

A Heegaard-Floer TQFT for link cobordisms

Eaman Eftekhary

School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran

Abstract

We introduce a Heegaard-Floer homology functor from the category of oriented links in closed 3-manifolds and oriented surface cobordisms in 4-manifolds connecting them to the category of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]$ -modules and $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]$ -homomorphisms between them, where \mathbb{F} is the field with two elements. In comparison with previously defined TQFTs for decorated links and link cobordisms, the construction of this paper has the advantage of being independent from the decoration. Some of the basic properties of this functor are also explored.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Background on pointed trisection diagrams	6
2.1	Trisection diagrams for decorated link cobordisms	6
2.2	Stabilizations and perturbations of pointed trisection diagrams	8
2.3	Moving between pointed trisection diagrams	10
3	The link concordance TQFT	14
3.1	The basepoint action and the homology action on Heegaard-Floer groups	14
3.2	The link Floer homology groups	17
3.3	The trisection map and the group actions	19
3.4	The concordance TQFTs	22
4	The link cobordism TQFT	25
4.1	Merge, split and point-shift cobordisms	25
4.2	Invariance under perturbations; the general case	27
4.3	Weak Heegaard-Floer groups and the link cobordism TQFT	30
5	Basic properties and computations of Heegaard-Floer link TQFT	30
5.1	Weak Heegaard-Floer homology groups: some examples	30
5.2	The link cobordism TQFT: some examples	33
5.3	Elementary cobordisms	37
5.4	General merge and split cobordisms	39

1 Introduction

Ozsváth and Szabó constructed powerful invariants of closed 3-manifolds in [OS04b]. Given a pointed closed 3-manifold Y , these invariants are constructed as the isomorphism classes of four graded $\mathbb{Z}[u]$ -modules which are decomposed according to Spin^c structures on Y . We focus on the

theory with coefficients in the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2$ with two elements, where the *naturality* of the construction may be addressed. The invariants of Ozsváth and Szabó, which are called the Heegaard-Floer groups of Y are then given as four $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -modules, denoted by

$$\mathrm{HF}^*(Y) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)} \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \text{for } * \in \{-, +, \infty, \wedge\}.$$

Associated with a 4-dimensional cobordism X from a pointed closed 3-manifold Y to another pointed closed 3-manifold Y' , which is decorated by an arc connecting the marked point of Y to the marked point of Y' , the $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -homomorphisms

$$\mathrm{HF}^*(X, \mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathfrak{t}}^* : \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^*(Y', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}), \quad \mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$$

are also constructed. Juhász in [Juh16] (and Juhász, Thurston and Zemke in [JTZ21]) proved that $\mathrm{HF}^*(Y)$ may be constructed as a concrete $\mathbb{F}[u]$ -module associated to the pointed closed 3-manifold Y (rather than the isomorphism class of such a module). For the hat theory, the sum over all Spin^c structures is well-defined and gives the homomorphism

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(X) = \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_X : \widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y').$$

Therefore, $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}$ gives a functor from the category of pointed closed 3-manifolds and decorated cobordisms between them to the category of \mathbb{F} -modules and homomorphisms between them.

The theory was subsequently developed to construct invariants for pointed knots [OS04a, Ras02, Eft05], pointed links [OS08] and balanced sutured manifolds [Juh06, AE15]. The approach of [Juh16] (also [JTZ21]) implies that the outcomes for pointed links and sutured manifolds are functors between appropriate categories, as explored in [Juh16, JTZ21, AE20b, Zem19c]. We would like to reconsider the case of oriented links and the cobordisms between them:

Definition 1.1 A link (Y, L) consists of a closed 3-manifold Y and an oriented closed 1-submanifold L of Y intersecting every connected component of Y . A link cobordism

$$(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$$

from the link (Y, L) to the link (Y', L') consists of a 4-manifold X with boundary $-Y \amalg Y'$ and a properly embedded compact and oriented surface S in X with boundary $-L \amalg L'$, so that the intersection of every connected component of X (respectively, S) with either of Y and Y' (respectively, L and L') is non-empty. A link cobordism (X, S) is called a link concordance if every connected component of S is homeomorphic to a cylinder. The category of links and link cobordisms (respectively, link concordances) between them is denoted by Links (respectively, by Links^c).

The hat theory version of our main result in this paper may be stated as the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2 There are Heegaard-Floer functors, denoted by

$$\mathrm{HF}^\circ : \mathrm{Links}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[v]\text{-Modules} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ : \mathrm{Links} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[v]\text{-Modules},$$

which assigns the bi-graded $\mathbb{F}[v]$ -modules $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(Y, L)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, L) \subset \mathrm{HF}^\circ(Y, L)$ to every link (Y, L) (respectively). These modules decompose according to Spin^c structures on Y . Associated with every link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$, HF_w° assigns a $\mathbb{F}[v]$ -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(X, S) = \mathfrak{g}_{X, S}^\circ : \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y', L').$$

If (X, S) is a concordance, HF° assigns a $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]$ -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{HF}^\circ(X, S) : \mathrm{HF}^\circ(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^\circ(Y', L'),$$

to it which restricts to $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(X, S)$ on the submodule $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, K)$ of $\mathrm{HF}^*(Y, K)$, and may thus be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\circ$ without confusion.

Pointed links and decorated cobordisms between them are already considered by Alishahi and the author [AE15, AE20b] and independently by Zemke [Zem19c]. Theorem 1.2 gives a weaker version of Heegaard Floer groups and cobordism maps, which is instead *not sensitive* to the decoration. To describe the difference more precisely, we need to review/set up some notation.

We remind the reader that a *pointed link* (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) is an oriented link (Y, L) together with a finite collection \mathbf{p} of points on L intersecting every connected component of L . A *decorated link cobordism* from a pointed link (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) to the pointed link (Y', L', \mathbf{p}') is a triple (X, S, \mathbf{A}) , where (X, S) is a link cobordism and \mathbf{A} is a properly embedded 1-manifold without closed components on S connecting \mathbf{p} to \mathbf{p}' . In particular, the number of markings in either of \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' is the same positive integer n . We may thus assume that \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' are maps from a fixed set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ to the links L and L' , respectively. We then write

$$(X, S, \mathbf{A}) : (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow (Y', L', \mathbf{p}').$$

Having fixed n , the category of n -pointed links and decorated link cobordisms between them is denoted by n -PointedLinks.

The notions of decorated links and cobordisms between them which is used here is less general in comparison with the notion used in [Zem19c]. Each point in \mathbf{p} determines a pair of basepoints using the orientation of L , one in w and the other one in z . The decoration gives a decomposition of the surface to a union of strips S_z and a subsurface S_w which is isotopic to $S - \mathbf{A}$. These two subsurfaces are separated by a dividing set, which may be identified as the union of two parallel copies of \mathbf{A} . This gives a decorated link cobordism in the sense of [Zem19c]. The aforementioned loss of generality (in comparison with the setup in [Zem19c]) is chosen in favor of a more smooth exposition, as the goal is to get rid of the decoration anyway.

Let $\mathbb{A}^- = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ denote the polynomial ring with two variables over \mathbb{F} , define

$$\mathbb{A}^\circ = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]/\mathbf{v} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}] \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]/\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \mathbb{F}$$

and equip them with the structure of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$ -module as quotients of $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$. For $\star = -, \circ, \wedge$, let $\mathbb{A}^\star\text{-Modules}$ denote the category of \mathbb{A}^\star -modules and \mathbb{A}^\star -homomorphisms between them. Let Λ_n^\star denote the exterior algebra over \mathbb{A}^\star generated by $[n]$. Denote the category of Λ_n^\star -modules and Λ_n^\star -homomorphisms between them by $\Lambda_n^\star\text{-Modules}$.

Associated with an n -pointed link (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$, the constructions of [AE20b] and [Zem19c] give the concrete Λ_n^\star -modules $\mathrm{HFL}^\star(Y, L, \mathbf{p}, \mathfrak{s})$. We then set

$$\mathrm{HFL}^\star(Y, L, \mathbf{p}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)} \mathrm{HFL}^\star(Y, L, \mathbf{p}, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

Moreover, associated with a decorated link cobordism (X, S, \mathbf{A}) from (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) to (Y', L', \mathbf{p}') and $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$, the aforementioned construction gives the concrete Λ_n^* -homomorphism

$$\text{HFL}^*(X, S, \mathbf{A}, \mathfrak{t}) = \mathfrak{f}_{X,S,\mathbf{A},\mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HFL}^*(Y, L, \mathbf{p}, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y', L', \mathbf{p}', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}).$$

For $\star = \circ, \wedge$, one may add all these Λ_n^* -homomorphisms to obtain a well-defined Λ_n^* -homomorphism

$$\text{HFL}^*(X, S, \mathbf{A}) = \mathfrak{f}_{X,S,\mathbf{A}}^* : \text{HFL}^*(Y, L, \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y', L', \mathbf{p}').$$

This gives the Heegaard-Floer functors

$$\text{HFL}_n^* : n\text{-PointedLinks} \rightarrow \Lambda_n^*\text{-Modules}, \quad \text{for } \star \in \{\circ, \wedge\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The action of Λ_n^* on $\text{HFL}^*(Y, L, \mathbf{p})$ comes from the markings in \mathbf{p} (more precisely, from the basepoints in \mathbf{w}). For $w \in \mathbf{w}$ denote the corresponding basepoint action map by Φ_w^* . Set

$$\text{HF}^*(Y, L; \mathbf{p}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathbf{p}) \mid \Phi_w^*(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad \forall w \in \mathbf{w}\}.$$

If L is a knot and $|\mathbf{p}| = 1$, then $\text{HF}^-(Y, L; \mathbf{p}) = \text{HFL}^-(Y, L, \mathbf{p})$ (see Proposition 3.5) while the groups $\text{HF}^*(Y, L; \mathbf{p})$ and $\text{HFL}^*(Y, L, \mathbf{p})$ are typically different for $\star = \circ, \wedge$. For a decorated link cobordism (X, S, \mathbf{A}) and $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ as above, the Λ_n^* -homomorphism $\mathfrak{f}_{X,S,\mathbf{A},\mathfrak{t}}^*$ induces a concrete \mathbb{A}^* -homomorphism

$$\text{HF}^*(X, S, \mathfrak{t}; \mathbf{A}) = \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}}^* : \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y; \mathbf{p}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}; \mathbf{p}').$$

We may formulate a more detailed and general form of Theorem 1.2 as the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3 *For $\star = -, \circ, \wedge$, the \mathbb{A}^* -module $\text{HF}^*(Y, L; \mathbf{p})$ associated with the pointed link (Y, L, \mathbf{p}) is independent of \mathbf{p} and may be constructed as a concrete \mathbb{A}^* -module*

$$\text{HF}^*(Y, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$$

associated with the link (Y, L) . Moreover, given a link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ and two decorations \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}' of S and $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$, the maps

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}}^*, \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}'}^* : \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'})$$

are identical on $\text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) = \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \cap (\text{vHFL}^*(Y, L, \mathbf{p}, \mathfrak{t}|_Y))$. In particular, for $\star = -, \circ$ we obtain a well-defined \mathbb{A}^* -homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \text{HF}_w^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}).$$

Moreover, if S is a union of cylinders, $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}'}^*$ and we obtain a well-defined map

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}) \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

The equality of $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}'}^*$ on $\text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y)$ is very interesting, since they do not seem to be the same map on $\text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y)$. Nevertheless, the author does not have examples of decorations \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}' of the same link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}}^* \neq \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,\mathfrak{t},\mathbf{A}'}^*$ as maps from $\text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y)$ to $\text{HF}^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'})$.

In the case of hat theory, we may compare the decorated link cobordism TQFT with the link cobordism TQFT of this paper. For this purpose, note that taking the kernel of the action of Λ_n^* gives a functor

$$i_n^* : \Lambda_n^*\text{-Modules} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^*\text{-Modules}.$$

Denote by $j_n^* : \Lambda_n^*\text{-Modules} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^*\text{-Modules}$ the functor which assigns the \mathbb{A}^* -module $i_n^*(H) \cap (\mathbf{v}H)$ to a Λ_n^* -module H . There are also forgetful functors (which forget the markings and decorations on links and cobordisms/concordances), and are denoted by

$$f_n : n\text{-PointedLinks} \rightarrow \text{Links} \quad \text{and} \quad f_n^c : n\text{-PointedLinks}^c \rightarrow \text{Links}^c.$$

For $\star = \circ, \wedge$, let us denote the restriction of HFL_n^* to the subcategory $n\text{-PointedLinks}^c$ by HFL_n^* as well. Theorem 1.3 then gives the following conclusion.

Theorem 1.4 *With the above notation in place, the following diagrams are commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} n\text{-PointedLinks} & \xrightarrow{\text{HFL}_n^\circ} & \Lambda_n^\circ\text{-Modules} \\ \downarrow f_n & \curvearrowright & \downarrow j_n^\circ \\ \text{Links} & \xrightarrow{\text{HF}_w^\circ} & \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]\text{-Modules} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} n\text{-PointedLinks}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{HFL}_n^*} & \Lambda_n^*\text{-Modules} \\ \downarrow f_n^c & \curvearrowright & \downarrow i_n^* \\ \text{Links}^c & \xrightarrow{\text{HF}^*} & \mathbb{A}^*\text{-Modules} \end{array},$$

where $\star = \circ, \wedge$. In other words, we have $j_n^\circ \circ \text{HFL}_n^\circ = \text{HF}_w^\circ \circ f_n$ and $i_n^* \circ \text{HFL}_n^* = \text{HF}^* \circ f_n^c$.

