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## 1 Introduction

Consider a "crystal", that is, the standard lattice $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}^{3}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with identical "molecules" positioned at all sites (points) $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$. Denote by $M$ the configuration space of such a molecule which is assumed to be a smooth finite dimensional manifold and let $X=M^{\Gamma}$ be the configuration space of the crystal, that is, the infinite product of $\Gamma$ copies of $M$. Suppose adjacent molecules interact via a potential (energy) which is, by definition, a smooth function of two variables, say $f: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the total energy of the crystal could be thought of as the (infinite!) sum of copies of $f$ over all adjacent pairs of sites $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$, called edges of $\Gamma$ (with six edges at each site) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x=\left(x_{\gamma}\right)\right)=\sum_{\operatorname{edges}\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)} f\left(x_{\gamma}, x_{\gamma^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an $F$ is clearly almost everywhere infinite for non-trivial $f$ but its gradient (differential) is obviously well defined and finite at all points $x$ in $X$. Thus one may speak of the critical points of $F$, also called the stationary states of the crystal. Observe that the set $S$ of stationary states make a closed subset in $X$ invariant under the obvious (shift) action of $\Gamma$ on $X$. The basic question is that of evaluating the entropy of the dynamical system $(S, \Gamma)$ in terms of the topology

[^0]of $M$ and/or some generic features of $f$. One still does not have a satisfactory criterion for non-vanishing of this entropy except for a few specific cases, such as the discretized geodesic flow on a Riemannian manifold for instance, but one can give a lower bound on the asymptotic distribution of the critical values of F as follows.

Exhaust $\Gamma$ with some standard subsets $\Omega_{i}$, e.g. by concentric cubes of edge size $2 i$, and let $F_{i}$ denote the "restriction" of $F$ to $\Omega_{i}$, that is, the sum of the terms in $(*)$ corresponding to edges in $\Omega_{i}$. This sum is regarded as a function on $M^{\Omega_{i}}$, call it $F_{i}: M^{\Omega_{i}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is further normalized by letting $F_{i}^{\prime}=1 / \operatorname{card}\left(\Omega_{i}\right) F_{i}$. The functions $F_{i}^{\prime}$ take values in a fixed interval, namely in $[f-=6 \inf (f), f+=$ $6 \sup (f)]$. We count the number $\#_{i}(I)$ of critical values in each subinterval $I$ of $[f-, f+]$, and set

$$
\operatorname{cri}_{i}(I)=\frac{1}{\operatorname{card}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)} \log \#_{i}(I)
$$

The purpose of this paper is to provide a Morse theoretic lower bound for $\lim \inf \operatorname{cri}_{i}(I)$, $i \rightarrow \infty$, in terms of a certain (strictly positive!) concave function (entropy) on $[f-, f+]$ capturing the homological behaviour of functions $F_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$.

Aknowledgements We wish to thank the referee for having noticed some mistakes in the text.

## 2 Framework

Consider a countable group $\Gamma$ endowed with a left-invariant metric $d: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$. Given a finite subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$, its cardinality is denoted by $|\Omega|$. The set of finite subsets of $\Gamma$ is denoted by $B(\Gamma)$. The distance $d$ is extended to a map $d: B(\Gamma) \times B(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$(not a distance) as follows :

$$
d\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}\right)=\inf \left\{d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) ; \gamma \in \Omega, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Given a nonnegative number $N$, the $N$-boundary and the $N$-interior of $\Omega \in B(\Gamma)$ are the sets

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{N} \Omega & =\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; d(\gamma, \Omega), d(\gamma, \Gamma-\Omega) \leq N\} \\
\operatorname{int}_{N} \Omega & =\Omega-\partial_{N} \Omega
\end{array}
$$

When reference to $N$ is clear the set $\operatorname{int}_{N / 2} \Omega$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{\Omega}$. Given $\Omega_{o}$ and $\Omega$, two finite subsets of $\Gamma$, we denote their amenability ratio by $\alpha\left(\Omega, \Omega_{o}\right)$, that is

$$
\alpha\left(\Omega, \Omega_{o}\right)=\frac{\left|\partial_{D_{o}} \Omega\right|}{|\Omega|},
$$

where $D_{o}=\sup \left\{d\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right) ; \gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Omega_{o}\right\}$ is the diameter of $\Omega_{o}$. Let us recall that a countable group is said to be amenable if it admits an amenable sequence ( $\Omega_{i}$ ), i.e. an increasing sequence of finite subsets exhausting $\Gamma$ such that for any nonnegative number $N$,

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\partial_{N} \Omega_{i}\right|}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|}=0
$$

Let $X$ be a compact topological space endowed with a $\Gamma$-action $\rho: \Gamma \times X \rightarrow$ $X:(\gamma, x) \mapsto \gamma x$.

Let $f_{o}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any continuous function with $f_{o}(X)=[0,1]$. For $\Omega$ in $B(\Gamma)$, we define the average of $f_{o}$ along $\Omega$ to be the function

$$
f_{\Omega}(x)=\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Omega} f_{o}\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)
$$

## 3 Product-like actions

We will impose on the group action $\rho$ a restrictive assumption of homological nature expressing abundance of multiplicative structure. It will ensure that the homological measure defined in the next section will have a well-defined exponential growth. Its statement requires the introduction of some elements of notation.

Let $F$ be a field and let $H^{\star}(X ; F)$ denote the singular cohomology of $X$ with coefficients in $F$. Given a finite-dimensional subalgebra $A \subset H^{\star}(X ; F)$ and a finite subset $\Omega$ of $\Gamma$, we denote by $A_{\Omega}$ the (finite-dimensional) subalgebra of $H^{\star}(X ; F)$ generated by the translates of $A$ along $\Omega$, i.e.

$$
A_{\Omega}=\operatorname{Alg}\left\langle\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma_{*} A\right\rangle
$$

where $\gamma_{*}=\left(\gamma^{-1}\right)^{*}$ denotes the induced (left) action of $\gamma$ on $H^{\star}(X ; F)$.
Assumption 3.1 There exists a nontrivial subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset H^{\star}(X ; F)$ for which any finite-dimensional subalgebra $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ admits a number $N=N(A) \geq 0$ such that if $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \in B(\Gamma)$ satisfy $d\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}\right)>N(A)$, then the cup product map is injective

$$
A_{\Omega} \otimes A_{\Omega^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow A_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}: a \otimes a^{\prime} \mapsto a \wedge a^{\prime}
$$

Remark 3.2 Assumption 3.1 The word nontrivial in the statement above should be given the meaning that the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ contains some nonzero finite-dimensional algebra.

When this assumption is satisfied, the action $\rho$ is said to be a product-like action, in reference to the following example.

Example 3.3 (Products) Let $M$ be a manifold. Consider $X=M^{\Gamma}$, the infinite product of $\Gamma$ copies of $M$, or equivalently, the set of maps

$$
\Gamma \rightarrow M: \gamma \rightarrow x_{\gamma}
$$

with the topology of pointwise convergence (or product topology). Assumption 3.1 holds. Indeed, an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition $(\times)$ is the direct limit of the
direct system of subalgebras described hereafter. To each finite subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ is associated a finite-dimensional subalgebra $A(\Omega)$ of $H^{\star}\left(M^{\Gamma} ; F\right)$ :

$$
A(\Omega)=p_{\Omega}^{*}\left(H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega} ; F\right)\right)
$$

where $p_{\Omega}: M^{\Gamma} \rightarrow M^{\Omega}$ is the canonical projection. When $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$, there is a map $p_{\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}}: M^{\Omega} \rightarrow M^{\Omega^{\prime}}$ and hence a pullback $i_{\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}}: A\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow A(\Omega)$. The algebra

$$
\mathcal{A}=\underset{\Omega \in B(\Gamma)}{\lim } A(\Omega)
$$

is a subalgebra of $H^{\star}\left(M^{\Gamma} ; F\right)$ that satisfies $(\times)$. Indeed, let $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a finitedimensional subalgebra. There exists a finite subset $\Omega_{o} \subset \Gamma$ such that $A \subset A\left(\Omega_{o}\right)$. Given $\Omega$, the space $A_{\Omega}$ is contained in $A\left(\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}\right)$ and, as the Künneth formula implies, it suffices to use $N(A)=\operatorname{diam}\left(\Omega_{o} \cdot \Omega_{o}^{-1}\right)$.

