

DENSITY THEOREMS FOR RIEMANN'S AUXILIARY FUNCTION.

J. ARIAS DE REYNA

ABSTRACT. We prove a density theorem for the auxiliary function $\mathcal{R}(s)$ found by Siegel in Riemann papers. Let α be a real number with $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, and let $N(\alpha, T)$ be the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $1 \geq \beta \geq \alpha$ and $0 < \gamma \leq T$. Then we prove

$$N(\alpha, T) \ll T^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}(\log T)^3.$$

Therefore, most of the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ are near the critical line or to the left of that line. The imaginary line for $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$ passing through a zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ near the critical line frequently will cut the critical line, producing two zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in the critical line.

1. INTRODUCTION

Siegel [9] introduced the function $\mathcal{R}(s)$ considered by Riemann in his Nachlass. It is connected with $\zeta(s)$ by the relation

$$Z(t) = e^{i\vartheta(t)}\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = 2 \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)\}.$$

Therefore, $\zeta(s)$ have a zero on the critical line at each point $s = \frac{1}{2} + it$ where $e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$ is purely imaginary. This is the same as saying that $\zeta(s)$ have a zero at a point s in the critical line just in case $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$ is purely imaginary.

Siegel connected the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with zeros on the critical line of $\zeta(s)$, showing that $\zeta(s)$ have at least $2N_2(T)$ zeros on the critical line with ordinates between 0 and T , where $N_2(T)$ is the number of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ to the left of the critical line and with ordinates between 0 and T . To understand the relationship between the zeros, consider the x-ray of $e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$ in the figure. The horizontal line is the real axis for t .




FIGURE 1. x-ray of $e^{i\vartheta(t)} \mathcal{R}\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right)$ in $(200\ 040, 200\ 060) \times (-2, 4)$

Each zero of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ to the left of the critical line gives a zero above the real axis in the figure. The function $Z(t)$ vanishes at the points where the imaginary lines (blue lines)

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M99; Secondary 30D99.

Key words and phrases. zeta function, Riemann's auxiliary function.

in the figure cut the real axis. All imaginary lines (in blue) start at the bottom of the figure so that the imaginary line passing through a zero of $e^{i\theta(t)} \mathcal{R}(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ above the real axis cuts the real axis at two points, producing two zeros of $Z(t)$.

In principle, it would be possible that the imaginary line through a zero below the real axis in the figure do not cut the real axis. But we see in the examples in the figure that they are sufficiently close to give also two zeros of $Z(t)$.

Since $\mathcal{R}(s)$ can be approximated by Dirichlet polynomials, the methods used to prove the density theorems for $\zeta(s)$ can be applied to $\mathcal{R}(s)$, and this is the objective of this paper. Our main result is Theorem 10 where we prove that for $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $N(\alpha, T) \ll T^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} \log^3 T$, where $N(\alpha, T)$ denotes the number of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in $[\alpha, 1] \times [0, T]$ counted with multiplicity. The proof is standard, sure this can be improved, I have not used a mollifier here.

These zeros below the real line in our figure correspond to the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ to the right of the critical line. In this paper, we show that most of the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ to the right of the critical line are near this line. These density theorems make it almost inevitable that each of these zeros produce its two zeros for $\zeta(s)$. In this way, the $\frac{T}{4\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{4\pi}$ zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ can generate the $\frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi} - \frac{T}{2\pi}$ zeros predicted by the Riemann hypothesis.

It is also interesting that the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ give limits to the possible density theorems.

In this paper, we follow the Theorems in Iwaniec [6], adapting them to $\mathcal{R}(s)$. I give proofs of these Theorems giving explicit constants. But this is not needed for our Theorems.

We use the notations $N(\sigma, T)$, that usually refers to the zeta function, for the corresponding functions associated with $\mathcal{R}(s)$. Since we do not refer to the corresponding function for $\zeta(s)$ I expect this to not cause confusion. We denote by $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of natural numbers.

2. DIRICHLET POLYNOMIALS AND DETECTION OF ZEROS

We follow the Theorems in Iwaniec [6] but give his theorems with definite constants. This is not necessary. An expert can proceed directly to the Section 3. The only notable difference with the case of the zeta function is that we cannot directly use the interval $[0, T]$ because the Dirichlet polynomials only approximates $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in intervals of the type $[2\pi N^2, 2\pi(N+1)^2]$ or close to this interval.

First, we give two elementary lemmas on extension of differentiable functions.

