

CONSISTENCY AND INDEPENDENCE PHENOMENA INVOLVING CELLULAR-LINDELÖF SPACES

RODRIGO HERNÁNDEZ-GUTIÉRREZ AND SANTI SPADARO

ABSTRACT. The cellular-Lindelöf property is a common generalization of the Lindelöf property and the countable chain condition that was introduced by Bella and Spadaro in 2018. We solve two questions of Alas, Gutiérrez-Domínguez and Wilson by constructing consistent examples of a normal almost cellular-Lindelöf space which is neither cellular-Lindelöf nor weakly Lindelöf and a Tychonoff cellular-Lindelöf space of Lindelöf degree ω_1 and uncountable weak Lindelöf degree for closed sets. We also construct a ZFC example of a space for which both the almost cellular-Lindelöf property and normality are undetermined in ZFC.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Lindelöf property and the countable chain condition are two of the most important *smallness* properties in topology. They are a prominent example of how the topological structure of a space can impact its cardinality, as shown by Hajnal and Juhász’s inequality stating that every first-countable Hausdorff space with the countable chain condition has cardinality at most continuum and Arhangel’skii’s Theorem stating that every Lindelöf first-countable Hausdorff space has cardinality bounded by the continuum. That is why, at least since Bell, Ginsburg and Woods’s 1979 paper [6], there has been considerable interest in studying common generalizations of those two properties (see also [10]). A first attempt at that was done by introducing the weak Lindelöf property. Recall that the *weak Lindelöf degree* of a space X , denoted by $wL(X)$, is the minimum cardinal κ such that for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a $\leq \kappa$ sized subcollection \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $X \subset \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. A space having countable weak Lindelöf degree is said to be *weakly Lindelöf*. Both Lindelöf spaces and spaces with the countable chain condition are weakly Lindelöf. However, unlike the Lindelöf

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 54A25, 54D20, 03E17; Secondary: 54D15, 54G10, 03E35.

Key words and phrases. cellular-Lindelöf, tower, scale, concentrated set, weak Lindelöf number.

property, the weak Lindelöf property is not inherited by closed sets. This justifies the introduction of the following cardinal invariant.

Definition 1.1. [1] *Given a space X , the weak Lindelöf degree for closed sets, which we denote by $wL_c(X)$, is the minimum cardinal κ such that, for every closed subset F of X and for every open cover \mathcal{U} of F , there is a $\leq \kappa$ sized subcollection \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that $F \subset \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$.*

Obviously $wL(X) \leq wL_c(X)$ and it is easy to prove that $wL_c(X) = wL(X)$ for every normal space X .

Bell Ginsburg and Woods [6] proved that $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$ for every normal space X and Alas [1] proved that $|X| \leq 2^{wL_c(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$ for every Urysohn space X . However, the following questions are still open:

Question 1.2. (Bell, Ginsburg and Woods, [6]) *Let X be a regular space. Is $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$?*

Question 1.3. (Arhangel'skii, [4]) *Let X be a Hausdorff space. Is $|X| \leq 2^{wL_c(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$?*

A common refinement of the Lindelöf property and the countable chain condition that has recently received a lot of attention is the *cellular-Lindelöf property*. Recall that a *cellular family* in a topological space X is simply a family of pairwise disjoint non-empty open subsets of X . A space X is said to be (almost) cellular-Lindelöf if for every cellular family \mathcal{U} in X there is a Lindelöf subspace L of X such that $U \cap L \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ (respectively, if $|\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap L \neq \emptyset\}| = |\mathcal{U}|$).

Cellular-Lindelöf spaces were introduced by Bella and Spadaro in [8], where the question of whether a cellular-Lindelöf first-countable space has cardinality at most continuum was first posed. Several researchers have attacked this question offering partial answers to it (see [7], [9], [16], [20], [21], [24] and [25] for example). Besides, the same authors have embarked in a systematic study of the cellular-Lindelöf and related properties, showing, among other things, that it has an interesting behavior with the product operation (see [3] and [12]). In particular, Dow and Stephenson [12] constructed an example of a cellular-Lindelöf space whose product with the one point-compactification of a discrete space is not cellular-Lindelöf.

Almost cellular-Lindelöf spaces were introduced by Alas, Gutiérrez-Domínguez and Wilson in [2]. They are an interesting subclass of both the class of feebly Lindelöf spaces and that of cellular-Lindelöf spaces. The authors of [2] showed that the Mrówka-Isbell Ψ -space over a MAD family on ω_1 is an example of an almost cellular-Lindelöf space which is neither cellular-Lindelöf nor weakly Lindelöf, but left open the

existence of a normal example with the same features. Assuming the existence of a tower of length ω_2 of uncountable subsets of ω_1 , we will construct such an example, thus giving a consistent answer to their question.

