

# MIXED-NORM HERZ-TYPE BESOV-TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES

DOUADI DRIHEM

**ABSTRACT.** Based on mixed-norm Herz spaces, Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, we introduce the so called mixed-norm Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We present the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization of these spaces in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth and we prove their Sobolev embeddings. Our embeddings extend and improve Sobolev embeddings of mixed-norm Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Many families of function spaces play a central role in analysis, in particular in the analysis of partial differential equation. Some example of these spaces can be mentioned such as: Hölder spaces, Bessel potential spaces, Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

In recent years many researchers have modified the classical spaces and have generalized the classical results to these modified ones. For example Besov-Morrey spaces which have been studied in [15]. For a general theory on these spaces see [15], [17], [18], [19] and [25]. Another example can be mentioned, that is the Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. They are modeled on Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, but the underlying norm is of  $K_p^{\alpha,q}$  type rather than  $L^p$ ; see [24].

The interest in Herz-type Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces comes not only from theoretical reasons but also from their applications to several classical problems in analysis. In [16], Lu and Yang introduced the Herz-type Sobolev and Bessel potential spaces. They gave some applications to partial differential equations. Y. Tsutsui [22] has considered the Cauchy problem for Navier-Stokes equations on Herz spaces and weak Herz spaces. In [6] the author studied the Cauchy problem for the semilinear parabolic equations

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u = G(u)$$

with initial data in Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and under some suitable conditions on  $G$ .

Recently, in [28] the authors have introduced a class of mixed-norm Herz spaces, which is a natural generalization of mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces. They gave their dual spaces and obtained the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem on such spaces. Also, they established both the boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and the Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality on such spaces.

Also, the authors in [28] have proposed the study of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and other smooth function spaces based on mixed-norm Herz spaces.

The aim of this paper consists in giving some properties of function spaces of Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin type, already suggested in [28]. We will define and investigate the scales

$$\dot{E}_p^{\vec{\alpha},\vec{q}} B_\beta^s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{E}_p^{\vec{\alpha},\vec{q}} F_\beta^s.$$

---

*Date:* June 24, 2024.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35.

*Key words and phrases.* Mixed-norm Herz, Besov space, Triebel-Lizorkin space, Embedding.

These spaces unify and generalize the mixed-norm Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

The paper contains five sections. In Section 2, we present some known results concerning mixed-norm Herz spaces which are needed in the following parts of the paper. We give some preliminaries where we fix some notation. Also, we give some key technical results needed in the proof of the main statements, especially we extend the classical Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality to mixed-norm Herz spaces. Using the Calderón reproducing formulae, in Section 3 we establish the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization of  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$  spaces in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth. In Sections 4 and 5, we prove Sobolev embeddings of such spaces.

## 2. MIXED-NORM HERZ SPACES

The aim of this section is twofold. First, we provide the necessary background information about mixed-norm Herz spaces. The second aim is to present some technical results which are needed in the following parts of the paper, such as the boundedness of maximal operator and Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality on such spaces. The results of this section will play a crucial role in several other sections of this paper. Throughout this paper, we make some notation and conventions.

**2.1. Notation and conventions.** We denote by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the  $n$ -dimensional real Euclidean space,  $\mathbb{N}$  the collection of all natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The letter  $\mathbb{Z}$  stands for the set of all integer numbers. The expression  $f \lesssim g$  means that  $f \leq cg$  for some independent constant  $c$  (and non-negative functions  $f$  and  $g$ ), and  $f \approx g$  means  $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$ . For any  $u > 0$ , we set  $C(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{u}{2} \leq |x| < u\}$ . If  $u = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then we put  $C(2^k) = C_k$ .

By  $\text{supp } f$  we denote the support of the function  $f$ , i.e., the closure of its non-zero set. If  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a measurable set, then  $|E|$  stands for the (Lebesgue) measure of  $E$  and  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function. If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ , then  $p'$  is called the conjugate exponent of  $p$ .

Given a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , we denote by  $L^p(E)$  the space of all functions  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  equipped with the quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(E)} = \left( \int_E |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

with  $0 < p < \infty$  and

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(E)} = \text{ess-sup}_{x \in E} |f(x)| < \infty.$$

If  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ , then we put  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p$  and  $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_p$ .

Vectors  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$  with  $p_i \in (0, \infty], i = 1, \dots, n$  are written  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$ . By  $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we denote the set of all Lebesgue measurable functions  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \dots \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{p_2}{p_1}} \dots \right)^{\frac{p_n}{p_{n-1}}} dx_n \right)^{\frac{1}{p_n}} < \infty,$$

with the modification of using the essential supremum over  $x_j$  in case  $p_j = \infty$ . Equipped with this quasi-norm,  $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a quasi-Banach space; it is normed if  $\min(p_1, \dots, p_n) \geq 1$ . If  $p_1 = \dots = p_n = p$  then  $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p$ ; see [1] for more details about mixed-norm Lebesgue spaces.

The symbol  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is used in place of the set of all Schwartz functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , it is equipped with the family of seminorms,

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} = \sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, |\gamma| \leq M} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| (1 + |x|)^{n+M+|\gamma|} < \infty$$

for all  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the dual space of all tempered distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We define the Fourier transform of a function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Its inverse is denoted by  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ . Both  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  are extended to the dual Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in the usual way.

For  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , denote by  $Q_{v,m}$  the dyadic cube,

$$Q_{v,m} = 2^{-v}([0, 1]^n + m).$$

We set

$$Q_{v,m_i}^i = 2^{-v}([0, 1]^n + m_i), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $N, R > 0$ . We set

$$\eta_{R,N}(x) = R^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + R|x_i|)^{-N}, \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

In particular

$$\eta_{i,R,N}(x) = R(1 + R|x_i|)^{-N}, \quad x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let  $v \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $1 \leq v \leq n$ . If  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty, j \in \{1, 2\}, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\vec{\alpha}_j = (\alpha_1^j, \dots, \alpha_n^j) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then we put

$$p_- = \min(p_1, \dots, p_n), \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j$$

and

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

Recall that  $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the set of all measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Further notation will be properly introduced whenever needed.

**2.2. Definition and some basic properties.** The main purpose of this subsection is to present some fundamental properties of mixed-norm Herz spaces. For  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  let

$$R_{k_i} = \{x_i \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{k_i-1} \leq |x_i| < 2^{k_i}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{k_i} = \chi_{R_{k_i}}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The mixed-norm Herz space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all the functions  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\cdots\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \cdots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_n}^{\alpha_n, q_n}} < \infty,$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha_i, q_i}} = \left( \sum_{k_i \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{k_i \alpha_i q_i} \|f \chi_{k_i}\|_{p_i}^{q_i} \right)^{1/q_i}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

*Remark 2.2.* When  $p_i = q_i = p$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ , the mixed-norm Herz spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincide with Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover, when both  $p_i = q_i$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ , the mixed-norm Herz spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  coincide with the mixed Lebesgue spaces  $L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . More details about mixed-norm Herz spaces is given [28].

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator  $M$  is defined on locally integrable functions by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

When the definition of  $M$  is applied only in the variable  $x_k$ , we shall via the splitting  $x = (x', x_k, x'')$  use the abbreviation

$$M_k f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (Mf(x_1, \dots, x_n))(x_k).$$

Furthermore, for any given  $t > 0$  the iterated maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is defined by setting, for any given  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{M}_t(f)(x) = (M_n \cdots M_1(|f|^t)(x))^{1/t}.$$

The proof of the main results of this paper is based on the following result; see [28].

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $0 < \beta \leq \infty, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy that, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$-\frac{1}{p_i} < \alpha_i < 1 - \frac{1}{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < t < \min(\beta, p_-, q_-).$$

*Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that, for any  $\{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathcal{M}_t(f_j))^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_j|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Let  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We set

$$\hat{y}_i = (y_i, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \check{y}_i = (y_1, \dots, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^i.$$

Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, d > 0, (y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Recall that

$$\| \cdots \| f \|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \cdots \|_{\dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha_i, q_i}}(\hat{y}_{i+1}),$$

is a function of  $n-i$  variables (the last  $n-i$  variables of  $f$ ).

**2.3. Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality.** The classical Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality (cf. [20, 1.3.2/5, Rem. 1.4.1/4]), says that  $\|f\|_q$  can be estimated by

$$c R^{n(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|f\|_p$$

for any  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty, R > 0$  and any  $f \in L^p \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ . The constant  $c > 0$  is independent of  $R$ . This inequality plays an important role in theory of function spaces and PDE's. Our aim is to extend this result to mixed-norm Herz spaces. The next lemma often allows us to deal with exponents which are smaller than 1; see [2, Lemma A.7].

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $m > n$ . Then there exists  $c = c(r, m, n) > 0$  such that for all  $g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}g \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}\}$ , we have*

$$|g(x)| \leq c(\eta_{j, \frac{m}{n}} * |g|^r(x))^{1/r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Let

$$I_{1,k} = [-2^k, 2^k], \quad I_{2,k} = \left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}2^{k-1}, 2^k\right], \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$I_{3,k} = \left[-2^k, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}2^{k-1}\right], \quad I_{4,k} = \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}2^{k-1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}2^{k-1}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We set

$$J_k = \bigcup_{l=1}^{n-1} V_{l,k} \cup V_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where

$$V_k = (I_{2,k} \times (I_{4,k})^{n-1}) \cup (I_{3,k} \times (I_{4,k})^{n-1}), \quad V_{l,k} = V_{l,k}^1 \cup V_{l,k}^2,$$

with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ ,

$$V_{l,k}^1 = (I_{1,k})^{n-l} \times I_{2,k} \times (I_{4,k})^{l-1} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{l,k}^2 = (I_{1,k})^{n-l} \times I_{3,k} \times (I_{4,k})^{l-1}.$$

If  $l = 1$ , then we put

$$V_{1,k}^1 = (I_{1,k})^{n-1} \times I_{2,k} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{1,k}^2 = (I_{1,k})^{n-1} \times I_{3,k}.$$

The following lemma is from [5].

