

New Upper Bounds for Noisy Permutation Channels

Lugaoze Feng, Baoji Wang, Guocheng Lv, Xvnan Li, Luhua Wang, and Ye jin

Abstract

The *noisy permutation channel* is a useful abstraction introduced by Makur for point-to-point communication networks and biological storage. While the asymptotic capacity results exist for this model, the characterization of the second-order asymptotics is not available. Therefore, we analyze the converse bounds for the noisy permutation channel in the *finite blocklength* regime. To do this, we present a modified minimax meta-converse for noisy permutation channels by symbol relaxation. To derive the second-order asymptotics of the converse bound, we propose a way to use *divergence covering* in analysis. It enables the observation of the second-order asymptotics and the strong converse via Berry-Esseen type bounds. These two conclusions hold for noisy permutation channels with strictly positive matrices (entry-wise). In addition, we obtain computable bounds for the noisy permutation channel with the binary symmetric channel (BSC), including the original computable converse bound based on the modified minimax meta-converse, the asymptotic expansion derived from our subset covering technique, and the ϵ -capacity result. We find that a smaller crossover probability provides a higher upper bound for a fixed finite blocklength, although the ϵ -capacity is agnostic to the BSC parameter. Finally, numerical results show that the normal approximation shows remarkable precision, and our new converse bound is stronger than previous bounds.

Index Terms

Noisy permutation channel, finite blocklength, divergence covering, converse bound.

I. INTRODUCTION

The *noisy permutation channel*, formally introduced in [1], is a point-to-point communication model in which an input n -letter undergoes a discrete memoryless channel (DMC) and a uniform random permutation block. It captures the out-of-order arrival of packets in the communication network. Since the uniform permutation block, the only relevant statistic obtained at the receiver is the empirical distribution. This situation often occurs in applications such as communication networks and biological storage systems.

Generally speaking, the noisy permutation channel is a suitable model for the *multipath routed network*. Consider a point-to-point communication network, where different packets are transmitted through multiple routes, causing different delays. The noisy permutation channel simulates the impact of paths with different delays arriving at the

Lugaoze Feng, Guocheng Lv and Ye Jin are with the School of Electronics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China (e-mail: lgzf@stu.pku.edu.cn; lv.guocheng@pku.edu.cn; jinye@pku.edu.cn).

Baoji Wang, Xunan Li, and Luhua Wang are with the National Computer Network Emergency Response Technical Team/Coordination Center of China, Beijing 100029, China (e-mail: bjwang@cert.org.cn; lixunan@cert.org.cn; wlh@cert.org.cn).

receiver. For example, data packets undergo rerouting in heavily loaded networks and mobile ad hoc networks. The rate delay tradeoffs are analyzed in [2] and [3] but do not consider the noise. The insertions, substitutions, deletions, and erasures of symbols are considered in recent work [4], [5], [6]. Their works focus on the perfect codes and minimum distance codes for the permutation channel. Another major application comes from research on *DNA based storage systems* with very high density [7]. In [8], the authors studied a storage system where data is encoded by DNA molecules. The short DNA molecules are arranged unordered but not corrupted, and the receiver reads the encoded data by shotgun sequencing. Furthermore, a study on the *noisy shuffling channel* is similar to the noisy permutation channel [9]. The DNA codewords pass through DMC and are fragmented, and then the fragments are randomly permuted. Such a model simulates that DNA molecules are corrupted by noise at synthesis, sequencing, and during storage.

The main information-theoretic task in the channel coding theorem is to find the maximum communication rate over n uses of a fixed noisy channel W . In previous work, several recent advances have been made to the noisy permutation channel with DMC matrices. The original asymptotic bound is given in [1], [10], containing a lower bound that holds in any case and an upper bound that holds for the strictly positive matrices. However, the upper and lower bounds do not match in the case where the rank of the DMC matrix is not equal to the size of the output alphabet. [11] improves this upper bound based on the *divergence covering number* and studies the capacity of some non-strictly positive matrices such as q -ary erasure channels and Z-channels. Additionally, the capacity area problem of permutation adder multiple-access channels is given in [12], [13].

There are still important issues that need to be resolved. A result known as the strong converse [14] in the classical channel coding theorem shows that the asymptotic converse bound holds for every average error probability $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. However, this property has no direct equivalent in the noisy permutation channel. Furthermore, we note that the code lengths of suitable code in such systems are in the order of thousands or hundreds [3], [8], invalidating the asymptotic assumptions in classical information theory. The finer asymptotic characterization of the coding theorem gives backoff from channel capacity at a fixed *finite blocklength* [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20], [21]. The argument based on binary hypothesis testing allows us to flexibly choose an auxiliary distribution to derive the converse bound for general channels [18], which is termed the minimax meta-converse. For example, the DMC asymptotic upper bound and the third-order asymptotics can be recovered from the meta-converse by Topsoe identity [22] and Berry-Esseen type bounds [21], respectively. However, since each message is mapped to an unknown probability distribution, this technique is difficult to apply to noisy permutation channels.

To fill the mentioned gaps, our main contributions are as follows:

- First, we develop a modified minimax meta-converse for noisy permutation channels. Using the idea of symbol relaxation [21] to restrict our computation to the likelihood ratio between the product distribution and the Bayesian distribution, this bound applies to the average error probability.
- Second, we derive the upper bound for the second-order asymptotics for noisy permutation channels. The key element necessary to complete this proof is our ways based on divergence covering. These ways allow the computation to focus only on the *divergence covering center* of a vector from the transition probability distribution and the divergence covering number of a simplex. Additionally, our asymptotic expansion directly

implies the strong converse result for noisy permutation channels.

- Third, for the noisy permutation channel with the binary symmetric channel (BSC), i.e., the BSC permutation channel, we derive a computable converse bound. We construct a grid-like structure of the set of divergence covering centers using the method in [23]. This result is obtained using our modified minimax meta-converse on this grid. Although the computational complexity is high, it directly gives the asymptotic behavior of the upper bound.
- Finally, we present the upper bound of the second-order asymptotics and the ϵ -capacity for the BSC permutation channel. We estimate the variance by modifying the construction scheme of the grid to cover a subset of the simplex. This result implies a unique property of BSC permutation channels: Although the asymptotic bound is independent of the crossover probability, a smaller BSC crossover probability has a higher upper bound with a fixed finite blocklength n . Furthermore, numerical evaluations show that our normal approximation is tight, and our new converse bound is stronger than previous bounds.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the system model and preliminaries. Section III presents our main conclusions: apply minimax converse to the noisy permutation channel and analysis of second-order asymptotics. In section IV, we use our result to compute the converse bounds of the BSC permutation channel. In Section V, we give the numerical evaluation of the BSC permutation channel. We conclude the work and discuss open problems in Section VI.

A. Notation

Let $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\}$. Denote by $1\{\cdot\}$ the indicator function. Random variables (e.g., X) and their realizations (e.g., x) are denoted by upper and lower case letters, respectively. Finite sets (e.g., \mathcal{X}) are denoted by calligraphic letters. We write $X \sim P_X$ to indicate that the random variable X follows the distribution P_X . Let $\text{bin}(n, p)$ denote a binomial distribution with n trials and probability p . Denote by $X^n = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $x^n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the random vector and its realization in the n -th Cartesian product \mathcal{X}^n , respectively. Given a finite set \mathcal{X} , the cardinality of \mathcal{X} is denoted by $|\mathcal{X}|$. Given a matrix A , We use notation $\text{rank}(A)$ to represent the rank of matrix A . The probability and expectation are denoted by $\mathbb{P}[\cdot]$, $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$, where the underlying probability measures will be clear from context. The cumulative distribution function of the standard normal distribution is defined as

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt, \quad (1)$$

and $\Phi^{-1}(\cdot)$ is its inverse function.

