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#### Abstract

The ground state of QCD with two flavors (up and down quarks) at finite baryon density in sufficiently strong magnetic field is in a form of either a chiral soliton lattice(CSL), an array of solitons stacked along the magnetic field, or a domain-wall Skyrmion phase in which Skyrmions are spontaneously created on top of the CSL. In the latter, one 2D (baby) Skyrmion in the chiral soliton corresponds to two 3D Skyrmions (baryons) in the bulk. In this paper, we study spin statistics of topological solitons by using the following two methods: the conventional Witten's method by embedding the pion fields of two flavors into those of three flavors with the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) term, and a more direct method by using the two-flavor WZW term written in terms of a spin structure. We find that a chiral soliton of finite quantized size called a pancake soliton and a hole on a chiral soliton are fermions or bosons depending on odd or even quantizations of their surface areas, respectively, and a domain-wall Skyrmion is a boson. We also propose surgeries of topological solitons: a domain-wall Skyrmion (boson) can be cut into a pancake soliton (fermion) and a hole (fermion), and a chiral soliton without Skyrmions can be cut into a pancake soliton (fermion) and a hole (fermion).
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## 1 Introduction

Spin statistics says that a wave function or a Hilbert space is invariant under exchanges of two bosons while it acquires a minus sign under exchange of two fermions. Bosons and fermions obey Bose-Einstein and Fermi-Dirac statistics, respectively. Anyons can exist in lower dimensions. In the case of elementary particles or their composites, their statistics are a priori given by their definition. However, once one considers solitons, the problem becomes quite subtle. For instance, magnetic monopoles are fermions [1], and Skyrmions [2] are fermions or bosons for odd or even number $N_{\mathrm{C}}$ of an $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ color gauge group,
respectively $[3,4]$ (see also refs. [5-7] as a review). In an $O(3)$ model in $2+1$ dimensions, topological lumps are anyons in the presence of the Chern-Simons (CS)-like term [8, 9].

Here we are interested in particular in Skyrmions. When chiral symmetry undergoes spontaneous breaking in Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), the emergence of massless Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons or pions, which are dominant at low energy, is observed. This low-energy dynamics can be described by either the chiral Lagrangian or the chiral perturbation theory (ChPT) centered on the pionic degree of freedom. Importantly, this description is predominantly dictated by symmetries and only modulated by certain constants, including the pion's decay constant $f_{\pi}$ and quark masses $m_{\pi}[10,11]$. Skyrmions are topological solitons supported by the third homotopy group $\pi_{3}\left[\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right] \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in the chiral Lagrangian [2] where $N_{\mathrm{F}}$ is a number of flavors, and they are proposed to describe baryons. In QCD, baryons are composite particles consisting of $N_{\mathrm{C}}$ quarks in $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{QCD}$, and thus they are fermions or bosons for odd or even $N_{\mathrm{C}}$, respectively. In order for Skyrmions to be identified with baryons, they have to exhibit such spin statistics. Witten clarified this problem in his elegant paper [4], for $N_{\mathrm{F}}$ flavors of quarks with $N_{\mathrm{F}} \geq 3$ : the Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) term topologically supported by $\pi_{5}\left[\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{\mathrm{F}}\right)\right] \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ for $N_{\mathrm{F}} \geq 3$ is present in a response to the chiral anomaly in QCD. This term gives a correct sign $(-1)^{N_{\mathrm{C}}}$ in front of the action in the presence of a Skyrmion adiabatically rotated by $2 \pi$ so that the Skyrmion is shown to be a fermion or boson for odd or even $N_{\mathrm{C}}$, respectively. This elegant description breaks down for two flavors $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ because of the absence of the WZW term with $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ due to $\pi_{5}\left[\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{\mathrm{F}}=2\right)\right]=0$. In order to overcome this problem for $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$, Witten proposed to embed the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral Lagrangian to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ one to obtain the correct statistics of a Skyrmion [4] (see also [12]).

Recently the WZW term for $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ associated with a spin structure [13] has been found. Although this $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ WZW term is perturbatively absent in a flat Minkowski spacetime, it gives a nontrivial contribution when one considers spin statistics: one compactifies the time direction to $S^{1}$ and adiabatically moves a Skyrmion by $2 \pi$ in that direction. By doing so, one finds that the WZW term gives the correct $\operatorname{sign}(-1)^{N_{\mathrm{C}}}$ in front of the action: it is a fermion or boson for even odd or even $N_{\mathrm{C}}$, respectively.

The purpose of this paper is to clarify spin statistics of Skyrmions and chiral solitons in QCD with two flavors (up and down quarks) at finite density in the presence of strong magnetic field. Recently, the QCD phase diagram, especially under extreme conditions like high baryon density, pronounced magnetic fields, and rapid rotation, garners significant attention [14]. As an extreme condition, QCD in strong magnetic fields has received quite intense attention because of the interior of neutron stars and heavy-ion collisions. In the presence of an external magnetic field $B$ at finite chemical potential $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$, the chiral Lagrangian is ac-
companied by the WZW term containing an anomalous coupling of the neutral pion $\pi^{0}$ to the magnetic field via the chiral anomaly $[15,16]$ in terms of the Goldstone-Wilczek current [17, 18]. It was determined to reproduce the so-called chiral separation effect [14, 15, 1921] in terms of the neutral pion $\pi^{0}$. Then, under a sufficiently strong magnetic field $B$ satisfying $B \geq B_{\mathrm{CSL}}=16 \pi m_{\pi} / f_{\pi}^{2} e \mu_{\mathrm{B}}$, the ground state of QCD with two flavors becomes inhomogeneous in the form of a chiral soliton lattice (CSL) consisting of a stack of domain walls or solitons carrying a baryon number [16, 22, 23]. While these results are based on zero temperature analyses, it was also shown that thermal fluctuations enhance their stability [24-27]. ${ }^{1}$ However, such a CSL state has two kinds of instabilities, and is replaced by another state. One is a charged pion condensation (CPC) in a region of higher density and/or stronger magnetic field, asymptotically expressed at large $B$ as $B \geq B_{\mathrm{CPC}} \sim 16 \pi^{4} f_{\pi}^{4} / \mu_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}$ [23] above which tachyon appears and the CSL becomes unstable. An Abrikosov's vortex lattice was proposed as a consequence of the CPC [40, 41]. More relevant instability of the CSL occurring below the CPC instability is a transition to a domain-wall Skyrmion (DWSk) phase in a region $B \geq B_{\mathrm{DWSk}}\left(<B_{\mathrm{CPC}}\right)$ in which Skyrmions are created on top of the solitons in the ground state [42, 43]. These two instability curves meet at a single tricritical point $\left(\mu_{\mathrm{c}}, B_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=\left(16 \pi f_{\pi}^{2} / 3 m_{\pi}, 3 m_{\pi}^{2} / e\right) \approx\left(1.03 \mathrm{GeV}, 0.06 \mathrm{GeV}^{2} \sim 1.0 \times 10^{19} \mathrm{G}\right)$ on the critical curve $B=B_{\mathrm{CSL}}$ of the CSL phase. Domain-wall Skyrmions are composite states of a domain wall and Skyrmions, initially introduced in the field theoretical models in $3+1$ dimensions [44-49] and in $2+1$ dimensions [50-52]. ${ }^{2}$ In condensed matter physics, a $2+1$ dimensional variant has been theoretically investigated [54-61] and experimentally observed in chiral magnets [62, 63], in which case a baby Skyrmion supported by $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ becomes a sine-Gordon soliton supported by $\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in the domain-wall effective theory which is a sine-Gordon model. By contrast, in QCD in $3+1$ dimensions, Skyrmions supported by $\pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ are absorbed into a chiral soliton to become topological lumps (or baby Skyrmions) supported by $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ in an $\mathrm{O}(3)$ sigma model or the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ model, constructed by the moduli approximation [64-66] as the effective worldvolume theory on a soliton [42]. One of the important features is that one lump in the soliton corresponds to two Skyrmions in the bulk. Domain-wall Skyrmions in multiple chiral solitons (that is a CSL) are Skyrmion chains [43], giving the more precise phase boundary between the CSL and DWSk phases.

In this paper, we investigate spin statistics of topological solitons in QCD with $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$

[^0]at finite baryon density $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ in magnetic field $B$ : a domain-wall Skyrmion, a chiral soliton of a finite size called a pancake soliton, and a hole of a chiral soliton. The pancake soliton has a quantized surface area that is the integer multiple of the minimally quantized surface area $S_{0}=2 \pi / e B$ [16]. Since the conventional WZW term vanishes for the two flavors, we follow the two methods; one is the Witten's method to embed the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral field to the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ one $[4,12]$ and the other is to use the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{WZW}$ term written in terms of a spin structure [13]. Although the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ WZW term vanishes in flat Minkowski spaces, it can determine spin statistics of topological solitons. We find that the pancake solitons and holes are fermions (bosons) if their area are odd (even) multiple of $S_{0}$, while domain-wall Skyrmions are bosons. The latter can be shown alternatively by constructing a term induced from the $N_{F}=2$ WZW term in the domain-wall effective theory. We also propose surgeries of topological solitons: a domain-wall Skyrmion which is a boson can be decomposed into a pancake soliton and a hole, both of which are fermions. Likewise a chiral soliton without Skyrmions can be decomposed into a pancake soliton and a hole, both of which are fermions.