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we have*

$$C_k \subset J_k \subset \tilde{C}_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\tilde{C}_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}2^{k-3} \leq |x| \leq \sqrt{n}2^{k+4}\}$ .

Let  $h, m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $1 \leq h \leq n-m+1$ . Let  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$ . We set

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i, \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_{h,h+m-1}} = \sum_{i=h}^{h+m-1} \frac{1}{p_i}$$

and

$$\alpha_{h,h+m-1} = \sum_{i=h}^{h+m-1} \alpha_i.$$

In particular, if  $h = 1$  and  $m = n$ , then we put  $\mathbf{p}_{1,n} = \mathbf{p}$  and  $\alpha_{1,n} = \alpha$ .

Let  $h, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq h \leq n-m+1$ . In the next lemma and remark, we put

$$\tilde{\eta}_{R,N}(\tilde{z}) = R^m \prod_{i=h}^{h+m-1} (1 + R|z_i|)^{-N}, \quad \tilde{z} = (z_h, \dots, z_{h+m-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $R > 0, h, m, N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $1 \leq h \leq n-m+1, l \in \{1, 4\}, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(I_{l,v})^m} |f(y)|^d \tilde{\eta}_{R,N}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} \\ & \lesssim R^m 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_{h,h+m-1}} + \alpha_{h,h+m-1})d+mv} \|\cdots\| f \|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}} \cdots \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h+m-1}}^{\alpha_{h+m-1}, \infty}}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$0 < d < \min\left(p_i, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i}\right), \quad i \in \{h, \dots, h+m-1\}$$

and the implicit constant is independent of  $R, v$ , and

$$\tilde{y} = (y_h, \dots, y_{h+m-1}), \tilde{x} = (x_h, \dots, x_{h+m-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

*Proof.* By similarity, we choose  $l = 1$ . We prove the lemma by induction on  $m$ .

*Step 1.* We prove the statement for  $m = 1$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_{1,v}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{h,R,N}(x_h - y_h) dy_h &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{R_{(v+1-j)_h}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{h,R,N}(x_h - y_h) dy_h \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_{j,v}^1(x_h), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $R_{(v-j+1)_h} = \{x_h \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{v-j} \leq |x_h| < 2^{v-j+1}\}$ . By Hölder inequality with  $\frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{p_h} + \frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{p_h}$ , we obtain for any  $x_h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} V_{j,v}^1(x_h) \\ &\leq R \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| f(y_1, \dots, y_{h-1}, \cdot, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n) \chi_{R_{(v-j+1)_h}}(\cdot) \right\|_d^d \\ &= R \sum_{k_h=-\infty}^{v+1} \left\| f(y_1, \dots, y_{h-1}, \cdot, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n) \chi_{R_{k_h}}(\cdot) \right\|_d^d \\ &\lesssim R \sum_{k_h=-\infty}^{v+1} 2^{dk_h(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{p_h})} \left\| f(y_1, \dots, y_{h-1}, \cdot, y_{h+1}, \dots, y_n) \chi_{R_{k_h}}(\cdot) \right\|_{p_h}^d \\ &\lesssim R 2^{-v(\frac{1}{p_h} + \alpha_h)d+v} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\frac{1}{d} > \frac{1}{p_h} + \alpha_h$ . Inserting estimate (2.9) into (2.8), we get

$$\int_{I_{1,v}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{h,R,N}(x_h - y_h) dy_h \lesssim R 2^{-v(\frac{1}{p_h} + \alpha_h)d+v} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}}^d$$

for any  $h \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , where the implicit constant is independent of  $x_h, R$  and  $v$ .

*Step 2.* We prove the statement for  $m > 1$ . Assume that the statement is valid for  $m > 1$ . We set

$$\hat{\eta}_{R,N}(\hat{z}) = R^m \prod_{i=h}^{h+m} (1 + R|z_i|)^{-N}, \quad \hat{z} = (z_h, \dots, z_{h+m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1}.$$

We have

$$\int_{(I_{1,v})^{m+1}} |f(y)|^d \hat{\eta}_{R,N}(\hat{x} - \hat{y}) d\hat{y}$$

can be rewritten us

$$\int_{I_{1,v}} \eta_{h+m,R,N}(x_{h+m} - y_{h+m}) \int_{(I_{1,v})^m} |f(y)|^d \tilde{\eta}_{R,N}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} dy_{h+m},$$

which can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned}
& R^m 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}_{h,h+m-1}} + \alpha_{h,h+m-1})d+mv} \\
& \times \int_{I_{l,v}} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}} \cdots \left\| \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h+m-1}}^{\alpha_{h+m-1}, \infty}} \eta_{h+m, R, N}(x_{h+m} - y_{h+m}) dy_{h+m} \\
& \lesssim R^{m+1} 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}_{h,h+m-1}} + \alpha_{h,h+m-1})d+mv} 2^{-v(\frac{1}{p_{h+m}} + \alpha_{h+m})d+v} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}} \cdots \left\| \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h+m}}^{\alpha_{h+m}, \infty}} \\
& = cR^{m+1} 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}_{h,h+m}} + \alpha_{h,h+m})d+(m+1)v} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_h}^{\alpha_h, \infty}} \cdots \left\| \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h+m}}^{\alpha_{h+m}, \infty}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by Step 1. The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 2.10.* (i) Similarly we get, under the same assumptions of Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{(I_{l,v})^m} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \left\| \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h-1}}^{\alpha_{h-1}, \infty}} \tilde{\eta}_{R, N}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} \\
& \lesssim R^m 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}_{h,h+m-1}} + \alpha_{h,h+m-1})d+mv} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \left\| \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{h+m-1}}^{\alpha_{h+m-1}, \infty}}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Note that in (2.7) the implicit constant may be dependent on  $(y_1, \dots, y_{h-1}, y_{h+m}, \dots, y_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$  and  $R \geq H > 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $R$  and  $H$  such that for all  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ , we have*

$$\sup_{x \in B(0, \frac{1}{H})} |f(x)| \leq c \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^{\frac{n}{d}} H^{\frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \alpha} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for any  $0 < d < \min\left(p_i, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i}\right)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v \in \mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $2^{v-2} \leq H^{-1} < 2^{v-1}$ . By Lemma 2.4 we have for any  $d, R > 0, N > \frac{n}{d}$  and any  $x \in B(0, \frac{1}{H})$

$$|f(x)| \lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x - y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \lesssim I_1 + I_2,$$

where

$$I_1 = \left( \int_{B(0, \frac{4}{H})} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x - y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$$

and

$$I_2 = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, \frac{4}{H})} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x - y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{d}}.$$

*Estimate of  $I_1$ .* Observe that  $\overline{B(0, \frac{4}{H})} \subset (I_{1, v+1})^n$ . Then Lemma 2.6, with  $m = n$  and  $h = 1$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_1)^d & \leq \int_{(I_{1, v+1})^n} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x - y) dy \\
& \lesssim R^n 2^{-v(\frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \alpha)d+nv} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \infty}}^d \\
& \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^n H^{(\frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \alpha)d} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \infty}}^d.
\end{aligned}$$

*Estimate of  $I_2$ .* Let  $x \in B(0, \frac{1}{H})$ . We have the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} (I_2)^d &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B(0, 2^v)}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{C_{j+v+1}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By Lemma 2.5 we see that

$$C_{j+v+1} \subset J_{j+v+1} \subset \tilde{C}_{j+v+1}.$$

The integral in (2.12) can be estimated from above by

$$\tilde{J}_{j,v}^1(x) + \tilde{J}_{j,v}^2(x) + \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (J_{j,v,l}^1(x) + J_{j,v,l}^2(x)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_{j,v,l}^1(x) &= \int_{V_{l,j+v+1}^1} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \\ J_{j,v,l}^2(x) &= \int_{V_{l,j+v+1}^2} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \\ \tilde{J}_{j,v}^1(x) &= \int_{I_{2,j+v+1} \times (I_{4,j+v+1})^{n-1}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_{j,v}^2(x) = \int_{I_{3,j+v+1} \times (I_{4,j+v+1})^{n-1}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{R, dN}(x-y) dy.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we need to estimate  $J_{j,v,l}^1, J_{j,v,l}^2, l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}, \tilde{J}_{j,v}^1$  and  $\tilde{J}_{j,v}^2$ . Obviously, we only need to estimate  $J_{j,v,l}^1, l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\tilde{J}_{j,v}^1$ .

*Estimate of  $J_{j,v,l}^1, l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ .*

*Case 1.*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j > \sqrt{n}$ . Then for any  $y_{n-l+1} \in I_{2,j+v+1}$  and any  $x \in B(0, 2^{v-1})$ , we have

$$|x_{n-l+1} - y_{n-l+1}| > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} 2^{j+v-1},$$

so that for any  $d > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\eta_{n-l+1, R, dN}(x_{n-l+1} - y_{n-l+1}) \leq R(2^{j+v} R)^{-dN} \leq 2^{-jdN} R. \quad (2.13)$$

According to Lemma 2.6 we obtain

$$\int_{(I_{1,j+v+1})^{n-l}} |f(y)|^d \tilde{\eta}_{R, dN}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} \lesssim R^{n-l} H^{\left(\frac{1}{p_1, n-l} + \alpha_{1, n-l}\right)d - n+l} \|\cdots\| f \|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-l}}^{\alpha_{n-l}, \infty}}^d,$$

where

$$\tilde{\eta}_{R, dN}(\tilde{z}) = R^{n-l} \prod_{i=1}^{n-l} (1 + R|z_i|)^{-dN}, \quad \tilde{z} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-l}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-l}.$$