A simplex on \mathbb{R}^K is a set of points

$$\Delta_{K-1} \triangleq \left\{ (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_K) \in \mathbb{R}^k, s_k \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^K s_k = 1 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

We emphasize its subsets "n-types" as

$$\mathcal{P}_n = \left\{ P \in \Delta_K : P = \left(\frac{a_1}{n}, \dots, \frac{a_K}{n} \right) \right. \\ \left. \text{where } a_1, \dots, a_K \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \right\}. \quad (3)$$

For a sequence $x^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{X}^n$, we denote by $\hat{P}_{x^n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ the empirical distribution of sequence x^n , i.e., $\hat{P}_{x^n}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{x_i = x\}$.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PRELIMINARIES

A. Noisy Permutation Channels

In the noisy permutation channel, the sequence X^n goes through the discrete memoryless channel W to produce Y^n . Then, the codeword Y^n passes through a uniformly random permutation part to generate sequence Y_{Perm}^n . The sequence Y^n and Y_{Perm}^n take value in \mathcal{Y} . We chain all objects together into the following Markov chain:

$$X^n \rightarrow Y^n \rightarrow Y_{\text{Perm}}^n. \quad (4)$$

According to [1, Lemma 2], sending codeword through a random permutation part and then passing through DMC is equivalent to passing through DMC and then applying random permutation. Therefore, in this paper, we describe the Markov chain of the noisy permutation channel as

$$Z^n \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow Y^n, \quad (5)$$

where Z^n is the original codeword, and X^n is a random permutation of Z^n . For sequences Z^n and X^n , we have $Z_i, X_i \in \mathcal{X}$. We use $P_{X^n|Z^n}$ to indicate the random permutation part. To describe this random permutation part, first denote a bijection function as $\lambda : \{1, \dots, n\} \mapsto \{1, \dots, n\}$, drawn randomly and uniformly from the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_n over $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then we have $X_{\lambda(i)} = Z_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. X^n passes through the probability kernel W of DMC and becomes the sequence Y^n , where each $Y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$.

In addition, the DMC can be described as a $|\mathcal{X}| \times |\mathcal{Y}|$ matrix W , where $W(y|x)$ denotes the probability that the output $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ occurs given input $x \in \mathcal{X}$. We refer to W as strictly positive if all the transition probabilities in W are greater than 0.

B. Preliminaries

In the binary hypothesis testing problem, we have two distributions P and Q on a space \mathcal{X} . Consider a random variable V which can select one of two distributions P and Q through a random transformation $P_{V|X} : \mathcal{X} \mapsto 0, 1$. Let $V = 1$ denote that the test chooses P , then we have

$$\alpha = P[V = 1] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{V|X}(1|x)P(x), \quad (6)$$

and the type-II error probability of the test is defined by¹

$$\beta = Q[V = 1] = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{V|X}(1|x)Q(x). \quad (7)$$

¹Since the context is well defined, we use probability measures $P[\cdot]$ and $Q[\cdot]$ to represent α and β respectively.

For a given $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the optimal performance of β is

$$\beta_\alpha(P, Q) = \min \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{V|X}(1|x)Q(x), \quad (8)$$

where the minimum is over all probability distributions $P_{V|X}$ satisfying

$$P_{V|X} : \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P_{V|X}(1|x)P(x) \geq \alpha. \quad (9)$$

The achievability of (8) is guaranteed by the Neyman-Pearson lemma. $\beta_\alpha(P, Q)$ has the following converse bound [24]:

$$\alpha - \gamma\beta \leq P \left[\log \frac{dP}{dQ} > \log \gamma \right], \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma > 0$ is arbitrary.

Next, we consider using an ε -net (see [25] and [26]) to cover a probability simplex under Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence as distance. For distributions P and Q on a discrete alphabet \mathcal{X} , KL divergence is defined as

$$D(P\|Q) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \log \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}. \quad (11)$$

We can upper bounds KL divergence with χ^2 divergence [27], i.e.,

$$D(P\|Q) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{(P(x) - Q(x))^2}{Q(x)} = D_{\chi^2}(P\|Q). \quad (12)$$

Let r be the covering radius. The worst-case divergence covering means that for any P , there exists a center Q such that $D(P\|Q) \leq r$. Let $r > 0$, the divergence covering number is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{N}_{r,K}| &= \inf \{m : \exists \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\} \\ &\text{s.t. } \max_{P \in \Delta_{K-1}} \min_{Q_i} D(P\|Q_i) \leq r\}, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{r,K} = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_m\}$ is the set of divergence covering centers. According to [23], we can construct the set of covering centers based on (99). Then, there exists a constant τ such that for $r_0 = r/\tau$ and $\mathcal{N}_{r,K} = \Lambda(r_0)$, the divergence covering number of the simplex Δ_{K-1} satisfies

$$|\mathcal{N}_{r,K}| \leq c^{K-1} \left(\frac{K-1}{r} \right)^{\frac{K-1}{2}}, \quad (14)$$

where $c \leq 7$.

C. Codes for Noisy Permutation Channels

Refers to [24], the considered code \mathcal{C} consists of a message set \mathcal{M} , an encoder function $f_n : \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{X}^n$, and a decoder function $g_n : \mathcal{Y}^n \mapsto \mathcal{M} \cup \{e\}$. We write $\mathcal{X} = [|\mathcal{X}|]$ for the finite input alphabet and $\mathcal{Y} = [|\mathcal{Y}|]$ for the finite output alphabet. Denote by $|\mathcal{M}|$ the cardinality of a code \mathcal{C} . The average error probability of a code is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} P_e &:= \mathbb{P}[M \neq \hat{M}] \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} P_{X^n|Z^n}(x^n|f_n(m))W(g_n^{-1}(m)|x^n) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$




Fig. 1. Illustration of a communication system with a random permutation followed by a DMC

where M is the message random variable drawn uniformly from the message set \mathcal{M} and \hat{M} is the estimate of the received sequence. We illustrate this system in Figure 1.

We define the basic non-asymptotic limit of a channel as $M^*(n, \epsilon) = \max\{M : \exists \mathcal{C} \text{ such that } P_e \leq \epsilon\}$. The rate² for the encoder-decoder pair (f_n, g_n) is

$$R(n, \epsilon) \triangleq \frac{\log M^*(n, \epsilon)}{\log n}. \quad (16)$$

The ϵ -capacity for noisy permutation channels with W is defined as

$$C_\epsilon \triangleq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M^*(n, \epsilon)}{\log n}, \quad (17)$$

where $\log(\cdot)$ is the binary logarithm (with base 2) throughout this paper. Similar to the classical definition, the strong converse means for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$C_\epsilon \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M^*(n, \epsilon)}{\log n}. \quad (18)$$

The weak converse means this bound holds only for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Then, we introduce some useful definitions based on divergence covering. Note that in noisy permutation channels, the codeword is generated by different distributions³. Denote by $\Theta \subset \Delta_{|\mathcal{X}-1|}$ the set of input distributions. The distribution of Θ is $P_\Theta(\pi)$.