Decorated link cobordisms and the corresponding Heegaard-Floer maps are studied extensively in the literature in the past couple of years. Some of the results may be translated to conclusions about the (undecorated) link cobordism maps.

Definition 1.5 *Let $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ denote a link cobordism. A properly embedded disk D in $X - S$ is called a compression disk for (X, S) if $\partial D \subset S$ is homotopically non-trivial, while there is a closed subset W of X which is diffeomorphic to $D \times [-1, 1]^2$, so that D is identified as $D \times \{(0, 0)\}$, while $W \cap S = \partial D \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\}$ is a neighborhood of ∂D in S . If D is a compression disk for (X, S) as above, the link cobordism $(X, S_D) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$, with*

$$S_D = (S - (\partial D \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\})) \cup (D \times \{-1, 1\} \times \{0\}),$$

is called the compression of (X, S) along D . We then write $(X, S) \rightsquigarrow_D (X, S_D)$.

Compression along a disk increases the Euler characteristic of a surface, without changing the corresponding (relative) homology group. In particular, genus minimizing surfaces may not be compressed. The following theorem provides an obstruction for the existence of compression disks.

Theorem 1.6 *Suppose that the link cobordism $(X, S_D) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ is obtained from the link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ by compressing along a compression disk D , as above. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^* = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_D}^*$ for $\star = -, \circ$.*

The construction of link cobordism invariants which are not sensitive to the decoration automatically gives invariants of smooth slice disks and slice surfaces.

Definition 1.7 Given a slice knot (S^3, K) , a slice disk (B^4, D) for K and $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$, the Heegaard-Floer class $\mathfrak{t}_{D,K}^\star \in \mathrm{HF}^\star(S^3, K)$ is defined equal to $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star(1)$, where (X, S) is a link cobordism from the unknot (S^3, U) to (S^3, K) which is obtained from (B^4, D) by removing a small ball neighborhood of the center of the disk D . Similarly, for a slice surface (B^4, D) for an arbitrary knot (S^3, K) and $\star \in \{-, \circ\}$, the Heegaard-Floer class $\mathfrak{t}_{D,K}^\star \in \mathrm{HF}_w^\star(S^3, K)$ is defined equal to $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star(\mathfrak{v})$, where as before, $(X, S) : (S^3, U) \rightarrow (S^3, K)$ is obtained from (B^4, D) by removing a small ball neighborhood of a point in the interior of D and \mathfrak{v} denotes the generator of $\mathrm{HF}_w^\star(S^3, U)$.

Given a slice disk D for a slice knot (S^3, K) , a closely related invariant $\mathfrak{t}_{D,K,\mathfrak{p}} \in \widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(K, \mathfrak{p})$ is defined in [JM16], where \mathfrak{p} is a marked point on K . The construction is used in [JZ20] to distinguish non-isotopic slice disks for the same knot from one another. The dependence of $\widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(K, \mathfrak{p})$ on the decoration forces a detour for actual applications of the invariants of [JM16]. Our construction implies that $\mathfrak{t}_{D,K}^\star$ may be constructed as a concrete class in a concrete group (i.e. in $\mathrm{HF}^\star(S^3, K)$) and may thus be used directly to distinguish between non-isotopic slice disks for the same knot. In particular, we give examples of slice disks for $K \# -K$, where K is the figure-eight knot, with different invariants in Example 5.10. Several computations and some of the basic properties of the invariants are explored in Section 5. For other interesting examples and computations, the reader is referred to [Mah20].

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Ian Zemke, David Gay and Roohallah Mahkam for very helpful discussions.

2 Background on pointed trisection diagrams

2.1 Trisection diagrams for decorated link cobordisms

A *cut system* α on a smooth closed connected surface Σ of genus g is a collection of $m \geq g$ disjoint simple closed curves on Σ such that $\Sigma - \alpha$ is a (possibly disconnected) genus-0 surface. Given a set w of basepoints on Σ , two cut systems are *slide-equivalent* away from w if they are related by isotopies and handle-slides away from w . The tuples $(\Sigma, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, w)$ and $(\Sigma', \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_k, w')$ (where each α_i is a cut system on Σ and each α'_i is a cut system on Σ') are *slide-diffeomorphic* if there is a diffeomorphism $f : (\Sigma, w) \rightarrow (\Sigma', w')$ (mapping w to w') such that $f(\alpha_i)$ is slide-equivalent to α'_i away from w' for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/k$.

When $k = 2$, the tuple $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w)$ is a pointed Heegaard diagram which determines a sutured manifold. In particular, if the set of basepoints is a union $w \amalg z$, where each one of w and z includes precisely one basepoint in each connected component of $\Sigma - \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, 2$, the pointed Heegaard diagram $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w, z)$ determines an oriented pointed link \mathcal{L}_H in a closed 3-manifold Y_H , which consist of an oriented link L_H together with a finite collections of marked points on the link. Associated with every marked point on the oriented link L_H , we may consider a small arc on L_H containing it with an end-point $w \in w$ and an end-point $z \in z$, so that the arc is oriented from w to z . Therefore, we may assume that $w, z \subset L_H$, and that the points in w and z alternate on each component of L_H . We further assume that each connected component of L_H has non-empty intersection with either of w and z .

Definition 2.1 A trisection diagram of type $(g; n; \mathbf{c})$, with $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^3$, is a diagram $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w, z)$ consisting of a smooth closed surface Σ of genus g , a cut system α_i for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$ and two sets $w, z \subset \Sigma - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ of basepoints, so that each one of

the n components of $\Sigma - \alpha_i$ includes precisely one basepoint from \mathbf{w} and one from \mathbf{z} . Further, the sub-diagram H_i which is obtained from H by removing α_i , is a Heegaard diagram for a c_i -component pointed link \mathcal{L}_i in the 3-manifold Y_i . H is called nice if each \mathcal{L}_i is null-homologous in Y_i , $Y_0 = \#^{k_0}(S^2 \times S^1)$ for some non-negative integer k_0 and \mathcal{L}_0 is a pointed unlink in Y_0 . The slide equivalence class of H is denoted by $[H]$.

In illustrations of a trisection diagram $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$, we usually denote the curves in α_0, α_1 and α_2 by red, black and blue curves, respectively. The basepoints in \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{z} are denoted by small filled circles and small empty circles, respectively.

Let $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$ be a nice trisection diagram and H_i denote the link diagram obtained from H by removing α_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. The diagram H determines a smooth closed oriented 4-manifold cobordism $X = X_H$ with boundary $-Y_2 \amalg Y_1$, where $Y_i = Y_{H_i}$. Moreover, H determines an oriented surface $S = S_H \subset X$ with boundary $-L_2 \amalg L_1$, where $L_i = L_{H_i}$ is the oriented link in Y_i underlying the pointed link $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{L}_{H_i}$. Let us briefly describe the construction, which also appears in [AE20b, Section 2.4]. Let U_i denote the compression body determined by the surface Σ and the cut system α_i . The basepoints \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{z} determine a union of oriented arcs in U_i , which is denoted by T_i . We denote the end-point of T_i on $\partial U_i = \Sigma$ by $\mathbf{w}_i, \mathbf{z}_i$ to distinguish them for different $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. Let Δ denotes a triangle with vertices v_0, v_1, v_2 and edges e_0, e_1, e_2 (in the clockwise order) so that e_i connects v_{i+1} to v_{i-1} for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. Define

$$X_H^\circ = \frac{(\Sigma \times \Delta) \amalg \left(\amalg_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/3} U_i \times e_i \right)}{\Sigma \times e_i \sim \partial U_i \times e_i} \quad \text{and} \quad S_H^\circ = \frac{((\mathbf{w} \cup \mathbf{z}) \times \Delta) \amalg \left(\amalg_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/3} T_i \times e_i \right)}{(\mathbf{w} \cup \mathbf{z}) \times e_i \sim (\mathbf{w}_i \cup \mathbf{z}_i) \times e_i}. \quad (2.1)$$

The boundary of X_H° may be identified as $\amalg_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/3} Y_i$. Since H is nice, the boundary component $Y_0 = \#^{k_0} S^2 \times S^1$ may be filled with $\natural^{k_0}(B^3 \times S^1)$ to give an oriented 4-manifold X_H , which is a cobordism from Y_2 to Y_1 . Note that filling Y_0 with $\natural^{k_0}(B^3 \times S^1)$ is uniquely determined up to diffeomorphism. Moreover, we may attach c_0 disks to S_H° along $L_0 \subset Y_0$, or equivalently, retract each connected component of L_0 to a point in Y_0 , to obtain the *link cobordism* (X_H, S_H) from (Y_2, L_2) to (Y_1, L_1) . We then write $(X_H, S_H) : (Y_2, L_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, L_1)$. Note that the Euler characteristic of S_H is given by $\chi(S_H) = c_0 - n$.

We denote by $\bar{S} = \bar{S}_H$ the quotient of S obtained by contracting each connected component of $L_1 \cup L_2$ to a point (or equivalently, the surface obtained by attaching an abstract disk to each connected component of $L_1 \cup L_2$). The construction of S_H° from (2.1) provides (the isotopy class of) a *triangulation* $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_H$ of \bar{S} . Every component L^v of L_i collapses to a vertex v in \bar{S} with label $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$ and such points form the vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_H$. We may also retract each connected component of $T_i \times e_i$ (which is a rectangle) to the edge in $\partial^- T_i \times e_i$ (with label e_i) to obtain the aforementioned triangulation of \bar{S} . Each triangle in $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_H$ corresponds either to a basepoint in \mathbf{w} or to a basepoint in \mathbf{z} , and may be colored gray or pink accordingly. If a basepoint $w \in \mathbf{w}$ is in the same connected component of $\Sigma - \alpha_i$ as $z \in \mathbf{z}$, the corresponding triangles have an edge with label e_i in common. We denote the vertices labeled 0, 1 and 2 (and the edges labeled e_0, e_1 and e_2) by red, black and blue colors, respectively. Therefore, the edges and their two endpoints have different colors.

If we remove the red vertices, and the black and blue edges from $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_H$, a cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_H$ of \bar{S}_H is obtained. The vertices of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_H$ are either black or blue, and the edges are all red, and every domain in the complement of the vertices and the edges is a polygon. $\bar{\mathcal{T}}_H$ may be reconstructed from $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_H$, by inserting a red vertex in the center of each polygon and connecting it to the black




Fig. 1: The j -stabilization is given as the connected sum with the diagram H_s^j for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$.

and blue vertices on the boundary of the polygon by blue and black edges, respectively. The cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_H$ comes from a properly embedded 1-manifold \mathcal{C}_H on S_H , whose components connect L_2 to L_1 , and is determined by H . Let S_z denote a tubular neighborhood of \mathcal{C}_H in S_H and S_w denote the closure of $S - S_z$. Then S_w and S_z intersect in a dividing set \mathbf{A}_H and (S_H, \mathbf{A}_H) give a *decoration* \mathcal{S}_H of S_H and $(X_H, \mathcal{S}_H) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ is a *decorated cobordism*. These decorated cobordisms are special cases of the construction of [Zem19c]. The construction is also a special case of the general construction of Alishahi and the author in [AE20b]. Note that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_H$ determines the isotopy class of the decoration \mathcal{S}_H from \mathcal{L}_2 to \mathcal{L}_1 up to twists along \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 .

2.2 Stabilizations and perturbations of pointed trisection diagrams

The *stabilizations* of a trisection diagram $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w, z)$ are obtained as connected sums with one of the diagrams $H_s^j = (T, \alpha_0^j, \alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j)$ of Figure 1. To form $H \#_p H_s^j$, choose a point

$$p \in \Sigma - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - w - z.$$

We then remove small disk neighborhoods D_p and D_q of $p \in \Sigma$ and $q \in T$ from Σ and T respectively, and connect the resulting circle boundaries by a 1-handle to obtain a new closed surface Σ' of genus $g + 1$. We set $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i \cup \{\alpha_i^j\}$ and define the j -stabilization of H at p by

$$H' = H \#_p H_s^j = (\Sigma', \alpha'_0, \alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, w, z).$$

A j -stabilization H' of H preserves the boundary manifold Y_i for $i \neq j$, while it changes the boundary manifold Y_j to $Y_j \# (S^2 \times S^1)$. Moreover, the pointed links

$$L'_i = L_{H'_i} \subset Y'_i = Y_{H'_i} = Y_i \quad \text{and} \quad L'_j \subset Y'_j = Y_j \# (S^2 \times S^1)$$

are naturally identified with the links $L_i \subset Y_i$ and $L_j \subset Y_j \# (S^2 \times S^1)$, respectively. Further, the link cobordisms S_H and $S_{H'}$ are identified and the decorated cobordism $\mathcal{S}_{H'}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathcal{S}_H (as decorations of $S_H = S_{H'}$).

