

EQUIVARIANT VECTOR BUNDLES WITH CONNECTION ON DRINFELD SYMMETRIC SPACES

JAMES TAYLOR

ABSTRACT. For a finite extension F of \mathbb{Q}_p and $n \geq 1$, let D be the division algebra over F of invariant $1/n$ and let G^0 be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ of elements with norm 1 determinant.

We show that the action of D^\times on the Drinfeld tower induces an equivalence of categories from finite dimensional smooth representations of D^\times to G^0 -finite $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant vector bundles with connection on Ω , the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Drinfeld symmetric space.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminary Notions	6
3.	The Sheaf of Constant Functions	25
4.	The Functors $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$	29
5.	\mathcal{D} -Modules and Galois Coverings	36
6.	The Drinfeld Tower	44
	References	59

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime, let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and let $n \geq 1$. Let K be a complete field extension of L , the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F . The *Drinfeld tower* is a system of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional rigid analytic spaces over K ,

$$\mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \leftarrow \cdots,$$

for which each space is equipped with a compatible action of $D^\times \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, where D is the division algebra of invariant $1/n$ over F [15, 24, 38]. These spaces play an important role in the representation theory of both $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ and D^\times . For example, this tower has been shown provide a geometric realisation of both the local Langlands and Jacquet-Langlands correspondences for $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ [17, 18, 30, 31].

These correspondences are realised in the cohomology of the spaces \mathcal{M}_m for an appropriate cohomology theory. In this framework, one can also consider the coherent cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{M}_m, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_m)$, for which the topological dual $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_m)^*$ is naturally a *locally analytic* representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. These representations lie in the image of the functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_\infty))^*: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{la}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)),$$

defined as the direct limit of the functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_m))^*: \mathbf{Rep}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^{(m)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{la}}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F)),$$

where $D^{(m)} := D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D)$. When $F = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $n = 2$, Dospinescu and Le Bras have shown that for any irreducible (necessarily finite dimensional) smooth representation V of D^\times with trivial central character and dimension strictly greater than one, the corresponding locally analytic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is *admissible* and *topologically irreducible*. This is deduced as a consequence of much deeper results relating this locally analytic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ to the Jacquet-Langlands and p -adic local Langlands correspondences [23, Thm. 1.2].

Date: June 21, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F10, 14G22, 14G32, 11S37.

Key words and phrases. Galois covering, \mathcal{D} -modules, Drinfeld tower.

One would like to deduce similar admissibility and topological irreducibility results beyond $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, where a p -adic Langlands correspondence is not yet currently formulated. One natural approach is through the use of p -adic \mathcal{D} -modules. The functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_\infty))^*$ above admits a natural factorisation

$$\mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rep}(\mathrm{GL}_n(F))$$

through the category $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$ of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant vector bundles with connection on Ω , where the second functor is defined by taking the dual of the global sections $\Gamma(\Omega, -)^*$. In this paper we study the first functor, which we denote by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

which similarly to above is defined as the direct limit of functors

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}): \mathbf{Rep}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^{(m)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

where $f_m: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \Omega$ is the composition of the Galois covering map $\phi_m: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with the Grothendieck-Messing period morphism $\pi_{\mathrm{GM}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega$. Our first main result is the following.

Theorem A. *The functor*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$$

is exact, monoidal, fully faithful, and the essential image is closed under sub-quotients.

If K is algebraically closed, then the essential image is the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)_{G^0\text{-fin}}$$

with objects those that are finite when viewed as G^0 -equivariant vector bundles with connection.

Here G^0 is the subgroup of elements of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ with determinant of norm 1, and for the definition of a finite equivariant vector bundle with connection we direct the reader to Section 4.2. For now, let us simply remark that an equivariant *line bundle* with connection is *finite if and only if it is torsion*, and therefore finiteness can be viewed as a natural generalisation of the notion of a torsion line bundle to vector bundles of arbitrary rank. We also remark that the functor of Theorem A *preserves irreducibility*, as the essential image is closed under sub-objects.

In order to explain the appearance of the group G^0 , let \mathcal{N} be a connected component of \mathcal{M} , and consider the induced sub-tower

$$\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_2 \leftarrow \cdots,$$

of $(\mathcal{M}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ defined by $\mathcal{N}_m = \phi_m^{-1}(\mathcal{N})$ for $m \geq 1$. This is stabilised by $\mathcal{O}_D^\times \times G^0$, and in the same way as above one obtains a functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$$

which is compatible with the functor of Theorem A in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \end{array}$$

with vertical forgetful maps commutes. Our second main result is the following, which we use to deduce the description of the essential image of Theorem A above.

Theorem B. *The functor*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$$

is exact, monoidal, fully faithful, and the essential image is closed under sub-quotients.

If K is algebraically closed, then the essential image is the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)_{\mathrm{fin}}$$

of finite G^0 -equivariant vector bundles with connection.

We prove the description of the essential image by establishing analogous results to Nori's [37] relating finite vector bundles to Galois coverings (Section 4.2), and applying these in conjunction with the factorisation theorem of Scholze-Weinstein [42, Thm. 7.3.1]. The other properties of the functors of Theorem A and Theorem B follow from general results which we describe below regarding functors of this type associated to Galois coverings.

For now, let us describe instead how these results are related to and have the potential to lead to admissibility and topological irreducibility results. The main result of the recent work of Ardakov and Wadsley stated in our context is the following (in which $n = 2$).

Theorem ([3, Thm. A]). *Suppose that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)_{\text{fin}}$ has rank 1. Then the locally analytic representation $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{L})^*$ of G^0 is admissible and has length at most 2.*

For example, for any smooth character χ of D^\times we see using the compatibility of the functors of Theorem A and Theorem B that the locally analytic representation $\text{Hom}_{D^\times}(\chi, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_\infty))^*$ of $\text{GL}_2(F)$ is also admissible and has length at most 2.

One of the main ingredients that goes into the proof of this theorem is an explicit classification of torsion G^0 -equivariant line bundles with connection on Ω : the main result of [2] is an explicit bijection

$$\text{PicCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)_{\text{tors}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{sm}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times, K^\times),$$

where the left-hand side is the set of isomorphism classes of torsion G^0 -equivariant line bundles with connection on Ω . Therefore Theorem B, in addition to making this functorial, can be viewed as a generalisation of this bijection to representations of \mathcal{O}_D^\times of arbitrary dimension (equiv. vector bundles of arbitrary rank) and to Drinfeld spaces of any dimension.

For $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$ which is rank 1 and torsion, the results of [3] regarding the length of the admissible representation $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{L})^*$ are actually more precise: $\Gamma(\Omega, \mathcal{L})^*$ is also shown to be topologically irreducible if and only if \mathcal{L} is non-trivial when viewed as an object of $\mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$ (if $\mathcal{L} \not\cong \mathcal{O}_\Omega$ as vector bundles with connection once we forget the equivariant structure). We would like to similarly understand the restriction map

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$$

for objects in the image of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty})$. Whenever K contains F^{ab} (the maximal abelian extension of F) the spaces \mathcal{M}_m are disjoint unions of geometrically connected components, and we may fix a compatible sequence of geometrically connected components Σ^m at each level to obtain a sub-tower

$$\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \Sigma^1 \leftarrow \Sigma^2 \leftarrow \dots$$

which similarly defines a functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_1(D)}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$$

where $\text{SL}_1(D) = \ker(\text{Nrd} : D^\times \rightarrow F^\times)$. Our third main result is the following.

Theorem C. *Suppose that K contains F^{ab} . Then the functor*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_1(D)}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$$

is exact, monoidal, fully faithful, the essential image is closed under sub-quotients and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_1(D)}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Therefore, for any finite dimensional smooth representation V of D^\times , the restriction of $\text{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty})$ to $\mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$ is completely determined by the restriction of V to a representation of $\text{SL}_1(D)$. In particular, paired with the results of Ardakov and Wadsley described

above, this tells us that for any smooth character χ of D^\times , the locally analytic representation $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(\chi, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_\infty))^*$ of G^0 is topologically irreducible whenever $\chi|_{\mathrm{SL}_1(D)} \neq 1$.

We also note in passing that all the functors described above commute with taking the determinant representation and determinant line bundle on either side (Remark 6.19).

Functors Associated to Galois Coverings. We now give an overview of how the functors of Theorems A, B and C are defined, and how their properties are established. Each functor is constructed as the direct limit of certain functors attached to each of the finite level Galois coverings of each respective tower. We now describe how we define each of these functors at finite level. Suppose in what follows that k is any characteristic 0 field.

Suppose first that X is a smooth scheme or rigid space over k , with an action of an abstract group H . Suppose moreover that X has an additional action of some abstract group G , and that this action commutes with the action of H . In this situation, we can consider the category $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$, and there are canonically defined functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k - : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}} &\rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X), \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -) : \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}}, \end{aligned}$$

The following result is the main technical ingredient of the whole paper.

Theorem D (Theorem 4.7). *Suppose that $c_X(X)^G = k$. Then:*

(1) *The functor*

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k - : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$$

is exact and fully faithful.

(2) *For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$,*

$$\dim_k(\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})) \leq \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{M}).$$

The essential image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is the full subcategory with objects \mathcal{M} for which this is an equality. On this full subcategory the solution functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$ is a quasi-inverse for $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$.

(3) *If X satisfies (*), then the essential image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is closed under sub-quotients.*

Here c_X is the sheaf of constant functions

$$c_X = \ker(d: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1),$$

and for the definition of the condition (*) we direct the reader to Definition 4.4. For now, let us remark that this condition is true in many cases of interest, such as when k is algebraically closed, or when X is either a scheme or quasi-Stein rigid space whose connected components are geometrically connected (Lemma 4.6).

Remark 1.1. When G is trivial, the assumption of the theorem is equivalent to the assumption that X is geometrically connected. This can easily be shown to be a necessary condition for each conclusion of the theorem to hold (Remark 5.4).

Suppose now that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -equivariant finite étale Galois morphism of smooth schemes or rigid spaces over k , with Galois group H . In this situation there is an equivalence

$$(-)^H : \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y),$$

and the functor

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$$

can be shown to be equal to the composition

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k (-)^*)^H : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$$

and thus inherits all the good properties of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ from Theorem D. Furthermore, this functor sends the regular representation $\mathcal{O}(H)$ to the pushforward $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ (Theorem 5.2), and in this way we obtain a complete description of $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as a semi-simple $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ -module (Theorem 5.3).

For example, we deduce the relevant properties of the functor of Theorem B from the properties of the functors at each finite level $m \geq 1$, which are deduced from the above by taking $X = \mathcal{N}_m$, $H = \mathcal{O}_D^{(m)} = \mathcal{O}_D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D)$ and $G = G^0$.

Other Results. We briefly mention some other applications of the general theory we develop to Drinfeld spaces.

The first is a description of the geometrically connected components of $(\mathcal{N}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ (Theorem 6.8). This description can already be found in the unpublished work of Boutot and Zink [16, Thm. 0.20], which uses global methods and p -adic uniformisation of Shimura curves. In contrast, we deduce this by completely elementary methods. Precisely, this description is a simple application of the theory we develop in Section 3 regarding the sheaf c_X of constant functions, coupled with a result of Kohlhasse on maximal fields contained inside the global sections of \mathcal{N}_m [33, Prop. 2.7].

The second (Corollary 6.12) is a new more conceptual proof of the main result of our earlier paper [45, Thm. 4.6]. This follows from the general theory we develop of how the pushforward of the structure sheaf of a Galois covering decomposes as a \mathcal{D} -module, together with a proof we give that any $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$ -equivariant line bundle with connection on Σ^1 is uniquely determined by its underlying line bundle (Corollary 6.11).

Outline of The Paper. This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 consists of the necessary facts we need concerning \mathcal{D} -modules, equivariant sheaves and Galois coverings. This section is mostly formal, and may be safely skipped and referred back to when necessary. In Section 3 we introduce and consider the sheaf of constant functions and relate this sheaf to the notion of geometric connectivity. In Section 4 we introduce the functor $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$, the solution functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$, and prove the main technical result, Theorem D (Theorem 4.7). We also consider finite equivariant vector bundles with connection, and relate these to Galois coverings in Section 4.2. In Section 5 we bring everything together, and establish the main properties of the functor $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^H$ (Theorem 5.2) and its consequences, including a decomposition theorem for the D_Y -module $f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ (Theorem 5.3), and a strengthening of Theorem 5.2 in the case that the Galois group is abelian (Theorem 5.9). In Section 6 we apply the results of Section 5 to the Drinfeld tower. The proof of the main results, Theorem A, Theorem B and Theorem C occupies Section 6.5 to Section 6.10.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Konstantin Ardakov, Simon Wadsley, James Newton and Alex Horawa for their comments and suggestions. This research was financially supported by the EPSRC.

2. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS

In this section we collect together all the relevant technical notions we will make use of and refer back to when necessary throughout the rest of the paper. As an overview, in this paper we are concerned with certain categories of equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules (Section 2.8) which are defined in terms of the sheaf of differential operators (Section 2.7) and equivariant sheaves (Section 2.6). We are particularly interested in how for a Galois extension (Section 2.9) the various categories we obtain are related to one another (Section 2.10). Throughout the paper we work with \mathcal{D} -modules, and the universal property of the sheaf of differential operators comes from its description as a particular example of a Lie-algebroid (Section 2.5). Lie-algebroids are objects which are locally described by Lie-Rinehart algebras (Section 2.1), and so this is where we begin.

2.1. Lie-Rinehart Algebras. In this section, let R be a commutative ring and let A be a commutative R -algebra. An (R, A) -Lie algebra is a pair (L, ρ) , where L is simultaneously a R -Lie algebra and A -module, and $\rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A)$ is a homomorphism of both R -Lie algebras and A -modules such that

$$[x, ay] = a[x, y] + \rho(x)(a)y$$

for any $x, y \in L$ and $a \in A$. Often we write only L when $\rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(A)$ is implicit.

Example 2.1. $\text{Der}_R(A)$ is an (R, A) -Lie algebra, with ρ as the identity.

From such a pair, one can construct the universal enveloping algebra of L [40]. This is an R -algebra $U(L)$, together with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_L : L &\rightarrow U(L), \\ \iota_A : A &\rightarrow U(L), \end{aligned}$$

which are morphisms of R -lie algebras and of R -algebras respectively, satisfying

$$\iota_L(ax) = \iota_A(a)\iota_L(x), \quad [\iota_L(x), \iota_A(a)] = \iota_A(\rho(x)(a)),$$

for all $a \in A, x \in L$. The triple $(U(L), \iota_A, \iota_L)$ satisfies the following universal property.

Lemma 2.2 ([40, §2]). *Suppose that S is a unital associative R -algebra,*

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_L : L &\rightarrow S, \\ \eta_A : A &\rightarrow S, \end{aligned}$$

are morphisms of R -lie algebras and of R -algebras respectively, and that for any $a \in A, x \in L$,

$$\eta_L(ax) = \eta_A(a)\eta_L(x), \quad [\eta_L(x), \eta_A(a)] = \eta_A(\rho(x)(a)).$$

Then there is a unique homomorphism of R -algebras $\varphi : U(L) \rightarrow S$ with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota_A} & U(L) & \xleftarrow{\iota_L} & L \\ & \searrow \eta_A & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \eta_L & \\ & & S & & \end{array}$$

Example 2.3. Using the universal property with $S = \text{End}_k(A)$, we see that A is canonically a $U(L)$ -module where A acts by left multiplication and L acts by $\rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(A) \subset \text{End}_k(A)$.

The morphism $\iota_A : A \rightarrow U(L)$ is injective, and by construction $U(L)$ is generated as an R -algebra by the images $\iota_A(A)$ and $\iota_L(L)$ [40, §2]. There is an increasing exhaustive filtration on $U(L)$, where $F_0U(L) = A$, $F_1U(L) = A + \iota_L(L)$, and

$$F_nU(L) = F_1U(L) \cdot F_{n-1}U(L) = A + \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_L(L)^i$$

for any $n \geq 2$. A is central in $\text{gr } U(L)$, and there is a natural surjection $\text{Sym}_A(L) \rightarrow \text{gr } U(L)$, which is an isomorphism whenever L is projective [40, Thm. 3.1]. When $\text{Sym}_A(L) \rightarrow \text{gr } U(L)$ is an isomorphism the natural map $\iota_A \oplus \iota_L : A \oplus L \rightarrow U(L)$ is injective, and in this case we will identify both A and L with their images in $U(L)$.

2.1.1. *Functoriality.* In this section we describe in what sense the construction of the universal enveloping algebra is functorial. Fixing the commutative ring R , we have the following notion from [1, §2.1].

Definition 2.4. Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative R -algebras. Suppose that L is an (R, A) -Lie algebra, and L' is an (R, B) -Lie algebra. Then $\tilde{\varphi} : L \rightarrow L'$ is a φ -morphism if

- $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a homomorphism of R -Lie algebras,
- $\tilde{\varphi}(a \cdot x) = \varphi(a) \cdot \tilde{\varphi}(x)$,
- $\varphi(\rho(x)a) = \rho'(\tilde{\varphi}(x))\varphi(a)$,

for all $a \in A$, $x \in L$.

Given a commutative ring R , we denote by \mathbf{LR}_R the category with objects consisting of pairs (A, L) , where A is a commutative R -algebra L is an (R, A) -Lie algebra. Morphisms $(A, L) \rightarrow (B, L')$ are pairs $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$, where $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of R -algebras, and $\tilde{\varphi} : L \rightarrow L'$ is a φ -morphism. It is straightforward to check that the composition of morphisms is again a morphism.

Lemma 2.5 ([1, Lem. 2.1.7]). *Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative R -algebras. Suppose that L is an (R, A) -Lie algebra, L' is an (R, B) -Lie algebra. Then every φ -morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : L \rightarrow L'$ extends uniquely to a filtration preserving R -algebra homomorphism $U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : U(L) \rightarrow U(L')$ that makes the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \oplus L & \xrightarrow{\varphi \oplus \tilde{\varphi}} & B \oplus L' \\ \iota_A \oplus \iota_L \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_B \oplus \iota_{L'} \\ U(L) & \xrightarrow{U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})} & U(L') \end{array}$$

Therefore, $U(-)$ is a functor from the category \mathbf{LR}_R to the category of positively filtered R -algebras.

2.1.2. *Base Change.* We discuss the notion of base change for Lie-Rinehart Algebras, following [2, §2.2]. In this section, suppose that L is an (R, A) -Lie algebra.

Lemma 2.6 ([2, Lem. 2.2]). *Suppose $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of commutative R -algebras, and that $\sigma : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(B)$ is a φ -morphism. Then the B -module $B \otimes_A L$ has a unique structure of an R -Lie algebra such that*

$$(B \otimes_A L, 1 \otimes \sigma : B \otimes_A L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(B))$$

is an (R, B) -Lie algebra.

Remark 2.7. As a consequence of Lemma 2.6, if $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of commutative R -algebras, and $\psi : \text{Der}_R(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(B)$ is a φ -morphism, then $\sigma := \psi \circ \rho : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(B)$ is a φ -morphism, and we have a well defined functor

$$B \otimes_A - : (L, \rho) \mapsto (B \otimes_A L, 1 \otimes (\psi \circ \rho))$$

from (R, A) -Lie algebras to (R, B) -Lie algebras. With respect to this structure, the natural map $L \rightarrow B \otimes_A L$ is a φ -morphism.

In the case when L is projective as an A -module, we can describe the universal enveloping algebra of the base change.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of commutative R -algebras, $\sigma : L \rightarrow \text{Der}_R(B)$ is a φ -morphism, and L is projective. Then the natural map*

$$U(L) \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A L)$$

of filtered R -algebras induces isomorphisms

$$B \otimes_A U(L) \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A L), \quad U(L) \otimes_A B \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A L),$$

and left and right B -modules respectively.

Proof. This is [2, Prop. 2.3], the proof of which applies whenever the natural map $\mathrm{Sym}_A(L) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr} U(L)$ is an isomorphism, and therefore in particular whenever L is projective, by Rinehart's Theorem [40, Thm. 3.1]. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative R -algebras, $\psi : \mathrm{Der}_R(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_R(B)$ is a φ -morphism, and $\tilde{\varphi} : L \rightarrow L'$ is a φ -morphism. Then the natural map*

$$U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})_B : B \otimes_A U(L) \rightarrow U(L')$$

factors as

$$B \otimes_A U(L) \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A L) \rightarrow U(L'),$$

where we consider $B \otimes_A L$ as an (R, B) -Lie algebra as in Remark 2.7. In particular, $U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})_B$ is an isomorphism whenever L is projective and $\tilde{\varphi}_B : B \otimes_A L \rightarrow L'$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. In the category \mathbf{LR}_R , $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : L \rightarrow L'$, factors as the composition of

$$(\varphi, i_L) : L \rightarrow B \otimes_A L, \quad i_L(m) = 1 \otimes m,$$

and

$$(\mathrm{id}_B, \tilde{\varphi}_B) : B \otimes_A L \rightarrow L', \quad \tilde{\varphi}_B(b \otimes m) = b\tilde{\varphi}(m),$$

and therefore $U(L) \rightarrow U(L')$ factorises as

$$U(L) \rightarrow U(B \otimes_A L) \rightarrow U(L').$$

Then the factorisation result follows from the B -linearity of $U(B \otimes_A L) \rightarrow U(L')$, and the final claim follows from Lemma 2.8. \square

2.2. Geometric Setup. From now on, k will denote a field. Throughout we will work with a quadruple $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}', \Omega_{X/k})$ which is as in one of the following settings.

- (A) X is a scheme over k , $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ is the set of affine open subsets of X , and $\Omega_{X/k}$ is the sheaf of relative differentials of X over k .
- (B) X is a rigid space over k , \mathcal{B} is the set of admissible affinoid open subsets of X , \mathcal{B}' is the set of quasi-Stein open subsets of X , and $\Omega_{X/k}$ is the sheaf of relative differentials of X over k (as described in [13] and [26, §4.4]).

In order to give a uniform approach to both cases, we define a *space over k* to be an X as in either case (A) or case (B), and a *morphism of spaces over k* to be a morphism $X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are either both in case (A) or both in case (B).

2.3. Sheaves on a Basis. For any X as in Section 2.2, we view X as a \mathbf{G} -topological space in the sense of [12, §9.1.1]. Specifically, in case (A) we view X with the Zariski topology, and in case (B) we view X with its natural \mathbf{G} -topology. With respect to this \mathbf{G} -topology on X , the sets \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are each a basis for the \mathbf{G} -topology of X , meaning that every open subset of X has an admissible open covering by elements of \mathcal{B} . Note there is no assumption that a basis in this sense is closed under intersections. For a basis \mathcal{A} , there is a notion of a sheaf on a basis [2, Def. 9.1], and the restriction functor induces an equivalence of categories from sheaves on X to sheaves on \mathcal{A} [2, Thm. 9.1].

Suppose in what follows that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}$ or $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}'$. Given \mathcal{F} a sheaf on \mathcal{A} , the extension $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}$ to a sheaf on X is defined as follows [2, Prop. A.2]. For any open subset $U \subset X$ and any covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_i$ of U , for each pair i, j let $\{W_{ijk}\}_k$ be an admissible open covering of $U_i \cap U_j$ by elements of \mathcal{A} . Set

$$\mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) := \mathrm{eq} \left(\prod_i \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j,k} \mathcal{F}(W_{ijk}) \right),$$

which is independent of the choice of the coverings $\{W_{ijk}\}_k$, and set

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{ext}}(U) := \varinjlim_{\mathcal{U}} \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Now suppose that \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on X , such that $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheaf on the basis \mathcal{A} . In this case \mathcal{F}^{ext} is a sheaf, and there is a natural morphism of presheaves $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}(U),$$

where we choose any covering \mathcal{U} of U , and $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is the natural restriction map. This morphism is independent of the choice of \mathcal{U} . The following is direct to verify.

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a presheaf on X such that $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a sheaf on the basis \mathcal{A} . Then the morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{\text{ext}}$ defined above is a sheafification of the presheaf \mathcal{F} .*

2.4. Tangent Sheaf. In the following lemma, which will be fundamental to our constructions, we work with a pair $(\varphi: A \rightarrow B, \Omega_{A/k})$ which is as in one of the following cases, each the affine version of the corresponding geometric framework of Section 2.2.

