

Fermionic dynamical Casimir effect: Magnus expansion

C. D. Fosco and G. Hansen

*Centro Atómico Bariloche and Instituto Balseiro
Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica
R8402AGP S. C. de Bariloche, Argentina.*

June 24, 2024

Abstract

We study pair creation out of the vacuum, for a system consisting of a massive Dirac field in $1 + 1$ dimensions, contained between a pair of perfectly reflecting boundaries, one of them oscillating. After analyzing some general properties of the vacuum-decay process, we evaluate the corresponding transition amplitude in a Magnus expansion of the S -matrix. We show how this yields, besides the single-pair creation amplitude, multipair ones, as well as corrections to the single pair amplitude.

We also apply it to obtain an approximate, yet explicitly unitary expression for the Bogoliubov transformation between the *in* and *out* Fock spaces.

1 Introduction

Startling macroscopic phenomena arise when quantum fields are subjected to non-trivial boundary conditions. Among them, the Casimir effect [1] stands out as a prime example. In its static version, it manifests itself in the existence of forces which reflect the dependence of the vacuum energy on the boundary conditions. This subject of study is the so called *static* Casimir effect (SCE) [2]. On the other hand, the *dynamical* Casimir effect [3] (DCE), delves with time-dependent changes in the boundary conditions. Within the general framework of the DCE, we consider here a Dirac field, in a situation

where the geometry of the boundaries changes, while the (bag model) conditions they impose is unaltered. Under these assumptions, the DCE observables manifest features of the space-time geometry swept by the boundaries. A particularly interesting effect is, under the appropriate circumstances, the creation of particles out of the vacuum state. Those particles are real quanta of the field, their nature being inherited from that of the field upon which the boundary conditions are imposed. For instance, photons are emitted when the boundaries are perfect electromagnetic conductors, and fermion pairs when bag conditions [4] are imposed upon a Dirac field. The latter is the kind of system we are interested in, among other reasons because it may be relevant in Condensed Matter Physics, since Dirac fields, specially in lower dimensions, appear as ingredients of many continuum models [5].

In [6] the fermionic DCE for a massless Dirac field in $1 + 1$ dimensions, satisfying bag conditions on two moving boundaries, has been studied. In [7] we used a perturbative approach, applicable to either massive or massless Dirac fermions, to study fermion pair creation in an oscillating cavity, also in $1 + 1$ dimensions, to the lowest non-trivial order in the amplitude of oscillation. It is the aim of this paper to improve upon that calculation, by performing a different, yet related expansion: firstly, we just assume the part of the action taken as perturbation to be small (in comparison to the unperturbed action). This approach keeps reparametrization invariance for the curves swept by the boundaries, even when working at the lowest non-trivial order in the new expansion. By the same token, relativistic corrections are incorporated, since the perturbation involves a Lorentz factor. Secondly, we use a Magnus expansion to derive transition probabilities, and interpret its implications from the point of view of the vacuum decay amplitudes, and for the mapping between *in* and *out* Fock spaces.

The paper is structured as follows: in Sect. 2 we define the model to be considered, briefly reviewing its main aspects. Then, in Sect. 3, we discuss some general aspects of the pair creation phenomenon, and in Sect. 4 we deal with the perturbative evaluation of the decay amplitude, in the context of the Magnus expansion. The latter is applied to obtain an explicitly unitary approximation for the Bogoliubov transformation, in Sect. 5. Finally, in Sect. 6 we convey our conclusions.

2 The model

The model we consider here has already been studied, to the lowest non-trivial order, in [7] (we refer to that work for the properties which here are applied without demonstration). The system consists of a Dirac field $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$

in 1+1 dimensions which is subjected to bag boundary conditions by means of its coupling to a ‘potential’ V , the role of which is to impose the appropriate boundary conditions of the two borders (see below). The real-time action \mathcal{S} for the system is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(\bar{\psi}, \psi; V) = \int d^2x \bar{\psi} (i \not{\partial} - m - V) \psi, \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of the fermions. We adopt conventions such that Planck’s constant \hbar and the speed of light c , are both set equal to 1. Space-time coordinates are denoted by x^μ (with $\mu = 0, 1$ and $x^0 = t$), and the Minkowski metric is $(g_{\mu\nu}) \equiv \text{diag}(1, -1)$. For Dirac’s γ matrices, we choose the representation $\gamma^0 \equiv \sigma_1$, $\gamma^1 \equiv i\sigma_3$, where σ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the standard Pauli’s matrices.

Finally, we deal with the form of V which is required in order to impose the desired boundary conditions, in the approach outlined in [8, 9]. The field is assumed to be in the presence of two walls, labeled by L and R , but, contrary to what we did in [7], we now assume that L moves while R remains static. The first moves along the trajectory $x^1 = \eta(x^0)$, while R remains at the position $x^1 = a$. This motion is constrained so that the walls do not overlap, i.e., $\eta(x^0) < a$.