Definition 2.2 *The nice trisection diagrams H and H' are called equivalent if after suitable stabilizations of H and H' , they are slide equivalent. The decorated cobordisms (X, \mathcal{S}) and (X', \mathcal{S}') are called equivalent if the trisection diagrams representing them are equivalent. The equivalence class of (X, \mathcal{S}) is denoted by $[X, \mathcal{S}]$. We say that a nice trisection diagram H is compatible with the decorated cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}) if $[X_H, \mathcal{S}_H] = [X, \mathcal{S}]$. We say that the nice trisection diagram H is compatible with the link cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}) if there is a decoration (X, \mathcal{S}) of (X, \mathcal{S}) such that H is compatible with (X, \mathcal{S}) .*




Fig. 2: A perturbation of diagram (a) is formed by first, cutting along the dashed green line and replacing the central vertex with two vertices to form diagram (b), and then decomposing the middle 4-gon to a pair of pink and gray triangles, as in diagram (c).

Remark 2.3 *It follows from Theorem 4.19, Lemma 6.11 and proof of Theorem 7.2 from [AE20b], that the trisection diagrams H and H' are equivalent if and only if after suitable stabilizations, there is a diffeomorphism of decorated cobordisms between*

$$(X_H, \mathcal{S}_H) : (Y_{H_2}, \mathcal{L}_{H_2}) \rightarrow (Y_{H_1}, \mathcal{L}_{H_1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (X_{H'}, \mathcal{S}_{H'}) : (Y_{H'_2}, \mathcal{L}_{H'_2}) \rightarrow (Y_{H'_1}, \mathcal{L}_{H'_1}).$$

In particular, the decorated cobordisms (X, \mathcal{S}) and (X', \mathcal{S}') are equivalent, if and only if after suitable stabilizations of (either of) them, there is a diffeomorphism of decorated cobordisms between them.

Stabilizations/destabilizations and slide diffeomorphisms suffice for going from one trisection diagram compatible with a decorated cobordism to another such diagram. If we would also like to change the decoration, new types of modifications are necessary, which only change the decoration of the surface, and not the 4-manifold X or the embedding of the link cobordism in X .

Consider a decorated cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}) , and let S be the underlying surface and \bar{S} be the corresponding closed surface obtained by collapsing each boundary component of S to a point. Let $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$ denote the triangulation of \bar{S} encoded in \mathcal{S} . Choose a red vertex v of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$ and a pair of edges adjacent to it which are labeled by e_1 and e_2 , respectively. The choice is determined by the dashed green curve in Figure 2(a). We then cut along the aforementioned two edges, to obtain a new diagram where v is replaced by two red vertices v' and v'' , while the new diagram includes a 4-gon domain, as in Figure 2(b). The latter domain may be decomposed to a pink triangle and a gray triangle (the *new* triangles), as in Figure 2(c), to produce the new triangulation $\bar{\mathcal{T}}'$ of \bar{S} . The triangulation $\bar{\mathcal{T}}'$ on \bar{S} determines a new decorated surface (X, \mathcal{S}') , which is represented by another equivalence class of trisection diagrams. If H' belongs to the latter equivalence class, we say that $[H']$ is obtained from $[H]$ by a *perturbation*. The *deperturbation* of $\bar{\mathcal{T}}'$ to $\bar{\mathcal{T}}$ may then be described as the process of collapsing the two new triangles to their common edge (which is labeled e_0) so that v' and v'' are collapsed to the same vertex v , which decomposes the aforementioned edge to the disjoint union of two edges with labels e_1 and e_2 .

The corresponding cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ of \bar{S} is modified in a simple ways: we decompose one cell (which is a $2m$ -gon) to two cells (a $2k$ gon and a $2(m - k + 1)$ -gon), as illustrated in Figure 3 by the modification from diagram (a) to diagram (b). The particular case, where $k = 1$ (or $k = m$) is called a *simple* perturbation. A simple perturbation corresponds to repeating a red edge of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$, which adds one bigon to the set of cells, as the modification from diagram (a) to diagram (c) in




Fig. 3: A perturbation adds one red edge to the decorated cobordism, and is illustrated by the modification of (a) to (b). If one of the new cells is a bigon (as in (c)), the perturbation is called simple.




Fig. 4: A simple perturbation replaces one component of the 1-manifold determining the decoration of the cobordism surface with two parallel copies of the same component, as illustrated in the modification from the decorated cobordism (a) to the decorated cobordism (b).

Figure 3 illustrates. Alternatively, a simple perturbation replaces one component of the 1-manifold determining the decoration \mathcal{S} of the link cobordism $S \subset X$ with two parallel copies of the same component, as illustrated in the modification from Figure 4(a) to Figure 4(b).

2.3 Moving between pointed trisection diagrams

Since twists along the link components and perturbations do not change the surface or the 4-manifold, we may regard them as operations on abstract decorated surfaces. An abstract decorated surface is a compact surfaces S with a decomposition of its boundary components to a positive boundary $\partial^+ S$ (colored blue) and a negative boundary $\partial^- S$ (colored black), which is decorated by a properly embedded 1-manifold \mathcal{C} (without closed components) connecting the positive boundary to the negative boundary (colored red), so that $S - \mathcal{C}$ is a union of polygonal cells. As before, the closed surface obtained by collapsing each boundary component of S to a vertex (with the same color) is denoted by \bar{S} and the induced cell decomposition of \bar{S} is denoted by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. The cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ (associated with \mathcal{S}) consists of the vertices $V^+(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) = V^+$ and $V^-(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) = V^-$ and the edges $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$, each connecting a vertex in V^+ to a vertex in V^- , which decompose \bar{S} to polygonal cells $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ (each with an even number of edges).

The cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is called *simple* if no cells in $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is a bigon, is called *complete* if all cells in $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ are quadrilaterals, and is called *deperturbed* if $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ consists of a single cell. \mathcal{S} is called simple (respectively, complete or deperturbed) if the corresponding cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ is simple (respectively, complete or deperturbed). The number of edges for \mathcal{S} is defined equal to the number of edges in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$. If \mathcal{S} is an abstract decorated surface with underlying surface S , we may apply simple deperturbations until we obtain a simple decoration. Further, deperturbations may be used to obtain a deperturbed decoration.

An *edge switch* is the process of removing an edge $e \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ which is common between two polygons $P, P' \in D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$, and adding an edge e' inside the union polygon $P'' = P \cup_e P'$ that connects a black vertex of P'' to a blue vertex of it, to create a new cell decomposition. Correspondingly, an edge switch may be regarded as the composition of a deperturbation and a perturbation on an abstract decorated cobordism \mathcal{S} , which creates a new abstract decorated cobordism with the same number of edges. Note that an edge switch may be performed in case $P = P'$ as well. In this case, the new edge is required to separate the two copies if e on the boundary of P .

Theorem 2.4 *Every two abstract decorations \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' of the same underlying surface S are related to one another by a sequence of perturbations and deperturbations, followed by twists along the boundary components. Moreover, if \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are simple decorations with the same number of edges, they may be changed to one another by a finite sequence of edge switches, followed by twists along the boundary components.*

This subsection is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.4, which plays a major role in the proof of our main result in this paper. The following two lemmas are the major tools needed in the proof.

Lemma 2.5 *Every two deperturbed cell decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ of a closed surface \bar{S} with*

$$V^+(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) = V^+(\bar{\mathcal{C}}') = V^+ \quad \text{and} \quad V^-(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) = V^-(\bar{\mathcal{C}}') = V^-$$

may be changed to one another by a sequence of edge switches.

Proof. Let us denote the polygon in $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ by P and the polygon in $D(\bar{\mathcal{C}}')$ by P' . Every edge in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ appears twice on the boundary of P and every edge in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}')$ appears twice on the boundary of P' . \bar{S} is obtained from P by identifying the pairs of edges which correspond to the same element of $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$. Similarly, \bar{S} is also obtained from P' by identifying the pairs of edges which correspond to the same element of $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}')$.

Let e^1, \dots, e^k denote the longest chain of consecutive edges on the boundary of P which also appear in the same order and consecutively on the boundary of P' . The length k of this chain, which is called a *common chain* for $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$, may of course be zero. Let $e \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}')$ denote the edge which appears right after e^k on the boundary of P' . Since P gives a fundamental domain for \bar{S} , we may draw e as a union $e = e_0 \amalg e_1 \amalg \dots \amalg e_l$ of $l + 1$ arcs on P . Correspondingly, there are edges

$$f_1, \dots, f_l \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}) - \{e^1, \dots, e^k\},$$

each corresponding to two edges on the boundary of P , with the following properties. The arc e_0 starts from the endpoint $v \in V^-$ of e^k on ∂P , enters P and leaves it from the first edge corresponding to f_1 . For $1 \leq j < l$, the arc e_j enters P from the second edge on ∂P corresponding to f_{j-1} and leaves P from the first edge on ∂P corresponding to f_j . Finally, the arc e_l enters P from the




Fig. 5: Two deperturbed cell decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ with the same sets of vertices V^\pm are given, and an edge e in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$, which is a union of $l + 1$ arcs $e^0 \amalg e^2 \amalg \dots \amalg e^l$ on the fundamental domain $P = \bar{S} - E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})$ is fixed. By an edge switch, which replaces g_1 for f_1 , we arrive at a cell decomposition, where e is represented by l arcs.

second edge corresponding to f_l and reaches a vertex $v' \in V^+$ on the boundary of P . This setup is illustrated in Figure 5(a), where we assume $l = 2$.

Two of the diagonals connecting v to vertices w_1 and w_2 on ∂P (which correspond to one or two vertices in V^+) are the closest of such diagonals to the arc e_0 . The diagonal connecting v to w_i is denoted by g_i for $i = 1, 2$. Then, g_1 and g_2 determine a quadrilateral subdomain of P , which is shaded pink in Figure 5(a). Note that one of the edges on ∂P corresponding to f_1 belongs to the boundary of this quadrilateral. We may choose the labeling of g_1 and g_2 so that g_1 separates the two edges on ∂P which correspond to f_1 . Figure 5(b) illustrates the edge switch which replaces g_1 for f_1 in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_0 = \bar{\mathcal{C}}$ to create a new perturbed cell-decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$. It is not hard to see that in the fundamental domain P_1 associated with $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$, the edge e is a union of l arcs, and that e^1, \dots, e^k appear in this order and consecutively on ∂P_1 . We may thus repeat the above process, to obtain the sequences

$$\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_l \quad \text{and} \quad P = P_0, P_1, \dots, P_l$$

of perturbed cell decompositions and corresponding fundamental domains, so that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$ is obtained from $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{j-1}$ by an edge-switch, the edge e is a union of $l - j + 1$ arcs in P_j , and e^1, \dots, e^k appear in this order and consecutively on ∂P_j . In particular, e becomes a diagonal in P_l and may be switched with an edge of P_l to create a perturbed cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$, with a corresponding fundamental domain P'' , so that e^1, e^2, \dots, e^k, e appear in this order and consecutively on the boundary of P'' . In other words, we may use edge switches to change $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$, so that the length of the longest common chain for $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ is bigger than the length of the longest common chain for $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$. Repeating this process, it is now clear that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ may be changed to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ by edge switches. \square

The next step for proving Theorem 2.4 is to show that different decompositions of a polygon into quadrilaterals may be changed to one another by edge-switches. The proof of the following lemma (which also inspired the proof of Lemma 2.5) is suggested by Fatemeh Eftekhary.

Lemma 2.6 *Fix a convex polygon P with a set V^+ of m blue vertices and a set V^- of m black vertices which alternate on the boundary ∂P of P . Fix two decompositions of P into quadrilaterals,*




Fig. 6: Two decompositions of the same polygon into quadrilaterals may be changed to one-another by switching diagonals. To accommodate an edge e , remove the diagonals cutting it one by one, and replace them by diagonals not cutting e .

which are obtained by choosing a collection of $m-2$ diagonals with disjoint interiors, each connecting a black vertex to a blue vertex. Then the two decompositions may be changed to one another by a sequence of edge switches, switching the diagonals in the interior of P .

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ denote the two decompositions of P into quadrilaterals which are obtained by drawing the diagonals $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, P)$ and $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}', P)$ of P , respectively. Further assume that e^1, \dots, e^k are the diagonals in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, P) \cap E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}', P)$. Let e denote a diagonal in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}', P) \setminus E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, P)$, which connects $v \in V^+$ to $v' \in V^-$. It follows that e cuts some diagonals f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l in $E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}, P) - \{e^1, \dots, e^k\}$. Denote the intersection point of f_j with e by p_j . We may assume that p_1, \dots, p_l appear in this order on e , with p_1 the closest basepoint to v' and p_l the closest point to v (see Figure 6(a) for an illustration). If f_1 is removed from $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$, a hexagon is created inside P , which is colored pink in Figure 6(a). This hexagon includes v' on its boundary. If we replace the diagonal f_1 with the diagonal (of the hexagon) having v' as a vertex, we obtain a new decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ of P into quadrilaterals. Note that

$$e^1, \dots, e^k \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| e \cap \bigcup_{f \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, P)} f^\circ \right| = l - 1.$$

Repeating this process for $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ (instead of $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_0 = \bar{\mathcal{C}}$), we obtain the decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = \bar{\mathcal{C}}_0, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{C}}_l$ of P into quadrilaterals, where $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j$ is obtained from $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_{j-1}$ by an edge-switch, while

$$e^1, \dots, e^k \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| e \cap \bigcup_{f \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_j, P)} f^\circ \right| = l - j, \quad \forall 0 \leq j \leq l.$$

In particular, it follows that $e \in E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_l, P)$. Therefore, we may increase the number of common diagonals between $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ by applying a sequence of edge switches to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. Repeating the above process, we may eventually change $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ by edge switches. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' be simple decorations of the same underlying surface S , which give the cell decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ of the corresponding closed surface \bar{S} . If $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ are isotopic,

then \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' may be changed to one another by isotopies and twists along the boundary components. Therefore, in the general case (where $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ are not isotopic) it suffices to change $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ using perturbations and deperturbations (or by edge switches, for the second claim of the theorem).