- (A) $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an étale morphism of commutative k -algebras, $\Omega_{A/k}$ is the module of Kähler differentials of A over k ,
- (B) $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is an étale morphism of affinoid algebras over k , $\Omega_{A/k}$ is the universal finite differential module of A over k [26, §3.6].

Lemma 2.11. *Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ be as in (A) or (B) above. Then any $\partial \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B)$ is determined by its restriction to A , and there exists a unique φ -morphism*

$$\psi: \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B).$$

If furthermore $\Omega_{A/k}$ is finitely generated projective over A , then the natural map

$$B \otimes_A \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B), \quad b \otimes \partial \mapsto b\psi(\partial),$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. In either case (A) or case (B), because $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is étale, $B \otimes \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{B/k}$ is an isomorphism. In case (B) this is [8, Prop. 3.5.3(i)], and in case (A), locally in the Zariski topology ψ is standard étale [43, Lem. 02GT], and for standard étale extensions the map is an isomorphism [34, Example 6.1.12]. Taking the B -linear dual, we obtain the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_B(\Omega_{B/k}, B) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Der}_k(B) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}^1, B) & & \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, B) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Der}_k(A, B) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B \otimes_A \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, A) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \end{array}$$

In particular, we see that the restriction map,

$$\mathrm{Der}_k(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(A, B),$$

is an isomorphism. The composite A -linear map,

$$\psi: \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B),$$

satisfies,

$$\psi(\partial) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \partial,$$

for any $\partial \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A)$, and consequently is the unique A -linear map with this property. For any $\partial_1, \partial_2 \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A)$, $\psi([\partial_1, \partial_2])$ and $[\psi(\partial_1), \psi(\partial_2)]$ agree on $\varphi(A)$, and thus are equal, hence $\psi: \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B)$ is a homomorphism of k -Lie algebras.

For the second claim, if $\Omega_{A/k}$ is finitely generated projective over A , then the map,

$$B \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(B \otimes_A \Omega_{A/k}, B),$$

is an isomorphism [14, Chapter II, §5, Prop. 7]. Therefore, the composite,

$$\gamma: B \otimes_A \text{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(B), \quad \gamma(b \otimes \partial) = b\psi(\partial)$$

is an isomorphism. \square

We consider the tangent sheaf Θ_X on X as the sheaf extension of the sheaf on the basis \mathcal{B} given by $\Theta_X(U) = \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$. For $U, V \in \mathcal{B}$ with $V \subset U$ the restriction map

$$\Theta_X(U) = \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U)) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(V)) = \Theta_X(V)$$

is the morphism defined by Lemma 2.11 for the étale extension $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$.

Definition 2.12. If \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} are sheaves of k -algebras on X , then a k -derivation is a morphism $\partial: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of sheaves of k -vector spaces on X such that for any open subset U of X and $x, y \in \mathcal{F}(U)$,

$$\partial_U(xy) = x\partial_U(y) + \partial_U(x)y.$$

Remark 2.13. For any k -derivation $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and for any admissible open subset $U \subset X$, the induced map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is a k -linear derivation.

The following lemma is direct to verify from the definitions.

Lemma 2.14. *The natural map $\Theta_X \rightarrow \underline{\text{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ induces an isomorphism from Θ_X to the subsheaf*

$$\mathcal{T}_X(U) = \{f \in \text{End}_k(\mathcal{O}_X|_U) \mid f \text{ is a } k\text{-derivation}\}.$$

From this we see that for any admissible open subset $U \subset X$ (not necessarily in \mathcal{B}), the natural action of $\Theta_X(U)$ on $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is an action by k -linear derivations. Henceforth, we will implicitly identify Θ_X with \mathcal{T}_X .

2.5. Lie-Algebroids. We follow [5] and [2] in the following definition.

Definition 2.15. A *Lie algebroid* on X is a pair (ρ, \mathcal{L}) where,

- \mathcal{L} is a coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules,
- \mathcal{L} has the structure of a sheaf of k -Lie algebras,
- $\rho: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Theta_X$ is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras such that,

$$[x, ay] = a[x, y] + \rho_U(x)(a)y,$$

for any open subset U , $x, y \in \mathcal{L}(U)$, and $a \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$.

The pair (ρ, \mathcal{L}) is called *smooth* if \mathcal{L} is locally free of finite rank.

Example 2.16. The pair (id, Θ_X) is a Lie algebroid when Θ_X is coherent, and smooth if and only if Θ_X is locally free of finite rank.

Remark 2.17. If (ρ, \mathcal{L}) is a Lie-algebroid on X , then for any open subset U of X , $(\rho'_U, \mathcal{L}(U))$ is an $(k, \mathcal{O}_X(U))$ -Lie algebra, where ρ'_U is the composition

$$\rho'_U: \mathcal{L}(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_U} \Theta_X(U) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U)).$$

Definition 2.18. A morphism of Lie algebroids is a morphism of sheaves which is a morphism of $(k, \mathcal{O}_X(U))$ -Lie algebras for any admissible open subset U of X .

In the following, we call a (k, A) -Lie algebra L finitely generated if it is finitely generated as an A -module, and smooth if L is finitely generated projective as an A -module. The next proposition shows that Lie algebroids are globalisations of Lie-Rinehart algebras. This is [2, Lem. 9.2.] in case (B), the proof of which also generalises to case (A).

Proposition 2.19 ([2, Lem. 9.2.]). *Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}$, and let $A = \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. Then the global sections functor defines an equivalence of categories from the category of Lie-algebroids on X to the category of finitely generated (k, A) -Lie algebras. This restricts to an equivalence from smooth Lie-algebroids on X to smooth (k, A) -Lie algebras.*

2.5.1. *Universal Enveloping Algebra of a Lie Algebroid.* Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebroid on X , $V \subset U$ are admissible open subsets of X , and let $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$, $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{L}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V)$ be the restriction maps. It is straightforward to verify that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a φ -morphism, and therefore we have an induced map

$$U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : U(\mathcal{L}(U)) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L}(V))$$

by Lemma 2.5. Because $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is a presheaf, so is $U(\mathcal{L}(-)) : V \mapsto U(\mathcal{L}(V))$.

Definition 2.20. We define $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ to be the sheafification of the presheaf $U(\mathcal{L}(-))$.

There are canonical morphisms

$$\iota_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}), \quad \iota_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}),$$

of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and sheaves of k -Lie algebras respectively. For any admissible open subset $U \subset X$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, and $x \in \mathcal{L}(U)$, these satisfy

$$\iota_{\mathcal{L}}(ax) = \iota_{\mathcal{O}}(a)\iota_{\mathcal{L}}(x), \quad [\iota_{\mathcal{L}}(x), \iota_{\mathcal{O}}(a)] = \iota_{\mathcal{O}}(\rho'_U(x)a).$$

As for Lie-Rinehart algebras, the triple $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}), \iota_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}), \iota_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ enjoys the following universal property.

Lemma 2.21. *Suppose that \mathcal{S} is a sheaf of unital associative k -algebras on X , and*

$$\eta_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad \eta_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{S},$$

are morphisms of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and sheaves of k -Lie algebras respectively which satisfy

$$\eta_{\mathcal{L}}(ax) = \eta_{\mathcal{O}}(a)\eta_{\mathcal{L}}(x), \quad [\eta_{\mathcal{L}}(x), \eta_{\mathcal{O}}(a)] = \eta_{\mathcal{O}}(\rho'_U(x)a).$$

for any admissible open subset $U \subset X$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, and $x \in \mathcal{L}(U)$. Then there is a unique morphism of sheaves of k -algebras $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{O}}} & \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) & \xleftarrow{\iota_{\mathcal{L}}} & \mathcal{L} \\ & \searrow \eta_{\mathcal{O}} & \downarrow \varphi & \swarrow \eta_{\mathcal{L}} & \\ & & \mathcal{S} & & \end{array}$$

Proof. Given such a triple $(\mathcal{S}, \eta_{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \eta_{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{S})$, we define a morphism of presheaves $\varphi : U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, for any open subset $U \subset X$ using Lemma 2.2 and setting

$$\varphi_U : U(\mathcal{L}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(U)$$

to be the unique morphism induced from $\eta_{\mathcal{O},U} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(U)$, $\eta_{\mathcal{L},U} : \mathcal{L}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(U)$. It is direct to check using Lemma 2.2 that this is a morphism of presheaves, and we set $\varphi : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ to be the unique morphism determined by the universal property of the sheafification. The uniqueness follows directly from the uniqueness of Lemma 2.2. \square

As for Lie-Rinehart algebras, we can consider the universal enveloping algebra as a functor in the following manner. In the following, for a Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} on X , we write $\tau : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ for the composition of $\rho : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Theta_X$ with $\Theta_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \subset \underline{\text{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$.

Definition 2.22. Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of spaces over k , and that \mathcal{L}' , \mathcal{L} are Lie algebroids over X and Y respectively. Then a φ -morphism is a morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules,

$$\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{L}',$$

which is also a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras, such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \underline{\text{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{-\circ\varphi^\#} & \underline{\text{Hom}}_k(\mathcal{O}_Y, \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X) \\ \tilde{\varphi} \downarrow & & & & \uparrow \varphi^\# \circ - \\ \varphi_*\mathcal{L}' & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*\tau'} & \varphi_*\underline{\text{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{End}}_k(\varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Remark 2.23. If $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of spaces over k , and $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{L}'$ is a morphism of sheaves of sets, then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a φ -morphism if and only if

$$\tilde{\varphi}_U : \mathcal{L}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'(\varphi^{-1}(U))$$

is a φ_U^\sharp -morphism for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, where $\varphi_U^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(U))$.

Write \mathcal{LR}_k for the category of pairs $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$, where $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of spaces over k and $\tilde{\varphi} : \varphi_*\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$ is a φ -morphism. Given $(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : (X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{L}')$ and $(\psi, \tilde{\psi}) : (Y, \mathcal{L}') \rightarrow (Z, \mathcal{L}')$, then $\tilde{\psi} \circ \psi_*\tilde{\varphi}$ is a $(\psi \circ \varphi)$ -morphism, and the composition is defined as $(\psi \circ \varphi, \tilde{\psi} \circ \psi_*\tilde{\varphi})$.

Lemma 2.24. *Suppose that $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of spaces over k , and $\mathcal{L}', \mathcal{L}$ are Lie algebroids on X and Y respectively. Then every φ -morphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{L}'$ extends uniquely to a filtration preserving morphism $\mathcal{U}(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}')$ of sheaves of k -algebras on Y that makes the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_Y \oplus \mathcal{L} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^\sharp \oplus \tilde{\varphi}} & \varphi_*\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \varphi_*\mathcal{L}' \\ \downarrow \iota_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \oplus \iota_{\mathcal{L}} & & \downarrow \varphi_*\iota_{\mathcal{O}_X} \oplus \varphi_*\iota_{\mathcal{L}'} \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})} & \varphi_*\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}') \end{array}$$

Proof. We define a morphism of presheaves,

$$U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}) : U(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \varphi_*U(\mathcal{L}'),$$

by setting for each admissible open $U \subset Y$,

$$U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})_U := U(\varphi_U^\sharp, \tilde{\varphi}_U) : U(\mathcal{L}(U)) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L}'(\varphi^{-1}(U))),$$

which is well defined by Remark 2.23. Then we define the morphism $\mathcal{U}(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})$ by the universal property of sheafification to be the unique morphism making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})} & \varphi_*\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ U(\mathcal{L}) & \xrightarrow{U(\varphi, \tilde{\varphi})} & \varphi_*U(\mathcal{L}') \end{array}$$

commute. □

Therefore, we can view $\mathcal{U}(-)$ as a functor from \mathcal{LR}_k to the category of pairs (X, \mathcal{S}) , where X is a space over k and \mathcal{S} is a sheaf of unital associative filtered k -algebras on X . Morphisms are pairs (φ, ϕ) for $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism of schemes over k , and $\phi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \varphi_*\mathcal{S}'$ a morphism of sheaves of unital associative filtered k -algebras on Y .

We have a more explicit description of the sheaf $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ when \mathcal{L} is smooth. In the following, for an admissible open subset $U \in \mathcal{B}'$ and an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module M , we write \widetilde{M} for the sheaf on U associated to M , which for all $V \subset U$ with $V \in \mathcal{B}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{M}(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} M.$$

Lemma 2.25. *Let (ρ, \mathcal{L}) be a smooth Lie algebroid on X . Then $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) := U(\mathcal{L}(-))^{\text{ext}}$ is a sheafification of $U(\mathcal{L})$, and for any $U \in \mathcal{B}'$ there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\widetilde{U(\mathcal{L}(U))} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})|_U$$

of sheaves of k -algebras.

Proof. For any pair $U \in \mathcal{B}'$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}$ with $V \subset U$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} U(\mathcal{L}(U)) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L}(V))$$

is an isomorphism by Lemma 2.9, as \mathcal{L} is smooth and coherent as an \mathcal{O}_X -module. The fact that $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is projective as an $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module follows in the rigid case from [6, Prop. 1.1.13]. Therefore, for any $U \in \mathcal{B}'$, this defines an isomorphism

$$(1) \quad \widetilde{U(\mathcal{L}(U))}|_{\mathcal{B}_U} \xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathcal{L}(-))|_{\mathcal{B}_U},$$

where \mathcal{B}_U is the basis of U consisting of $V \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V \subset U$. As this holds for any $U \in \mathcal{B}'$, $U(\mathcal{L}(-))$ defines a sheaf on the basis \mathcal{B} , and by Lemma 2.10 we see that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) := U(\mathcal{L}(-))^{\text{ext}}$ is a sheafification of the presheaf $U(\mathcal{L}(-))$. Furthermore, the isomorphism (1) extends uniquely to the required isomorphism. \square

For example, if X is a scheme, then Lemma 2.25 says that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is a quasi-coherent sheaf whenever \mathcal{L} is smooth.

2.6. Equivariant Sheaves. Throughout this section 2.6, let G be a group and let X be a set with a G -topology in the sense of [12, §9.1.1]. Suppose also that G acts on X , by which we mean there is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X)$, where $\text{Homeo}(X)$ is the group of continuous bijections from X to itself. For each $g \in G$, g_* and g^{-1} are inverse functors from $\text{Sh}(X)$ to itself, where

$$(g^{-1}\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(gU), \quad (g_*\mathcal{F})(U) = \mathcal{F}(g^{-1}U),$$

for all admissible open subsets U of X . We summarise some notions from [29, §5.1].

Definition 2.26. A k -linear G -equivariant sheaf on X , is a pair $(\mathcal{F}, \{g^{\mathcal{F}}\}_{g \in G})$, such that \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of k -vector spaces on X , and for $g \in G$,

$$g^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{F},$$

is an isomorphism of sheaves of k -vector spaces, and for all $g, h \in G$,

$$(gh)^{\mathcal{F}} = h^{-1}(g^{\mathcal{F}}) \circ h^{\mathcal{F}}.$$

A morphism $\psi : (\mathcal{F}, \{g^{\mathcal{F}}\}_{g \in G}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}, \{g^{\mathcal{G}}\}_{g \in G})$ is a morphism of sheaves of k -vector spaces, such that

$$g^{-1}(\psi) \circ g^{\mathcal{F}} = g^{\mathcal{G}} \circ \psi,$$

for all $g \in G$.

Definition 2.27. A G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras on X is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \{g^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{g \in G})$, such that

- $(\mathcal{A}, \{g^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{g \in G})$ is a k -linear G -equivariant sheaf,
- \mathcal{A} is a sheaf of k -algebras,
- For all $g \in G$,

$$g^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{A},$$

is a morphism of sheaves of k -algebras.

Remark 2.28. If U is a G -stable admissible open subset of X , then for $a \in \mathcal{A}(U)$,

$$g \cdot a := g^{\mathcal{A}}(a)$$

defines an action of G on $\mathcal{A}(U)$ by k -algebra automorphisms. Therefore, for such a subset U we can form the skew group ring $\mathcal{A}(U) \rtimes G$.

Definition 2.29. Let \mathcal{A} be a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras on X . A G -equivariant sheaf of \mathcal{A} -modules or \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{A} -module on X is a sheaf \mathcal{M} of left \mathcal{A} -modules, together with a k -linear G -equivariant structure $(\mathcal{M}, \{g^{\mathcal{M}}\}_{g \in G})$ such that for any admissible open subset $U \subset X$,

$$g_U^{\mathcal{M}}(a \cdot m) = g_U^{\mathcal{A}}(a) \cdot g_U^{\mathcal{M}}(m),$$

for all $g \in G$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}(U)$. A morphism of \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{A} -modules is a morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{A} -modules which is also a morphism of k -linear G -equivariant sheaves. We write $\mathbf{Mod}(G\text{-}\mathcal{A})$ for the category of G - \mathcal{A} -modules on X .

Remark 2.30. Suppose that U is a G -stable admissible open subset of X . Then $\Gamma(U, -)$ is a functor from G - \mathcal{A} -modules to $\mathcal{A}(U) \rtimes G$ -modules [1, Prop. 2.3.5]. For $a \in \mathcal{A}(U)$ and $g \in G$, $ag \in \mathcal{A}(U) \rtimes G$ acts on $\mathcal{M}(U)$ by

$$ag \cdot m := a \cdot g^{\mathcal{M}}(m).$$

for any $m \in \mathcal{M}(U)$.

Remark 2.31. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras, and \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are G - \mathcal{A} -modules. Then it is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{F} := \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is a G -equivariant sheaf, where

$$g^{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{F}$$

is defined by

$$g_U^{\mathcal{F}}(f) = g^{\mathcal{N}}|_U \circ f \circ (g^{\mathcal{M}}|_U)^{-1}$$

for any $g \in G$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ is a $k[G]$ -module where $g \in G$ acts on $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$ by

$$g \cdot f = g^{\mathcal{N}} \circ f \circ (g^{\mathcal{M}})^{-1}.$$

Example 2.32. We will often find ourselves in the following situation. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras on X , and suppose that X_0 is an open subset of X such that X is the disjoint union

$$X = \bigsqcup_{g \in G/G_0} g(X_0),$$

indexed by the set of left cosets G/G^0 , where $G^0 := \mathrm{Stab}_G(X_0) \leq G$. Then it is straightforward to show that the restriction functor

$$\mathbf{Mod}(G\text{-}\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(G^0\text{-}\mathcal{A}|_{X_0}),$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Example 2.33. We will also later need to make use of the following equivalence between equivariant sheaves and sheaves on the quotient space. Suppose that X has an action of a semi-direct product $H \rtimes G$ and X_0 is an open subset of X such that X is the disjoint union

$$X = \bigsqcup_{h \in H} h(X_0).$$

In this situation, we may form the quotient G -topological space X/H as follows. As a set, X/H is the quotient of X by H , and writing $p: X \rightarrow X/H$ for the quotient map, a subset $U \subset X/H$ is defined to be admissible open if and only if $p^{-1}(U)$ is an admissible open subset of X . A collection $\{U_i\}_i$ of admissible open subsets of X/H which covers the admissible open subset U is defined to be an admissible open covering of U if $\{p^{-1}(U_i)\}_i$ forms an admissible open covering of $p^{-1}(U)$ in X . It is routine to check that this defines a G -topology on X/H , for which the quotient map $p: X \rightarrow X/H$ is continuous.

Suppose now that \mathcal{A} is a $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras on X . For example, \mathcal{A} could just be the constant sheaf \underline{k} (in which case a $(H \rtimes G)$ - \mathcal{A} -module is just a $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant sheaf), or X could be a locally ringed G -topological space and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ the structure sheaf.

For any $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant sheaf \mathcal{F} on X , the presheaf \mathcal{F}^H on X/H defined by

$$\mathcal{F}^H(U) := \mathcal{F}(p^{-1}(U))^H$$

is a G -equivariant sheaf on X/H , where the G -equivariant structure $g^{\mathcal{F}^H}: \mathcal{F}^H \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{F}^H$ is defined as the restriction of $p_*g^{\mathcal{F}}: p_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow g^{-1}p_*\mathcal{F}$ to \mathcal{F}^H (which is well-defined as H is normal in $H \rtimes G$). In particular, this applies to the $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant sheaf \mathcal{A} on X , and we obtain the G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras \mathcal{A}^H on X/H . In particular, if \mathcal{F} is a $(H \rtimes G)$ - \mathcal{A} -module, then \mathcal{F}^H naturally acquires the structure of a G - \mathcal{A}^H -module, and this defines a functor

$$(-)^H: \mathbf{Mod}((H \rtimes G)\text{-}\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(G\text{-}\mathcal{A}^H).$$

In the other direction, we have the pullback functor

$$p^{-1}: \mathbf{Mod}(G\text{-}\mathcal{A}^H) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}((H \times G)\text{-}\mathcal{A}),$$

defined (for simplicity because p is an open map) by

$$p^{-1}\mathcal{G}(V) := \mathcal{G}(p(V))$$

for $\mathcal{G} \in \mathbf{Mod}((H \times G)\text{-}\mathcal{A})$. For any $\gamma \in H \times G$, because $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is $H \times G$ -equivariant (where X/H has the trivial action of H) there is a canonically defined natural isomorphism of functors,

$$\gamma^{-1} \circ p^{-1} \cong p^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}.$$

Using this, the $H \times G$ -equivariant structure on $p^{-1}\mathcal{G}$ is defined by

$$\gamma^{p^{-1}\mathcal{G}} := p^{-1}\gamma^{\mathcal{G}}: p^{-1}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}(p^{-1}\mathcal{G}),$$

for $\gamma \in H \times G$. It is direct to show that, because our assumption that X is the disjoint union over copies of X_0 indexed by H , that these functors are mutually inverse quasi-equivalences of categories.

We also note that under our assumption on X and the action of H , the natural map $X_0 \hookrightarrow X \rightarrow X/H$ is an isomorphism of G -topological spaces, and the pushforward of $\mathcal{A}|_{X_0}$ to X/H is canonically identified with \mathcal{A}^H . In particular, if X is a rigid space, then taking $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}_X$ we see that X/H is also a rigid space, canonically identified with X_0 .

2.7. \mathcal{D} -Modules. In this section we specialise the geometric framework of Section 2.2 in which we work, and additionally assume that *the characteristic of k is zero*, and

- (A) X is a smooth scheme over k ($X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is smooth),
- (B) X is a smooth rigid space over k ($X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is smooth [13, Def. 2.1]).

In both cases, this assumption implies that $\Omega_{X/k}$ is locally free of rank $\dim_x X$ at any $x \in X$ (which in case (B) is actually an equivalent condition [13, Lem. 2.8]). In particular, the dual sheaf Θ_X is locally free (and hence coherent). We also note that in case (A), as $\mathrm{char}(k) = 0$, then $X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is smooth if and only if $\Omega_{X/k}$ is locally free and X is locally of finite type over k [43, Lem. 04QN].

Definition 2.34. We define the sheaf of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_X := \mathcal{U}(\Theta_X)$.

We write $\mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$ for the category of \mathcal{D}_X -modules, and $\mathbf{VectCon}(X)$ for the full subcategory integrable connections: \mathcal{D}_X -modules for which the underlying \mathcal{O}_X -module is a vector bundle. When X is a smooth rigid space over a field of characteristic zero, \mathcal{D}_X is by definition the sheaf of differential operators on X [2]. When X is a smooth scheme over a field of characteristic zero, the sheaf \mathcal{D}_X we have defined coincides with the usual sheaf of Grothendieck differential operators in the sense that the natural morphism $\mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ induced from $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\Theta_X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ is injective, and has image the subsheaf of $\underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ generated by \mathcal{O}_X and \mathcal{T}_X . This can be seen by taking the associated graded of this morphism, and using Rinehart's Theorem [40, Thm. 3.1] and (for example) [32, §1.1].

We now record some results which will be useful later.

Lemma 2.35. *If X is connected then \mathcal{O}_X is an irreducible \mathcal{D}_X -module.*

Proof. In case (B), this follows from [3, Prop. 3.1.3]. In case (A), we can argue as in the proof of *loc. cit.* to reduce to the case when X is affine, which follows from [35, Thm. 15.3.8]. \square

Lemma 2.36. *Any \mathcal{D}_X -module which is coherent as an \mathcal{O}_X -module is locally free.*

Proof. This follows from exactly the same proof that is given for [32, Thm. 1.4.10]. \square

2.7.1. Inverse Image and Direct Image. We now describe the inverse and direct image functors of \mathcal{D} -modules for an étale morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$. We describe these very explicitly, as we will make use of this explicit description later.