Lemma 1. *Given three real numbers a , b and c , with $|a| \leq 1$, $|b| \leq 1$ and $|c - b| \leq 1$, there is a function $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^2 such that*

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = \varphi''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi(1) = a, \quad \varphi'(1) = b, \quad \varphi''(1) = c,$$

satisfying the following inequalities

$$(1) \quad |\varphi(x)| \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad |\varphi'(x)| \leq \frac{7}{3}, \quad |\varphi''(x) - \varphi'(x)| \leq \frac{41}{5}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Proof. Let $d = c - b$, then we want a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2[0, 1]$ such that

$$(2) \quad \varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = \varphi''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi(1) = a, \quad \varphi'(1) = b, \quad \varphi''(1) - \varphi'(1) = d,$$

and with the smaller possible values of the three norms $\|\varphi\|_\infty$, $\|\varphi'\|_\infty$ and $\|\varphi'' - \varphi'\|_\infty$. Here $-1 \leq a, b, d \leq 1$ are arbitrary. Any (a, b, d) is a convex combination of points $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)$

with $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$. Therefore, we search functions $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{C}^2[0, 1]$ for $1 \leq j \leq 4$ verifying

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(0) = \varphi_1'(0) = \varphi_1''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi_1(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_1'(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_1''(1) - \varphi_1'(1) = 1. \\ \varphi_2(0) = \varphi_2'(0) = \varphi_2''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi_2(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_2'(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_2''(1) - \varphi_2'(1) = -1. \\ \varphi_3(0) = \varphi_3'(0) = \varphi_3''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi_3(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_3'(1) = -1; \quad \varphi_3''(1) - \varphi_3'(1) = 1. \\ \varphi_4(0) = \varphi_4'(0) = \varphi_4''(0) = 0, \quad \varphi_4(1) = 1; \quad \varphi_4'(1) = -1; \quad \varphi_4''(1) - \varphi_4'(1) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

According to what we have said, given $-1 \leq a, b, d \leq 1$ there are eight real numbers $a_j \geq 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^8 a_j = 1$ and such that $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^4 a_j \varphi_j - \sum_{j=1}^4 a_{j+4} \varphi_j$ satisfies the conditions (2). And we obtain our Lemma taking the supremum of the norms of each φ_j as bounds. We take

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x) = x^3(4x^2 - 10x + 7), \quad \varphi_3(x) = \frac{x^3}{5}(21x^6 - 28x^5 - 28x^4 + 178x^2 - 250x + 112), \\ \varphi_2(x) = x^3(3x^2 - 8x + 6), \quad \varphi_4(x) = \frac{x^3}{10}(49x^6 - 70x^5 - 56x^4 + 381x^2 - 522x + 228). \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_1\|_\infty = 1, \quad \|\varphi_1'\|_\infty = 1.52797, \quad \|\varphi_1'' - \varphi_1'\|_\infty = 3.68937, \\ \|\varphi_2\|_\infty = 1, \quad \|\varphi_2'\|_\infty = \frac{189}{125}, \quad \|\varphi_2'' - \varphi_2'\|_\infty = 3.35572, \\ \|\varphi_3\|_\infty = 1.10624, \quad \|\varphi_3'\|_\infty = 2.29980, \quad \|\varphi_3'' - \varphi_3'\|_\infty = 8.18258, \\ \|\varphi_4\|_\infty = 1.09127, \quad \|\varphi_4'\|_\infty = 2.23453, \quad \|\varphi_4'' - \varphi_4'\|_\infty = 8.11817. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2. *Let $f: [1, N] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^2 , such that*

$$|f(x)| \leq 1, \quad x|f'(x)| \leq 1, \quad x^2|f''(x)| \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq x \leq N.$$

There exists a function $g: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^2 , with support contained in $[1/e, eN]$, which extends f , and such that

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad x|g'(x)| \leq \frac{7}{3}, \quad x^2|g''(x)| \leq \frac{41}{5}, \quad 0 < x < +\infty.$$

Proof. Define F on $[0, \log N]$ by $F(\log x) = f(x)$. We have

$$f(x) = F(\log x), \quad f'(x) = \frac{1}{x}F'(\log x), \quad f''(x) = \frac{1}{x^2}(F''(\log x) - F'(\log x))$$

Therefore, for y in the domain of F we have

$$|F(y)| \leq 1, \quad |F'(y)| \leq 1, \quad |F''(y) - F'(y)| \leq 1.$$

These inequalities for F and its derivatives are equivalent to the hypothesis for f .

To prove the lemma, we construct an extension of F , $G: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ of class \mathcal{C}^2 , with support contained in $[-1, \log N + 1]$, and such that

$$|G(y)| \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad |G'(y)| \leq \frac{7}{3}, \quad |G''(y) - G'(y)| \leq \frac{41}{5}.$$

It suffices to construct a function on $[-1, 0]$ such that its derivatives to the order 2 coincide with the ones of F at $y = 0$, and are 0 at the point -1 . And an analogous construction of an adequate function on $[\log N, \log N + 1]$.