This paper is organized as follows. In sec. 2 we briefly summarize the chiral Lagrangian of two flavors with the WZW term under strong magnetic fields. In sec. 3 we introduce topological solitons in strong magnetic fields: CSL, domain-wall Skyrmions, neutral pion strings, pancake solitons, and holes on a chiral soliton. We also propose surgeries of topological solitons in Sec. 4. In sec. 5, we discuss spin statistics of pancake solitons, holes on a chiral soliton, and domain-wall Skyrmions by using the conventional Witten's method as well as the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{WZW}$ term formulated by the spin structure. As for domain-wall Skyrmions, alternatively we also use the effective theory on a single chiral soliton with the term induced from the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{WZW}$ term. Section 6 is devoted to a summary and discussion. In Appendix A we give some details of the evaluation of the WZW term in the background of topological solitons.

## 2 Chiral Lagrangian

In this section, we summarize the chiral Lagrangian or ChPT at the leading order $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ in order to fix notations. We focus on the phase where chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken. The effective field theory of pions, known as ChPT, can describe the low-energy dynamics. The pion fields are represented by a $2 \times 2$ unitary matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=e^{i \tau_{a} \chi_{a}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{a}$ (with $a=1,2,3$ ) are the Pauli matrices, normalized as $\operatorname{tr}\left(\tau_{a} \tau_{b}\right)=2 \delta_{a b}$. The field $\Sigma$ transforms under the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{R}}$ chiral symmetry as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma \rightarrow L \Sigma R^{\dagger} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where both $L$ and $R$ are $2 \times 2$ unitary matrices. The field $\Sigma$ can be parameterized by

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma+i \pi^{0} & i\left(\pi^{1}-i \pi^{2}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
i\left(\pi^{1}+i \pi^{2}\right) & \sigma-i \pi^{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma+i \pi^{0} & i \pi^{+} \\
i \pi^{-} & \sigma-i \pi^{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

in terms of the charged pions $\pi^{ \pm} \equiv \pi^{1} \mp i \pi^{2}$ and the neutral pion $\pi^{0}$. The $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\text {EM }}$ electromagnetic gauge transformation is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma & \rightarrow e^{i Q \lambda} \Sigma e^{-i Q \lambda}=e^{i \lambda \frac{\tau_{3}}{2}} \Sigma e^{-i \lambda \frac{\tau_{3}}{2}}, \quad \pi^{ \pm} \rightarrow e^{ \pm i \lambda} \pi^{ \pm}  \tag{2.4}\\
& A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}-\frac{1}{e} \partial_{\mu} \lambda \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

with the electric charge matrix $Q$ of quarks given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{6} \mathbf{1}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \tau_{3} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative $D_{\mu}$ associated with this transformation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mu} \Sigma \equiv \partial_{\mu} \Sigma+i e A_{\mu}[Q, \Sigma]=\partial_{\mu} \Sigma+\frac{i e}{2} A_{\mu}\left[\tau_{3}, \Sigma\right], \quad D_{\mu} \pi^{ \pm}=\left(\partial_{\mu} \pm i e A_{\mu}\right) \pi^{ \pm} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our notations in this paper are $\eta_{\mu \nu}=(+,-,-,-)$ and $\epsilon^{0123}=\epsilon^{123}=-\epsilon_{123}=1$. Then, the effective Lagrangian at the $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ order of ChPT can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{ChPT}}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first term is $(\mu=0, \cdots, 3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{ChPT}}=\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(D_{\mu} \Sigma D^{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger}\right)-\frac{f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(2 \mathbf{1}_{2}-\Sigma-\Sigma^{\dagger}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the pion's decay constant $f_{\pi}$ and pion's mass $m_{\pi}$, and the second term is the WZW term $[15,16]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}}=-A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}} j_{\mathrm{GW}}^{\mu} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the external $\mathrm{U}(1)_{\text {B }}$ gauge field $A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}}$ couples to $\Sigma[16]$ via the Goldstone-Wilczek current [17, 18]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\mathrm{GW}}^{\mu}=-\frac{\epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}}{24 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\nu} L_{\alpha} L_{\beta}-3 \mathrm{i} e \partial_{\nu}\left[A_{\alpha} Q\left(L_{\beta}+R_{\beta}\right)\right]\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}}=\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathbf{0}\right)$ and the left-invariant and right-invariant Maurer-Cartan 1-forms $L_{\mu} \equiv$ $\Sigma \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger}$ and $R_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma$, respectively.

In particular, the contribution from the first term in eq. (2.11) to the action corresponding to the WZW term in eq. (2.10) is

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(1)} & =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} d^{4} x \epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{tr}\left(L_{\nu} L_{\alpha} L_{\beta}\right) \\
& =-\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{B}}}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} d^{4} x \epsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{i} \Sigma\right)\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{j} \Sigma\right)\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{k} \Sigma\right)\right] \\
& =\mu_{\mathrm{B}} \int_{M_{4}} d^{4} x \mathcal{B} \\
& =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} A_{\mathrm{B}} \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma d \Sigma^{\dagger}\right)^{3}\right]=-\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} A_{\mathrm{B}} \wedge \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} d \Sigma\right)^{3}\right] \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

with $A^{\mathrm{B}}=A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}} d x^{\mu}$. Here, the baryon number density $\mathcal{B}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B} \equiv \frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \epsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{tr}\left(L_{i} L_{j} L_{k}\right)=-\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \epsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{i} \Sigma\right)\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{j} \Sigma\right)\left(\Sigma^{\dagger} \partial_{k} \Sigma\right)\right], \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its the integration over the three dimensional space gives the baryon number

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{B}} \equiv \int d^{3} x \mathcal{B} \in \pi_{3}[\mathrm{SU}(2)] \simeq \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms in eq. (2.8) are at the leading order $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ of a modification of the standard power counting scheme of $\mathrm{ChPT}[26]^{3}$. At the leading order, the gauge field is nondynamical due to its kinetic term being of order $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{4}\right)$. For some of the solitons discussed in this paper, the $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{4}\right)$ terms may be needed for their stability, but the stability is not the main issue of this paper.

## 3 Topological solitons in strong magnetic field in QCD

In this section, we introduce topological solitons in QCD matter in magnetic field: chiral solitons, domain-wall Skyrmions, neutral pion strings, pancake solitons(Skyrmions) and holes on a soliton.

### 3.1 Chiral solitons

We note that our effective theory admits a parallel stack of the sine-Gordon soliton expanding perpendicular to the external magnetic field, which is called the CSL. This state is stable under a sufficiently large magnetic field, as shown in ref. [16]. If we consider the case of no charged pions $\Sigma_{0}=e^{i \tau_{3} \chi_{3}}$, the effective Lagrangian reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \chi_{3}\right)^{2}-f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}\left(1-\cos \chi_{3}\right)+\frac{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}} B_{z}}{4 \pi^{2}} \partial_{z} \chi_{3} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where, without loss of generality, we have oriented the uniform external magnetic field along the $z$-axis: $\boldsymbol{B}=\left(0,0, B_{z}\right)$ (with $\left.F_{12}=-B_{z}\right)$.

The ordinary QCD vacuum corresponds to $\chi_{3}=0$. However, the third term in eq. (3.1) modifies the ground state of QCD at finite $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $B_{z}$. The anticipated time-independent neutral pion background $\chi_{3}$ is obtained by minimization of the energy functional. The static Hamiltonian depending only on the $z$ coordinate is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2}\left(\partial_{z} \chi_{3}\right)^{2}+f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}\left(1-\cos \chi_{3}\right)-\frac{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}} B_{z}}{4 \pi^{2}} \partial_{z} \chi_{3} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that the last term in eq. (3.2) is the first derivative term $\partial_{z} \chi_{3}$ which is a topological term. Then, the configuration of the ground state will have a nontrivial $z$-dependence. In order to determine the static configuration of $\chi_{3}$, let us solve the EOM of eq. (3.1). The equation of motion for such a one-dimensional configuration $\chi_{3}(z)$ then reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z}^{2} \chi_{3}=m_{\pi}^{2} \sin \chi_{3} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A single soliton solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{3}^{\text {single }}=4 \tan ^{-1} e^{m_{\pi}(z-Z)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is the position of the center (or the translational modulus). The tension, that is the energy density per unit area, can be analytically obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=8 m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2}-\frac{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}} B_{z}}{2 \pi} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $E<0$, the sine-Gordon soliton is energetically more stable than the QCD vacuum $\left(\chi_{3}=0\right)$. The critical magnetic field at which the transition happens is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{16 \pi m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2}}{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The soliton carries the baryon number density

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{e B_{z}}{2 \pi} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

per a unit surface area. The second term in eq. (3.5) is thus $-\mu_{\mathrm{B}} b$.
General solutions containing charged pions can be obtained from $\Sigma_{0}$ by an $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{V}}$ transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=g \Sigma_{0} g^{\dagger}=\exp \left(i \chi_{3}^{\text {single }} g \tau_{3} g^{\dagger}\right) \quad g \in \mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{V}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ in eq. (3.8) is redundant with respect to a $U(1)$ subgroup generated by $\tau_{3}$, it takes a value in a coset space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{V}} / \mathrm{U}(1) \simeq \mathbb{C} P^{1} \simeq S^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the translational modulus $Z$, the single sine-Gordon soliton has the moduli $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} P^{1}$. Such a soliton with non-Abelian moduli is called a non-Abelian sineGordon soliton [48, 49, 67-69]. We now parameterize the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli by the scalar triplet $\boldsymbol{n}=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{n} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=1, \quad g \tau_{3} g^{\dagger}=\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{n} \equiv \mathfrak{n} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $\boldsymbol{n}$, eq. (3.8) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma=\exp \left(i \mathfrak{n} \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}\right)=\cos \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}+i \mathfrak{n} \sin \chi_{3}^{\text {single }} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Domain-wall Skyrmions