The Direct Image. Let us first describe the direct image

$$f_*: \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y),$$

Because $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is étale, we can use Lemma 2.11 to define a morphism $\Theta_Y \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X$ as follows. Suppose first that $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}_X$ with $V \subset f^{-1}(U)$. Then the composition

$$\Theta_Y(U) \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X(U) = \Theta_X(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \Theta_X(V)$$

is defined to be the morphism of Lemma 2.11 determined by the étale extension $\mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$. For any $V, V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{B}_X$ with $V \subset V_1 \cap V_2$, by the uniqueness of the morphism of Lemma 2.11, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta_X(V_2) & \longleftarrow \Theta_Y(U) & \longrightarrow \Theta_X(V_1) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & \Theta_X(V) & \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore, covering $f^{-1}(U)$ with $V \in \mathcal{B}_X$ we obtain a well defined $\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ -linear map,

$$\Theta_Y(U) \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X(U).$$

Again using the uniqueness of Lemma 2.11, this defines a morphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $\Theta_Y \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X$ on the basis \mathcal{B}_Y , which extends uniquely to a morphism $\Theta_Y \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X$ of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules on Y .

Now suppose that $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X)$. As an \mathcal{O}_Y -module, the direct image is the usual direct image of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $f_*\mathcal{N}$. Because \mathcal{N} is a \mathcal{D}_X -module, there is a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras,

$$\Theta_X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{N}),$$

and using this we obtain an action of Θ_Y on $f_*\mathcal{N}$,

$$\Theta_Y \rightarrow f_*\Theta_X \rightarrow f_*\underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(f_*\mathcal{N}).$$

One can check that this is appropriately compatible with the \mathcal{O}_Y -module structure on $f_*\mathcal{N}$ and so both actions extend uniquely by Lemma 2.21 to a \mathcal{D}_Y -module structure on $f_*\mathcal{N}$.

The Inverse Image. Let us now describe the inverse image

$$f^*: \mathbf{VectCon}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(X).$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}(Y)$. Then

$$f^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f^{-1}\mathcal{M},$$

is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module. As above, to give $f^*\mathcal{M}$ the structure of a \mathcal{D}_X -module, by Lemma 2.21 we need to give a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras

$$\Theta_X \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(f^*\mathcal{M})$$

which is appropriately compatible with the \mathcal{O}_X -module structure on $f^*\mathcal{M}$. Locally for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_X$, the map on sections

$$\Theta_X(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_k(f^*\mathcal{M}|_U)$$

is described as follows. For any $W \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ with $f(U) \subset W$, there is a canonical identification

$$f^*\mathcal{M}|_U \cong (\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \mathcal{M}(W))^\sim,$$

and so for any $V \in \mathcal{B}_X$ with $V \subset U$,

$$(f^*\mathcal{M})(V) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \mathcal{M}(W).$$

Because $\Omega_{W/k}$ is finitely generated projective, taking the dual of

$$\mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \Omega_{W/k} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)/k}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2.11 induces a map

$$\Theta_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \Theta_Y(W).$$

The action of $\partial \in \Theta_X(U)$ on $(f^*\mathcal{M})(V)$,

$$\partial_V : \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \mathcal{M}(W) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \mathcal{M}(W),$$

is defined by setting

$$\partial_V(s \otimes m) := \partial(s) \otimes m + \sum_i s s_i \otimes \partial_i(m),$$

where $\sum_i s_i \otimes \partial_i$ is the image of ∂ under

$$\Theta_X(U) \rightarrow \Theta_X(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(W)} \Theta_Y(W).$$

It can be checked that this is independent of the choice of W , and glues to a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 2.21 and so extends to a \mathcal{D}_X -module structure.

Remark 2.37. We note that in our description of the inverse image we did not use the fact that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is étale. Indeed, the morphism $\Theta_X \rightarrow f^*\Theta_Y$, which we used implicitly, exists whenever Y is smooth, and our description still holds in this generality.

2.8. Equivariant \mathcal{D} -Modules. Suppose in this section that X is as Section 2.2, and that G is a group that acts on X (on the right), given by the data of a group homomorphism

$$\rho : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(X), \quad g \mapsto (\rho(g) : X \rightarrow X, \rho(g)^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \rho(g)_*\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Then we have a group homomorphism

$$G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(X), \quad g \mapsto \rho(g^{-1}),$$

and we can consider G -equivariant sheaves on X . For example, the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X is naturally a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras, with the G -equivariant structure

$$g^{\mathcal{O}_X} := \rho(g)^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \rho(g)_*\mathcal{O}_X = g^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X.$$

Suppose now that (ρ, \mathcal{L}) is a Lie-algebroid on X , and that \mathcal{L} also has the structure of a G - \mathcal{O}_X -module such that each $g^\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ for $g \in G$ is both a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras and a g^{-1} -morphism in the sense of Definition 2.22. In this situation $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ is a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras via

$$g^{\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})} := \mathcal{U}(g^{\mathcal{O}_X}, g^\mathcal{L}) : \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}),$$

and \mathcal{O}_X with its natural structure of an $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -module and a G -equivariant sheaf is a G - $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -module.

Example 2.38. If X is additionally as in Section 2.7 (i.e. X is smooth over a field of characteristic 0) then the tangent sheaf Θ_X is naturally a G - \mathcal{O}_X -module, where we define $g^{\Theta_X} : \Theta_X \rightarrow g^{-1}\Theta_X$ as follows. On each $U \in \mathcal{B}$, $\Theta_X(U) = \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$ and we define

$$g_U^{\Theta_X} : \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(U)) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X(g(U)))$$

by

$$g_U^{\Theta_X} : \partial \mapsto g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ \partial \circ (g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X})^{-1}.$$

Each g^{Θ_X} is a morphism of sheaves of k -Lie algebras and a g^{-1} -morphism by Remark 2.23 and the description of $g^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ on $U \in \mathcal{B}$ above. Therefore taking $\mathcal{L} = \Theta_X$ above, \mathcal{D}_X is naturally a G -equivariant sheaf of k -algebras for which \mathcal{O}_X is a G - \mathcal{D}_X -module.

In the following, for $U \in \mathcal{B}'$, we call an $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module M *coherent* if as an $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module,

- (A) M is finitely presented,
- (B) M is coadmissible (in the sense of [41]).

Remark 2.39. In case (B), for $U \in \mathcal{B}'$, we are viewing $\mathcal{O}(U)$ as a Fréchet-Stein algebra (in the sense of [41]) with respect to the k -Banach algebras $(\mathcal{O}(U_i))_i$ for any admissible open quasi-Stein covering $(U_i)_i$ of U . We also note that when U is in fact affinoid, M is coadmissible if and only if M is finitely generated.

We write $\mathbf{Mod}_c(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ consisting of objects for which the underlying \mathcal{O}_X -module is coherent. Each $g \in G$ acts on $U(\mathcal{L}(X))$ via

$$U(g_X^{\mathcal{O}_X}, g_X^{\mathcal{L}}): U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L}(X)),$$

and we write $\mathbf{Mod}_c(U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G)$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G)$ consisting of objects for which the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ -module is coherent.

Proposition 2.40. *Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{B}'$, let \mathcal{L} be a smooth Lie-algebroid on X . Then the global sections functor defines an equivalence of categories*

$$\Gamma(X, -): \mathbf{Mod}_c(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Mod}_c(U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G).$$

Proof. Because $X \in \mathcal{B}'$, the global sections includes an equivalence

$$\Gamma(X, -): \mathbf{Mod}_c(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Mod}_c(\mathcal{O}_X(X)),$$

with inverse sending an A -module M to \widetilde{M} . If $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{Mod}_c(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$, then $\mathcal{M}(X)$ is naturally a $U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G$ -module via the natural map

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{L}(X))$$

and the action of $g \in G$ by $g_X^{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(g(X)) = \mathcal{M}(X)$. Furthermore, for any $G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -morphism $f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the map on global sections will be $U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G$ -linear.

Suppose now that $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_c(U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G)$. For any $U \in \mathcal{B}$, using Lemma 2.25 we can factorise the natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{M}(U) &= \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} M(X), \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(X)} U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}(X))} M(X), \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} U(\mathcal{L}(U)) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}(X))} M(X) \end{aligned}$$

as the composition of isomorphisms. Therefore, letting $U(\mathcal{L}(U))$ act via left multiplication on the left factor $U(\mathcal{L}(U))$, the sheaf \widetilde{M} naturally has the structure of a sheaf of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -modules. This is further a $G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -module with respect to the G -equivariant structure

$$g^{\widetilde{M}}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow g^{-1}\widetilde{M}$$

defined on $U \in \mathcal{B}$ by

$$g_U^{\widetilde{M}} = g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes g: \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_A M(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(g(U)) \otimes_A M(X).$$

Any morphism $\lambda: M \rightarrow N$ naturally induces a morphism $1 \otimes \lambda: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$, and this is easily shown to be a morphism of $G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -modules. It is straightforward to check that with these definitions, the natural isomorphisms

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}(X)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M}, \quad M \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{M}(X),$$

are isomorphisms of $G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$ -modules and $U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G$ -modules respectively. \square

Remark 2.41. In case (A) (so X is an affine scheme), the same proof shows that taking the global sections also defines an equivalence

$$\Gamma(X, -): \mathbf{Mod}_{qc}(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Mod}(U(\mathcal{L}(X)) \rtimes G).$$

where $\mathbf{Mod}_{qc}(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(G\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L}))$ consisting of objects for which the underlying \mathcal{O}_X -module is quasi-coherent.

Suppose now that X is as in Section 2.7 (X is smooth over a field of characteristic 0). In this case, we write $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ for the category $\mathbf{Mod}_c(G\mathcal{D}_X)$ which in light of Lemma 2.36 is the category of G -equivariant vector bundles with connection on X . Because coherent sheaves are closed under kernels and cokernels, $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is abelian and furthermore $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is a rigid abelian tensor category in the sense of [22]. The tensor product $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}$ of $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is defined to be the tensor product of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, with \mathcal{D}_X -module structure

$$\partial \cdot (x \otimes y) = x \otimes \partial(y) + \partial(x) \otimes y,$$

for a local section ∂ of Θ_X , and G -equivariant structure

$$g^{\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}} := g^{\mathcal{V}} \otimes g^{\mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} \rightarrow g^{-1}(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}).$$

With this tensor structure, then $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is given by the internal hom of \mathcal{O}_X -modules with \mathcal{D}_X -module structure

$$(\partial \cdot f)(x) = \partial \cdot f(x) - f(\partial \cdot x)$$

for a local section ∂ of Θ_X , and G -equivariant structure as described in Remark 2.31.

2.9. Galois Extensions. Let G be a group, and X be as in Section 2.2. We write \underline{G} for the corresponding constant group over k . When G is finite, this given by $\underline{G} = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(G))$ (resp. $\underline{G} = \text{Sp}(\mathcal{O}(G))$), where $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is the k -algebra of functions $f : G \rightarrow k$, and in this case we write δ_g for the indicator function of $g \in G$.

Recall that that a (right) *action* of \underline{G} on a space X over k is a morphism $a : X \times \underline{G} \rightarrow X$ of spaces over k such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \underline{G} \times \underline{G} & \xrightarrow{a \times p_2} & X \times \underline{G} \\ p_X \times m \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ X \times \underline{G} & \xrightarrow{a} & X \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X \times \underline{1} & \xleftarrow{\quad} & X \times \underline{G} \\ & \searrow p_X & \swarrow a \\ & X & \end{array}$$

commute. Such a morphism $a : X \times \underline{G} \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to the data of a group homomorphism $\rho : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$. If in addition $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of spaces over k , and \underline{G} acts on both X and Y , then f is called *\underline{G} -equivariant* if

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \underline{G} & \xrightarrow{a_X} & X \\ f \times \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y \times \underline{G} & \xrightarrow{a_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes. In terms of the corresponding homomorphisms $\rho : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$, $\sigma : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Y)$ this translates to the condition that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\rho(g)} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\sigma(g)} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes for every $g \in G$. In particular, if \underline{G} acts on Y trivially, then we say that the action of \underline{G} on X is *equivariant with respect to the trivial action on Y* . Such an action is equivalent to the data of a group homomorphism $\rho : G^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_Y(X)$, where $\text{Aut}_Y(X)$ is the group of automorphisms of X which respect the morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$. In this situation, using the notation of Section 2.8, the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ has a (left) action of G ,

$$G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(f_*\mathcal{O}_X), \quad g \mapsto (g_{f^{-1}(U)}^{\mathcal{O}_X} : f_*\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(U))_{U \subset Y},$$

which is well-defined as $g^{\mathcal{O}_X} : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X$, and $g(f^{-1}(U)) = f^{-1}(U)$ for any admissible open subset $U \subset Y$. Therefore we can consider the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules $(f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ defined by

$$(f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^G(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))^G,$$

for any admissible open subset U of Y , which is a sheaf because $(-)^G$ preserves products and equalisers.

Definition 2.42. Suppose that G is a finite group, $a : X \times \underline{G} \rightarrow X$ is an action of \underline{G} on X , and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale morphism which is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of \underline{G} on Y . Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a *Galois covering with Galois group G* if the natural map $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow (f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules and

$$p_X \times a : X \times \underline{G} \rightarrow X \times_Y X$$

is an isomorphism of spaces over k .

We will also make use of the notion of a Galois extension of commutative k -algebras, which is the affine version of Definition 2.42.

Definition 2.43 ([19, Def. 1.4]). Suppose that $\varphi : A \hookrightarrow B$ is an injective homomorphism of commutative k -algebras, and G is a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(B)$. Then $\varphi : A \hookrightarrow B$ is called a Galois extension with Galois group G if $A = B^G$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : B \otimes_A B &\rightarrow B \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(G), \\ \beta(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{g \in G} x(g \cdot y) \otimes \delta_g, \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.44. The requirement that G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(B)$ can be weakened to the assumption of a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(B)$, as any such homomorphism will automatically be injective. Indeed, if $g(b) = b$ for all $b \in B$, then the coefficient of δ_g and δ_e would be equal for any element in the image of β , and thus β would not be surjective.

Example 2.45. Suppose that $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of commutative k -algebras (resp. of affinoid algebras over k), and suppose that $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbf{Alg}_k}(B)$ is a group homomorphism. Then the action of G on $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ (resp. $X = \text{Sp}(B)$) is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of G on $Y = \text{Spec}(A)$ (resp. $Y = \text{Sp}(A)$) if and only if $\varphi(A) \subset B^G$, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale Galois covering with Galois group G if and only if $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is injective and a Galois extension with Galois group G .

Remark 2.46. We note that in case (A) Definition 2.42 agrees with the more commonly used definition of a Galois extension, where the condition that $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow (f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism is replaced by the condition that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is faithfully flat. Indeed, if $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow (f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^G$ is an isomorphism, then for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, the extension $\mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$ is Galois with Galois group G , and thus faithfully flat [28, Lem. 1.9]. Conversely, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is faithfully flat, then for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ let $A := \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$, $B := \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$. The map β of Definition 2.43 is an isomorphism, and therefore because $\beta(b \otimes 1) = \beta(1 \otimes b)$, $b \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes b$ in $B \otimes_A B$. But then because the composition $A \rightarrow B^G \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat, the fact that $A = B^G$ follows from the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.47. *Suppose that $R \subset S \subset T$ are commutative rings with $R \hookrightarrow T$ faithfully flat and $s \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes s$ in $T \otimes_R T$ for any $s \in S$. Then $R = S$.*

Proof. Because $R \hookrightarrow T$ is faithfully flat it is sufficient to show that $T \otimes_R S/R = 0$. By the exact sequence,

$$T \otimes_R R \rightarrow T \otimes_R S \rightarrow T \otimes_R S/R \rightarrow 0,$$

it is sufficient to show that $T \otimes_R R \rightarrow T \otimes_R S$ is surjective. We can view $T \otimes_R S \subset T \otimes_R T$, because T is flat over R , and therefore for any pure tensor $t \otimes s \in T \otimes_R S$,

$$ts \otimes 1 \mapsto ts \otimes 1 = (t \otimes 1)(s \otimes 1) = (t \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s) = t \otimes s,$$

and so the map is surjective. \square

We note here the following lemma for later use, which says that derivations lifted along a Galois extension commute with the Galois action.

Lemma 2.48. *Suppose that G is a finite group and $\varphi : A \hookrightarrow B$ is a Galois extension of commutative k -algebras with Galois group G . Then any $\partial \in \text{Der}_k(A)$ and $g \in G$,*

$$g \circ \psi(\partial) = \psi(\partial) \circ g,$$

where $\psi : \text{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(B)$ is the A -linear homomorphism of Lemma 2.11.

Proof. For any $g \in G$, both ψ and,

$$\psi_g : \partial \mapsto g \circ \psi(\partial) \circ g^{-1},$$

are A -linear maps $\text{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \text{Der}_k(B)$. Furthermore,

$$\psi_g(\partial) \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \partial,$$

as for any $a \in A$, the left-hand side is,

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_g(\partial) \circ \varphi)(a) &= g(\psi(\partial)(g^{-1}(\varphi(a)))) \\ &= g(\psi(\partial)(\varphi(a))), \\ &= g(\varphi(\partial(a))), \\ &= \varphi(\partial(a)), \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the right-hand side. Therefore, by the uniqueness of ψ , $\psi = \psi_g$. \square

We will also make use of the following basic fact, for which we were unable to find a suitable reference.

Lemma 2.49. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale Galois covering with Galois group G . Suppose that Y_0 is a connected component of Y , and X_0 is a connected component of $f^{-1}(Y_0)$. Then*

$$f|_{f^{-1}(Y_0)}: f^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow Y_0$$

is a finite étale Galois covering with Galois group G , and

$$f_0 := f|_{X_0}: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$$

is a finite étale Galois covering with Galois group $H := \text{Stab}_G(X_0)$.

Proof. This is based on [28, Prop. 3.8]. It is direct to see that $f: f^{-1}(Y_0) \rightarrow Y_0$ is finite étale Galois with Galois group G . For the second claim, first note that the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is finite locally free of constant rank $|G|$. Indeed, for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ and $V := f^{-1}(U)$, $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ is finitely generated projective over $\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ [19, Thm. 1.3 (c)] and the isomorphism β for the Galois extension $\mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V)$ shows that $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}_Y(U)}(\mathcal{O}_X(V)) = |G|$. Therefore, because Y_0 is connected, $f^{-1}(Y_0)$ has at most $|G|$ connected components, and we let S be the corresponding set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(Y_0))$. G acts on S , and this action is transitive: if $\{e^1, \dots, e^m\}$ is an orbit of S , then the sum $e^1 + \dots + e^m$ is G -invariant, hence is a non-zero idempotent of $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y_0)$. Therefore, $e^1 + \dots + e^m = 1$, and the orbit is the whole of S .

If e is the idempotent of $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(Y_0))$ corresponding to the connected component X_0 , then the stabiliser H of e in G acts on X_0 . Furthermore, G acts on S transitively with stabiliser H , and thus $|S| = |G|/|H|$. The group G provides isomorphisms between all connected components of $f^{-1}(Y_0)$ and these isomorphisms respect the morphism to Y . Therefore

$$|G| = \deg(f: X \rightarrow Y) = (|G|/|H|) \cdot \deg(f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0),$$

and so $|H| = \deg(f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0)$. We will make use of this fact below.

To show that $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is Galois with Galois group H , it is sufficient to show that for any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, if $V_0 := f_0^{-1}(U) \subset X_0$, that $f|_{V_0}: V_0 \rightarrow U$ is Galois with Galois group H .

Set $A := \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$, $B_0 := \mathcal{O}_X(V_0)$, and $B := \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$. By Remark 2.45, we know that $A \hookrightarrow B$ is a G -Galois extension of k -algebras, and we are reduced to showing that $A \hookrightarrow B_0$ is a H -Galois extension of k -algebras. To this end, we first show that $B_0^H = A$. For $b \in B_0^H$, consider

$$s := \sum_{g \in G/H} g(b) \in B^G = A.$$

Because the action of G on S is transitive with stabiliser H , $eg(b) = 0$ whenever $g \notin H$, thus

$$es = \sum_{g \in G/H} eg(b) = eb = b,$$

where $eb = b$ because $b \in B_0$. Therefore $b \in A$, as $es \in A$, which follows from the fact that $s \in A$ and $ea = a$ for any $a \in A \subset B_0$.

The A -module B_0 is finitely generated projective, being a direct summand of B , and thus to show that $A \hookrightarrow B_0$ is a H -Galois extension of k -algebras, by [19, Thm. 1.3] it is sufficient to show that the natural map

$$j_0 : B_0 \rtimes H \rightarrow \text{End}_A(B_0)$$

from the skew group ring $B_0 \rtimes H$ to the ring of A -module endomorphisms $\text{End}_A(B_0)$ is an isomorphism. In fact, because these are both finitely generated projective of rank $|H|^2$ over A (because $|H| = \deg(f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0)$), it is sufficient for us to show this is surjective.

Given any $\psi \in \text{End}_A(B_0)$, then $\psi e \in \text{End}_A(B)$ (where we identify any $b \in B$ with the left multiplication map by b). Therefore, because

$$j : B \rtimes G \rightarrow \text{End}_A(B)$$

is surjective, there are elements $b_g \in B$ such that

$$\sum_{g \in G} b_g g = \psi e.$$

If we multiply by e on both sides we obtain

$$\sum_{g \in H} e b_g g e = e \psi e$$

because $ege = 0$ if $g \notin H$. When we restrict these functions to B_0 , as e acts as the identity on B_0 ,

$$\sum_{g \in H} e b_g g = \psi.$$

Therefore j_0 is surjective, and $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y$ is Galois as required. \square

2.10. Equivalence of Categories. In this section we specialise the geometric framework of Section 2.2 and Section 2.7 further and suppose that $\text{char}(k) = 0$ and

- (A) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering of smooth schemes over k with Galois group H ,
- (B) $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering of smooth rigid spaces over k with Galois group H .

We suppose further that G is an abstract group that acts on H (so we may form the semi-direct product $H \rtimes G$) and that the actions of H on X and Y extend to an action of $H \rtimes G$ for which the morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant. In particular, in this generality, G could be infinite or trivial, and the semi-direct product could be direct.

In this section, we show there is a canonically defined equivalence between $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$ and $\mathbf{VectCon}^{H \rtimes G}(X)$ (Proposition 2.51). This equivalence is completely formal, and when forgetting the action of G and \mathcal{D} restricts to the well-known equivalence between $\mathbf{Coh}(Y)$ and $\mathbf{Coh}^H(X)$. We briefly describe both functors of the equivalence explicitly, as we shall make later use of these descriptions.

Inverse Image Functor. First, we show that the inverse image functor $f^* : \mathbf{VectCon}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(X)$ described in Section 2.7.1 extends to a functor

$$f^* : \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \rtimes G}(X).$$

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is a G - \mathcal{D}_Y -module, coherent as an \mathcal{O}_Y -module. For any $\gamma \in H \rtimes G$, because $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant there is a canonically defined natural isomorphism of functors,

$$\gamma^{-1} \circ f^{-1} \cong f^{-1} \circ \gamma^{-1}.$$

Because of this, we can define a $H \rtimes G$ -equivariant structure on $f^* \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\gamma^{f^* \mathcal{M}} := \gamma^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes f^{-1} \gamma^{\mathcal{M}} : f^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \gamma^{-1}(f^* \mathcal{M}),$$

for $\gamma \in H \rtimes G$. This makes $f^* \mathcal{M}$ a $(H \rtimes G)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -module.

Invariants Functor. Next, we use the direct image functor $f_*: \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(\mathcal{D}_Y)$ of Section 2.7.1 to define a functor

$$(-)^H: \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y).$$

as follows. Suppose that $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X)$. Because $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of H on Y ,

$$H \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_k(f_*\mathcal{N}), \quad h \mapsto f_*h^{\mathcal{N}},$$

is a well-defined group homomorphism. We set

$$\mathcal{N}^H(U) := \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))^H,$$

which is a sheaf because taking H -invariants commutes with products and equalisers.