A class of examples of $\Gamma$-spaces not of the product type, but enjoying the productlike property is described below in Section 12 .

Remark 3.4 Assumption 3.1 is not satisfied when $X$ is a manifold, or when $H^{\star}(X ; F)$ has finite rank.

## 4 Homological measure of thickened level sets

We will define homological invariants associated to a continuous function $f_{o}$ on $X$. They can be interpreted, roughly speaking, as a measure of the amount of cohomology supported in the various thickened level sets of the averages of $f_{o}$ over the finite subsets of $\Gamma$, and therefore could be called homological measures of slices. If $X$ was a manifold and if $f_{o}$ wasa smooth function, these invariants would provide a measure for the number of "homologically-detectable" critical points of the various functions $f_{\Omega}$ located in the various thickened level sets of $f_{\Omega}$ (cf. Section 8). The real purpose is to consider the exponential growth of this invariant as the finite subset becomes large. The resulting object will depend upon two variables : the level and the normalized degree in cohomology. It is called hereafter the homological entropy of the function $f_{o}$, in analogy with the traditional entropy of an observable (4]). The entropy is well-defined provided these invariants satisfy certain properties. Classically these properties are submultiplicativity and $\Gamma$-invariance. In contrast, the homological measure is invariant as well (Lemma 5.2), but supermultiplicative (Lemma 5.1). To define entropy in this situation necessitates the introduction of an additional assumption on the group, called here tileability (cf. Section 6).

Notation 4.1 If $a$ is a cohomology class and if $O$ is an open subset of $X$, the expression $\operatorname{supp} a \subset O$ ("a is supported in $O "$ ), means that for some open set $O^{\prime}$ such that $X=O \cup O^{\prime}$, the restriction of a to $O^{\prime}$ vanishes. Observe that if $\operatorname{supp} a \subset O$ and $\operatorname{supp} b \subset U$ then $\operatorname{supp} a \wedge b \subset O \cap U$.

Given $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ a finite-dimensional subalgebra, an open set $O$, a non-negative number $\ell$, a positive number $\nu$ and a finite subset $\Omega \subset \Gamma$, we consider the subalgebras

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}(O) & =\left\{a \in A_{\widetilde{\Omega}} ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset O\right\} \\
H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\ell,}(O) & =\left\{a \in A_{\widetilde{\Omega}} ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset O \&(\ell-\nu)|\Omega|<\operatorname{deg} a<(\ell+\nu)|\Omega|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the convention that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ denotes $\operatorname{int}_{N / 2} \Omega$, where $N=N(A)$ is the number associated to $A \subset \mathcal{A}$ from Assumption 3.1. The inequalities involving the degree of $a$ have to be verified by each component of pure degree. Finally, the open sets considered hereafter will be sublevel, superlevel or thickened level sets of the function $f_{\Omega}$, typically :

$$
O=f_{\Omega}^{-1}(-\infty, c+\delta) \text { or } f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta,+\infty) \text { or } f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)
$$

for some $c \in[0,1]$ and $\delta>0$. Then consider the map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu}: \quad H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\ell, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(-\infty, c+\delta)\right) \rightarrow \\
\operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta,+\infty)\right), H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)\right)\right) \\
\\
\left(\varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu}(a)\right)(b)=a \wedge b .
\end{gathered}
$$

Its rank is denoted hereafter by

$$
b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)=\operatorname{rank} \varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu}
$$

Definition $4.2 b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ is called the $(\ell-\nu, \ell+\nu)$-th homological measure of the thickened level $f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)$ with respect to $A_{\Omega}$.

## 5 Properties of the homological measure

We prove in this section the two properties - supermultiplicativity and $\Gamma$-invariance - necessary to obtain a well-defined homological entropy.

Lemma 5.1 The $\operatorname{map} B(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \Omega \mapsto b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ is supermultiplicative. More generally, let $\Omega, \Omega^{\prime} \in B(\Gamma)$ be disjoint finite subsets, let $c, c^{\prime} \in[0,1]$ and let $\ell, \ell^{\prime} \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{A, \Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(\alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}, \delta\right) \geq b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \cdot b_{A, \Omega^{\prime}}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(c^{\prime}, \delta\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\frac{|\Omega|}{\left|\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}\right|}$ and thus $1-\alpha=\frac{\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}\right|}$.
Proof. The argument relies on the few simple observations listed below :

- Let $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ be disjoint finite subsets of $\Gamma$, then

$$
f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}=\frac{|\Omega|}{\left|\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}\right|} f_{\Omega}+\frac{\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|}{\left|\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}\right|} f_{\Omega^{\prime}}=\alpha f_{\Omega}+(1-\alpha) f_{\Omega^{\prime}}
$$

Thus $f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\alpha I+(1-\alpha) I^{\prime}\right) \supset f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I) \cap f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$ for intervals $I$ and $I^{\prime}$.

- If a class $a$ is supported in $f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I)$ and if a class $a^{\prime}$ is supported in $f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(I^{\prime}\right)$, then the class $a \wedge a^{\prime}$ is supported in $f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I) \cap f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(I^{\prime}\right) \subset f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\alpha I+(1-\alpha) I^{\prime}\right)$.
- If $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$ are disjoint then the distance between $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime}$ is greater than $N$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} \cup \widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime} \subset \widetilde{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}$. Therefore Assumption 3.1 provides us with an injective map

$$
A_{\tilde{\Omega}} \otimes A_{\widetilde{\Omega^{\prime}}} \rightarrow A_{\widetilde{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}} .
$$

This explains the choice of $\widetilde{\Omega}$ instead of $\Omega$.

- The degree of $a \wedge a^{\prime}$ if the sum of the degree of $a$ and that of $a^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
a \in H^{H_{1}^{\prime}, \nu} \\
a^{\prime} \in H_{A_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\ell_{\tilde{\Omega}}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a \wedge a^{\prime} \in H_{A_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu} .
$$

Combining the previous observations we obtain an injection :

$$
\Psi_{I, I^{\prime}}: H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\ell, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I)\right) \otimes H_{A_{\Omega_{\Omega}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow H_{A_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\alpha I+(1-\alpha) I^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Now consider the following sequence of maps. We will abbreviate $\alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{c}$ and $\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}$ to $\tilde{\ell}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{A_{\Omega}}^{\ell \ell \nu}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(-\infty, c+\delta)\right) \otimes H_{A_{\Omega^{\prime}}}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c^{\prime}+\delta\right)\right) \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta,+\infty)\right), H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)\right)\right) \otimes \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{\tilde{\Omega}_{\Omega^{\prime}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}-\delta,+\infty\right)\right), H_{A_{\Omega^{\prime}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}-\delta, c^{\prime}+\delta\right)\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta,+\infty)\right) \otimes H_{A_{\Omega^{\prime}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}-\delta,+\infty\right)\right),\right. \\
& \left.H_{A_{\Omega_{\Omega}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)\right) \otimes H_{A_{\widetilde{\Omega}^{\prime}}}^{\star}\left(f_{\Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(c^{\prime}-\delta, c^{\prime}+\delta\right)\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow \\
& \operatorname{Hom}\left(H_{A_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{\star}}\left(f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}(\tilde{c}-\delta,+\infty)\right), H_{A_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{\star}}\left(f_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}^{-1}(\tilde{c}-\delta, \tilde{c}+\delta)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first arrow stands for the map $\varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu} \otimes \varphi_{A, \Omega^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}$. The second arrow is a classical isomorphism, indeed,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \operatorname{Hom}(C, D) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}(A \otimes C, B \otimes D)
$$

for finite-dimensional vector spaces $A, B, C, D$. The third one is the injection induced by a choice of complementary subspaces to the images of the maps $\Psi_{(c-\delta,+\infty),\left(c^{\prime}-\delta,+\infty\right)}$ and $\Psi_{(c-\delta, c+\delta),\left(c^{\prime}-\delta, c^{\prime}+\delta\right)}$ respectively. We will denote the composition of second and third map by $\Phi$. There is also another sequence obtained from composing

$$
\Psi=\Psi_{(-\infty, c+\delta),\left(-\infty, c^{\prime}+\delta\right)}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{A, \Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}, \alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