Recalling [7, 10], the potential V for this scenario assumes the form:

$$V(x) = g_L \gamma^{-1}(u) \delta[x^1 - \eta(x^0)] + g_R \delta(x^1 - a), \quad (2)$$

where $\gamma(u) = 1/\sqrt{1 - u^2}$ denotes the instantaneous Lorentz factor as a function of $u = \dot{\eta}(x^0) \equiv \dot{\eta}(x^0)$. The dimensionless constants g_L and g_R determine the nature of the resulting boundary conditions: values differing from 2, result in imperfect boundary conditions, allowing for the possibility of some current escaping from, or coming into, the cavity. Conversely, setting $g_L = g_R = 2$ establishes ‘bag’ boundary condition as shown in [8]. For the sake of completeness, we summarize those conditions, for the case at hand. To that end, we introduce the projectors: $P_L(x^0) = \frac{1+i\hat{n}_L(x^0)}{2}$, for the moving boundary at L , and $P_R = \frac{1+i\hat{n}_R}{2}$, for the static one at R . Here, the unit normals are given by:

$$\hat{n}_L(x^0) = \gamma(\dot{\eta}(x^0)) (\dot{\eta}(x^0), 1), \quad \hat{n}_R = (0, -1), \quad (3)$$

and the boundary conditions become:

$$P_L(x^0) \psi(x^0, \eta(x^0)^+) = 0 = P_R \psi(x^0, a^-), \quad (4)$$

where the $+$ and $-$ superscripts indicate ‘lateral’ limits, approaching the respective point from the right and from the left, respectively.

3 Pair creation

We want to compute the probability of vacuum decay, due to the motion of the L wall. We make the usual assumptions of a finite temporal extent for the external perturbation of a system, so that both the initial state (vacuum) and the final state can be characterized in terms of the states of the Fock space of a free Hamiltonian: the *in* and *out* spaces. In our case, that Hamiltonian shall be the one corresponding to a situation where both boundaries are static, and at the same distance before and after the motion occurs.

To be more explicit, we split the action as follows:

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_0 + \mathcal{S}_I , \quad (5)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}_0(\bar{\psi}, \psi) \equiv \int d^2x \bar{\psi}(x) \left(i\cancel{\partial} - m - V_0(x) \right) \psi(x) , \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_I(\bar{\psi}, \psi, \eta) \equiv - \int d^2x \bar{\psi}(x) \varphi(x) \psi(x) , \quad (7)$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0(x) &\equiv 2 \left[\delta(x^1) + \delta(x^1 - a) \right] , \\ \varphi(x) &\equiv 2 \left[\gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(x^0)) \delta(x^1 - \eta(x^0)) - \delta(x^1) \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Note that, contrary to our previous work with similar models, we are not expanding the perturbation in powers of the departure η , keeping just the lowest (linear) order term. On the contrary, we develop the expansion keeping the structure for φ , and in principle also the γ factor which accounts for relativistic corrections.

The free piece, \mathcal{S}_0 , determines the basis of eigenstates of the Dirac operator with the boundary conditions which result from (4) when $\eta \equiv 0$. In the case at hand, this implies finding the solutions to:

$$(i\cancel{\partial} - m) \psi(x) = 0 , \quad (9)$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$\frac{1 + i\gamma_1}{2} \psi(x^0, 0^+) = 0 = \frac{1 - i\gamma_1}{2} \psi(x^0, a^-) . \quad (10)$$

A convenient basis of solutions to this system is the following:

$$\psi_{p,\pm}(x^1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m + aE_p^2}} \begin{pmatrix} \pm E_p \sin(px^1) \\ p \cos(px^1) + m \sin(px^1) \end{pmatrix} , \quad (11)$$

where the $+$ sign corresponds to positive (E_p) energy solutions and $-$ to negative ($-E_p$) energy ones. In both cases $E_p \equiv \sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$ and the values of p are determined by the transcendental equation:

$$ma \operatorname{sinc}(pa) + \cos(pa) = 0, \quad (12)$$

where the sinc function is, in our conventions, defined as: $\operatorname{sinc} x = \frac{\sin x}{x}$.

The solutions are orthogonal and normalized as follows:

$$\int_0^a dx^1 \psi_{p,\sigma}^\dagger(x^1) \psi_{p',\sigma'}(x^1) = \delta_{p,p'} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'}. \quad (13)$$

The spectrum of the system is discrete, and the interchange $p \rightarrow -p$ does not yield an independent solution. Thus, it is sufficient to consider (as we do) p assuming non-negative values only.