The sheaf $f_*\mathcal{N}$ is naturally a \mathcal{O}_Y -module via $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$. In fact, the action of $\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ on $\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))$ preserves $\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))^H$ because $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ has image \mathcal{O}_X^H and \mathcal{N} is a H - \mathcal{O}_X -module. From the \mathcal{D}_Y -module structure on $f_*\mathcal{N}$ we have

$$\Theta_Y \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(f_*\mathcal{N}),$$

and in fact this action preserves the subsheaf \mathcal{N}^H and induces:

$$\Theta_Y \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{End}}_k(\mathcal{N}^H).$$

Indeed, for any $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ with $V \subset U$ and $\partial \in \Theta_Y(U)$,

$$\partial_V|_{\mathcal{N}^H(V)}: \mathcal{N}^H(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(V)$$

factors as

$$\partial_V|_{\mathcal{N}^H(V)}: \mathcal{N}^H(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^H(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(V),$$

by Lemma 2.48. Using Lemma 2.21 this extends to give \mathcal{N}^H the structure of a \mathcal{D}_Y -module. For $g \in G$, because H is normal in $H \times G$, we have a well defined morphism of sheaves

$$g^{\mathcal{N}^H} := f_*g^{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{N}^H \rightarrow g^{-1}\mathcal{N}^H,$$

which gives \mathcal{N}^H the structure of a G - \mathcal{D}_Y -module. All that is left to do is to verify that \mathcal{N}^H is actually coherent.

Lemma 2.50. *If $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X)$, then \mathcal{N}^H is a coherent \mathcal{O}_Y -module.*

Proof. We need to show that for any pair $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ with $V \subset U$, the natural map

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(U)} \mathcal{N}^H(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^H(V)$$

is an isomorphism. To increase legibility, write $A := \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$ and $B := \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))$. With this notation, $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ identifies $\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ with A^H and $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ with B^H , and $A^H \rightarrow A$, $B^H \rightarrow B$ are Galois extensions with Galois group H .

We first claim that the natural map

$$(2) \quad B^H \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U) \rightarrow (B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U))^H$$

is an isomorphism. Note that $B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U)$ is a $B \rtimes H$ -module where H acts on the first factor, hence by [19, Thm. 1.3] the natural map

$$B \otimes_{B^H} (B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U))^H \rightarrow B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U)$$

is an isomorphism. Therefore if we apply $B \otimes_{B^H} -$ to (2), this forms part of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes_{B^H} (B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U))^H & \xrightarrow{\sim} & B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U) \\ \uparrow & \nearrow \sim & \\ B \otimes_{B^H} (B^H \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U)) & & \end{array}$$

and therefore (2) is an isomorphism, as $B^H \hookrightarrow B$ is faithfully flat [28, Lem. 1.9].

By Remark 2.30, $\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))$ is a module over the skew group ring $A \rtimes H$, and by [19, Thm. 1.3] the natural map

$$A \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))^H \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U)),$$

is an isomorphism. Tensoring with $B \otimes_A -$ we obtain

$$B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U))^H \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes_A \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U)).$$

Furthermore, because \mathcal{N} is coherent,

$$B \otimes_A \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(V))$$

is an isomorphism. Then finally we see that the map (2.10) is then an isomorphism, as it factors as the composition of isomorphisms,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(U)} \mathcal{N}^H(U) &\xrightarrow{\sim} B^H \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U), \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} (B \otimes_{A^H} \mathcal{N}^H(U))^H, \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} (B \otimes_A \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(U)))^H, \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(V))^H, \\ &= \mathcal{N}^H(V). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.51. *The functors,*

$$\begin{aligned} f^*: \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y) &\rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X), \\ (-)^H: \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X) &\rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y), \end{aligned}$$

are quasi-inverse equivalences of monoidal categories.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$ and $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{H \times G}(X)$. We define natural transformations

$$\phi: f^* \mathcal{N}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}, \quad \psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (f^* \mathcal{M})^H,$$

and show that they are isomorphisms. We first consider ϕ . Let $V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, $U := f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{B}_X$, and define $\phi|_U: f^* \mathcal{N}^H|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{M}|_U$ on global sections to be the natural multiplication map

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{N}(U)^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(U).$$

It is straightforward to check that this is $\mathcal{D}_X(U)$ -linear using our local description of the \mathcal{D} -module structure on $f^* \mathcal{N}$ of Section 2.7.1, and an isomorphism because $\mathcal{O}_Y(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a Galois extension of k -algebras [19, Thm. 1.3(d)]. For different choices of V , the morphisms $\phi|_U$ agree on their intersection, and thus glue to define a morphism of sheaves $\phi: f^* \mathcal{N}^H \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. Because $\{f^{-1}(V)\}_{V \in \mathcal{B}_Y}$ is an admissible open cover of X , ϕ is \mathcal{D}_X -linear. Similarly, to show that ϕ is $H \times G$ -linear, because ϕ is a morphism of coherent sheaves it is sufficient to show that for any $\gamma \in H \times G$ and $V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, $U = f^{-1}(V)$ as above, that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{N}(U)^H & \xrightarrow{\phi_U} & \mathcal{M}(U) \\ \gamma_U^{f^* \mathcal{N}^H} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_U^{\mathcal{M}} \\ \mathcal{O}_X(\gamma(U)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(\gamma(V))} \mathcal{N}(\gamma(U))^H & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\gamma(U)}} & \mathcal{M}(\gamma(U)) \end{array}$$

commutes, which follows directly from the definition of $\gamma^{f^* \mathcal{N}^H}$.

Now let us consider $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (f^* \mathcal{M})^H$. For any $V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, setting $U := f^{-1}(V)$ we define $\psi|_V: \mathcal{M}|_V \rightarrow (f^* \mathcal{M})^H|_V$ on global sections to be the natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{M}(V) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{M}(V))^H,$$

which is $\mathcal{D}_Y(V)$ -linear (again using our description of the \mathcal{D} -module structure on $f^* \mathcal{M}$ of Section 2.7.1), and glues to a well-defined morphism $\psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (f^* \mathcal{M})^H$. Each restriction ψ_V is an

isomorphism, because the H -action on M is trivial and B is projective over A , and therefore ψ is an isomorphism. To show that ψ is G -equivariant, it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(V) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{M}(V))^H \\ \downarrow \gamma^{\mathcal{M}} & & \downarrow \gamma^{(f^*\mathcal{M})^H} \\ \mathcal{M}(\gamma(V)) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{O}_X(\gamma(U)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(\gamma(V))} \mathcal{M}(\gamma(V)))^H \end{array}$$

which similarly follows directly from the definition of the morphism $\gamma^{(f^*\mathcal{M})^H}$. Finally, given $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$, the canonical \mathcal{O}_X -linear isomorphism

$$f^*\mathcal{V} \otimes f^*\mathcal{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} f^*(\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W})$$

can be checked to be $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -linear, using the explicit description of the \mathcal{D}_X -module structure on the inverse image, and therefore the equivalences are equivalences of monoidal categories. \square

Remark 2.52. If X is a scheme, then the same proof shows that the same equivalences hold when one more generally considers all quasi-coherent sheaves instead of just coherent sheaves.

3. THE SHEAF OF CONSTANT FUNCTIONS

In this section we work in the same the geometric framework of Section 2.7 and assume that k has characteristic zero and

- (A) X is a smooth scheme over k ($X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is smooth),
- (B) X is a smooth rigid space over k ($X \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is smooth [13, Def. 2.1]).

In this section we are interested in the following sheaf, known as the sheaf of constant functions, and how it relates to geometric connectivity.

Definition 3.1. Let $c_X := \ker(d: \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k})$.

This sheaf of k -algebras has been considered by Berkovich in the setting of Berkovich spaces [9, 10]. We can give a more explicit description of c_X on the basis \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 3.2. For any $U \in \mathcal{B}$,

$$c_X(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U)^{\Theta_X(U)=0} = \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \mid \partial(f) = 0 \text{ for all } \partial \in \Theta_X(U)\}.$$

In particular, c_X is the sheaf extension of the sheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{O}(U)^{\Theta_X(U)=0}$ on the basis \mathcal{B} .

Proof. For $U \in \mathcal{B}$ and $A := \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, we want to show that

$$\ker(d: A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/k}) = A^{\mathrm{Der}_k(A)},$$

where $\Omega_{A/k}$ has the same meaning in cases (A) and (B) as it does in Section 2.4. Composition with d induces an isomorphism,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Der}_k(A),$$

and so if $a \in \ker(d)$, then $a \in A^{\mathrm{Der}_k(A)}$. On the other hand, if $\partial(a) = 0$ for all $\partial \in \mathrm{Der}_k(A)$, then for any A -linear $f: \Omega_{A/k} \rightarrow A$, $f(d(a)) = 0$. Therefore $d(a) = 0$, which can be seen by picking a dual basis for the module $\Omega_{A/k}$, which is projective because X is smooth. \square

We also have a third description of c_X , which will be the most relevant for us.

Lemma 3.3. The isomorphism of sheaves of k -algebras,

$$\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

restricts to an isomorphism

$$c_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{End}}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that for any $U \in \mathcal{B}$, the isomorphism ϕ ,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X|_U}(\mathcal{O}_X|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$$

restricts to an isomorphism,

$$c_X(U) \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_X|_U}(\mathcal{O}_X|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_X(X)}(\mathcal{O}_X(U)).$$

Here we are using the equivalence of Proposition 2.40 in the case that G is trivial. Given $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, the corresponding $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -linear endomorphism ϕ_f of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is defined by $\phi_f(x) = fx$. For any $x \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $\partial \in \Theta_X(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(l_f(x)) &= \partial(fx), \\ &= f\partial(x) + x\partial(f), \\ &= \phi_f(\partial(x)) + x\partial(f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ϕ_f is $\mathcal{D}_X(X)$ -linear if and only if $\partial(f) = 0$ for all $\partial \in \Theta_X(U)$. \square

The sheaf c_X is a sheaf of k -algebras. The next lemma shows that for any admissible open subset U of X , $c_X(U)$ is always a product of finite field extensions of k .

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that $U \subset X$ is a connected admissible open subset of X . Then $c_X(U)$ is a finite field extension of k .*

Proof. If $U \in \mathcal{B}$, using the description of Lemma 3.2, then in either case (A) or (B) the proof of [3, Prop. 3.1.6] shows that $c_X(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U)^{\Theta_X(U)=0}$ is a finite field extension of k . Now suppose that U is any connected admissible open subset of X . Let \mathcal{V} be any open covering of U by connected elements of \mathcal{B} . In order to see that $c_X(U)$ is a field, suppose that $f \in c_X(U)$ with $f \neq 0$. Then there is some $V_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ with $f_0 := f|_{V_0} \neq 0$. For any element $V \in \mathcal{V}$ of the covering, there is some finite sequence $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$ with $V_k \cap V_{k+1} \neq \emptyset$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $V_n = V$. Setting $f_k := f|_{V_k}$, because each $c_X(V_k)$ is a field, the restriction maps $c_X(V_k) \rightarrow c_X(V_k \cap V_{k+1})$ are injective, and hence by induction $f|_V = f_n \neq 0$. Therefore $f \in c_X(U)$ is non-zero and has an inverse when restricted to any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, and therefore $f \in c_X(U)^\times$. Finally, because $c_X(U)$ is a field, $c_X(U) \rightarrow c_X(V)$ is injective for any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, and therefore $c_X(U)$ is also a finite extension of k . \square

We now relate the sheaf c_X the behaviour of how the connectivity of X changes under field extension.

Definition 3.5. We call X *geometrically connected* if for any finite extension L of k , $X \times_k L$ is connected.

Remark 3.6. If X is a scheme, then X is geometrically connected if and only if $X \times_k L$ is connected for any field extension L of k [43, Lem. 0389].

For rigid spaces, the base change functor is more subtle. When L is a finite extension of k , then just as for schemes $- \times_k L$ is defined as the fibre product functor $- \times_{\text{Sp}(k)} \text{Sp}(L)$ on the category of rigid spaces over k . When L/k is an infinite extension of complete fields, this definition no longer makes sense, as L is no longer a k -affinoid algebra. Nevertheless, one can still define a base change functor $- \times_k L$ for quasi-separated rigid spaces Y over k (see [12, §9.3.6] and [20, §3.1] for more details). In this case, it is shown in [20, §3.2] that (just like for schemes), Y is geometrically connected if and only if $Y \times_k L$ is connected for any complete field extension L of k . In particular, this holds for quasi-Stein Y [12, Prop. 9.6.1(7)].

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that $U \subset X$ is a geometrically connected admissible open subset of X . Then $c_X(U) = k$.*

Proof. Let L be the Galois closure of $c_X(U)$ over k in some fixed algebraic closure of $c_X(U)$. L is a finite extension of k by Lemma 3.4, and $c_X(U) \otimes_k L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k L = \mathcal{O}_{X_L}(U_L)$. Because L/k is Galois, $L \otimes_k L$ is isomorphic to the product of $[L : k]$ copies of $c_X(U)$, and thus if $[c_X(U) : k] > 1$, then $[L : k] > 1$, and this would yield non-trivial idempotents in $\mathcal{O}_{X_L}(U_L)$. \square

We would now like to show the converse. We first study how c_X behaves under base change.

Lemma 3.8. *Let L/k be finite field extension, and let X_L be the base change of X to L . Then*

$$\dim_k(c_X(X)) = \dim_L(c_{X_L}(X_L)).$$

Proof. If $\phi: X_L \rightarrow X$ is the projection, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\phi^* \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{X_L}.$$

Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X by elements of \mathcal{B}_X . For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, setting $A := \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, this isomorphism over $\phi^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{B}_{X_L}$ is given by the identity map

$$A \otimes_k L \xrightarrow{\sim} A_L = A \otimes_k L.$$

We first show that this induces an L -algebra isomorphism,

$$c_X(U) \otimes_k L \xrightarrow{\sim} c_{X_L}(\phi^{-1}(U)).$$

To show this, by Lemma 3.2, it is sufficient for us to show that the image of $A^{\text{Der}_k(A)} \otimes_k L$ is contained inside $A_L^{\text{Der}_L(A_L)}$, and the resulting map

$$(3) \quad A^{\text{Der}_k(A)} \otimes_k L \xrightarrow{\sim} A_L^{\text{Der}_L(A_L)}$$

is an isomorphism. There is a natural map,

$$(4) \quad \text{Der}_k(A) \otimes_k L \rightarrow \text{Der}_L(A_L)$$

defined by letting $\partial \otimes \lambda$ act on A_L by

$$(\partial \otimes \lambda)(a \otimes \mu) = \partial(a) \otimes \lambda\mu.$$

There is an isomorphism $\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_k L \cong \Omega_{A_L/L}$ ([13, Prop. 1.3], [43, Lem. 01V0]), and taking the dual we obtain the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}_k(A) \otimes_k L &\cong \text{Der}_k(A) \otimes_A A_L, \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k}, A) \otimes_A A_L, \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A/k} \otimes_A A_L, A_L), \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_A(\Omega_{A_L/L}, A_L), \\ &\cong \text{Der}_L(A_L), \end{aligned}$$

which one can check coincides with (4). Here we use that $\Omega_{A/k}$ is finitely generated projective to apply [14, Chapter II, §5, Prop. 7]. Therefore, (4) is an isomorphism, and as a consequence (3) is well defined: if $a \in A^{\text{Der}_k(A)}$ and $\mu \in L$, then for any $\partial \otimes \lambda \in \text{Der}_L(A_L)$,

$$(\partial \otimes \lambda)(a \otimes \mu) = \partial(a) \otimes \lambda\mu = 0.$$

By fixing a basis for L/k , it is also direct to check that the resulting map (3) is an isomorphism.

Now, we show that $\dim_k(c_X(X)) = \dim_L(c_{X_L}(X_L))$. For any $U_i, U_j \in \mathcal{U}$ let $\{W_{ij}\}_k$ be an admissible open covering of $U_i \cap U_j$. A choice of basis of L over k of size $n := [L : k]$, induces a natural isomorphism between the endofunctors $F := \text{res}_k^L(- \otimes_k L)$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n (-)$ of \mathbf{Vect}_k . Consequently, as products commute with limits, the functor F preserves limits. Additionally,

being a right adjoint, res_k^L preserves limits. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(c_X(X)) &\cong F\left(\text{eq}\left(\prod_i c_X(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j,k} c_X(W_{ijk})\right)\right), \\ &\cong \text{eq}\left(\prod_i F(c_X(U_i)) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j,k} F(c_X(W_{ijk}))\right), \\ &\cong \text{eq}\left(\prod_i \text{res}_k^L(c_{X_L}(\phi^{-1}(U_i))) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j,k} \text{res}_k^L(c_{X_L}(\phi^{-1}(W_{ijk})))\right), \\ &\cong \text{res}_k^L(c_{X_L}(X_L)). \end{aligned}$$

When we take the k -dimension of both sides, we obtain

$$\dim_k L \cdot \dim_k(c_X(X)) = \dim_k L \cdot \dim_L(c_{X_L}(X_L)),$$

and after dividing by $\dim_k L$ we arrive at the required equality. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Suppose that $U \subset X$ is a connected admissible open subset of X . Let L be the Galois closure of $c_X(U)$ over k in some fixed algebraic closure of $c_X(U)$. Then U_L is a disjoint union of $\dim_k c_X(U)$ geometrically connected components.*

In particular, if $c_X(U) = k$, then U is geometrically connected.

Proof. The space X_L has at least $\dim_k c_X(U)$ connected components U_i , arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.7, and each has $c_{X_L}(U_i) \supset L$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.8,

$$\dim_k c_X(U) = \dim_L(c_{X_L}(U_L)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim_k c_X(U)} \dim_L c_{X_L}(U_i) \geq \dim_k c_X(U),$$

and thus each $c_{X_L}(U_i) = L$. Therefore it is sufficient to show that if $c_X(U) = k$, then U is geometrically connected. If there was some finite extension K of k with U_K disconnected, then $c_{X_K}(U_K)$ contains at least $K \times K$, the K -span of each idempotent. However,

$$\dim_K c_{X_K}(U_K) = \dim_k c_X(U) = 1$$

by Lemma 3.8, a contradiction. \square

Remark 3.10. One can also prove Corollary 3.9 more geometrically, following the argument of [9, Lem. 8.1.4] and using Lemma 3.3, Lemma 2.49 and Proposition 2.51.

We can also be more precise about the value of c_X on connected admissible open subsets.

Corollary 3.11. *Suppose that $U \subset X$ is a connected admissible open subset of X . Then $c_X(U)$ is the unique maximal finite field extension of k contained in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$.*

Proof. Let L be any finite field extension of k contained in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$, and let $L \cdot c_X(U)$ be the k -algebra generated by L and $c_X(U)$. If $\{e_i\}$ is a basis of L and $\{f_j\}$ is a basis of $c_X(U)$ then this is generated as a k -vector space by $\{e_i f_j\}$. In particular, because $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is an integral domain [3, Lem. 3.1.5] and $c_X(U)$ is a finite field extension of k by Lemma 3.4, $L \cdot c_X(U)$ is a finite dimensional field extension of $c_X(U)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show that if L is a finite field extension of k containing $c_X(U)$, then $L = c_X(U)$. Suppose that $L \supset c_X(U)$ is such a field extension. By Lemma 3.8,

$$\dim_k c_X(U) = \dim_K c_{X_K}(U_K).$$

However, U_K has at least $\dim_k L$ connected components, which can be seen as in the proof of Corollary 3.7, and so the right hand side has K -dimension at least $\dim_k L$. Therefore,

$$\dim_k c_X(U) \geq \dim_k L,$$

and $c_X(U) = L$ as required. \square

Our collected facts about the sheaf c_X have the following interesting consequence.

Remark 3.12. Any connected space X which for which $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ contains a proper non-trivial finite field extension of k provides an “obvious” example of a connected but not geometrically connected space (cf. the proof of Corollary 3.7).

In fact, we now see that this is the only way that X can fail to be geometrically connected: if X is connected but not geometrically connected, then $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is a proper field extension of k , namely $c_X(X)$, by Corollary 3.9.

In the rigid case, case (B), the sheaf c_X can be very far from a constant sheaf. This is shown in the following example, due to Jérôme Poineau.

Example 3.13. Let $X = \mathbb{D} = \mathrm{Sp}(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle x \rangle)$ be the rigid analytic unit disk over \mathbb{Q}_p . Then \mathbb{D} is geometrically connected, but we can construct open subsets $U \subset \mathbb{D}$ which are connected but not geometrically connected, and in fact have $c_X(U)$ being an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of arbitrarily large degree. Indeed, let $w \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p} \setminus \mathbb{Q}_p$ with $|w| \leq 1$, and let $f(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of w over \mathbb{Q}_p . For $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$, we can consider the affinoid open subset

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{D} \mid |f(z)| \leq r\} \subset \mathbb{D}.$$

If L is a splitting field for $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q}_p , then for r small enough, $U_L \subset \mathbb{D}_L$ is the disjoint union of $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ closed disks. By Lemma 2.49, the Galois covering $U_L \rightarrow U$ over \mathbb{Q}_p restricts to an isomorphism from each connected component to U , and thus U is connected. Therefore U is connected but not geometrically connected, and in fact $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} c_X(U) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$ by Lemma 3.8.

4. THE FUNCTORS $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ AND $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$

In this section, we have the following running assumptions.

- (1) X is as in Section 2.7 with k of characteristic 0,
- (2) X has an action by a product of abstract groups $G \times H$,
- (3) There are no global non-trivial G -invariant constant functions: $c_X(X)^G = k$.

For example, both G and H could be trivial, or infinite. Assumption (3) is always satisfied whenever the connected components of X are geometrically connected and the action of G on the set of connected components is transitive.

Remark 4.1. In the case that G is trivial, then condition (3) is equivalent to the assumption that X is geometrically connected by Corollary 3.7 and Corollary 3.9.

We will make use of the following consequence of our assumptions on X and G .

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that X and G are as above. Then \mathcal{O}_X is irreducible as a $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X$ -module.*

Proof. First note that X is the disjoint union of its geometrically connected components. When X is a rigid space this follows by definition (see [20, §2.1]), and when X is a scheme this follows because X is locally noetherian. Furthermore, G acts transitively on the set of connected components of X . Indeed, if $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ were a G -stable disjoint union, then we would have $k \times k \subset c(X_1)^G \times c(X_2)^G \subset c_X(X)^G$. Suppose now that \mathcal{F} is a proper non-trivial $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X$ submodule of \mathcal{O}_X . Then there is some admissible open subset $U \subset X$ with $\mathcal{F}(U) \neq 0$, and so we may find some non-zero $x \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. As $x \neq 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and the connected components $\{X_i\}_i$ form an admissible open cover of X , there is some X_i with

$$0 \neq x|_{U \cap X_i} \in \mathcal{F}(U \cap X_i) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X_i}(U \cap X_i).$$

Therefore $\mathcal{F}|_{X_i}$ is a non-trivial \mathcal{D}_{X_i} -submodule of \mathcal{O}_{X_i} , and thus $\mathcal{F}|_{X_i} = \mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ by Lemma 2.35 because X_i is connected. By the transitivity of the G action on the set of connected components and the fact that $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is G -linear, then for any other connected component X_j we also have that $\mathcal{F}|_{X_j} = \mathcal{O}_{X_j}$. Therefore, as this is true for any X_j , $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$. \square

From the action of $G \times H$ on X , \mathcal{D}_X is a $G \times H$ -equivariant sheaf, and we can consider the category $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$ (see Section 2.8). In this section we define and study properties of a pair of functors between $\mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}}$ and $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$.

The Functor $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$. In one direction, we define,

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k - : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$$

by setting $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V$ to be the sheaf of \mathcal{D}_X -modules with

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V)(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k V$$

for any admissible open subset $U \subset X$, where $x \in \mathcal{D}_X(U)$ acts by

$$x \cdot (s \otimes v) := xs \otimes v.$$

This has a $G \times H$ -equivariant structure defined by

$$(g, h)^V := (g, h)^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes h(-) : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V \rightarrow (g, h)^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V),$$

and with this $G \times H$ -equivariant structure $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V$ is a $G \times H$ -equivariant sheaf of \mathcal{D}_X -modules. Given a $k[H]$ -module homomorphism $V \rightarrow W$, the induced morphism

$$1 \otimes f : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k W$$

is defined in the obvious manner. It is furthermore direct to verify that the functor $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is monoidal.