In this subsection, we introduce domain-wall Skyrmions. In the previous papers [42, 43], topological lumps are constructed in the soliton effective theory (in a single-soliton approximation [42], and multiple solitons [43]) as domain-wall Skyrmions. Here, we consider a single soliton for our purpose to reveal spin statistics. To this end, let us construct the effective theory on a single soliton. In terms of the $\mathrm{O}(3)$ vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ and single soliton profile $\chi_{3}^{\text {single }}$, the Maurer-Cartan one forms $L_{k}$ and $R_{k}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{k}=-i \mathfrak{n} \partial_{k} \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}-\frac{i}{2} \partial_{k} \mathfrak{n} \sin \left(2 \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathfrak{n}, \partial_{k} \mathfrak{n}\right] \sin ^{2} \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}  \tag{3.12}\\
& R_{k}=-i \mathfrak{n} \partial_{k} \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}-\frac{i}{2} \partial_{k} \mathfrak{n} \sin \left(2 \chi_{3}^{\text {single }}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathfrak{n}, \partial_{k} \mathfrak{n}\right] \sin ^{2} \chi_{3}^{\text {single }} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Since $\mathfrak{n}$ does not depend on $z$, the second and third terms in $L_{3}$ and $R_{3}$ vanish. Then, by using the moduli approximation (or Manton's approximation) [64-66], we obtain the effective Lagrangian for a single soliton at $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{DW}}=-8 m_{\pi} f_{\pi}^{2}+\frac{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}} B_{z}}{2 \pi}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{norm}}+\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}}  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{norm}}=\frac{4 f_{\pi}^{2}}{3 m_{\pi}} \mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{n}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}}=-2 \mu_{\mathrm{B}} q+\frac{e \mu_{\mathrm{B}}}{2 \pi} \epsilon^{03 j k} \partial_{j}\left[A_{k}\left(1-n_{3}\right)\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the covariant derivative for the $\mathrm{O}(3)$ vector is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{n}=\partial_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{n}-e A_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{z} \times \boldsymbol{n}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the unit vector along $z$-axis $\boldsymbol{e}_{z}=(0,0,1)$. Here, $q$ is the lump (baby Skyrmion) topological charge density for $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \equiv+\frac{1}{8 \pi} \epsilon^{i j} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot\left(\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_{j} \boldsymbol{n}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. Domain-wall Skyrmions. The topological lump number, vortex winding number, and baryon number are (a) $k=-1, \nu=+1$ and $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+2$, and (b) $k=+1, \nu=-1$ and $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+2$. The arrows denote the $S^{2}$ moduli on the soliton.

Its integration gives the topological lump number

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\int d^{2} x q \in \pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This topological term arises via the baryon number density $\mathcal{B}$ in the GW current

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \mathcal{B} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to eqs. (3.16) and (3.20), the topological lump charge $k \in \pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{1}\right)$ is related to the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}} \in \pi_{3}[\mathrm{SU}(2)]$ (topological charge of Skyrmions in the bulk) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{B}}=\int d^{3} x \mathcal{B}=-2 \int d^{2} x q=-2 k \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ inside the soliton world-volume is quantized in an even integer.
Here, let us consider an axisymmetric ansatz for lumps of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{n}=(\cos (\nu \varphi+\gamma) \sin f(\rho), \sin (\nu \varphi+\gamma) \sin f(\rho), \cos f(\rho)) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the polar coordinates $(\rho, \varphi)$ in the $(x, y)$ plane, where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes a winding number, $\gamma \in[0,2 \pi)$ is a constant describing the internal orientation (modulus) of the lump, and $f(\rho) \in[0, \pi]$ is a monotonic function satisfying the boundary conditions $\{f(0)=\pi, f(\infty)=$ $0\}$. By substituting the ansatz in eq. (3.22) into the topological charge in eq. (3.19), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=-\frac{\nu}{2}[\cos f(\rho)]_{\rho=0}^{\rho=\infty}=-\frac{\nu}{2}\left[n_{3}(\rho)\right]_{\rho=0}^{\rho=\infty} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fig. 1, we illustrate configurations of domain-wall Skyrmions. These configurations have the topological lump charges and winding numbers (a) $k=-1, \nu=+1$ and (b) $k=+1$,
$\nu=-1$, respectively from eqs. (3.22) and (3.23), and thus the baryon numbers (a) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+2$ and (b) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-2$ from eq. (3.21). The configuration (b) is stable because of the quantization condition discussed below in eq. (3.34). Although the configuration (a) is unstable for a nondynamical gauge field, it is stable once a gauge kinetic term is taken into account [70].

### 3.3 Neutral pion strings

In the next subsection, we explain that a soliton can be cut along a curve where the charged pions are excited. To understand this, in this subsection, let us first consider a neutral pion vortex string. ${ }^{4}$ The pion field can be expressed in terms of two complex scalar fields ( $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}$ ) as

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\phi_{1} & -\phi_{2}^{*}  \tag{3.24}\\
\phi_{2} & \phi_{1}^{*}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with a constraint $\operatorname{det} \Sigma=\left|\phi_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\phi_{2}\right|^{2}=1$ for $\operatorname{SU}(2)$. Here, $\phi_{1}$ describes the neutral pion whereas $\phi_{2}$ does the charged pion. In the chiral limit $m_{\pi}=0$, the neutral pion can have a global vortex. Let us consider a straight neutral pion vortex string along the $x$-axis. A straight axially symmetric neutral pion string is given by [47, 73-76]

$$
\begin{align*}
\Sigma & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f(r) e^{i \theta} & -\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} e^{-i \phi(x)} \\
\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} e^{i \phi(x)} & f(r) e^{-i \theta}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =e^{\frac{i}{2}(\theta-\phi(x)) \tau_{3}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f(r) & -\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} \\
\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} & f(r)
\end{array}\right) e^{\frac{i}{2}\left(\theta+\phi(x) \tau_{3}\right.} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

with the cylindrical coordinates $(r, \theta, x)$. The profile function $f$ satisfies the boundary conditions $f(0)=0$ and $f(\infty)=1$. At the center of the vortex, the charged pions $\pi^{+}$ must appear with a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ phase as a modulus. This $\mathrm{U}(1)$ modulus can be understood as a Nambu-Goldstone mode associated with the vector-like $\mathrm{U}(1)$ symmetry generated by $\Sigma_{3}$ spontaneously broken in the vicinity of the vortex, as can be seen from the last expression in eq. (3.25). This $U(1)$ modulus is gauged by the electromagnetic symmetry, and thus it is a superconducting string [77]. It is important that if the phase $\phi(x)$ of the charged pion varies from 0 to $2 \pi$ along the string, it carries the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ [47, 73-75].

Let us turn on the pion mass $m_{\pi} \neq 0$. Then, the neutral pion string is attached by a neutral pion soliton, namely a chiral soliton [78, 79]. This can be seen by modifying the ansatz in eq. (3.25) as

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
f(r) e^{i \Theta(\theta)} & -\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} e^{-i \phi(x)}  \tag{3.26}\\
\sqrt{1-f(r)^{2}} e^{i \phi(x)} & f(r) e^{-i \Theta(\theta)}
\end{array}\right)
$$
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Figure 2. The neutral pion string attached by a chiral soliton. The pion string is denoted by a red line. The charged pions are present along the string, and thus it is a superconducting string. The $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli denoted by the arrows are present on the chiral soliton, and they are forced to lie on the plane at the boundary string.
with $\Theta$ a periodic function satisfying the boundary conditions $\Theta(0)=0$ and $\Theta(2 \pi)=2 \pi$. At the large circle $r \rightarrow \infty$ encircling the vortex, the Lagrangian reduces to that of the sine-Gordon model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{r \rightarrow \infty}=\frac{f_{\pi}^{2}}{2}\left(\partial_{\theta} \Theta\right)^{2}-\frac{f_{\pi}^{2} m_{\pi}^{2}}{2}(1-\cos \Theta) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the neutral pion string is attached by a single semi-infinite chiral sineGordon soliton as axion strings, as illustrated in fig. 2. In other words, a chiral soliton can end on a neutral pion string.

The $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \simeq S^{2}$ degrees of freedom live on the soliton indicated by the arrows in fig. 2 , as described in Sec. 3.2. On the other hand, only the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ modulus lives on the neutral pion string. They should be smoothly connected. This can be expressed by representing the arrows lying parallel to the plane at the boundary. We also can say that the soliton worldvolume can cut where the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli lie on its worldvolume and the charged pions appear.

### 3.4 Pancake solitons (Skyrmions)

Here, we introduce a finite size soliton called a pancake soliton [16], which is nothing but an isolated Skyrmion in the presence of a magnetic field. The finite size domain wall is a configuration of the mesons and the magnetic field, where the neutral pion has a winding number around the boundary of the domain wall, and the phase of the charged pions $\pi^{ \pm}$ has non-zero Aharonov-Bohm phase along the boundary. The number of the finite size
domain wall is quantized by the winding number of the neutral pion $\pi^{0}$ as well as the Aharonov-Bohm phase.