We may deperturb $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ to unperturbed cell decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_0$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_0$. Therefore, Lemma 2.5 implies that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ may be changed to $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'$ by a sequence of perturbations and deperturbations. This already implies the first claim. If we further assume that $|E(\bar{\mathcal{C}})| = |E(\bar{\mathcal{C}}')|$, it also follows that the number of perturbations and deperturbations are equal. The proof of the theorem is thus reduced to showing that *in every simple decoration, a sequence of k deperturbations followed by a perturbation, may be replaced by a sequence of edge-switches followed by $k - 1$ deperturbations.*

In order to show the above claim (and complete the proof), note that applying k perturbation creates some r polygons P_1, \dots, P_r with $2m_1, 2m_2, \dots, 2m_r$ edges (respectively), where the initial cell decomposition includes at least one diameter from each P_j . The perturbation adds a diagonal e to one of these polygons, say P_1 . Let us complete the initial decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}|_{P_1}$ of P_1 (induced by $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$) to a complete decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ of P_1 into quadrilaterals. We may also apply more perturbations to arrive at a decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_1$ of P_1 into quadrilaterals which includes e as an edge. Lemma 2.6 implies that the decompositions $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}'_1$ of P_1 may be changed to one another by edge-switches. Ignoring the edges in $\bar{\mathcal{C}}_1 - \bar{\mathcal{C}}|_{P_1}$, we obtain a sequence of edge switches which change $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ to a cell decomposition $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$, so that $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$ are identical outside P_1 , while $\bar{\mathcal{C}}''$ includes e and has the same number of edges as $\bar{\mathcal{C}}$. Therefore, the whole sequence of k deperturbations and a single perturbation may be replaced by the aforementioned edge-switches, followed by $k - 1$ deperturbations which remove all diagonals from P_1, \dots, P_r , except the diagonal e . \square

The following theorem follows from Remark 2.3 and Theorem 2.4. It generalizes the result of Hughes, Kim and Miller for closed knotted surfaces, corresponding to the case where L_j is an unlink in $Y_j = \#^{k_j} S^2 \times S^1$ for $j = 1, 2$ (see [HKM20, Theorem 5.8], also see [GM22, Theorem A] for the special case of doubly pointed trisection diagrams).

Theorem 2.7 *For every link cobordism $(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, L_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, L_1)$ and every decoration of it which is denoted by $(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$, there is a compatible nice trisection diagram. The nice diagrams H and H' are compatible with the same decorated cobordism if they may be changed to one another by a sequence of slide-diffeomorphisms stabilizations and destabilizations. If*

$$(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (X, \mathcal{S}') : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}'_1)$$

are decorations of the same link cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}) , there are twist cobordisms

$$(X_j = Y_j \times [0, 1], \mathcal{S}_j) : (Y_j, \mathcal{L}_j) \rightarrow (Y_j, \mathcal{L}_j) \quad j = 1, 2,$$

such that the nice trisection diagrams compatible with $(X_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \circ (X, \mathcal{S}) \circ (X_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$ and (X, \mathcal{S}') may be changed to one another by a sequence of slide-diffeomorphisms, stabilizations, destabilizations, perturbations and deperturbations.

3 The link concordance TQFT

3.1 The basepoint action and the homology action on Heegaard-Floer groups

Assume that Y is a closed 3-manifold and \mathcal{L} is a pointed link consisting of a null-homologous link $L \subset Y$ with c components, which form the set $C(L) = \{L^1, \dots, L^c\}$ of components, and the

collections $w, z \subset L$ of basepoints so that $z^k = z \cap L^k$ and $w^k = w \cap L^k$ are non-empty and alternate on L^k for $k = 1, \dots, c$. Given a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$, let $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w, z)$ denote a strongly \mathfrak{s} -admissible Heegaard diagram for the pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) , and set $n = |\alpha_1| = |\alpha_2|$. If \mathbb{T}_{α_1} and \mathbb{T}_{α_2} denote the tori in $\text{Sym}^n \Sigma$ associated with α_1 and α_2 respectively, we obtain natural maps

$$\mathfrak{s}_w, \mathfrak{s}_z : \mathbb{T}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\alpha_2} \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(Y),$$

so that $\mathfrak{s}_w - \mathfrak{s}_z = \text{PD}[L]$. In particular, if the pointed link \mathcal{L} is homologically trivial, $\mathfrak{s}_z = \mathfrak{s}_w$.

Let $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}, d_{\mathfrak{s}}) = (\text{CFL}_{H, \mathfrak{s}}^-, d_{H, \mathfrak{s}}^-)$ denote the Heegaard-Floer chain complex associated with the Heegaard diagram H and the Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$, which is freely generated by the set $\mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathbb{T}_{\alpha_1} \cap \mathbb{T}_{\alpha_2}$ of generators representing the Spin^c structure \mathfrak{s} , over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}[u, v]$. To define the differential $d_{\mathfrak{s}} : C_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{s}}$, for every $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})$ let $\pi_2^j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ denote the set of homotopy classes of Whitney disks connecting \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y} which are of Maslov index j . Let

$$n_w(\phi) = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{w}} n_w(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad n_z(\phi) = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{z}} n_z(\phi) \quad (\text{for } \phi \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \coprod_j \pi_2^j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})),$$

denote the coefficients of the domain $\mathcal{D}(\phi)$ of ϕ at the basepoints in w and z . For $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})$ define

$$d_{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\phi \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} a_{z, w}(\phi) \cdot \mathbf{y}, \quad \text{where} \quad a_{z, w}(\phi) := \#(\mathcal{M}(\phi)/\mathbb{R}) \cdot u^{n_w(\phi)} \cdot v^{n_z(\phi)} \in \mathbb{F}[u, v],$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\phi)$ denotes the moduli space of J -holomorphic representatives of ϕ for a generic path of almost complex structures J . If the reference to the Heegaard diagram H is needed, we usually denote $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}, d_{\mathfrak{s}})$ by $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}^H, d_{\mathfrak{s}}^H)$. The latter chain complex is $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ -filtered and its chain homotopy type is an invariant of $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. In fact, associated with $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$, we obtain a transitive system of chain complexes $\text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ which is indexed by the strongly \mathfrak{s} -admissible Heegaard diagrams H representing (Y, \mathcal{L}) . In particular, the homology group

$$\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) = H_*(\text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}))$$

is defined as a concrete $\mathbb{F}[u, v]$ -module, and is an invariant of the triple $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. The basepoints in w and z may be used to define the *relative homological gradings* gr_w and gr_z , which are well-defined modulo the evaluation of $2c_1(\mathfrak{s})$ on second homology of Y . In particular, if \mathfrak{s} is a torsion Spin^c structure, these relative homological gradings take their values in \mathbb{Z} . The homological bi-gradings of the variables u and v are defined equal to $(-2, 0)$ and $(0, -2)$, respectively. The differential $d_{\mathfrak{s}} : C_{\mathfrak{s}} \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{s}}$ drops the homological bi-grading by $(1, 1)$. Set $\mathbb{A}^- = \mathbb{F}[u, v]$ and equip $\mathbb{A}^\circ = \mathbb{F}[v]$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{A}} = \mathbb{F}$ with the structure of $\mathbb{F}[u, v]$ -modules by identifying them as $\mathbb{F}[u, v]/\langle u \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}[u, v]/\langle u, v \rangle$, respectively. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CFL}^\circ(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) &:= \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} \mathbb{A}^\circ, & \text{HFL}^\circ(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) &:= H_*(\text{CFL}^\circ(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})), \\ \widehat{\text{CFL}}(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) &:= \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} \widehat{\mathbb{A}}, & \widehat{\text{HFL}}(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) &:= H_*(\widehat{\text{CFL}}(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})), \\ \text{CFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}) &:= \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \text{CFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) & \text{and} & \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}) := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}). \end{aligned}$$

Although different diagrams may be needed to form the complexes in the fifth definition above, we abuse the notation and set $(C, d) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} (C_{\mathfrak{s}}, d_{\mathfrak{s}})$ without referring to the corresponding Heegaard diagram. For a discussion of the naturality of these invariants, see [AE20b] or [Zem19c].

The basepoints $w \in \mathfrak{w}$ and $z \in \mathfrak{z}$ determine the basepoint action maps $\Phi_w : C \rightarrow C$ and $\Psi_z : C \rightarrow C$, which are defined on $C_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by

$$\Phi_w(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\phi \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \frac{n_w(\phi) \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{z, w}(\phi)}{u} \cdot \mathbf{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_z(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\phi \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \frac{n_z(\phi) \cdot \mathfrak{a}_{z, w}(\phi)}{v} \cdot \mathbf{y},$$

respectively. We drop the Spin^c structure from the notation when there is no confusion. These chain maps are homogenous of homological bi-degrees $(1, -1)$ and $(-1, 1)$, respectively and induce the well-defined $\mathbb{F}[u, v]$ -homomorphisms

$$\Phi_w^*, \Psi_z^* : \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}), \quad \text{for } * \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

The latter maps are independent of the choice of the pointed Heegaard diagram for $(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ and respect the decomposition by Spin^c structures in $\text{Spin}^c(Y)$.

There is also an action of $\Lambda_Y = \Lambda^* H_1(Y; \mathbb{F})$ on $\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$, which is defined as follows (see [OS04b, Proposition 4.17]). Let γ denote an immersed closed 1-manifold in $\Sigma - \mathfrak{w} - \mathfrak{z}$ representing the homology class $[\gamma] \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{F})$, which is in general position with respect to α_1 and α_2 . Fix the points $v^j = (j, 0)$ for $j = 0, 1$ on the boundary of $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Define the chain maps $A_\gamma^j : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$A_\gamma^j(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\phi \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \mathfrak{a}^j(\gamma, \phi) \cdot u^{n_w(\phi)} \cdot v^{n_z(\phi)} \cdot \mathbf{y}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\mathfrak{a}^j(\gamma, \phi) = \#\mathcal{M}^j(\gamma, \phi) = \#\{(u, x) \mid u \in \mathcal{M}(\phi), x \in \text{Domain}(u), u(x) \in \{v^j\} \times (\gamma \cap \alpha_j)\}.$$

A restatement of [OS04b, Proposition 4.7] may then be formulated as the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *With the above notation in place, $A_\gamma^j : C \rightarrow C$ is a chain map and the induced map*

$$A_\gamma^j : \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$$

only depends on the homology class $[\gamma] \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{F})$, for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$. Moreover, $A_\gamma^1 = A_\gamma^2$, and we may thus denote A_γ^j by A_γ^\star , which satisfies $A_\gamma^\star \circ A_\gamma^\star = 0$. In particular, the homology action extends to an action of the exterior algebra Λ_Y on $\text{HFL}^(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$, which commutes with the basepoint actions of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{w}}^\star$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}^\star$ on $\text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$.*

Proof. Only the last claim requires a new proof (the rest of the statements follow from the argument of [OS04b, Proposition 4.7]). With the above setup in place, let us fix the homology class γ and $w \in \mathfrak{w}$ and define the \mathbb{A}^- -homomorphisms $H_{w, \gamma}^j : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$H_{w, \gamma}^j(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{\phi \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} n_w(\phi) \mathfrak{a}^j(\gamma, \phi) \cdot u^{n_w(\phi)-1} \cdot v^{n_z(\phi)} \cdot \mathbf{y}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}(H, \mathfrak{s}).$$

It then follows from standard degeneration arguments that

$$A_\gamma^j \circ \Phi_w - \Phi_w \circ A_\gamma^j = H_{w, \gamma}^j \circ d - d \circ H_{w, \gamma}^j,$$

completing the proof of the last claim. □

3.2 The link Floer homology groups

Let us fix a pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) with the underlying link (Y, L) as before. For $\star = -, \circ, \wedge$, set

$$\Phi_L^\star := \sum_{K \in C(L)} \Phi_K^\star \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_L^\star := \sum_{K \in C(L)} \Psi_K^\star \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_K^\star := \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{w} \cap K} \Phi_w^\star \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_K^\star := \sum_{z \in \mathfrak{z} \cap K} \Psi_z^\star$$

for every component $K \in C(L)$. The following proposition gathers most of the properties we need about the basepoint action maps:

Proposition 3.2 *Let \mathfrak{w} and \mathfrak{z} denote the two collections of basepoints on the pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) with the underlying link (Y, L) . Then, for every $w, w' \in \mathfrak{w}$, $z, z' \in \mathfrak{z}$ and $\star \in \{-, \circ\}$ we have:*

$$\Phi_w^\star \circ \Phi_w^\star = 0, \quad \Psi_z^\star \circ \Psi_z^\star = 0, \quad [\Phi_w^\star, \Phi_{w'}^\star] = 0, \quad [\Psi_z^\star, \Psi_{z'}^\star] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [\Phi_w^\star, \Psi_z^\star] = \delta_{w,z} \cdot Id. \quad (3.1)$$

Here $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}'] = \mathfrak{g} \circ \mathfrak{g}' - \mathfrak{g}' \circ \mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}' : \text{HFL}^\star(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^\star(Y, \mathcal{L})$, and $\delta_{w,z} = 0$ unless z and w are neighbors on a component K of L with $|K \cap \mathfrak{w}| = |K \cap \mathfrak{z}| > 1$, where we set $\delta_{w,z} = 1$. In particular, $\text{HFL}^\star(Y, \mathcal{L})$ admits actions by the exterior algebras $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{w}}^\star$ and $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{z}}^\star$ generated by $\{\Phi_w^\star\}_{w \in \mathfrak{w}}$ and $\{\Psi_z^\star\}_{z \in \mathfrak{z}}$ over \mathbb{A}^\star , respectively. Moreover, the action of Φ_L^- is trivial, i.e. zero.