On the positive side, the authors of [2] proved that almost-cellular-Lindelöf space of Lindelöf number at most ω_1 are weakly Lindelöf. That begs the question whether such spaces have also countable weak Lindelöf number for closed sets. By exploiting scales, we will construct a consistent example of an even cellular-Lindelöf space of Lindelöf number ω_1 whose weak Lindelöf number for closed sets is uncountable.

We also construct a ZFC example of a space for which both normality and the almost cellular-Lindelöf property are undecided in ZFC. That will be a byproduct of a characterization of when removing a point from a Lindelöf P -space results in a cellular-Lindelöf space. Even just for normality this appears to be new.

All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. Our notation regarding cardinal functions follows [15]. In particular, given a topological space X , $\chi(X)$, $\pi\chi(X)$, $L(X)$ and $c(X)$ denote the character, π -character, Lindelöf degree and cellularity of X respectively.

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Given a space X and subsets $S, Q \subset X$, the set S is said to be *concentrated on Q* if for every open set U with $Q \subset U$ the set $S \setminus U$ is countable. It was proved by Rothberger in 1939 that under $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ it is possible to construct an uncountable set of irrational numbers that is concentrated on the rationals. The following discussion can be found in [22] in more detail and generality.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the power set of ω . The Cantor set topology on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is the topology whose base is the collection \mathcal{B} of all sets of the form

$$[x; n] = \{y \in \mathcal{P}(\omega) : y \cap n = x \cap n\}$$

for $x \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $n < \omega$.

We will identify each infinite subset of ω with its natural enumerating function, that is, given $x \in [\omega]^\omega$, define $x \in \omega^\omega$ as follows: $x(0) = \min x$ and $x(n+1) = \min(x \setminus x(n))$, for every $n < \omega$. Given $x, y \in [\omega]^\omega$ we write $x \leq^* y$ if the set $\{n < \omega : y(n) < x(n)\}$ is finite. The cardinal \mathfrak{b} is the minimal size of a \leq^* -unbounded family in $[\omega]^\omega$.

A set $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ is called a \mathfrak{b} -scale if it is \leq^* -unbounded and $x_\alpha \leq^* x_\beta$, whenever $\alpha < \beta < \mathfrak{b}$. It is well-known that there are \mathfrak{b} -scales in ZFC and if $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ then any \mathfrak{b} -scale is concentrated on $[\omega]^{<\omega}$.

The following theorem answers Question 2.4 in [2].

Theorem 2.1. $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ implies there is a cellular-Lindelöf Tychonoff space X such that $L(X) \leq \omega_1$ but $wL_c(X) = \omega_1$.

Proof. As before, denote by \mathcal{B} the standard base for the Cantor set topology on $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. Let

$$X = [\omega]^{<\omega} \cup (\omega_1 \times [\omega]^\omega).$$

We define a topology on X as follows. A basic open neighbourhood for a point $(\alpha, x) \in \omega_1 \times [\omega]^\omega$ has the form $\{\alpha\} \times (B \cap [\omega]^\omega)$, where $B \in \mathcal{B}$. A basic open neighbourhood of a point $x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ is of the form

$$(B \cap [\omega]^{<\omega}) \cup ((\omega_1 \setminus F) \times (B \cap [\omega]^\omega)),$$

where $B \in \mathcal{B}$, $x \in B$ and $F \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$. Notice that X is a Hausdorff space with a base of clopen sets, so it is zero-dimensional and, in particular, completely regular.

We make two observations about the topology of X :

- (1) $\{\alpha\} \times [\omega]^\omega$ is a clopen subset of X which is homeomorphic to the irrational numbers, for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, and
- (2) $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ is a closed and nowhere dense subset of X that is countable (in fact, homeomorphic to \mathbb{Q}).

The set $\omega_1 \times \{\omega\}$ is closed discrete and of size ω_1 , so $wL_c(X) \geq \omega_1$. To see that $L(X) \leq \omega_1$, notice that $\{\alpha\} \times [\omega]^\omega$ is homeomorphic to the irrationals for each $\alpha < \omega_1$, so X is the union of ω_1 many Lindelöf subspaces.