First, we review the baryon number of the finite size domain wall [16]. See fig. 3. For concreteness, we assume that the finite size domain wall is a disk parameterized by $0 \leq \rho \leq R, z=0$ in the cylindrical coordinates $(\rho, \varphi, z)$. It will be convenient to take the parameterization of the meson field as $\Sigma=\sigma+i \pi^{a} \tau^{a}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma & =\cos \chi \cos \alpha  \tag{3.28}\\
\pi^{0} & =\cos \chi \sin \alpha  \tag{3.29}\\
\pi^{1} & =\sin \chi \cos \phi  \tag{3.30}\\
\pi^{2} & =\sin \chi \sin \phi \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

or in the matrix form,

$$
\Sigma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma+i \pi^{0} & i\left(\pi^{1}-i \pi^{2}\right)  \tag{3.32}\\
i\left(\pi^{1}+i \pi^{2}\right) & \sigma-i \pi^{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e^{i \alpha} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \alpha} \cos \chi
\end{array}\right)
$$

The phase $\alpha$ of the neutral pion jumps by $\pm 2 \pi$ when it goes through inside the domain wall: $\alpha(\rho<R, \varphi, z=+\infty)-\alpha(\rho<R, \varphi, z=-\infty)= \pm 2 \pi$ and $\alpha(\rho>R, \varphi, z=+\infty)-\alpha(\rho>$ $R, \varphi, z=-\infty)=0$. Here, the upper (lower) sign corresponds to the upward (downward) magnetic field. In this configuration, the $\pi^{0}$ meson has a winding number around the boundary $\int_{\mathcal{C}} d \alpha= \pm 2 \pi$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is a loop around the boundary. Since $\pi^{0}$ has a winding number around the boundary, we require $\chi(\rho=R, z=0)=\frac{\pi}{2}$ to avoid the singularity in $\Sigma$. Therefore, the charged meson fields satisfy $\left(\pi^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\pi^{2}\right)^{2}=1$ on the boundary. This shows that the boundary of the pancake soliton is in the Higgs phase. It is thus a superconducting ring.

To minimize the energy, the charged pion fields $\pi^{ \pm}=\pi^{1} \mp i \pi^{2}=i e^{\mp i \phi} \sin \chi$ should satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\mu} \pm i e A_{\mu}\right) \pi^{ \pm}=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad A_{\mu}= \pm i e^{-1}\left(\pi^{ \pm}\right)^{-1} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{ \pm} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the boundary where $\chi=\pi / 2$. Therefore, the minus winding number of the charged pion $\pi^{-}$along the boundary is equal to the magnetic flux through the pancake soliton,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{S^{1}} \partial_{\mu} \phi d x^{\mu}=\int_{S^{1}} \frac{i}{\pi^{-}} \partial_{\mu} \pi^{-} d x^{\mu}=-\int_{S^{1}} A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}=e \int_{D^{2}} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot d \boldsymbol{S}=e B_{z} S \in 2 \pi \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=\pi R^{2}$ is the area of the finite size domain wall. Since this winding number is also topological but non-zero, we require $\chi(\rho=0)=0$ to avoid the singularity in $\Sigma$. For $B_{z}>0$ $\left(B_{z}<0\right)$, negative (positive) winding of the phase $\phi$ can meet the quantization condition
in eq. (3.34). The integer in eq. (3.34) is nothing but the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}$. In such a case, eq. (3.34) can be rewritten by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{2 \pi}{e B_{z}} N_{\mathrm{B}}, \quad \text { or } \quad R=\sqrt{\frac{2 N_{\mathrm{B}}}{e B_{z}}} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{\mathrm{B}}>0\left(N_{\mathrm{B}}<0\right)$ for $B_{z}>0\left(B_{z}<0\right)$. It is important to note that the baryon number density $b \equiv N_{\mathrm{B}} / S=e B_{z} / 2 \pi$ coincides with that of an infinitely large chiral soliton in eq. (3.7).

The pancake solitons can be constructed in the effective theory as follows. Although the effective theory was originally constructed for an infinitely large soliton in sec. 3.2 , the soliton can end on a neutral pion string where the charged pions appear, as discussed in sec. 3.3. Therefore, we can consider the effective theory of a chiral soliton of a finite size with a proper boundary condition. A pancake soliton of the radius $R$ is the same with eq. (3.22) with the polar coordinates $(\rho, \varphi)(0 \leq \rho \leq R)$ in the $(x, y)$ plane. $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes a winding number at the boundary $\rho=R, \gamma \in[0,2 \pi)$ is a constant describing the internal orientation, and $f(\rho) \in[0, \pi]$ is a monotonic function satisfying the boundary conditions $\{f(0)=0, f(R)=\pi\}$ or $\{f(0)=\pi, f(R)=0\}$. By substituting the ansatz in eq. (3.22) into the topological charge in eq. (3.20), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=-\frac{\nu}{2}[\cos f(\rho)]_{\rho=0}^{\rho=R}=-\frac{\nu}{2}\left[n_{3}(\rho)\right]_{\rho=0}^{\rho=R} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fig. 3, we illustrate four possibilities of topologically nontrivial pancake solitons of a radius $R$ with the minimum topological charges. The charged pions $\pi^{ \pm}=\pi^{1} \mp i \pi^{2}$ appear with proportional to $n_{1} \mp i n_{2}$ where $n_{3}=0$ on the edges of the pancakes, denoted by the red circles. The $\mathrm{U}(1)$ phases have nontrivial windings $\nu$ as denoted by the horizontal arrows; (a) $\nu=+1$, (b) $\nu=+1$ (c) $\nu=-1$, (d) $\nu=-1$. From eq. (3.36) the topological lump charges on the pancake soliton can be calculated as (a) $k=+1 / 2$, (b) $k=-1 / 2$, (c) $k=-1 / 2$, (d) $k=+1 / 2$, respectively, and thus they are half-quantized lumps, or sometimes called merons. From eq. (3.21) the baryon numbers $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ (the Skyrmion numbers $\left.\pi_{3}[\mathrm{SU}(2)] \simeq \mathbb{Z}\right)$ are (a) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$, (b) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (c) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (d) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$.

The configurations (a) and (d) [(b) and (c)] can be rotated to each other by $\pi$ around the $x$ or $y$ axis. If there is no magnetic field, the all configurations are energetically degenerated and their sizes are not quantized. In the presence of the upward (downward) magnetic field, the degeneracy is lifted as follows: First, in the presence the upward (downward) magnetic field, the change of the phase of the neutral pion for (d) and (b) [(a) and (c)] are not energetically preferred from the WZW term, and thus they rotate to (a) and (c) [(d) and (b)], respectively. Second, the pancake solitons can be regarded as superconducting rings, since the charged pions are localized around their edges. Then, the configurations (c) and


Figure 3. Pancake solitons. The arrow denote the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli $\boldsymbol{n}$ on the soliton effective theory. The phase $\alpha$ of the neutral pion $\pi^{0}$ changes as $0 \rightarrow 2 \pi$ from the bottom to top along the $z$-axis (the lines penetrating the pancakes) in (a) and (c), while it changes as $0 \rightarrow-2 \pi$ in (b) and (d). It passes through the north [south pole] and a point at the equator of the sphere $S^{2}$ for (a) and (c) [(b) and (d)], as indicated by the arrow $\uparrow(\downarrow)$ at the centers of the pancakes. The charged pion $\pi^{\mp}=\pi^{1} \pm i \pi^{2} \sim e^{ \pm i \phi}$ appear on the edges of the pancakes. The horizontal arrows also represent the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ phase $\phi$ of $\pi^{-}$, winding along the edges with the winding numbers (a) and (b) $\nu=+1$, (c) and (d) $\nu=-1$. The topological lump charges are (a) $k=+1 / 2$, (b) $k=-1 / 2$, (c) $k=-1 / 2$, (d) $k=+1 / 2$ from eq. (3.36). The baryon numbers (Skyrme numbers $\pi_{3}[\mathrm{SU}(2)] \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ ) are (a) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$, (b) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (c) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (d) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$. The configurations (a) and (d) [(b) and (c)] can be rotated to each other, for which (a) and (d) [(b) and (c)] are energetically degenerated in the absence of an external magnetic field. When the magnetic field is applied, degeneracies are lifted and the sizes are quantized. If the magnetic field is applied along the positive (negative) $z$ direction, (c) and (d) have lower (higher) energy than (b) and (a), respectively. If the gauge field is dynamical, then a magnetic field is induced for (c) and (d) [(a) and (b)] along the positive (negative) $z$ direction, as they behave as magnetic moments.
(d) are preferred compared with the configurations (b) and (a), respectively. ${ }^{5}$ The sizes
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Figure 4. Nontopological pancake solitons. (a) The phase of the neutral pion $\pi_{0}$ changes as $0 \rightarrow 2 \pi$ from the bottom to top along the $z$-axis as fig. 3(a). However, the charged pion does not wind on the edge unlike fig. 3(a) and (b), resulting in $N_{\mathrm{B}}=0$. (b) The configuration (a) energetically deforms to (b). Then, it is unstable. Note that such a deformation is impossible for the topological pancake solitons in fig. 3.
of the configurations (c) and (d) are quantized by eq. (3.34), or they can minimize the covariant derivative of the charged pions along the loop, while those of (a) and (b) are not.

