit amplitude. The second choice is the value $\varphi_o^* = \arg \max \|\mathbf{v}\| = \arg \max \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$. Since $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = v_a^2 + v_b^2 + v_c^2$, using trigonometric identities, it can be succinctly represented in the form $\|\mathbf{v}\|^2 = C - A \cos(2\omega t + \phi)$ for constant C , A , and ϕ . Thus, $\varphi_o^* = -\pi/4 - \phi/2$, where

$$\tan \phi = -\frac{V_a^2 \cos 2\varphi_a + V_b^2 \cos(2\varphi_b - 4\pi/3) + V_c^2 \cos(2\varphi_c + 4\pi/3)}{V_a^2 \sin 2\varphi_a + V_b^2 \sin(2\varphi_b - 4\pi/3) + V_c^2 \sin(2\varphi_c + 4\pi/3)}. \quad (6)$$

In this case, we obtain an orthogonal set of basis vectors such that \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are aligned with the major and minor axes of the elliptical locus, respectively. This leads to a simpler calculation of the instantaneous active and reactive power. If these orthogonal basis vectors were normalized, we would obtain the RRF proposed in [4]. Fig. 2 shows the geometric interpretation of the special choices.

We note that when the abc coordinates are linearly dependent, (2) describes a linear locus, and the matrix \mathbf{T}^{-1} becomes non-invertible. This particular unbalanced scenario, where only one effective signal exists instead of three, renders




Fig. 2. Special choices of the basis vectors, found by measuring \mathbf{v} when v_a reaches its peak (\diamond) or $\|\mathbf{v}\|$ is maximized (\star). The vector \mathbf{e}_3 , being orthogonal to the ellipse and having length $\sqrt{3}$, is independent of these choices.

further dimensionality reduction from one to two signals illogical. Such an attempt would not decrease the dimensionality but rather introduce potential inaccuracies and redundancy by trying to add an unsupported dimension.

III. NUMERICAL VALIDATION

To validate the proposed transformation, we apply it to the unbalanced system of Figs. 1 and 2 (interval $2\pi \leq \omega t < 4\pi$). The specific abc coordinates are given by

$$\begin{aligned} v_a &= 0.70 \cos(\omega t - 7\pi/18), \\ v_b &= 1.00 \cos(\omega t - \pi/18 - 2\pi/3), \\ v_c &= 0.40 \cos(\omega t - \pi/2 + 2\pi/3), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and are plotted again in Fig. 3(a). The first choice of basis vectors, associated to φ_o^\diamond , is shown in the left of Fig. 3(b) and leads to the transformation matrix

$$\mathbf{T}^\diamond = \begin{bmatrix} 1.371 & 0.117 & 0.256 \\ -0.697 & 0.897 & -0.566 \\ -0.116 & 0.234 & 0.515 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The second choice, corresponding to φ_o^\star , is shown in the right of Fig. 3(b) and leads to

$$\mathbf{T}^\star = \begin{bmatrix} -0.349 & -0.762 & 0.268 \\ 1.498 & -0.488 & 0.560 \\ -0.116 & 0.234 & 0.515 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

The transformed coordinates are shown in Fig. 3(c). Note that the phase shift between e.g. v_1^\diamond and v_1^\star is due to the time that it takes \mathbf{v} to traverse the arc between \mathbf{e}_1^\diamond and \mathbf{e}_1^\star . Furthermore, as expected, the 1- and 2-coordinates have unit amplitude and are in quadrature, while the 3-coordinate is null at all times. Applying the $dq0$ transformation with axes that rotate at a speed ω and have a null rotation angle at $t = 0$, one obtains the coordinates of Fig. 3(d). We finally note that since they are constant-valued signals, the $dq0$ coordinates could be easily made to follow reasonable setpoints, for instance, by using proportional-integral controllers.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we examined the shortcomings of the classical Clarke and $dq0$ transformations for the unbalanced operation of a three-phase system. Addressing these, we propose a




Fig. 3. Proposed transformation applied to an unbalanced three-phase system. (a) Coordinates of \mathbf{v} in abc . (b) Choices of the basis vectors. (c) Corresponding coordinates of \mathbf{v} under the new transformation. (d) Coordinates of \mathbf{v} in $dq0$ for axes that rotate at the (synchronous) electrical frequency.

generalized vector locus transformation, whose effectiveness is substantiated through a numerical example. The proposed transformation converts any unbalanced three-phase quantities into two unit-amplitude sinusoids in quadrature, leading to two constant-valued signals after applying the $dq0$ transformation. In the balanced scenario, it reduces to the classical Clarke transformation, scaled by a constant. Furthermore, the straightforward implementation of this transformation, coupled with its backward compatibility with established classical transformations, advocates its adoption in the control of three-phase systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. J. O'Rourke, M. M. Qasim, M. R. Overlin, and J. L. Kirtley, "A geometric interpretation of reference frames and transformations: dq0, Clarke, and Park," *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 2070–2083, 2019.
- [2] A. A. Montanari and A. M. Gole, "Enhanced instantaneous power theory for control of grid connected voltage sourced converters under unbalanced conditions," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 6652–6660, 2017.
- [3] G. Tan, Z. Chen, Y. Zhang, J. Wei, X. Zeng, and X. Sun, "Three-dimensional coordinate transformation theory for three-phase four-wire unbalanced power electronic system," in *2021 IEEE 4th International Electrical and Energy Conference (CIEEC)*, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [4] F. Casado-Machado, J. L. Martinez-Ramos, M. Barragán-Villarejo, J. M. Maza-Ortega, and J. A. Rosendo-Macías, "Reduced reference frame transform: Deconstructing three-phase four-wire systems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 143 021–143 032, 2020.
- [5] F. Milano, "The Frenet frame as a generalization of the Park transform," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 966–976, 2023.
- [6] G. Tan, J. Cheng, and X. Sun, "Tan-Sun coordinate transformation system theory and applications for three-phase unbalanced power systems," *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 7352–7380, 2017.