Let us now prove that X is cellular-Lindelöf. Let \mathcal{U} be a cellular family in X ; we need to find a Lindelöf subspace L of X which intersects each member of \mathcal{U} . Since $\omega_1 \times [\omega]^\omega$ is an open dense subset of X with cellularity ω_1 , we may assume, without loss of generality, that $|\mathcal{U}| = \omega_1$ and that each member of \mathcal{U} is a basic clopen subset of some $\{\beta\} \times [\omega]^\omega$. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be an enumeration of \mathcal{U} . Then, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$, there are $\beta_\alpha < \omega_1$, $n_\alpha < \omega$ and $s_\alpha \in [\omega]^\omega$ such that

$$U_\alpha = \{\beta_\alpha\} \times ([s_\alpha; n_\alpha] \cap [\omega]^\omega).$$

Note that, since $[\omega]^\omega$ is a ccc space, the map $\alpha \mapsto \beta_\alpha$ is countable-to-one. In order to define L , we construct a set of irrational numbers concentrated on the rationals.

Claim. There is a \mathfrak{b} -scale $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$ such that $y_\alpha \in [s_\alpha; n_\alpha]$, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$

Proof of Claim. We can use $\mathfrak{b} = \omega_1$ to fix a \mathfrak{b} -scale $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset [\omega]^\omega$. We recursively construct another \mathfrak{b} -scale $Y = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset$

$[\omega]^\omega$ with the additional property that $y_\alpha \cap n_\alpha = s_\alpha \cap n_\alpha$, for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ in the following way.

Assume that $\{y_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\}$ has been chosen for some $\beta < \omega_1$. Since the set $S_\beta = \{y_\alpha : \alpha < \beta\} \cup \{x_\beta\}$ has cardinality less than \mathfrak{b} we can find a \leq^* -bound $b_\beta \in [\omega]^\omega$ for S_β . Let $m_\beta = |s_\beta \cap n_\beta|$. We define y_β in the following way. First, we choose the first m_β elements of y_β in such a way that $y_\beta \cap n_\beta = s_\beta \cap n_\beta$. After this, we let $y_\beta(m_\beta + i) = n_\beta + b_\beta(m_\beta + i)$, for each $i < \omega$. In this way, y_β is a well-defined infinite subset of ω , $y_\beta \in [s_\beta; n_\beta]$ and $b_\beta \leq^* y_\beta$. It is therefore clear that Y is a \mathfrak{b} -scale. \triangle

Let now $L = \{(\beta_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1\} \cup [\omega]^{<\omega}$ and note that L intersects every member of \mathcal{U} . We claim that L is Lindelöf. Let \mathcal{V} be an open cover of L consisting of basic open sets. For each $x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$ choose $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in V_x$. Thus, given $x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, there exist $t_x \subset \omega$, $k_x < \omega$ and $F_x \in [\omega_1]^{<\omega}$ such that:

$$V_x = ([t_x; k_x] \cap [\omega]^{<\omega}) \cup ((\omega_1 \setminus F_x) \times ([t_x; k_x] \cap [\omega]^\omega)).$$

Since $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ is countable, there exists $\lambda < \omega_1$ such that:

$$\bigcup \{F_x : x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\} \subset \lambda.$$

Let $W = \bigcup \{[t_x; k_x] : x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}$. Since Y is concentrated on $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ there exists $\eta < \omega_1$ such that $\{y_\alpha : \eta \leq \alpha < \omega_1\} \subset W$.

Note first that $\mathcal{V}_0 := \{V_x : x \in [\omega]^{<\omega}\}$ is a countable subcollection of \mathcal{V} that covers $[\omega]^{<\omega} \cup \{(\beta_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \eta \leq \alpha < \omega_1, \lambda \leq \beta_\alpha\}$.

Since the map $\alpha \mapsto \beta_\alpha$ is countable-to-one, the set $\{(\beta_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1, \beta_\alpha < \lambda\}$ is countable; similarly the set $\{(\beta_\alpha, y_\alpha) : \alpha \leq \eta, \lambda \leq \beta_\alpha\}$ is also countable, so there is a countable subcollection \mathcal{V}_1 of \mathcal{V} which covers both sets. It turns out that $\mathcal{V}_0 \cup \mathcal{V}_1$ is a countable subcollection of \mathcal{V} which covers L . \square

We now turn to the construction of a normal almost-cellular Lindelöf space which is neither cellular-Lindelöf nor weakly Lindelöf. The authors of [2] proved that if \mathcal{A} is a maximal almost disjoint family of uncountable subsets of ω_1 then the Mrówka-Isbell Ψ -space over \mathcal{A} is a Tychonoff non-normal example with all the required properties. We begin by proving that MADness of \mathcal{A} is actually equivalent to almost cellular-Lindelöfness of the associated Ψ -space, which shows that different combinatorial tools are needed to get a normal example.

Let κ be an infinite cardinal and let \mathcal{A} be a family of κ -sized subsets of κ . Recall that \mathcal{A} is said to be an almost disjoint family (AD family, for short) if $|A \cap B| < \kappa$, for every $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ with $A \neq B$. An AD family is said to be a MAD family if it is a maximal AD family.