To define G we extend F to $[-1, \log N + 1]$ with these values and then $G(y) = 0$ for $y \notin [-1, \log N + 1]$.

To construct G on $[-1, 0]$ we apply Proposition 1 with the constants $a = F(0)$, $b = F'(0)$, $c = F''(0)$. These values satisfy by hypothesis the inequalities $|a| \leq 1$, $|b| \leq 1$ y $|c - b| \leq 1$.

Proposition 1 gives us a function $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Define then G en $[-1, 0]$ by means of the expression

$$G(x) = \varphi(1+x), \quad G'(x) = \varphi'(1+x), \quad G''(x) = \varphi''(1+x).$$

We will have

$$G(0) = \varphi(1) = F(0), \quad G'(0) = \varphi'(1) = F'(0), \quad G''(0) = \varphi''(1) = F''(0),$$

and

$$G(-1) = G'(-1) = G''(-1) = 0.$$

According to Proposition 1 for $-1 \leq x \leq 0$ we have

$$|G(x)| \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad |G'(x)| \leq \frac{7}{3}, \quad |G''(x) - G'(x)| \leq \frac{41}{5}.$$

so that $g(x) = G(\log x)$ satisfies the conditions in our Lemma. \square

Using this lemma, Mellin's transform, and integration by parts, we arrive at the following bound of a Dirichlet polynomial.

Proposition 3. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a natural number and $f: [1, N] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a \mathcal{C}^2 -function satisfying the inequalities*

$$|f(x)| \leq 1, \quad x|f'(x)| \leq 1, \quad x^2|f''(x)| \leq 1.$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f(n) n^{-it_0} \right| \leq \frac{b}{2\pi} (\log N + 2) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-i(t_0+t)} \right| \frac{dt}{(1+t^2)}, \quad b < 8.775.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 we may extend f to a \mathcal{C}^2 class function $g: (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with the support contained in $[1/e, eN]$ and such that

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{10}{9}, \quad x|g'(x)| \leq \frac{7}{3}, \quad x^2|g''(x)| \leq \frac{41}{5}.$$

Consider its Mellin transform

$$h(t) = \int_0^{\infty} g(x) x^{it} \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Let $M = 41/5$ and $m = 10/9$ be the constants in the lemma 2. There is an algebraic number $a = 2.626198\dots$ such that $M/m = a\sqrt{1+a^2}$, so that

$$b := \frac{M}{a} \sqrt{1+a^2} = m(1+a^2), \quad b = 8.77435\dots$$

Integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= g(x) \frac{x^{it}}{it} \Big|_0^{\infty} - \frac{1}{it} \int_0^{\infty} g'(x) x^{it} dx = -\frac{1}{it} \int_0^{\infty} g'(x) x^{it} dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{it} g'(x) \frac{x^{it+1}}{1+it} \Big|_0^{\infty} + \frac{1}{it(1+it)} \int_0^{\infty} g''(x) x^{it+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$|h(t)| \leq \frac{1}{|t(1+it)|} \int_0^{\infty} x^2 |g''(x)| \frac{dx}{x} \leq \frac{M}{|t(1+it)|} \int_{1/e}^{eN} \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{M(\log N + 2)}{|t(1+it)|}.$$

Then for $|t| > a$

$$|h(t)| \leq \frac{M(\log N + 2)}{(1 + t^2)} \frac{1 + t^2}{|t(1 + it)|} \leq \frac{M(1 + t^2)}{|t|\sqrt{1 + t^2}} \frac{(\log N + 2)}{1 + t^2} \leq \frac{b(\log N + 2)}{1 + t^2}.$$

For $|t| \leq a$ we have, from its definition, the following

$$|h(x)| \leq \int_{1/e}^{e^N} |g(x)| \frac{dx}{x} \leq m \log(N + 2) \leq m(1 + a^2) \frac{(\log N + 2)}{1 + t^2} = b \frac{(\log N + 2)}{1 + t^2}.$$

Once estimated $h(x)$, we finish the proof using Mellin's inversion

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t)x^{-it} dt.$$

Since $f(n) = g(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f(n) n^{-it_0} \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n n^{-it_0}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t) n^{-it} dt \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(t) \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-it_0 - it} dt \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-i(t_0+t)} \right| \cdot |h(t)| dt \leq \frac{b(\log N + 2)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-i(t_0+t)} \right| \frac{dt}{1 + t^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Usually it is easier to use the next corollary.