Then

$$\int_{I_{2,j+v+1}} \int_{(I_{1,j+v+1})^{n-l}} |f(y)|^d \tilde{\eta}_{R, dN}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) \eta_{n-l+1, R, dN}(x_{n-l+1} - y_{n-l+1}) d\tilde{y} dy_{n-l+1}$$



can be estimated from above by

$$c \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^{n-l} H^{\left(\frac{1}{p_{1,n-l}} + \alpha_{1,n-l}\right)d} \\ \times \int_{I_{2,j+v+1}} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-l}}^{\alpha_{n-l}, \infty}} \eta_{n-l+1, R, dN}(x_{n-l+1} - y_{n-l+1}) dy_{n-l+1},$$

which is bounded by

$$c 2^{-jdN} R^{n-l+1} H^{\left(\frac{1}{p_{1,n-l}} + \alpha_{1,n-l}\right)d-n+l} \int_{I_{2,j+v+1}} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-l}}^{\alpha_{n-l}, \infty}} dy_{n-l+1} \\ \lesssim 2^{-j(dN-1+\frac{d}{p_{n-l+1}}+d\alpha_{n-l+1})} \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^{n-l+1} H^{\left(\frac{1}{p_{1,n-l+1}} + \alpha_{1,n-l+1}\right)d} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-l+1}}^{\alpha_{n-l+1}, \infty}},$$

where we used (2.13) and Hölder's inequality. Again by Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$\int_{(I_{4,j+v+1})^{l-1}} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-l+1}}^{\alpha_{n-l+1}, \infty}} \check{\eta}_{R, dN}(\check{x} - \check{y}) d\check{y} \\ \lesssim R^{l-1} 2^{-v\left(\frac{1}{p_{n-l+2, n}} + \alpha_{n-l+2, n}\right)d+(l-1)v} \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\check{p}}^{\check{\alpha}, \infty}},$$

where

$$\check{\eta}_{R, dN}(\check{z}) = R^{l-1} \prod_{i=n-l+2}^n (1 + R|z_i|)^{-dN}, \quad \check{z} = (z_{n-l+2}, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}.$$

Consequently, we get

$$J_{j,v,l}^1 \lesssim 2^{-j(dN-1+\frac{d}{p_{n-l+1}}+d\alpha_{n-l+1})} \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^n H^{\left(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha\right)d} \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\check{p}}^{\check{\alpha}_2, \check{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (2.14)$$

for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j > \sqrt{n}$ .

*Case 2.*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j \leq \sqrt{n}$ . Observe that  $I_{2,j+v+1} \cup I_{4,j+v+1} \subset I_{1,j+v+1}$ . Then by Lemma 2.6, we get

$$J_{j,v,l}^1 \lesssim \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^n H^{\left(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha\right)d} \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\check{p}}^{\check{\alpha}_2, \check{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \quad (2.15)$$

*Estimate of  $\tilde{J}_{j,v}^1$ .* We set

$$\eta_{R, dN}(\tilde{z}) = R^{n-1} \prod_{i=2}^n (1 + R|z_i|)^{-dN}, \quad \tilde{z} = (z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

*Case 1.*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j > \sqrt{n}$ . As in the estimation of  $J_{j,v,l}^1$ , we get

$$\int_{I_{2,j+v+1}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{1, R, dN}(x_1 - y_1) dy_1 \lesssim 2^{-jdN} R \int_{I_{2,j+v+1}} |f(y)|^d dy_1 \\ \lesssim R 2^{-jdN} 2^{\left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \alpha_1\right)(j+v)d} \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}},$$

where the second inequality follows by Hölder's inequality. This together with Lemma 2.6, combined with Remark 2.10/(i) imply that

$$\tilde{J}_{j,v}^1 \lesssim R 2^{-jdN} 2^{\left(\frac{1}{d} - \frac{1}{p_1} - \alpha_1\right)(j+v)d} \int_{I_{4,j+v+1}^{n-1}} \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \eta_{R, dN}(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}) d\tilde{y} \\ \lesssim 2^{-j(dN-1+\frac{d}{p_1}+\alpha_1 d)} \left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^n H^{\left(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha\right)d} \left\| \cdots \left\| f \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, \infty}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_n}^{\alpha_n, \infty}}. \quad (2.16)$$

for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j > \sqrt{n}$ .

*Case 2.*  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $2^j \leq \sqrt{n}$ . As in Case 2 of the estimation of  $J_{j,v,l}^1$ , we obtain that  $\tilde{J}_{j,v}^1$  can be estimated from above by

$$c\left(\frac{R}{H}\right)^n H^{(\frac{1}{p}+\alpha)d} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^d, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Collecting the estimations obtained in (2.14), (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17). We choose  $N$  large enough we obtain the desired result. The proof is complete.  $\square$

Let  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set

$$\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x_1, \hat{y}_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|^d \eta_{1,R,N}(x_1 - y_1) dy_1,$$

partial convolution with respect to the variable  $x_1$  (convolution with respect to the first variable of  $f$ ). Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set

$$\eta_{i,R,N} * \dots * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(\tilde{x}_i, \hat{y}_{i+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{i,R,N}(x_i - y_i) \eta_{i-1,R,N} * \dots * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(\tilde{x}_{i-1}, \hat{y}_i) dy_i$$

and

$$\eta_{n,R,N} * \dots * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{n,R,N}(x_n - y_n) \eta_{n-1,R,N} * \dots * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(\tilde{x}_{n-1}, y_n) dy_n,$$

where  $\tilde{x}_i = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$ .

The following lemma can be obtained by the  $\dot{K}_p^{\alpha,q}$ -version of the Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality; see [3].

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $\alpha_1^1, \alpha_1^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < q_1, r_1 \leq \infty$ . We suppose that  $\alpha_1^1 + \frac{1}{s_1} > 0, 0 < p_1 \leq s_1 \leq \infty, \alpha_1^2 \geq \alpha_1^1$  and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then we have*

$$\|(\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(\cdot, \hat{y}_2))^{1/d}\|_{\dot{K}_{s_1}^{\alpha_1^1, r_1}} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{s_1} + \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1^1} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}}(\hat{y}_2)$$

for any  $N$  large enough and  $0 < d < \min(p_1, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p_1} + \alpha_1^2})$ , where

$$\theta_1 = \begin{cases} r_1, & \text{if } \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_1^1, \\ q_1, & \text{if } \alpha_1^2 > \alpha_1^1 \end{cases}$$

and the implicit constant is independent of  $R$  and  $\hat{y}_2$ .

Let  $i \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}, 0 < \vec{q}, \vec{r} \leq \infty$  and  $(x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Let  $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set

$$V_{i,R,N}(f)(x_i, \hat{y}_{i+1}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\dots\| f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}} \dots \|\dots\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{i-1}}^{\alpha_{i-1}^2, \theta_{i-1}}}(\hat{y}_i) \eta_{i,R,N}(x_i - y_i) dy_i \quad (2.19)$$

and

$$V_{n,R,N}(f)(x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|\dots\| f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}} \dots \|\dots\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{n-1}}^{\alpha_{n-1}^2, \theta_{n-1}}}(y_n) \eta_{n,R,N}(x_n - y_n) dy_n,$$

where

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} r_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 = \alpha_i^1, \\ q_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 > \alpha_i^1 \end{cases}$$

Observe that

$$V_{i,R,N}(f)(x_i, \hat{y}_{i+1}) = \eta_{i,R,N} * (\|\dots\| f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}} \dots \|\dots\|_{\dot{K}_{p_{i-1}}^{\alpha_{i-1}^2, \theta_{i-1}}}(\hat{y}_i))(x_i).$$

As in Lemma 2.18, we obtain the following statement.

**Lemma 2.20.** *Let  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{s}, \vec{r}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_1 = (\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_n^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We suppose that*

$$\alpha_i^1 + \frac{1}{s_i} > 0, \quad 0 < p_i \leq s_i \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i^2 \geq \alpha_i^1.$$

Then we have

$$\left\| (V_{i,R,N}(f)(\cdot, \hat{y}_{i+1}))^{1/d} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{s_i^1, r_i}^{\alpha_i^1}} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{s_i} + \alpha_i^2 - \alpha_i^1} \left\| \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}} \cdots \left\| \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha_i^2, \theta_i}(\hat{y}_{i+1})},$$

for any  $N$  large enough and

$$0 < d < \min \left( p_i, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i^2} \right),$$

where  $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  with

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} r_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 = \alpha_i^1, \\ q_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 > \alpha_i^1. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma is the  $\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -version of the Plancherel-Polya-Nikolskij inequality.

**Lemma 2.21.** *Let  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{s}, \vec{r}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_1 = (\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_n^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ . We suppose that*

$$\alpha_i^1 + \frac{1}{s_i} > 0, \quad 0 < p_i \leq s_i \leq \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i^2 \geq \alpha_i^1, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then we have

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{s}}^{\vec{\alpha}_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $\vec{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  with for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} r_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 = \alpha_i^1, \\ q_i, & \text{if } \alpha_i^2 > \alpha_i^1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}f \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq R\}$ . Then by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$|f(x)|^d \leq c \eta_{R,N} * |f|^d(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $N$  large enough. Thus

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{s}}^{\vec{\alpha}_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_1}{d}}^{d\alpha_1^1, \frac{r_1}{d}}} \cdots \left\| \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_n}{d}}^{d\alpha_n^1, \frac{r_n}{d}}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $R$ . For convenience the reader we decompose the proof into two steps.