Given an AD family \mathcal{A} , the Ψ -space over \mathcal{A} is the space $\Psi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} \cup \kappa$, where points of κ are isolated and a basic neighbourhood of a point $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is $\{A\} \cup (A \setminus F)$, where $|F| < \kappa$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{A} be an almost disjoint family on ω_1 . Then $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ is almost cellular-Lindelöf if and only if \mathcal{A} is maximal almost disjoint.*

Proof. The reverse implication was proved in [2]. For the direct implication, assume that $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ is almost cellular-Lindelöf and let B be an uncountable subset of ω_1 . Then $\{\{\alpha\} : \alpha \in B\}$ is a cellular family in $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ and therefore there is a Lindelöf subspace $L \subset \Psi(\mathcal{A})$ and an uncountable set $C \subset B$ such that $L \cap \{\alpha\} \neq \emptyset$, for every $\alpha \in C$.

Note that \mathcal{A} is a closed discrete subset of $\psi(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore $L \cap \mathcal{A}$ is Lindelöf and hence countable. It turns out that there are a countable family $\{A_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and a countable set $F \subset \omega_1$ such that $L \subset \bigcup \{\{A_n\} \cup A_n : n < \omega\} \cup F$. Now, since C is uncountable, there must be $n_0 < \omega$ and $D \subset C$ such that $\beta \in A_{n_0}$, for every $\beta \in D$. It follows that $A_{n_0} \cap B$ is uncountable, and therefore \mathcal{A} is a maximal almost disjoint family. \square

Note that the Ψ -space over an almost disjoint family on ω is always cellular-Lindelöf, because it's separable, whereas the Ψ -space over an almost disjoint family on ω_1 is never cellular-Lindelöf.

Let κ be a regular uncountable cardinal and let A and B be subsets of κ . We say that A is almost contained in B , and we write $A \subseteq^* B$, if the set $A \setminus B$ has cardinality strictly smaller than κ .

Let \mathcal{F} be a subfamily of $[\kappa]^\kappa$. We say that \mathcal{F} has the strong intersection property if for every subfamily \mathcal{G} of \mathcal{F} of size $< \kappa$, the intersection $\bigcap \mathcal{G}$ has cardinality κ . Moreover, we say that $P \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ is a *pseudointersection* for \mathcal{F} if $P \subseteq^* F$, for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The cardinal \mathfrak{p}_κ is defined as the minimal cardinality of a subfamily in $[\kappa]^\kappa$ which has no pseudointersection.

A sequence $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subset [\kappa]^\kappa$ with the strong intersection property is called a tower if $T_\beta \subseteq^* T_\alpha$, for every $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$. The *tower number* \mathfrak{t}_κ is defined as the minimal cardinality of a tower $\mathcal{T} \subset [\kappa]^\kappa$.

It is easy to see that these cardinals are well-defined and $\kappa^+ \leq \mathfrak{p}_\kappa \leq \mathfrak{t}_\kappa \leq 2^\kappa$ (see [19]). So, in particular $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa = \mathfrak{t}_\kappa = \kappa^+$ if the GCH holds at κ . Malliaris and Shelah proved that $\mathfrak{p}_\omega = \mathfrak{t}_\omega$ in ZFC, but the question of whether $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa = \mathfrak{t}_\kappa$ in ZFC for every regular cardinal κ (or even for $\kappa = \omega_1$) is still open. For some progress on that question, as well as a model of ZFC where $\mathfrak{p}_\kappa = \mathfrak{t}_\kappa = \kappa^+ < 2^\kappa$ see [13].

The following theorem consistently answers the first half of Question 2.8 from [2].

Theorem 2.3. $\mathfrak{t}_{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ implies there is a normal almost cellular-Lindelöf space X which is neither cellular-Lindelöf nor weakly Lindelöf.

Proof. Fix a tower $\mathcal{T}' = \{T_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ of uncountable subsets of ω_1 . Let $S = \{\alpha < \omega_2 : cf(\alpha) \neq \omega\}$ and let $\mathcal{T} = \{T_\alpha : \alpha \in S\}$. Let $X = \mathcal{T} \cup \omega_1$. Declare every point of ω_1 to be isolated and declare a basic neighbourhood of a point $T_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ to be

$$U(\beta, \alpha, C) := \{T_\gamma : \gamma \in (\beta, \alpha] \cap S\} \cup T_\beta \setminus (T_\alpha \cup C)$$

where $\beta < \alpha$ and C is a countable set.

Claim 1 The space X is a P -space.