Corollary 4. *Under the same conditions as in Proposition 3 we have*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f(n) n^{-it_0} \right| \leq \frac{b}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (\log N + 2) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-i(t_0+t)} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Applying Schwarz's Lemma

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(t)v(t) \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} \leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} u(t)^2 \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v(t)^2 \frac{dt}{1 + t^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad \square$$

To apply this corollary we need a Theorem estimating the mean of a Dirichlet polynomial.

Theorem 5 (Montgomery). *Suppose that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ are distinct real numbers, for any complex numbers $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^N$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^N$ we have*

$$(3) \quad \left| \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq N \\ 1 \leq n \leq N \\ n \neq m}} \frac{x_m y_n}{\lambda_m - \lambda_n} \right| \leq \frac{3\pi}{2} \left(\sum_{m=1}^N |x_m|^2 / \delta_m \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^N |y_n|^2 / \delta_n \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\delta_n = \min_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq N \\ m \neq n}} |\lambda_m - \lambda_n|$.

See Montgomery [7, p. 140, eq. (27)]. The constant $3\pi/2$ is not the best possible. Preissman [8] proved that the inequality is true with the constant $\pi \sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{\frac{6}{5}}} < \frac{4}{3}\pi$.

Theorem 6. *For any real numbers $U, T > 0$ and complex numbers a_n , we have*

$$(4) \quad \int_U^{U+T} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n^{it}} \right|^2 dt \leq (T + \frac{4\pi}{3}) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 + \frac{8\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N n |a_n|^2.$$

Proof. We may assume that $U = 0$ by changing a_n into $a_n n^{-iU}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n^{it}} \right|^2 dt &= \sum_{n,m=1}^N a_n \overline{a_m} \int_0^T e^{it(\log m - \log n)} dt \\ &= T \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 + \sum_{n \neq m} \frac{a_n \overline{a_m}}{i(\log m - \log n)} (e^{iT(\log m - \log n)} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Applying two times Montgomery's Theorem 6 (With $\lambda_n = \log n$, we have $\delta_1 = \log 2$ and $\delta_n = \log \frac{n+1}{n} \geq \frac{1}{n+1/2}$ for $n \geq 1$)

$$\leq (T + \frac{4\pi}{3}) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 + 2\frac{4\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N n |a_n|^2. \quad \square$$

All the above results combine to get a bound on the set of points where a Dirichlet polynomial gets large values. This will be our main tool to obtain estimates on the number of zeros.

Lemma 7. *Let $T > 2$ and $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq T$, with $t_{k+1} - t_k \geq 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then we have*

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t_k)^2} \leq \pi \coth(\pi), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

and for $mT \leq t \leq (m+1)T$ or $-mT \leq t \leq -(m-1)T$ with $m \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t_k)^2} \leq \frac{1}{(m-1)^2 T^2} + \arctan((m-1)T) - \arctan((m-2)T).$$

Proof. In any case, there are points $t'_k \in [0, T]$ with $t'_{k+1} = t'_k + 1$ and such that $|t - t'_k| \leq |t - t_k|$, then, with $x = t - t'_1 + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S &:= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t_k)^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t'_k)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t'_1 - k + 1)^2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + (t - t'_1 - k + 1)^2} = \frac{\pi \sinh(2\pi)}{\cosh(2\pi) - \cos(2\pi x)} \leq \frac{\pi \sinh(2\pi)}{\cosh(2\pi) - 1} = \pi \coth(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

The case $-mT \leq t \leq -(m-1)T$ is entirely similar to the one in which $mT \leq t \leq (m+1)T$. Hence we only consider the cases $mT \leq t \leq (m+1)T$ with $m \geq 2$ an integer. In the case $mT \leq t \leq (m+1)T$ we have with $x = t - t'_1 + 1$

$$S \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (t - t'_k)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (x - k)^2}$$

We have

$$(m-1)T + 1 = mT - T + 1 \leq x = t - t'_1 + 1 \leq (m+1)T - 0 + 1.$$

Hence for $m \geq 2$ we have $x > T + 1$ and $\frac{1}{1+(x-u)^2}$ is an increasing function of u so that

$$S \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + (x - k)^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 + (x - n)^2} + \int_1^n \frac{du}{1 + (x - u)^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + (x-1)^2} + \arctan(x-1) - \arctan(x-n)$$

Now $(m-1)T \leq x-1 \leq (m+1)T$, and $T \geq t_n - 0 > n-1$, so that $x-n \geq (m-1)T+1 - (T+1) = (m-2)T \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$S \leq \frac{1}{1 + (m-1)^2 T^2} + \arctan((m+1)T) - \arctan((m-2)T). \quad \square$$

Theorem 8. Let $A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{a_n}{n^s}$ be a Dirichlet polynomial. Let s_1, s_2, \dots, s_J be a finite set of well separated points, i.e. points $s_j = \sigma_j + it_j$ such that $|t_j - t_{j'}| \geq 1$ for $j \neq j'$. Assume also that the points are in the rectangle $R = [0, \Delta] \times [0, T]$ with $\Delta + \Delta^2 \leq 1$ and $T \geq 4$.