The Functor $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$. In the other direction, we have the *solution functor*,

$$\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -) : \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}}.$$

Here, for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$, H acts on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ as in Remark 2.31, and this restricts to an action of H on $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ because the actions of G and H commute.

We first show that $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ is well-defined: that if \mathcal{M} is a $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -module which is coherent as an \mathcal{O}_X -module, then $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ is finite dimensional as a k -vector space. This is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that X, G and H are as described at the start of this section, and that $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$. Then the natural map*

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -linear and injective. In particular, $\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{M})$.

Proof. The $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -linearity is direct to verify from the definitions. For the injectivity, we proceed as follows. Suppose that $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})$ are k -linearly independent, and define $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathcal{M}(X)^G$ by $e_i := f_i(1_X)$. These are also k -linearly independent by [3, Lem. 3.1.4]. Because \mathcal{O}_X is irreducible as a $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X$ -module by Lemma 4.2, it is sufficient for us to show that the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

is direct. We prove this by induction on $k \geq 1$. When $k = 1$ this is trivially true, so suppose that the statement is true for some fixed $k \geq 1$, and consider

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_i.$$

After rearranging the factors if necessary, it is sufficient to show that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_i \right) \cap \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_{k+1} = 0.$$

If this intersection were non-zero, then

$$\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_i \right) \cap \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_{k+1} = \mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_{k+1},$$

by the irreducibility of $\mathcal{O}_X \cdot e_{k+1}$ (Lemma 4.2). We can therefore write

$$e_{k+1} = \lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k e_k$$

for unique $\lambda_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$. We have that for any admissible open subset U and $\partial \in \Theta_X(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial(e_{k+1}) = \partial(\lambda_1 e_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k e_k), \\ &= \partial(\lambda_1) e_1 + \cdots + \partial(\lambda_k) e_k, \end{aligned}$$

in $\mathcal{M}(U)$, and therefore as the sum is direct, $\partial(\lambda_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, as this holds for each admissible open $U \in \mathcal{B}$, each λ_i is a global section of the sheaf $c_X(X)$ by Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, each $\lambda_i \in c_X(X)^G = k$ because each $e_i \in \mathcal{M}(X)^G$. However this is a contradiction, as we know that e_1, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1} are linearly independent over k . \square

We are interested in spaces which satisfy the following condition.

Definition 4.4. We say that X satisfies $(*)$ if

There is an admissible open covering \mathcal{U} of X by elements
of \mathcal{B}' such that $c_X(U)^{G_U} = k$ for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

Here G_U is the stabiliser of U in G .

Remark 4.5. Suppose for simplicity that G is trivial, and so X is geometrically connected, and the above condition is that there is an admissible open covering by geometrically connected elements of \mathcal{B}' . Example 3.13 shows that the existence of a such a covering as in $(*)$ is not obvious for a general geometrically connected rigid space. By passing to an connected open subset the value of the sheaf c_X can only get larger, hence a covering as in $(*)$ is middle ground between two opposing constraints: we need elements of the covering to be large enough that so that c_X takes value k , but small enough that they are still in \mathcal{B} .

Nevertheless, we can always find such a covering in the following examples.

Lemma 4.6. *The space X satisfies $(*)$ in any of the following cases:*

- k is algebraically closed,
- X is rigid and each connected component Y of X is quasi-Stein with $c_X(Y)^{G_Y} = k$,
- X is a scheme and each connected component of X is geometrically connected.

Proof. If k is algebraically closed then c_X is simply the constant sheaf \underline{k} any admissible open covering by connected elements of \mathcal{B} will suffice. In the second case we can take \mathcal{U} to be the set of connected components of X . If X is a scheme with geometrically connected components, then it is sufficient for us to find such a covering for each connected component, so let us assume that X is geometrically connected. Then because X is smooth, X is geometrically normal, and hence geometrically irreducible. Therefore, any connected open subset is also geometrically irreducible, hence c_X is the constant sheaf \underline{k} and any open cover by connected affine open subsets will suffice. \square

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that X, G and H are as described at the start of this section. Then:*

- (1) *The monoidal functor*

$$\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k - : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$$

is exact and fully faithful.

- (2) *The essential image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is the full subcategory with objects those \mathcal{M} which satisfy*

$$\dim_k(\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{M}),$$

and on this subcategory $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$ is a quasi-inverse for $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$.

- (3) *If X satisfies $(*)$ then the essential image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is closed under sub-quotients.*

Proof. To prove point (1), first note that the functor $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is clearly exact and faithful. Let \mathcal{U} be an admissible open covering by elements of \mathcal{B} . Given any $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -linear morphism

$$f: \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k W,$$

then for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $v \in V$ and $\partial \in \Theta_U(U)$,

$$\partial(1_U \otimes v) = \partial(1_U) \otimes v = 0,$$

and hence

$$f_U(1_U \otimes v) \in (\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k W)^{\Theta_U(U)=0} = c_X(U) \otimes_k W,$$

by Lemma 3.2 so

$$f_X(1_X \otimes v) \in c_X(X) \otimes_k W.$$

Now because f is a morphism of G -equivariant sheaves,

$$f_X(1_X \otimes v) \in c_X(X)^G \otimes_k W = k \otimes_k W,$$

which allows us to define a morphism $\lambda: V \rightarrow W$ uniquely determined by the property that

$$f_X(1_X \otimes v) = 1 \otimes \lambda(v).$$

Because f is H - \mathcal{D}_X -linear, λ is $k[H]$ -linear, and $f = 1 \otimes \lambda$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ is full.

For point (2), by Lemma 4.3 we have an $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -linear injection

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

for any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X)$, and by taking the quotient we can extend this to a short exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \rightarrow 0.$$

The quotient \mathcal{N} is coherent as an \mathcal{O}_X -module, and therefore by Lemma 2.36, \mathcal{N} is in fact locally free. Therefore, if $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M})) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{M})$, then $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ and thus $\mathcal{N} = 0$, so (5) is an isomorphism. Consequently, if $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{M})$ then \mathcal{M} is in the essential image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$ provides a right quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ on this full subcategory.

On the other hand, if $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V$ is in the image of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$, then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k k, \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V) = V,$$

which follows from the fully faithfulness of $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$ (part (1)) in the case that H is trivial. Therefore, any \mathcal{M} in the essential image satisfies $\dim_k \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{M})$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, -)$ provides a left quasi-inverse to $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$.

For point (3), suppose now that X satisfies (*). We would like to show that the essential image is closed under sub-quotients. Because the functor is exact and full, it is sufficient to show that the essential image is closed under sub-objects. Given $V \in \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}}$, suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V$ is a coherent $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -submodule. Define

$$W := \{v \in V \mid s \otimes v \in \mathcal{F}(U) \text{ for any open } U \subset X, s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)\}.$$

We first show that W is a $k[H]$ -submodule of V . Indeed, suppose that $v \in W$, U is an open subset of X , $h \in H$, and $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. In order to show that $s \otimes h \cdot v \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, define

$$t := (h^{-1})_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(s) \in \mathcal{F}(h^{-1}(U)).$$

Because $v \in W$, $t \otimes v \in \mathcal{F}(h^{-1}(U))$, and because \mathcal{F} is a H - \mathcal{D}_X -submodule $h^V(t \otimes v) \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, and thus $h \cdot v \in W$ because

$$s \otimes h \cdot v = h_{h^{-1}(U)}^{\mathcal{O}_X}(t) \otimes h \cdot v = h^V(t \otimes v) \in \mathcal{F}(U).$$

We now claim that

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k W.$$

By definition $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$. Let \mathcal{U} be an admissible open covering of X as given by condition (*). Because \mathcal{F} is coherent it is sufficient to show that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$$

is surjective. Therefore, suppose that $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and

$$m = \sum_i s_i \otimes v_i \in \mathcal{F}(U) \subset \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k V,$$

is any element of $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the elements $\{s_i\}_i$ are k -linearly independent, by choosing a basis of the k -span of $\{s_i\}_i$. Now $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}_X(U) \rtimes G_U$ -module by Proposition 2.40 and Lemma 2.35. Furthermore

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{D}_X(U) \rtimes G_U}(\mathcal{O}_X(U)) = c_X(U)^{G_U} = k$$

by Lemma 3.3 and the condition (*), and so for any index j we may apply the Jacobson Density Theorem to assert the existence of some $x_j \in \mathcal{D}_X(U) \rtimes G_U$ such that x_j acts on the k -span of $\{s_i\}_i$ by the projection to the basis element s_j . Therefore for all j ,

$$x_j \left(\sum_i s_i \otimes v_i \right) = s_j \otimes v_j \in \mathcal{F}(U),$$

thus $1 \otimes v_j \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, using again that $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a simple $\mathcal{D}_X(U) \rtimes G_U$ -module and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_X(U) \rtimes G_U$ only acts on the first factor. But then $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \langle v_j \rangle \subset \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 4.2, as $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X$ only acts on the first factor. Therefore, each $v_j \in W$, and thus $m \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k W$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k W \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is surjective. \square

4.1. $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ as a Tannakian Category. In this section, let X and G be as described at the start of Section 4, with H taken to be trivial. We also further assume that X has a k -rational point $z \in X(k)$. As described in Section 2.8 $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ has a natural tensor structure and as such is a rigid abelian tensor category. An identity object of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ (in the sense of [22]) is given by \mathcal{O}_X , and by our assumption that $c_X(X)^G = k$ and Lemma 3.3, $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{O}_X) = k$ in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$. Furthermore, the k -rational point $z \in X(k)$ allows us to define a fibre functor

$$\omega_z: \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}_k, \quad \omega_z: \mathcal{V} \mapsto \mathcal{V}(z) := \mathcal{V}_z \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,z}} k(z),$$

which is exact because the sheaves in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ are locally free. By [22, Prop. 1.19], ω_z is faithful, and thus $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is in this way a neutral Tannakian category [22, Prop. 1.20].

Lemma 4.8. *The Krull-Remak-Schmidt Theorem holds in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$: any non-zero element $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ can be written as*

$$\mathcal{V} \cong \mathcal{V}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{V}_n,$$

and any such description is unique up to isomorphism and permutation.

Proof. This follows from [4, Thm. 1], using [4, §3 Cor.] and the fact that $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$ is finite dimensional over k for any $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ because ω_z is faithful. \square

4.2. Finite Equivariant Vector Bundles with Connection. Let X and G be as in Section 4.1. In this section we consider the full (rigid tensor) subcategory

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\mathrm{fin}} \subset \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$$

of G -equivariant vector bundles with connection on X which are *finite*. This is a notion that was introduced by André Weil [46] in the context of vector bundles on complex projective varieties, who showed that the pushforward of the structure sheaf along a finite étale morphism is a finite vector bundle. The converse was shown by Nori for proper integral schemes over a field with a rational point [37]. In this section we prove the corresponding version of their results in our context, for the category $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$. The idea to remove the properness assumption and replace this by the extra data of an integrable connection was first considered by Esnault and Hai [25]. Our method of proof is most similar in style to the approach of Biswas and O’Sullivan [11], who prove the analogue of Nori’s result when X is a complex analytic space and G is a complex Lie group.

Definition 4.9. For $f = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, we define

$$f(\mathcal{V}) := \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{V}^{\otimes n})^{\oplus a_n} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X).$$

Definition 4.10. $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is called *finite* if there exist $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$ with $f \neq g$ and $f(\mathcal{V}) \cong g(\mathcal{V})$. We write $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\text{fin}}$ for the full subcategory of finite vector bundles.

Definition 4.11. For $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, we define $I(\mathcal{V})$ to be the set of indecomposable direct summands of \mathcal{V} in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, and

$$S(\mathcal{V}) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} I(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes k}).$$

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$. Then:*

- $I(\mathcal{V})$ is a finite set,
- $S(\mathcal{V})$ is a finite set if and only if \mathcal{V} is finite.

In particular, if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is a line bundle, then \mathcal{L} is finite if and only if \mathcal{L} is torsion.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$. That $I(\mathcal{V})$ is finite is a direct consequence of the Krull-Remak-Schmidt Theorem (Lemma 4.8 above). For the second point we follow the proof of [44, Prop. 6.7.4], which we include for the readers convenience. Suppose that $S(\mathcal{V})$ is finite, and consider the free abelian group A generated by the isomorphism classes $[\mathcal{W}]$ of indecomposable objects of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, with subgroup $A(\mathcal{V})$ generated by the set $S(\mathcal{V})$. There is a well defined \mathbb{Z} -linear map $m_{\mathcal{V}}: A \rightarrow A$ defined on each generator $[\mathcal{W}]$ to be

$$m_{\mathcal{V}}([\mathcal{W}]) := [\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W}] := [\mathcal{W}_1] + \cdots + [\mathcal{W}_n],$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{W}_n$$

is the unique decomposition into indecomposable objects of Lemma 4.8, and this linear map preserves $A(\mathcal{V})$. By the assumption that $S(\mathcal{V})$ is finite, $A(\mathcal{V})$ is a finitely generated free abelian group, and we may therefore consider the characteristic polynomial $\chi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of $m_{\mathcal{V}}$, which is monic and satisfies $\chi(m_{\mathcal{V}}) = 0$. If we write $\chi = f - g$, where $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$, then applying $\chi(m_{\mathcal{V}})$ to $[\mathcal{O}_X]$ we see that $f(\mathcal{V}) \cong g(\mathcal{V})$.

Conversely, suppose that we have $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[x]$ with $f \neq g$, and that $f(\mathcal{V}) \cong g(\mathcal{V})$. If $d > 0$ is the degree of $f - g$, then by the uniqueness of Lemma 4.8 we may write any element of $I(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes d})$ as a sum of elements of $I(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes k})$ for $k < d$. Similarly, for any $i \geq 0$, using the isomorphism $(x^i f)(\mathcal{V}) \cong (x^i g)(\mathcal{V})$, we can write, for any $m \geq d$, $\mathcal{V}^{\otimes m}$ as the sum of elements of $I(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes k})$ for $k < d$, and thus

$$S(\mathcal{V}) \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{d-1} I(\mathcal{V}^{\otimes k})$$

and thus $S(\mathcal{V})$ is finite. For the final claim, note that if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ is a line bundle then $S(\mathcal{L}) = \{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}\}_{k \geq 0}$, and so \mathcal{L} is finite if and only if \mathcal{L} is finite order. \square

Corollary 4.13. *The subcategory $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\text{fin}}$ is closed under duals, direct sums, direct summands, and tensor products.*

Proposition 4.14. *Suppose that $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is a G -equivariant finite étale Galois covering with Galois group H , and that the actions of G and H commute. Then $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\text{fin}}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} := f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$. Writing H for the Galois group of $Z \rightarrow X$, the Galois isomorphism

$$Z \times H \xrightarrow{\sim} Z \times_X Z$$

induces an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ with the direct sum of $|H|$ copies of \mathcal{A} in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, this isomorphism being G -equivariant because the actions of G and H commute. Therefore, $I(\mathcal{A}^{\otimes k}) \subset I(\mathcal{A})$ for all $k \geq 1$, and thus \mathcal{A} is finite by Lemma 4.12. \square

Now we would like to show the converse. In the following we will make use of the following notion. For a set \mathcal{S} of objects of a rigid abelian tensor category \mathcal{C} , we write $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S})$ for the full

subcategory of \mathcal{C} with objects V/W for pairs of objects V, W of \mathcal{C} with

$$W \subset V \subset \bigoplus_{i=1}^k S_i$$

for some $k \geq 1$ and $S_1, \dots, S_k \in \mathcal{S}$. This is easily checked to be an abelian subcategory of \mathcal{C} , which is rigid whenever S is closed under duality. This is further a tensor subcategory of \mathcal{C} whenever the tensor product of any two objects of S is a sub-object of a direct sum of elements of S .

Proposition 4.15. *Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\text{fin}}$ and k is algebraically closed. Then there is some G -equivariant finite étale Galois covering $f: Z \rightarrow X$ such that the action of G commutes with the Galois action and \mathcal{V} is a direct summand of $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)_{\text{fin}}$, and write \mathcal{C} for the full abelian subcategory $\mathcal{C}(S(\mathcal{V}) \cup S(\mathcal{V}^\times))$ of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$ defined above. The set $S(\mathcal{V}) \cup S(\mathcal{V}^\times)$ is closed under duality and the tensor product of any two objects of S is a sub-object of a direct sum of elements of S because \mathcal{V} is finite, hence \mathcal{C} is a rigid abelian tensor subcategory. In particular, with the fibre functor ω_z , \mathcal{C} is a neutral Tannakian category in its own right. Therefore, by [22, Thm. 2.11], there is an equivalence of categories

$$F: \mathbf{Rep}_k(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

for some affine group scheme H over k , which is finite because every object of \mathcal{C} is a sub-quotient of X , the direct sum of all elements of $S(\mathcal{V}) \cup S(\mathcal{V}^\times)$ [22, Prop. 2.20(a)]. Note further, that because k has characteristic 0 and is algebraically closed, H is a constant group. Because \mathcal{V} is in the essential image of F , the full-subcategory of sub-objects of direct sums of objects of $\mathcal{A} := F(\mathcal{O}(H))$, it is sufficient for us to show that there is a G -equivariant finite étale Galois covering $f: Z \rightarrow X$ such that $\mathcal{A} = f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$. We do so as follows. First, interpreting $\mathbf{Rep}_k(\mathcal{O}(H))$ as the category of finite dimensional $\mathcal{O}(H)$ -comodules, we note that the algebra multiplication

$$m: \mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)$$

is an $\mathcal{O}(H)$ -comodule homomorphism, and therefore we may apply F to obtain

$$F(m): \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A},$$

which gives \mathcal{A} the structure of a finite sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras. We may therefore define $f: Z \rightarrow X$ to be the unique finite covering corresponding to \mathcal{A} with $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{A}$. From the G -equivariant structure on $\mathcal{A} = F(\mathcal{O}(H))$, as $F(m)$ is a morphism of G -equivariant sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules we obtain an action of the abstract group G on Z for which the morphism $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is G -equivariant. We further define an action of H on Z as follows. For any $h \in H$, we have the $\mathcal{O}(H)$ -comodule homomorphism

$$r_h: \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H), \quad l_h(\phi) = \phi(-h),$$

which over all H defines a group homomorphism $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\text{Comod}}(\mathcal{O}(H))$. Therefore, we obtain a left action of H on \mathcal{A} by letting $h \in H$ act by $F(r_h)$. Because each r_h is furthermore a k -algebra homomorphism of $\mathcal{O}(H)$, this action gives an action of $H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Z)$ on the scheme Z , for which the morphism $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of H on X . To check that this is finite étale Galois, first note that the morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z^H$ is an isomorphism because this is the image of the morphism $k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)^H$ which is an isomorphism. The morphism

$$p_Z \times a: Z \times H \rightarrow Z \times_X Z$$

corresponds to the morphism

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H)$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$, which is an isomorphism precisely because

$$\mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H), \quad \phi \otimes \psi \mapsto \sum_{h \in H} \phi\psi(-h) \otimes \delta_h$$

is an isomorphism. Finally, the fact that $f: Z \rightarrow X$ is finite étale follows from the fact that p_Z is an isomorphism as, working locally with $U \in \mathcal{B}_X$, the Galois extension of commutative k -algebras $\mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z(f^{-1}(U))$ is automatically finite étale by [19, Thm. 1.3(a)]. \square

5. \mathcal{D} -MODULES AND GALOIS COVERINGS

In this section we prove our main results concerning Galois coverings and \mathcal{D} -modules, Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3. We specialise our geometric framework of the previous sections further and suppose that $\text{char}(k) = 0$, G , H and N are groups, with $N \triangleleft H$, making H the semi-direct product $H = N \rtimes H/N$,

- (A) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering of smooth schemes over k with Galois group N ,
- (B) $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a Galois covering of smooth rigid spaces over k with Galois group N ,

and X and Y have an action of the abstract group $G \times H$ which extends the action of N and for which $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is equivariant.

Because $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is Galois, we have the following commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X \times \underline{N} & \xrightarrow{p_X \times a} & X \times_Y X & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \\ & \searrow p_X & \downarrow p_1 & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ & & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \underline{N} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X \\ p_X \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow f \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

is a pullback, where $\phi := p_2 \circ (p_X \times a)$. The natural morphism

$$f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_{X,*} \phi^* \mathcal{O}_X$$

of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules is an isomorphism because f is finite [27, Cor. 12.7]. Writing

$$X \times \underline{N} = \bigsqcup_{h \in N} X^{(h)},$$

as the disjoint union of copies of X indexed by N , then there is a canonical identification of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$p_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times \underline{N}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(N),$$

where for an admissible open subset $U \subset X$,

$$(p_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{X \times \underline{N}})(U) = \prod_{h \in N} \mathcal{O}_{X^{(h)}}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(N), \quad (s_h)_{h \in N} \mapsto \sum_{h \in N} s_h \otimes \delta_h.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(N)$ has a natural structure as a $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -module from the functor $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -$, where we view $\mathcal{O}(N)$ as a $k[H]$ -module via $(h * \phi)(x) := \phi(h^{-1}x)$ for $h \in N$, and $(h * \phi)(x) := \phi(h^{-1}xh)$ for $h \in H/N$. The direct image $f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is a $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -module as described in the construction of the invariant functor of Section 2.10. This is furthermore coherent as $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is finite, and therefore we can naturally consider $f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X$ as a $(N \rtimes (G \times H/N))$ - \mathcal{D}_X -module through the equivalence of Section 2.10.

Lemma 5.1. *The isomorphism*

$$f^* f_* \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(N)$$

is an isomorphism of $(G \times H)$ - \mathcal{D}_X -modules.

Proof. We first show this is a morphism of H - \mathcal{D}_X -modules. For any $V \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ and $U := f^{-1}(V) \subset X$, then this morphism is given above U by the morphism

$$\beta: B \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H), \quad \beta(x \otimes y) = \sum_{h \in H} x(h \cdot y) \otimes \delta_h,$$

of the Galois extension of commutative k -algebras $A := \mathcal{O}_Y(V) \hookrightarrow B := \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. It is sufficient to show that β is a morphism of $\mathcal{D}(B) \rtimes H$ -modules. For any $h \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(h \cdot (x \otimes y)) &= \beta(h(x) \otimes y), \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x)g(y) \otimes \delta_g, \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x(h^{-1}g \cdot y)) \otimes \delta_g, \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x(h^{-1}g \cdot y)) \otimes h * \delta_{h^{-1}g}, \\ &= h \cdot \beta(x \otimes y), \end{aligned}$$

and for any $h \in H/N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(h \cdot (x \otimes y)) &= \beta(h(x) \otimes h(y)), \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x)g(h(y)) \otimes \delta_g, \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x(h^{-1}gh \cdot y)) \otimes \delta_g, \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x(g \cdot y)) \otimes \delta_{hgh^{-1}}, \\ &= \sum_{g \in N} h(x(g \cdot y)) \otimes h * \delta_g, \\ &= h \cdot \beta(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore β is a H -equivariant. Now to show that β is $\mathcal{D}(B)$ -equivariant, it suffices by Lemma 2.11 to show that for any $b \in B$ and $\partial \in \text{Der}_k(A)$, that β commutes with the action of $b\partial$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(b\partial \cdot (x \otimes y)) &= \beta(b\partial(x) \otimes y + bx \otimes \partial(y)), \\ &= \sum_{h \in N} b(\partial(x)h(y) + xh(\partial(y))) \otimes \delta_h, \\ &= \sum_{h \in N} b(\partial(x)h(y) + x\partial(h(y))) \otimes \delta_h, \\ &= \sum_{h \in N} b\partial(xh(y)) \otimes \delta_h, \\ &= b\partial \cdot \beta(x \otimes y). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that the action of N and $\text{Der}_k(A)$ on B commute by Lemma 2.48. For the G -equivariance, let $g \in G$, and consider the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{O}_X(U) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H) \\ \downarrow g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} & & \downarrow g_U^{f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X} & & \downarrow g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H)} = g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes 1 \\ \mathcal{O}_X(g(U)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(g(V))} \mathcal{O}_X(g(U)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & (f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(g(U)) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathcal{O}_X(g(U)) \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H) \end{array}$$

The left hand square commutes by the definition of the G -equivariant structure on $f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, and because $\{f^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{B}_Y\}$ is an affinoid cover of X and the morphism is between coherent

sheaves, it is sufficient to show that the outer square commutes. For this, given $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ we can compute,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes 1)(\beta(x \otimes y)) &= \sum_{h \in N} g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(x(h \cdot y)) \otimes \delta_h, \\ &= \sum_{h \in N} g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(x)(h \cdot g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(y)) \otimes \delta_h, \\ &= \beta(g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(x) \otimes g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X}(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $g_U^{\mathcal{O}_X} : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(g(U))$ is a ring homomorphism and that the actions of G and N on X commute. \square

For the next theorem we will use that, viewing $\mathcal{O}(N)$ as a $k[H]$ -module as above,

$$k[N] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N), \quad h \mapsto \delta_h$$

is an isomorphism of $k[H]$ -modules, where we view $k[N]$ as a $k[H]$ via left multiplication by N and $h \in H/N$ acts on $n \in N$ by $h * n = hnh^{-1}$. Now

$$k[N] \rightarrow k[N]^{\text{op}}, \quad h \mapsto h^{-1},$$

an isomorphism of k -algebras and in particular,

$$k[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} k[N]^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{k[N]}(k[N]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{k[N]}(\mathcal{O}(N)).$$

Explicitly, if we compose this with the natural inclusion,

$$k[N] \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{k[N]}(\mathcal{O}(N)) \hookrightarrow \text{End}_k(\mathcal{O}(N)),$$

this is the $k[N]$ -module structure $(h \star f)(x) = f(xh)$ on $\mathcal{O}(N)$. Furthermore, it is direct to verify that this induces an isomorphism between the subrings

$$k[N]^{H/N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{k[H]}(\mathcal{O}(N)).$$

Theorem 5.2. *Suppose that $c_X(X)^G = k$. Then:*

(1) *The functor*

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^N : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H/N}(Y),$$

is exact and fully faithful.