These two sequences commute :

$$
\Phi \circ\left[\varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu} \otimes \varphi_{A, \Omega^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\right]=\varphi_{A, \Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}, \alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu} \circ \Psi .
$$

Since $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are both injective, this implies that

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left(\varphi_{A, \Omega, c, \delta}^{\ell, \nu} \otimes \varphi_{A, \Omega^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank} \varphi_{A, \Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}, \alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}, \delta}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu}
$$

Lemma 5.2 The map

$$
B(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \Omega \rightarrow b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)
$$

is $\Gamma$-invariant.
Proof. The proof follows from the simple facts stated below. Let $\Omega \in B(\Gamma)$, let $\gamma_{o} \in \Gamma$ and let $I \subset[0,1]$ be any interval. Then

- $f_{\gamma_{o} \Omega}^{-1}(I)=\gamma_{o} f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I)$.
- $A_{\gamma_{o} \Omega}=\left(\gamma_{o}\right)_{*} A_{\Omega}$.
$-\widetilde{\gamma_{o} \Omega}=\gamma_{o} \widetilde{\Omega}$.
- $\left(\gamma_{o}\right)_{*}$ induces an isomorphism between $H_{A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\ell, \nu}\left(f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I)\right)$ and $H_{\gamma_{o *} A_{\tilde{\Omega}}}^{\ell, \nu}\left(\gamma_{o} f_{\Omega}^{-1}(I)\right)$.


## 6 Superadditive Ornstein-Weiss Lemma for tileable groups

The $\ell$-th Betti number entropy of $f_{o}$ will be defined from the exponential growth, with respect to the index $i$, of the sequence $\left(b_{A, \Omega_{i}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)\right)_{i \geq 1}$, where $\Omega_{i}$ is an amenable sequence in $\Gamma$, that is to say, from the limit

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left(b_{A, \Omega_{i}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)\right)}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|},
$$

when it exists. Lemma 5.1 implies that the map $\Omega \mapsto \ln \left(b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)\right)$ is superadditive on disjoint sets, while the Ornstein-Weiss lemma [3] provides convergence of the sequence of averages $h\left(\Omega_{i}\right) /\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$ under the hypotheses that the map $h: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$is $\Gamma$-invariante and subadditive. The proof of the Ornstein-Weiss Lemma requires the construction of $\varepsilon$-quasi-tilings that any amenable group admits. In contrast, a proof of the superadditive version of this lemma seems to necessitate the construction of disjoint such tilings which might not exist in general (although we do not know of any counterexample). Whence the following definition.

Definition 6.1 An amenable group $\Gamma$ is said to be tileable if it admits a tiling amenable sequence, that is, an amenable sequence $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ such that given $\varepsilon>0$ and a subsequence $\left(\Omega_{i_{n}}\right)$ of $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, there exists a finite subsequence of $\left(\Omega_{i_{n}}\right)$, denoted $\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{s}$, such that any finite subset $\Omega$ with sufficiently large amenablility ratios $\alpha\left(\Omega, \Omega_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, s$ can be disjointly $\varepsilon$-tiled by translates of the $\Omega_{j}$ 's, i.e. there exists center $\gamma_{j, k}, 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq r_{j}$ in $\Gamma$ such that

- $\gamma_{j, k} \Omega_{j} \subset \Omega$,
- $\gamma_{j, k} \Omega_{j} \cap \gamma_{j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \Omega_{j^{\prime}}=\emptyset$ for $(j, k) \neq\left(j^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)$,
- $\left|\cup_{j, k} \gamma_{j, k} \Omega_{j}\right| \geq(1-\varepsilon)|\Omega|$.

Examples 6.2 Weiss introduces in [5] the notion of monotileable amenable groups. Those are groups admitting an amenable sequence $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ consisting of monotiles. This means that for each index $i$ there exists a set $C_{i} \subset \Gamma$ for which the various translates $\Omega_{i} c$ of $\Omega_{i}$ along $C_{i}$ form a partition of $\Gamma$. Such groups belong to the class of tileable amenable groups. Moreover, Weiss proves that any residually finite amenable group is monotileable, implying that the following amenable groups are also tileable.

- Abelian and solvable groups.
- Amenable linear groups, i.e. linear groups not containing $F_{2}$ as a subgroup.
- Grigorchuk's groups of intermediate growth ${ }^{1}$

Lemma 6.3 (Superadditive Ornstein-Weiss lemma) Let $\Gamma$ be a tileable amenable group. Let h be a nonnegative function defined on $B(\Gamma)$ and satisfying the following two conditions :

- superadditivity : $h\left(\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}\right) \geq h(\Omega)+h\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ for disjoint subsets $\Omega$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$,
- $\Gamma$-invariance : $h(\gamma \Omega)=h(\Omega)$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Then, given a tiling amenable sequence $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, the following limit exists

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h\left(\Omega_{i}\right)}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|}
$$

Remark 6.4 Observe that under the hypotheses of the previous lemma, the limit is independent of the choice of a tiling amenable sequence in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and let $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ be a tiling amenable sequence. Extract a subsequence $\Omega_{i_{1}}, \ldots, \Omega_{i_{s}}$ with which we can $\varepsilon$-tile any element $\Omega_{i}$ of the initial sequence with sufficiently large index. Suppose also that if $h^{+}$stands for the limsup of the sequence $h\left(\Omega_{i}\right) /\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$, then $h\left(\Omega_{i_{j}}\right) /\left|\Omega_{i_{j}}\right| \geq h^{+}-\varepsilon$ for all $j$. Let

[^1]$\gamma_{j, k}, 1 \leq j \leq s, 1 \leq k \leq r_{j}$ denote the centers of a disjoint $\varepsilon$-tiling of $\Omega_{i}$ by translates of the $\Omega_{i_{j}}$ 's and let $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\cup_{j, k} \gamma_{j, k} \Omega_{i_{j}}$. Then
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} h\left(\Omega_{i}\right) & \geq \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|}\left(h\left(\Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)+h\left(\Omega_{i}-\Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} h\left(\Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \sum_{j, k} h\left(\Omega_{i_{j}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \sum_{j, k}\left(h^{+}-\varepsilon\right)\left|\Omega_{i_{j}}\right| \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|}\left(h^{+}-\varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon)\left|\Omega_{i}\right|=\left(h^{+}-\varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Hence

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} h\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \geq\left(h^{+}-\varepsilon\right)(1-\varepsilon)
$$

Since this holds for arbitrary $\varepsilon$, the limit $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} h\left(\Omega_{i}\right) /\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$ exists.

## 7 Homological entropy of functions

Let $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a tiling amenable sequence in the tileable amenable group $\Gamma$ and consider the exponential growth of the sequence $b_{A, \Omega_{i}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left[b_{A, \Omega_{i}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As implied by Lemma 5.1 Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 6.3, this limit indeed exists. Observing that the function $b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ is increasing in $\nu$ and $\delta$, we let $\delta$ and $\nu$ approach 0 :

$$
b_{A}^{\ell}(c)=\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0} \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)
$$

Independence on $A$ is obtained by considering the supremum over all possible choices of a finite-dimensional subalgebra $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
b^{\ell}(c)=\sup \left\{b_{A}^{\ell}(c) ; A \subset \mathcal{A} \& \operatorname{dim} A<\infty\right\}
$$

Definition 7.1 The function $b^{\ell}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: c \mapsto b^{\ell}(c)$ is called the $\ell$-th Betti number entropy of $f_{o}$.

Remark 7.2 One may also define the sum of the Betti number entropy of $f_{o}$ by the same process except that the cohomological degree is not restricted. The two functions are related as follows:

$$
b(c)=\sup _{\ell} b^{\ell}(c) .
$$

(This is a consequence of the general fact that the exponential growth of the sum of two sequences coincides with the exponential growth of the maximum sequence.)

Remark 7.3 The condition that $\Gamma$ be tileable is only used to guarantee existence of the limit (2).

## 8 Relation with classical Morse theory

This section is devoted to showing how, in the setting of a manifold $M$ endowed with a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the sum of the Betti number entropy essentially coincides with the sum of the Betti numbers of $M$ and provides a lower bound for the number of critical points of $f$ (cf. Proposition 8.1).

