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#### Abstract

Dual lattice is an important concept of Euclidean lattices. In 2024, Deng gave the definition to the concept of the dual lattice of a $p$-adic lattice from the duality theory of locally compact abelian groups. He also proved some important properties of the dual lattice of $p$-adic lattices, which can be viewed as $p$-adic analogues of the famous Minkowski's first, second theorems and transference theorems for Euclidean lattices. However, he only proved the lower bound of the transference theorems for $p$-adic lattices. The upper bound is left as an open question. In this paper, we prove the upper bound of the transference theorems for $p$-adic lattices. We then prove that the dual basis of an orthogonal basis is also an orthogonal basis with respect to the maximum norm.
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## 1. Transference Theorem

In [2], Deng gave the definition to the concept of the dual lattice of a $p$-adic lattice and then proved some important properties of the dual lattice of $p$-adic lattices, including the lower bound of the transference theorems for $p$-adic lattices. He left the upper bound as an open question.

The lower bound exists even if the $p$-adic lattice is not of full rank. However, the upper bound does not exist if the $p$-adic lattice is not of full rank. Consider the following example.

Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$. Let $M$ be the maximum norm on $k^{m}$. Let the basis
of $\Lambda$ be

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then the dual basis

$$
D=\frac{1}{m}\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
\vdots \\
1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=\left|\frac{1}{m}\right|_{p} .
$$

We can take $m=p^{l}$ for any large integer $l$.
Therefore, in the following subsections, we consider the $p$-adic lattice which is of full rank.

### 1.1. Transference Theorem for the Maximum Norm

Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$. Let $M$ be the maximum norm on $k^{m}$, i.e., for $v=$ $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{m}\right) \in k^{m}$,

$$
M(v)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m}|v|_{p}
$$

We consider the upper bound for the transference theorem respect to norm $M$ first. We need the following orthogonalization algorithm.

Algorithm (orthogonalization with orthogonal bases).
Input: an $N$-orthogonal basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $k^{n}$, a lattice $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$ in $k^{n}$.

Output: an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{L}$.

1. for $i=1$ to $m$ do:
2. rearrange $\alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}$ such that $N\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\max _{i \leq k \leq m} N\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$,
3. rearrange $e_{i}, \ldots, e_{n}$ such that $N\left(a_{i i} e_{i}\right)=\max _{i \leq j \leq m} N\left(a_{j i} e_{j}\right)$,
4. for $l=i+1$ to $m$ do:
5. $\quad \alpha_{l} \leftarrow \alpha_{l}-\frac{a_{i l}}{a_{i i}} \alpha_{i}$.

Return $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$.
Theorem 1.1 ([1]). The algorithm above outputs an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{L}$.

Notice that the above theorem is proved only for $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, but it is easy to see that the theorem also holds for $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$.

Now we can prove our main theorem of this subsection.
Theorem 1.2. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is any p-adic lattice of full rank in $k^{n}, \Lambda^{*}$ is its dual. Then we have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=1
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda)$ is the $i$-th successive maxima of the lattice $\Lambda$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}(\Lambda)$ is the $(n+1-i)$-th successive maxima of the lattice $\Lambda^{*}$ with respect to the norm $M$.

Proof. Suppose $\Lambda=\mathcal{L}(B)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is the dual basis of $B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$. We have $\Lambda^{*}=\mathcal{L}(D)$. Let the basis of $k^{n}$ be $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Then $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ is an $M$ orthogonal basis of $k^{n}$ because for any vector $v=v_{1} e_{1}+\cdots+v_{n} e_{n} \in k^{n}$, the norm

$$
M(v)=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|v_{i}\right|_{p}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|v_{i} e_{i}\right|_{p} .
$$

Therefore, we can run the algorithm "orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain the following $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$.

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{n 1} & b_{n 2} & \cdots & b_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left|b_{i i}\right|_{p}=\max \left\{\left|b_{j k}\right|_{p}: i \leq k \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Then we have $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda)=M\left(b_{i}\right)=\left|b_{i i}\right|_{p}$.
Let

$$
B^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
B_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
B_{12} & B_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
B_{1 n} & B_{2 n} & \cdots & B_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

be the adjoint matrix of $B$. We have

$$
B_{i i}=\frac{\operatorname{det} B}{b_{i i}}
$$

and

$$
D=\left(\frac{B^{*}}{\operatorname{det} B}\right)^{\top}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11}^{-1} & * & \cdots & * \\
0 & b_{22}^{-1} & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & b_{n n}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Write $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$. Since

$$
\left|B_{j i}\right|_{p} \leq\left|\frac{\operatorname{det} B}{b_{i i}}\right|_{p}=\left|B_{i i}\right|_{p}
$$

we have $\left|d_{i j}\right|_{p} \leq\left|d_{j j}\right|_{p}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Also, we have

$$
\left|d_{n n}\right|_{p}=\left|b_{n n}^{-1}\right|_{p} \geq\left|d_{n-1, n-1}\right|_{p}=\left|b_{n-1, n-1}^{-1}\right|_{p} \geq \cdots \geq\left|d_{11}\right|_{p}=\left|b_{11}^{-1}\right|_{p}
$$