*Step 1.*  $n = 3$ . Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{R,N} * |f|^d(x) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(y)|^d \prod_{i=1}^3 \eta_{i,R,N}(x_i - y_i) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{3,R,N}(x_3 - y_3) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{2,R,N}(x_2 - y_2) \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x_1, y_2, y_3) dy_2 dy_3 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_{3,R,N}(x_3 - y_3) \eta_{2,R,N} * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x_1, x_2, y_3) dy_3 \\
&= \eta_{3,R,N} * \eta_{2,R,N} * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality with respect to  $\dot{K}_{s_i}^{d\alpha_i^1, \frac{r_i}{d}}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|f\|_{\dot{K}_s^{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{r}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
&\lesssim \|\eta_{3,R,N} * \|\eta_{2,R,N} * \|\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_1}{d}}^{d\alpha_1^1, \frac{r_1}{d}}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_2}{d}}^{d\alpha_2^1, \frac{r_2}{d}}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_3}{d}}^{d\alpha_3^1, \frac{r_3}{d}}}^{1/d}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.18 and in view of (2.19), we obtain

$$\|\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_1}{d}}^{d\alpha_1^1, \frac{r_1}{d}}} \lesssim R^{(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{s_1} + \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1^1)d} \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}}^d$$

and

$$\eta_{2,R,N} * \|\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_1}{d}}^{d\alpha_1^1, \frac{r_1}{d}}} \lesssim R^{(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{s_1} + \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_1^1)d} V_{2,R,N}(f).$$

By Lemma 2.20 and (2.19), we get

$$\|V_{2,R,N}(f)\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_2}{d}}^{d\alpha_2^1, \frac{r_2}{d}}} \lesssim R^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{s_2} + \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_2^1)d} \| \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^2, \theta_1}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^2, \theta_2}}^d$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}_s^{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{r}}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim R^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_p^{\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{q}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

*Step 2.*  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By induction on  $n$ , we obtain

$$\eta_{R,N} * |f|^d(x) = \eta_{n,R,N} * \dots * \eta_{2,R,N} * \eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d(x).$$

By the Minkowski inequality with respect to  $\dot{K}_{s_i}^{d\alpha_i^1, \frac{r_i}{d}}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we get

$$\|\eta_{R,N} * |f|^d\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s}{d}}^{d\bar{\alpha}_1, \frac{\bar{r}}{d}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

can be estimated from above by

$$\|\eta_{n,R,N} * \|\eta_{n-1,R,N} * \dots * \|\eta_{2,R,N} * \|\eta_{1,R,N} * |f|^d\|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_1}{d}}^{d\alpha_1^1, \frac{r_1}{d}}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_2}{d}}^{d\alpha_2^1, \frac{r_2}{d}}} \dots \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_{n-1}}{d}}^{d\alpha_{n-1}^1, \frac{r_{n-1}}{d}}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{\frac{s_n}{d}}^{d\alpha_n^1, \frac{r_n}{d}}}^{1/d} \quad (2.22)$$

Again, by induction on  $n$  with the help of Lemma 2.20, we obtain that (2.22) is bounded by

$$c R^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{s} + \alpha_2 - \alpha_1} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{s_1}^{\alpha_1^1, r_1}} \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{s_2}^{\alpha_2^1, r_2}} \dots \| \cdot \|_{\dot{K}_{s_n}^{\alpha_n^1, r_n}},$$

which is desired estimate. The proof is complete.  $\square$

We need the following lemma, which is basically a consequence of Hardy's inequality in the sequence Lebesgue space  $\ell^q$ .

**Lemma 2.23.** *Let  $0 < a < 1$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Let  $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence of positive real numbers, such that*

$$\|\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} = I < \infty.$$

Then the sequences

$$\{\delta_k : \delta_k = \sum_{j=-\infty}^k a^{k-j} \varepsilon_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\eta_k : \eta_k = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a^{j-k} \varepsilon_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

belong to  $\ell^q$ , and

$$\|\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} + \|\{\eta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^q} \leq cI,$$

with  $c > 0$  only depending on  $a$  and  $q$ .

### 3. MIXED-NORM HERZ-TYPE BESOV AND TRIEBEL-LIZORKIN SPACES

In this section, we present the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$  on which we work and establish their  $\varphi$ -transform characterizations.

**3.1. The  $\varphi$ -transform of  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$ .** Select a pair of Schwartz functions  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  such that

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\Phi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}\Phi(\xi)| \geq c > 0, \quad (3.1)$$

if  $|\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}$  and

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\varphi \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi)| \geq c > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

if  $\frac{3}{5} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3}$ , where  $c > 0$ . Throughout the chapter we put  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi(-x)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now, we define the spaces under consideration..

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively and we put  $\varphi_k = 2^{kn} \varphi(2^k \cdot)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i) The mixed-norm Herz-type Besov space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\varphi_k * f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

(ii) Let  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$ . The mixed-norm Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$  is defined to be the set of all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f|^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi_0$  is replaced by  $\Phi$ , with the obvious modification if  $\beta = \infty$ .

Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p} \leq \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Using the system  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  we can define the quasi-norms

$$\|f\|_{B_{\vec{p},\beta}^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\varphi_k * f\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^\beta \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},\beta}^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\varphi_k * f|^\beta \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{L^{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 0 < p < \infty.$$

The mixed-norm Besov space  $B_{\vec{p},\beta}^s$  consist of all distributions  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which  $\|f\|_{B_{\vec{p},\beta}^s} < \infty$ . The mixed-norm Triebel-Lizorkin space  $F_{\vec{p},\beta}^s$  consist of all distributions  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which  $\|f\|_{F_{\vec{p},\beta}^s} < \infty$ . It is well-known that these spaces do not depend on the choice of the system  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  (up to equivalence of quasi-norms). Further details on the classical theory of these spaces, included the homogeneous case, can be found in [11], [12], [13] and [14]. For the classical Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces we refer to [8], [9], [10], [20], and [21].

One recognizes immediately that if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\vec{p} = \vec{q}$ , then

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0,\vec{p}} B_\beta^s = B_{\vec{p},\beta}^s \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0,\vec{p}} F_\beta^s = F_{\vec{p},\beta}^s.$$

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy, respectively, (3.1) and (3.2). From, [9, Section 12], there exist functions  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.1) and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.2) such that

$$\mathcal{F}\tilde{\Phi}(\xi)\mathcal{F}\Psi(\xi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}\tilde{\varphi}(2^{-k}\xi)\mathcal{F}\psi(2^{-k}\xi) = 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.4)$$

A basic tool to study the above function spaces is the following Calderón reproducing formula; see [9, (12.4)] and [27, Lemma 2.3].

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.1) and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.2) such that (3.4) holds. Then for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \tilde{\Phi} * \Psi * f + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\varphi}_k * \psi_k * f \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\Phi} * f(m) \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \tilde{\varphi}_k * f(2^{-k}m) \psi_{k,m}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where

$$\Psi_m = \Psi(\cdot - m) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{k,m} = 2^{k\frac{n}{2}} \psi(2^k \cdot - m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let  $\Phi, \Psi, \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying (3.1) through (3.4). The  $\varphi$ -transform  $S_\varphi$  is defined by setting

$$(S_\varphi f)_{0,m} = \langle f, \Phi_m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (S_\varphi f)_{k,m} = \langle f, \varphi_{k,m} \rangle,$$

where

$$\Phi_m = \Phi(\cdot - m) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{k,m} = 2^{k\frac{n}{2}} \varphi(2^k \cdot - m), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The inverse  $\varphi$ -transform  $T_\psi$  is defined by

$$T_\psi \lambda = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{0,m} \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m},$$

where  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , see [9, p. 131].

Now we introduce the corresponding sequence spaces of  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$ .

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ .

(i) The space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_{\beta}^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_{\beta}^s} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} \left\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \chi_{k,m} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} < \infty.$$

(ii) Let  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$ . The space  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s$  is defined to be the set of all complex valued sequences  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{k(s+\frac{n}{2})\beta} |\lambda_{k,m}|^{\beta} \chi_{k,m} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

For simplicity, in what follows, we use  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  to denote either  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$  or  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$ . The case  $\vec{p} = \infty$  and/or  $\vec{q} = \infty$  is excluded when  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  means  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$ . In the same way we shall use the abbreviation  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s$  in place of  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_{\beta}^s$  and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s$ .

Notice that, the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  are quasi-normed spaces. If  $d = \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)$ , then it holds

$$\|f + g\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s} + \|g\|_{\dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s}$$

for all  $f, g \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ .

The following lemma ensure that  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s$  is well defined.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  satisfy, respectively, (3.1) and (3.2). Then for all  $\lambda \in \dot{K}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s$

$$T_{\psi} \lambda = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{0,m} \Psi_m + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,m} \psi_{k,m},$$

converges in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ; moreover,  $T_{\psi} : \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Since the proof for  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_{\beta}^s$  is similar, we consider only  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s$ . Let  $0 < r_i < \min(\frac{1}{\alpha_i + \frac{1}{p_i}}, p_i, q_i)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , with  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\vec{p}} + \frac{1}{\vec{t}}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{\vec{q}} + \frac{1}{\vec{v}}$ ,  $\vec{r}, \vec{v}, \vec{t} \in (0, \infty)^n$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We set

$$I_1 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{0,m}| |\langle \Psi_m, \varphi \rangle| \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{k,m}| |\langle \psi_{k,m}, \varphi \rangle|.$$

It suffices to show that both  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are dominated by  $c \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s}$  for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Estimate of  $I_1$ .* Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $M, L \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $M > L + n$ . Since  $\varphi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \Psi_m, \varphi \rangle| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\Psi(x - m)| |\varphi(x)| dx \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x - m|)^{-L-n} (1 + |x|)^{-M-n} dx \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_L} (1 + |m|)^{-L-n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last estimate follow by the inequality

$$(1 + |x - m|)^{-L-n} \leq (1 + |m|)^{-L-n} (1 + |x|)^{L+n}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{0,m}|^{r_1} &= \frac{1}{|Q_{0,m_1}|} \sum_{j_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{r_1}^{r_1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \|\chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{t_1}^{r_1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \|\chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{t_1}^{r_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Observe that the sum in (3.9) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 1 + |m_1|} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \|\chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{t_1}^{r_1}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hölder's inequality in the Lebesgue sequence spaces gives that (3.10) is bounded from above by

$$\begin{aligned} &\left( \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 1 + |m_1|} 2^{j_1 \alpha_1 q_1} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{p_1}^{q_1} \right)^{r_1/q_1} \left( \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 1 + |m_1|} 2^{-j_1 \alpha_1 v_1} \|\chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{t_1}^{v_1} \right)^{r_1/v_1} \\ &\leq c \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}}^{r_1} \left( \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 1 + |m_1|} 2^{j_1 (\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1) v_1} \right)^{r_1/v_1} \\ &\leq c (1 + |m_1|)^{(\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1) r_1} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}}^{r_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

since  $\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1 > 0$ . Inserting (3.11) in (3.10), we obtain

$$|\lambda_{0,m}| \lesssim (1 + |m_1|)^{\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . We set

$$\lambda_{0, \tilde{m}} = \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}}, \quad \tilde{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n).$$

Then we easily obtain

$$|\lambda_{0, \tilde{m}}| \lesssim (1 + |m_2|)^{\frac{1}{t_2} - \alpha_2} \|\lambda_{0, \tilde{m}} \chi_{0,m_2}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2, q_2}},$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Thus

$$|\lambda_{0,m}| \lesssim (1 + |m_1|)^{\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1} (1 + |m_2|)^{\frac{1}{t_2} - \alpha_2} \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \|\chi_{0,m_2}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2, q_2}}.$$



By induction on  $n$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda_{0,m}| \lesssim \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + |m_i|)^{\frac{1}{t_i} - \alpha_i} \|\cdots\| \|\lambda_{0,m} \chi_{0,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \|\chi_{0,m_2}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2, q_2}} \cdots \|\lambda_{0,m}^{\alpha_n, q_n}\|,$$

with is bounded by

$$c \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + |m_i|)^{\frac{1}{t_i} - \alpha_i} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\vec{\beta}}^s}.$$

If we choose  $L > \alpha - \frac{1}{t} + n$ , then  $I_1 \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{S_M} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\vec{\beta}}^s}$ .