Proof. All claims, except for the last one, follow from [Zem19c, Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7]. We thus focus on proving the triviality of the actions of Φ_L^- . Let us fix the strongly \mathfrak{s} -admissible Heegaard diagram H as before for some $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$. The differential $d_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of the chain complex $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}, d_{\mathfrak{s}})$ induces a differential $d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-$ on the \mathbb{A}^- -module

$$C_{\mathfrak{s}}^- = \widehat{\text{HFL}}(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F}[u, v],$$

so that the homology of $(C_{\mathfrak{s}}^-, d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-)$ gives $\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. Moreover, the $\mathbb{F}[u, v]$ -homomorphism $d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-$ determines the point action map Φ_L^- on $\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$, as follows. Let us denote a set of homogeneous generators (with respect to the homological bi-grading) of $\widehat{\text{HFL}}(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ by $\mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$ and assume that for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$ we have

$$d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})} \epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) u^{k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} v^{l(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \mathbf{y},$$

for $\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{F}$ and some integers $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and $l(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ which are non-negative if $\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is non-zero. In fact, $k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + l(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is strictly positive if $\epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is non-zero. We then obtain a chain map, which is denoted by $\Phi_L^- : C_{\mathfrak{s}}^- \rightarrow C_{\mathfrak{s}}^-$ and is defined by

$$\Phi_L^-(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})} \epsilon(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) u^{k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})-1} v^{l(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})} \mathbf{y},$$

for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$. It follows that Φ_L^- is the maps induced on $\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ by Φ_L . Choose a closed element $\mathbf{x} \in \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ which is homogeneous with respect to the homological bi-grading. For simplicity, let us assume that the homological bi-grading of \mathbf{x} is $(0, 0)$ (this may be obtained by a shift in bi-grading, which does not affect the claim). We may then choose the basis $\mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$ so that

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j=1}^m u^{k_j} v^{l_j} \mathbf{x}_j, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s}), \quad k_1 > \dots > k_m \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq l_1 < \dots < l_m.$$

The homological bi-grading of \mathbf{x}_j is then given by $(2k_j, 2l_j)$. For every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$ in homological bi-grading $(2k(\mathbf{y}) - 1, 2l(\mathbf{y}) - 1)$, the coefficient of \mathbf{y} in $d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-(\mathbf{x})$ is

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) u^{k(\mathbf{y})} v^{l(\mathbf{y})} = u^{k(\mathbf{y})} v^{l(\mathbf{y})} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) \right).$$

Since \mathbf{x} is $d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-$ -closed, it follows that for every $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})$, $\sum_{j=1}^m \epsilon_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) = 0$. On the other hand, the coefficient of \mathbf{y} in $\Phi_L(\mathbf{x})$ is given by

$$\sum_{j=1}^m (k(\mathbf{y}) - k_j) \epsilon(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) u^{k(\mathbf{y})-1} v^{l(\mathbf{y})} = u^{k(\mathbf{y})-1} v^{l(\mathbf{y})} \left(\sum_{j:k_j \text{ is odd}} \epsilon_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) \right).$$

If we set $\mathbf{z} = \sum_{j:k_j \text{ is odd}} u^{k_j-1} v^{l_j} \mathbf{x}_j$, it follows that

$$d_{\mathfrak{s}}^-(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{S}'(H, \mathfrak{s})} \sum_{j:k_j \text{ is odd}} \epsilon_j(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{y}) u^{k(\mathbf{y})-1} v^{l(\mathbf{y})} = \Phi_L(\mathbf{x}).$$

Therefore, the image of the homology class in $\text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ represented by \mathbf{x} under Φ_L^- is trivial. This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.3 *Given a pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) with underlying oriented link (Y, L) , for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$ define the Floer homology groups $\text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L)$ by*

$$\text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) := \text{Ker}(\wedge_{\mathfrak{w}}^{\star} : \text{HFL}^{\star}(Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^{\star}(Y, L)). \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, for $\star \in \{-, \circ\}$ define the weak Floer homology groups $\text{HF}_w^{\star}(Y, L)$ by

$$\text{HF}_w^{\star}(Y, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)} \text{HF}_w^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) := \text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L) \cap (v \cdot \text{HFL}^{\star}(Y, \mathcal{L})) \subset \text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L).$$

Proposition 3.4 *Given a pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) with underlying oriented link (Y, L) , and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$, the Floer homology groups $\text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ and the weak Floer homology groups $\text{HF}_w^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ only depend on (Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) and not the decoration \mathcal{L} of L , for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$. These groups are equipped with relative homological bi-gradings $(\text{gr}_w, \text{gr}_z)$ (again, independent of the decoration) which take their values in \mathbb{Z} if \mathfrak{s} is a torsion Spin^c structure.*

Proof. Let us denote the group defined by the right-hand-side-of (3.2) by $\text{HF}^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L})$. Given a pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) , with underlying link (Y, L) and $\mathcal{L} = (L, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$, assume that z and w are basepoints belonging to the same component of $L - \mathbf{w} - \mathbf{z}$. We then set $\mathbf{w}' = \mathbf{w} \cup \{z\}$ and $\mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{z} \cup \{w\}$ and further assume that z and w are labeled so that $\mathcal{L}' = (L, \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{z}')$ gives a new pointed link in Y with a pair of extra basepoints on it. Zemke defines the quasi-stabilization maps

$$S_{w,z}^+, S_{z,w}^+ : \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}', \mathfrak{s}) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{w,z}^-, S_{z,w}^- : \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}', \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{CFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}).$$

in [Zem19c] and proves that for every $w' \in \mathbf{w}$ and $z' \in \mathbf{z}$, $\Phi_{w'}$ and $\Psi_{z'}$ commute with $S_{w,z}^{\pm}$ and $S_{z,w}^{\pm}$ (up to chain homotopy), while the following relations are also satisfied (again, up to chain homotopy) [Zem19c, Lemmas 4.12, 4.13 and 4.14] :

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \Phi_w &= S_{w,z}^+ S_{w,z}^-, & (2) \quad S_{z,w}^- S_{z,w}^+ &= S_{w,z}^- S_{w,z}^+ = 0, \\ (3) \quad S_{w,z}^- S_{z,w}^+ &= S_{z,w}^- S_{w,z}^+ = Id, & \text{and} & \quad (4) \quad S_{z,w}^+ S_{w,z}^- + S_{w,z}^+ S_{z,w}^- = Id. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2), (3) and (4) that the maps induced by $S_{z,w}^+$ and $S_{w,z}^+$ in homology give isomorphisms to their images and that we have a decomposition

$$\widehat{\text{HFL}}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}', \mathfrak{s}) = \text{Im}((S_{z,w}^+)_*) \oplus \text{Im}((S_{w,z}^+)_*) = \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}).$$

Moreover, it follows from (1), (2) and (3) that Φ_w^- is trivial on $\text{Im}((S_{w,z}^+)_*)$ and that under the above identification, Φ_w^- may in fact be identified as the identity map from the first component to the second component (i.e. that it is given by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$). In particular, $\text{Ker}(\Phi_w^-)$ is identified as $\text{Im}((S_{w,z}^+)_*)$. Moreover, since the isomorphism

$$(S_{z,w}^+)_* : \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\Phi_w^-) \subset \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}', \mathfrak{s})$$

commutes with all point action maps $\Phi_{w'}^-$ for all $w' \in \mathfrak{w}$, it follows that $(S_{z,w}^+)_*$ gives an isomorphism from $\text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L})$ to $\text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L}')$. If (w', z') is a new pair of basepoints on a component of $L - w' - z'$, [Zem19c, Proposition 4.18] implies that $S_{z,w}^+ \circ S_{z',w'}^+ = S_{z',w'}^+ \circ S_{z,w}^+$ up to chain homotopy. Therefore, we obtain a compatible system of isomorphisms between $\text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L})$ for different decorations \mathcal{L} of L , i.e. an isomorphism

$$S_{\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'} : \text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}; \mathcal{L}')$$

whenever \mathcal{L}' is obtained by adding basepoints to \mathcal{L} , so that $S_{\mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}''} \circ S_{\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'} = S_{\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}''}$. In particular, $\text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ may be defined as a concrete group. The same argument as above implies that $\text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ may be defined as a concrete group for $\star \in \{\circ, \wedge\}$. The argument for the invariance and naturality of $\text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ is similar. \square

If L is a knot and \mathcal{L} is a decoration of L with a pair of basepoints on it, the actions of $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and $\widehat{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{z}}$ on $\widehat{\text{HFL}}(Y, L)$ are *usually* non-trivial (see [Sar15]). Nevertheless, the induced actions of $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{w}}^*$ on $\text{HFL}^*(Y, L)$ are always trivial for $\star = -, \circ$, by the last claim in Proposition 3.2. Therefore, the following proposition follows immediately.

Proposition 3.5 *If \mathcal{L} is a decoration of a knot K in the 3-manifold Y by a pair of basepoints,*

$$\text{HF}^-(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) = \text{HFL}^-(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y).$$

3.3 The trisection map and the group actions

Let us now assume that $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{z})$ is a trisection diagram of type $(g; n; \mathbf{c})$ with $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, c_2)$. Let $Y_i = \partial_i X_H^\circ$ be the i -th boundary component of the 4-manifold X_H° associated with H . Let H_i be obtained from H by removing α_i and represent the pointed link (Y_i, \mathcal{L}_i) . Set $\mathbf{S}(H_i) = \prod_{\mathfrak{s}_i \in \text{Spin}^c(Y_i)} \mathbf{S}(H_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$. Then, for every triple of generators

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H) := \mathbf{S}(H_0) \times \mathbf{S}(H_1) \times \mathbf{S}(H_2)$$

let $\pi_2^j(\mathbf{x}) := \pi_2^j(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$ denote the space of homotopy classes of triangles with Maslov index j connecting $\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1$ and \mathbf{x}_2 and set $\pi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_j \pi_2^j(\mathbf{x})$. Given \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x}'_0 \times \mathbf{x}'_1 \times \mathbf{x}'_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H)$, the triangle classes $\Delta \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Delta' \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}')$ are called equivalent if there exist $\phi_i \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}'_i)$ (for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$) such that Δ is obtained from Δ' by juxtaposition of each ϕ_i at \mathbf{x}'_i . The set of equivalence classes of such triangle classes is denoted by $\text{Tri}(H)$ and is identified with the set $\text{Spin}^c(X_H^\circ)$ of Spin^c structures on X_H° (e.g. [AE20b, Proposition 2.6]) by a pair of maps

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathfrak{s}_{\mathfrak{z}} : \text{Tri}(H) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(X_H^\circ).$$

If H is nice, there is a subset $\text{Tri}_0(H) \subset \text{Tri}(H)$ which consists of classes $\Delta \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$, where $\mathfrak{s}_w(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathfrak{s}_z(\mathbf{x}_0) = \mathfrak{s}_0$ is the canonical Spin^c structure on $Y_0 = \#^{k_0} S^2 \times S^1$ (with $c_1(\mathfrak{s}_0) = 0$). Then

$$\mathfrak{s}_w, \mathfrak{s}_z : \text{Tri}_0(H) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(X_H) \subset \text{Spin}^c(X_H^\circ).$$

If the second homology group of Y_i is trivial for $i = 1, 2$, S determines a well-defined homology class $[S] \in H_2(X_H; \mathbb{Z})$ (by adding a Seifert surface for each L_i to S) and

$$\mathfrak{s}_w(\Delta) - \mathfrak{s}_z(\Delta) = \text{PD}[S], \quad \forall \Delta \in \text{Tri}_0(H).$$

We usually use the identification given by \mathfrak{s}_w to associate Spin^c structures in $\text{Spin}^c(X_H)$ to triangle classes in $\text{Tri}_0(H)$. For $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X_H)$, the corresponding subset of $\pi_2(\mathbf{x})$ is denoted by $\pi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathfrak{t})$. Every Spin^c class $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X_H)$ restricts to $\mathfrak{t}|_{Y_i} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus $\pi_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathfrak{t}) = \emptyset$ unless $\mathfrak{s}_w(\mathbf{x}_i) = \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_i}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Given $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X_H)$, the \mathfrak{t} -admissibility of H will be implicit throughout our discussions (see [AE20b, Section 2.5] for precise definitions). We sometimes skip mentioning this assumption, since \mathfrak{t} -admissibility may be achieved using isotopies.