Hence $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$ is an orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$ according to the algorithm. Therefore, we have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=M\left(d_{i}\right)=\left|b_{i i}^{-1}\right|_{p}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=M\left(b_{i}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}\right)=1
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
Example 1.3. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and $n=3$. Let the basis of $\Lambda$ be

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can run the algorithm "orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$,

$$
B^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & -4 & 8
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Lambda)=1, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(\Lambda)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{3}(\Lambda)=\frac{1}{8}$ with respect to the norm $M$. The dual basis

$$
D=\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
4 & -4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & -1 \\
-2 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can run the algorithm "orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$,

$$
D^{\prime}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & -8 & 2 \\
0 & 4 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=8, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=2$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{3}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=1$ with respect to the norm $M$. We have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{3}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=\tilde{\lambda}_{2}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{2}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=\tilde{\lambda}_{3}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=1
$$

### 1.2. Transference Theorem for General Norms

For a general norm $N$ on $k^{m}$, there is also an upper bound for the transference theorem. We need the following lemma and proposition.

Lemma 1.4 ([3). Let $N$ be a norm on $k^{m}$. Then the two norms $M$ and $N$ are equivalent, i.e., there are two positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that

$$
c_{1} N(v) \leq M(v) \leq c_{2} N(v)
$$

for any $v \in k^{n}$.
Proposition 1.5 ([1). Let $N$ be a norm on $k^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a lattice of rank $n$ in $k^{m}$ such that $N\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \geq \cdots \geq N\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$. Then $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\mathcal{L})=N\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\mathcal{L}) \leq N\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Notice that the above lemma and proposition is proved only for $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, but it is easy to see that the proposition also holds for $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$.

Now we can prove the transference theorem for a general norm $N$ on $k^{m}$.
Theorem 1.6. Let $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ or $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$. Suppose $\Lambda$ is any p-adic lattice of full rank in $k^{n}, \Lambda^{*}$ is its dual. Let $N$ be a norm on $k$. Then we have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \leq c^{\prime}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda)$ is the $i$-th successive maxima of the lattice $\Lambda$, $\tilde{\lambda}_{n+1-i}(\Lambda)$ is the $(n+1-i)$-th successive maxima of the lattice $\Lambda^{*}$ with respect to the norm $N$ and $c^{\prime}$ is a positive constant depending on $N$.

Proof. Suppose $\Lambda=\mathcal{L}(B)$ and $D=\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}\right)$ is the dual basis of $B=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$. We have $\Lambda^{*}=\mathcal{L}(D)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{n 1} & b_{n 2} & \cdots & b_{n n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\left|b_{i i}\right|_{p}=\max \left\{\left|b_{j k}\right|_{p}: i \leq k \leq j \leq n\right\}$. Notice that since $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ may not be an $N$-orthogonal basis of $k^{n}$, the above basis is not an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$ in general. However, we can still perform the transformation in the algorithm "orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain it.

Suppose that

$$
N\left(b_{s_{1}}\right) \geq N\left(b_{s_{2}}\right) \geq \cdots \geq N\left(b_{s_{n}}\right)
$$

where $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Then by Proposition 1.5, we have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda) \leq N\left(d_{s_{i}}\right)
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
The dual basis $D$ is the same as in Theorem 1.2. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $1 \leq j \leq i$ be the subscript such that

$$
N\left(d_{s_{j}}\right)=\max _{1 \leq k \leq i} N\left(d_{s_{k}}\right)
$$

By Proposition 1.5, we have

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{n-i+1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) \leq \max _{1 \leq k \leq i} N\left(d_{s_{k}}\right)=N\left(d_{s_{j}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 1.4. two norms $M$ and $N$ are equivalent, i.e., there are two positive constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ such that

$$
c_{1} N(v) \leq M(v) \leq c_{2} N(v)
$$

for any $v \in k^{n}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\lambda}_{i}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{n-i+1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right) & \leq N\left(b_{s_{i}}\right) \cdot N\left(d_{s_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq N\left(b_{s_{j}}\right) \cdot N\left(d_{s_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq c_{1}^{-2} M\left(b_{s_{j}}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{s_{j}}\right) \\
& =c_{1}^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We can take $c^{\prime}=c_{1}^{-2}$.
Remark. Since we can choose $c_{1}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} N\left(e_{i}\right)$, we can choose

$$
c^{\prime}=\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq m} N\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{-2}
$$