Let $W_x = W(\cdot|x) \in \Delta_\ell$ be the distribution on \mathcal{Y} if the input is fixed to $x \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\ell = |\mathcal{Y}| - 1$ is the dimension of Δ_ℓ . Similarly, denote by $\pi W : y \rightarrow \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(x)W(y|x)$ the marginal distribution if $\pi \in \Theta$ is fixed. For a given x , consider Q_x^* to be the divergence covering center of W_x , and we define the conditional divergence $D(W\|Q_x^*|\pi) = \sum_{z \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(x)D(W_x\|Q_x^*)$. The variance of log-likelihood ratio between W_x and Q_x^* is defined as

$$V(W_x\|Q_x^*) = \mathbb{E}_{W_x} \left[\left(\log \frac{W_x}{Q_x^*} - D(W_x\|Q_x^*) \right)^2 \right]. \quad (19)$$

Moreover, we define the conditional divergence variance is $V(W\|Q_x^*|\pi) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \pi(x)V(W_x\|Q_x^*)$. Let $V(\pi, W) = V(W\|Q_x^*|\pi)$, the maximum and minimum variance of the noisy permutation channel based on the divergence covering center is defined as

$$V_{max} = \max_{\pi \in \Theta} V(\pi, W), \quad (20)$$

$$V_{min} = \min_{\pi \in \Theta} V(\pi, W), \quad (21)$$

²The results in [1] show that if the capacity is defined in the classical form $\log M^*(n, \epsilon)/n$, the noisy permutation channel will have capacity 0. In the following section, we will see that $\log n$ can be used to describe the first-order term of noisy permutation channels.

³As shown in [1], The messages are mapped to different distributions, and the decoder estimates the message using the empirical distribution.

where $\Theta \subset \Delta_{|\mathcal{X}-1|}$ is the set of the input probability distributions. The ϵ -dispersion⁴ of the noisy permutation channel is

$$V_\epsilon = \begin{cases} V_{\min} & \text{if } \epsilon < 1/2 \\ V_{\max} & \text{if } \epsilon \geq 1/2 \end{cases}. \quad (22)$$

Similarly, we define the third absolute moment of log-likelihood ratio

$$T(W_x||Q_x) = \mathbb{E}_{W_x} \left[\left| \log \frac{W_x}{Q_x} - D(W_x||Q_x^*) \right|^3 \right]. \quad (23)$$

Consider $x_i \in \mathcal{X}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a sequence distribution $Q_{x_i}^* \in \mathcal{N}_r$. Let $Q_{x_i}^*$ be the divergence covering center of W_{x_i} . Let $W_{x^n} = \prod_{i=1}^n W(\cdot|x_i)$. By the memoryless property of DMC, we have

$$W^n(y^n|x^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i). \quad (24)$$

III. CONVERSE BOUNDS FOR NOISY PERMUTATION CHANNELS

In this section, we develop a upper bound for second-order asymptotics for the noisy permutation channel with strictly positive matrices. The proof consists of three parts, each detailed in one of the following sections. In Subsection III-A, we derive a modified minimax meta-converse for noisy permutation channels that involves a Bayes mixture density based on divergence covering. In Subsection III-B, we prove some useful properties that we need later. Finally, we give our main result in Subsection III-C.

A. A Modified Minimax Meta-Converse

In this subsection, we present a modified minimax meta-converse [18, Theorem 27] with an arbitrary set of input distributions, and this bound applies to the average error probability.

First, we introduce a Bayes mixture density. Let Δ_ℓ^* be the convex hull of $\{\pi W : \pi \in \Theta\}$. For convenience of expression, denote by \mathcal{N}_r the set of divergence covering centers of simplex Δ_ℓ^* . Consider constructing the subset of \mathcal{N}_r in the following way:

- 1) Pick Q_x such that $\mathcal{N}_1 = \{Q_x : Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_r, x \in [|\mathcal{X}|]\}$.
- 2) Pick Q_x such that $\mathcal{N}_2 = \{Q_x : Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_r \setminus \mathcal{N}_1, x \in [|\mathcal{X}|]\}$.
- ...
- 3) Pick Q_x such that $\mathcal{N}_{E-1} = \{Q_x : Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_r \setminus \cup_{e=1}^{E-2} \mathcal{N}_e, x \in [|\mathcal{X}|]\}$.
- 4) Pick elements from $\cup_{k=1}^{E-1} \mathcal{N}_k$ and add them to $\mathcal{N}_r \setminus \cup_{e=1}^{E-1} \mathcal{N}_e$, such that the resulting set satisfies $|\mathcal{N}_r^*| = |\mathcal{X}|$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_E = \{Q_x : Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_r^*, x \in [|\mathcal{X}|]\}$.

We can at most get $E = \lceil |\mathcal{N}_r|/|\mathcal{X}| \rceil$ such subsets. Let $\mathcal{O} = \cup_{e=1}^E \mathcal{N}_e$, we get a Bayes mixture density

$$\hat{Q}_{Y^n}(y^n) = \frac{1}{E} \sum_{\mathcal{N}_e \in \mathcal{O}} \prod_{Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_e} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} Q_x(y_i), \quad (25)$$

Then, we give our new converse bound as follows:

⁴Here, we set $V_\epsilon = V_{\max}$ for $\epsilon = 1/2$. Since $\Phi^{-1}(1/2) = 0$, the definition of $V_{1/2}$ is immaterial as far the approximation.

Proposition 1. *For the noisy permutation channel with strictly positive matrices, let W is any channel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{N}_r is any set of divergence covering centers, we have*

$$\log M^*(n, \epsilon) \leq \sup_{\pi \in \Theta} \sup_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} -\log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}), \quad (26)$$

where we set $Q_{Y^n} = \hat{Q}_{Y^n}$.

Proposition 1 is proved in Appendix A. We deal with distributions that are n -fold products of a fixed distribution since the permutation block acts on the entire input sequence. Additionally, we refer to the idea of symbol relaxation [21] to make our result apply to the average error formulation. We can apply the result of the divergence covering number to Proposition 1 since it holds for any set of divergence covering centers.

B. Asymptotics and Bounds Based on Divergence Covering

To derive the asymptotic expansion of Proposition 1, we will be concerned with the asymptotic behavior of the β function and bounds of V_n and T_n .

The following Lemma will be particularly useful.

Lemma 1. *In the notation of Proposition 1, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[\log \frac{W_{x^n}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} \geq \log \gamma - \log E \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where \mathbb{P} means under any probability P^n .

Lemma 1 is proved in Appendix B. It allows us to only deal with the covering center of W_x and the divergence covering number of the ℓ -dimensional simplex.

Then, we define

$$D_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n D(W_{x_i} \| Q_{x_i}^*), \quad V_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(W_{x_i} \| Q_{x_i}^*), \quad (28)$$

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n T(W_{x_i} \| Q_{x_i}^*). \quad (29)$$

Using Lemma 1, we have the following asymptotic expansions.

Lemma 2. *In the notation of Proposition 1, if $V_n > 0$, for any $\Delta > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) \\ & \geq -\log E - nD_n + \log \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \\ & \quad + \sqrt{nV_n} \Phi^{-1} \left(\alpha - \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In any case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) &\geq -\log E - nD_n \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{2nV_n}{1-\epsilon}} + \log \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Lemma 2 is provided in Appendix C. It can be construed as a variant of [18, Lemma 58], except we focus on the asymptotic behavior between W_x and its divergence covering center.