Fix a decorated cobordism $(X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1})$ and a nice (\mathfrak{t} -admissible) trisection diagram H compatible with (X, \mathcal{S}) . Associated with H we then find the pointed links $(Y_{H_i}, \mathcal{L}_{H_i})$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. Let \mathfrak{s}_i denote the Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t}|_{Y_{H_i}}$ and (C_i, d_i) denote the chain complex associated with (H_i, \mathfrak{s}_i) . We further assume that $Y_{H_i} = Y_i \# (\#^{k_i} S^2 \times S^1)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and that $Y_{H_0} = \#^{k_0} S^2 \times S^1$ and that \mathfrak{s}_0 is the Spin^c class on Y_{H_0} with $c_1(\mathfrak{s}_0) = 0$. Let $\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}}$ denote the top generator of $\text{HFL}^-(H_0, \mathfrak{s}_0)$ with respect to the homological grading gr_w . Define

$$\mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star : \text{HFL}^\star(Y_{H_2}, \mathcal{L}_{H_2}, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_{H_2}}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^\star(Y_{H_1}, \mathcal{L}_{H_1}, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_{H_1}}) \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\},$$

as the map induced on homology by the chain map $f_{H,\mathfrak{t}} : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$, given by

$$f_{H,\mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2) = F_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2 \otimes \mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}}) := \sum_{\mathbf{x}_1 \in \mathbf{S}(H_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)} \sum_{\Delta \in \pi_2^0(\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}} \times \mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2, \mathfrak{t})} a_{z,w}(\Delta) \mathbf{x}_1, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H_2) \quad (3.3)$$

$$\text{where } a_{z,w}(\Delta) := \# \mathcal{M}(\Delta) \cdot u^{n_w(\Delta)} \cdot v^{n_z(\Delta)} \in \mathbb{F}[u, v].$$

The \mathbf{A}^\star -homomorphism $\mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star$ respects the homological and basepoint actions in the following sense.

Lemma 3.6 *With the nice trisection diagram $H = (\Sigma, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_1, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_2, w, z)$ and the Spin^c class \mathfrak{t} on X_H as before, let γ be a closed loop on Σ and $w \in \mathfrak{w}$ be a fixed basepoint. Then for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$,*

$$\mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star(\mathbf{A}_\gamma^\star(\mathbf{x}_2)) = \mathbf{A}_\gamma^\star(\mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star(\mathbf{x}_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star(\Phi_w^\star(\mathbf{x}_2)) = \Phi_w^\star(\mathfrak{f}_{H,\mathfrak{t}}^\star(\mathbf{x}_2)), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_2 \in \text{HFL}^\star(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2). \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. We prove the first equality in (3.4). Let us denote the domain of our holomorphic triangles by Δ , which has vertices v_0, v_1 and v_2 in clockwise order, and edges e_0, e_1 and e_2 (so that e_j is the edge opposite to v_j). Define the map $g_{\gamma,\mathfrak{t}} : C_2 \rightarrow C_1$ by

$$g_{\gamma,\mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2) := \sum_{\mathbf{x}_1 \in \mathbf{S}(H_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)} \sum_{\Delta \in \pi_2^0(\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}} \times \mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2)} a(\gamma, \Delta) \cdot u^{n_w(\Delta)} \cdot v^{n_z(\Delta)} \cdot \mathbf{y}_i, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2), \quad \text{where}$$

$$a(\gamma, \Delta) = \# \mathcal{M}(\gamma, \Delta) = \# \{ (u, x) \mid u \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta), x \in \text{Domain}(u), u(x) \in e_0 \times (\gamma \cap \boldsymbol{\alpha}_0) \}.$$

Fix $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0 \times \mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H)$ and $\Delta \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x})$ with $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}}$. The ends of the 1-manifold $\mathcal{M}(\gamma, \Delta)$ correspond to degenerations $\Delta = \phi_i \star \Delta'$ of Δ into a triangle Δ' of Maslov index 0 and a Whitney

disk $\phi_i \in \pi_2(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}'_i)$ of index 1 (for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$), where $\mathbf{x}'_i \in \mathbf{S}_i(H)$ is the juxtaposition point for Δ' and ϕ_i . Such degenerations are modeled on

$$\left(\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/3} \prod_{\Delta = \Delta' \star \phi_i} (\mathcal{M}(\gamma, \Delta') \times \mathcal{M}(\phi_i)) \right) \prod \left(\prod_{i \in \{1,2\}} \prod_{\Delta = \Delta' \star \phi_i} (\mathcal{M}(\Delta') \times \mathcal{M}^0(\gamma, \phi_i)) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

The total number of points in the moduli space of (3.5) is thus zero. Since $\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}}$ is closed, considering such endpoints for all choices of $\Delta \in \pi_2^1(\mathbf{x})$ gives

$$d_1(g_{\gamma, \mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2)) + g_{\gamma, \mathfrak{t}}(d_2(\mathbf{x}_2)) = f_{H, \mathfrak{t}}(A_\gamma^0(\mathbf{x}_2)) - A_\gamma^0(f_{H, \mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2)), \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H_2). \quad (3.6)$$

This completes the proof of the first equality. The proof of the second equality is very similar. We only need to notice that for every $w \in \mathfrak{w}$, $\Phi_w^*(\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{top}}) = 0$ in $\text{HFL}^*(H_0, \mathfrak{s}_0)$. \square

Let us write HFL_i^* for $\text{HFL}^*(H_i, \mathfrak{s}_i)$. If γ is a closed loop on Σ , we may compute $\mathbf{A}_\gamma(\mathbf{x}_2)$ using A_γ^1 . The argument of Lemma 3.6 then implies that $F_{H, \mathfrak{t}} \circ (A_\gamma^1 \otimes I - I \otimes A_\gamma^1)$ is chain homotopic to zero. Lemma 3.6 and the above observation have interesting implications. The inclusion of Y_{H_i} in X_H gives a corresponding map $H_1(Y_{H_i}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H_1(X_H; \mathbb{F})$, which induces the map

$$v_i : \wedge_{Y_{H_i}} = \wedge^* H_1(Y_{H_i}; \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \wedge_{X_H} = \wedge^* H_1(X_H; \mathbb{F}).$$

Let $K_i \subset \wedge_{Y_{H_i}}$ denote the kernel of v_i . If $[\gamma]$ is in K_2 , Lemma 3.6 and the above observation imply that $\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*$ is trivial on the image of $\text{HFL}^*(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$ under the action of $[\gamma]$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*$ factors through $\text{HFL}^*(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)/K_2$. On the other hand, the same argument implies that $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*)$ lies in the kernel of the action of K_1 on $\text{HFL}^*(H_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$. The quotient map $\text{HFL}^*(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(H_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)/K_2$ factors through $\text{HFL}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2})$ and that the inclusion $\text{Ker}(K_1) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(H_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$ factors through $\text{HFL}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1})$. Therefore, we obtain the induced map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HFL}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}),$$

which is homogeneous with respect to the homological bi-grading. If the second homology group of each Y_i is trivial, the bi-degree of $\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*$ with respect to the bigradings $(\text{gr}_w, \text{gr}_z)$ on the two sides may be computed (see [Zem19b, Theorem 1.4]), and is given by $(d_X^t, d_X^{\text{t-PD}[S]} - \chi(S))$, where

$$d_X^t := \frac{c_1(\mathfrak{t})^2 - 2\chi(X) - 3\sigma(X)}{4}.$$

Since the action of \wedge_w^* is respected by $\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*$, the map $\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^*$ induces a well-defined \mathbb{A}^* -homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HF}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}).$$

The following theorem follows from [AE20b, Theorem 1.2], or alternatively [Zem19c, Theorem A], and their proofs:

Theorem 3.7 *Let H be a nice \mathfrak{t} -admissible trisection diagram compatible with the decorated cobordism $(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$. Then for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$, the \mathbb{A}^* -homomorphism*

$$\mathfrak{f}_{H, \mathfrak{t}}^* : \text{HFL}^*(H_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_{H_2}}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(H_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_{H_1}})$$

factors through well-defined \mathbb{A}^ -homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}}^* &= \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}, H}^* : \text{HFL}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}}^* &= \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}, H}^* : \text{HF}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}) \end{aligned}$$

which remain invariant under slide diffeomorphisms and stabilizations of the trisection diagram H and are thus invariants of the decorated cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}) and $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$.




Fig. 7: The underlying link cobordism of a twist cobordism is the trivial (product) cobordism.

Theorem 3.7 implies that there is no confusion in denoting $\mathfrak{f}_{X,\mathcal{S},t;H}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mathcal{S},t;H}^*$ by $\mathfrak{f}_{X,\mathcal{S},t}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mathcal{S},t}^*$, respectively. Therefore, we may conveniently drop the trisection diagram from the notation when the reference to it is not needed.

Let (Y, \mathcal{L}) denote a pointed link, and K denote a component of the underlying link L , which contains the basepoints $w_1, z_1, w_2, z_2, \dots, w_k, z_k$ in this order, with $w_j \in \mathbf{w}$ and $z_j \in \mathbf{z}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Set $(X, \mathcal{S}) = (Y, L) \times [0, 1]$ and assume that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_K$ is a decoration of $S = L \times [0, 1]$, which is trivial except on $K \times [0, 1]$, where its diving set consists of parallel arcs which partition $K \times [0, 1]$ to $2k$ strips such that $(w_j, 0)$ is in the same strip as $(w_{j+1}, 1)$ and $(z_j, 0)$ is in the same strip as $(z_{j+1}, 1)$. This cobordism is called the *twist cobordism* associated with \mathcal{L} and K , and is illustrated in Figure 7. The cobordism map associated with $(Y \times [0, 1], \mathcal{S}_K)$ is computed by Zemke [Zem17]. The following formula, is a reformulation of Zemke's result.

Theorem 3.8 (Theorem D from [Zem17]) *Let (Y, \mathcal{L}) , $K \subset L$, the basepoints $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \mathbf{w}$ and $z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbf{z}$, and the twist cobordism $(X = Y \times [0, 1], \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L},K}) : (Y, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{L})$ be as above. Then for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$ we have*

$$\mathfrak{f}_{X,\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L},K},\mathfrak{s}}^* = \sum_{I=\{j_1 < \dots < j_m\} \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} \Psi_{z_{j_1}}^* \circ \Phi_{w_{j_1}}^* \circ \Psi_{z_{j_2}}^* \circ \Phi_{w_{j_2}}^* \circ \dots \circ \Psi_{z_{j_m}}^* \circ \Phi_{w_{j_m}}^*.$$

Theorem 3.9 *Let (Y, \mathcal{L}) be a pointed link with underlying link L , K be a component of \mathcal{L} , $(X, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L},K})$ denote the twist cobordism associated with \mathcal{L} and K and \mathfrak{s} be a fixed Spin^c structure on Y . Then*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L},K},\mathfrak{s}}^* = \text{Id} : \text{HF}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}).$$

Proof. Given $\mathbf{x} \in \text{HF}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \subset \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$, it follows that \mathbf{x} is in the kernel of the action of all basepoint maps Φ_w^* for $w \in \mathbf{w}$. Therefore, Theorem 3.8 implies that $\mathfrak{g}_{X,\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L},K},\mathfrak{s}}^*(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}$, as the only non-zero term contributing to the sum corresponds to $m = 0$ and $I = \emptyset$. \square

3.4 The concordance TQFTs

Let us assume that $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$ is a nice and \mathfrak{t} -admissible trisection diagram determining the decorated cobordism (equipped with Spin^c structures)

$$(X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}).$$




Fig. 8: A simple perturbation of a trisection diagram.

Choose a 2-sphere Σ' and a triple of oriented great circles α_0, α_1 and α_2 , so that each α_i and α_j intersect in a pair of points, and together they decompose Σ' into 8 triangles. Each α_i decomposes Σ' into two disks A_i and B_i , where α_i is oriented as the boundary of A_i , for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$. We further assume that $A_0 \cap A_1 \cap A_2$ is the (positively oriented) triangle which determines the domain of a triangle class for $(\Sigma', \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. Choose the eight basepoints w, w', z_i, z'_i , for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$ such that

$$w \in A_0 \cap A_1 \cap A_2, \quad w' \in B_0 \cap B_1 \cap B_2, \quad z_i \in B_i \cap A_{i-1} \cap A_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad z'_i \in A_i \cap B_{i-1} \cap B_{i+1}.$$

Set $\mathbf{w}' = \{w, w'\}$ and $\mathbf{z}' = \{z_0, z'_0\}$ and $H' = (\Sigma', \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w}', \mathbf{z}')$. We choose a basepoint $z \in \Sigma$, remove the disk neighborhoods D_z and $D_{w'}$ of $z \in \Sigma$ and $w' \in \Sigma'$ respectively, and connect the circle boundaries by a tube of length ℓ , which is chosen sufficiently large. This gives a surface $\bar{\Sigma} = \Sigma \#_{z, w'} \Sigma'$, which has the same genus g as Σ . A neighborhood of $\Sigma' - D_{w'}$ in $\bar{\Sigma}$ is illustrated in Figure 8. Let us define

$$\bar{H} = (\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{\alpha}_0, \bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2, \bar{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{w} \cup \mathbf{w}' - \{w'\}, \bar{\mathbf{z}} = \mathbf{z} \cup \mathbf{z}' - \{z\}) = H \#_{z, w'} H', \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \cup \{\alpha_i\}.$$

The nice trisection diagram \bar{H} is then a trisection diagram compatible with a 1-perturbation of (X, \mathcal{S}) . The belt of the tube which connects Σ to Σ' is a closed curve γ , which is determined by a dashed closed curve in Figure 8. Let us label the intersection points as in Figure 8. Every generator $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{S}(H_i)$ and every $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ give a generator $\mathbf{x}_i^\epsilon = \mathbf{x}_i \cup \{x_i^\epsilon\} \in \mathbf{S}(\bar{H}_i)$, so that $\text{gr}_w(\mathbf{x}_i^\epsilon) = \text{gr}_w(\mathbf{x}_i) - \epsilon$. Moreover, we have

$$d_i^{\bar{H}}(\mathbf{x}_i^\epsilon) = (d_i^H(\mathbf{x}_i))^\epsilon, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbf{S}(H_i), \quad \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}.$$

The following theorem follows from either of [MO22, Proposition 6.2], [AE20b, proof of Theorem 7.3], or [Zem19c, Proposition 4.28].