*Estimate of  $I_2$ .* Let us recall the following estimate; see Lemma 2.4. in [27]. Since  $\psi$  has vanishing moments of any order, we see that for any  $L, M > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $C = C(M, n)$  such that for all  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$|\psi_v * \varphi(x)| \leq C 2^{-vL} \|\psi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\varphi\|_{S_{M+1}} (1 + |x|)^{-n-L}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the positive constant  $C$  is independent of  $v$  and  $x$ . We set  $\check{\varphi} = \varphi(-\cdot)$ . From (3.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi_{v,m}, \varphi \rangle| &= 2^{-v\frac{n}{2}} |\psi_v * \check{\varphi}(-2^{-v}m)| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-v(\frac{n}{2}+L)} \|\psi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\varphi\|_{S_{M+1}} (1 + |2^{-v}m|)^{-n-L}. \end{aligned}$$

We use the same schema as in the estimate of  $I_1$  we arrive at the inequality

$$|\lambda_{v,m}|^{r_1} \leq \frac{c}{|Q_{v,m_1}|} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 2^{-v} + 2^{-v}|m_1|} \|\lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \|\chi_{R_{j_1}}\|_{t_1}^{r_1},$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . By Hölder's inequality in the Lebesgue sequence spaces we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{r_1} &\leq c 2^v \|\lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}}^{r_1} \left( \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 2^{j_1-1} \leq 2^{-v} + 2^{-v}|m_1|} 2^{j_1(\frac{n}{t_1} - \alpha_1)v_1} \right)^{r_1/v_1} \\ &\leq c 2^v (1 + |2^{-v}m_1|)^{(\frac{1}{t_1} - \alpha_1)r_1} \|\lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}}^{r_1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{n}{t_1} - \alpha_1 > 0$ . By induction on  $n$ , we obtain

$$|\lambda_{v,m}| \lesssim 2^{\frac{v}{r}} (1 + |2^{-v}m|)^{\frac{1}{t} - \alpha} \|\cdots\| \|\lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1, q_1}} \|\chi_{v,m_2}\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2, q_2}} \cdots \|\lambda_{v,m}^{\alpha_n, q_n}\|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\psi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\vec{\beta}}^s} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{k(\frac{1}{r} - s - n - L)} (1 + |2^{-k}m|)^{\frac{1}{t} - \alpha - n - L} \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\psi\|_{S_{M+1}} \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\vec{\beta}}^s}, \end{aligned}$$

if  $L$  is sufficiently large. The proof is complete.  $\square$

For a sequence  $\lambda = \{\lambda_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$ ,  $0 < r \leq \infty$  and a fixed  $d > 0$ , set

$$\lambda_{k,m,r,d}^* = \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^r}{(1 + 2^k |2^{-k}h - 2^{-k}m|)^d} \right)^{1/r}$$

and  $\lambda_{r,d}^* := \{\lambda_{k,m,r,d}^*\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \subset \mathbb{C}$  with the usual modification if  $r = \infty$ .

**Lemma 3.13.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $0 < a < \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta, \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \frac{1}{p_i}})$ ,*

$$r = \begin{cases} \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta), & \text{if } \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_\beta^s = \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_\beta^s \\ \min(1, p_-, q_-), & \text{if } \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_\beta^s = \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_\beta^s \end{cases}$$

and  $d > \frac{nr}{a}$ . Then

$$\lambda_{k,m,r,d}^* \chi_{k,m}(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_a \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,h} \chi_{k,h} \right) (x), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\frac{n \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)}{d} < a < \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta), j \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Define

$$\Omega_{j,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : 2^{j-1} < |h - m| \leq 2^j\}, \quad \Omega_{0,m} = \{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n : |h - m| \leq 1\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)}}{(1 + |h - m|)^d} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} \frac{|\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)}}{(1 + |h - m|)^d} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-dj} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-dj} \left( \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^a \right)^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)/a}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows by the embedding  $\ell^a \hookrightarrow \ell^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)}$ . The last expression can be rewritten as

$$c \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{\left(\frac{n \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)}{a} - d\right)j} \left( 2^{(k-j)n} \int_{\cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,m}} Q_{k,z}} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{j,m}} |\lambda_{k,h}|^a \chi_{k,h}(y) dy \right)^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)/a}. \quad (3.14)$$

Let  $y \in \cup_{z \in \Omega_{j,m}} Q_{k,z}$  and  $x \in Q_{k,m}$ . Then  $y \in Q_{k,z}$  for some  $z \in \Omega_{j,m}$  which implies that  $2^{j-1} < |z - m| \leq 2^j$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |y_i - x_i| &\leq |y - x| \\ &\leq |y - 2^{-k}z| + |x - 2^{-k}z| \\ &\leq \sqrt{n} 2^{-k} + |x - 2^{-k}m| + 2^{-k}|z - m| \\ &\leq 2^{j-k+\delta_n}, \quad \delta_n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $y$  is located in the cube  $\prod_{i=1}^n [x_i - 2^{j-k+\delta_n}, x_i + 2^{j-k+\delta_n}]$ . Therefore, (3.14) can be estimated from above by

$$c \left( \mathcal{M}_a \left( \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{k,h} \chi_{k,h} \right) (x) \right)^{\min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta)},$$

where the positive constant  $c$  is independent of  $k$  and  $x$ , which completes the proof of Lemma 3.15.  $\square$

From Lemma 3.13 and Lemma 2.3, we obtain the following statement.

**Lemma 3.15.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $0 < a < \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta, \frac{1}{\alpha_i + \frac{1}{p_i}})$ ,*

$$r = \begin{cases} \min(1, p_-, q_-, \beta), & \text{if } \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s = \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^s \\ \min(1, p_-, q_-), & \text{if } \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s = \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} b_{\beta}^s \end{cases}$$

and  $d > \frac{nr}{a}$ . Then

$$\|\lambda_{r,d}^*\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s} \approx \|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s}.$$

Now we have the following result which is called the  $\varphi$ -transform characterization in the sense of Frazier and Jawerth. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of [9, Theorem 2.2], with the help of Lemma 3.15.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.1) and  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfy (3.2) such that (3.4) holds. The operators*

$$S_{\varphi} : \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s \rightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s$$

and

$$T_{\psi} : \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s \rightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$$

are bounded. Furthermore,  $T_{\psi} \circ S_{\varphi}$  is the identity on  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ .

*Remark 3.17.* This theorem can then be exploited to obtain a variety of results for the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ , where arguments can be equivalently transferred to the sequence space, which is often more convenient to handle. More precisely, under the same hypothesis of Theorem 3.16, we obtain

$$\|\{\langle f, \varphi_{k,m} \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}^n}\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s} \approx \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s}.$$

We follow the arguments in [7], we obtain

**Lemma 3.18.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} a_{\beta}^s$  are quasi-Banach spaces. They are Banach spaces if  $1 \leq \vec{p}, \vec{q}, \beta \leq \infty$ .*

Applying this lemma, Theorem 3.16 and [11], we obtain the following useful properties of these function spaces.

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  are quasi-Banach spaces. They are Banach spaces if  $1 \leq \vec{p}, \vec{q}, \beta \leq \infty$ .*

Let  $\vartheta$  be a function in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying

$$\vartheta(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } |x| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \frac{3}{2}. \quad (3.20)$$

We put  $\psi_0(x) = \vartheta(x)$ ,  $\psi_1(x) = \vartheta(\frac{x}{2}) - \vartheta(x)$  and  $\psi_k(x) = \psi_1(2^{-k+1}x)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then we have  $\text{supp } \psi_k \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{k-1} \leq |x| \leq 3 \cdot 2^{k-1}\}$  and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k(x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.21)$$

The system of functions  $\{\psi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is called a smooth dyadic resolution of unity. Thus we obtain the Littlewood-Paley decomposition

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_k * f$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (convergence in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ). We set

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s}^{\psi_0, \psi_1} = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_k * f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta}$$

and

$$\|\lambda\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s}^{\psi_0, \psi_1} = \left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \psi_k * f|^{\beta} \right)^{1/\beta} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The following theorem can be obtained as in the classical Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces but with the help of Lemma 2.3.

**Theorem 3.22.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . A tempered distribution  $f$  belongs to  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  if and only if*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s}^{\psi_0, \psi_1} < \infty.$$

Furthermore, the quasi-norms  $\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s}$  and  $\|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\psi_0, \psi_1} A_{\beta}^s}$  are equivalent.