Let $M$ be a connected, closed, oriented smooth manifold. Consider a Morse function $f$ on $M$. Let $F$ be a field. We recall that if $O$ is some subset of $M$ and if $a$ is a cohomology class in $H^{\star}(M ; F)$, the expression supp $a \subset O$ means that $\left.a\right|_{O^{\prime}}=0$ for some open subset $O^{\prime}$ containing $M-\operatorname{int} O$. We denote by $\operatorname{Crit}_{c}(f)$ the set of critical points of $f$ at level $c$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\star}(O)= & \left\{a \in H^{\star}(M ; F) ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset O\right\} \\
\varphi_{c, \delta}: H^{\star}\left(f^{-1}(-\infty, c+\delta)\right) \rightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}\left(H^{\star}\left(f^{-1}(c-\delta,+\infty)\right), H^{\star}\left(f^{-1}(c-\delta, c+\delta)\right)\right), \\
a & \mapsto[b \mapsto a \wedge b] \\
& b(c, \delta)=\operatorname{rank} \varphi_{c, \delta}, \\
& b(c)=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} b(c, \delta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We might sometimes denote $b(c, \delta)$ by $b(c-\delta, c+\delta)$ or consider $b(I)$ when $I$ is some interval.

## Proposition 8.1

(a) $\sum_{c \in \mathbb{R}} b(c)=S B(M)$.
(b) $b(c) \leq \operatorname{Crit}_{c}(f)$.

## Proof.

(a) The main ingredient is the specific version of Poincaré duality mentioned below in Section 10.1 Indeed, if $a \in H^{\star}(M ; F)$, define

$$
c_{a}=\inf \left\{c ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset f^{-1}(-\infty, c)\right\}
$$

Since for all $\delta>0$ the restriction of $a$ to $f^{-1}\left(c_{a}-\delta,+\infty\right)$ does not vanish, there exists a class $b$ with $\operatorname{supp} b \subset f^{-1}\left(c_{a}-\delta,+\infty\right)$ such that $a \wedge b \neq 0$ (cf. Proposition 10.2). Hence $a$ provides a contribution to $b\left(c_{a}\right)$. Here follows a more precise argument taking into account the following difficulty : there might exist two classes that have same $c_{a}$ and are independent, but who do not generate a 2 -dimensional space of classes with same $c_{a}$.

Decompose the range $I$ of $f_{o}$ into intervals as follows :

$$
I \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{K}\left(I_{k}=\left[a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)\right) \quad a_{k}<a_{k+1}
$$

If $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, let $r_{J}: H^{\star}(M ; F) \rightarrow H^{\star}\left(f^{-1}(J) ; F\right)$ denote the restriction map. Then consider the following increasing sequence of subspaces

$$
\{0\}=\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{1} \cup \ldots \cup I_{K}} \subset \ldots \subset \operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{K-1} \cup I_{K}} \subset \operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{K}} \subset H^{\star}(M ; F)
$$

Choose a corresponding sequence of spaces $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{K}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{k} \cup \ldots \cup I_{K}} \oplus V_{k}=\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{k+1} \cup \ldots \cup I_{K}} \quad k=1, \ldots, K
$$

(For $k=K$, we mean $\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{K}} \oplus V_{K}=H^{\star}(M ; F)$.) If $0 \neq a \in V_{k}$ then $c_{a} \in I_{k}$. Hence $b\left(I_{k}\right)=\operatorname{rank} V_{k}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{K} b\left(I_{k}\right)=S B(M) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is true for arbitrarily fine subdivisions of $I$. Now observe that for each $c$, either $b(c)=0$, in which case $b(c-\delta, c+\delta)=0$ for all sufficiently small $\delta>0$, or $b(c-\delta, c+\delta) \neq 0$ for all $\delta>0$. Relation (3) implies that there are finitely many numbers $c$ with $b(c) \neq 0$. So $\sum_{k} b\left(I_{k}\right)$ is constant, equal to $\sum_{c} b(c)$, for all sufficiently fine subdivisions of $I$.
(b) Given $a \in H^{\star}(M ; F), c_{a}$ must be a critical value, otherwise we would be able to move $f^{-1}(-\infty, c+\delta)$ below level $c-\delta$ by an ambient isotopy, disjointifying the supports of the classes $a$ and $b$. In consequence, $a \wedge b$ would vanish. This alone implies (b) when $b(c) \leq 1$ for all $c$. We will argue that if $b(c)=2$ then $f$ cannot have a single critical point at level $c$ (the general case can be handled in a similar way). Suppose on the contrary that $\{x\}=\operatorname{Crit}_{c}(f)$. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ be coordinates on $M$, centered at $x$, for which $f$ has the canonical form

$$
f(x)=-x_{1}^{2}-\ldots-x_{n}^{2}+x_{n+1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{m}^{2}
$$

Let $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ be two independent classes with $c_{a_{1}}=c_{a_{2}}=c$. Consider piecewise smooth cycles $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ representing their Poincaré dual homology classes. We will make the following assumptions on $\alpha_{i}, i=1,2$ :

- $\alpha_{i}$ is supported in $f^{-1}(-\infty, c]$,
- $\alpha_{i} \cap f^{-1}(c)=\{x\}$,
- $x$ is a regular value of (each of the simplices composing) $\alpha_{i}$,
- $\alpha_{i}$ intersects the local unstable manifold $\mathcal{W}^{u}(x)=\left\{x ; x_{1}=\ldots=x_{n}=0\right\}$ of $x$ transversely at $x$.

It is long but not difficult to verify that these hypotheses are not restrictive.
Now, we will show that the degree of $\alpha_{i}$ must equal the index $n$ of $x$. The degree of $\alpha_{i}$ can certainly not exceed $n$, otherwise $\alpha_{i}$ would not be supported in $f^{-1}(-\infty, c]$. If the degree of $\alpha_{i}$ was less than $n$, one could slide $\alpha_{i}$ down the stable
manifold of $x$ (in a direction transverse to that of $T_{x} \alpha_{i}$ ) below level $c$.
Then, one can subdivide all the simplices of $\alpha_{i}$ containing $x$ in such a way that $x$ lies in the interior of each simplex to which it belongs and that each such simplex can be isotoped to a fixed simplex that coincides with the stable manifold $\mathcal{W}^{s}(x)$ of $x$ in a neighborhood of $x$. Thus,

$$
\alpha_{i}=f_{i}^{0} \sigma_{i}^{0}+\sum_{j \geq 1} f_{i}^{j} \sigma_{i}^{j}
$$

where $f_{i}^{0}, f_{i}^{j} \in F$, where $\sigma_{i}^{0}$ is a piece of $\mathcal{W}^{s}(x)$ containing $x$ and where $\sigma_{i}^{j}$ avoids $x$. It follows that $f_{1}^{0} \alpha_{2}-f_{2}^{0} \alpha_{1}$ vanishes near $x$, hence that $c_{f_{1}^{0} a_{2}-f_{2}^{0} a_{1}}<c$. So $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ do not generate a space contributing to $b(c)$, a contradiction.

Remark 8.2 The previous lemma implies the Morse theoretic lower bound announced in the introduction. Referring to the notation used therein, one observes that the previous proof implies in particular that if $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is some interval, then $\operatorname{Crit}_{I}\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\right) \geq b_{A, \Omega_{i}}(I)$, where $A \simeq H^{*}(M ; F)$. Hence

$$
\liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cri}_{i}(I) \geq b_{A}(I)
$$

(The function $F_{i}^{\prime}$ defined in the introduction does not quite coincide with the function $f_{\Omega_{i}}$ defined in Section 2, but the difference will not affect the asymptotic behavior of the objects considered here). Moreover, as proved later on, the function $b_{A}(I)$ is concave and strictly positive.

## 9 Concavity of the entropy

The above-defined function $b: \mathbb{R}^{+} \times[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave. This follows mainly from Lemma 5.1. with a slight help from the following fact.

Lemma 9.1 The function b is upper semi-continuous.
Proof. Let $\left(\ell_{k}, c_{k}\right)$ be a sequence converging to some pair $(\ell, c)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times[0,1]$. Since $b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ is increasing with respect to both intervals $(\ell-\nu, \ell+\nu)$ and $(c-\delta, c+\delta)$,

$$
b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \geq b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell_{k}, \frac{\nu}{2}}\left(c_{k}, \frac{\delta}{2}\right)
$$

for sufficiently large $k$ and for all $A$ and $\Omega$. Hence $b^{\ell}(c) \geq b^{\ell_{k}}\left(c_{k}\right)$. Thus $b^{\ell}(c) \geq$ $\lim \sup _{k \rightarrow \infty} b^{\ell_{k}}\left(c_{k}\right)$.