Then, we present the following lemma to illustrate some properties of V_n and T_n .

Lemma 3. For any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have

$$V_n \leq \frac{C_1}{n}, \quad T_n \leq \frac{C_2}{n^{3/2}}, \quad (32)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constant.

Lemma 3 is proved in Appendix D. It illustrates that the variance of the log-likelihood ratio between W_x and Q_x vanishes at a rate of $O(1/n)$, and the third absolute moment vanishes at a rate of $O(1/n^{3/2})$.

C. Main Results

Now, through the above auxiliary conclusions, we can derive the main result of this section, which is the upper bound for the second-order asymptotics for the noisy permutation channel in the finite blocklength regime. Please refer to Appendix E for the proof.

Theorem 1. For the noisy permutation channel with strictly positive matrices, the average error probability of code \mathcal{C} satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \log M^*(n, \epsilon) &\leq \frac{\ell}{2} \log n + \sqrt{nV_\epsilon} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\ &\quad + O(\log \log n). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Remark 1. In the proof of Theorem 1, we find that for any $G_1 > 0$, there exists an N_0 sufficiently large, such that $O(\log \log n) = G_1 \log \log n + O(1)$ holds for $n \geq N_0$. Since the constant G_1 cannot be determined, we use $O(\log \log n)$ to represent the third-order and $O(1)$ terms.

Using Theorem 1, we can obtain the strong converse directly.

Corollary 1. For the noisy permutation channel with strictly positive matrices, the ϵ -capacity must satisfy

$$C_\epsilon \leq \frac{\ell}{2}. \quad (34)$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3, we can find a constant F_0 such that $V_{\min} \leq F_0/n$ and a constant F_1 such that $V_{\max} \leq F_1/n$. Then we have $\sqrt{nV_{\min}} \leq F_0$ and $\sqrt{nV_{\max}} \leq F_1$. Substitute these into Theorem 1, we get the following result, which implies the strong converse:

$$\log M^*(n, \epsilon) \leq \frac{|\mathcal{Y} - 1|}{2} \log n + o(\log n). \quad (35)$$

□

Remark 2. Corollary 1 is stronger than the converse bound in [1]. If $\text{rank}(W) = |\mathcal{Y}|$, it is also stronger than the converse bound in [11]. As we will see in Corollary 2 in Section IV, extending this bound to ϵ -capacity is straightforward with restriction $\text{rank}(W) = |\mathcal{Y}|$.

IV. EXPLICIT BOUNDS FOR BSC PERMUTATION CHANNELS

To complement our main result in Section III, we compute the explicit bound of the BSC permutation channel using the results in Section III. We refer to the scheme in [23] to construct a grid-like structure and analyze the bounds based on this structure.

In 2-dimensional case, we set $\mathcal{N}_r = \bigcup_{\mu=1}^{|\mathcal{N}_r|} (q_\mu, 1 - q_\mu)$. The following result can be obtained by Proposition 1. We refer to the idea in [18, Theorem 35] to complete the proof. It can be considered as a direct application of Neyman-Pearson Lemma.

Theorem 2. *For the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability δ , we have*

$$\log M^*(n, \epsilon) \leq -\log \beta_\alpha^n. \quad (36)$$

β_α^n is defined as

$$\beta_\alpha^n = \lambda \binom{n}{T} Q_{n,T} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \binom{n}{t} Q_{n,t}, \quad (37)$$

$$Q_{n,t} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_r| - 2K} \sum_{\mu=K}^{|\mathcal{N}_r| - K} q_\mu^t (1 - q_\mu)^{n-t}, \quad (38)$$

where $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, integer K such that $q_K < \delta$ and the integer T is defined by

$$\alpha = \lambda \binom{n}{T} \delta^T (1 - \delta)^{n-T} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \binom{n}{t} \delta^t (1 - \delta)^{n-t}. \quad (39)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, let $\delta < 1/2$. We construct the set of covering centers based on (98), where we set $r_0 = \frac{1}{\tau n}$. There exists a τ such that the covering radius is less than $1/n$. For any $\mathcal{N}_e \in \mathcal{O}$, let $Q_0 = (q_\mu, 1 - q_\mu)$, $Q_1 = (1 - q_\mu, q_\mu)$. For any $\pi \in \Theta$, the output distribution is within $(\delta, 1 - \delta)$. We only need to take $q_\mu \geq \delta$, i.e.,

$$Q_{Y^n}(|y^n| = t) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_r| - 2K} \sum_{\mu=K}^{|\mathcal{N}_r| - K} q_\mu^t (1 - q_\mu)^{n-t}, \quad (40)$$

where K such that $q_K < \delta$, $|y^n|$ is the Hamming weight of the binary sequence y^n . For convenience of expression, let $Q_{n,t} = Q_{Y^n}$.

The transition probabilities of BSC is

$$W^n(y^n | x^n) = \delta^{y^n - x^n} (1 - \delta)^{n - |y^n - x^n|}. \quad (41)$$

Now, fix crossover probability, we find the Hamming weight $|Y^n - X^n|$ is a sufficient statistic between W^n and Q_{Y^n} . The optimal performance of type II error probability can be obtained as

$$\beta_\alpha^n = \lambda \binom{n}{T} Q_{n,T} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \binom{n}{t} Q_{n,t}, \quad (42)$$

where the λ is uniquely determined by the condition

$$\alpha = \lambda \binom{n}{T} \delta^T (1 - \delta)^{n-T} + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \binom{n}{t} \delta^t (1 - \delta)^{n-t}. \quad (43)$$

Then, by Proposition 1, we have

$$\log M^*(n, \epsilon) \leq -\log \beta_\alpha^n. \quad (44)$$

□

Next, we use Theorem 1 to compute the second-order asymptotics of the BSC permutation channel. Since the variance is defined based on the set of divergence covering centers, obtaining the explicit bound requires the grid constructed by (98). We get the second result by using our subset covering technique to construct the set of divergence covering centers for the subset of the simplex.

Theorem 3. *For the BSC permutation channel, if $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$, the average error probability of a code \mathcal{C} satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} \log M^*(n, \epsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{\min}(\delta)} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\ &\quad + O(\log \log n), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

and if $\epsilon \in [1/2, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log M^*(n, \epsilon) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{\max}(\delta)} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\ &\quad + O(\log \log n). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\tau = 2/\delta$, the definition of $V_{\min}(\delta)$ and $V_{\max}(\delta)$ see (115) and (116).

Theorem 3 is proved in Appendix F. In addition, we have the following corollary to illustrate the ϵ -capacity of BSC permutation channel.

Corollary 2. *For the BSC permutation channel, the ϵ -capacity satisfies*

$$C_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (47)$$

Proof. The achievability part has been proved in [1]. Using Corollary 1, we get $C_\epsilon \leq 1/2$. We combine the achievability and converse parts to obtain (47). □

Remark 3. Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 imply that, although the asymptotic capacity of the BSC permutation channel is unrelated to the crossover probability, a smaller crossover probability has a higher upper bound at a fixed finite blocklength n .

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section, we perform numerical evaluations of the BSC permutation channel. The ϵ -capacity of the BSC permutation channel is illustrated in Corollary 2, i.e., $C_\epsilon = 1/2$.