Proof of Claim 1. It suffices to prove that every point of X is a P -point. This is obviously true for every point of ω_1 , as well as for every T_α such that α is a successor ordinal. Now fix a limit ordinal $\alpha \in S$, ordinals $\beta_n < \alpha$ and a countable subset C_n of X , for every $n < \omega$. We will prove that $\bigcap \{U(\beta_n, \alpha, C_n) : n < \omega\}$ is an open neighbourhood of T_α . Indeed, let $\beta = \sup\{\beta_n : n < \omega\} < \alpha$ and let $F_n = T_\beta \setminus T_{\beta_n}$, which is a countable set. Finally, let $C = \bigcup \{C_n \cup F_n : n < \omega\}$. Then

$$T_\alpha \in U(\beta, \alpha, C) \subset \bigcap \{U(\beta_n, \alpha, C_n) : n < \omega\}$$

which proves that T_α is a P -point. △

Claim 2. The space X has countable extent.

Proof of Claim 2. First of all note that the subspace \mathcal{T} is closed in X and has countable extent. Indeed, \mathcal{T} is homeomorphic to S with the order topology. Now let A be a subspace of S having cardinality ω_1 ; there must be an ordinal $\gamma < \omega_2$ such that $A \subset \gamma$. But then A is a subset of $S \cap (\gamma + 1)$, which is a Lindelöf space. It turns out that A must have an accumulation point.

Now suppose by contradiction that X contains an uncountable closed discrete subset D . We can assume $|D| = \omega_1$ and, by the above argument, we can also assume that $D \subset \omega_1$. Since \mathcal{T} has no uncountable pseudointersection, we can consider the minimum ordinal $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $D \setminus T_\alpha$ is uncountable. It is easy to see that T_α is an accumulation point of D (as a matter of fact, D is a transfinite sequence converging to T_α). △

Claim 3. The space X is not cellular-Lindelöf.

Proof of Claim 3. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\{\alpha\} : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ which is a cellular family in X . Suppose by contradiction that there exists a Lindelöf subspace L of X such that $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $\omega_1 \subset L$, so, in particular L is dense in X . But since L is a Lindelöf subspace of a P -space then L must be closed in X . It follows that L must be equal to X , but that's a contradiction, since X is easily seen not to be Lindelöf: it suffices to note that \mathcal{T} is closed in X and homeomorphic to S with the order topology. \triangle

Claim 4. The space X is almost cellular-Lindelöf.

Proof of Claim 4. Let $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ be a cellular family. Since X is a P -space we can assume each U_α is clopen. By countable extent there must be $\tau < \omega_2$ such that $T_\tau \in \overline{\bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}} \setminus \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$, or otherwise $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ would be a discrete family. Since $\chi(T_\tau, X) = \omega_1$, there is an ω_1 -sequence $S \subset \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$ which converges to T_τ . Moreover, since $T_\tau \notin U_\alpha = \overline{U_\alpha}$ for every $\alpha < \omega_1$, the intersection $S \cap U_\alpha$ is at most countable, for every $\alpha < \omega_1$. Therefore $L = S \cup \{T_\tau\}$ is a Lindelöf subspace of X which intersects ω_1 many U_α 's. \triangle

Claim 5. The space X is not weakly Lindelöf.

Proof of Claim 5. For every $\alpha \in S$, let U_α be the following open subset of X :

$$U_\alpha = U(0, \alpha, \emptyset) = \{T_\gamma : \gamma \in [0, \alpha] \cap S\} \cup T_0 \setminus T_\alpha.$$

Then $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\} \cup \{\{\beta\} : \beta < \omega_1\}$ is an open cover of X .

Suppose by contradiction that there are countably many ordinals $\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\} \subset S$ and countably many ordinals $\{\beta_n : n < \omega\} \subset \omega_1$ such that

$$X \subset \overline{\bigcup\{U_{\alpha_n} : n < \omega\} \cup \bigcup\{\{\beta_n\} : n < \omega\}}.$$

Let $\gamma \in S$ be an ordinal such that $\sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\} < \gamma$ and let $C = \bigcup\{T_\gamma \setminus T_{\alpha_n} : n < \omega\} \cup \{\beta_n : n < \omega\}$. Then $U(\gamma, \gamma+1, C)$ is an open subset of X which is disjoint from $\bigcup\{U_{\alpha_n} : n < \omega\} \cup \bigcup\{\{\beta_n\} : n < \omega\}$, but that is a contradiction. \triangle

\square

We would like to finish by constructing a ZFC example of a space for which having the cellular-Lindelöf property is independent of ZFC. Our example is a byproduct of a positive result (Corollary 2.8) which has independent interest. We finally prove that also the normality of

our example is independent of ZFC. It appears that the existence of such an example is also new.