#### 4. EMBEDDINGS

The following theorem gives basic embeddings of the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .*

(i) *If  $0 < \beta_1 \leq \beta_2 \leq \infty$ , then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta_1}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta_2}^s. \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) *If  $0 < \beta_1, \beta_2 \leq \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta_1}^{s+\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta_2}^s. \quad (4.3)$$

(iii) *Let  $\vec{q}_1 = (q_1^1, \dots, q_n^1) \in (0, \infty]^n$  and  $\vec{q}_2 = (q_1^2, \dots, q_n^2) \in (0, \infty]^n$ . If  $0 < q_1^i \leq q_2^i \leq \infty$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}_1} A_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}_2} A_{\beta}^s. \quad (4.4)$$

(iv) *Let  $\vec{p}_1 = (p_1^1, \dots, p_n^1) \in (0, \infty)^n$  and  $\vec{p}_2 = (p_1^2, \dots, p_n^2) \in (0, \infty)^n$ . If  $0 < p_1^i \leq p_2^i \leq \infty$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}_2}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}_1}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $r_i = \alpha_i - \left(\frac{1}{p_1^i} - \frac{1}{p_2^i}\right)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* The embeddings (4.2) and (4.4) are ready consequence of the embeddings between Lebesgue sequence spaces and  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\Phi$  and  $\varphi$  satisfy (3.1) and (3.2), respectively and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta_1}^{s+\varepsilon}$ . To prove (4.3), since  $\varepsilon > 0$  we see that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ks\beta_2} |\varphi_k * f|^{\beta_2} \right)^{1/\beta_2} \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \left\| \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} (2^{k(s+\varepsilon)} |\varphi_k * f|) \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The desired estimate follows by the embeddings  $\ell^{\beta_1} \hookrightarrow \ell^\infty$ . The  $B$ -case follows from a similar argument. The emdeddings (4.5), follows immediately Hölder's inequality.  $\square$

The proof of the following theorem is very similar as in Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces; see [3].

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\min(\beta, p_-, q_-)}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\max(\beta, p_-, q_-)}^s.$$

*Remark 4.7.* Theorem 4.6 when  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $\vec{p} = \vec{q}$  generalizes the corresponding results on mixed-norm Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Theorem 4.8.** *Let  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$*

(i) *We have the embedding*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s. \quad (4.9)$$

*In addition if  $0 < \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta < \infty$ , then  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is dense in  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ .*

(ii) *We have the embedding*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (4.10)$$

*Proof.* The proof the embedding (4.9) and the density of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$  follow by the same arguments as in [7] and [26]. We prove that

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be the smooth dyadic resolution of unity. We set  $\omega_j = \sum_{i=j-1}^{i=j+1} \varphi_i$  if  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  (with  $\varphi_{-1} = 0$ ). If  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $f(\psi)$  denotes the value of the functional  $f$  of  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the test function  $\psi$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\psi)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi)| = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f)(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi)\|_1 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f)(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi)\|_{\dot{K}_1^{0,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of  $\dot{K}_1^{0,1}$  spaces, this sum can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f)(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in B(0, 2^k)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f(x)| \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

We divide the last sum into two parts

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j-1} \sup_{x \in B(0, 2^k)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f(x)| \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1$$

and

$$I_2 = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-j}^{\infty} \sup_{x \in B(0, 2^k)} |\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f(x)| \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1$$

Let  $0 < d < \min(p_i, \frac{1}{\frac{1}{p_i} + \alpha_i}), i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Lemma 2.11, gives that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j-1} 2^{(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha)j} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1 \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-j-1} 2^{(\frac{1}{p} + \alpha - s)j} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1 \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s} \|\psi\|_{B_{1,1}^{\frac{1}{p} + \alpha - s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again Lemma 2.11, we have obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-j}^{\infty} 2^{j \frac{n}{d}} 2^{(\frac{n}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - \alpha)k} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{K}_p^{\alpha, q}} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1 \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{d} - s)j} \sum_{k=-j}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{n}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - \alpha)k} \|(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \omega_j * \psi) \chi_k\|_1 \\ &\leq c \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s} \|\psi\|_{\dot{K}_1^{\frac{n}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - \alpha, 1} B_1^{\frac{n}{d} - s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$|f(\psi)| \leq c \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s} \max \left( \|\psi\|_{B_{1,1}^{\alpha + \frac{n}{p} - s}}, \|\psi\|_{\dot{K}_1^{\frac{n}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - \alpha, 1} B_1^{\frac{n}{d} - s}} \right).$$

By our assumption on  $d$  we have  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_1^{\frac{n}{d} - \frac{1}{p} - \alpha, 1} B_1^{\frac{n}{d} - s}$ . From this and the embedding  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{1,1}^{\frac{1}{p} + \alpha - s}$  we obtain

$$|f(\psi)| \leq c \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_M} \|f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s}$$

for some  $M \in \mathbb{N}$ . This proves that  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\infty}^s$  is continuously embedded in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The embedding (4.10) follows by

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_p^{\alpha, q} B_{\infty}^s.$$

□

## 5. SOBOLEV EMBEDDINGS

In this section we consider Sobolev embeddings for the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} A_{\beta}^s$ .

**5.1. Sobolev embeddings for the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^s$ .** We next consider embeddings of Sobolev-type of mixed-norm Herz Besov spaces. It is well-known that

$$B_{q, \beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{p, \beta}^{s_1}, \quad (5.1)$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{p} = s_2 - \frac{n}{q}$ , where  $0 < q < p \leq \infty$ ,  $s_1 \leq s_2$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  (see e.g. [20, Theorem 2.7.1]). In the following theorem we generalize these embeddings to mixed-norm Herz-type Besov spaces.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty, 0 < \vec{r}, \vec{v} \leq \infty, \vec{\alpha}_1 = (\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_1^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \vec{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . We suppose that*

$$-\frac{1}{p_i} < \alpha_i^1 \leq \alpha_i^2, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha_1 \leq s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha_2. \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}} B_{\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}} B_{\beta}^{s_1}, \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\vec{\theta} = \begin{cases} \vec{r}, & \text{if } \vec{\alpha}_2 = \vec{\alpha}_1 \\ \vec{v}, & \text{if } \vec{\alpha}_2 > \vec{\alpha}_1. \end{cases}$$

The conditions (5.3) becomes necessary.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a smooth dyadic resolution of unity and  $f \in \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}} B_{\beta}^{s_2}$ . By Lemma 2.21, we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c 2^{j(\frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where  $c > 0$  is independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . However the desired embedding is an immediate consequence of this estimate.

Now, we prove the necessity of (5.3). Let  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a function such that  $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}\omega \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{3}{4} < |\xi| < 1\}$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we put  $f_N(x) = \omega(2^N x)$ . First we have  $\omega \in \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Due to the support properties of the function  $\omega$  we have for any  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_j * f_N = \begin{cases} f_N, & j = N, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_N\|_{\dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha_i^1, r_i}} &= \left( \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha_i^1 r_i} \|f_N \chi_k\|_{p_i}^{r_i} \right)^{1/r_i} \\ &= 2^{-\frac{N}{p_i}} \left( \sum_{k_i=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k_i \alpha_i^1 r_i} \|\tilde{\omega}(2^N \cdot) \cdot \chi_{k_i+N}\|_{p_i}^{r_i} \right)^{1/r_i} \\ &= 2^{-(\alpha_i^1 + \frac{1}{p_i})N} \|\omega\|_{\dot{K}_{p_i}^{\alpha_i^1, r_i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\omega}(2^N x) = \omega(2^N x_1, \dots, 2^N x_{i-1}, x_i, 2^N x_{i+1}, \dots, 2^N x_n)$ . Hence

$$\|f_N\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}} B_{\beta}^{s_1}} = 2^{s_1 N} \|f_N\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 2^{(s_1 - \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}})N} \|\omega\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\alpha_1, \vec{r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The same arguments give

$$\|f_N\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}} B_{\beta}^{s_2}} = 2^{(s_2 - \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}})N} \|\psi\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\alpha_2, \vec{\theta}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

If the embeddings (5.4) holds then for any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$2^{(s_1 - s_2 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}})N} \leq c.$$

Thus, we conclude that (5.3) must necessarily hold by letting  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

*Remark 5.5.* If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$  and  $\vec{r} = \vec{p}$ , then Theorem 5.2 reduces to the known results on  $B_{\vec{p},\beta}^s$ ; see [12], by using the embedding  $\ell^{q_i} \hookrightarrow \ell^{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

From Theorem 5.2 and the fact that  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0,\vec{p}} B_{\beta}^{s_1} = B_{\vec{p},\beta}^{s_1}$  we immediately arrive at the following result.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \leq s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha.$$

*If*

$$\alpha_i \geq 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

*then*

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\theta}} B_{\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{\vec{p},\beta}^{s_1},$$

*where*

$$\vec{\theta} = \begin{cases} \vec{p}, & \text{if } \vec{\alpha} = 0, \\ \vec{r}, & \text{if } \alpha_i > 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Using this result, we have the following useful consequence.

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$ ,  $s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \leq s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$B_{\vec{q},\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{0,\vec{p}} B_{\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow B_{\vec{p},\beta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* To prove these embeddings, it sufficient to choose in Theorem 5.6,  $\vec{\theta} = \vec{p}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = 0$ . However the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$B_{\vec{q},\beta}^{s_2} = \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{0,\vec{q}} B_{\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{K}_{\vec{q}}^{0,\vec{p}} B_{\beta}^{s_2}.$$

The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following statement holds by Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha \leq s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}.$$

*If*

$$-\frac{1}{p_i} < \alpha_i \leq 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

*then*

$$B_{\vec{q},\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha},\vec{\theta}} B_{\beta}^{s_1},$$

*where*

$$\vec{\theta} = \begin{cases} \vec{q}, & \text{if } \vec{\alpha} = 0 \\ \vec{r}, & \text{if } -\frac{1}{p_i} < \alpha_i < 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

As a consequence one obtains the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.10.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{p} \leq \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} \leq s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}.$$

*Then*

$$B_{\vec{q},\beta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0,\vec{q}} B_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow B_{\vec{p},\beta}^{s_1}.$$



*Proof.* To prove this it is sufficient to choose in Theorem 5.9,  $\vec{\theta} = \vec{q}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = 0$ . Then the desired embedding is an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{q}} B_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{p}} B_{\beta}^{s_1} = B_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}.$$

□

*Remark 5.11.* Our Sobolev embeddings obtained in this section extend and improve the corresponding results of [12].