Proposition 9.2 The function $b$ is concave. That is to say, for any $\ell, \ell^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, any $c, c^{\prime} \in[0,1]$, and any $\alpha \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}}\left(\alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}\right) \geq \alpha b^{\ell}(c)+(1-\alpha) b^{\ell^{\prime}}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ be an amenable sequence. For each $i$, let $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\gamma_{i} \cdot \Omega_{i}$ be disjoint from $\Omega_{i}$. Then the sequences $\left(\Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega_{i} \cup \Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ are amenable as well. Besides, Lemma 5.1 implies that

$$
b_{A, \Omega_{i} \cup \Omega_{i}^{\prime}}^{\alpha \ell+(1-\alpha) \ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(\alpha c+(1-\alpha) c^{\prime}, \delta\right) \geq b_{A, \Omega_{i}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \cdot b_{A, \Omega_{i}^{\prime}}^{\ell^{\prime}, \nu}\left(c^{\prime}, \delta\right),
$$

with $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\frac{1}{2} \ell+\frac{1}{2} \ell^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1}{2} c+\frac{1}{2} c^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} b^{\ell}(c)+\frac{1}{2} b^{\ell^{\prime}}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that the relation (4) holds for any dyadic rationnal $\alpha$. The result for arbitrary $\alpha$ follows from the upper semi-continuity of $b$ (Lemma 9.1).

## 10 Nontriviality of the entropy for products

Let $F$ be a field. Let $M$ be a closed $F$-orientable manifold, that is to say $H^{m}(M ; F) \simeq F$ for $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. In other words, either $M$ is orientable, or $F=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Let $f_{o}: X=M^{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with range $[0,1]$.

Proposition 10.1 The associated homological entropy of $f_{o}$ achieves a strictly positive value.

This results holds because a products $M^{\Gamma}$ inherits some Poincaré duality (cf. Lemma 10.3) from the manifold $M$.

### 10.1 Poincaré duality on a closed orientable manifold

Here follows the specific version of Poincaré duality that is needed below.
Proposition 10.2 If $a$ is a class in $H^{\star}(M ; F)$ whose restriction to the open set $O$ does not vanish, then there exists a class $b$ with support in $O$ such that $a \wedge b \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $a \in H^{i}(M ; F)$ with $\left.a\right|_{O} \neq 0$. Then there exists a homology class $\beta \in H_{i}(O ; F)$ such that $<a, \beta>\neq 0$. Let $b$ be the Poincaré dual of $\beta$. Then $a \wedge b$ does not vanish since its evaluation on the fundamental class of $M$ coincides with $\langle a, \beta\rangle$. Moreover, if $\beta$ is represented by a chain $c$, the class $b$ can be represented by a form whose support is contained in any given neighborhood of the image of $c$.

### 10.2 Poincaré duality in a product $M^{\Gamma}$

Let $i d \in \Omega_{o} \subset \Gamma$ be a finite subset and let $A=A\left(\Omega_{o}\right)=p_{\Omega_{o}}^{*}\left(H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega_{o}} ; F\right)\right)$, where $p_{\Omega_{o}}: M^{\Gamma} \rightarrow M^{\Omega_{o}}$ is the canonical projection. Let also $N=N(A)$ (cf. Assumption 3.1 and Example 3.3). If $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ is another finite subset, we can define the positive number

$$
\delta_{\Omega}=\delta_{\Omega}\left(f_{o}, \Omega_{o}\right)=4 \sup \left\{\left|f_{\Omega}(x)-f_{\Omega}(y)\right| ; x_{\gamma}=y_{\gamma} \text { for } \gamma \in \Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}\right\}
$$

Observe that $\delta_{\Omega}$ is decreasing in $\Omega$. In fact $\delta_{\Omega}$ approches 0 as $\Omega$ becomes large (cf. Lemma 10.4).

Lemma 10.3 (Poincaré duality in $M^{\Gamma}$ ) Let a belong to $A_{\Omega}$. Then there exists a level $c_{a}^{\Omega}$ and an element $b$ in $A_{\Omega}$ such that
$-\operatorname{supp} a \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c_{a}^{\Omega}+\delta_{\Omega}\right)$,
$-\operatorname{supp} b \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$,

- $a \wedge b \neq 0$.

Proof. The level $c_{a}^{\Omega}$ defined below obviously satisfies the first condition.

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a}^{\Omega}=\inf \left\{c \in[0,1] ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}(-\infty, c)\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As explained below, existence of the class $b$ follows from Poincaré duality in any finite product $M^{\Omega}$. Choose a point $o$ in $M^{\Gamma}$ and define new functions $g_{\Omega}: M^{\Gamma} \rightarrow$ $[0,1]$ by $g_{\Omega}(x)=f_{\Omega}(\hat{x})$, with

$$
\hat{x}_{\gamma}= \begin{cases}x_{\gamma} & \text { if } \gamma \in \Omega \cdot \Omega_{o} \\ o_{\gamma} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

By definition of $\delta_{\Omega}$,

$$
\sup _{x \in M^{\Gamma}}\left|f_{\Omega}(x)-g_{\Omega}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\delta_{\Omega}}{4}
$$

Now observe that the restriction of $a$ to $g_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$ does not vanish. Indeed, if it did vanish then $\operatorname{supp} a$ would be contained in $g_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c_{a}^{\Omega}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\Omega}\right)$ which itself is contained in $f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c_{a}^{\Omega}-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{\Omega}\right)$. This contradicts the definition of $c_{a}^{\Omega}$.

Since $g_{\Omega}$ depends only on the variables indexed by $\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}$, the open set $g_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$ coincides with the pullback $p_{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}}^{-1}(O)$ of some open subset $O$ of $M^{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}}$. Combined with the fact that $a=p_{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}}^{*} \bar{a}$ for some class $\bar{a}$ in $H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}} ; F\right)$, this implies that the restriction of the class $\bar{a}$ to $O$ does not vanish. Poincaré duality in closed orientable manifolds yields a class $\bar{b} \in H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}} ; F\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \bar{b} \subset O$ and such that $\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b} \neq 0$. The class $b=p_{\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}}^{*}(\bar{b})$ satisfies the required conditions.

The following result implies that in Lemma 10.3 one can replace $\delta_{\Omega}$ by any given $\delta>0$ at the cost of considering only "large" $\Omega$ 's.

Lemma 10.4 Let $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be an amenable sequence. Then the sequence $\delta_{\Omega_{i}}$ converges to 0 .

Proof. Let $\delta>0$. By (uniform) continuity of $f_{o}$, there exists a $\eta=\eta(\delta)>0$ such that $\hat{d}(x, y)<\eta \Rightarrow\left|f_{o}(x)-f_{o}(y)\right|<\delta$. The symbol $\hat{d}$ denotes one of the following (compatible) metrics on $M^{\Gamma}$ :

$$
\hat{d}(x, y)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{d_{o}\left(x_{\gamma}, y_{\gamma}\right)}{\lambda^{|\gamma|}}
$$

where $d_{o}$ is some Riemannian metric on $M$, where $\lambda$ is some fixed number in $(1,+\infty)$ and where $|\gamma|=d(i d, \gamma)$. In particular $\hat{d}(x, y)<\eta$ when sufficiently many components of $x$ and $y$ coincide. More precisely, there exists $\Omega_{\delta} \in B(\Gamma)$ with $\Omega_{\delta} \ni i d$ such that $\hat{d}(x, y)<\eta(\delta)$ as soon as $x_{\gamma}=y_{\gamma}$ for all $\gamma \in \Omega_{\delta}$.