Example 1.7. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\pi)$, where $\pi$ is a root of $f(x)=x^{3}-2$. Let $N$ be the 2-adic absolute value on $K$. Since $f$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, $K$ is totally ramified of degree 3 and

$$
N(\pi)=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}
$$

Let $e_{1}=\pi, e_{2}=\pi^{2}$ and $e_{3}=2$. Then we can choose

$$
c^{\prime}=\left(\max _{1 \leq i \leq 3} N\left(e_{i}\right)\right)^{-2}=2^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

Let the basis of $\Lambda$ be

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 0 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $\pi, \pi^{2}, 2$ is an $N$-orthogonal basis of $K$, we can run the algorithm"orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$,

$$
B^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & -4 & 8
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Lambda)=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(\Lambda)=2^{-\frac{5}{3}}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{3}(\Lambda)=\frac{1}{16}$ with respect to the norm $N$. The dual basis

$$
D=\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
4 & -4 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & -1 \\
-2 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

We can run the algorithm "orthogonalization with orthogonal bases" to obtain an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$,

$$
D^{\prime}=\frac{1}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=4, \tilde{\lambda}_{2}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=1$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{3}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=2^{-\frac{1}{3}}$ with respect to the norm $N$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\lambda}_{1}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{3}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=2^{-\frac{2}{3}} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \tilde{\lambda}_{2}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{2}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=2^{-\frac{5}{3}} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{3}(\Lambda) \cdot \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\Lambda^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}}
$$

## 2. Dual of Orthogonal Basis

It is interesting to ask whether the dual basis of an orthogonal basis is still an orthogonal basis. It turns out that for the norm $M$, the answer is affirmative. However, this conjecture does not hold for all norms.

We need the following theorems.
Theorem 2.1 ([1]). Let $N$ be a norm on $k^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a lattice of rank $n$ in $k^{m}$ with an $N$-orthogonal basis $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$. If $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ can be obtained from $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ by the following operations:

1. $\alpha_{i} \leftarrow k \alpha_{i}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$,
2. $\alpha_{i} \leftrightarrow \alpha_{j}$,
3. $\alpha_{i} \leftarrow \alpha_{i}+k \alpha_{j}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $N\left(k \alpha_{j}\right) \leq N\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$,
then $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ is also an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 2.2 (1]). Let $N$ be a norm on $k^{m}$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a lattice of rank $n$ in $k^{m}$. Then any two $N$-orthogonal bases of $\mathcal{L}$ can be obtained from each other by the following operations:

1. $\alpha_{i} \leftarrow k \alpha_{i}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$,
2. $\alpha_{i} \leftrightarrow \alpha_{j}$,
3. $\alpha_{i} \leftarrow \alpha_{i}+k \alpha_{j}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $N\left(k \alpha_{j}\right) \leq N\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$.

Notice that the above theorems are proved only for $k=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, but it is easy to see that these theorems also hold for $k=\mathbb{F}_{p}((T))$.

Now we can prove our main theorem of this section.
Theorem 2.3. Suppose $\Lambda$ is any p-adic lattice of full rank in $k^{n}$, $\Lambda^{*}$ is its dual. Suppose $\Lambda=\mathcal{L}(B)$ and $D$ is the dual basis of $B$. If $B$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$, then $D$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$.

Proof. According to the proof of Theorem 1.2, if the $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$ is of the form

$$
B^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11}^{\prime} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
b_{21}^{\prime} & b_{22}^{\prime} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
b_{n 1}^{\prime} & b_{n 2}^{\prime} & \cdots & b_{n n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left|b_{i i}^{\prime}\right|_{p}=\max \left\{\left|b_{j k}^{\prime}\right|_{p}: i \leq k \leq j \leq n\right\}$, then the dual basis of $B$ will be of the form

$$
D^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
d_{11}^{\prime} & d_{12}^{\prime} & \cdots & d_{1 n}^{\prime} \\
0 & d_{22}^{\prime} & \cdots & d_{2 n}^{\prime} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & d_{n n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\left|d_{i i}^{\prime}\right|_{p}=\max \left\{\left|d_{j k}^{\prime}\right|_{p}: 1 \leq j \leq k \leq i\right\}$. Hence it is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$.

Now let $B$ be a general $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$. By Theorem 2.2, $B$ can be obtained from $B^{\prime}$ by the following operations:

1. $b_{i} \leftarrow k b_{i}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p} \backslash p \mathbb{Z}_{p}$,
2. $b_{i} \leftrightarrow b_{j}$,
3. $b_{i} \leftarrow b_{i}+k b_{j}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $N\left(k b_{j}\right) \leq N\left(b_{i}\right)$.