Then

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^J |A(s_j)|^2 \leq 25\pi(\log N + 2)^2 \left\{ \left(T + \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 + \frac{8\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N n |a_n|^2 \right\}.$$

Proof. Write $A(s_j)$ as

$$A(s_j) = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n^{\sigma_j}} a_n n^{-it_j} = \sum_{n=1}^N f_j(n) a_n n^{-it_j},$$

where $f_j(x) := x^{-\sigma_j}$. For $x \geq 1$ we have

$$|f_j(x)| \leq 1, \quad f_j'(x) = -\frac{\sigma_j}{x} f_j(x), \quad f_j''(x) = \left(\frac{\sigma_j}{x^2} + \frac{\sigma_j^2}{x^3}\right) f_j(x),$$

Since $\Delta^2 + \Delta \leq 1$, the functions f_j satisfy the conditions of Proposition 3 and Corollary 4. Let $A(s) = \sum_{n=1}^N a_n n^{-s}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{4\pi}{b^2(\log N + 2)^2} \sum_{j=1}^J |A(s_j)|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^J \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(it_j + it)|^2 \frac{dt}{1+t^2} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(it)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{1+(t-t_j)^2} \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

We decompose the integral in subintervals of length T

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(it)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{1+(t-t_j)^2} \right) dt = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{mT}^{(m+1)T} |A(it)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{1+(t-t_j)^2} \right) dt.$$

We apply the lemma 7. For the intervals $[-T, 0]$, $[0, T]$ and $[T, 2T]$ we use the bound $\pi \coth(\pi)$. For the other, use the alternative inequality

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P & := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A(it)|^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^J \frac{1}{1+(t-t_j)^2} \right) dt \\ & \leq \pi \coth \pi \int_{-T}^0 |A(it)|^2 dt + \pi \coth \pi \int_0^T |A(it)|^2 dt + \pi \coth \pi \int_T^{2T} |A(it)|^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + (m-1)^2 T^2} + \arctan((m-1)T) - \arctan((m-2)T) \right) \int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |A(it)|^2 dt \\
& + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1 + (m-1)^2 T^2} + \arctan((m-1)T) - \arctan((m-2)T) \right) \int_{-mT}^{-(m-1)T} |A(it)|^2 dt.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6 all these integrals have the same bound.

$$\int_{kT}^{(k+1)T} |A(it)|^2 \leq H := (T + \frac{4\pi}{3}) \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 + \frac{8\pi}{3} \sum_{n=1}^N n |a_n|^2, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

And we obtain

$$P \leq H \left(3\pi \coth \pi + 2 \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(m-1)^2 T^2} + \arctan((m-1)T) - \arctan((m-2)T) \right) \right)$$

The other sum is bounded in the same way. Therefore,

$$P \leq H \left(3\pi \coth \pi + 2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{T^2} + \pi \right).$$

This means that

$$\sum_{j=1}^J |A(s_j)|^2 \leq \frac{b^2}{4\pi} \left(3\pi \coth \pi + 2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{T^2} + \pi \right) (\log N + 2)^2 H.$$

For $T \geq 4$

$$\frac{b^2}{4\pi} \left(3\pi \coth \pi + 2 \frac{\zeta(2)}{T^2} + \pi \right) = 24.97621\pi \leq 25\pi. \quad \square$$

3. FIRST DENSITY THEOREM

For $\sigma < 1$ and $T > 0$ we denote by $N(\sigma, T)$ the number of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the rectangle $[\sigma, 1] \times [0, T]$ counted with its multiplicity. We know [4] that all zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $\gamma > 0$ and $\gamma > t_0$ satisfies $\beta < 1$, so that $N(\sigma, T)$ counts essentially all zeros with $\gamma > 0$ and $\beta \geq \sigma$. This is proved in [4] for an astronomical t_0 , but I believe [1], that this is also true taking $t_0 = 0$. Since we do not speak about zeros of $\zeta(s)$ in this paper, the notation $N(\sigma, T)$ do not lead to any confusion.