(2) *For any $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H/N}(Y)$,*

$$\text{Hom}_{(G \times H) - \mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X, f^* \mathcal{M}) \leq \text{rank}_Y(\mathcal{M}),$$

and \mathcal{M} is in the essential image of $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^H$ if and only if this is an equality.

(3) *The regular representation $\mathcal{O}(N)$ is sent to the $(G \times H/N) - \mathcal{D}_Y$ -module $f_* \mathcal{O}_X$.*

(4) *The natural map,*

$$k[N]^{H/N} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(G \times H/N) - \mathcal{D}_Y}(f_* \mathcal{O}_X),$$

is an isomorphism.

(5) *If $H = N$, then $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k V)^N \in \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)_{\text{fin}}$ for any $V \in \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}}$.*

If furthermore X satisfies (), then:*

(6) *The essential image is closed under sub-quotients.*

(7) *If $H = N$, then the essential image can also be described as the full subcategory of objects which admit a $G - \mathcal{D}_Y$ -linear embedding into $(f_* \mathcal{O}_X)^{\oplus n}$ for some $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. The functor $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^N$ is the composition

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H}(X) = \mathbf{VectCon}^{N \times (G \times H/N)}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H/N}(Y),$$

of the functors of Theorem 4.7 and Proposition 2.51 and therefore is exact, fully faithful, and when X satisfies (*) the essential image is closed under sub-quotients. This gives statements (1), (6) and (7). Statement (2) is simply a restatement of Theorem 4.7(2), noting that $\text{rank}_Y(\mathcal{M}) = \text{rank}_X(f^* \mathcal{M})$.

For (3) and (4), the equivalence of categories of Proposition 2.51 provides an isomorphism of $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X)^N,$$

and composing with $(-)^N$ applied to the isomorphism of Lemma 5.1,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(H))^N$$

is an isomorphism of $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules.

There is an action of N on $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ with commutes with the action of \mathcal{D}_Y on $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as explained in the construction of the functor $(-)^N$, and thus we have a natural map,

$$k[N]^{H/N} \rightarrow \text{End}_{(G \times H/N)\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X).$$

This is an isomorphism, as it forms part of the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[N]^{H/N} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{End}_{k[H]}(\mathcal{O}(N)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ \text{End}_{(G \times H/N)\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{End}_{(G \times H)\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(f^*f_*\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{End}_{(G \times H)\text{-}\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \mathcal{O}(N)) \end{array}$$

by the above discussion. Statement (5) follows directly from Lemma 4.14. \square

For each $\rho \in \text{Irr}(N)$, we let

$$e_\rho = \frac{\dim(\rho)}{d_\rho \cdot |N|} \sum_{h \in N} \chi_\rho(h^{-1})h \in k[N],$$

be the corresponding central primitive idempotent, where

$$d_\rho := \dim_k \text{End}_{k[N]}(\rho).$$

From Theorem 5.2, taking $H = N$ we have the following explicit decomposition of $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as an object of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$.

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that $c_X(X)^G = k$ and X satisfies (*). Then:*

- (1) $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a semisimple object of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$, with isotypic decomposition,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr}(N)} e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X.$$

- (2) For any $\rho \in \text{Irr}(N)$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between decompositions of e_ρ as a sum of primitive orthogonal idempotents in $e_\rho \cdot k[N]$ and decompositions of $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ as a direct sum of irreducible objects of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$. Here we send,

$$e_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(\rho)/d_\rho} e_i \quad \mapsto \quad e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim(\rho)/d_\rho} e_i \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X.$$

- (3) In particular, $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ has length $\dim(\rho)/d_\rho$ in $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$.
 (4) For any irreducible \mathcal{O}_X -coherent G - \mathcal{D}_Y -submodule \mathcal{M} of $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, $\mathcal{M} = e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ for some primitive idempotent e of $e_\rho \cdot k[N]$, the natural k -algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} e \cdot k[N] \cdot e &\rightarrow \text{End}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X), \\ e_\rho \cdot k[N] &\rightarrow \text{End}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X), \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms, and

- (5) $e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ has rank $\dim(\rho)$ as a vector bundle over Y .

Proof. Because the idempotents e_ρ are orthogonal and sum to 1, we have the decomposition,

$$(6) \quad f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{\rho \in \text{Irr}(N)} e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X.$$

Because of the isomorphism,

$$(7) \quad k[N] \rightarrow \text{End}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X),$$

the natural map,

$$e_\rho \cdot k[N] \rightarrow \text{End}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X),$$

is an isomorphism, because e_ρ is a central idempotent. Therefore, irreducible \mathcal{O}_X -coherent $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ submodules of $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ are exactly the $e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ for $e \in e_\rho \cdot k[N]$ a primitive idempotent, and for such an element e the natural map,

$$e \cdot k[N] \cdot e \rightarrow \text{End}_{G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y}(e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X),$$

is an isomorphism. From the isomorphism (7) and the fact that the idempotents e_ρ are orthogonal, there are no non-zero homomorphisms between the factors of the decomposition (6). In order to see that e_ρ is a direct sum of isomorphic irreducible \mathcal{O}_X -coherent $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules, take any decomposition,

$$e_\rho = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(\rho)/d_\rho} e_i,$$

in $e_\rho \cdot k[N]$ into primitive idempotents. Now for all $1 \leq i, j \leq \dim(\rho)$ the right $k[N]$ -modules $e_i k[N]$ and $e_j k[N]$ are isomorphic, and so there are $u_{ij} \in (e_\rho \cdot k[N])^\times$ with,

$$u_{ij} e_i = e_j u_{ij},$$

which we may assume satisfy $u_{ij} = u_{ji}^{-1}$. We can define maps,

$$\phi_{ij} : e_i \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow e_j \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X, \quad \phi_{ij}(e_i b) := u_{ij} e_i b = e_j u_{ij} b,$$

which are homomorphisms of $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules as the action of $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ commutes with the action of N , and further are isomorphisms because,

$$(\phi_{ji} \circ \phi_{ij})(e_i b) = u_{ji} u_{ij} e_i b = e_\rho e_i b = e_i b.$$

Therefore, the decomposition,

$$e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim(\rho)/d_\rho} e_i \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X,$$

has isomorphic factors, and thus the decomposition (6) is isotypical. On the other hand, because of the isomorphism (7) any direct sum decomposition of $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ into irreducible submodules corresponds to a decomposition of e_ρ into primitive orthogonal idempotents in $k[N]$. Finally, in the manner described before Theorem 5.2, $\mathcal{O}(N)$ is isomorphic to the regular representation, and if we write $\tilde{\rho}$ for the dual representation of ρ , then $e_\rho \star \mathcal{O}(N) = e_{\tilde{\rho}} \cdot \mathcal{O}(N)$, which has dimension $\dim(\tilde{\rho})^2 = \dim(\rho)^2$. Now $e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is the direct sum of $\dim(\rho)/d_\rho$ $G\text{-}\mathcal{D}_Y$ -modules each isomorphic to $e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ and thus,

$$(\dim(\rho)/d_\rho) \text{rank}_Y(e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \text{rank}_Y(e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X),$$

and,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_Y(e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X) &= \text{rank}_X(f^*(e_\rho \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X)), \\ &= \text{rank}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k e_\rho \star \mathcal{O}(N)), \\ &= \dim(\rho)^2/d_\rho, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{rank}_Y(e \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \dim(\rho)$. □

Remark 5.4. The condition that $c_X(X)^G = k$ is in general necessary for Theorem 4.7, Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.3. For example, suppose that G is trivial and $H = N$, so the hypothesis is simply that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale Galois morphism between smooth geometrically connected spaces over k , and consider L a finite Galois extension of k of degree n with Galois group N . Then the corresponding extension (which also makes sense in case (B)),

$$f: X = \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k) = Y,$$

is a Galois extension with Galois group N , with X connected but not geometrically connected. Because L/k is separable, $\text{Der}_k(L) = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}_X(X) = L$, hence the functors in this situation become

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Mod}_{k[N]}^{\text{fd}} & \xrightarrow{L \otimes_k -} & \mathbf{Mod}_{L \times N}^{\text{fd}} \\ & & \begin{array}{c} \uparrow L \otimes_k - \\ (-)^N \downarrow \end{array} \\ & & \mathbf{Vect}_k^{\text{fd}} \end{array}$$

The map

$$k[N] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_Y}(f_*\mathcal{O}_X) = \text{End}_k L$$

will never be an isomorphism when $n > 1$, as the left hand side has k -dimension n and the right hand side k -dimension n^2 . The essential image of $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^N$ also need not be closed under sub-objects, as this functor need not preserve irreducibility: when N is non-abelian $k[N]$ has length strictly less than n as a $k[N]$ -module, but L has length n as a k -vector space.

5.1. The Functor $\text{Hom}_{k[N]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$. We can give an contravariant description of the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^N : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H/N}(Y).$$

For any representation $V \in \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}}$, we can consider the \mathcal{O}_Y -module,

$$\text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) : U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_X(U))$$

for any admissible open subset $U \subset Y$, using the N -action on $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ described in Section 2.9. We can make this a $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -module by using the $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -module structure of $f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ described in the construction of the invariants functor of Section 2.10. Explicitly, the \mathcal{D}_Y -module structure is defined, for $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, $\partial \in \Theta_Y(U)$, and $k[N]$ -linear $\phi : V \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(U)$, by

$$\partial \cdot \phi := \partial \circ \phi,$$

which is also $k[N]$ -linear by Lemma 2.48. The $G \times H/N$ -equivariant structure on $\mathcal{F} := \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ is defined by viewing V as a $G \times H/N$ with trivial action of G , and setting, for any admissible open subset $U \subset Y$ and $g \in G \times H/N$,

$$g_U^{\mathcal{F}}(\phi : V \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X(U)) := g_U^{f_*\mathcal{O}_X} \circ \phi \circ g^{-1}$$

In this way we obtain a contravariant functor,

$$\text{Hom}_{k[N]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G \times H/N}(Y).$$

Proposition 5.5. *There is an isomorphism of $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules,*

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes V^*)^N \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_X),$$

natural in V .

Proof. For any $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, because V is finite dimensional there is a $\mathcal{O}_Y(U) \times N$ -module isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) \otimes_k V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_k(V, \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))), \quad x \otimes \lambda \mapsto \lambda(-)x.$$

When we take N -invariants, we obtain an isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_Y(U)$ -modules,

$$(\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) \otimes_k V^*)^N \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))),$$

which is furthermore $\mathcal{D}_Y(U)$ -linear as for any $\partial \in \Theta_Y(U)$,

$$\partial \cdot (x \otimes \phi) = \partial(x) \otimes \phi \mapsto \phi(-)\partial(x) = \partial \cdot (\phi(-)x),$$

because the action of ∂ on $\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$ is k -linear. For $G \times H/N$ -linearity, we see that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U)) \otimes_k V^*)^N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))) \\ \downarrow g_{f^{-1}(U)}^{\mathcal{O}_X} \otimes g^* & & \downarrow g_{f^{-1}(U)}^{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ - \circ g^{-1} \\ (\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(g(U))) \otimes_k V^*)^N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{k[N]}(V, \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(g(U)))) \end{array}$$

commutes, because $g_{f^{-1}(U)}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is k -linear. \square

5.2. Compatibility with Intermediate Coverings. We are also interested in the compatibility of each of the functors $\mathrm{Hom}_{k[N]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^N$ when passing to Galois sub-covers. Suppose that $N_0 \triangleleft N$ and N remains normal in H , and let Z be the intermediate covering of Y defined by N_0 , with Galois group N/N_0 . We have Galois coverings

$$X \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{\phi} Y$$

with Galois groups N_0 and N/N_0 respectively. Note that because the action of G commutes with the action of N , there is an induced action of $G \times H/N_0$ on Z for which $\phi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is $G \times H/N_0$ -equivariant, and the Galois action of N/N_0 on Z is through this action and the inclusion $N/N_0 \hookrightarrow H/N_0$. We have an inflation functor

$$\iota: \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H/N_0]}^{\mathrm{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}}.$$

The next lemma relates the functors $\mathrm{Hom}_{k[N]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{k[N/N_0]}(-, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$.

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that $N_0 \triangleleft N$ is a normal subgroup of N which remains normal in H , and $\phi: Z \rightarrow Y$ is the intermediate covering defined by N_0 , with Galois group N/N_0 . Then there is an isomorphism of $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[N]}(\iota(W), f_*\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k[N/N_0]}(W, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_Z)$$

which is natural in $W \in \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H/N_0]}^{\mathrm{fd}}$.

Proof. For any admissible open subset U of Y , $\phi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(U) = \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))^{N_0}$, and therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[N/N_0]}(W, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(U)) = \mathrm{Hom}_{k[N/N_0]}(W, \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))^{N_0}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{k[N]}(\iota(W), \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))).$$

It is direct to check this the morphism of sheaves this defines is natural in W and $(G \times H/N)$ - \mathcal{D}_Y -linear. \square

5.3. Compatibility with Connected Components. From now on, we work in a slightly weaker situation to that described at the start of Section 5 (taking $H = N$). In this section we show that the functors $\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ and $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^H$ are compatible when passing to a connected component of a Galois covering.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale Galois morphism of spaces over k with Galois group H , and*

- G is an abstract group that acts on X and Y ,
- The action of G commutes with the action of H ,
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant,
- Y is connected,
- X_0 is a connected component of X stabilised by G .

Write $f_0: X_0 \rightarrow Y$ for the induced Galois extension with Galois group $H_0 := \mathrm{Stab}_H(X_0)$ (cf. Lemma 2.49). Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\mathrm{fd}} & \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H_0]}^{\mathrm{fd}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes up to natural isomorphism. Explicitly, if $e_0 \in \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is the idempotent corresponding to X_0 ,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H_0]}(V|_{H_0}, f_{0,*}\mathcal{O}_{X_0}), \quad \phi \mapsto e_0 \cdot \phi(-),$$

is an isomorphism of G - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules, natural in V .

Proof. Because G preserves the connected component X_0 , this is an isomorphism of G -equivariant sheaves. To see that this morphism is \mathcal{D}_Y -linear, let $U \in \mathcal{B}_Y$, $A := \mathcal{O}_Y(U)$, $B := \mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(U))$, $B_0 := \mathcal{O}_X(f_0^{-1}(U))$, so $B_0 \subset B$, and $A \hookrightarrow B_0$, $A \hookrightarrow B$ are Galois extensions of commutative k -algebras with Galois groups H_0, H respectively. It is sufficient for us to show that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H_0]}(V|_{H_0}, B_0), \quad \phi \mapsto \pi_0(\phi(-)),$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ -modules, where $\pi_0: B \rightarrow B_0$ is the projection. First, we show that this is an isomorphism. There is an isomorphism of $k[H]$ -modules,

$$B \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{H_0}^H B_0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H_0]}(k[H], B_0), \quad b \mapsto \psi_b, \quad \psi_b(h) := \pi_0(hb)$$

for $h \in H$. Here $\mathrm{Ind}_{H_0}^H B_0$ is a left $k[H]$ -module via $(g \cdot \sigma)(h) = \sigma(hg)$. The inverse is given by choosing left coset representatives h_1, \dots, h_k of H/H_0 and mapping

$$\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k h_i \sigma(h_i^{-1}) \in B,$$

and is independent of the choice of coset representatives. Frobenius reciprocity gives us isomorphisms,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, \mathrm{Ind}_{H_0}^H B_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H_0]}(V|_{H_0}, B_0),$$

explicitly given by

$$[f: V \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_{H_0}^H B_0] \mapsto \Phi(f), \quad \Phi(f)(v) := f(v)(1),$$

and

$$[\lambda := V|_H \rightarrow B_0] \mapsto \Pi(\lambda), \quad \Pi(\lambda)(v)(k) := \lambda(kv).$$

Then our map of interest is simply the composition of the induced isomorphisms,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, \mathrm{Ind}_{H_0}^H B_0) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H_0]}(V|_{H_0}, B_0).$$

We therefore are left with showing that the isomorphism is $\mathcal{D}(A)$ -linear. First note that for e_0 the idempotent of B defining B_0 , any $\partial \in \mathrm{Der}_k(B)$ satisfies $\partial(e_0) = 0$, and therefore, $\partial \mapsto \partial|_{B_0}$ is a well-defined B_0 -linear restriction map. There are A -linear maps $\psi: \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B)$, $\psi_0: \mathrm{Der}_k(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B_0)$ provided by Lemma 2.11, and by the uniqueness part of Lemma 2.11 we see that the composition

$$\mathrm{Der}_k(A) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{Der}_k(B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Der}_k(B_0)$$

is equal to ψ_0 . Therefore, the projection $\pi_0: B \rightarrow B_0$ is $\mathcal{D}(A)$ -linear. Finally, we see that the isomorphism is $\mathcal{D}(A)$ -linear, as given $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{k[H]}(V, B)$,

$$\pi_0(x \cdot f(-)) = x \cdot \pi_0(f(-)). \quad \square$$

5.4. Abelian Galois Coverings. In the section, we continue with the assumptions from the start of Section 5, and add the additional assumption that $H = N$ and H , the Galois group of $f: X \rightarrow Y$, is abelian.

Definition 5.8. We define $\mathrm{PicCon}^G(X)$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of G -equivariant line bundles connection on X (i.e. rank 1 elements of $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(X)$).

In the case that G is trivial, we write $\mathrm{PicCon}(X)$ for $\mathrm{PicCon}^G(X)$, the set of isomorphism classes of line bundles with connection on X . The tensor product (as described in Section 2.8) induces an abelian group structure on $\mathrm{PicCon}^G(X)$ for which the natural forgetful map

$$\mathrm{PicCon}^G(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(X)$$

is a group homomorphism. We can now state the improvement of Theorem 5.3 in the case that the Galois group is abelian.

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose that in addition to the assumptions of Section 5,*

- (1) $H = N$, and H is abelian,
- (2) *There are no global non-trivial G -invariant constant functions: $c_X(X)^G = k$,*

(3) k contains a primitive e th root of 1, where e is the exponent of H .

Then the map

$$\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{PicCon}^G(Y)[e], \quad \chi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\chi := e_\chi \cdot f_* \mathcal{O}_X,$$

is an injective group homomorphism.

Proof. This map is the restriction of the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^H : \mathbf{Mod}_{k[H]}^{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^G(Y)$$

to the 1-dimensional representations because for $\chi \in \widehat{H}$ there is a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k \chi)^H \xrightarrow{\sim} e_\chi \cdot f_* \mathcal{O}_X,$$

and thus this map is a group homomorphism because $(\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_k -)^H$ is monoidal (Theorem 5.2). Alternatively, one can note that the proof of [45, Prop. 2.3] (which is written in the setting of case (B), but also applies in case (A)) establishes a group homomorphism $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)[e]$, and is direct to check that the isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_\chi \otimes \mathcal{L}_\psi \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\chi\psi}$ described there is an isomorphism of G - \mathcal{D}_Y -modules, hence the group homomorphism factors through the forgetful maps

$$\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{PicCon}^G(Y)[e] \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)[e].$$

Finally, the injectivity follows directly from the isotypical decomposition of Theorem 5.3. \square

6. THE DRINFELD TOWER

From now until the end of the paper we work in the rigid situation (Case (B)). Write K for a characteristic 0 complete non-archimedean field, and let \mathcal{R} denote the ring of integers of K .

In this section we first prove some general results which hold for any rigid space over K (Section 6.1), before recalling some basic facts about Drinfeld spaces (Section 6.2) and their geometrically connected components (Section 6.3). We use the general theory of Section 5 to give a more conceptual proof of our earlier result concerning line bundles on the first Drinfeld covering (Section 6.4), and then in the remainder of this section prove Theorem A, Theorem B and Theorem C (Section 6.5 onwards).

6.1. Generalities. We first recall the notion of a continuous group action on a rigid space X , as defined in [1, Def. 3.1.8]. Let X be a qcqs rigid space over K . Then using a formal model for X , [1, Thm. 3.1.5] defines a hausdorff topology τ_X on $\text{Aut}_K(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, which is independent of the formal model chosen for X .

Definition 6.1. Let G be a topological group, X a rigid analytic variety over K . Then G acts continuously on X , if there is a group homomorphism $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, such that for all qcqs admissible open subsets $U \subset X$,

- $G_U = \rho^{-1}(\text{Stab}(U))$ is open in G ,
- The induced group homomorphism $\rho_U : G_U \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ is continuous with respect to the topology τ_U on $\text{Aut}_K(U, \mathcal{O}_U)$ described above, and the subspace topology of G_U .

Here $\text{Stab}(U) \leq \text{Aut}_K(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ are those automorphisms for which the underlying map of sets f has $f(U) = U$.

Suppose henceforth that G is a topological group which acts on X continuously, where X is a rigid space over K . We now want to define a subgroup of $\text{PicCon}^G(X)$ of G -equivariant line bundles with flat connection which satisfy an appropriate continuity condition.

For any K -Banach space V , it is shown in [3] during the preamble to Definition 3.2.3 that the unit group $\mathcal{B}(V)^\times$ of $\mathcal{B}(V)$, the K -algebra of K -linear endomorphisms of V , forms a topological group such that the congruence subgroups

$$\Gamma_n(\mathcal{V}) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{B}(V)^\times \mid (1 - \gamma)(\mathcal{V}) \subset \pi^n \mathcal{V}\}$$

form a system of open neighbourhoods of the identity, where \mathcal{V} is the unit ball for some choice of norm on V .

Suppose now that $\mathcal{L} \in \text{PicCon}^G(X)$. Then *loc. cit.* also shows that for any affinoid subdomain U of X the action map induces

$$G_U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}(U))^\times,$$

where $\mathcal{L}(U)$ is provided with its canonical K -Banach space structure as a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module.

Definition 6.2. We define $\text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(X) \subset \text{PicCon}^G(X)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{L} \in \text{PicCon}^G(X)$ such that for any affinoid open subset U of X ,

$$G_U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}(U))^\times$$

is continuous. We also define

$$\text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^G(X) := \ker(\text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)).$$

Remark 6.3. The group we denote by $\text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(X)$ is written as $\text{PicCon}^G(X)$ in [3], and similarly what we call $\text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^G(X)$ is written as $\text{Con}^G(X)$.

The key result of [3] that we will make use of is the following.