Theorem 3.10 *Let us assume that the decorated cobordism $(X, \mathcal{S}') : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}'_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}'_1)$ is obtained from $(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ by a simple perturbation. Fix $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ and construct the \mathfrak{t} -admissible trisection diagram \bar{H} for (X, \mathcal{S}') from the \mathfrak{t} -admissible trisection diagram H for (X, \mathcal{S}) as above. If the tube length ℓ is sufficiently large, we have*

$$f_{\bar{H}, \mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2^\epsilon) = (f_{H, \mathfrak{t}}(\mathbf{x}_2))^\epsilon, \quad \forall \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbf{S}(H_2) \text{ and } \epsilon \in \{0, 1\}. \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore, for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$ the induced maps

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star}, \mathfrak{g}_{X,S',t}^{\star} : \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y_2, L_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y_1, L_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1})$$

are identical, while

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{X,S',t}^{\star} : \mathrm{HFL}^{\star}(Y_2, \mathcal{L}'_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) &= \mathrm{HFL}^{\star}(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\star}} (\mathbb{A}^{\star} \oplus \mathbb{A}^{\star}[[1, -1]]) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{HFL}^{\star}(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^{\star}} (\mathbb{A}^{\star} \oplus \mathbb{A}^{\star}[[1, -1]]) = \mathrm{HFL}^{\star}(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1}) \end{aligned}$$

is identified as $\mathfrak{f}_{X,S,t}^{\star} \otimes \mathrm{Id}$. Here, the shift $[[1, -1]]$ is made with respect to the bi-grading $(\mathrm{gr}_w, \mathrm{gr}_z)$.

If $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ is a link concordance (i.e. a union of cylinders connecting two links with the same number of components), we may fix a simple decoration \mathcal{S} of S which consists of an arc on each cylinder which connects the two boundary components. Correspondingly, for every Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$ we may define the Heegaard-Floer map

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t,S}^{\star} := \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star} : \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, K, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y', K', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}), \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

Theorem 3.11 *Having fixed a concordance $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ and a Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$, the map $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star}$ is independent of the choice of the decoration \mathcal{S} of the surface S . Therefore, we obtain the well-defined \mathbb{A}^{\star} -homomorphisms*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star} : \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}), \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

Proof. Note that the \mathbb{A}^{\star} -homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,s}^{\star} : \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, K, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y', K', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}), \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$$

may in fact be defined for any decoration (X, \mathcal{S}) of the concordance (X, S) (which may include more than one arc on each cylindrical component). If the decoration \mathcal{S}' of S is obtained from the decoration \mathcal{S} by adding an arc on one of the cylinders, it follows that (X, \mathcal{S}') is a simple perturbation of (X, \mathcal{S}) , and that $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S',t}^{\star} = \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star}$ by Theorem 3.10. The proof now follows from Theorem 3.9 and the observation that every two decorations of a concordance may be changed two one another by a sequence of simple perturbations, simple deperturbations, and twists. \square

The outcome of the above discussion is that Heegaard Floer homology gives the TQFTs

$$\mathrm{HF}^{\star} : \mathrm{Links}^c \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\star}\text{-Modules}, \quad \text{for } \star \in \{\circ, \wedge\},$$

which assigns the Heegaard Floer homology group

$$\mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, L) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)} \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \star \in \{\circ, \wedge\},$$

to an oriented link (Y, L) , and the \mathbb{A}^{\star} -homomorphism $\mathrm{HF}^{\star}(X, S) = \mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^{\star}$ to the a link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ which is the sum of the maps $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^{\star}$ for Spin^c structures $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$.

Zemke showed that knot Floer homology provides an obstruction to ribbon concordance [Zem19a]. The following theorem follows as a direct byproduct of his result.

Theorem 3.12 *With $X = Y \times [0, 1]$, let $(X, S) : (Y, K_0) \rightarrow (Y, K_1)$ be a ribbon concordance between the knots K_0 and K_1 in Y and $(X, \bar{S}) : (Y, K_1) \rightarrow (Y, K_0)$ denote the reverse concordance (which is a co-ribbon concordance). Then*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,\bar{S}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^{\star} = \mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, K_0) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^{\star}(Y, K_0), \quad \text{for } \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}.$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{Y \times [0,1],S}^{\star}$ is injective for every ribbon concordance $(Y \times [0, 1], S)$, while $\mathfrak{g}_{Y \times [0,1],\bar{S}}^{\star}$ is surjective for every co-ribbon concordance $(Y \times [0, 1], \bar{S})$.




Fig. 9: (a) A single-pointed unlink component of \mathcal{L}_2 is merged into another link component to form the pointed link \mathcal{L}_1 . (b) The reverse cobordism is a split, which places one of the basepoints from a component of \mathcal{L}_2 on a small unlink component in \mathcal{L}_1 .

4 The link cobordism TQFT

4.1 Merge, split and point-shift cobordisms

We can compute the cobordism map associated with some simple decorated cobordisms. The computations are subsequently used in our proof of the invariance of the cobordism maps over weak Floer homology groups. Let (Y, \mathcal{L}) denote a pointed link, and L denote the underlying link, which includes the alternating basepoints w and z . Assume that (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) is obtained by adding an unlink component to (Y, \mathcal{L}) , while (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1) is obtained from (Y, \mathcal{L}) by adding a pair of adjacent basepoints on one of the link components (the distinguished component), near some $z \in \mathbf{z}$. In particular, we are assuming $Y_1 = Y_2 = Y$. We may then consider the *merge* cobordism from (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) to (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1) , in which the unlink component merges with the distinguished component to create a component with an extra pair of basepoints. This cobordism is illustrated in Figure 9(a). The reverse of a merge cobordism is a *split* cobordism, which is illustrated in Figure 9(b).

Let us assume that $(X, \mathcal{S}_m) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ is a merge cobordism, which is obtained from the pointed link (Y, \mathcal{L}) as above. In particular, $X = Y \times I$. Let $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, w, z)$ denote a pointed Heegaard diagram for (Y, \mathcal{L}) , and $z \in \mathbf{z}$ denotes a basepoint on the distinguished component of \mathcal{L} so that the merging happens near z . Using the notation set before Theorem 3.10, we may construct a trisection diagram for the merge cobordism as follows. First, we let α_2 denote a collection of simple closed curves which is obtained from α_1 by small Hamiltonian isotopies (away from w and z). Abusing the notation, we will use the same label H to denote the trisection diagram $(\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, w, z)$, which corresponds to the trivial decorated cobordism $(Y, \mathcal{L}) \times I$. Set

$$\overline{H}_m = \left(\overline{S} = \Sigma \#_{z, w'} \overline{\alpha}_0, \overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{\alpha}_2, \overline{w} = w \cup \{w\}, \overline{z} = z \cup \{z_2, z'_2\} - \{z\} \right), \quad \text{where } \overline{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i \cup \{\alpha_i\}.$$

It is then straight-forward to check that \overline{H}_m is a trisection diagram for (X, \mathcal{S}_m) and that if H is \mathfrak{s} -admissible for some $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y) = \text{Spin}^c(X)$, so is \overline{H}_m . Similarly, the split cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}_s) is described by the trisection diagram

$$\overline{H}_s = \left(\overline{S} = \Sigma \#_{z, w'} \overline{\alpha}_0, \overline{\alpha}_2, \overline{\alpha}_1, \overline{w} = w \cup \{w\}, \overline{z} = z \cup \{z_2, z'_2\} - \{z\} \right).$$




Fig. 10: (a) A point-shift cobordism is obtained from the product cobordism by shifting one basepoint from a link component to another link component. (b) a point-shift cobordism may be decomposed as the composition of a split cobordism and a merge cobordism.

All holomorphic triangles which contribute to $F_{\overline{H}_m, t}$ (or $F_{\overline{H}_s, t}$) may be described in terms of the holomorphic triangles contributing to the map $F_{H, t}$ associated with the product cobordism $(Y, \mathcal{L}) \times I$ using [MO22, Proposition 5.2]. With respect to the bi-grading $(\text{gr}_w, \text{gr}_z)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HFL}^*(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{s}) &= \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{A}^* \oplus \mathbb{A}^*[[1, -1]]) \quad \text{and} \\ \text{HFL}^*(Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2, \mathfrak{s}) &= \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^*} (\mathbb{A}^* \oplus \mathbb{A}^*[[1, 1]]) \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

for every $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(Y)$. Note that if L_j is the underlying link of \mathcal{L}_j , there are natural identifications

$$\text{HF}^*(Y_1, L_1, \mathfrak{s}) = \text{HF}^*(Y_2, L_2, \mathfrak{s}) = \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}). \quad (4.2)$$

Since the map associated with the product decorated cobordism is the identity, the following theorem is implied by [MO22, Proposition 5.2].

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that (Y, \mathcal{L}) is a pointed link and let the merge and split cobordisms*

$$(X, \mathcal{S}_m) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (X, \mathcal{S}_s) : (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1) \rightarrow (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2)$$

be constructed from (Y, \mathcal{L}) as above. Then, for every Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{s} \in \text{Spin}^c(X) = \text{Spin}^c(Y)$ and $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$, under the identifications of (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathfrak{s}}^* &= \text{Id} \oplus \mathfrak{v} \cdot \text{Id} : \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})[[1, 1]] \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})[[1, -1]], \\ \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}_s, \mathfrak{s}}^* &= \mathfrak{v} \cdot \text{Id} \oplus \text{Id} : \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})[[1, -1]] \rightarrow \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \oplus \text{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})[[1, 1]]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, under the identification of (4.2) we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}_m, \mathfrak{s}}^* = \text{Id} : \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}_s, \mathfrak{s}}^* = \mathfrak{v} \cdot \text{Id} : \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}).$$

In particular, $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{X, \mathcal{S}_s, \mathfrak{s}} : \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{HF}}(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ is trivial (i.e. zero).

Remark 4.2 *If the unlink component which merges to a link component of $\mathcal{L} \subset Y$ includes more than one pair of basepoints, we obtain a decorated cobordism, which may be described as first modifying a product decorated cobordism to a merge, and then applying several simple perturbations. Since simple perturbations do not change the \mathbb{A}^* -homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}, t}^*$, the last two statements in Theorem 4.1 remain valid for these more general types of merge and split cobordisms.*

Theorem 4.1 has an interesting consequence about a decorated cobordism, which we call a *point-shift* cobordism. As before, let (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) be a pointed link, so that the underlying link L has at least two components. Let L^1, L^2 denote two distinguished components of L so that L^1 includes at least $r + s$ basepoints from either of w and z (with $r, s > 0$). Adding a 1-handle to $L \times I \subset Y \times I$ which connects the cylinders $L^1 \times I$ and $L^2 \times I$, we obtain a surface S , together with a decoration shifting r adjacent and alternating pairs of basepoints from $L^1 \times \{0\}$ to $L^2 \times \{1\}$, as illustrated in Figure 10(a). This gives a decorated cobordism

$$(X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1),$$

where $Y_1 = Y$, the underlying link in \mathcal{L}_1 is L , and r pairs of basepoints are moved from L^1 to L^2 . The decorated cobordism \mathcal{S}_{ps} is then called a point-shift cobordism. If we remove the r pairs of basepoints which are shifted from one component to the other components from \mathcal{L}_1 or \mathcal{L}_2 , we obtain a pointed link \mathcal{L} in Y . It follows that

$$\mathrm{HFL}^*(Y_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \mathfrak{s}) = \mathrm{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} (\mathbb{A}^\star \oplus \mathbb{A}^\star \llbracket 1, -1 \rrbracket)^r, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Let us denote the subset $\mathrm{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} (\mathbb{A}^\star)^r$ of $\mathrm{HFL}^*(Y_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \mathfrak{s})$ by $\mathrm{HFL}_i^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. More precisely, we choose $\mathrm{HFL}_i^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ equal to the common kernel of the action of all basepoint maps Φ_w^* such that w belongs to the set of r basepoints which are removed. The subset $\mathrm{HFL}_i^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ of $\mathrm{HFL}^*(Y_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \mathfrak{s})$ is then canonically determined and identified with $\mathrm{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. It also follows that $\mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$ is a subset of $\mathrm{HFL}_i^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$.