Write $B=B^{\prime} E$ where $E=E_{1} E_{2} \cdots E_{s}$ and $E_{i}(1 \leq i \leq s)$ is a fundamental column transformation matrix of one of the above three forms. Then

$$
D=D^{\prime}\left(E^{-1}\right)^{\top}=D^{\prime}\left(E_{1}^{-1}\right)^{\top}\left(E_{2}^{-1}\right)^{\top} \cdots\left(E_{s}^{-1}\right)^{\top}
$$

Let

$$
B_{t}=B^{\prime} E_{1} E_{2} \cdots E_{t}=\left(b_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(t)}\right)
$$

and

$$
D_{t}=D^{\prime}\left(E_{1}^{-1}\right)^{\top}\left(E_{2}^{-1}\right)^{\top} \cdots\left(E_{t}^{-1}\right)^{\top}=\left(d_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t)}\right)
$$

be the basis and dual basis after the $t$-th fundamental column transformation.
Set $B_{0}=B^{\prime}$ and $D_{0}=D^{\prime}$. We claim that $d_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t)}$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$ and $M\left(b_{i}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t)}\right)=1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq t \leq s$. When $t=0$ the claim is proved in Theorem [1.2, Suppose that the claim holds for some $0 \leq t \leq s-1$.

If $E_{t+1}$ is the operation 1 , then $\left(E_{t+1}^{-1}\right)^{\top}$ will be the operation $d_{i}^{(t)} \leftarrow k^{-1} d_{i}^{(t)}$, also of the form 1. By Theorem [2.1] $d_{1}^{(t+1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t+1)}$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
M\left(b_{i}^{(t+1)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t+1)}\right)=M\left(b_{i}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t)}\right)=1
$$

Hence the claim holds for $t+1$.
If $E_{t+1}$ is the operation 2, then $\left(E_{t+1}^{-1}\right)^{\top}$ will be the operation $d_{i}^{(t)} \leftrightarrow d_{j}^{(t)}$. also of the form 2. By Theorem [2.1] $d_{1}^{(t+1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t+1)}$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$. On the other hand, we have

$$
M\left(b_{i}^{(t+1)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t+1)}\right)=M\left(b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t)}\right)=1
$$

and

$$
M\left(b_{j}^{(t+1)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t+1)}\right)=M\left(b_{i}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t)}\right)=1
$$

Hence the claim holds for $t+1$.
If $E_{t+1}$ is the operation 3, then

$$
N\left(k b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \leq N\left(b_{i}^{(t)}\right)
$$

and $\left(E_{t+1}^{-1}\right)^{\top}$ will be the operation $d_{j}^{(t)} \leftarrow d_{j}^{(t)}-k d_{i}^{(t)}$. Since

$$
N\left(-k d_{i}^{(t)}\right)=|k|_{p} \cdot N\left(b_{i}^{(t)}\right)^{-1} \leq N\left(b_{j}^{(t)}\right)^{-1}=N\left(d_{j}^{(t)}\right)
$$

this operation is also of the form 3. By Theorem [2.1, $d_{1}^{(t+1)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t+1)}$ is an $M$ orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$. On the other hand, since $b_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(t)}$ and $d_{1}^{(t)}, \ldots, d_{n}^{(t)}$ are
$M$-orthogonal bases of $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{*}$ respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(b_{i}^{(t+1)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t+1)}\right) & =M\left(b_{i}^{(t)}+k b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{i}^{(t)}\right) \\
& =M\left(b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t)}\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(b_{j}^{(t+1)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t+1)}\right) & =M\left(b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t)}-k d_{i}^{(t)}\right) \\
& =M\left(b_{j}^{(t)}\right) \cdot M\left(d_{j}^{(t)}\right) \\
& =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the claim holds for $t+1$.
Therefore, by induction, $D=D_{s}$ is an $M$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$.
For other norms, here is a simple counterexample:
Example 2.4. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\pi)$, where $\pi$ is a root of $f(x)=x^{2}-2$. Let $N$ be the 2-adic absolute value on $K$. Since $f$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, $K$ is totally ramified of degree 2 and

$$
|\pi|_{2}=2^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Let the basis of $K$ be $e_{1}=1$ and $e_{2}=\pi$. Let $\Lambda=\mathcal{L}(B)$ where

$$
B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we have $b_{1}=1+\pi$ and $b_{2}=\pi$. According to the algorithm"orthogonalization with orthogonal bases", $b_{1}, b_{2}$ is an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda$.

The dual basis of $B$ is

$$
D=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we have $d_{1}=1$ and $d_{2}=\pi-1$. However, since

$$
\left|d_{1}+d_{2}\right|_{2}=2^{-\frac{1}{2}}<1=\max \left\{\left|d_{1}\right|_{2},\left|d_{2}\right|_{2}\right\}
$$

$d_{1}, d_{2}$ is not an $N$-orthogonal basis of $\Lambda^{*}$.
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