5.2. **Sobolev embeddings for the spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^{s_1}$ .** It is well-known that

$$F_{q, \infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{p, \beta}^{s_1} \quad (5.12)$$

if  $s_1 - \frac{n}{p} = s_2 - \frac{n}{q}$ , where  $0 < q < p < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ ; see, e.g., [20, Theorem 2.7.1]. In this section we generalize these embeddings to mixed-norm Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. We need the Sobolev embeddings properties of the sequence spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} f_{\beta}^{s_1}$ .

**Theorem 5.13.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} < \vec{p} < \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_1 = (\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_n^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \theta, \beta \leq \infty$ . We suppose that*

$$\alpha_2^i \geq \alpha_1^i > -\frac{1}{p_i}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha_2. \quad (5.14)$$

Then

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{r}} f_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}_1, \vec{r}} f_{\beta}^{s_1}, \quad (5.15)$$

holds.

*Proof.* By similarity, we only consider the case  $\beta = 1$ ,  $1 \leq \vec{p}, \vec{q} < \infty$  and  $1 \leq \vec{r} \leq \infty$ . Let  $\lambda \in \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{r}} f_{\theta}^{s_2}$ . We proceed in two steps.

*Step 1.* We set

$$F = \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v, m} \chi_{v, m} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_1}^{\alpha_1^1, r_1}}.$$

Recall that

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

We set

$$\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_v} = \sum_{i=v}^n \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_v} = \sum_{i=v}^n \frac{1}{q_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{j, v} = \sum_{i=v}^n \alpha_i^j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

In this step we prove that

$$F \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2, 2} + \alpha_{1, 2})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v, m} \chi_{v, m_1} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}}},$$

where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  and  $\tilde{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n)$ . Observe that

$$F \lesssim \left( \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^2 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1 r_1} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \right)^{1/r_1} \quad (5.16)$$

$$+ \left( \sum_{k_1=3}^{\infty} 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1 r_1} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \right)^{1/r_1}. \quad (5.17)$$

The term (5.16) can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^2 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1 r_1} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{2-k_1} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \right)^{1/r_1} \\ & + \left( \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^3 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1 r_1} \left\| \sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1}^{r_1} \right)^{1/r_1} \\ & = I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of  $I_1$ .* Let  $x_1 \in R_{k_1} \cap Q_{v,m_1}^1$  and  $y_1 \in Q_{v,m_1}^1$ ,  $k_1, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We have  $|x_1 - y_1| \leq 2^{1-v}$  and from this it follows that  $|y_1| < 2^{1-v} + 2^{k_1} \leq 2^{3-v}$ , which implies that  $y_1$  is located in the cube  $Q_v = (-2^{3-v}, 2^{3-v})$ . Then

$$|\lambda_{v,m}|^{t_1} = 2^v \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{t_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(y_1) dy_1 \leq 2^v \int_{Q_v} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{t_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(y_1) dy_1,$$

if  $x_1 \in R_{k_1} \cap Q_{v,m_1}^1$ ,  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $t_1 > 0$ . Therefore for any  $x_1 \in R_{k_1}$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m_1}|^{t_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(x_1) & \leq 2^v \int_{Q_v} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{t_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(y_1) dy_1 \\ & = 2^v \left\| \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{Q_v} \right\|_{t_1}^{t_1}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{\alpha_1^1 k_1} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{2-k_1} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1} \\ & \lesssim 2^{(\alpha_1^1 + \frac{1}{p_1}) k_1} \sum_{v=0}^{2-k_1} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{t_1})} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{Q_v} \right\|_{t_1} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant is independent of  $k_1$ . We may choose  $t_1 > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{t_1} > \max(\frac{1}{q_1}, \frac{1}{q_1} + \alpha_1^2)$ . Using (5.14) and Lemma 2.23 we estimate  $I_1^{r_1}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 - \frac{1}{q} - \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{n}{2}) r_1} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{Q_v} \right\|_{t_1}^{r_1} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_2 - \frac{1}{q} - \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{n}{2}) r_1} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{h \leq -v} \left\| \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{h+3} \right\|_{t_1} \right)^{r_1} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{v \frac{r_1}{d}} \left( \sum_{h \leq -v} 2^{i(\frac{1}{d} + \alpha_1^2)} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| 2^{(s_2 - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) j} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m_1} \chi_{h+3} \right\|_{q_1} \right)^{r_1} \chi_{j,\tilde{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality with  $\frac{1}{d} = \frac{1}{t_1} - \frac{1}{q_1} - \alpha_1^2$ . Again, by apply Lemma 2.23 we obtain  $I_1$  can estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \alpha_{1,2})j} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha_1^2 h r_1} \left\| 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m_1} \chi_{-h+3} \right\|_{q_1}^{r_1} \right)^{1/r_1} \chi_{j,\tilde{m}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})j} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})j} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m_1} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}} \chi_{j,\tilde{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

*Estimation of  $I_2$ .* We will prove that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1} \left\| \sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m} \chi_{k_1} \right\|_{p_1} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})j} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1} \left\| 2^{j(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{j,m} \chi_{j,m_1} \chi_{\tilde{R}_{k_1}} \right\|_{q_1} \chi_{j,\tilde{m}} \\ & = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $k_1 \leq 3$ , where  $\tilde{R}_{k_1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : 2^{k_1-2} < |x_1| < 2^{k_2+2}\}$ . This claim can be reformulated as showing that

$$\int_{R_{k_1}} 2^{k_1 \alpha_1^1 p_1} \delta^{-p_1} \left( \sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(s_1 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \right)^{p_1} dx_1 \lesssim 1. \quad (5.18)$$

The left-hand side of (5.18) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{R_{k_1}} \left( \delta^{-1} \sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + (\alpha_1^1 - \alpha_1^2)(v+k_1) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \right)^{p_1} dx_1,$$

which can be estimated from above by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R_{k_1}} \delta^{-p_1} \left( \sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \right)^{p_1} dx_1 \\ & = T_{k_1}, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\alpha_1^2 \geq \alpha_1^1$ . We will prove that  $T_{k_1} \lesssim 1$  for any  $k_1 \leq 3$ . Our estimate use partially some decomposition techniques already used in [23, Theorem 3.1]; see also [4]. We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\sup_{v \geq 3-k_1} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \leq \delta$ . In this case we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_k & \lesssim \int_{R_{k_1}} \left( \delta^{-1} \sup_{v \geq 3-k_1} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \right)^{q_1} dx_1 \\ & \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Case 2.*  $\sup_{v \geq 3-k_1, m} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) > \delta$ . We can distinguish two cases as follows:

- $\delta^{-1} \sup_{v \geq 3-k_1, 2} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) = \infty$ , then there is nothing to prove.

•  $\delta^{-1} \sup_{v \geq 3-k_1} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) < \infty$ . Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that

$$2^{\frac{N}{q_1}} < \delta^{-1} \sup_{v \geq 3-k_1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) < 2^{\frac{N+1}{q_1}}.$$

*Subcase 2.1.*  $k_1 \geq 3 - N$ . We split the sum over  $v \geq 3 - k_1$  into two parts,

$$\sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{n}{p_1} - \frac{n}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} = J_{1,k_1} + J_{2,k_1},$$

where

$$J_{1,k_1} = \sum_{v=3-k_1}^N 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}$$

and

$$J_{2,k_1} = \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}.$$

Let  $x_1 \in R_{k_1} \cap Q_{v,m_1}^1$  and  $y_1 \in Q_{v,m_1}^1$ . We have  $|x_1 - y_1| \leq 2^{1-v}$  and from this it follows that  $2^{k_1-2} < |y_1| < 2^{1-v} + 2^{k_1} < 2^{2+k_1}$ , which implies that  $y_1$  is located in  $\tilde{R}_{k_1}$ . Then

$$|\lambda_{v,m}|^{q_1} = 2^v \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{q_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(y_1) dy_1 \leq 2^v \int_{\tilde{R}_{k_1}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{q_1} \chi_{v,m}(y_1) dy_1,$$

if  $x_1 \in R_{k_1} \cap Q_{v,m_1}^1$ . This immediately implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{q_1} \chi_{v,m_1} &\leq 2^v \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}} \int_{\tilde{R}_{k_1}} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m}|^{q_1} \chi_{v,m_1}(y_1) dy_1 \\ &= 2^v \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m_1} \chi_{\tilde{R}_{k_1}} \right\|_{q_1}^{q_1} \chi_{v,\tilde{m}} \\ &\leq 2^{(\frac{1}{q_1} - s_2 - \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2} + \alpha_{2,2} - \alpha_{1,2} - \frac{n}{2}) q_1 v - \alpha_1^2 q_1 k_1} \delta^{q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\delta^{-1} J_{1,k_1} \leq \sum_{v=3-k_1}^N 2^{\frac{v}{p_1}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{1}{p_1} N}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-1} J_{2,k_1} &= \delta^{-1} \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{v=N+1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1})} \left( \delta^{-1} \sup_{j \geq 3-k_1} 2^{j(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{j,m}| \chi_{j,m} \right) \\ &\lesssim 2^{\frac{N}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_{k_1} &\lesssim \int_{R_{k_1}} 2^N dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{R_{k_1}} \left( \delta^{-1} \sup_{v \geq 3-k_1} 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^2} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m}(x) \right)^{q_1} dx_1 \\ &\lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

*Subcase 2.2.*  $k_1 < 3 - N$ . We use the same of arguments as in Subcase 2.1, in view of the fact that

$$\sum_{v=3-k_1}^{\infty} 2^{v(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{q_1}) + v(s_2 + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} + \frac{n}{2}) + k_1 \alpha_1^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\lambda_{v,m}| \chi_{v,m} \leq J_{2,k_1}.$$

*Estimate of (5.17).* The arguments here are quite similar to those used in the estimation of  $I_2$ .