When $m = 0$, the spectrum becomes: $|E_{p_n}| = p_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\frac{\pi}{a}$ with $n = 0, 1, \dots$. Solutions are labeled by the index p_n , which is related to the particles' spatial momenta when the size of the cavity tends to infinity, i.e., in the limit $a \rightarrow \infty$. For practical purposes, we will use the term *momenta* to refer specifically to the index p_n .

Both the *in* and *out* spaces are isomorphic, and the associated annihilation and creation operators correspond to a conveniently chosen basis of solutions to the above equation. For instance, the mode expansion for the *in* field operator (we omit the 'in' label in both the field and the operators),

$$\psi(x) = \sum_k \left[b_k e^{-iE_k x^0} u_k(x^1) + d_k^\dagger e^{iE_k x^0} v_k(x^1) \right], \quad (14)$$

where $u_k(x^1) \equiv \psi_{k,+}(x^1)$ and $v_k(x^1) \equiv \psi_{k,-}(x^1)$, with b_k^\dagger and d_k^\dagger being creation operators of fermions and anti-fermions, respectively. With the normalization we have chosen for the solutions to the Dirac equation, the only non-vanishing anti-commutators among these operators are:

$$\{b_k, b_p^\dagger\} = \delta_{kp}, \quad \{d_k, d_p^\dagger\} = \delta_{kp}. \quad (15)$$

Also note that a single non-negative discrete label is sufficient to characterize either a fermion or anti-fermion state.

Before actually calculating the decay probability amplitudes, we see that, since fermion number is conserved, the vacuum can only decay into one or more fermion anti-fermion pairs. Let us first see how, for the action we are considering, the knowing of just the *single* pair creation amplitude, all the multiple pair ones can be obtained by Wick's theorem combinatorics and knowledge of the single pair decay amplitude. Indeed, assume that we want

to consider a rather general vacuum decay amplitude, from the vacuum to a certain number, n say, of fermion pairs. A rather straightforward calculation shows that the reduction formulae [11] can be adapted to this system: indeed, the connected transition amplitudes are determined by the corresponding Green's function. In this case (n pairs), it then involves a number n of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ legs. To obtain the amplitude from such a Green's function, one acts with the inverse of the free propagator (in our case: the one in the presence of static walls) on the legs of the function, and then attaches the respective free spinor. In other words, the procedure is rather similar to the one used in order to extract scattering amplitudes in free space, the difference being in fact that the free evolution and wave functions correspond to a static cavity.

Since the full action of the system is quadratic in the Dirac field, Wick's theorem holds true for that Green's function: it can be written in terms of combinations involving pairings, albeit with a contraction S_F , the exact Dirac propagator in the presence of a moving boundary:

$$S_F(x, y) \equiv \langle 0 | T[\psi(x)\bar{\psi}(y)] | 0 \rangle , \quad (16)$$

which satisfies:

$$(i \not{\partial}_x - m - V(x)) S_F(x, y) = i \delta^2(x - y) . \quad (17)$$

All the contributions to the n -pair amplitude can then be written in terms of that propagator (which, in general, one cannot calculate exactly in closed form). On the other hand, using the reduction formalism, the basic amplitude, corresponding to the creation of a fermion anti-fermion pair, with labels k and p , respectively, may be obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k, p; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle &= \int d^2x \int d^2y \bar{u}_k(x^1) e^{iE_k x^0} \times \\ &(i \not{\partial}_x - m - V_0(x^1)) S_F(x, y) (i \not{\overleftarrow{\partial}}_y + m + V_0(y^1)) e^{iE_p y^0} v_p(y^1) . \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This allows us to write in a more explicit form the corresponding exact (yet formal) matrix elements of the transition amplitude T , ($S = I + iT$, S : S-matrix):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{fi} &= \int dx^1 \int dy^1 \bar{u}_k(x^1) M(x^1, y^1) v_p(y^1) \\ M(x^1, y^1) &= \int dx^0 \int dy^0 e^{i(E_k x^0 + E_p y^0)} \left[\varphi(x) \delta^2(x - y) + \varphi(x) S_F^{(0)}(x, y) \varphi(y) \right. \\ &\left. + \int d^2z \varphi(x) S_F^{(0)}(x, z) \varphi(z) S_F^{(0)}(z, y) \varphi(y) + \dots \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Here, we have denoted the initial and final states by $|i\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ respectively. We shall have: $|i\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|f\rangle = b_k^\dagger d_p^\dagger |0\rangle$, where b_p^\dagger and d_k^\dagger create fermions and anti-fermions, respectively. $S_F^{(0)}$ is the Dirac propagator for the fermions in the presence of the static boundaries, which is determined by the equation:

$$(i \not{\partial}_x - m - V_0(x)) S_F^{(0)}(x, y) = i \delta^2(x - y) . \quad (20)$$