Recall that a space X is said to be *strongly cellular-Lindelöf* (see [7]) if for every cellular family \mathcal{U} in X there is a *closed* Lindelöf subspace L of X such that $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Note that every cellular-compact space is strongly cellular-Lindelöf. Moreover, cellular-Lindelöfness and strong cellular-Lindelöfness are equivalent for P -spaces.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a P -space. Then X is cellular-Lindelöf if and only if X is strongly cellular-Lindelöf.*

Proof. It suffices to note that every Lindelöf subspace of a P -space is closed. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a strongly cellular-Lindelöf space. Then every regular closed subset of X is strongly cellular-Lindelöf.*

Proof. Let F be a regular closed subset of X (that is, $F = \overline{Int(F)}$). Let \mathcal{G} be a cellular family in F . Then for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$ there is an open subset U_G of X such that $G = U_G \cap F$. It turns out that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_G \cap Int(F) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is a cellular family in X and therefore there is a closed Lindelöf subspace L of X such that $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Then $L \cap F$ is a closed Lindelöf subspace of F such that $(L \cap F) \cap G$ is non-empty, for every $G \in \mathcal{G}$ and therefore F is strongly cellular-Lindelöf. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let X be a strongly cellular-Lindelöf regular space. If $p \in X$ does not have a disjoint local π -base then $X \setminus \{p\}$ is strongly cellular-Lindelöf.*

Proof. Assume that p does not have a disjoint local π -base and let \mathcal{U} be a cellular family in $X \setminus \{p\}$. Then \mathcal{U} is not a local π -base at p and hence there is a neighbourhood V of p such that $U \setminus V \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. Since X is a regular space we can actually assume that $U \setminus \overline{V} \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and therefore $\{U \setminus \overline{V} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a cellular family in the regular closed subspace $F = X \setminus \overline{V}$ of X . By the lemma above we can find a closed Lindelöf subspace $L \subset F$ such that $L \cap (U \setminus \overline{V}) \neq \emptyset$, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$. But then L is a closed Lindelöf subspace of $X \setminus \{p\}$ which meets every member of \mathcal{U} and that proves that $X \setminus \{p\}$ is strongly cellular-Lindelöf. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a regular P -space and $p \in X$ be a non-isolated point. If $X \setminus \{p\}$ is cellular-Lindelöf then p does not have a disjoint local π -base.*

Proof. Assume that $X \setminus \{p\}$ is cellular-Lindelöf and suppose by contradiction that p has a disjoint local π -base \mathcal{B} . By thinning out the elements of \mathcal{B} we can assume that $p \notin \overline{B}$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and therefore \mathcal{B} is a cellular family in $X \setminus \{p\}$. Hence there exists a Lindelöf subspace L of $X \setminus \{p\}$ such that $L \cap B \neq \emptyset$, for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. From the definition of a local π -base, $p \in \overline{L}$. Since a Lindelöf subspace of a P -space is closed, then $L = \overline{L}$. Thus, $p \in L \subset X \setminus \{p\}$. But that is a contradiction and hence we are done. \square

The assumption that X is a P -space cannot be removed from the proposition above. Indeed, if X is any compact first-countable space without isolated points then, for every point $p \in X$, the space $X \setminus \{p\}$ is σ -compact and hence strongly cellular-Lindelöf, but p has a disjoint local π -base.

Corollary 2.8. *Let X be a cellular-Lindelöf P -space and $p \in X$ be a point. Then $X \setminus \{p\}$ is cellular-Lindelöf if and only if p does not have a disjoint local π -base.*

Proof. Combine Theorem 2.6 and 2.7 with Lemma 2.4. \square

Given an infinite cardinal κ recall that the σ -product of 2^κ is defined as $\sigma(2^\kappa) = \{x \in 2^\kappa : |x^{-1}(1)| < \aleph_0\}$. Given a space X , recall that the G_δ topology X_δ is the topology on X generated by the G_δ subsets of X . It is well-known that $\sigma(2^\kappa)_\delta$ is a Lindelöf space. The easiest way to see this is to note that $\sigma(2^\kappa)$ is the union of countably many compact scattered spaces and use a result of Arhangel'skii stating that the G_δ topology on a compact scattered space is Lindelöf (see [5]).