We also have pancake solitons with the higher topological charges $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ whose surface area are proportional to $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ from the quantization condition in eq. (3.34). Such a behavior is one of droplets.

In fig. 4, an example of topologically trivial pancake soliton with the winding number of charged pions at the edge is zero. Energetically the configuration (a) deforms to the one (b), and it can disappear after shrinking. Note that such a deformation is impossible for the topological pancake solitons in fig. 3.

### 3.5 Holes on a soliton

We also can construct a hole on a single soliton, which was not discussed before. The hole is bounded by a closed neutral pion string on which the charged pions appear. Again, the phase of the charged pions can have nontrivial winding along the closed loop. A hole on the soliton of the radius $R$ is the same with eq. (3.22) with the polar coordinates $(\rho, \varphi)$ $(R \leq \rho<\infty)$ in the $(x, y)$ plane. Again, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes a winding number at the boundary $\rho=R, \gamma \in[0,2 \pi)$ is a constant describing the internal orientation, and $f(\rho) \in[0, \pi]$ is a monotonic function satisfying the boundary conditions $\{f(R)=0, f(\infty)=\pi\}$ or $\{f(R)=\pi, f(\infty)=0\}$. By substituting the ansatz in eq. (3.22) into the topological charge in eq. (3.20), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=-\frac{\nu}{2}[\cos f(\rho)]_{\rho=R}^{\rho=\infty}=-\frac{\nu}{2}\left[n_{3}(\rho)\right]_{\rho=R}^{\rho=\infty} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fig. 5 , we illustrated holes with the winding numbers (a) $\nu=+1$, (b) $\nu=-1$, (c) $\nu=0$. From eq. (3.37) the topological lump charges are (a) $k=-1 / 2$, (b) $k=+1 / 2$, (c) $k=0$.


Figure 5. Holes on a soliton. The holes are bounded by closed $\pi^{0}$ strings on which the charged pions appear. The phase of the charged pions is represented by the horizontal arrows on the soliton. Topologically nontrivial holes with the winding number (a) $\nu=+1$ and (b) $\nu=-1$. (c) A topologically trivial hole (with the winding number $\nu=0$ ). The topological lump numbers are (a) $k=-1 / 2$, (b) $k=+1 / 2$, (c) $k=0$. The baryon numbers are (a) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (b) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$, (c) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=0$. In the presence of the upward(downward) magnetic field, the size of (b) [(a)] is quantized. There also exists a hole with a higher winding number $\nu$ and $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-\nu$. The solitons with $\downarrow$ are energetically disfavored and are not drawn.

Then, from eq. (3.21) the baryon numbers $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ are (a) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$, (b) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$, (c) $N_{\mathrm{B}}=0$.
In the presence of the upward(downward) magnetic field, the radius of the hole (b) [(a)] is quantized by eq. (3.34) in the same way as pancake solitons, and thus it is stable as it is. The hole (a) [(b)] cannot satisfy the quantization condition in eq. (3.34) in the upward(downward) magnetic field, is unstable to shrink, and eventually becomes singular unless either the Skyrme term or dynamical gauge field is taken into account. The nontopological hole (c) is unstable to shrink in any case, and will disappear.

## 4 Surgeries of topological solitons

In this section, we propose surgeries of a domain-wall Skyrmion and a chiral soliton lattice.


Figure 6. Surgery of a domain-wall Skyrmion. Under a surgery, one can cut a domain-wall Skyrmion along the red curve where charged pions are induced. Then, the domain-wall Skyrmion is decomposed into a pancake soliton of the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ bounded by a closed $\pi_{0}$ string, and a soliton with a hole of the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ bounded by a closed $\pi^{0}$ anti-string. The pancake soliton is one of fig. 3(b). This does not satisfy the quantization condition and rotates by $\pi$ to fig. 3(c).

### 4.1 Surgery of a domain-wall Skyrmion

From sec. 3.3, one can understand that a soliton can be cut along a curve where the charged pions are excited. Therefore, a domain-wall Skyrmion in fig. 1(a) can be cut along the red circle along which the charged pions are present, resulting in a surgery of decomposition into a soliton with a hole and a pancake soliton, as illustrated in fig. 6. The pancake soliton obtained in this way is one of fig. 3(b). Since this configuration is not energetically preferred one, it rotates to one of fig. 3(c). Likewise, a domain-wall anti-Skyrmion in fig. 1(b) can also be decomposed.

### 4.2 Surgery of a chiral soliton

Once one understands the surgery of the domain-wall Skyrmions, then one can recognize that it is also possible to decompose a chiral soliton without any Skyrmions. This can be done by exciting the charged pions with changing the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli at a closed curve of


Figure 7. Surgery of a single soliton. (a) A single soliton without any Skyrmion. (b) Before a surgery, one has to deform it to induce charged pions along the red circle. The topological lump charge of the interior (exterior) of the red circle is $k=+1 / 2(-1 / 2)$ and thus the baryon number is $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1(+1)$. (c) Under a surgery, one can cut it along the red curve. Then, the single soliton is decomposed into a pancake soliton of the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=-1$ and a soliton with a hole of the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$. (d) and (e) A topologically different surgery. In the presence of the magnetic field in the $z$-direction, this process is energetically disfavored compared with the process in (b) and (c).
a preferred surface area. In fig. 7, the surgery of a single chiral soliton is illustrated. In this case, topologically different surgeries are possible. When the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli lie along the
circle, one can assign a winding number of the charged pions along the circle. For instance, configurations in fig. 7 (b) and (d) have the winding numbers +1 and 0 , respectively, resulting in the topologically different surgeries in fig. 7(c) and (e), respectively. However, energetically preferred surgery is the process of (b) and (c) because the configuration in (b) satisfies the quantization condition while the one in (d) cannot. Thus, under the surgery of a single chiral soliton, a pair creation of a baryon and anti-baryon occurs. The soliton behaves as the Dirac sea and the surgery provides a pair creation.

## 5 Spin statistics of topological solitons

In this section, we discuss the spin statistics of the pancake solitons, holes and domain-wall Skyrmions. The statistics can be found by the responses of the effective action under a $2 \pi$ rotation of the solitons. We will show that the pancake solitons and holes with the minimal winding numbers are fermions, while the domain-wall Skyrmions are bosons.

There are at least two ways to see the statistics of the solitons. One is the method developed by Witten [4] (see also Ref. [12]). Another is to see the coupling of the baryon current and the spin structure, which was recently discussed by Lee, Ohmori, and Tachikawa [13]. Here, we will discuss both methods.

### 5.1 Witten's method

In this method, we embed the configuration of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-valued pion field to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-valued one, and evaluate the WZW term of the fifth order of the pion field. In this procedure, we can relate the spatial rotation with the rotation in the internal $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ flavor rotation. The $2 \pi$ rotation of the solitons in the spacetime corresponds to the rotation by $-\mathbf{1}_{2}$ of the flavor $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Since $\pi_{4}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation can have the winding in the spacetime where the transformation cannot be homotopically equivalent to the identity. We can efficiently calculate the response of the effective action under the homotopically non-trivial $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation using the embedding of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ to $\mathrm{SU}(3)$, where the homotopically non-trivial $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation can be expressed by homotopically trivial transformation in $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. It has been found that the fifth order of the pion field in the WZW term is relevant to the response of the effective action.

In the following, we will calculate the response in the case of the pancake solitons. We first embed the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$-valued pion field $\Sigma \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ to $U \in \mathrm{SU}(3)$,

$$
U(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) &  \tag{5.1}\\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})$ is given by eqs. (3.28)-(3.31). Since the spin statistics can be regarded as a response under $2 \pi$ rotation in the space, we consider the spatial rotation along $\varphi$ direction
with an angle $\alpha$ as $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi-\alpha$. The spatial rotation can be expressed in terms of the flavor $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation,

$$
U(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \alpha / 2} & 0 & 0  \tag{5.2}\\
0 & e^{-i \alpha / 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) U(\boldsymbol{x})\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \alpha / 2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \alpha / 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

where we have used $\phi=\nu \varphi$ with the winding number $\nu$. We can immediately see that the $\alpha=2 \pi$ rotation is given by the transformation by $-\mathbf{1}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Therefore, the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation matrix is double-valued while the transformed $U(\boldsymbol{x})$ is single-valued. We can resolve the problem using $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ transformation,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \alpha / 2} & 0 & 0  \tag{5.3}\\
0 & e^{-i \alpha / 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) U(\boldsymbol{x})\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \alpha / 2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \alpha / 2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=T^{-1}(\alpha) U(\boldsymbol{x}) T(\alpha)
$$

where we have introduced the single-valued transformation matrix,

$$
T(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.4}\\
0 & e^{i \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{-i \alpha}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SU}(3)
$$