Knowing the result for a single pair, it is possible to calculate the probability amplitude for n -pairs. For example, for the creation of two particle pairs, we consider the states $|i\rangle = |0\rangle$ and $|f\rangle = b_{k_1}^\dagger d_{p_1}^\dagger b_{k_2}^\dagger d_{p_2}^\dagger |0\rangle$. Using the reduction formula, the probability amplitude for the creation of two fermion pairs can be expressed in terms of the single pair amplitude:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k_1, p_1, k_2, p_2; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle &= \langle k_1, p_1; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle \times \langle k_2, p_2; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle \\ &+ \langle k_1, p_2; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle \times \langle k_2, p_1; \text{out} | 0; \text{in} \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Thus, the transition amplitude for multiple pairs can be systematically constructed from the single pair amplitude by considering all possible combinations of pairings. In the next section, we evaluate the transition probability within the framework of the interaction representation.

4 Perturbation theory

The S -matrix may be written as follows:

$$S = 1 + iT = U_I(\infty, -\infty) , \quad (22)$$

with $U_I(t_f, t_i)$ denoting the evolution operator, from t_i to t_f , in the interaction representation, while T is the transition matrix. The probability of fermion and anti-fermion pair production, will be denoted as P_{kp} , in consonance with the indices characterizing the respective *momenta* p and k .

In the interaction picture, the Hamiltonian for the perturbation becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}'_I(x^0) &= 2 \gamma^{-1}(x^0) \sum_{k,p} \left[b_k^\dagger b_p e^{i(E_k - E_p)x^0} g_{kp}(\eta(x^0)) + b_k^\dagger d_p^\dagger e^{i(E_k + E_p)x^0} h_{kp}(\eta(x^0)) \right. \\ &\left. - d_k b_p e^{-i(E_k + E_p)x^0} h_{kp}(\eta(x^0)) - d_k d_p^\dagger e^{-i(E_k - E_p)x^0} g_{kp}(\eta(x^0)) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where, for an arbitrary argument x^1 , the functions appearing above are given by:

$$g_{kp}(x^1) \equiv \bar{u}_k(x^1) u_p(x^1) = -\bar{v}_k(x^1) v_p(x^1) , \quad (24)$$

$$h_{kp}(x^1) \equiv \bar{u}_k(x^1) v_p(x^1) = -\bar{v}_k(x^1) u_p(x^1) . \quad (25)$$

One can check that under the transposition of suffixes they satisfy:

$$g_{kp}(x^1) = g_{pk}(x^1), \quad h_{kp}(x^1) = -h_{pk}(x^1), \quad (26)$$

and that, when $m \equiv 0$, they adopt a rather simple form:

$$g_{kp}(x^1) = \frac{1}{a} \sin((k+p)x^1), \quad (27)$$

$$h_{kp}(x^1) = \frac{1}{a} \sin((k-p)x^1). \quad (28)$$

For later use, we render also their approximate form for small amplitudes of motion, namely, for $|\eta(t)| \ll a$:

$$g_{kp}(\eta(t)) \simeq \frac{1}{a} (k+p) \eta(t), \quad h_{kp}(\eta(t)) \simeq \frac{1}{a} (k-p) \eta(t). \quad (29)$$

To recall the relation between the order in which is calculated the probability, and the one of the Dyson expansion for the S -matrix, given in terms of the evolution operator,

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{(n)}, \quad S^{(n)} = U_I^{(n)}(+\infty, -\infty), \quad (30)$$

we see that:

$$P_{kp} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_{kp}^{(n)}, \quad P_{kp}^{(n)} = \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} S_{kp}^{(n-l)*} S_{kp}^{(l)}, \quad (31)$$

with $S_{kp}^{(l)}$ denoting the l^{th} -order term in the matrix element of the S -matrix.

In a previous work [7], we dealt with the lowest (second) order contribution to the probability, which involves just the first order term in the Dyson expansion.

Let us now see how the Dyson expansion above is related to the Magnus expansion [12], which in this case allows for the evolution operator to be expressed as an exponential:

$$U_I(t, t_0) = \exp\{-i\Omega(t, t_0)\}, \quad \Omega(t_0, t_0) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where the operator $\Omega(t, t_0)$ can be written as a series:

$$\Omega(t, t_0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n(t, t_0), \quad (33)$$

where the first few terms are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_1(t, t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \mathcal{H}'_I(t_1), \\
\Omega_2(t, t_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 [\mathcal{H}'_I(t_1), \mathcal{H}'_I(t_2)], \\
\Omega_3(t, t_0) &= \frac{1}{6} \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} dt_2 \int_{t_0}^{t_2} dt_3 \left([\mathcal{H}'_I(t_1), [\mathcal{H}'_I(t_2), \mathcal{H}'_I(t_3)]] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [\mathcal{H}'_I(t_3), [\mathcal{H}'_I(t_2), \mathcal{H}'_I(t_1)]] \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