Siegel proved that the zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $\gamma > 0$ satisfies $-\beta \leq \gamma^{3/7}$ and asserts that he could prove that $-\beta \leq \gamma^\varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. I have not been able to prove the Siegel assertion. In [3, Theorem 7] I proved that there is no zero in the closed set

$$F := \{s = \sigma + it : t > 5408\pi, (1 - \sigma) > \sqrt{225\pi t}\}.$$

We will need a bound for the number of zeros contained in a rectangle $[\alpha, 1] \times [T, T+1]$ of height 1.

Theorem 9. *Let $\alpha \leq 1$ and $T \geq e$, there is an absolute constant C such that the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $T \leq \gamma \leq T+1$, counted with multiplicity, is less than $C(3 - \alpha) \log T$.*

Proof. First assume that $T > T_0 > 20000\pi$ and let $N(T)$ be the number of zeros with $\alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $T \leq \gamma \leq T+1$. For $T_1 < T_2$, denote by $N(\alpha, T_1, T_2) = N(\alpha, T_2) - N(\alpha, T_1)$. If $(1 - \alpha) > \sqrt{225\pi(T+1)}$, the result quoted in [3, Theorem 7] implies that

$$N(\alpha, T, T+1) = N(1 - \sqrt{225\pi(T+1)}, T, T+1).$$

Hence, we only need to prove our theorem for $(1 - \alpha) \leq \sqrt{225\pi(T + 1)}$. And we assume this inequality and $T \geq T_0$ in what follows.

We apply Theorem D in the book by Ingham [5, p. 49]. Consider a disc of center at $2 + i(T + \frac{1}{2})$ passing through the point $\alpha + iT$. The radius is determined by $r^2 = (2 - \alpha)^2 + 1/4$ and depends only on α . Let D be the disc with the same center and radius $= 2r$. It is clear that $D \subset R := [2 - 2r, 2 + 2r] \times [T + \frac{1}{2} - 2r, T + \frac{1}{2} + 2r]$. Part of this rectangle R is contained in $\sigma \geq 0$, where by [2, Prop. 12] we have $|\mathcal{R}(s)| \leq 2\sqrt{t/2\pi}$. In the rectangle with $\sigma \leq 0$ we apply [2, Prop. 13]

$$|\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| \leq \frac{19t}{(2\pi)^{1-\sigma}} \{(1 - \sigma)^2 + t^2\}^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \quad \sigma \leq 0, \quad t \geq 16\pi.$$

To apply this, we need $T + \frac{1}{2} - 2r > 16\pi$, but notice that for $T > 6000\pi$

$$16\pi + 2r < 16\pi + 4(2 - \alpha) < 16\pi + 4 + 4\sqrt{225\pi(T + 1)} < T + \frac{1}{2},$$

so that $T + \frac{1}{2} > 16\pi + 2r$. Therefore

$$|\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| \leq \frac{19(2\pi)^\sigma}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{(1 - \sigma)^2}{t^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\sigma}{2}} t^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}.$$

We have $1 - \sigma < t$. To prove it, observe that for s in the rectangle R we have $t > T + \frac{1}{2} - 2r$ and $2 - 2r \leq \sigma$. Therefore, $1 - \sigma \leq 2r - 1$ and we only have to prove $2r - 1 \leq T + \frac{1}{2} - 2r$. Since $r < 2(2 - \alpha)$, we have

$$4r - \frac{3}{2} \leq 8(2 - \alpha) - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{13}{2} + 8(1 - \alpha) \leq \frac{13}{2} + 8\sqrt{225\pi(T + 1)}.$$

Therefore $(1 - \sigma) \leq t$ follows from

$$\frac{13}{2} + 8\sqrt{225\pi(T + 1)} < T.$$

That is true for $T > 20000\pi$.

Hence, for $s \in D$ with $\sigma \leq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| &\leq \frac{19}{2\pi} 2^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\sigma}{2}} (T + \frac{1}{2} + 2r)^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \leq \frac{19}{2\pi} 2^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2} + 2r}{1 - \sigma + 2r - \frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} T^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma} \\ &\leq \frac{19}{2\pi} 2^{\frac{7}{4}-\frac{3\sigma}{2}} T^{\frac{3}{2}-\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

For $s \in D$, we have

$$\frac{3}{2} - \sigma \leq 2r - \frac{1}{2} \leq 2(2 - \alpha) + \frac{1}{2} \leq 2(3 - \alpha).$$

Hence, for $s \in D$ with $\sigma < 0$,

$$|\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| \leq \frac{19}{2\pi} 2^{3(3-\alpha)} T^{2(3-\alpha)}.$$

The inequality is also true for $s \in D$ with $\sigma > 0$, since $\alpha \leq 1$ and $|\mathcal{R}(\sigma + it)| \leq 2(t/2\pi)^{1/2}$ in this case.