satisfying $T(\alpha+2 \pi)=T(\alpha)$. Now, we rotate the soliton with the coordinate $\alpha=t$, and discuss the response of the effective action with the pancake soliton. The non-trivial response comes from the 5 -dimensional term in the WZW action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{240 \pi^{2}} \int d^{5} x \epsilon^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma \tau} \operatorname{tr}\left(\tilde{L}_{\mu} \tilde{L}_{\nu} \tilde{L}_{\rho} \tilde{L}_{\sigma} \tilde{L}_{\tau}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\tilde{L}_{\mu}=\tilde{U}(x, t, \xi) \partial_{\mu} \tilde{U}^{\dagger}(x, t, \xi)$ is the left-invariant 1 -form, and $\tilde{U}(x, t, \xi)$ is an extension of $U(\boldsymbol{x})$ to the 5 -dimensional space. To construct $\tilde{U}(x, t, \xi)$, we introduce the fifth direction $\xi \in[0,1]$ along which the WZW term is defined. We regard the time direction and the fifth direction as a disc, where the radial and angular coordinates are identified as $\xi$ and $t$, respectively. Therefore, our four-dimensional spacetime is defined on the boundary $\xi=1$. We take the configuration of $\tilde{U}(x, t, \xi)$ in the five-dimensional space as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{U}(\boldsymbol{x}, t, \xi)=V(t, \xi) U(\boldsymbol{x}) V^{-1}(t, \xi) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
V(t, \xi)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.7}\\
0 & \xi e^{-i t} & -\sqrt{1-\xi^{2}} \\
0 & \sqrt{1-\xi^{2}} & \xi e^{i t}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $V(t, \xi)$ is reduced to $T^{-1}(t)$ for $\xi=1$. By the extension, we have the homotopy inequivalent $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ transformation in terms of the homotopy equivalent $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ transformation. We now evaluate the WZW term. As reviewed in appendix A, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{5} & \left.=\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{240 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}\left(L^{5}\right)+\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} \operatorname{tr}\left(U^{-1} d U\right)^{3} \wedge \Omega\right)\left.\right|_{\xi=1}  \tag{5.8}\\
& =\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{24 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} d^{3} \boldsymbol{x} \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we have used

$$
\left.\Omega\right|_{\xi=1}=i\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0  \tag{5.9}\\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) d t
$$

and done the integral along the time direction. To evaluate the spatial integral, we substitute the configuration of the pancake soliton, hole soliton, and domain-wall Skyrmion.

- Pancake soliton. The integral region along $\rho$-direction is reduced to $0 \leq \rho \leq R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{24 \pi} \int_{0}^{R} d \rho \partial_{\rho} \chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \sin \chi \cos \chi=\pi \nu N_{\mathrm{C}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the pancake soliton with an odd winding number is a fermion while the one with an even winding number is a boson.

- Hole soliton. In contrast to the pancake soliton, the integral region along $\rho$-direction is to $R \leq \rho \leq \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{24 \pi} \int_{R}^{\infty} d \rho \partial_{\rho} \chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \sin \chi \cos \chi=\pi \nu N_{\mathrm{C}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the hole soliton with an odd winding number is a fermion while the one with an even winding number is a boson.

- Domain-wall Skyrmion. Since the integral region along $\rho$-direction for the domain-wall Skyrmion is $0 \leq \rho \leq \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{24 \pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \rho \partial_{\rho} \chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \sin \chi \cos \chi=2 \pi \nu N_{\mathrm{C}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we have used $\chi \rightarrow \pi$ as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the domain-wall Skyrmion is always a boson.

### 5.2 Lee-Ohmori-Tachikawa's method

Another method to find the spin statistics is to see the coupling of the soliton charge and the spin structure. ${ }^{6}$ Here, the spin structure is roughly the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ center sector of the $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$

[^4]spin connection 1-form for the spacetime rotational symmetry. In quantum field theories, we can understand the spin structure as an assignment of the periodic or anti-periodic boundary conditions for the fermions when we compactify one of the spacetime directions to $S^{1}$. Under the $2 \pi$ rotation along the $S^{1}$, the fermions can receive the $\pm 1$ phase, and the spin structure specifies the phase. Since the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ sector only changes the phase of fermions but does not change that of bosons, we can distinguish the spin statistics using the spin structure.

In practice, we can distinguish the spin statistics of the solitons by the response under the change of the spin structure, i.e., the change of the boundary conditions along $S^{1}$ direction. The change of the boundary condition can be described by a $\mathbb{Z}_{2} 1$-form gauge field $\eta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{S^{1}} \eta \in \pi \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be understood as an Aharonov-Bohm phase associated with the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ sector of $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$ connection.

It has been recently found that there should be a coupling between the spin structure and the Skyrmion current in the the WZW term for two flavors $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ in order to match the non-perturbative anomaly of the global $\operatorname{SU}(2)_{L}$ symmetry [13]. The explicit form of the effective action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}\left[{ }^{[s]}\right.}=(-1)^{N_{\mathrm{C}}\left[\mathrm{\Sigma}: M_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)\right]}, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[\Sigma: M_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)\right]$ is an equivalence class specified by the reduced bordism group $\tilde{\Omega}_{4}^{\text {spin }}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. While the effective action may be abstract, we can express the effective action in the point particle limit of the Skyrmion using the holonomy associated with the spin connection of the spacetime. We introduce a holonomy of $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$ gauge field,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hol}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{C}):=\mathrm{P} \exp \left(i \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2!} \omega_{\mu}^{a b} \Sigma_{a b} d x^{\mu}\right) . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\mathfrak{s}$ in the left-hand side specifies the configuration of the link variable given by the spin connection, $\omega_{\mu}{ }^{a b}$ is the spin connection of the spacetime given by the vielbein $e_{\mu}{ }^{a}$ with the local Lorentz indices $a, b, \ldots=0,1,2,3$, the symbol $\Sigma_{a b}$ is the generator of $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1), \mathcal{C}$ denotes a closed worldline of the Skyrmion, and "P" represents the path ordered product. In the flat spacetime, the holonomy can be reduced to $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \subset \operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$ rather than the identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hol}(\mathfrak{s}, \mathcal{C}):=\mathrm{P} \exp \left(i \int_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{1}{2!} \omega_{\mu}^{a b} \Sigma_{a b} d x^{\mu}\right)=(-1)^{\epsilon(\mathcal{C})} \mathbf{1}_{\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)}, \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\epsilon(\mathcal{C})=0,1$. Now, we can have a WZW action for the Skyrmion using the holonomy on $\mathcal{C}$ associated with $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}[\mathfrak{s}]}=(-1)^{N_{\mathrm{B}} N_{\mathrm{C}} \epsilon(\mathcal{C})} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The multiplication by $N_{\mathrm{B}}$ comes from the baryon number specified by $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, and that by $N_{\mathrm{C}}$ comes from the number of quarks, which is the same as the conventional WZW term. This effective action means that the Skyrmion has a spin, and its statistics can be classified by the color $N_{\mathrm{C}}$ as well as the baryon number.

We can see the spin statistics of the Skyrmion by the change of the configuration of the link variable $\mathfrak{s}$. By changing $\epsilon(\mathcal{C})$ by Aharonov-Bohm phase of $\operatorname{Spin}(3,1)$, the effective action is changed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}[5]} \rightarrow e^{i N_{\mathrm{B}} N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \eta} e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the response $e^{i N_{\mathrm{B}} N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \eta}$ is Abelian, we can now recover the configuration of the Skyrmion from the point-like one to a general one as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}[5]} \rightarrow \exp \left(i N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{M_{4}} \eta \wedge \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} \cdot 3!} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma d \Sigma^{\dagger}\right)^{3}\right]\right) e^{i S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}[5]} . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This response clearly shows that the Skyrmions with the odd Skyrmion number are fermions when $N_{\mathrm{C}}$ is odd. To see this, we compactify the time direction to $S^{1}$, i.e., $M_{4}=$ $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}$, take $\eta$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{1}} \eta=\pi, \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the response of the effective action,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(i N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{M_{4}} \eta \wedge \frac{1}{4 \pi^{2} \cdot 3!} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma d \Sigma^{\dagger}\right)^{3}\right]\right) \\
& =\exp \left(i N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{S^{1}} \eta \cdot \int_{M_{3}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\Sigma d \Sigma^{\dagger}\right)^{3}\right]\right)  \tag{5.21}\\
& =\exp \left(i \pi N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{M_{3}} d^{3} x \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By substituting the configuration of the pancake soliton, hole soliton, and domain-wall Skyrmion, we find that the pancake soliton and the hole soliton with odd winding numbers are fermions, and the others are bosons.

One comment is in order. From the effective action with the spin structure, we have the coupling between the spin structure and the topological charge by integrating the direction along the domain wall is localized. To this end, let us calculate a contribution from eq. (5.19) to the soliton effective Lagrangian by the integration of eq. (5.19) over the codimension $x^{3}$ of the soliton:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d x^{3} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}=N_{\mathrm{C}} \eta^{0} \int d x^{3} \mathcal{B}=2 N_{\mathrm{C}} q \eta^{0} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the topological lump charge $q$ in eq. (3.18). Equivalently, it is in the effective action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}=2 N_{\mathrm{C}} \int_{M_{3}} \eta \wedge \mathcal{Q}, \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a pullback of the standard area form on $S^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q} \equiv \frac{1}{8 \pi} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot\left(\partial_{i} \boldsymbol{n} \times \partial_{j} \boldsymbol{n}\right) d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}=\frac{1}{8 \pi} \boldsymbol{n} \cdot(d \boldsymbol{n} \times d \boldsymbol{n}) . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Aharonov-Bohm phase factor is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(-i N_{\mathrm{C}} \int d t \int d^{2} x \int d x^{3} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{WZW}}^{(2)}\right)=\exp \left(-i N_{\mathrm{C}} \int d t \eta^{0} \int d^{2} x 2 q\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-2 \pi i N_{\mathrm{C}} k\right)=1 \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, a single lump ( $k=1$ ) (thus any number $k$ of lumps) is a boson for any $N_{\mathrm{C}}$. This is as expected because the single lump in the soliton is a double baryon bound state of $N_{\mathrm{B}}=2$, and more generally even quantization in eq. (3.21).