An important aspect of this expansion for $\Omega(t, t_0)$ is its hermiticity at all orders; namely, unitarity of the evolution operator at every order. In spite of the previous assertion, of course one can connect the terms in both expansions, albeit, as we shall see, there is more content to be found than making this connection. We see that equating the Dyson perturbative series for $U_I(\infty, -\infty)$ (abbreviated as U_I) and the Magnus series,

$$U_I = 1 + (-i) U_I^{(1)} + (-i)^2 U_I^{(2)} + \dots = e^{-i(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2 + \dots)}, \tag{35}$$

where $\Omega_n \equiv \Omega_n(\infty, -\infty)$, with the first terms of the series given by:

$$U_I^{(1)} = \Omega_1, \tag{36}$$

$$U_I^{(2)} = \Omega_2 + \frac{1}{2!} (\Omega_1)^2, \tag{37}$$

$$U_I^{(3)} = \Omega_3 + \frac{1}{2!} (\Omega_1 \Omega_2 + \Omega_2 \Omega_1) + \frac{1}{3!} (\Omega_1)^3. \tag{38}$$

Let us evaluate now Ω_1 and the corresponding contribution to the transition amplitudes to the first non-trivial order.

4.1 First order

The first term of the Magnus series (33) becomes

$$\Omega_1 = \sum_{k,p} \left(G_{kp} b_k^\dagger b_p - G_{kp}^* d_k d_p^\dagger + H_{kp} b_k^\dagger d_p^\dagger - H_{kp}^* d_k b_p \right), \tag{39}$$

where the coefficients G_{kp} and H_{kp} are defined as:

$$G_{kp} \equiv 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i(E_k - E_p)t} \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t)) g_{kp}(\eta(t)), \tag{40}$$

$$H_{kp} \equiv 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt e^{i(E_k + E_p)t} \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t)) h_{kp}(\eta(t)). \tag{41}$$

Note that Ω_1 inherits the quadratic structure, in creation and annihilation operators, of the interaction Hamiltonian in (23). In other words, the same monomials in those operators appear. It is rather straightforward to see that that kind of quadratic form also appears in all the Ω_n : they are also bilinear, and the same combinations of operators appear (the only ones which preserve the fermion number). Of course the kernels defining the quadratic form will in general be different for each order.

The operator structure of (39) implies that, at this order, the only possibility is the creation of a single particle pair, with the corresponding probability given by:

$$P_{kp}^{(1)} = |\langle f | \Omega_1 | i \rangle|^2 = |H_{kp}|^2. \quad (42)$$

We note that this result coincides with what we would obtain by considering the modulus squared of the first-order term in the expansion of the transition amplitude T_{fi} in (19).

Given the symmetry properties (26), the coefficients G_{kp} are hermitian and H_{kp} are antisymmetric with respect to index swapping:

$$G_{kp} = (G_{pk})^*, \quad H_{kp} = -H_{pk}. \quad (43)$$

In scenarios involving small amplitudes and non-relativistic speeds, i.e., $|\eta(t)| \ll a$ and $|\dot{\eta}(t)| \ll 1$, these coefficients simplify to:

$$G_{pk} \simeq \frac{2}{a} (p+k) \tilde{\eta}(p-k), \quad (44)$$

$$H_{pk} \simeq \frac{2}{a} (p-k) \tilde{\eta}(p+k), \quad (45)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}(\nu)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $\eta(t)$, with the convention: $\tilde{\eta}(\nu) \equiv \int dt e^{i\nu t} \eta(t)$.

As a particular example, for a periodic motion such as:

$$\eta = \eta_0 \sin(\omega t), \quad \eta_0 > 0, \omega > 0, \quad (46)$$

under conditions of small amplitude $\eta_0 \ll a$ and non-relativistic motion $\omega\eta_0 \ll 1$, the coefficients take the form:

$$G_{pk} \simeq \frac{2\pi}{i} \frac{\eta_0}{a} (p+k) \left(\delta(k - (p+\omega)) - \delta(k - (p-\omega)) \right), \quad (47)$$

$$H_{pk} \simeq \frac{2\pi}{i} \frac{\eta_0}{a} (p-k) \delta(k - (\omega - p)). \quad (48)$$

Thus, the first-order probability per unit time for pair creation is:

$$\frac{P_{kp}^{(1)}}{T} = \frac{2\pi}{a^2} \eta_0^2 (k-p)^2 \delta(k+p-\omega). \quad (49)$$

This result indicates that particle production is restricted to specific frequencies of the boundary motion, and it only occurs when the oscillation frequency ω matches the sum of the *momenta* of the particle pair. Furthermore, due to the discrete nature of these values, particle production is constrained to frequencies that satisfy the relation $\omega = \frac{n\pi}{a}$, with $n = 2, 3, \dots$