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose X is quasi-Stein and geometrically connected, and that G acts continuously on X . Then for any $d \geq 1$ there is an exact sequence,*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mu_d(K)) \rightarrow \text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^G(X)[d] \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(X)^\times / K^\times \mathcal{O}(X)^{\times d})^G.$$

Proof. We have the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, K^\times) & \longrightarrow & \text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^G(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Con}_{\text{cts}}(X)^G \\ & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(G, K^\times) & \longrightarrow & \text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}(X)^G \end{array}$$

where the second row is exact by [3, Prop. 3.2.14]. Because the second and third vertical maps are injective, a simple diagram chase shows that the first row is also exact. Now because d -torsion is left exact, this first row remains exact after applying $(-)[d]$. Finally, we obtain the required exact sequence using [3, Prop. 3.1.16], which exhibits a G -equivariant isomorphism

$$\theta_d: \text{Con}_{\text{cts}}(X)[d] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_X(X)^\times / K^\times \mathcal{O}_X(X)^{\times d}. \quad \square$$

In order to apply the previous result to the Drinfeld tower, we will need the following general lemma, which is of interest in its own right.

Lemma 6.5. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a finite étale Galois cover of quasi-Stein rigid spaces with Galois group H . Let G be a topological group with an open topologically finitely generated profinite subgroup acting on X and Y such that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant, and G acts continuously on Y . Then G acts continuously on X .*

Proof. Let $(U_m)_{m \geq 0}$ be a quasi-Stein rigid cover of Y , and let $(V_m := f^{-1}(U_m))_{m \geq 0}$ be the corresponding quasi-Stein cover of X . In order to show that G acts continuously on X , it is sufficient to show that $G_m := G_{V_m}$ is open in G , and acts continuously on V_m for all $m \geq 0$. Indeed, if so, then for an arbitrary qcqs admissible open $V \subset X$, $V = \cup_{m \geq 0} (V \cap V_m)$, hence because V is quasi-compact, $V \subset V_m$ for some $m \geq 0$. Then $(G_m)_V \subset G_m$ is open (hence open in G), and thus G_V is open in G . Furthermore, $(G_m)_V \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is continuous by [1, Thm. 3.1.10], hence $G_V \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V, \mathcal{O}_V)$ is continuous.

Fix $m \geq 0$. In order to show that G_m is open and G_m acts continuously on V_m , because V_m is affinoid, hence qcqs, by [1, Thm. 3.1.10] it is sufficient to show that G_m is open and $\rho: G_m \rightarrow \text{Aut}_K(V_m, \mathcal{O}_{V_m})$ is continuous. First, because the action of G on Y is continuous, G_{U_m} is open, and so because $G_{U_m} \subset G_m$, G_m is open in G . The extension $V_m \rightarrow U_m$ is Galois group H , and therefore the group $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}(U_m)}(\mathcal{O}(V_m))$ is finite, which follows from [19, Thm. 3.5] and Lemma 2.49. Therefore, we are done after applying the following Lemma 6.6. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that $A \rightarrow B$ is an étale morphism of affinoid algebras over k , which is G -equivariant with respect to actions $\sigma: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(A)$, $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(B)$ of some topological group G . Suppose that $\text{Aut}_A(B)$ is finite, G has an open topologically finitely generated profinite subgroup, and σ is continuous. Then ρ is continuous.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a formal model for A . Because σ is continuous, by [1, Lem. 3.2.4], we may after possibly enlarging \mathcal{A} assume that \mathcal{A} is G -equivariant. Any étale morphism of affinoid algebras is *standard étale* [21, Observation 3.1.2], which means that there is a presentation of the morphism $A \rightarrow B$ by

$$\iota: A \rightarrow B = A\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle / (f_1, \dots, f_n), \text{ where } \det \Delta \in B^\times, \text{ for } \Delta := \left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial T_j} \right)_{ij}.$$

We take our formal model of B to be

$$\mathcal{B} := \iota(\mathcal{A}\langle T_1, \dots, T_n \rangle) \subset B.$$

First consider an \mathcal{R} -linear derivation $\tilde{\partial}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. This has a unique extension to a K -linear derivation $\tilde{\partial}: A \rightarrow A$. Then because $A \rightarrow B$ is étale, by Lemma 2.11 there is a unique $\partial: B \rightarrow B$ such that for any $a \in A$,

$$\partial(\iota(a)) = \iota(\tilde{\partial}(a)).$$

We first show the following claim: for any $N > 0$, there is some $M > 0$ such that for any $\tilde{\partial}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $\tilde{\partial}(\mathcal{A}) \subset p^M \mathcal{A}$, the extension ∂ satisfies

$$\partial(\mathcal{B}) \subset p^N \mathcal{B}.$$

Fix $N > 0$. We first consider the elements $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{B}$. Write each

$$f_i = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^i T^{\alpha},$$

for some $c_{\alpha}^i \in \iota(\mathcal{A})$. Suppose now that $\tilde{\partial}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ with $\tilde{\partial}(\mathcal{A}) \subset p^M \mathcal{A}$, for some $M > 0$ to be chosen later. Then because any k -derivation of B is continuous [7, Satz. 2.1.5],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial(f_i), \\ &= \partial \left(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}^i T^{\alpha} \right), \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \partial(c_{\alpha}^i T^{\alpha}), \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \partial(c_{\alpha}^i) T^{\alpha} + c_{\alpha}^i \partial(T^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

We can simplify the right hand term to

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\alpha}^i \partial(T^{\alpha}) &= \sum_{j=1}^n c_{\alpha}^i T^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial(T^{\alpha_j}) \dots T^{\alpha_n}, \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial T_j} \partial(T_j). \end{aligned}$$

For the left hand term, each $c_{\alpha}^i \in \iota(\mathcal{A})$ and thus $c_{\alpha}^i = \iota(a_{\alpha}^i)$ for some $a_{\alpha}^i \in \mathcal{A}$, hence

$$\sum_{\alpha} \partial(c_{\alpha}^i) T^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} \iota(\tilde{\partial}(a_{\alpha}^i)) T^{\alpha} \in p^M \mathcal{B},$$

because $\tilde{\partial}(a_{\alpha}^i) \in p^M \mathcal{A}$, so $\iota(\tilde{\partial}(a_{\alpha}^i)) T^{\alpha} \in p^M \mathcal{B}$, and $p^M \mathcal{B}$ is closed. Combined, this shows that,

$$\Delta \begin{pmatrix} \partial(T_1) \\ \vdots \\ \partial(T_n) \end{pmatrix} \in (p^M \mathcal{B})^n.$$

Now because $\det(\Delta) \in B^\times$, Δ is invertible, and we set $M_0 := \min\{r \geq 0 \mid p^r \Delta^{-1} \in M_n(\mathcal{B})\}$. Then for $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$\partial(T_k) \in p^{M-M_0}\mathcal{B}.$$

Let us now fix $M := N + M_0$, and consider a general element of \mathcal{B} , which will be of the form,

$$b = \sum_{\alpha} \iota(a_{\alpha})T^{\alpha}$$

for some $a_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}$. When we apply ∂ we obtain, similarly to above,

$$\partial(b) = \sum_{\alpha} \iota(\tilde{\partial}(a_{\alpha}))T^{\alpha} + \iota(a_{\alpha})\partial(T^{\alpha}) \in p^N\mathcal{B}.$$

For each α , the left hand term is in $p^N\mathcal{B}$, and the right hand term is too, as

$$(8) \quad \partial(T^{\alpha}) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k T_1^{\alpha_1} \dots T_k^{\alpha_k-1} \dots T_n^{\alpha_n} \cdot \partial(T_k).$$

Therefore, as $p^N\mathcal{B}$ is closed, $\partial(b) \in p^N\mathcal{B}$ and we have shown the claim.

Now we want to show that the morphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(B)$ is continuous. For any $g \in G$ with $(\sigma(g) - 1)(\mathcal{A}) \subset p^2\mathcal{A}$ we can consider the logarithm $u_g := \log(\sigma(g)) \in p^2\text{Der}_R(\mathcal{A})$ of $\sigma(g)$ (see [1, Lem. 3.2.5] for background on the logarithm and exponential in this context). As described above, this \mathcal{R} -linear derivation u_g has a unique extension to a K -linear derivation of A (which we also denote by u_g) and by Lemma 2.11 there is a unique $v_g \in \text{Der}_k(B)$ which extends u_g .

Because $\text{Aut}_A(B)$ is finite, by [1, Lem. 3.2.4] we may enlarge \mathcal{B} to obtain an $\text{Aut}_A(B)$ -stable formal model \mathcal{B}' of B which contains \mathcal{B} . To show that $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(B)$ is continuous we need to show that for any $n \geq 0$, $\rho^{-1}(\text{Aut}_k^n(B))$ is open in G , where

$$\text{Aut}_k^n(B) := \{\phi \in \text{Aut}_k(B) \mid (\phi - 1)(\mathcal{B}') \subset p^n\mathcal{B}'\}.$$

To this end, fix $n \geq 2$. Because \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are both formal models of B , the argument of the proof of [1, Thm. 3.1.5 (b)], the claim established above and the fact that σ is continuous together show the existence of an open subgroup G_0 of G for which $v_g(\mathcal{B}') \subset p^n\mathcal{B}'$ for any $g \in G_0$.

Define $\tau: G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k^n(B)$ by $\tau(g) = \exp(v_g)$. We would like to show that, like τ , $\rho(g) \in \text{Aut}_k^n(B)$ for any $g \in G_0$. Firstly, we note that τ is a group homomorphism. Indeed, $\sigma(g)$ is a group homomorphism, and $\sigma(g) = \exp(\log(\sigma(g))) = \exp(u_g)$, so for any $g, h \in G_0$

$$\exp(u_g) \circ \exp(u_h) = \exp(u_{gh}).$$

Taking the logarithm,

$$\log(\exp(u_g) \circ \exp(u_h)) = u_{gh}.$$

The derivation v_{gh} is an extension of u_{gh} to an \mathcal{R} -derivation of \mathcal{B}' , and $\log(\exp(v_g) \circ \exp(v_h))$ is an extension of $\log(\exp(u_g) \circ \exp(u_h))$ to \mathcal{B}' , and therefore by the uniqueness of Lemma 2.11

$$\log(\exp(v_g) \circ \exp(v_h)) = v_{gh}.$$

Applying the exponential, we see that $\tau(g) \circ \tau(h) = \tau(gh)$.

Consider the difference of ρ and τ , denoted by $\lambda: G_0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}_A(B)$, defined by

$$\lambda(g) = \rho(g)\tau(g)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_A(B).$$

This lies in $\text{Aut}_A(B)$ because both $\rho(g)$ and $\tau(g)$ restrict to $\sigma(g)$ on A . Consider also the restriction homomorphism

$$r_n: \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}') \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{R}/p^n\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}'/p^n\mathcal{B}'),$$

the kernel of which is $\text{Aut}_k^n(B)$. Because $\tau(g)$ and $\lambda(g)$ both stabilise \mathcal{B}' , $\rho(g)$ does too. Therefore, we may apply r_n to $\rho(g)$, and note that as $\tau(g) \in \text{Aut}_k^n(B) = \ker(r_n)$,

$$r_n(\rho(g)) = r_n(\lambda(g)) \in r_n(\text{Aut}_A(B)).$$

Now $r_n \circ \rho: G_0 \rightarrow r_n(\text{Aut}_A(B))$ is a homomorphism from G_0 to the finite group $r_n(\text{Aut}_A(B))$, and thus because finite index subgroups of G are open [36], $\ker(r_n \circ \rho) \leq G_0$ is open. Therefore, $\ker(r_n \circ \rho) \subset \rho^{-1}(\text{Aut}_k^n(B))$ is an open subgroup, and we're done. \square

In the presence of a continuous group action, we can say more about the image of the homomorphism of Corollary 5.9.

Proposition 6.7. *Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are as in Proposition 6.5, and that H is abelian with exponent e . Then the image of the homomorphism $\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{PicCon}^G(Y)[e]$ of Corollary 5.3 is contained inside the subgroup $\text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(Y)[e]$.*

Proof. Let $\chi \in \widehat{H}$ and recall that $\mathcal{L}_\chi = e_\chi \cdot f_*\mathcal{O}_X$. We want to show that for any affinoid open subset $U \subset Y$, that the natural map

$$(9) \quad G_U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_\chi(U))^\times$$

is continuous. By Proposition 6.5 the action of G on X is continuous, and therefore by [3, Lemma 3.2.4] we have that $\mathcal{O}_X \in \text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^G(X)$, and thus

$$G_V \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}_X(V))^\times$$

is continuous, where $V = f^{-1}(U)$. Now because $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is G -equivariant, $G_U \subset G_V$, and thus

$$G_U \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{O}_X(V))^\times = \mathcal{B}((f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U))^\times$$

is continuous. The image of G_U is contained in the subgroup

$$\prod_{\psi \in \widehat{H}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_\psi(U))^\times \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}((f_*\mathcal{O}_X)(U))^\times,$$

and this inclusion is continuous by definition of the topology on the groups $\mathcal{B}(-)^\times$. Therefore,

$$G_U \rightarrow \prod_{\psi \in \widehat{H}} \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_\psi(U))^\times$$

is continuous, and when this is composed with the projection to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{L}_\chi(U))^\times$ we obtain (9). \square

6.2. The Drinfeld Tower. We are now in a position to give the main applications of the general results we have established to the Drinfeld tower.

From now on, suppose that K contains $L := \check{F}$, the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F , and that $n \geq 1$. We write Ω for the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Drinfeld symmetric space over K . Let D be the division algebra over F of invariant $1/n$ with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_D , let Π denote a uniformiser of \mathcal{O}_D , and write $\text{Nrd}: D^\times \rightarrow F^\times$ for the reduced norm of D . The Drinfeld tower is a system of rigid analytic spaces over K ,

$$\mathcal{M} \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{M}_2 \leftarrow \cdots,$$

for which each space has an action of $\text{GL}_n(F) \times D^\times$ such that the transition morphisms are equivariant. Background material on these spaces is contained in [15, 24, 38]. The connected components of the space \mathcal{M} are canonically identified with \mathbb{Z} , and under this identification $(g, \delta) \in \text{GL}_n(F) \times D^\times$ acts on this set of connected components by addition by $\nu(\det(g) \text{Nrd}(\delta^{-1}))$. In particular, the connected components of \mathcal{M} are permuted simply transitively by the action of Π . Let \mathcal{N} be a connected component of \mathcal{M} . The Grothendieck-Messing period morphism

$$\pi_{\text{GM}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Omega$$

is an étale $\text{GL}_n(F) \times D^\times$ -equivariant morphism, where Ω is considered with the trivial action of D^\times , which induces a $\text{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\pi_{\text{GM}}: \mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega.$$

In particular, the composition

$$\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Omega$$

is a G^0 -equivariant isomorphism, where

$$G^0 := \{g \in \text{GL}_n(F) \mid \nu(\det(g)) = 0\},$$

which we will use to identify \mathcal{N} with Ω .

Considering the preimage \mathcal{N}_m of \mathcal{N} in each covering space $(\mathcal{M}_n)_{n \geq 1}$, we obtain a sub-tower,

$$\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_2 \leftarrow \cdots .$$

Because \mathcal{N} is stable under the action of $G^0 \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$, for each $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{N}_m \subset \mathcal{M}_m$ is $G^0 \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ -stable. The subgroup $1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D \leq \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ acts trivially on \mathcal{M}_m , and the morphisms $\mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ are Galois with Galois group $\mathcal{O}_D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D)$ [33, Thm. 2.2].

6.3. Geometrically Connected Components of The Drinfeld Tower. Each of the spaces $(\mathcal{N}_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is connected over L [33, Thm. 2.5], but none of these spaces are geometrically connected. In this section we describe how these spaces break up into geometrically connected components over some finite extension of L . We write L_m for the compositum of the m th Lubin-Tate extension of F with L .

The connected components of the covering spaces \mathcal{M}_m over \mathbb{C}_p have been described by Boutot and Zink [16, Thm. 0.20] using global methods and p -adic uniformisation of Shimura curves. In this section, we describe the geometrically connected components of the cofinal system $(\mathcal{M}_{nm})_{m \geq 1}$ of the covering spaces $(\mathcal{M}_m)_{m \geq 1}$, this being sufficient for our purposes. The result we obtain is not as strong as that of Boutot and Zink, but on the other hand the proof is elementary and self-contained. The proof uses the theory of the sheaf c_X developed in Section 3 together with a result of Kohlhasse on the maximal sub-field of the global sections of \mathcal{N}_{nm} [33, Prop. 2.7].

Theorem 6.8. *For any $m \geq 1$ and complete field extension K of L_m , there is an isomorphism of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ -sets,*

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_{nm}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F),$$

where $(g, \delta) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F)$ by multiplication by $\det(g) \mathrm{Nrd}(\delta^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$. These are compatible in the sense that for any $1 \leq r \leq m$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_{nm}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0(\mathcal{N}_{nr}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^r \mathcal{O}_F) \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Let $m \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.8 and its proof, because \mathcal{N}_{nm} is quasi-Stein, there is a $G^0 \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ -equivariant isomorphism of L_m -algebras,

$$c(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L}) \otimes_L L_m \xrightarrow{\sim} c(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L_m}).$$

The field $c(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L})$ is the maximal field extension of L contained in $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_{nm})$ by Corollary 3.11, and the result [33, Prop. 2.7] of Kohlhasse shows that this is a copy of L_m . Furthermore, it is shown that the action of $(g, \delta) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ on L_m is by multiplication by $\det(g) \mathrm{Nrd}(\delta^{-1}) \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ [33, Thm. 2.8(ii)], where this element of \mathcal{O}_F^\times is viewed as an automorphism of L_m over L under the identification $\mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(L_m/L)$ of Lubin-Tate theory. Because L_m/L is Galois, we have canonical isomorphisms of L_m -algebras

$$c(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L}) \otimes_L L_m = L_m \otimes_L L_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(L_m/L)} L_m.$$

This isomorphism maps $x \otimes y \mapsto (\sigma(x)y)_\sigma$. Composing these isomorphisms, we have a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ -equivariant L_m -algebra isomorphism,

$$c(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L_m}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{\mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F)} L_m,$$

and thus a $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_F) \times \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ -equivariant bijection,

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_{nm,L_m}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_F^\times / (1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F).$$

The compatibility follows from the compatibility of the isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_F^\times/(1 + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(L_m/L)$. Finally, because $\dim_{L_m} c(\mathcal{N}_{nm, L_m}) = |\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_{nm, L_m})|$, each connected component is geometrically connected by Corollary 3.9. We obtain the result for general complete extensions K of L_m by Remark 3.6. \square

Corollary 6.9. *For any $m \geq 1$, $c(\mathcal{N}_m)^{G^0} = k$ and $c(\mathcal{M}_m)^{\text{GL}_n(F)} = k$.*

Proof. Because the action of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ on the connected components of \mathcal{M}_m is transitive and G^0 is normal in $\text{GL}_n(F)$ projection $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}_m) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{N}_m)$ induces an isomorphism

$$c(\mathcal{M}_m)^{\text{GL}_n(F)} \xrightarrow{\sim} c(\mathcal{N}_m)^{G^0},$$

and so it sufficient to show the claim for \mathcal{N}_m . Furthermore, if $r \geq 1$ is such that $rn \geq m$, then in light of the inclusion

$$c(\mathcal{N}_m)^{G^0} \hookrightarrow c(\mathcal{N}_{rn})^{G^0},$$

it is sufficient to show that $c(\mathcal{N}_{rn})^{G^0} = k$, which follows directly from the proof of Theorem 6.8 above, as

$$c(\mathcal{N}_{rn}) = L_m,$$

and G^0 acts on L_m through the surjection

$$G^0 \xrightarrow{\det} \mathcal{O}_F^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_m/L). \quad \square$$

6.4. Equivariant Line Bundles with Connection on the First Drinfeld Covering.

We now give an application of Theorem 5.9 to understanding equivariant line bundles with connection on the first Drinfeld covering.

In this section we shall assume that $n \geq 2$, and K contains both L_1 and a primitive p th root of 1. Let Σ^1 be a geometrically connected component of \mathcal{N}_1 , and let Σ^2 be the preimage of Σ^1 in \mathcal{N}_2 , which, because $\lfloor \frac{0}{n} \rfloor = 0 = \lfloor \frac{1}{n} \rfloor$, is also geometrically connected by [45, Prop. 3.1] (which itself uses the result [16, Thm. 0.20] of Boutot and Zink). The covering,

$$f: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow \Sigma^1,$$

is a finite étale Galois covering of rigid spaces over K , with abelian Galois group

$$H := \frac{1 + \Pi \mathcal{O}_D}{1 + \Pi^2 \mathcal{O}_D} \cong (\mathbb{F}_{q^n}, +)$$

of exponent p . Furthermore, by [45, Prop. 3.1], the spaces Σ^1, Σ^2 are stable under the action of $\text{SL}_n(F)$. This action commutes with the Galois action, and $f: \Sigma^2 \rightarrow \Sigma^1$ is $\text{SL}_n(F)$ -equivariant.

As a consequence of the work at the start of this section, we can deduce the following statement about torsion $\text{SL}_n(F)$ -equivariant line bundles with connection on Σ^1 .

Corollary 6.10. $\text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^{\text{SL}_n(F)}(\Sigma^1)[p] = 0$.

Proof. Because Σ^1 is geometrically connected, we can apply Proposition 6.4 and thus the group $\text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^{\text{SL}_n(F)}(\Sigma^1)[p]$ fits into the exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{SL}_n(F), \mu_p(K)) \rightarrow \text{Con}_{\text{cts}}^{\text{SL}_n(F)}(\Sigma^1)[p] \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}(\Sigma^1)^\times / K^\times \mathcal{O}(\Sigma^1)^{\times p})^{\text{SL}_n(F)}.$$

Now $\text{Hom}(\text{SL}_n(F), \mu_p(K)) = 0$ because $\text{SL}_n(F)$ is perfect, and

$$(\mathcal{O}(\Sigma^1)^\times / K^\times \mathcal{O}(\Sigma^1)^{\times p})^{\text{SL}_n(F)} = 0$$

by [45, Cor. 3.9], and therefore the result follows. \square

Corollary 6.11. *The forgetful map $\text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^{\text{SL}_n(F)}(\Sigma^1)[p] \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\Sigma^1)[p]$ is injective.*

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.11 and Corollary 5.9, we can deduce the main result of [45].

Corollary 6.12 ([45, Thm. 4.6]). *The group homomorphism*

$$\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\Sigma^1)[p]$$

is injective.

Proof. The homomorphism factors as the composition of homomorphisms

$$\widehat{H} \rightarrow \text{PicCon}_{\text{cts}}^{\text{SL}_n(F)}(\Sigma^1)[p] \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\Sigma^1)[p].$$

The first is injective by Corollary 5.9, because Σ^2 is geometrically connected, and the second is injective by Corollary 6.11 above. \square

6.5. Equivariant Vector Bundles with Connection on Drinfeld Symmetric Spaces.

From now on until the end of the paper, we will assume that K contains L , the completion of the maximal unramified extension of F . In the remaining sections we will define and establish properties the labelled functors of the diagram below, show it is commutative up to natural isomorphism, and use this to prove Theorem A, Theorem B and Theorem C. Vertical arrows below indicate the canonical forgetful maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\
 & & & \nearrow^{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}} & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times}} & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) & & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow^{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}} & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}} & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & \nearrow^{(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}} & \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty} \otimes -)^{\text{SL}_1(D)}} & \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega) & &
 \end{array}$$

6.6. Representations of D^\times . In this section we define and establish properties of the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega).$$

We first define the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}).$$

as follows. Suppose that V is a smooth finite dimensional representation of D^\times . There is a minimal $m \geq 1$ such that V is inflated from

$$D^{(m)} := D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D) = \mathcal{O}_D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D) \rtimes \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{O}_D^{(m)} \rtimes \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)} := \mathcal{O}_D^\times / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D)$. We set

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes V)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} := (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes_k V)^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$$

using the functor of Theorem 5.2. To make this functorial, given a morphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ let r be minimal such that W is inflated from $D^{(r)}$. We define

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \phi)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} : (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes_k V)^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_r} \otimes V)^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(r)}}$$

as follows. Let $k := \max(m, r)$, and let $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \phi)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ be defined by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes W)^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_k} \otimes \iota_m^k(W))^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(k)}} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_k} \otimes \lambda)^{\mathcal{O}_{D,k}^\times} \\
 (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_r} \otimes V)^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(r)}} & \xleftarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_k} \otimes \iota_r^k(V))^{\mathcal{O}_D^{(k)}}
 \end{array}$$

constructed using Proposition 5.5 the natural isomorphisms of Lemma 5.6. By the naturality of the isomorphisms of Lemma 5.6,

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes (\phi_1 \circ \phi_2))^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} = (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \phi_1)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} \circ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \phi_2)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$$

and $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ is a well-defined functor. We then define $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times}$ as the composition of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ with the equivalences

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega).$$

of Example 2.33 and that induced by the isomorphism $\pi_{\mathrm{GM}}: \mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$.