So, $\mathcal{R}(s)$ is bounded in D by $c2^{3(3-\alpha)}T^{2(3-\alpha)}$. The number N of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in the initial disc satisfies, by Theorem D in Ingham

$$2^N \leq \frac{c2^{3(3-\alpha)}}{|\mathcal{R}(2 + i(T + \frac{1}{2}))|} T^{2(3-\alpha)}.$$

By [4, Prop. 6] we know that for $T \geq T_0$ we have $|\mathcal{R}(2 + i(T + \frac{1}{2}))| \geq 1/8$.

Hence,

$$N \log 2 \leq 2(3 - \alpha) \log T + (3 - \alpha) \log 8 + \log(8c).$$

From which we derive

$$N \log 2 \leq (3 - \alpha) \log T \left(2 + \frac{\log 8}{\log T} + \frac{\log(8c)}{(3 - \alpha) \log T} \right) \leq (3 - \alpha) \log T \left(2 + \frac{\log 8}{\log T} + \frac{\log(8c)}{2 \log T} \right)$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $T_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$N \leq (2 + \varepsilon)(3 - \alpha) \frac{\log T}{\log 2}, \quad T \geq T_0'(\varepsilon).$$

Taking $\varepsilon = 1$ for example, we know that there is a constant C such that $N(T) \leq C(3 - \alpha) \log T$ for any $\sigma \leq 1$ and $T \geq T_0$. The number of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $e \leq \gamma \leq T_0$ is finite (by [3, Theorem 7]), so that $N(T) \leq C(3 - \alpha) \log T$ is still true in this case for $T > e$ taking C large enough. \square

In [4, Prop. 5] it is proved that for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and $t \geq 3\pi$ we have

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{t/2\pi}} \frac{1}{n^s} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-\sigma/2}),$$

where the implicit constant is absolute. Therefore, for $2\pi K^2 \leq t < 2\pi(K+1)^2$, where K is a natural number, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^K \frac{1}{n^s} + \mathcal{O}(K^{-\sigma}), \quad K^2 \leq \frac{t}{2\pi} \leq (K+1)^2.$$

We denote by $N_K(\sigma)$ the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ contained in the rectangle $[\sigma, 1] \times (2\pi K^2, 2\pi(K+1)^2]$. Notice that by the results in [4] for large K it is the same as the number of zeros in the rectangle $[\sigma, +\infty) \times (2\pi K^2, 2\pi(K+1)^2]$.

Theorem 10. For $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, let $N(\alpha, T)$ be the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ with $1 \geq \beta \geq \alpha$ and $0 < \gamma \leq T$. Then

$$N(\alpha, T) \ll T^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha} (\log T)^3.$$

Proof. First, consider a natural number K and estimate the number of zeros $N_K(\alpha)$ contained in the rectangle $Q_K = [\alpha, 1] \times (2\pi K^2, 2\pi(K+1)^2]$. Notice that for $s \in Q_K$ we have $t \sim K^2$ so that $\log t \sim \log K$. Divide Q_K into $\mathcal{O}(K)$ rectangles of height 1, by Theorem 9, in each of these rectangles there are at most $c \log K$ zeros. Therefore, we may get $J \gg N_K(\alpha) / \log K$ well separated zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$, that is, such that the difference from two of its heights is always ≥ 1 . Let $\rho_j = \beta_j + i\gamma_j$, $1 \leq j \leq J$ these zeros.

Consider the Dirichlet polynomial

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=2}^K \frac{1}{n^{\alpha+2\pi i K^2}} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

For each j we have

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(\rho_j) = 1 + D(\rho_j - \alpha - 2\pi i K^2) + \mathcal{O}(K^{-\alpha}).$$

Since the implicit constant in $\mathcal{O}(K^{-\alpha})$ is absolute, there is a K_0 such that for $K \geq K_0$ the above relation implies

$$|D(\rho_j - \alpha - 2\pi i K^2)| \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Notice that the points $\rho_j - \alpha - 2\pi i K^2 = x_j + iy_j$ with $0 \leq x_j = \beta_j - \alpha \leq 1 - \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq y_j = \gamma_j - 2\pi K^2 \leq 2\pi(2K + 1)$. We are in conditions to apply Theorem 8, obtaining

$$\frac{J}{4} \leq 25\pi(\log K + 2)^2 \left\{ (2\pi(2K + 1) + \frac{4\pi}{3}) \sum_{n=2}^K \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} + \frac{8\pi}{3} \sum_{n=2}^K \frac{n}{n^{2\alpha}} \right\} \ll K(\log K)^2 K^{1-2\alpha}.$$

From which we derive

$$(6) \quad N_K(\alpha) \ll K^{2-2\alpha}(\log K)^3, \quad K \geq K_0.$$

Since each $N_K(\alpha)$ is finite, this is also true for any $K \geq 2$, perhaps with a bigger implicit constant.