*Step 2.* We set

$$H = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}}.$$

In this step we prove that

$$\|H\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^1, r_2}}$$

can be estimated from above by

$$C \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{q_3} - \alpha_{2,3} + \alpha_{1,3})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2}} \left\| \left\| 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_2}^{\alpha_2^2, r_2}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}}, \quad (5.19)$$

where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $\tilde{m} = (m_1, m_2)$  and  $\bar{m} = (m_3, \dots, m_n)$ . We set

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{v, \tilde{m}} = \left\| 2^{(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})v} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}}, \quad \tilde{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\|H\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^1, r_2}} = \left( \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{k_2 \alpha_2^1 r_2} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \tilde{\lambda}_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{k_2} \right\|_{p_2}^{r_2} \right)^{1/r_2}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^1, r_2}} &\lesssim \left( \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^2 2^{k_2 \alpha_2^1 r_2} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,21}^i)v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \tilde{\lambda}_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{k_2} \right\|_{p_2}^{r_2} \right)^{1/r_2} \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{k_2=3}^{\infty} 2^{k_2 \alpha_2^1 r_2} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \tilde{\lambda}_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}} \chi_{k_2} \right\|_{p_2}^{r_2} \right)^{1/r_2} \\ &= I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2} = \frac{1}{p_3} - \frac{1}{q_3} - \alpha_{2,3} + \alpha_{1,3} + \frac{1}{p_2} - \frac{1}{q_2} - \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^1.$$

The desired estimate (5.19) can be proved by the arguments used in Step 1.

*Step 3.* We set

$$V = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_2} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_2} - \alpha_{2,2} + \alpha_{1,2})v} \sum_{\tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} \left\| 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v,m_1} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}}.$$

Let  $j \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$ . By induction on  $j$  we obtain that

$$\left\| \cdots \left\| V \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^1, r_2}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_j}^{\alpha_j^1, r_j}}$$

can be estimated from above by

$$C \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\frac{1}{\mathbf{p}_{j+1}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}_{j+1}} - \alpha_{2,j+1} + \alpha_{1,j+1})v} \sum_{\tilde{m}_j \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-j}} \left\| \cdots \left\| 2^{v(s_2 + \frac{n}{2})} \sum_{m_j \in \mathbb{Z}^j} \lambda_{v,m} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}_j} \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_1}^{\alpha_1^2, r_1}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{q_j}^{\alpha_j^2, r_j}} \chi_{v, \tilde{m}_j}, \quad (5.20)$$

where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ ,  $\tilde{m}_j = (m_1, \dots, m_j)$  and  $\tilde{m}_j = (m_{j+1}, \dots, m_n)$ . In (5.20) we put  $j = n-1$ . Observe that

$$\left\| \lambda \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}_1, \vec{r}} F_{\beta}^{s_1}} \lesssim \left\| \cdots \left\| V \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_2}^{\alpha_2^2, r_2}} \cdots \right\|_{\dot{K}_{p_n}^{\alpha_n^2, r_n}}.$$

Using the same arguments of Steps 1 and 2, the term (5.20) with  $j = n-1$  can be estimated from above by  $C \left\| \lambda \right\|_{\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{r}} F_{\theta}^{s_2}}$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

From Theorems 3.16 and 5.2, we have the following Sobolev embedding for spaces  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^s$ .

**Theorem 5.21.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} < \vec{p} < \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_1 = (\alpha_1^1, \dots, \alpha_n^1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\vec{\alpha}_2 = (\alpha_1^2, \dots, \alpha_n^2) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $0 < \theta, \beta \leq \infty$ . We suppose that*

$$\alpha_2^i \geq \alpha_i^1 > -\frac{1}{p_i}, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

and

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha_1 = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha_2.$$

Then

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}_2, \vec{r}} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}_1, \vec{r}} F_{\beta}^{s_1}.$$

From Theorem 5.21 and the fact that  $\dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{p}} F_{\beta}^{s_1} = F_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}$  we immediately arrive at the following results.

**Theorem 5.22.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in [0, \infty)^n$ ,  $0 < \max(\vec{q}, \vec{r}) < \vec{p} < \infty$ ,  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} - \alpha.$$

Then

$$\dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{r}} F_{\theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}.$$

**Theorem 5.23.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} \leq \vec{r} < \infty$ ,  $0 < \vec{q} < \vec{p} < \infty$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Assume that  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with  $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{p_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} - \alpha = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}.$$

Then

$$F_{\vec{q}, \theta}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{r}} F_{\beta}^{s_1}.$$

Using our results, we have the following useful consequences.

**Corollary 5.24.** *Let  $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{t} < \vec{q} < \vec{p} < \infty, 0 < \beta \leq \infty$  and*

$$s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}} = s_3 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{t}}.$$

*Then*

$$F_{\vec{t}, \infty}^{s_3} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{0, \vec{p}} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}.$$

To prove this it is sufficient to choose in Theorem 5.22,  $\vec{r} = \vec{p}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = 0$ . However the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$F_{\vec{t}, \infty}^{s_3} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{q}, \infty}^{s_2} = \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{0, \vec{q}} F_{\infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{q}}^{0, \vec{p}} F_{\infty}^{s_2}.$$

**Corollary 5.25.** *Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < \vec{q} < \vec{p} < \infty, s_1 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{p}} = s_2 - \frac{1}{\mathbf{q}}$  and  $0 < \beta \leq \infty$ . Then*

$$F_{\vec{q}, \infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow F_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}.$$

*Proof.* To prove this it is sufficient to choose in Theorem 5.23,  $\vec{r} = \vec{q}$  and  $\vec{\alpha} = 0$ . Then the desired embeddings are an immediate consequence of the fact that

$$F_{\vec{q}, \infty}^{s_2} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{q}} F_{\beta}^{s_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{E}_{\vec{p}}^{0, \vec{p}} F_{\beta}^{s_1} = F_{\vec{p}, \beta}^{s_1}.$$

□

*Remark 5.26.* Our Sobolev embeddings obtained in this section extend and improve the corresponding results of [12].

### Acknowledgements

This work is found by the General Direction of Higher Education and Training under Grant No. C00L03UN280120220004 and by The General Directorate of Scientific Research and Technological Development, Algeria.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Benedek, R. Panzone, *The spaces  $L^p$  with mixed norm*. Duke Math. J. **28** (1961), 301–324.
- [2] L. Diening, P. Hästö, S. Roudenko, *Function spaces of variable smoothness and integrability*, J. Funct. Anal. **256**(6) (2009), 1731–1768.
- [3] D. Drihem, *Embeddings properties on Herz-type Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Math. Ineq. and Appl. **16**(2) (2013), 439–460.
- [4] D. Drihem, *Sobolev embeddings for Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*, Function Spaces and Inequalities. P. Jain, H.-J. Schmeisser (ed.). Springer Proceedings in Mathematics and Statistics. Springer, 2017.
- [5] D. Drihem, *Herz-Sobolev spaces on domains*, Le Matematiche. **77**(2) (2022). 229–263.
- [6] D. Drihem, *Composition operators on Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with application to semilinear parabolic equations*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **16**, 29 (2022).
- [7] D. Drihem, *Triebel-Lizorkin spaces with general weights*, Adv. Oper. Theory. **8**(5) (2023), 69 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43036-022-00230-0>
- [8] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, *Decomposition of Besov spaces*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), 777–799.
- [9] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, *A discrete transform and decomposition of distribution spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. **93** (1990), 34–170.
- [10] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, G. Weiss, *Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 79. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1991).
- [11] A.G. Georgiadis, J. Johnsen, M. Nielsen, *Wavelet transforms for homogeneous mixed norm Triebel-Lizorkin spaces*. Monatsh. Math. **183** (2017), 587–624

- [12] J. Johnsen, W. Sickel, *A direct proof of Sobolev embeddings for quasi-homogeneous Lizorkin-Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl. **5** (2007), 183-198.
- [13] J. Johnsen, W. Sickel, *On the trace problem for Lizorkin-Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, Math. Nachr. **281** (2008), 669-696.
- [14] J. Johnsen, S. Munch Hansen, W. Sickel, *Characterisation by local means of anisotropic Lizorkin-Triebel spaces with mixed norms*, Journal of Analysis and its Applications, **32** (3) (2013), 257-277.
- [15] H. Kozono, M. Yamazaki, *Semilinear heat equations and the Navier-Stokes equation with distributions in new function spaces as initial data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **19**, 5-6 (1994), 959-1014.
- [16] S. Lu, D. Yang, *Herz-type Sobolev and Bessel potential spaces and their applications*, Sci. in China (Ser. A). **40**, 113-129 (1997).
- [17] A. L. Mazzucato, *Besov-Morrey spaces: function space theory and applications to nonlinear PDE*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 1297-1364).
- [18] A. Mazzucato, *Decomposition of Besov-Morrey spaces*, in Harmonic Analysis at Mount Holyoke, AMS Series in Contemporary Mathematics. **320** (2003), 279-294.
- [19] Y. Sawano and H. Tanaka, *Decompositions of Besov-Morrey spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin-Morrey spaces*, Math. Z. **257** (2007), 871-905.
- [20] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1983.
- [21] H. Triebel, *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [22] Y. Tsutsui, *The Navier-Stokes equations and weak Herz spaces*, Adv. Differential Equations. **16** (2011), 1049-1085.
- [23] J. Vybíral, *Sobolev and Jawerth embeddings for spaces with variable smoothness and integrability*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **34**(2) (2009), 529-544.
- [24] J. Xu, D. Yang, *Herz-type Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. I*, Acta. Math. Sci (English Ed.). **21**(3) (2005), 643-654.
- [25] H. Wang, *Decomposition for Morrey type Besov-Triebel spaces*, Math. Nachr. **282**, No. 5, 774 - 787 (2009).
- [26] D. Yang, W. Yuan, *A new class of function spaces connecting Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and  $Q$  spaces*. J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), 2760-2809.
- [27] W. Yuan, W. Sickel, D. Yang, *Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2005, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (2010).
- [28] Y. Zhao, D. Yang, Y. Zhang, *Mixed-norm Herz spaces and their applications in related Hardy spaces*, Anal. Appl. **21** (2022), 1131-1222.

DOUADI DRIHEM, LABORATORY OF FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS AND GEOMETRY OF SPACES, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,, M'SILA UNIVERSITY, PO BOX 166 ICHEBELIA, M'SILA 28000, ALGERIA

*Email address:* douadidr@yahoo.fr, douadi.drihem@univ-msila.dz