We can also give a contravariant version of this functor,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

defined as follows. For any $m \geq 1$, write $\phi_m: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for the Galois covering map, and $f_m: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \Omega$ for the composition

$$\mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega.$$

Just as in Section 5.1, for $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times)$ which is inflated from $D^{(m)}$, we can define

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, f_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}) \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$$

as in Section 5.1. Similarly to above, given a homomorphism $\phi: V \rightarrow W$ this defines a functor by passing to a high enough level.

Lemma 6.13. *There is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$,*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes V^*)^{D^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty})$$

natural in $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times)$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.5, there is a natural isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes V^*)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$, and when we apply the equivalence

$$\pi_{\mathrm{GM},*} \circ (-)^\Pi: \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

we exactly obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes V^*)^{D^\times} &= \pi_{\mathrm{GM},*}(p_*((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes V^*)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times})^\Pi), \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{\mathrm{GM},*}(p_*(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}))^\Pi), \\ &= \pi_{\mathrm{GM},*}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, p_*\phi_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi), \\ &= \pi_{\mathrm{GM},*}\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, p_*\phi_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}), \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(V, f_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}) \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

6.7. Representations of \mathcal{O}_D^\times . The functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$$

is defined exactly as above, as the direct limit of the functors

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$$

at each finite level. The sub-tower $\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \mathcal{N}_1 \leftarrow \dots$ is stabilised by G^0 we we may similarly define

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega),$$

as the inverse limit of the functors

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_m} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{N})$$

composed with the equivalence

$$\pi_{\text{GM},*}: \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$$

using the G^0 -equivariant isomorphism $\pi_{\text{GM},*}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \Omega$. Just as with Lemma 6.13 above, we have the following contravariant version of this functor.

Lemma 6.14. *There is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$,*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes V^*)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty})$$

natural in $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times)$.

By construction, the functor $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ is equal to the composition of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}$ with the (monoidal) restriction equivalence

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega).$$

of Example 2.32. Therefore we have that the two horizontal triangles of the diagram of Section 6.5 commute. It is also direct to see that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \end{array}$$

commutes by construction. In order to show that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times} : \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \end{array}$$

commutes, we can either see this directly using the contravariant functors of Lemma 6.13 and Lemma 6.14 above, or deduce this once we have shown the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \end{array}$$

The lower equivalence is defined as the composition of equivalences,

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$$

where the first is restriction (cf. Example 2.32), and the second is induced by the G^0 -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi_{\text{GM}}} \Omega,$$

and therefore it is sufficient to show the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z}) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{M}/\Pi^\mathbb{Z}) & & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \sim \downarrow & \swarrow \sim & \\ \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{N}) & & \end{array}$$

But this follows directly from the fact that any $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{N}} \cong (p \circ \iota)^* \mathcal{F}^{\Pi}$$

as elements of $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\mathcal{N})$. Indeed, the isomorphism is given on any admissible open subset $U \subset \mathcal{N}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} ((p \circ \iota)^* \mathcal{F}^{\Pi})(U) &= \mathcal{F}(p^{-1}(p \circ \iota(U)))^{\Pi}, \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\Pi \cdot U)^{\Pi}, \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(U), \end{aligned}$$

with the last isomorphism that induced by sheaf restriction.

6.8. Representations of $\mathrm{SL}_1(D)$. Suppose in this section (Section 6.8) that K contains L_m for all $m \geq 1$, or equivalently (as K is already assumed to contain the maximal unramified extension of F) that K contains F^{ab} , the maximal unramified extension of F . Under this assumption, by Theorem 6.8 each space \mathcal{N}_m is the disjoint union of copies of isomorphic geometrically connected components Σ^n . We can choose these components in such a way that the image of Σ^{n+1} is contained inside Σ^n , and we thus have a tower of geometrically connected rigid spaces,

$$\mathcal{N} \leftarrow \Sigma^1 \leftarrow \Sigma^2 \leftarrow \cdots .$$

Definition 6.15. Let $m \geq 1$. We write

- $\mathrm{SL}_1(D) := \ker(\mathrm{Nrd}: \mathcal{O}_D^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^{\times})$,
- $\mathrm{SL}_1^m(D) := \mathrm{SL}_1(D) \cap (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D)$ for any $m \geq 1$,
- $\mathcal{O}_F^{[m]} := 1 + \pi^{\lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil} \mathcal{O}_F$.

By Lemma 2.49, each extension $f_n: \Sigma^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is Galois, with Galois group $\mathrm{Stab}(\Sigma^n) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{N}_m/\mathcal{N})$. By [45, Prop. 3.1] this stabiliser is equal to the kernel of the natural group homomorphism

$$\phi_n: \mathcal{O}_D^{\times} / \mathrm{SL}_1^m(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F^{\times} / \mathcal{O}_F^{[m]}.$$

Furthermore, $\phi(\mathrm{SL}_1^m(D)) = \mathcal{O}_F^{[m]}$ by [39, Lem. 5]. Therefore, using Lemma 6.16 below, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{SL}_1(D) / \mathrm{SL}_1^m(D) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(\Sigma^m/\mathcal{N}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{N}_m/\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{O}_D^{\times} / (1 + \Pi^m \mathcal{O}_D).$$

Lemma 6.16. *Suppose that $\phi: H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a surjective group homomorphism and*

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\geq H_{1,1} \geq H_{1,2} \geq \cdots, \\ H_2 &\geq H_{2,1} \geq H_{2,2} \geq \cdots \end{aligned}$$

are chains of normal subgroups with $\phi(H_{1,m}) = H_{2,m}$ for all $m \geq 1$. Then ϕ induces an isomorphism,

$$\ker(\phi) / \ker(\phi) \cap H_{1,m} \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker(\phi_m).$$

where ϕ_m is the induced map

$$\phi_m: H_1 / H_{1,m} \rightarrow H_2 / H_{2,m}.$$

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \ker(\phi) \cap H_{1,m} & \longrightarrow & \ker(\phi) & \longrightarrow & \ker(\phi_m) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & H_{1,m} & \longrightarrow & H_1 & \longrightarrow & H_1/H_{1,m} \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \phi|_{H_{1,m}} & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi_m \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & H_{2,m} & \longrightarrow & H_2 & \longrightarrow & H/H_{2,m} \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1
 \end{array}$$

The right two columns are exact, as ϕ is surjective. The first column is surjective by the assumption that $\phi(H_{1,m}) = H_{2,m}$. Then the result follows by the Nine Lemma. \square

In exactly the same way as in Section 6.5, taking the direct limit over the functors defined by each covering $\Sigma^n \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and then applying the isomorphism $\pi_{\text{GM}}: \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega$ yields

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty} \otimes -)^{\text{SL}_1(D)}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega).$$

This is compatible with restriction from $\mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times)$ in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 (\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty} \otimes -)^{\text{SL}_1(D)}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)
 \end{array}$$

commutes, which follows directly from Lemma 5.7. Furthermore, just as with Lemma 6.13 and Lemma 6.14 above, we have the following.

Lemma 6.17. *There is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$,*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty} \otimes V^*)^{\text{SL}_1(D)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_1(D)}(V, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty})$$

natural in $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D))$.

6.9. Main Theorem. As a result of the work of the previous sections, together with Corollary 6.9 and Theorem 5.2 we have the following, using the contravariant form of the functors. Note that whenever we consider the functor from $\text{SL}_1(D)$ -representations there is an implicit assumption that K contains F^{ab} in order for the spaces Σ^m to be defined.

Theorem 6.18. *Each labelled functor of the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Hom}_{\text{SL}_1(D)}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma^\infty}): \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\text{SL}_1(D)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)
 \end{array}$$

is exact, monoidal, fully faithful, and has its essential image closed under sub-quotients.

This proves all but the essential image parts of Theorem A, Theorem B and Theorem C.

Remark 6.19. For any representation V of any of the categories of Theorem 6.18 one may form the 1-dimensional determinant representation $\det V$. On the other hand, given an equivariant vector bundle with connection \mathcal{V} of constant rank r then we may form the determinant $\det(\mathcal{V}) = \wedge^r \mathcal{V}$, which is an equivariant line bundle with connection. In fact, each functor forming part of the commutative diagram of Theorem 6.18 will commute with taking the determinant on either side, as each functor is exact and monoidal, and the exterior power of an object X can be described in this context as the image of the antisymmetrisation map

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_r} (-1)^{\text{sgn}(\sigma)} \sigma: X^{\otimes r} \rightarrow X^{\otimes r}.$$

Remark 6.20. Suppose that G is a subgroup of $\text{GL}_n(F)$ which stabilises the geometrically connected sub-tower $(\Sigma_m)_{m \geq 1}$, such as $\text{SL}_n(F)$. Then in both Theorem 6.18 and throughout the previous Section 6.8 we may replace $\mathbf{VectCon}(\Omega)$ by $\mathbf{VectCon}^G(\Omega)$ everywhere and all statements remain true.

6.10. The Essential Image. It is possible to give a description of the essential image of each of the functors we have defined, by using part (2) of Theorem 5.2. For example, for the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

then $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$ is in the essential image of $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{D^\times}$ if and only if the corresponding $\mathcal{W} := (\pi_{\text{GM}} \circ p)^{-1} \mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$ is in the essential image of

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega),$$

which using Theorem 5.2 is the case if and only if the inequality

$$\dim_K(\text{Hom}_{(\text{GL}_n(F) \times D^{(m)})\text{-}\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}_m}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}, \phi_m^* \mathcal{W})) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{W}),$$

is an equality for some $m \geq 1$ (equiv. eventually in $m \geq 1$). However this is not an intrinsic description that depends only on the space Ω . In this section we give an intrinsic description of the essential image of the functor

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\text{sm}}^{\text{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega),$$

at least under the assumption that K is algebraically closed.

Lemma 6.21. *Suppose that K is algebraically closed, and $f: Z \rightarrow \Omega$ is a $\text{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant finite étale morphism for which $\text{GL}_n(F)$ acts transitively on the connected components of Z . Then*

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_Z \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}))^{D^\times}$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$ for some $m \geq 1$.

Proof. As K is algebraically closed, we may apply the factorisation theorem of Scholze-Weinstein [42, Thm. 7.3.1] to obtain a commutative diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_m & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z \\ & \searrow f_m & \downarrow f \\ & & \Omega \end{array}$$

It is sufficient for us to show that

$$f_* \mathcal{O}_Z \hookrightarrow (f_{m,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi,$$

because by applying the equivalence of Example 2.33 to Theorem 5.2 (3) there is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\text{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$,

$$(f_{m,*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}))^{D^\times}.$$

We can restrict the above diagram to any connected component \mathcal{M}_m^i of \mathcal{M}_m to obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_m^i & \xrightarrow{\phi} & Z \\ & \searrow \sim & \downarrow f \\ & & \Omega \end{array}$$

It is sufficient to show that the morphism $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}$ is injective, and lies in the Π -invariant subsheaf. Indeed, once shown, then as the direct image functor is exact,

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\mathcal{O}_Z &\hookrightarrow f_*((\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi) = (f_*\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi, \\ &= (f_{m,*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi. \end{aligned}$$

To see this, note that as \mathcal{M}_m^i is connected the image lands inside some connected component Z_0 of Z . Because $f: Z_0 \rightarrow \Omega$ is finite étale, Z_0 is smooth and therefore normal, hence we may apply [33, Prop. A.5] to deduce that $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0} \rightarrow \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m^i}$ is injective. Then because $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ acts transitively on the connected components of Z , and $\phi: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow Z$ is $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant, the morphism $\mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}$ is injective. Finally, \mathcal{O}_Z lands in the Π -invariant subsheaf $(\phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})^\Pi$ because in the proof of [42, Thm. 7.3.1] the constructed morphism $\phi: \mathcal{M}_m \rightarrow Z$ is in fact Π -equivariant for Z considered with the trivial action of Π . \square

Theorem 6.22. *Suppose that K is algebraically closed. Then the essential image of*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}_\infty} \otimes -)^{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}: \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)$$

is the full subcategory $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)_{\mathrm{fin}}$ of finite equivariant vector bundles with connection.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{G^0}(\Omega)_{\mathrm{fin}}$ is finite. Because the subgroup $\pi^\mathbb{Z}I_n$ acts trivially on Ω , we may view \mathcal{V} as an object of $\mathbf{VectCon}^{G^n}(\Omega)_{\mathrm{fin}}$, for

$$G^n := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \mid \nu(\det(g)) \in n\mathbb{Z}\},$$

by letting $\pi^\mathbb{Z}I_n$ act trivially.

By Proposition 4.15, \mathcal{V} is a sub-object of $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ for some G^n -equivariant finite étale Galois covering $f: Z \rightarrow \Omega$, and thus by Theorem 6.18 it is sufficient for us to show that $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is in the essential image. If we write $Z = Z_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup Z_r$ as a disjoint union of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -orbits of connected components, and we have a decomposition

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z = f_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_r}$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$ and thus we may assume that $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ acts transitively on the connected components of Z . For any space X with an action of G^n we can form the space

$$X \times_{G^n} \mathrm{GL}_n(F) := (X \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F))/G^n,$$

where G^n acts diagonally through its (right) action on X and its left multiplication action on $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. This naturally has a (right) action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ by right multiplication. If furthermore X has an action of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$, then there is a natural morphism

$$X \times_{G^n} \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \rightarrow X, \quad (x, g) \mapsto xg,$$

which is finite étale because G^n is finite index in $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$. Furthermore, there is a natural G^n -equivariant open embedding

$$\iota: X \hookrightarrow X \times_{G^n} \mathrm{GL}_n(F), \quad x \mapsto (x, 1).$$

In particular, for $Z \rightarrow \Omega$, we have a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant finite étale covering

$$h: Y := \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times_{G^n} Z \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \times_{G^n} \Omega \rightarrow \Omega,$$

and by Lemma 6.21

$$h_*\mathcal{O}_Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}_D^{(m)}))^{D^\times}$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$ for some $m \geq 1$. The right-hand side is in the essential image by the commutative diagram of Theorem 6.18, and therefore $h_*\mathcal{O}_Y$ is too because the essential image is closed under sub-objects (Theorem 6.18).

In order to show that $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ is in the essential image, note that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{h} & \Omega \\ \iota \uparrow & \nearrow f & \\ Z & & \end{array}$$

commutes by definition, and therefore applying the left exact h_* to the inclusion

$$\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y$$

we obtain

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Z = h_*\iota_*\mathcal{O}_Z \hookrightarrow h_*\mathcal{O}_Y,$$

as G^m -equivariant vector bundles with connection on Ω . We are therefore done, because the essential image is closed under sub-objects by Theorem 6.18. \square

This finishes the proof of Theorem B. We now use this to finish the proof of Theorem A.

Corollary 6.23. *Suppose that K is algebraically closed. Then the essential image of*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^\times}(-, f_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) \rightarrow \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)$$

is the full subcategory $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\Omega)_{G^0\text{-fin}}$ with objects those that are finite when viewed as G^0 -equivariant vector bundles with connection.

Proof. By the commutative diagram of Section 6.5, because all functors are monoidal then in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(-, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_\infty}) : \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M}) \end{array}$$

the bottom arrow is an equivalence onto $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{fin}}$, and it is sufficient for us to show that the essential image the top arrow is the full subcategory

$$\mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)\text{-fin}}$$

of $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -finite equivariant vector bundles with connection. By the commutativity of the diagram the essential image contains this full subcategory, and so suppose conversely that

$$\mathcal{V} \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$$

is finite when viewed as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant vector bundle with connection. Then there is some $V \in \mathbf{Rep}_{\mathrm{sm}}^{\mathrm{fd}}(\mathcal{O}_D^\times)$ of level m and an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$. We may view the action of Π on \mathcal{V} as a morphism

$$\Pi^\mathcal{V} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \Pi^{-1}\mathcal{V},$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$ where $\Pi^{-1}\mathcal{V}$ is viewed as a $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant vector bundle with connection with action of $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M}$ via $\Pi^{\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ -equivariant structure

$$g^{\Pi^{-1}\mathcal{V}} := \Pi^{-1}g^\mathcal{V} = \Pi^{-1}(\Pi g \Pi^{-1})^\mathcal{V} : \Pi^{-1}\mathcal{V} \rightarrow g^{-1}(\Pi^{-1}\mathcal{V}).$$

We note that there is an isomorphism

$$\Pi^{f_*\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}} \circ (-) : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(\Pi V, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi^{-1} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})$$

in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$, where ${}^{\Pi}V$ is V viewed with action of $x \in \mathcal{O}_D^\times$ by $\Pi x \Pi^{-1}$. By the fully faithfulness of Theorem 6.18, there is a unique \mathcal{O}_D^\times -linear isomorphism $\varphi: {}^{\Pi}V \rightarrow V$ for which the functorially induced morphism

$$((-) \circ \varphi): \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}({}^{\Pi}V, \phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}_m})$$

is equal to $(\Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \circ (-))^{-1} \circ \Phi(\Pi^\vee)$. Because $\varphi: {}^{\Pi}V \rightarrow V$ is \mathcal{O}_D^\times -linear we may extend V to a representation of D^\times by setting Π^{-1} to act by φ , and obtain

$$\mathcal{F} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_D^\times}(V, \phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}) \in \mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$$

with action (by definition of the functor) of Π by

$$\Pi^{\mathcal{F}} := \Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_X} \circ - \circ \Pi^{-1}: \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi^{-1} \mathcal{F}.$$

Therefore, as Π^{-1} acts on V by φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{\mathcal{F}} &= \Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_X} \circ - \circ \varphi, \\ &= (\Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_X} \circ (-)) \circ ((-) \circ \varphi), \\ &= (\Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_X} \circ (-)) \circ (\Pi^{f_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \circ (-))^{-1} \circ \Phi(\Pi^\vee), \\ &= \Phi(\Pi^\vee), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore Φ is furthermore an isomorphism in $\mathbf{VectCon}^{\Pi^\mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{GL}_n(F)}(\mathcal{M})$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ardakov, *Equivariant \mathcal{D} -modules on rigid analytic spaces*, Astérisque **423** (2021), 161. MR4234536
- [2] K. Ardakov and S. Wadsley, *$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ -modules on rigid analytic spaces I*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **747** (2019), 221–275. MR3905134
- [3] ———, *Equivariant line bundles with connection on the p -adic upper half plane*, arXiv: 2309.05462 (2023).
- [4] M. Atiyah, *On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 307–317. MR86358
- [5] A. Beilinson and J. Bernstein, *A proof of Jantzen conjectures*, I. M. Gelfand Seminar, 1993, pp. 1–50. MR1237825
- [6] L. Berger, P. Schneider, and B. Xie, *Rigid character groups, Lubin-Tate theory, and (φ, Γ) -modules*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **263** (2020), no. 1275, v+79. MR4068300
- [7] R. Berger, R. Kiehl, E. Kunz, and H.-J. Nastold, *Differentialrechnung in der analytischen Geometrie*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. No. 38, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967. MR224870
- [8] V. G. Berkovich, *Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **78** (1993), 5–161. MR1259429
- [9] ———, *Smooth p -adic analytic spaces are locally contractible. II*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, 2004, pp. 293–370. MR2023293
- [10] ———, *Integration of one-forms on p -adic analytic spaces*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 162, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007. MR2263704
- [11] I. Biswas and P. O’Sullivan, *Étale triviality of finite equivariant vector bundles*, Épijournal Géom. Algébrique **5** (2021), Art. 16, 8. MR4354031
- [12] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert, *Non-Archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 261, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. MR746961
- [13] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Formal and rigid geometry. III. The relative maximum principle*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), no. 1, 1–29. MR1329445
- [14] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra I. Chapters 1–3*, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1989 English translation [MR0979982 (90d:00002)]. MR1727844
- [15] J.-F. Boutot and H. Carayol, *Uniformisation p -adique des courbes de Shimura: les théorèmes de Čerednik et de Drinfeld*, 1991, pp. 7, 45–158 (1992). Courbes modulaires et courbes de Shimura (Orsay, 1987/1988). MR1141456
- [16] J.-F. Boutot and T. Zink, *The p -adic uniformization of Shimura curves* (1995). preprint.
- [17] P. Boyer, *Mauvaise réduction des variétés de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands locale*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 3, 573–629. MR1719811
- [18] H. Carayol, *Nonabelian Lubin-Tate theory*, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L -functions, Vol. II (Ann Arbor, MI), 1988, pp. 15–39. MR1044827
- [19] S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison, and A. Rosenberg, *Galois theory and cohomology of commutative rings*, Vol. 52, American Mathematical Soc., 1969.

- [20] B. Conrad, *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 2, 473–541. MR1697371
- [21] J. de Jong and M. van der Put, *Étale cohomology of rigid analytic spaces*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), No. 01, 1–56. MR1386046
- [22] P. Deligne and J. S. Milne, *Tannakian categories*, Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties, vol. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. MR654325
- [23] G. Dospinescu and A.-C. Le Bras, *Revêtements du demi-plan de Drinfeld et correspondance de Langlands p -adique*, Ann. of Math. (2) **186** (2017), no. 2, 321–411. MR3702670
- [24] V. G. Drinfeld, *Coverings of p -adic symmetric domains*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **10** (1976), no. 2, 29–40. MR0422290
- [25] H. Esnault and P. H. Hai, *The fundamental groupoid scheme and applications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **58** (2008), no. 7, 2381–2412. MR2498355
- [26] J. Fresnel and M. van der Put, *Rigid analytic geometry and its applications*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 218, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004. MR2014891
- [27] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, *Algebraic geometry I. Schemes—with examples and exercises*, Second, Springer Studium Mathematik—Master, Springer Spektrum, Wiesbaden, [2020] ©2020. MR4225278
- [28] C. Greither, *Cyclic Galois extensions of commutative rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1534, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992. MR1222646
- [29] A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d’algèbre homologique*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **9** (1957), 119–221. MR102537
- [30] M. Harris, *Supercuspidal representations in the cohomology of Drinfeld upper half spaces; elaboration of Caraşol’s program*, Invent. Math. **129** (1997), no. 1, 75–119. MR1464867
- [31] M. Harris and R. Taylor, *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 151, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich. MR1876802
- [32] R. Hotta, K. Takeuchi, and T. Tanisaki, *D -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*, Japanese, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 236, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008. MR2357361
- [33] J. Kohlhaase, *Lubin-Tate and Drinfeld bundles*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **63** (2011), no. 2, 217–254. MR2812452
- [34] Q. Liu, *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 6, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002. Translated from the French by Reinie Ern e, Oxford Science Publications. MR1917232
- [35] J. C. McConnell and J. C. Robson, *Noncommutative Noetherian rings*, Revised, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 30, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. With the cooperation of L. W. Small. MR1811901
- [36] N. Nikolov and D. Segal, *On finitely generated profinite groups. I. Strong completeness and uniform bounds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **165** (2007), no. 1, 171–238. MR2276769
- [37] M. V. Nori, *On the representations of the fundamental group*, Compositio Math. **33** (1976), no. 1, 29–41. MR417179
- [38] M. Rapoport and T. Zink, *Period spaces for p -divisible groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 141, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1996. MR1393439
- [39] C. Riehm, *The norm 1 group of a \mathfrak{P} -adic division algebra*, Amer. J. Math. **92** (1970), 499–523. MR262250
- [40] G. S. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108** (1963), 195–222. MR154906
- [41] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, *Algebras of p -adic distributions and admissible representations*, Invent. Math. **153** (2003), no. 1, 145–196. MR1990669
- [42] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, *Moduli of p -divisible groups*, Camb. J. Math. **1** (2013), no. 2, 145–237. MR3272049
- [43] The Stacks Project authors, *The Stacks Project*, 2023.
- [44] T. Szamuely, *Galois groups and fundamental groups*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 117, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009. MR2548205
- [45] J. Taylor, *Line bundles on the first Drinfeld covering*, To appear in EPIGA, arXiv: 2307.12942 (2023).
- [46] A. Weil, *G en eralisation des fonctions ab eliennes*, Journal de Mathematiques pures et appliqu ees **17** (1938), no. 1-4, 47–87.