Let now $T > 8\pi$ be given. There is a natural number $K \geq 2$ such that $2\pi K^2 \leq T < 2\pi(K + 1)^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(\alpha, T) &\leq N(\alpha, 8\pi) + \sum_{k=2}^K (N(\alpha, 2\pi(k+1)^2) - N(\alpha, 2\pi k^2)) \\ &\leq N(\alpha, 8\pi) + \sum_{k=2}^K N_k(\alpha) \ll N(\alpha, 8\pi) + \sum_{k=2}^K k^{2-2\alpha}(\log k)^3 \\ &\ll K^{3-2\alpha}(\log K)^3 \ll T^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}(\log T)^3. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 11. *Let $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a nonincreasing function with*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{f(t) \log t}{\log \log t} > 4, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

The number of zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ contained in the subset

$$\Omega_T := \left\{ s = \sigma + it \in \mathbf{C} : e \leq t \leq T, \sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} + f(t) \right\}$$

is $o(T)$. Therefore, most of the zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ are on the left of the line $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + f(t)$.

Proof. Consider a value of K such that $2\pi K^2 < T \leq 2\pi(K + 1)^2$. All zeros in Ω_T with $2\pi k^2 < \gamma \leq 2\pi(k + 1)^2$ are contained in $Q_k = [\alpha_k, 1] \times (2\pi k^2, 2\pi(k + 1)^2]$ with $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{2} + f(2\pi(k + 1)^2)$. Hence, the number of zeros $N(T)$ of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ in Ω_T is less than or equal to

$$N(T) \leq C + \sum_{k=2}^K N_k\left(\frac{1}{2} + f(2\pi(k + 1)^2)\right)$$


and by (6) we get

$$N(T) \ll C + \sum_{k=2}^K k^{1-f(2\pi(k+1)^2)}(\log k)^3 \leq C + \sum_{k=2}^K k(\log k)^3 \exp\left(-f(2\pi(k + 1)^2) \log k\right)$$

$$N(T) \leq C + \sum_{k=2}^K \frac{k(\log k)^3}{(\log k)^4} \exp(4 \log \log k - f(2\pi(k + 1)^2) \log k)$$

$$\ll \sum_{k=2}^K \frac{k}{(\log k)^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll \frac{K^2}{(\log K)^{1-\varepsilon}} \ll T/(\log T)^{1-\varepsilon}. \quad \square$$


Remark 12. The next figures are the xray of $\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\mathcal{R}(s)$ for $\sigma \in (-105, 105)$ and $t \in (-105, 105)$, $(105, 315)$ and $(315, 525)$. The horizontal lines are for $t = 2\pi n^2$ for the first values of n . We have drawn also the critical line. Each cut of the critical line with an imaginary line is a zero of $\zeta(s)$. There are 140 zeros of $\mathcal{R}(s)$ represented in these xrays, and 287 zeros of zeta.




REFERENCES

- [1] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Statistics of zeros of the auxiliary function*, [arXiv:2406.03041](#).
- [2] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Simple bounds of zeta and related functions*, preprint (92)
- [3] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Regions without zeros for the auxiliary function of Riemann*, [arXiv:2406.03825](#).
- [4] ARIAS DE REYNA, J., *Riemann's auxiliary function. Right limit of zeros*, [arXiv:2406.07014](#).
- [5] INGHAM, A. E., *The distribution of prime numbers*, Cambridge University Press. London, 1932. (Reprinted 2000).

- [6] IWANIEC, H., *Lectures on the Riemann's zeta function*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2014.
- [7] MONTGOMERY, H. L., *Ten lectures on the interface between Analytic Number Theory and Harmonic Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1994.
- [8] E. PREISSMANN, *Sur une inégalité de Montgomery-Vaughan*, Enseign. Math **30** (1984) 95–113.
- [9] C. L. SIEGEL, *Über Riemann Nachlaß zur analytischen Zahlentheorie*, Quellen und Studien zur Geschichte der Mathematik Astronomie und Physik **2** (1932) 45–80. (Reprinted in [10], 1, 275–310.)
- [10] C. L. SIEGEL, *Carl Ludwig Siegel's Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, (edited by K. Chandrasekharan and H. Maaß), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1966.





UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, FACULTAD DE MATEMÁTICAS, C/TARFIA, SN, 41012-SEVILLA, SPAIN.
Email address: arias@us.es, ariasdereyna1947@gmail.com