Now fix $\Omega=\Omega_{i}$ and let $x, y \in M^{\Gamma}$ be such that $x_{\gamma}=y_{\gamma}$ for $\gamma \in \Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}$. Then

$$
\left|f_{o}\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)-f_{o}\left(\gamma^{-1} y\right)\right|<\delta
$$

when $\gamma \in \operatorname{int}_{D}\left(\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}\right)$, where $D$ denotes the diameter of $\Omega_{\delta}$. Set $\hat{\Omega}=\operatorname{int}_{D}\left(\Omega \cdot \Omega_{o}\right) \cap \Omega$ and decompose $f_{\Omega}$ into a convex linear combination as follows :

$$
f_{\Omega}=\frac{|\hat{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|} f_{\hat{\Omega}}+\frac{|\Omega-\hat{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|} f_{\Omega-\hat{\Omega}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|f_{\Omega}(x)-f_{\Omega}(y)\right| \leq \frac{|\hat{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|}\left|f_{\hat{\Omega}}(x)-f_{\hat{\Omega}}(y)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\hat{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|} \delta \\
& \leq 2 \delta \\
&+\frac{|\Omega-\hat{\Omega}|}{|\Omega-\hat{\Omega}|}\left|f_{\Omega-\hat{\Omega}}(x)-f_{\Omega-\hat{\Omega}}(y)\right| \\
&|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

provided the index $i$ is sufficiently large. Indeed, $\Omega-\hat{\Omega} \subset \partial_{D} \Omega=\partial_{D} \Omega_{i}$.

### 10.3 Repartition of classes according to degree and support

Now we are ready to prove the nontriviality of $b$. It follows from Lemma 10.3 and Lemma 10.4 and does not further use the assumption that $X$ is a product.

Proof of Proposition 10.1 Let $A=A\left(\Omega_{o}\right)$ as before and let $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ be an amenable sequence in $\Gamma$. Lemma 10.3 implies that for each $i$ and each $a \in A_{\Omega_{i}}$, there exists a $c_{a}^{\Omega_{i}} \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\varphi_{A, \Omega_{i}, c_{a}^{\Omega_{i}, \delta_{\Omega_{i}}}}(a) \neq 0
$$

Thus any $a$ in $A_{\Omega_{i}}$ contributes to $b_{A, \Omega_{i}, c, \delta_{\Omega_{i}}}^{\ell, \nu}$ for some $c$ and $\ell$. Using the pigeon-hole principle, in the spirit of Proposition 8.1] we will find some $\ell$ and some $c$ for which exponentially many classes of degree around $\ell\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$ are supported around $f_{\Omega_{i}}^{-1}(c)$.

The degree of $a$ is an integer number between 0 and $m\left|\Omega_{o} \cdot \Omega_{i}\right| \leq m \omega_{o}\left|\Omega_{i}\right|$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$ and $\omega_{o}=\left|\Omega_{o}\right|$. Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}_{o}$. Then for each $i$, there exists an interval $J_{i}=\left[\frac{s-1}{r}, \frac{s}{r}\right] \subset\left[0, m \omega_{o}\right]$ for which the rank of the space $A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}$ of classes in $A_{\Omega_{i}}$ whose degree belongs to the interval $J_{i}\left|\Omega_{i}\right|=\left[\frac{(s-1)}{r}\left|\Omega_{i}\right|, \frac{s}{r}\left|\Omega_{i}\right|\right]$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}} \geq \frac{1}{r m \omega_{o}} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{i}}
$$

Lemma 10.5 Fix $k \geq 1$ and $i \geq 1$. Then there exist an interval $I_{i}=\left[\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}\right] \subset$ $[0,1]$ and a subspace $\bar{A}_{i} \subset A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}$ such that

$$
-a \in \bar{A}_{i} \Rightarrow c_{a}^{\Omega_{i}} \in I_{i}
$$

$-\operatorname{rank} \bar{A}_{i} \geq \frac{1}{k} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}$.
Proof. If $I \subset[0,1]$ and if $a$ is a cohomology class in $H^{\star}\left(M^{\Gamma} ; F\right)$, denote by $r_{I}(a)$ the restriction of $a$ to the open set $f_{\Omega_{i}}^{-1}(I)$. Let $[0,1]=\cup_{j=1}^{k} I_{j}$, with $I_{j}=\left[\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}\right]$. We decompose the space $A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}$ into a direct sum

$$
A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}=A_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus A_{k}
$$

in such a way as to satisfy the following properties :

$$
A_{k} \oplus\left(\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{k}} \cap A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}\right)=A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}
$$

and for $j=1, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{j} \subset\left(\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{j+1} \cup \ldots \cup I_{k}} \cap A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}\right) \\
A_{j} \oplus\left(\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{j} \cup \ldots \cup I_{k}} \cap A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Ker} r_{I_{j+1} \cup \ldots \cup I_{k}} \cap A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus if $a \in A_{j}$ then $c_{a}^{\Omega_{i}} \in I_{j}$. Now there exists a $j=j(i)$ such that rank $A_{j(i)} \geq$ $\frac{1}{k} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{i}}^{J_{i}}$. Let $\bar{A}_{i}=A_{j(i)}$.

The collection of intervals $J_{i}$ and $I_{i}$ being finite, there exist

- a subsequence of $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$, denoted $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ as well,
- an interval $J=\left[\ell-\frac{1}{2 r}, \ell+\frac{1}{2 r}\right]$, with $\ell=\ell(r)$,
- an interval $I=\left[c-\frac{1}{2 k}, c+\frac{1}{2 k}\right]$, with $c=c(k)$,
such that $\delta_{\Omega_{i}} \leq \frac{1}{4 k}, J_{i}=J$ and $I_{i}=I$ for all $i$. Moreover, for each $i$ and each $a \in \bar{A}_{i}$,

$$
\operatorname{supp} a \subset f_{\Omega_{i}}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c_{a}^{\Omega_{i}}+\delta_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \subset f_{\Omega_{i}}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c+\frac{1}{k}\right)
$$

Furthermore, Lemma 10.3 provides a class $b \in A_{\Omega_{i}}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} b \subset f_{\Omega_{i}}^{-1}\left(c-\frac{1}{k},+\infty\right) \quad \text { and } \quad a \wedge b \neq 0
$$

Thus the map $\varphi_{A, \Omega_{i}, c, \frac{1}{k}}^{\ell, \frac{1}{2 r}}$ is injective on $\bar{A}_{i}$ for all $i$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{A}^{\ell, \frac{1}{2 r}}\left(c, \frac{1}{k}\right) & \geq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} \bar{A}_{i}\right) \\
& \geq \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{r m \omega_{o}} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{i}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(\frac{1}{k} \frac{1}{r m \omega_{o}}\left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)^{\Omega_{i} \cdot \Omega_{o}}\right) \\
& =\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\Omega_{i} \cdot \Omega_{o}\right|}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right) \\
& \geq \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To conclude, observe that $\ell=\ell(r)$ and $c=c(k)$. Let $\nu, \delta>0$. There exists a subsequence $\ell\left(r_{s}\right)$ of $\ell(r)$ (respectively $c\left(k_{l}\right)$ of $c(k)$ ) such that $\ell\left(r_{s}\right)$ (respectively $\left.c\left(k_{l}\right)\right)$ converges to some $\ell \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$(respectively $c \in[0,1]$ ). Since $b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)$ increases with the size of $(\ell-\nu, \ell+\nu)$ and that of $(c-\delta, c+\delta)$,

$$
b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \geq b_{A}^{\ell\left(r_{s}\right), \frac{1}{2 r_{s}}}\left(c\left(k_{l}\right), \frac{1}{k_{l}}\right) \geq \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)
$$

for $l$ and $s$ sufficiently large. Thus

$$
b^{\ell}(c) \geq \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)>0
$$

Remark 10.6 At least for products, $b_{A}^{\ell}(c)=b^{\ell}(c)$ provided $A$ contains $H^{\star}(M ; F)$. Indeed, let $A^{o}=p_{\gamma}^{*} H^{\star}(M ; F)$, some $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$, and let $A$ be another finite-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ containing $A^{o}$, necessarily contained in some subalgebra $A^{1}=$ $p_{\Omega_{1}}^{*} H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega_{1}} ; F\right)$ with $\Omega_{1} \ni \gamma$. Thus $A_{\Omega}^{o} \subset A_{\Omega} \subset A_{\Omega}^{1}$ and

$$
b_{A^{\circ}, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \leq b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \leq b_{A^{\circ}, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)+\left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)^{\left|\Omega \cdot \Omega_{1}-\Omega\right|}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{A^{o}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \leq b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \leq \max \{ & b_{A_{o}^{\ell, \nu}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \\
& \left.\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\Omega_{i} \cdot \Omega_{1}-\Omega_{i}\right|}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(\operatorname{rank} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)\right\}=b_{A^{o}}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 10.7 Let $F_{o}: S^{1} \rightarrow[0,1]$ be a Morse function with two non-degenerate critical points, $x_{-}$, the minimum, and $x_{+}$, the maximum. Let $f_{o}=F_{o} \circ p_{i d}$. The entropy of $f_{o}$ can be computed explicitely (cf. [4, §A4). Indeed, let $\mu$ denote the fundamental class of $M=S^{1}$. Then any class in $H^{\star}\left(M^{\Omega} ; F\right)$ is of the type

$$
\sum_{\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega} n_{\Omega^{\prime}} \mu^{\Omega^{\prime}}
$$

where $n_{\Omega^{\prime}} \in F$ and where $\mu^{\Omega^{\prime}}=\wedge_{\gamma \in \Omega^{\prime}}\left(p_{\gamma}^{*} \mu\right)$. Moreover, for a monomial $a=\mu^{\Omega^{\prime}}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{a}^{\Omega}=\frac{\left|\Omega-\Omega^{\prime}\right|}{|\Omega|} \\
\operatorname{deg} a=\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|
\end{array}\right.
$$