Now, let's consider scenarios with small amplitude oscillations where velocities are not necessarily small. The Lorentz factor, can be expanded into a Fourier series:

$$\gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t)) = \sqrt{1 - \dot{\eta}^2(t)} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l \cos(2l \omega t). \quad (50)$$

The coefficients a_l are defined as:

$$a_l = \begin{cases} {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; (\omega\eta_0)^2\right) & \text{for } l = 0, \\ \frac{2}{l!} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_l {}_2F_1\left(l - \frac{1}{2}, l + \frac{1}{2}; 2l + 1; (\omega\eta_0)^2\right) & \text{for } l \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

where $(\cdot)_l$ is the Pochhammer symbol, and ${}_2F_1$ is the Gaussian hypergeometric function. For this setup, the pair-probability per unit of time to the first order in the Magnus expansion, results:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{kp}^{(1)}}{T} &= \frac{2\pi}{a^2} \eta_0^2 (k - p)^2 \left[a_0^2 \delta(k + p - \omega) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l^2 \left(\delta(k + p - (2l + 1)\omega) + \delta(k + p - (2l - 1)\omega) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

The coefficients a_l represent the weight of the different contributions to the creation of particles with higher *momenta* k and p . Figure 4.1 displays these coefficients, showing $|a_l|$ as a function of the peak velocity $\omega\eta_0$. The plot shows that $a_0 \simeq 1$ and $a_l \simeq 0$ for $l \geq 1$, under small velocities, aligning with the non-relativistic outcome (49). As velocities increase, higher a_l terms become more significant, indicating that particles with $k + p \neq \omega$ can be created.




Figure 1: Modulus of the Fourier expansion coefficients, a_l , as a function of the mirror's peak velocity, $\omega\eta_0$.

4.2 Second order

As it is evident in (37), the second order of the Dyson series, $U_I^{(2)}$, receives contributions from both the first order term in the Magnus expansion, Ω_1 , and from Ω_2 . Let us consider the first one, which appears squared, and its action on the vacuum:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Omega_1)^2 |0\rangle = & \left\{ \sum_{k,l} [(G - \text{tr } G)H]_{kl} (b_k^\dagger d_l^\dagger + d_k^\dagger b_l^\dagger) + \left(\sum_{k,l} b_k^\dagger H_{kl} d_l^\dagger \right)^2 \right. \\
 & \left. - \text{tr}(H^* H) + (\text{tr } G)^2 \right\} |0\rangle, \tag{53}
 \end{aligned}$$

where tr denotes the trace.

Let us see how keeping the exponential form for the S matrix resulting from the Magnus expansion yields the multipair transition amplitudes, a property which, in fact, holds true as a consequence of the quadratic structure of the Ω_n operators-

The key is to identify each contribution to the multipair transition amplitudes by analyzing the operator structure applied to the vacuum in (53). The first term, with its quadratic structure, contributes to the creation of one pair, serving as a higher-order correction to the result in (42). The second term, characterized by a quartic structure, leads to the creation of

two pairs. Lastly, the term proportional to the identity accounts for the vacuum-to-vacuum transition amplitude.

On the other hand, the second-order term in the Magnus expansion, Ω_2 , contributes just to the probability amplitude of creating one pair. Its contribution is given by:

$$\langle f|\Omega_2|i\rangle = 2 \sum_l \int_0^\infty dt_1 \left[e^{i(E_k+E_l)t_1} (g_{lp} * h_{kl})(t_1) - e^{i(E_k-E_l)t_1} (h_{lp} * g_{kl})(t_1) \right. \quad (54)$$

$$\left. + e^{i(E_p+E_l)t_1} (g_{kl} * h_{lp})(t_1) - e^{i(E_p-E_l)t_1} (h_{kl} * g_{lp})(t_1) \right], \quad (55)$$

with the definitions:

$$(g_{lp} * h_{kl})(t_1) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt_2 e^{i(E_k+E_p)t_2} \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t_2)) \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t_1+t_2)) \quad (56)$$

$$g_{lp}(t_2) h_{kl}(t_1+t_2),$$

$$(g_{kl} * h_{lp})(t_1) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^\infty dt_2 e^{i(E_k+E_p)t_2} \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t_2)) \gamma^{-1}(\dot{\eta}(t_1+t_2)) \quad (57)$$

$$g_{kl}(t_2) h_{lp}(t_1+t_2).$$

As we did with the first order term, we can trace the origin of these contributions to the one pair creation amplitude back to the terms in the expansion of the transition amplitude, T_{fi} . In this case, these contributions originate from the second term of the expansion of $M(x^1, y^1)$ in (19).