First, according to Theorem 2, we have the following upper bound:

$$R(n, \epsilon) \leq \frac{-\log \beta_\alpha^n}{\log n}. \quad (48)$$




Fig. 2. Comparison of the converse bound and its approximation for the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability $\delta = 0.11$ and average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

Then, we omit the third-order term. According to Theorem 3, we obtain the following normal approximation:

$$R(n, \epsilon) \lesssim \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{nV(\delta)\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon)}}{\log n}, \quad (49)$$

where

$$V(\delta) = \begin{cases} V_{\min} & \text{if } \epsilon < 1/2 \\ V_{\max} & \text{if } \epsilon > 1/2 \end{cases}. \quad (50)$$

The definition of $V_{\min}(\delta)$ and $V_{\max}(\delta)$ see (115) and (116).

As we mentioned in Remark 1, for any $G_1 > 0$, we have $O(\log \log n) = G_1 \log \log n + O(1)$ for n sufficiently large. Let $G_1 = \frac{1}{16}$, we have

$$R(n, \epsilon) \lesssim \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{nV(\delta)\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon)}}{\log n} + \frac{\log \log n}{16 \log n}. \quad (51)$$

A. Precision of the Normal Approximation

We compare the bounds (48), (49), and (51) with different crossover probability δ and average error probability ϵ in Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4, and Fig. 5. We note that (49) and (51) indicate the asymptotic behavior of (48). Furthermore, (51) shows remarkable precision by taking a suitable constant G_1 . Therefore, we can replace the complex computations of Theorem 2 by Theorem 3.

Remark 4. The computation results of Theorem 2 show fluctuations because the explicitly constructed grid-like structure is suboptimal. Studying the optimal form of the set of divergence covering centers can improve this result. However, this result still shows the asymptotic behavior of the BSC permutation channel very well.

B. Properties of the Normal Approximation

Next, In Fig. 6, let $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$, we compared the normal approximation (49) of the BSC permutation channel with different crossover probabilities. We make two conclusions from the plot:




Fig. 3. Comparison of the converse bound and its approximation for the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability $\delta = 0.11$ and average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$.




Fig. 4. Comparison of the converse bound and its approximation for the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability $\delta = 0.22$ and average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

- 1) As we discussed in Remark 3, when the blocklength n is fixed and finite, a smaller crossover probability has a higher upper bound.
- 2) The change of slope of the (49) is similar to the classical BSC. However, it should be noted that the rate of noisy permutation channel is defined as $\log M^*(n, \epsilon) / \log n$, which is different from the classical definition.

C. Comparison with Previous Converse Bounds

In this subsection, we compare our new bound with the previous bound. We consider the BSC permutation channel, according to [1, Theorem 2], we have the following upper bound hold for every n :

$$R(n, \epsilon) \leq \frac{1 + I(X^n; \hat{P}_{Y^n})}{(1 - \epsilon) \log n}, \quad (52)$$




Fig. 5. Comparison of the converse bound and its approximation for the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability $\delta = 0.22$ and average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-4}$.




Fig. 6. Comparison of normal approximation of converse bound for the BSC permutation channel with average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$ and different crossover probabilities.

where

$$I(X^n; \hat{P}_{Y^n}) \leq \log(n+1) - \frac{2c(\delta)}{n-2} - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(2\pi e \delta (1-\delta) \frac{n-2}{2} \right). \quad (53)$$

To determine $c(p)$, let $\hat{X} \sim \text{bin}(n, \delta)$, then we have

$$c(\delta) = n \cdot \left| H(\hat{X}) - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi e n \delta (1-\delta)) \right|. \quad (54)$$

We consider the following setting: $\delta = 0.11$ and $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$. The bound (48) and bound (52) are compared in Fig. 7. We see that bound (52) converges to the ϵ -capacity above $1/2$, and our new bound gives better results than (52) for every n .




Fig. 7. Comparison of new and previous converse bound for the BSC permutation channel with crossover probability $\delta = 0.11$ and average error probability $\epsilon = 10^{-3}$.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, we generalize the minimax meta-converse to derive the converse bound for the noisy permutation channel. The key to the proof is that we adopt the ideas of symbol relaxation. Based on the divergence covering, we observe the second-order asymptotics and the strong converse. Additionally, we also determine the explicit converse bound, normal approximation of converse bound, and the ϵ -capacity of the BSC permutation channel. We find a unique property of the BSC permutation channel: at fixed finite blocklength n , a smaller BSC crossover probability has a higher upper bound. Numerical evaluations indicate that the approximation can replace the complex computations of the converse bound, and our new bound is stronger than converse bound in [1].

Finally, we propose some future research directions. Firstly, [23] shows that the upper bound can be strengthened to a tighter form so that even in the case of $\text{rank}(W) \neq |\mathcal{Y}|$, the upper bound matches the lower bound. Finding the upper bound at finite blocklengths satisfies this form is desirable. Secondly, a promising direction is to develop the achievability bound and its approximation for the noisy permutation channel. Lastly, future work may adapt our result to the noisy permutation channel with general DMC matrices, where the transition probability in W can be equal to 0 instead of greater than 0.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

To prove the Proposition 1, we first need the following Lemma⁵.

Lemma 4. *Fixed $\pi \in \Theta$, generate codeword $Z^n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \pi$. After Z^n undergoes random independent permutation, we have $X^n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \pi$.*

⁵This property was proposed by Makur [1].

$$Q \left[\log \frac{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} W^n}{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \quad (59)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Theta} P_{\Theta}(\pi) \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(x_i) \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} P_{X^n|Z^n}(x^n|z^n) \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} Q_{Y^n}(y^n) \cdot 1 \left\{ \log \frac{W^n(y^n|x^n)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \quad (60)$$

$$= \sum_{\pi \in \Theta} P_{\Theta}(\pi) \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(x_i) \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} Q_{Y^n}(y^n) \cdot 1 \left\{ \log \frac{W^n(y^n|x^n)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \quad (61)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\pi \in \Theta} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \pi(x_i) \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} Q_{Y^n}(y^n) \cdot 1 \left\{ \log \frac{W^n(y^n|x^n)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \quad (62)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\pi \in \Theta} \inf_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} Q_{Y^n}(y^n) \cdot 1 \left\{ \log \frac{W^n(y^n|x^n)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \quad (63)$$

$$= \inf_{\pi \in \Theta} \inf_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} Q \left[\log \frac{W_{x^n}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \quad (64)$$

$$= \inf_{\pi \in \Theta} \inf_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} Q \left[\log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W_{x_i}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \quad (65)$$

Proof of Proposition 1. We assume that W is strictly positive, i.e., for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, we have $W_x > 0$. In the noisy permutation channel, since the random permutation, the order of symbols of codewords conveys no information, and the type \mathcal{P}_n is the only statistical information. Therefore, we need to analyze codewords generated by different probability distributions. Consider a Markov chain $M \rightarrow \Theta \rightarrow Z^n \rightarrow X^n \rightarrow Y^n \rightarrow \hat{M}$, where $\Theta \subset \Delta_{|\mathcal{X}-1|}$ is the set of the input probability distribution. Randomly and independently generate codeword Z^n according to π , i.e., $Z^n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \pi$. Then, sent codewords to the noisy permutation channel. We use the joint probability density $P_{M, \Theta, Z^n, X^n, Y^n, \hat{M}}$ to describe this Markov chain.