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\kappa \geq \omega_2$ and $X = \sigma(2^\kappa)_\delta$. Then $X \setminus \{p\}$ is cellular-Lindelöf, for every $p \in X$.*

Proof. Note first that $\pi\chi(p, X) = \text{cof}([\kappa]^\omega, \subseteq) \geq \kappa \geq \omega_2$. To see why the equality is true, then let \mathcal{U} be a local π -base at p . Without loss of generality we can assume that \mathcal{U} is made up of basic open sets and enumerate \mathcal{U} as $\{[\sigma_\alpha] : \alpha < \lambda\}$, where $\sigma_\alpha \in Fn(\kappa, 2, \omega_1)$, for every $\alpha < \lambda$ and given $\sigma \in Fn(\kappa, 2, \omega_1)$, $[\sigma] = \{f \in X : \sigma \subseteq f\}$. Given $A \in [\kappa]^\omega$ we see that $[\sigma_\alpha] \subseteq [p \upharpoonright A]$ if and only if $p \upharpoonright A \subseteq \sigma$, which is equivalent to $A \subset \text{dom}(\sigma)$ and $p(\beta) = \sigma(\beta)$ for every $\beta \in A$. It follows that the family of domains of elements of \mathcal{U} is cofinal in $([\kappa]^\omega, \subseteq)$. Viceversa, if \mathcal{A} is a cofinal family in $([\kappa]^\omega, \subseteq)$ then $\{[p \upharpoonright A] : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is even a local base at p .

On the other hand $c(X) = \omega_1$, because X is an uncountable Lindelöf P -group (see [23]). It follows that p cannot have a disjoint local π -base and hence by Corollary 2.8 the space $X \setminus \{p\}$ is cellular-Lindelöf. \square

Corollary 2.10. *The space $\sigma(2^{\omega_1})_\delta \setminus \{p\}$ is not cellular-Lindelöf, for every $p \in \sigma(2^{\omega_1})$.*

Proof. Being a P -space of character ω_1 , the space $\sigma(2^{\omega_1})_\delta$ has a disjoint local π -base at every point (see [11]) and therefore the statement follows from Corollary 2.8. \square

As a byproduct we obtain a ZFC example of a space whose cellular-Lindelöf property is independent of ZFC.

Theorem 2.11. *There is a Tychonoff space X such that X is cellular-Lindelöf if and only if CH fails.*

Proof. It suffices to set $X = \sigma(2^\mathfrak{c})_\delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and use Corollaries 2.9 and 2.10. \square

We finally prove that the construction from Theorem 2.11 provides an example of a space whose normality is independent of ZFC.

Theorem 2.12. *There is a ZFC example of a space X such that X is normal under CH and X is not normal under not CH.*

Proof. Again, let $X = \sigma(2^\mathfrak{c})_\delta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Under CH, every P -space of cardinality continuum is even paracompact (see [14]). Thus, X is normal under CH.

Assume now that $\mathfrak{c} > \aleph_1$, and let $e_\alpha \in 2^\mathfrak{c}$ be the function defined as follows:

$$e_\alpha(\beta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \beta \neq \alpha, \text{ and} \\ 1, & \text{if } \beta = \alpha. \end{cases}$$

Then $\{e_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a closed discrete subset of X . Let $\{A, B\}$ be a partition of \mathfrak{c} into two sets such that $|A| = \aleph_1$. We will show that the disjoint closed sets $F_A = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ and $F_B = \{e_\alpha : \alpha \in B\}$ cannot be separated. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that U_A and U_B are disjoint open subsets of X such that $F_A \subset U_A$ and $F_B \subset U_B$.

For every $\alpha \in A$ let $\sigma_\alpha : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow 2$ be a countable partial function such that $e_\alpha \in [\sigma_\alpha] \subset U_A$ and, for every $\beta \in B$, let $\sigma_\beta : \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow 2$ be a countable partial function such that $e_\beta \in [\sigma_\beta] \subset U_B$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha)$, for every $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$.

Use $\mathfrak{c} > \aleph_1$ to find an ordinal $\gamma < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $\gamma \notin \bigcup \{\text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$. Then $\gamma \in B$ and hence

$$[\sigma_\gamma] \cap [\sigma_\alpha] = \emptyset \text{ for every } \alpha \in A.$$

Fix $\alpha \in A$ and note that $\gamma \notin \text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha)$. Therefore $\sigma_\alpha(\beta) = 0$ for every $\beta \in \text{dom}(\sigma_\gamma) \cap \text{dom}(\sigma_\alpha) \setminus \{\alpha\}$. It follows that in order for $[\sigma_\gamma]$ to be disjoint from $[\sigma_\alpha]$ we must have $\alpha \in \text{dom}(\sigma_\gamma)$.

Since α was an arbitrary element of A we deduce that $A \subset \text{dom}(\sigma_\gamma)$, but that contradicts the fact that σ_γ is a countable partial function.