The first equality is a consequence of the fact that the fundamental class $\mu$ can be supported in an arbitrarily small neighborood of any point (e.g. $x_{-}$), implying that the class $\mu^{\Omega^{\prime}}$ can be supported in any neighborhood of $M^{\Gamma-\Omega^{\prime}} \times\left\{x_{-}\right\}^{\Omega^{\prime}}$. It is now easy to convince oneself that if $A=p_{i d}^{*} H^{\star}(M ; F)$, then

$$
b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)=\#\left\{\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\left|\Omega-\Omega^{\prime}\right|}{|\Omega|} \in(c-\delta, c+\delta) \\
\frac{\left|\Omega^{\prime}\right|}{|\Omega|} \in(\ell-\nu, \ell+\nu)
\end{array}\right\}\right.
$$

Let $n=|\Omega|$. Then

$$
b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)=\sum_{\substack{(c-\delta) n<j<(c+\delta) n \\(1-\ell-\nu) n<j<(1-\ell+\nu) n}}\binom{n}{j}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sup _{\substack{(c-\delta) n<j<(c+\delta) n \\(1-\ell-\nu) n<j<(1-\ell+\nu) n}}\binom{n}{j} \leq b_{A, \Omega}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta) \leq 2 \delta n \sup _{\substack{(c-\delta) n<j<(c+\delta) n \\(1-\ell-\nu) n<j<(1-\ell+\nu) n}}\binom{n}{j}
$$

Besides, by Stirling's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{n} \ln \binom{n}{j} & \sim \frac{1}{n} \ln \left(\frac{n^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{j^{j+\frac{1}{2}}(n-j)^{n-j+\frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\
& \sim-\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \ln \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right) \ln \left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have removed terms that would produce a nul contribution in the limit. Now

$$
b_{A}^{\ell, \nu}(c, \delta)=\sup _{\substack{c-\delta<x<c+\delta \\ 1-\ell-\nu<x<1-\ell+\nu}}(-x \ln x-(1-x) \ln (1-x))
$$

And thus (using Remark 10.6)

$$
b^{\ell}(c)=b_{A}^{\ell}(c)= \begin{cases}-\infty & \text { if } \quad c \neq 1-\ell \\ -c \ln c-(1-c) \ln (1-c) & \text { if } \quad c=1-\ell\end{cases}
$$

So $b$ is concentrated along the diagonal $c=1-\ell$ and vanishes at the corners $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$. The sum of the Betti number entropy is therefore given by

$$
b(c)=-c \ln c-(1-c) \ln (1-c)
$$

Remark 10.8 As suggested by the previous example, it is always true in the product case that, provided the functions $f_{\Omega}$ have constant range, the function $b(c)$ is nonnegative (i.e. does not achieve the value $-\infty$ ). This is a consequence of the presence of the fundamental class whose support can be concentrated around any given point in $M$.

## 11 Generalized Poincaré duality

It has been observed in the product case that a class $a$ in $A_{\Omega}$, whose restriction to an open subset $O$ does not vanish, admits a nontrivial pairing with a class $b$ in $A_{\Omega}$ provided " $O$ is not too small", meaning is of type $p_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(O_{o}\right)$ for some $O_{o}$ in $M^{\Omega}$ (in the case $\left.A=p_{i d}^{*} H^{\star}(M ; F)\right)$. This suggests that a condition generalizing Poincaré duality (more precisely its Lemma 10.3 version) should involve a filtration $\left(\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}\right)_{\Omega \in B(F)}$ of the topology $\mathcal{T}$ of $X$ such that Poincaré duality holds in $A_{\Omega}$ for open subsets of $\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$ (a precise statement follows). In the product case the topology
$\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$ is the one generated by the supports of the classes belonging to $A_{\Omega}$. It seems necessary to assume in addition that these topologies are induced by a family of (continuous) maps $\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{\Omega}\right) \rightarrow(X, \mathcal{T})$ converging uniformely to the identity map. In the product case, for $A=p_{i d}^{*} H^{\star}(M ; F)$, these maps are the compositions $s_{\Omega}^{o} \circ p_{\Omega}$, where $s_{\Omega}^{o}$ is a section $M^{\Omega} \rightarrow M^{\Gamma}$ associated to a point $o \in M^{\Gamma}$ as follows :

$$
\left(s_{\Omega}^{o}(x)\right)_{\gamma}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{\gamma} & \text { if } & \gamma \in \Omega \\
o_{\gamma} & \text { if } & \gamma \notin \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

A last detail : Lemma 10.3 holds when $A$ is the full cohomology algebra of a finite product. If $A$ is not of this type (e.g. $M=\mathbb{T}^{2}$ and $A$ is generated by one cohomology class in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}\right)$ ), then the class $b$ does belong to $B_{\Omega}$ instead of $A_{\Omega}$, where $B=H^{\star}\left(M^{\tilde{\Omega}} ; F\right)$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the smallest set for which $H^{\star}\left(M^{\tilde{\Omega}} ; F\right) \supset A$.

Before stating the condition, we introduce the convention that whenever a sequence something $g_{\Omega}$ (thus indexed by the set $B(\Gamma)$ ) is said to converge to something, it means that something $\Omega_{\Omega_{i}}$ converges to something whenever $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ is an amenable sequence in $\Gamma$.

Condition 11.1 For any finite-dimensional subalgebra $A \subset \mathcal{A}$, there exist another finite-dimensional subalgebra $B$ with $A \subset B \subset \mathcal{A}$ and a family of continuous maps $\left(r_{\Omega}: X \rightarrow X\right)_{\Omega \in B(\Gamma)}$ such that if $\mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$ denote the topology obtained by pulling back that of $X$ via $r_{\Omega}$, then
$-\gamma \circ r_{\Omega}=r_{\gamma \cdot \Omega} \circ \gamma$,

- $r_{\Omega}$ converges uniformly and monotonously to the identity map,
- for any $a \in A_{\Omega}$ and $O \in \mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$ such that $\left.a\right|_{O} \neq 0$, there exists a class $b \in B_{\Omega}$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{supp} b \subset O \\
a \wedge b \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

When, in addition to Assumption 3.1, the previous condition is fulfilled, one may carry through the proofs of Lemma 10.3 and of Lemma 10.4 and hence that of Proposition 10.1.

Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\delta>0$. Define $g_{\Omega}=f_{\Omega} \circ r_{\Omega}$ : $\left(X, \mathcal{T}_{\Omega}\right) \rightarrow[0,1]$ and let

$$
\delta_{\Omega}=4 \sup \left\{\left|f_{\Omega}(x)-g_{\Omega}(x)\right| ; x \in X\right\}
$$

Lemma 11.2 (Poincaré duality under Condition 11.1) If a belongs to $A_{\Omega}$ for some $\Omega \in B(\Gamma)$, then there exist a level $c_{a}^{\Omega}$ and a class $b$ in $B_{\Omega}$ such that
$-\operatorname{supp} a \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(-\infty, c_{a}^{\Omega}+\delta_{\Omega}\right)$,
$-\operatorname{supp} b \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$,

- $a \wedge b \neq 0$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 10.3 let

$$
c_{a}^{\Omega}=\inf \left\{c \in[0,1] ; \operatorname{supp} a \subset f_{\Omega}^{-1}(-\infty, c)\right\} .
$$

Now define $O_{1}=f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$ and $O_{2}=f_{\Omega}^{-1}\left(c_{a}^{\Omega}-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$. By construction,

$$
\sup _{x \in X}\left|f_{\Omega}(x)-g_{\Omega}(x)\right|=\frac{\delta_{\Omega}}{4}
$$

Hence

$$
O_{2} \subset\left(g_{\Omega}\right)^{-1}\left(c-\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right) \subset O_{1}
$$

Let $O$ denote $\left(g_{\Omega}\right)^{-1}\left(c-\frac{3}{4} \delta_{\Omega},+\infty\right)$. Then $\left.a\right|_{O} \neq 0$. Since $O \in \mathcal{T}_{\Omega}$, there exists a class $b \in B_{\Omega}$ with supp $b \subset O$ and $a \wedge b \neq 0$.

Lemma 11.3 The sequence $\delta_{\Omega}$ converges to 0 . In other words, the sequence of functions $g_{\Omega}-f_{\Omega}$ converges uniformly to 0 .

Proof. Let $\delta>0$. Since $r_{\Omega}$ converges uniformly and monotonously to the identity map and since $X$ is compact, there exists a finite set $\Omega_{o} \subset \Gamma$ containing $i d$ such that for any $\Omega \supset \Omega_{o}$ for which the amenability ratio $\alpha\left(\Omega, \Omega_{o}\right)$ is sufficiently small,

$$
\left|f\left(r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f(x)\right|<\delta \quad \forall x \in X
$$

Let $D_{o}=\operatorname{diam} \Omega_{o}$. If $\gamma \in \operatorname{int}_{D_{o}} \Omega$, then

$$
\left|f\left(\gamma^{-1} r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)\right|=\left|f\left(r_{\gamma^{-1} \Omega}\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)\right)-f\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)\right|<\delta .
$$

Let $\widetilde{\Omega}=\operatorname{int}_{D_{o}} \Omega$. Then

$$
\left|f_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\left(r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{|\widetilde{\Omega}|} \sum_{\gamma \in \widetilde{\Omega}}\left|f\left(\gamma^{-1} r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f\left(\gamma^{-1} x\right)\right|<\delta
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g_{\Omega}(x)-f_{\Omega}(x)\right| & \leq \frac{|\widetilde{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|}\left|f_{\widetilde{\Omega}}\left(r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f_{\widetilde{\Omega}}(x)\right| \\
& \quad+\frac{|\Omega-\widetilde{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|}\left|f_{\Omega-\widetilde{\Omega}}\left(r_{\Omega}(x)\right)-f_{\Omega-\widetilde{\Omega}}(x)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{|\widetilde{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|} \delta+\frac{|\Omega-\widetilde{\Omega}|}{|\Omega|} \\
& \leq 2 \delta,
\end{aligned}
$$

Where the very last equality holds when, once more, $\Omega$ and $\Omega_{o}$ have a sufficiently small amenability ratio.

Combining Lemma 11.2 and Lemma 11.3 we obtain the following result, whose proof is essentially the same as that of Proposition 10.1.

Proposition 11.4 The function b achieves a strictly positive value.

## 12 Non-product example

Let $M$ be a projective algebraic variety, e.g. the projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$, and let $Y$ be a symbolic algebraic subvariety in $X=M^{\Gamma}$ (in the sense of [1] and [2]), that is, a compact subset $Y$ such that $Y_{\Omega}$, the "restriction" of $Y$ to each $\Omega$ in $B(\Gamma)$, defined as the image of the natural projection $M^{\Gamma} \rightarrow M^{\Omega}$, is an algebraic subvariety in $M^{\Omega}$. So $Y$ comes as the projective limit of the $Y_{\Omega}$ 's, where one may (or may not) assume that $Y$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. We assume that for large enough $d\left(\Omega, \Omega^{\prime}\right)$ (depending on $Y$ ), the projection $Y_{\Omega} \cup Y_{\Omega^{\prime}} \rightarrow Y_{\Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}$ is onto. Observe that surjective maps between projective (in general Kähler) varieties are injective on the top-dimensional cohomology with complex coefficients due to existence of multivalued algebraic sections. (In fact if the fibers of such a map have, in a suitable sense, degree d, the same injectivity holds for $F_{p}$-coefficients, provided $p$ does not divide $d$ ). Therefore, if the target variety is non-singular, then the map is injective on all cohomology by Poincaré duality.

Subexample 12.1 Let $M=\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ and consider a hypersurface in $M \times M$ represented by an equation $h\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then the infinite chain of equations $h\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)=$ $0, i=\ldots .,-1,0,1, \ldots$ defines a subvariety $Y$ in $X=M^{\mathbb{Z}}$ invariant under the $\mathbb{Z}$ action.

Remark 12.2 Unfortunately, even for generic $h$, it is unclear whether this $Y$ is non-singular in the sense that the restrictions of $Y$ to the intervals $[i, i+1, \ldots, i+k]$, denoted $Y_{[i, i+1, \ldots, i+k]}$, are non-singular. However, a small (but non- $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant) perturbation $Y^{\prime}$ of such a $Y$, allowing different $h$ 's, i.e. equations $h_{i}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)=0$, is non-singular by a simple argument (see [1] and [2]). Furthermore, the non-singular pertubations of $Y$ are all canonically homeomorphic and thus their cohomology can be attributed to $Y$ (alternatively, one may speak of a random $Y$ in $X$ with a suitable $\mathbb{Z}$-invariant probability measure on the space of strings $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ and similarly introduce random potentials on $Y$ (and/or on $X$ itself). This significantly adds to possible examples and needs only a minor modification of our setting (with a reference to the sub-additive ergodic theorem).

Continuation of the example. The cohomology of our (desingularized) $Y$ enjoys the above product-like action on cohomology. In particular, for $\Gamma=\mathbb{Z}$, the homological entropy of a function exists.

Remark 12.3 It seems hard to compute the (co)homologies of the above $Y_{[i, i+1, \ldots, i+k]}$ or even to elucidate the properties of (the analytic continuation of) their entropic limit. However, it is easy to calculate the Chern numbers and thus the Euler characterictics of the Dolbeaut (and thus the ordinary) cohomology of all (desingularized!) $Y_{[i, i+1, \ldots, i+k]}$.

## 13 Poincaré polynomial

This section consists of defining the entropic Poincaré polynomial of a $\Gamma$-space. It does not require the action to be product-like nor the group to be tileable.

Amenability is the only condition required here.

Consider the $A_{\Omega}$-Poincaré polynomial of $X$ :

$$
p_{A, \Omega}(t)=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} t^{d} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega}^{d}
$$

where $A_{\Omega}^{d}$ denote the set of classes in $A_{\Omega}$ of (exact) degree $d$.
Lemma 13.1 The Poincaré polynomial of $X$ is $\Gamma$-invariant and subadditive, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{A, \Omega \cup \Omega^{\prime}}(t) \leq p_{A, \Omega}(t) p_{A, \Omega^{\prime}}(t) \quad \text { for } \quad t \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First observe that for any $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2} \in B(\Gamma)$, the map

$$
\bigoplus_{d_{1}+d_{2}=d} A_{\Omega_{1}}^{d_{1}} \otimes A_{\Omega_{2}}^{d_{2}} \rightarrow A_{\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}}^{d}
$$

is surjective. Thus

$$
\operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}}^{d} \leq \sum_{d_{1}+d_{2}=d} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{1}}^{d_{1}} \operatorname{rank} A_{\Omega_{2}}^{d_{2}}
$$

which immediately implies the relation (7).

Thus the limit

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(p_{A, \Omega_{i}}(t)\right)
$$

exists whenever $\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ is an amenable sequence in $\Gamma$ (cf. [3]). We define the Poincaré polynomial of the group action $\rho: \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ to be

$$
p(t)=\sup _{A} \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Omega_{i}\right|} \ln \left(p_{A, \Omega_{i}}(t)\right)
$$

Remark 13.2 This definition is analoguous to that in 1 §1.14. Indeed, the process of factoring away $\varepsilon$-fillable classes corresponds roughly to restricting to classes in $A_{\Omega}$.
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