Define random variable $V = 1\{M = \hat{M}\}$ and random test $P_{V|\Theta, Z^n, X^n, Y^n}$, according to meta-converse in [18, Theorem 26], we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} \times W^n} [V = 1] \geq 1 - \epsilon, \quad (55)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} \times Q_{Y^n}} [V = 1] = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|}. \quad (56)$$

Through the definition of β function, we readily see that

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}|} \geq \beta_{\alpha}(P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} W^n, P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} Q_{Y^n}). \quad (57)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{\alpha}(P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} W^n, P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} Q_{Y^n}) \\ &= Q \left[\log \frac{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} W^n}{P_{\Theta, Z^n, X^n} Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right], \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

we can find at least one $\pi \in \Theta$, $x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ that satisfies (59)-(65), where (61) follows from the lemma 4, and the last equation comes from the stationary memoryless property of DMC (24).

Now, let $Q_{Y^n} = \hat{Q}_{Y^n}$, i.e.,

$$Q_{Y^n}(y^n) = \frac{1}{E} \sum_{\mathcal{N}_e \in \mathcal{O}} \prod_{Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_e} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} Q_x(y_i). \quad (66)$$

Notice that

$$\beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) = Q \left[\log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W_{x_i}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right], \quad (67)$$

substitute these into (57) we get

$$\log M^*(n, \epsilon) \leq \sup_{\pi \in \Theta} \sup_{x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n} -\log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}). \quad (68)$$

This concludes the proof. \square

APPENDIX B PROOF OF LEMMA 1

Proof. Since elements of \mathcal{N}_e are selected from \mathcal{N}_r , we obtain that for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$, there exists a set \mathcal{N}_e such that $Q_x^* \in \mathcal{N}_e$ as the divergence covering center of W_x . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \\ &= \log E + \log \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i)}{\sum_{\mathcal{N}_e \in \mathcal{O}} \prod_{Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_e} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} Q_x(y_i)} \right) \\ &\leq \log E + \log \left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i)}{\prod_{Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_e} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} Q_x^*(y_i)} \right) \\ &= \log E + \log \left(\frac{\prod_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} W(y_i|x)}{\prod_{Q_x \in \mathcal{N}_e} \prod_{i=1}^{n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)} Q_x^*(y_i)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

$$= \log E + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{x_i}^*(y_i)}, \quad (70)$$

where (69) follows from that there are $n\hat{P}_{x^n}(x)$ occurrences of W_x . (70) follows from that $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$. We get $\log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{Y^n}(y^n)} \geq \log \gamma$ implies

$$\log E + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{x_i}^*(y_i)} \geq \log \gamma. \quad (71)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P} \left[\log \frac{W_{x^n}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \\ &= \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} P^n(y^n) \cdot \mathbb{1} \left\{ \log \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{y^n \in \mathcal{Y}^n} P^n(y^n) \cdot \mathbb{1} \left\{ \log E + \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W(y_i|x_i)}{Q_{x_i}^*(y_i)} \geq \log \gamma \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} \geq \log \gamma - \log E \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX C
PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Proof. By (10) we get the converse of the β function

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) \\ \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\alpha - P \left[\log \frac{W_{x^n}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Apply lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \left[\log \frac{W_{x^n}}{Q_{Y^n}} \geq \log \gamma \right] \\ \leq P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} + \log E \geq \log \gamma \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Substituting this into (73), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) \geq \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\alpha - P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} \geq \log \gamma - \log E \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

We observe that $\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*}$ is the sum of independent random variables.

In the case of $V_n > 0$, Berry-Esseen bound [28, Chapter XVI.5] shows

$$P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} - nD_n \geq \lambda \sqrt{nV_n} \right] \leq \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} + \Phi(-\lambda).$$

For large n , let $\Delta > 0$ such that

$$\Phi(-\lambda) = \alpha - \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (76)$$

is positive, let

$$\begin{aligned} \log \gamma = \log E + nD_n \\ - \sqrt{nV_n} \Phi^{-1} \left(\alpha - \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

By Berry-Esseen bounds we have

$$P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} \geq \log \gamma - \log E \right] \leq \alpha - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}}. \quad (78)$$

Substituting this into (75), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) \\ \geq -\log E - nD_n + \log \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \\ + \sqrt{nV_n} \Phi^{-1} \left(\alpha - \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

In any case, we let

$$\log \gamma = \log E + nD_n + \sqrt{\frac{2nV_n}{\alpha}}, \quad (80)$$

by Chebyshev inequality, we have

$$P \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} \geq \log \gamma - \log E \right] \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}. \quad (81)$$

Again by (75) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \beta_\alpha(W_{x^n}, Q_{Y^n}) &\geq -\log E - nD_n \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{2nV_n}{1-\epsilon}} + \log \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

□

APPENDIX D

PROOF OF LEMMA 3

Proof. We use (97)-(99) to construct a grid-like structure. Then, there exists a constant τ such that for $r_0 = \frac{1}{n\tau}$, the $\Lambda_\ell(\frac{1}{n\tau})$ is the set of covering centers of Δ_ℓ , and the covering radius is $1/n$. Let $\mathcal{N}_r = \Lambda_\ell(\frac{1}{n\tau})$, we first consider the 2-dimensional case. Let $W(y|x) = \delta \leq 1/2$ and $r_0(i-1)^2 \leq \delta \leq r_0i^2$.

Case(a). $W(y|x) \geq Q_x^*(y)$: Let $Q_x^*(y) = r_0(i-1)^2$, note that $\sqrt{\delta\tau n} \leq i \leq \sqrt{\delta\tau n} + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \frac{W(y|x)}{Q_x^*(y)} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{W(y|x)}{Q_x^*(y)} - 1 \right) \log e \\ &\leq \frac{r_0i^2 - r_0(i-1)^2}{r_0(i-1)^2} \log e \\ &\leq \frac{2 - 1/\sqrt{\delta\tau n}}{\sqrt{\delta\tau}\sqrt{n} - 2 + 1/\sqrt{\delta\tau n}} \log e. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Then, for n sufficiently large, we can find a constant F_y only depend on $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\left| \log \frac{W(y|x)}{Q_x^*(y)} \right| \leq \frac{F_y}{\sqrt{n}} \quad (84)$$

Case(b). $Q_x^*(y) \geq W(y|x)$: Let $Q_x^*(y) = r_0i^2$, note that $W(y|x) \geq r_0(i-1)^2$, we can apply the same argument in *Case(a)* to show

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \log \frac{W(y|x)}{Q_x^*(y)} \right| &\leq \left(\frac{Q_x^*(y)}{W(y|x)} - 1 \right) \log e \\ &\leq \frac{r_0i^2 - r_0(i-1)^2}{r_0(i-1)^2} \log e \\ &\leq \frac{F_y}{\sqrt{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

If $\delta > 1/2$, by symmetric we can get the same result in *Case(a)* and *Case(b)*.

In $|\mathcal{Y}|$ -dimensional case, let $C(y)$ denote a constant only depend on $y \in \{1, \dots, |\mathcal{Y}|\}$. Then we have $\frac{C(y)}{n}i^2 \leq \delta \leq \frac{C(y)}{n}(i+1)^2$ because each k -th dimension is constructed by $\Lambda_2\left(\frac{1}{nC_0(y)}\right)$, where $C_0(y)$ is a constant only depend on y . Following the same argument in the 2-dimensional case, we get the conclusion.