### 5.3 Comments on surgeries

Let us make comments on surgeries discussed in the last section. In fig. 6, the domain-wall Skyrmion has the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=2$ and is a boson, while the hole and pancake soliton have the baryon numbers $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ and are fermions.

One may consider the exchange of two parts of the chiral soliton (without domain-wall Skyrmions). First, cut the two parts by surgeries as in fig. 7, exchange them leaving two holes, and glue them to the holes as illustrated in fig. 8. We then obtain a minus sign for exchanging two fermions. Therefore, each part of the chiral soliton is a fermion.

## 6 Summary and Discussion

In this paper, we have clarified the spin statistics of chiral solitons of finite sizes (pancake solitons), holes on a chiral soliton, and domain-wall Skyrmions in QCD with $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ flavors at finite baryon density $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ in magnetic field $B$. In order to overcome the absence of the WZW term for two flavors, we first have used the conventional Witten's method to embed $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral Lagrangian to the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ one with the WZW term. Then, we have clarified spin statistics of topological solitons: domain-wall Skyrmions are bosons and pancake solitons or holes of the size of $S_{0}=2 \pi / e B_{z}$ are fermions with baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$. Larger pancake solitons or holes of the area of odd (even) multiple of $S_{0}=2 \pi / e B_{z}$ are fermions (bosons). We also have used the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{WZW}$ term [13] constructed in terms of spin structures and obtained the same results. We also have constructed the low-energy effective theory on a single chiral soliton, obtained the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ model with topological terms originated from the WZW term, to confirm that domain-wall Skyrmions are bosons. We also have proposed surgeries of topological solitons. Domain-wall Skyrmions with $N_{\mathrm{B}}=2$ (bosons) can be decomposed into a chiral soliton with a topological hole with $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ (fermion) and a


Figure 8. Exchange of two parts of a single chiral soliton. The procedure is: (1) cut the two parts by surgeries, (2) exchange them leaving two holes, (3) glue them to the holes. This procedure yields a minus sign for exchanging two fermions.
pancake soliton with $N_{\mathrm{B}}=1$ (fermion) as in fig. 6 , while a chiral soliton without any Skyrmion can be decomposed into a chiral soliton with a hole with $N_{\mathrm{B}}= \pm 1$ (fermion) and a pancake soliton with $N_{\mathrm{B}}=\mp 1$ (fermion) as in fig. 7 . The surgery allows the exchange of two quantized areas of a single chiral soliton, showing a fermion statistics, as illustrated in fig. 8.

Here, we address several discussions and possible future directions. One of the possible future directions is the extension to the three flavor case, $N_{\mathrm{F}}=3$. In this case, the $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ moduli appear on a chiral soliton.

As for surgeries of topological solitons, only topological aspects and spin statistics have been studied in this paper. Dynamically there should exist an attractive interaction between separated components implying the energy barrier that prevents surgeries and ensuring the stability of chiral solitons and domain-wall Skyrmions. Calculation of the interaction is one of the important future directions, and one also should be able to calculate quantum tunneling rates [34].

Aside from spin statistics of topological solitons, this paper gives a new aspect of the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ model: the $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ model on two dimensional plane with a boundary as in fig. 2 , or on a disk as in fig. 3. As a similar situation, the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model on a finite interval was discussed in the literature [80-88]. In particular, the $\mathbb{C} P^{N-1}$ model on a disk was studied in Ref. [89]. However, as far as we know, the case with a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ degrees of freedom remaining on the
boundary as in figs. 2 and 3 has not been considered yet.
Before closing this paper, let us comment on a domain-wall Skyrmion in QCD matter under rapid rotation [32]. The anomalous term for the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson [28, 29] reproducing the chiral vortical effect [21, 90-94] at low energy leads to a CSL composed of the $\eta^{\prime}$ meson (or $\eta$ meson for the two-flavor case) under rapid rotation, instead of CSL of the neutral pion in the magnetic field [28-30]. In a large parameter region in the case of two flavors, a single $\eta$-soliton energetically decays into a pair of non-Abelian solitons by a neutral pion condensation [31]. The vector symmetry $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{V}}$ is spontaneously broken down to its $\mathrm{U}(1)$ subgroup in the vicinity of each non-Abelian soliton, resulting in $\mathbb{C} P^{1} \mathrm{NG}$ modes localized around it $[31,33]$, and yielding $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ moduli $[48,49,67-69]$. With the singlesoliton approximation, the domain-wall Skyrmion phase for rapid rotations was found in ref. [32]. Unlike the case of the magnetic field studied in this paper, one lump on the soliton corresponds to one Skyrmion in the bulk in this case. Therefore, we expect that a domain-wall Skyrmion must be a fermion in this case, in contrast to bosons for magnetic field uncovered in this paper.

In the case of a single flavor $N_{\mathrm{F}}=1$, the degree of the $\eta$ meson can be used for constructing baryons as the $\eta$ soliton [95, 96]. In such a case, Skyrmions are realized as vortices on the $\eta$ soliton, and the anyonic nature of quarks was shown [97]. Unified understanding including this case would be one of the important future directions.
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## A Calculation of the spin statics of pancake soliton

In this appendix, we examine the statistics of pancake soliton by evaluating rather the WZW action that Witten used for the discussion of the statistics of Skyrmions [4, 18] than the $N_{\mathrm{F}}=2$ WZW term (5.19). For this purpose, we apply the method described in Ref. [98]. As Witten did, we extend the space-time $M_{4}=S^{1} \times M_{3}$ to $M_{5}=D_{2} \times M_{3}$, where $M_{3}$ is the physical space and $D_{2}$ stands for a two-dimensional disc parameterized by (normalized) time $t \in[0,2 \pi]$ as an axis variable and $\xi \in[0,1]$ as a radial variable. We consider an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ chiral field on the five dimensional space-time $M_{5}$ defined in eq. (5.6),
i.e., $\tilde{U}(\boldsymbol{x}, t, \xi)=V(t, \xi) U(\boldsymbol{x}) V^{\dagger}(t, \xi)$, where $U(\boldsymbol{x})$ stands for a static Skyrmion field and $V$ is a collective coordinate defined on $D_{2}$. The variable $V$ is assumed to satisfy the boundary condition $V(0, \xi)=V(2 \pi, \xi)$. The left invariant Maurer-Cartan form of $\tilde{U}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L}=\tilde{U} d \tilde{U}^{\dagger}=V\left(U \Omega U^{\dagger}+L-\Omega\right) V^{\dagger} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=U d U^{\dagger}$, and $\Omega=V^{\dagger} d V$. Using this, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{L}^{5}\right)= & \operatorname{Tr}\left(L^{5}\right) \\
& +5 d \operatorname{Tr}\left[L^{3} \Omega-\frac{1}{2}(L \Omega)^{2}+L \Omega^{3}\right.  \tag{A.2}\\
& \left.+U(L-\Omega)^{3} U^{\dagger} \Omega+\frac{1}{2}\left(U(L-\Omega) U^{\dagger} \Omega\right)^{2}+U(L-\Omega) U^{\dagger} \Omega^{3}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where we also employed

$$
\begin{equation*}
d L=-L^{2}, \quad d \Omega=-\Omega^{2}, \quad d U=-L U, \quad d U^{\dagger}=U^{\dagger} L \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that the WZW term can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{5} \equiv \frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{240 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{5}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{L}^{5}\right) \\
&=\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{240 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{5}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(L^{5}\right) \\
&+\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[L^{3} \Omega-\frac{1}{2}(L \Omega)^{2}+L \Omega^{3}\right.  \tag{A.4}\\
&\left.\quad+U^{\dagger}(L-\Omega)^{3} U \Omega+\frac{1}{2}\left(U^{\dagger}(L-\Omega) U \Omega\right)^{2}+U^{\dagger}(L-\Omega) U \Omega^{3}\right]\left.\right|_{\xi=1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking space-time dependency of $L$ and $\Omega$ into account, i.e., $L^{4}=\left.\Omega^{2}\right|_{\xi=1}=0$, this can be simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(L^{3} \Omega+U^{\dagger} L^{3} U \Omega\right)=\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int_{M_{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(L^{3}+R^{3}\right) \Omega\right] \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=d U^{\dagger} U$. Expanding $\Omega_{0}$ in terms of the Gell-Mann matrices $\lambda_{\alpha}(\alpha=1,2, \ldots, 8)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{0}=V^{\dagger} \partial_{0} V=\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{\alpha} V^{\dagger} \partial_{0} V\right)=\frac{i}{2} \lambda_{\alpha} \omega^{\alpha}, \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\Gamma_{5}=+\frac{N_{\mathrm{C}}}{96 \pi^{2}} \int d^{4} x \varepsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(L_{i} L_{j} L_{k}+R_{i} R_{j} R_{k}\right) \lambda_{\alpha}\right] \omega^{\alpha}
$$

where we used $d x^{\mu} \wedge d x^{\nu} \wedge d x^{\alpha} \wedge d x^{\beta}=d x^{4} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}$. In order to evaluate the WZW term for $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ solitons, we consider the $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ chiral field into which the $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ chiral field $\Sigma$ is
trivially embedded as defined in eq. (5.1). Then, the Maurer-Cartan forms can simply be written as