5 Bogoliubov transformation

In this section, we examine how the dynamic boundary conditions affect vacuum states and the creation and annihilation operators of the fermionic field within the cavity. We specifically focus on how the *in* and *out* operators are related, emphasizing the transformations induced by changes in boundary conditions.

The *in* vacuum, $|0\rangle_{\text{in}}$, is the lowest energy state defined before the boundaries begin to oscillate. It serves as the foundation for the *in* Fock space, which is constructed by the successive application of *in* particle and antiparticle creation operators to this vacuum state. Similarly, the *out* vacuum, $|0\rangle_{\text{out}}$, is the lowest energy state after the boundary motion ceases, and serves as the basis for constructing the *out* Fock space through similar applications of *out* creation operators. These two vacuum states are not equivalent, which is manifested in the possibility of the *in* vacuum evolving into a state containing particles in the *out* vacuum.

The *in* and *out* operators are connected through the Bogoliubov transformation U :

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_{\text{out}} = U \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_{\text{in}}, \quad (58)$$

where U is a unitary matrix that preserves the anticommutation relations between operators in (15), for both *in* and *out* operators.

To explicitly express the Bogoliubov transformation, we organize the particle creation and annihilation operators into vectors. The components of these vectors are the operators arranged in ascending order of their *momenta*, as follows:

$$(b, d^\dagger)^T = (b_{p_0}, b_{p_1}, \dots, d_{p_0}^\dagger, d_{p_1}^\dagger, \dots)^T. \quad (59)$$

Due to the confined nature of the system, these operators do not correspond to well-defined momentum states. Instead, they are linked to quantized energy states that arise from the perfect reflective ‘bag’ boundary conditions specified in equation (4). Moreover, we emphasize that the fermions are strictly confined within the cavity due to these boundary conditions, and there are no fermions outside of it.

To derive the transformation U , we examine a general operator A , for which we know that the A_{in} and A_{out} operators are related by a canonical transformation represented by the unitary operator U_I :

$$A_{\text{out}} = U_I^\dagger A_{\text{in}} U_I. \quad (60)$$

At first order in the Magnus expansion, we have:

$$A_{\text{out}} \simeq e^{i\Omega_1} A_{\text{in}} e^{-i\Omega_1}. \quad (61)$$

Employing Hadamard’s formula, we express the right-hand side of (61) as an infinite series of nested commutators of operators Ω_1 and A_{in} :

$$A_{\text{out}} \simeq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^n}{n!} \underbrace{[\Omega_1, \dots [\Omega_1, [A_{\text{in}}]] \dots]}_{n \text{ times}}. \quad (62)$$

We then introduce a parameter-dependent operator $A(s)$, where $A(1) = A_{\text{out}}$ and $A(0) = A_{\text{in}}$. Expanding $A(s)$ as a Taylor series around $s = 0$, yields:

$$A(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s^n}{n!} A^{(n)}(0), \quad (63)$$

where $A^{(n)}$ represents the n th derivative with respect to s . Substituting in (63) the successive derivatives of the differential equation:

$$i \frac{d}{ds} A(s) = [A(s), \Omega_1], \quad (64)$$

and evaluating at $s = 1$, recovers (62).

Extending this approach to our basis operators, $(b_k(s), d_k^\dagger(s))^T$, leads to a differential equation for the operator vector:

$$i \frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} b_k(s) \\ d_k^\dagger(s) \end{pmatrix} \simeq \sum_p M_{kp} \begin{pmatrix} b_p(s) \\ d_p^\dagger(s) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (65)$$

where p is summed over the discrete spectrum that results from solving (12), and the operator mixing matrix M is:

$$M_{kp} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} G_{kp} & H_{kp} \\ -H_{kp}^* & -G_{kp}^* \end{pmatrix}. \quad (66)$$

Finally, proceeding as we did with A in (63), we obtain the Bogoliubov transformation to the first order in the Magnus expansion for the basis of operators:

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_{\text{out}} \simeq e^{-iM} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d^\dagger \end{pmatrix}_{\text{in}}. \quad (67)$$

We observe that, to this order in the Magnus expansion, particle pair production requires the mixing of the b and d^\dagger operators; therefore, the mixing matrix M must be non-diagonal to enable pair creation. Consequently, to produce a particle and anti-particle, the matrix element H_{kp} must be non-zero for some values of k and p .

Now, we will calculate the Bogoliubov transformation U for the non-relativistic oscillatory movement previously discussed, defined by equation (46). For this motion, the values of G_{kp} and H_{kp} are provided in equations (47) and (48). To compute the transformation, we must evaluate the exponential of the M matrix, (66). Given that the *momenta* spectrum is unbounded, the M matrix is infinite dimensional. To derive an explicit expression for U , we introduce a cutoff p_N for the state index. This results in the vectors $(b, d^\dagger)^T$ in (67) becoming finite dimensional:

$$(b, d^\dagger)^T = (b_{p_0}, b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_N}, d_{p_0}^\dagger, d_{p_1}^\dagger, \dots, d_{p_N}^\dagger)^T, \quad (68)$$

and consequently, leading to a finite dimensional Bogoliubov transformation matrix.