Note that $D(W_x \| Q_x^*)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n^2}$, we get

$$V_n \leq \frac{C_1}{n}, \quad (86)$$

where C_1 is a constant. Then, using the inequality $|a - b|^3 \leq 4(|a|^3 - |b|^3)$ to obtain

$$T_n \leq \frac{C_2}{n^{3/2}}, \quad (87)$$

where C_2 is a constant. This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX E
PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof. Let the covering radius $r = 1/n$, apply (14), we obtain

$$\log |\mathcal{N}_r| \leq \frac{\ell}{2} \log n + \log C_1, \quad (88)$$

where $\log C_1 = \frac{\ell}{2}(\log \ell + 2 \log(\sqrt{2\tau} + 1))$ and $\ell = |\mathcal{Y}| - 1$. Note that $E \leq |\mathcal{N}_r|/|\mathcal{X}| + 1$, for any $n \geq 1$, we can find a constant C_2 satisfies

$$\log E \leq \frac{\ell}{2} \log n + \log C_1 + \log C_2 - \log |\mathcal{X}| \quad (89)$$

Case(a). $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2]$. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_{W_{x_i}} \left[\left(\log \frac{W_{x_i}}{Q_{x_i}^*} - D(W_{x_i} \| Q_{x_i}^*) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\hat{P}_{x^n} W} \left[\left(\log \frac{W}{Q_X^*} - D(W \| Q_X^*) \right)^2 \right] \\ &= V(\hat{P}_{x^n}, W). \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Apply Lemma 3 we obtain that for any $\pi \in \Theta$, there exists a constant F_0 only depends on π and \hat{P}_{x^n} such that

$$|V(\hat{P}_{x^n}, W) - V(\pi, W)| \leq \frac{F_1}{n}. \quad (91)$$

Then, apply Proposition 1 and Lemma 2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \log M &\leq \log E + nD_n - \log \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} + O(1) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{nV(\pi, W)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\alpha - \frac{T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Let

$$\Delta = 2^{-G_1 \log \log n} \times \sqrt{n}, \quad (93)$$

where G_1 is a constant. Then, we notice that $\log \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} = -G_1 \log \log n$ and $\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} = 2^{-G_1 \log \log n}$. For any $F_2 \in (0, 1)$, we can find $n \geq N_0$ such that $\frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \leq F_2$. Again by Lemma 3, for any $F_3 \in (0, 1)$, we can find $n \geq N_1$ such that $6T_n/\sqrt{nV_n^3} \leq F_3$. Note that $\sqrt{nV(\pi, W)}$ is a finite constant for any n , setting $n \geq \max\{N_0, N_1\}$ and applying Taylor's formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{nV(\pi, W)} \Phi^{-1} \left(\alpha - \frac{6T_n}{\sqrt{nV_n^3}} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \\ \geq \sqrt{nV(\pi, W)} \Phi^{-1}(\alpha) - F_4 \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

$$\Lambda(r_0) = \left\{ r_0 i^2 : i \in \mathbb{Z}, r_0 i^2 < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ 1 - r_0 i^2 : i \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 - r_0 i^2 < \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\} \quad (97)$$

$$\Lambda_2(r_0) = \{(q, 1 - q) : q \in \Lambda(r_0)\} \quad (98)$$

$$\Lambda_k(r_0) = \bigcup_{q \in \Lambda(r/k)} \left\{ ((1 - q)q_1^*, \dots, (1 - q)q_{k-1}^*, q) : (q_1^*, \dots, q_{k-1}^*) \in \Lambda_{k-1} \left(\frac{k-1}{k} r_0 \right) \right\} \quad (99)$$

for some finite constant F_4 and all $n \geq \max\{N_0, N_1\}$. Substituting these into (92), and note that $\Phi^{-1}(\alpha) = -\Phi^{-1}(\epsilon)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M &\leq \frac{\ell}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{\min}} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\ &\quad + O(\log \log n). \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

Case(b). $\epsilon \in (1/2, 1)$. Following the same argument in *Case(a)* but maximizing $V(\pi, W)$ because $\Phi(\epsilon) \geq 0$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log M &\leq \frac{\ell}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{\max}} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\ &\quad + O(\log \log n). \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

This completes the proof. \square

APPENDIX F

PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Proof. We use (97) and (98) to construct a set of points $\Lambda_2(r_0)$. Theorem 2 yields that we only need to focus on the point within $(\delta, 1 - \delta)$. To do this we define

$$\Delta_1^* = \{(p, 1 - p) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \delta < p \leq 1/2\}. \quad (100)$$

Then we need to cover the Δ_1^* . Without loss of generality, let $\delta < 1/2$, we can set $r_0(i-1)^2 < \delta \leq r_0 i^2 < 1/2$ for small r_0 . Let $Q_1 = (r_0 i^2, 1 - r_0 i^2)$, $Q_2 = (r_0(i-1)^2, 1 - r_0(i-1)^2)$, from (12) we obtain that

$$D(W_x \| Q_1) \leq \frac{(\delta - r_0 i^2)^2}{r_0 i^2 (1 - r_0 i^2)} \quad (101)$$

and

$$D(W_x \| Q_2) \leq \frac{(\delta - r_0(i-1)^2)^2}{r_0(i-1)^2 (1 - r_0(i-1)^2)}. \quad (102)$$

Case(a). When $\delta \geq r_0(i - \sqrt{2}/2)^2$, let Q_1 be the covering center of W_x and apply (101) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(W_x \| Q_1) &\leq \frac{(r_0(i - \sqrt{2}/2)^2 - r_0 i^2)^2}{r_0 i^2 (1 - r_0 i^2)} \\ &\leq \frac{r_0}{\delta} \times \frac{(-\sqrt{2}i + 0.5)^2}{1/r_0 - i^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that $r_0 i^2 > \delta$. For $i < \sqrt{1/r_0}$, we can find a $c > 0$ such that

$$D(W_x \| Q_1) \leq \frac{r_0 c}{\delta}. \quad (104)$$

Case(b). When $\delta < r_0(i - \sqrt{2}/2)^2$, let Q_2 be the covering center of W_x and apply (102) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D(W_x \| Q_2) &\leq \frac{(r_0(i - \sqrt{2}/2)^2 - r_0(i - 1)^2)^2}{r_0(i - 1)^2(1 - r_0(i - 1)^2)} \\ &= \frac{r_0^2((2 - \sqrt{2})i - 1/2)^2}{r_0(i - 1)^2(1 - r_0(i - 1)^2)} \\ &\leq r_0 \times \frac{r_0^2((2 - \sqrt{2})i - 1/2)^2}{r_0(i - 1)^2/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $1 - r_0(i - 1)^2 > 1/2$. For $i \geq 2$, we have

$$D(W_x \| Q_2) \leq r_0. \quad (106)$$

Let $\tau = c/\delta$ and $r_0 = \frac{1}{\tau n}$, where we set $c > 1/2$. Note that we can find i such that

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{\tau n}(i - 1)^2 \leq \delta \leq \frac{1}{\tau n}i^2 = \lambda_1. \quad (107)$$

Therefore we have

$$\sqrt{\delta \tau n} \leq i \leq \sqrt{\delta \tau n} + 1. \quad (108)$$

Note that for n sufficiently large, we have $i \geq 2$ and $i \leq \sqrt{\tau n \delta} + 1 \leq \sqrt{1/r_0}$. According to (104) and (106) we get

$$\min_{Q \in \Lambda_2(r_0)} D(W_x \| Q) \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (109)$$

For the point $P = (p, 1 - p)$, where $p > \delta$, by the same argument we get

$$\min_{Q \in \Lambda_2(r_0)} D(P \| Q) \leq \frac{1}{n}. \quad (110)$$

That is, all points of Δ_1^* are covered by covering centers and the covering radius is $1/n$.