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Sigma d \Sigma^{\dagger} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We decompose their elements in terms of the Pauli matrices $\tau_{a}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Sigma \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger}=\frac{\tau_{a}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau_{a} \Sigma \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger}\right) \equiv \frac{i}{2} \tau_{a} \xi_{i}^{a},  \tag{A.7}\\
& \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma=\frac{\tau_{a}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tau_{a} \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma\right) \equiv \frac{i}{2} \tau_{a} \zeta_{i}^{a} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the decomposition, one obtains after simple algebra that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon^{i j k} \Sigma \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \partial_{j} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \partial_{k} \Sigma^{\dagger} & =\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{a b c} \xi_{i}^{a} \xi_{j}^{b} \xi_{k}^{c} \mathbf{1}_{2}, \\
\varepsilon^{i j k} \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \partial_{i} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma \partial_{k} \Sigma^{\dagger} \Sigma & =\frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{a b c} \zeta_{i}^{a} \zeta_{j}^{b} \zeta_{k}^{c} \mathbf{1}_{2}, \tag{A.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix. It indicates that the baryon number $N_{\mathrm{B}} \in \pi_{3}[\mathrm{SU}(2)]$ defined in eq. (2.14) can be cast into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{1}{96 \pi^{2}} \int d^{3} x \varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{a b c} \zeta_{i}^{a} \xi_{j}^{b} \xi_{k}^{c}=\frac{1}{96 \pi^{2}} \int d^{3} x \varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{a b c} \zeta_{i}^{a} \zeta_{j}^{b} \zeta_{k}^{c} . \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we find that the WZW term can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{5} & =\frac{N_{\mathrm{C}}}{96 \pi^{2}} \int d^{4} x \frac{1}{8} \varepsilon^{i j k} \varepsilon_{a b c}\left(\xi_{i}^{a} \xi_{j}^{b} \xi_{k}^{c}+\zeta_{i}^{a} \zeta_{j}^{b} \zeta_{k}^{c}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\left(h \lambda_{\alpha}\right) \omega^{\alpha} \\
& =\frac{N_{\mathrm{C}} N_{\mathrm{B}}}{4} \int d x^{0} \operatorname{Tr}\left(h \lambda_{\alpha}\right) \omega^{\alpha} \\
& =\frac{N_{\mathrm{C}} N_{\mathrm{B}}}{2 \sqrt{3}} \int d x^{0} \omega^{8} \tag{A.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,0)$.
Let us evaluate the WZW term using the explicit form of $V$ given in eq. (5.7). Since $\Omega_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Omega_{0}\right|_{\xi=1}=\left.V^{\dagger} \partial_{t} V\right|_{\xi=1}=\operatorname{diag}(0,-i, i), \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{8}=-\left.i \operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{8} \Omega_{0}\right)\right|_{\xi=1}=-\sqrt{3} . \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we find that the WZW term takes

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{WZW}}=-\pi N_{\mathrm{C}} N_{\mathrm{B}} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us explicitly calculate the WZW term of the pancake solitons. With the parameterization of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ chiral field (3.32), the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ chiral field for pancake solitons is given
by

$$
U(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma+i \pi^{0} & i\left(\pi^{1}-i \pi^{2}\right) & 0  \tag{A.14}\\
i\left(\pi^{1}+i \pi^{2}\right) & \sigma-i \pi^{0} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $\chi=\chi(\rho), \theta=\theta(z)$, and $\phi=\phi(\varphi)=\nu \varphi$. By explicit calculation, one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
& U \partial_{\rho} U^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & -i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
-i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-e^{-i \theta} \sin \chi & -i e^{-i \phi} \cos \chi & 0 \\
-i e^{i \phi} \cos \chi & -e^{i \theta} \sin \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi  \tag{A.15}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i e^{i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 \\
-i e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi, \\
& U \partial_{\varphi} U^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\varphi}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & -i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
-i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\varphi} \phi  \tag{A.16}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \sin ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & -i \sin ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\varphi} \phi, \\
& U \partial_{z} U^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \partial_{z}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & -i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
-i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & i e^{-i \phi} \sin \chi & 0 \\
i e^{i \phi} \sin \chi & e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i e^{-i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 & 0 \\
0 & i e^{i \theta} \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{z} \theta  \tag{A.17}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i \cos ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & i \cos ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{z} \theta,
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
U \partial_{\varphi} U^{\dagger} U \partial_{\rho} U^{\dagger} U \partial_{z} U^{\dagger}
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \sin ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & -i \sin ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i e^{i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 \\
-i e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i \cos ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & i \cos ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \sin \chi \cos \chi & e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
-e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin ^{2} \chi & -i \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\times\left(\begin{array}{ccr}
-i \cos ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & i \cos ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta
$$

$$
=+\sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& \\
& 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& U \partial_{\rho} U^{\dagger} U \partial_{\varphi} U^{\dagger} U \partial_{z} U^{\dagger} \\
& \begin{aligned}
= & \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -i e^{i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 \\
-i e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \sin ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & -i \sin ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i \cos ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & i \cos ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta
\end{aligned} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i \sin \chi \cos \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin ^{2} \chi & i \sin \chi \cos \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{A.18}\\
& \times\left(\begin{array}{ccr}
-i \cos ^{2} \chi & -e^{i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi 0 \\
e^{-i(\theta-\phi)} \sin \chi \cos \chi & i \cos ^{2} \chi & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta \\
& =-\sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \\
& 1 \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. Therefore, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{5} & =\left.\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int d^{4} x \varepsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(L_{i} L_{j} L_{k}+R_{i} R_{j} R_{k}\right) \Omega_{0}\right]\right|_{\xi=1} \\
& =-\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{x} \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d t(-1) . \tag{A.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The spatial integral is evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} d \rho \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta \\
& =2 \pi \nu\left(\int_{0}^{R} d \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta+\int_{R}^{\infty} d \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta\right) \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi  \tag{A.21}\\
& =2 \pi \nu \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d \chi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta \sin \chi \cos \chi \\
& =2 \pi^{2} \nu
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we find the WZW term for the pancake solutions is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{5} & =\left.\frac{i N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}} \int d^{4} x \varepsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(L_{i} L_{j} L_{k}+R_{i} R_{j} R_{k}\right) \Omega_{0}\right]\right|_{\xi=1}  \tag{A.22}\\
& =-\frac{2 \cdot 3!\cdot N_{\mathrm{C}}}{48 \pi^{2}}\left(2 \pi^{2} \nu\right) \cdot(-2 \pi)=N_{\mathrm{C}} \pi \nu .
\end{align*}
$$

It implies that the pancake solitons are fermions for $N_{\mathrm{C}}=3$.
Note that for the domain wall Skyrmion, the second term in the second line of eq. (A.21) does not vanish, and one can evaluate it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R}^{\infty} d \rho \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta \partial_{\rho} \chi \sin \chi \cos \chi=-2 \pi \int_{\pi / 2}^{0} d \chi \sin \chi \cos \chi=\pi \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies that the WZW term for the domain wall Skyrmion is $2 \pi$, and thereby they are bosons.

Since the baryon number can be similarly calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\mathrm{B}} & =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{x} \epsilon^{i j k} \operatorname{tr}\left(L_{i} L_{j} L_{k}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int d^{3} \boldsymbol{x} \sin \chi \cos \chi \partial_{\rho} \chi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \partial_{z} \theta \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \rho \partial_{\rho} \chi \sin \chi \cos \chi \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \varphi \partial_{\varphi} \phi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d z \partial_{z} \theta  \tag{A.24}\\
& = \begin{cases}-2 \nu \int_{0}^{\pi / 2} d \chi \sin \chi \cos \chi=-\nu, & \text { for pancake solitons [Figure } 3 \text { (c)] } \\
+2 \nu \int_{\pi / 2}^{0} d \chi \sin \chi \cos \chi=-\nu, & \text { for hole solitons [Figure } 5 \text { (b)], }\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

we can relate the WZW term and the baryon number as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{5}=-\pi N_{\mathrm{C}} N_{\mathrm{B}} . \tag{A.25}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the context of QCD, similar CSLs composed on the $\eta$ or $\eta^{\prime}$ meson also appear in the case under rapid rotation [28-33]. Further investigations have been done into the quantum nucleation of CSLs in [34, 35], quasicrystals [36], and the interplay between Skyrmion crystals at zero magnetic field and the CSL [37-39].
    ${ }^{2}$ The term "domain-wall Skyrmions" can be traced back to its initial use in ref. [53], where it describes Yang-Mills instantons absorbed into a domain wall in $4+1$ dimensions.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Here, we adopt a modification of the standard power counting scheme of ChPT as presented in ref. [26]: $\partial_{\mu}, m_{\pi}, A_{\mu}=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{1}\right)$ and $A_{\mu}^{\mathrm{B}}=\mathcal{O}\left(p^{-1}\right)$. In this power-counting scheme, eq. (2.10) is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(p^{2}\right)$ and is consistent with eq. (2.9). It is significant to note that $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ only manifests in the WZW term of eq. (2.10), which allows us to attribute a negative power counting to $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Pion strings at high temperature are discussed in a linear sigma model [71, 72].

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ If the gauge field is dynamical, these pancake solitons can be regarded as magnetic moments since an upward (downward) magnetic field is induced for (c) and (d) [(a) and (b)]. Thus, the magnetic moment prefers to be aligned to the external magnetic field.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ The authors thank Kantaro Ohmori for discussions on this method.