We note that applying a *momenta* cutoff is equivalent to considering a theory with a finite set of fermionic degrees of freedom. This is reflected in the Fourier representation of the interaction Hamiltonian, (23), where the sum over the mixing of particle and anti-particle creation and destruction operators becomes finite.

We conclude by presenting results for the Bogoliubov transformation U at the threshold frequency $\omega = \frac{2\pi}{a}$, and for the two lowest non-trivial cutoffs.

For a cutoff $p_N = p_1 = \frac{\pi}{2a}$, we have:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\mathcal{N}) & 0 & 0 & \sin(\mathcal{N}) \\ 0 & \cos(\mathcal{N}) & -\sin(\mathcal{N}) & 0 \\ 0 & \sin(\mathcal{N}) & \cos(\mathcal{N}) & 0 \\ -\sin(\mathcal{N}) & 0 & 0 & \cos(\mathcal{N}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (69)$$

where $\mathcal{N} \equiv \frac{\pi\eta_0 T}{a^2}$, and T is the total time.

For $p_N = p_2 = \frac{3\pi}{2a}$, we have:

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c & 0 & \frac{3s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & \frac{s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{c+9}{10} & 0 & -\frac{s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & \frac{3(c-1)}{10} \\ -\frac{3s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & \frac{9c+1}{10} & 0 & \frac{3(c-1)}{10} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & c & 0 & \frac{3s}{\sqrt{10}} \\ -\frac{s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & \frac{3(c-1)}{10} & 0 & \frac{c+9}{10} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3(c-1)}{10} & 0 & -\frac{3s}{\sqrt{10}} & 0 & \frac{9c+1}{10} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (70)$$

where $s \equiv \sin(\sqrt{10}\mathcal{N})$, and $c \equiv \cos(\sqrt{10}\mathcal{N})$.

6 Conclusions

In this work, we analyzed the dynamical Casimir effect for fermions confined by moving boundaries in a 1 + 1 dimensional setup, with a particular focus on the role of higher-order perturbative terms and the Bogoliubov transformation between the creation and annihilation operators. Our methodology incorporated both the Dyson series and the Magnus expansion to compute the probability of fermion pair creation due to boundary oscillations. A notable advantage of the Magnus expansion is its capability to ensure a unitary evolution of the system at all orders.

We derived formulas for the first and second orders in the Magnus expansion, specifically calculating the pair creation probabilities at the first order for both relativistic and non-relativistic periodic movements. These calculations revealed the dependence of pair creation probabilities on the speed of the boundary's movements. This analysis demonstrates how the velocity of boundary movement influences the various contributions to the probability of pair creation. Furthermore, we examined how each order contributes not only to the single-pair creation amplitude but also to multipair creation processes, along with corrections to the single-pair amplitude.

Additionally, we explicitly computed the Bogoliubov transformation for the case of non-relativistic periodic movement. This computation further elucidated the mechanism by which the *in* operators are transformed into a mixture of *out* operators due to the dynamic boundary conditions. This shows how quantum states evolve in response to changes in the geometry and dynamics of the boundary.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank ANPCyT, CONICET and UNCuyo for financial support.

References

- [1] H. B. G. Casimir, *Indagat. Math.* **10**, 261 (1948); *Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Proc.* **51**, 793 (1948); *Front. Phys.* **65**, 342 (1987); *Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. Proc.* **100**, 61 (1997).
- [2] For a review, see, for example: M. Bordag, U. Mohideen and V. M. Mostepanenko, *Phys. Rept.* **353**, 1 (2001).
- [3] For a review, see, for example: V. Dodonov, *MDPI Phys.* **2**, 67 (2020).
- [4] A. Chodos, R. L. Jaffe, K. Johnson and C. B. Thorn, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 2599 (1974).
- [5] E. Fradkin, *Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013).
- [6] F. D. Mazzitelli, J. P. Paz and M. A. Castagnino, *Phys. Lett. B* **189**, 132 (1987).
- [7] C. D. Fosco and G. Hansen, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 016004 (2022).
- [8] C. D. Fosco and E. Losada, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 025017 (2008).
- [9] C. C. Ttira, C. D. Fosco, and E. Losada, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 085008 (2010).
- [10] C. D. Fosco and G. Hansen, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 056005 (2023).
- [11] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).

- [12] S. Blanes, F. Casas, J. A. Oteo, and J. Ros, *Eur. J. Phys.* **31**, 907 (2010).