Then we need to upper bound c , for $r_0 = \frac{1}{\tau n}$ and $i \leq \sqrt{\tau n \delta} + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \frac{(-\sqrt{2}i + 0.5)^2}{\frac{cn}{\delta} - i^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(-\sqrt{2}cn + 0.5)^2}{cn(1 - \delta)/\delta - 2\sqrt{cn} - 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Because $\delta < 1/2$, we have $(1 - \delta)/\delta > 1$. Then, for n sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{(-\sqrt{2}cn + 0.5)^2}{cn(1 - \delta)/\delta - 2\sqrt{cn} - 1} \leq 2. \quad (112)$$

Thus, we set $c = 2$.

Now, we compute the variance. Note that for any $\delta > r_0(i - \sqrt{2}/2)^2$, $Q_1 = (\lambda_1, 1 - \lambda_1)$ is always the divergence covering center of W_x . Thus we can let

$$\lambda_1 - \delta = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{\tau n} [i^2 - (i - 1)^2]. \quad (113)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{min}(\delta) &= \delta \log^2 \frac{\delta}{\delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} - \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} + (1 - \delta) \log^2 \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \delta - \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} + \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} \\
&\quad - \left(\delta \log \frac{\delta}{\delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} + \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} + (1 - \delta) \log \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \delta - \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} - \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} \right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{115}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{max}(\delta) &= \delta \log^2 \frac{\delta}{\delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} + \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} + (1 - \delta) \log^2 \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \delta - \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} - \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} \\
&\quad - \left(\delta \log \frac{\delta}{\delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} - \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} + (1 - \delta) \log \frac{1 - \delta}{1 - \delta - \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} + \frac{1}{2\tau n}}} \right)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

Substituting this into (108) we get

$$\delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} - \frac{1}{2\tau n}} \leq \lambda_1 \leq \delta + \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\tau n} + \frac{1}{2\tau n}}. \tag{114}$$

Then the lower bound and upper bound of the variance can be computed as (115) and (116).

Since Theorem 1 holds for the set of covering centers which constructed in any way, for $\epsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log M &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{min}(\delta)} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\
&\quad + O(\log \log n),
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

and for $\epsilon \in [1/2, 1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log M &\leq \frac{1}{2} \log n + \sqrt{n V_{max}(\delta)} \Phi^{-1}(\epsilon) \\
&\quad + O(\log \log n).
\end{aligned} \tag{118}$$

This completes the proof of (45) and (46). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Makur, "Coding theorems for noisy permutation channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 66, no. 11, pp. 6723–6748, Nov. 2020.
- [2] J. M. Walsh, S. Weber, and C. W. Maina, "Optimal rate delay tradeoffs for multipath routed and network coded networks," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Toronto, ON, Canada, Jul. 2008, pp. 682–686.
- [3] J. MacLaren Walsh, S. Weber, and C. Wa Maina, "Optimal rate–delay tradeoffs and delay mitigating codes for multipath routed and network coded networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5491–5510, Dec. 2009.
- [4] M. Kovacevic and D. Vukobratovic, "Subset codes for packet networks," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 729–732, Apr. 2013.
- [5] M. Kovačević and D. Vukobratović, "Perfect codes in the discrete simplex," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 75, no. 1, pp. 81–95, Apr. 2015.
- [6] M. Kovacevic and V. Y. F. Tan, "Codes in the space of multisets—coding for permutation channels with impairments," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 64, no. 7, pp. 5156–5169, Jul. 2018.
- [7] S. M. H. T. Yazdi, H. M. Kiah, E. Garcia-Ruiz, J. Ma, H. Zhao, and O. Milenkovic, "DNA-based storage: Trends and methods," *IEEE Trans. Mol. Biol. Multi-Scale Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 230–248, Sep. 2015.
- [8] R. Heckel, I. Shomorony, K. Ramchandran, and D. N. C. Tse, "Fundamental limits of DNA storage systems," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Aachen, Germany, Jun. 2017, pp. 3130–3134.

- [9] I. Shomorony and R. Heckel, “Capacity results for the noisy shuffling channel,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Paris, France, Jul. 2019, pp. 762–766.
- [10] A. Makur, “Bounds on permutation channel capacity,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Los Angeles, CA, USA, Jun. 2020, pp. 2026–2031.
- [11] J. Tang and Y. Polyanskiy, “Capacity of noisy permutation channels,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 4145–4162, Jul. 2023.
- [12] W. Lu and A. Makur, “Permutation sum-capacity of binary adder multiple-access channels,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Taipei, Taiwan, Jun. 25, 2023, pp. 933–938.
- [13] W. Lu and A. Makur, “Permutation capacity region of adder multiple-access channels,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [14] J. Wolfowitz, “Notes on a general strong converse,” *Information and Control*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–4, Jan. 1968.
- [15] V. Strassen, “Asymptotic estimates in shannon’s information theory,” in *Trans. 3rd Prague Conf. Inf. Theory*, 1962, pp. 689–723.
- [16] L. Wang, R. Colbeck, and R. Renner, “Simple channel coding bounds,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Seoul, South Korea, Jun. 2009, pp. 1804–1808.
- [17] M. Hayashi, “Information spectrum approach to second-order coding rate in channel coding,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 11, pp. 4947–4966, Nov. 2009.
- [18] Y. Polyanskiy, H. V. Poor, and S. Verdú, “Channel coding rate in the finite blocklength regime,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 5, pp. 2307–2359, May 2010.
- [19] Y. Polyanskiy, “Channel coding: Non-asymptotic fundamental limits,” Ph.D. dissertation, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, USA, 2010.
- [20] Y. Polyanskiy, “Saddle point in the minimax converse for channel coding,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 5, pp. 2576–2595, May 2013.
- [21] M. Tomamichel and V. Y. F. Tan, “A tight upper bound for the third-order asymptotics for most discrete memoryless channels,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 11, pp. 7041–7051, Nov. 2013.
- [22] F. Topsøe, “An information theoretical identity and a problem involving capacity,” *Stud. Sci. Math. Hung.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 291–292, 1967.
- [23] J. Tang, “Divergence covering,” Ph.D. dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology., Cambridge, MA, USA, 2021.
- [24] Y. Polyanskiy and Y. Wu, *Information theory: From coding to learning*. Cambridge, U.K: Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [25] A. N. Shirayayev, Ed., *Selected Works of A. N. Kolmogorov*, red. by M. Hazewinkel, vol. 27, Mathematics and Its Applications, Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 1993.
- [26] Y. Yang and A. Barron, “Information-theoretic determination of minimax rates of convergence,” *Ann. Statist.*, vol. 27, no. 5, Oct. 1, 1999.
- [27] I. Csiszar and Z. Talata, “Context tree estimation for not necessarily finite memory processes, via BIC and MDL,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1007–1016, Mar. 2006.
- [28] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1971, Vol. II.