Therefore X is not normal if CH doesn't hold. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Research of the first-named author was supported by a grant of the GNSAGA group of INdAM and the FORDECYT-PRONACES grant 64356/2020 of CONAHCyT. The second-named author was supported by a grant from the GNSAGA group of INdAM and by a grant from the Fondo Finalizzato alla Ricerca di Ateneo (FFR 2024) of the University of Palermo.

REFERENCES

- [1] O.T. Alas, *More topological cardinal inequalities*, Colloq. Math. **65** (1993), 165–168.
- [2] O.T. Alas, L.E. Gutiérrez-Domínguez and R.G. Wilson, *Compact productivity of Lindelöf-type properties*, Acta Math. Hung. **167** (2022), 648–560.
- [3] O.T. Alas, L.R. Junqueira, M.D. Passos and R.G. Wilson *On cellular-compactness and related properties*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **114** (2020), Article Number 101.
- [4] A.V. Arhangel'skii, *A theorem on cardinality*, Russ. Math. Surv. **34** (1979), 153–154.
- [5] A.V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological function spaces*, Mathematics and its Applications. Soviet series **78** Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer Academic Publishers, ix, 205 p. (1992).
- [6] M. Bell, J. Ginsburg and G. Woods, *Cardinal inequalities for topological spaces involving the weak Lindelöf number*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **79** (1978), 37–45.
- [7] A. Bella, *On cellular-compact and related spaces*, Topology Appl. **281** (2020), Article ID 107203.
- [8] A. Bella and S. Spadaro, *On the cardinality of almost discretely Lindelöf spaces*, Monatsh. Math. **186** (2018), 345–353.
- [9] A. Bella and S. Spadaro, *Cardinal invariants of cellular-Lindelöf spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **113** (2019), 2805–2811.
- [10] A. Bella and S. Spadaro, *A common extension of Arhangel'skii's Theorem and the Hajnal-Juhász's inequality*, Can. Math. Bull. **63** (2020), 197–203.
- [11] A. Bella and S. Spadaro, *Strongly discrete subsets with Lindelöf closures*, Topology Proc. **59** (2022), 89–98.
- [12] A. Dow and R.M. Stephenson, *Productivity of cellular-Lindelöf spaces*, Topology Appl. **290** (2021), Article ID 107606.
- [13] V. Fischer, D.C. Montoya, J. Schilhan and D.T. Soukup, *Towers and gaps at uncountable cardinals*, Fundam. Math. **257** (2022), 141–166.
- [14] J. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 12, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1964.

- [15] I. Juhász, *Cardinal Functions in Topology - Ten Years Later*, Math. Centre Tracts 123, 1980, Amsterdam.
- [16] I. Juhász, L. Soukup and Z. Szentmiklóssy, *On cellular-compact spaces*, Acta Math. Hung. **162** (2020), 549–556.
- [17] M. Malliaris and S. Shelah, *Cofinality spectrum theorems in model theory, set theory and general topology*, J. Am. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), 237–297.
- [18] A.W. Miller, *Special subsets of the real line*, in: Handbook of Set-theoretic Topology, 201–233, North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1984.
- [19] J. Schilhan, *Generalised pseudointersections*, Math. Log. Q. **65** (2019), 479–489.
- [20] S. Singh, *On star-cellular-Lindelöf spaces*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **115** (2021), Article Number 190.
- [21] V.V. Tkachuk and R.G. Wilson, *Cellular-compact spaces and their applications*, Acta Math. Hung. **159** (2019), 674–688.
- [22] B. Tsaban, *Menger’s and Hurewicz’s problems: solutions from the book and refinements*, in: Set Theory and its Applications, 211–226, Contemp. Math. 533. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI 2011.
- [23] V.V. Uspenskij, *On continuous images of Lindelöf topological groups*, Sov. Math., Dokl. **32** (1985), 802–806.
- [24] W.-F. Xuan and Y.-K. Song, *A study of cellular-Lindelöf spaces*, Topology Appl. **251** (2019), 1–9.
- [25] W.-F. Xuan and Y.-K. Song, *More on cellular-Lindelöf spaces*, Topology Appl. **266** (2019), Article ID 106861.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA METROPOLITANA CAMPUS IZTAPALAPA, AV. SAN RAFAEL ATLIXCO 186, LEYES DE REFORMA 1A SECCIÓN, IZTAPALAPA, 09310, MEXICO CITY, MEXICO

Email address: rod@xanum.uam.mx

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA, UNIVERSITÀ DI PALERMO, VIALE DELLE SCIENZE, ED. 8, 90128, PALERMO, ITALY

Email address: santidomenico.spadaro@unipa.it, santidspadaro@gmail.com