The point-shift cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}) is the composition of a generalized split cobordism and a generalized merge cobordism (defined in Remark 4.2), in the form

$$(X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}) = (X_m, \mathcal{S}_m) \circ (X_s, \mathcal{S}_s) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1), \quad \text{where } X = X_m = X_s = Y \times I,$$

as illustrated in Figure 10(b). Theorem 4.1 implies the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $(X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ denote a point-shift cobordism as above, with $Y_1 = Y_2 = Y$ and $X = Y \times I$, where \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are decorations of the same link L . Let \mathcal{L} be a pointed link which is obtained from either of \mathcal{L}_1 or \mathcal{L}_2 by removing the shifted basepoints, and for $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)$ and $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$ construct $\mathrm{HFL}_i^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathrm{HFL}^*(Y_i, \mathcal{L}_i, \mathfrak{s})$ as above. Then $\mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}, \mathfrak{s}}^*$ maps $\mathrm{HFL}_2^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$ to $\mathrm{HFL}_1^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s})$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}, \mathfrak{s}}^* &= v \cdot \mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) = \mathrm{HFL}_2^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HFL}_1^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) = \mathrm{HFL}^*(Y, \mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{s}) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}, \mathfrak{s}}^* &= v \cdot \mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}), \quad \forall \mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y), \star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}, \mathfrak{s}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{X, \mathcal{S}_{ps}, \mathfrak{s}}$ are both trivial (i.e. zero).

4.2 Invariance under perturbations; the general case

In Section 3.4 we studied the invariance of the the link cobordism map under simple perturbations of the decoration on the cobordism. In this section, we would like to consider the more general perturbations (the ones that are not necessarily simple).

Theorem 4.4 *Suppose that the decorated link cobordism $(X, \mathcal{S}) : (Y_2, \mathcal{L}_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$ is obtained from the decorated link cobordism (X, \mathcal{S}') by a perturbation and let L_j denote the underlying link in \mathcal{L}_j for $j = 1, 2$. Then the induced maps*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}, \mathfrak{t}}^*, \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}', \mathfrak{t}}^* : \mathrm{HF}_w^*(Y_2, L_2, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_2}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^*(Y_1, L_1, \mathfrak{t}|_{Y_1})$$

are identical for every $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$ and $\star \in \{-, \circ\}$.




Fig. 11: (a,b) Associated with a perturbation, a simple perturbation is constructed by changing one (red) edge in the cell-decomposition. (c) Corresponding to these two perturbations, we obtain a Heegaard quadruple $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, w, z)$ so that H_2 and H_3 determine the simple perturbation and the initial perturbation, respectively.

Proof. Fix the Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t} \in \text{Spin}^c(X)$ and drop it from notation for convenience. Further assume that the cell decomposition associated with \mathcal{S} is obtained from the cell decomposition associated with \mathcal{S}' by adding an edge (connecting a blue vertex v to a black vertex v') in a $2m$ -gon cell P . The dashed red curve inside the red 8-gon in Figure 11(a) illustrates one such perturbation. Correspondingly, we may consider a second edge of P (connecting v to a neighboring black vertex v''), which determines a simple perturbation (X, \mathcal{S}'') of (X, \mathcal{S}) , as illustrated in Figure 11(b).

There is a pointed Heegaard 4-tuple $H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, w, z)$ which may be used to describe both perturbations at the same time, as described below and illustrated in Figure 11(c) (the curves in $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$ and α_3 are colored red, black, blue and green, respectively). The two perturbations determine two different triangulations of P , while they are identical away from P , as illustrated in Figure 11(a,b). The edges of the first triangulation of P are colored black and blue, while the edges of the second triangulation are colored black and green. An open subset of the Heegaard surface Σ is identified with P . We may choose α_0 so that the only intersections of α_0 with P are $2m$ half circles which slightly enter P from each one of its $2m$ red edges. Each one of the corresponding $2m$ curves in α_0 grabs one basepoint, while m of these basepoints are from w and m are from z . Moreover, a pair of extra basepoints $w \in w$ and $z \in z$ are placed closer to the center of P in Σ . The curves in α_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$ are either outside P , or completely included inside P . In fact, there are precisely m curves from either of these three collections which are included in P , and are denoted by $\alpha_i^1, \dots, \alpha_i^m$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Each curve α_1^j is disjoint from the blue and green edges, cuts precisely one black edge from each triangle twice and separates a pair of basepoints (one from w and the other from z) from the rest of basepoints. Similarly, the curve α_2^j is disjoint from the black and green edges, cuts a particular blue edge in a pair of points and separates a basepoint from w and a basepoint from z from the rest of basepoints, while the curve α_3^j is disjoint from the black and blue edges, cuts a particular blue edge in a pair of points and separates a basepoint from w and a basepoint from z from the rest of basepoints. We further assume that $z \in z$ is not grabbed by any of α_i^j for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. We may then complete this data (on P) to the Heegaard

quadruple H , so that for $j \in \mathbb{Z}/4$, the trisection diagram H_j , obtained by removing α_j from H , is compatible with the decorated cobordisms (X, \mathcal{S}') and (X, \mathcal{S}'') for $j = 3, 2$, respectively. Note that we may choose the labels so that each curve in $\alpha_3 - \{\alpha_3^1, \alpha_3^2\}$ is a Hamiltonian isotope of a corresponding curve in $\alpha_2 - \{\alpha_2^1, \alpha_2^2\}$. The construction of this quadruple is illustrated in Figure 11(c).

For every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/4$, the diagram $H_{i,j} = (\Sigma, \alpha_i, \alpha_j, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})$ determines the chain complex $(C_{i,j}, d_{i,j})$ which gives the homology groups $\text{HFL}_{i,j}^\star$ for $\star \in \{-, \circ, \wedge\}$. The latter homology group is a bi-graded \mathbb{A}^\star -module. Note that $\text{HFL}_{1,2}^\star, \text{HFL}_{1,3}^\star$ and $\text{HFL}_{2,3}^\star$ are Floer homology groups associated with unlinks inside connected sums of $S^1 \times S^2$ s, and are therefore generated over \mathbb{A}^\star by a distinguished top generators (with respect to the homological grading gr_w) under the basepoint action of Λ_w^\star . Denote the corresponding generators by

$$\theta_1^\star \in \text{HFL}_{2,3}^\star, \quad \theta_2^\star \in \text{HFL}_{1,3}^\star \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3^\star \in \text{HFL}_{1,2}^\star.$$

The trisection diagrams H_0, H_1, H_2 and H_3 (respectively) determine the \mathbb{A}^\star -homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_0^\star &: \langle \theta_3^\star \otimes \theta_1^\star \rangle_{\Lambda_w^\star} = \text{HFL}_{1,2}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \text{HFL}_{2,3}^\star \rightarrow \text{HFL}_{1,3}^\star = \langle \theta_2^\star \rangle_{\Lambda_w^\star}, \\ \mathfrak{f}_1^\star &: \text{HFL}_{0,2}^\star = \text{HFL}_{0,2}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \langle \theta_1^\star \rangle_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \subset \text{HFL}_{0,2}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \text{HFL}_{2,3}^\star \rightarrow \text{HFL}_{0,3}^\star, \\ \mathfrak{f}_2^\star &: \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star = \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \langle \theta_2^\star \rangle_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \subset \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \text{HFL}_{1,3}^\star \rightarrow \text{HFL}_{0,3}^\star \quad \text{and} \\ \mathfrak{f}_3^\star &: \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star = \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \langle \theta_3^\star \rangle_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \subset \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\star} \text{HFL}_{1,2}^\star \rightarrow \text{HFL}_{0,2}^\star. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, considering different possible degenerations of a holomorphic quadrilateral of Maslov index 0 and using the standard arguments of Floer theory, we obtain the relation

$$\mathfrak{f}_2^\star(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathfrak{f}_0^\star(\theta_3^\star \otimes \theta_1^\star)) = \mathfrak{f}_1^\star(\mathfrak{f}_3^\star(\mathbf{x})), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star. \quad (4.4)$$

The crucial observation is that H_0 corresponds to a point-shift cobordism, from a pointed unlink in a connected sum of $S^2 \times S^1$ s to itself, which moves $(k-1)$ pairs of adjacent and alternating basepoints in \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{z} on an unlink component hosting k pairs of basepoints to another unlink component hosting $m-k+1$ pairs of basepoints. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{f}_0^\star(\theta_3^\star \otimes \theta_1^\star) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \theta_2^\star \quad \xrightarrow{\text{by (4.4)}} \quad \mathbf{v} \mathfrak{f}_2^\star(\mathbf{x}) = \mathfrak{f}_1^\star(\mathfrak{f}_3^\star(\mathbf{x})), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star.$$

Moreover, H_1 corresponds to shifting the basepoints w and z from one component to another component, and correspondingly changing a decoration \mathcal{L}_1 of L_1 to the decoration \mathcal{L}'_1 of L_1 . Let us denote the decoration obtained by removing w and z from \mathcal{L}_1 (or equivalently, from \mathcal{L}'_1) by \mathcal{L}''_1 . It then follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'', \mathfrak{s}_1)$ is naturally a subset of both $\text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$ and $\text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'_1, \mathfrak{s}_1)$ (for every $\mathfrak{s}_1 \in \text{Spin}^c(Y_1)$), as the kernel of the action of Φ_w . Moreover, \mathfrak{f}_1^\star induces a map

$$\mathfrak{f}_1^\star = \mathbf{v} \cdot \text{Id} : \text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'') \rightarrow \text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'').$$

Moreover, it follows that $\text{HF}^\star(Y_1, L_1) \subset \text{HFL}^\star(Y_1, \mathcal{L}'_1)$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \text{HF}_w^\star(Y_2, L_2) \subset \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star$, it follows that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{v} \mathbf{y}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \text{HFL}_{0,1}^\star$ and that

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}'}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{v} \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}'}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_2(\mathbf{y}) = \mathfrak{f}_1(\mathfrak{f}_3(\mathbf{y})) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathfrak{f}_3(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{v} \mathfrak{f}_{X, \mathcal{S}''}(\mathbf{y}) = \mathfrak{g}_{X, \mathcal{S}''}(\mathbf{x}).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.3 Weak Heegaard-Floer groups and the link cobordism TQFT

Let us assume that $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ is a link cobordism and that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are two decorations of S . Note that either of these two decorations give marked points on either of L and L' , giving them the structure of a pointed link. Theorem 4.4 implies that although $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S',t}^*$ may be different as maps from $\mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y)$ to $\mathrm{HF}^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'})$ (for $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$), their restrictions to $\mathrm{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y)$ are the same. This is probably our most crucial observation. Theorem 2.7, Theorem 3.7, Theorem 3.9 and Theorem 4.4 imply the following conclusion.

Theorem 4.5 *Associated with every link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$ and every Spin^c structure $\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)$ are well-defined Heegaard-Floer cobordism maps*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^* : \mathrm{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{t}|_Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^*(Y', L', \mathfrak{t}|_{Y'}), \quad \text{for } * = -, \circ.$$

If $(X, S) = (X_1, S_1) \circ (X_2, S_2)$ is the composition of two link cobordisms (X_j, S_j) , $j = 1, 2$, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X_1, S_1, \mathfrak{t}_1}^* \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X_2, S_2, \mathfrak{t}_2}^* = \sum_{\substack{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X) \\ \mathfrak{t}|_{X_j} = \mathfrak{t}_j, j=1,2}} \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^*, \quad \forall \mathfrak{t}_1 \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X_1), \mathfrak{t}_2 \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X_2), * \in \{-, \circ\}.$$

Theorem 4.5 provides us with the (hat) Heegaard-Floer link TQFT

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ : \mathrm{Links} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{v}]\text{-Modules},$$

which is given by associating the $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{v}]$ -module

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, L) = \sum_{\mathfrak{s} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(Y)} \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$$

to a link (Y, L) and the $\mathbb{F}[\mathfrak{v}]$ -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(X, S) := \mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\circ = \sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \mathrm{Spin}^c(X)} \mathfrak{g}_{X,S,t}^\circ : \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(Y', L')$$

to every link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y', L')$.

5 Basic properties and computations of Heegaard-Floer link TQFT

5.1 Weak Heegaard-Floer homology groups: some examples

Before proceeding with a few results about the TQFTs HF_w^- and HF_w° , let us examine the groups $\mathrm{HF}_w^-(S^3, K)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(S^3, K)$ in a few examples, which are borrowed from [AE20a, Section 5]. Unlike the ordinary Heegaard-Floer groups, these groups are not necessarily non-trivial for non-trivial knots. The computations illustrate how some of the complexity of Heegaard-Floer groups is lost in exchange for making the cobordism maps independent of the decoration.

Example 5.1 *Let $K = T_{p,q}$ be the (p, q) torus knot with $0 < p < q$ and*

$$\Delta_K(t) = t^{-\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}} \frac{(t^{pq} - 1)(t - 1)}{(t^p - 1)(t^q - 1)} = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (-1)^i t^{a_i}$$

be the symmetrized Alexander polynomial of K , for integers $a_0 > \cdots > a_{2n}$ (with $a_i = -a_{2n-i}$). Set $b_0 = 0$ and $b_i = -2 \sum_{j=1}^i (-1)^j a_j$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. For generators \mathbf{x}_i in bi-grading $(-b_{2i}, -b_{2(n-i)})$ (with $i = 0, \dots, n$), we then find

$$\mathrm{HF}^-(K) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}^{a_{2i-1}-a_{2i}} \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{u}^{a_{2i-2}-a_{2i-1}} \mathbf{x}_{i-1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}}.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{HF}_w^-(K) = \mathrm{HF}^-(K)[[0, 2]]$. Moreover, setting $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i$, we have

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(K) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{y}_0, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}^{a_{2i-1}-a_{2i}-1} \mathbf{y}_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2a_0 + 2]] \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[b_{2i}, b_{2(n-i)} + 2]]}{\langle \mathbf{v}^{a_{2i-1}-a_{2i}-1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} \right).$$

Note that $a_0 = (p-1)(q-1)/2$ is the Seifert genus of $T_{p,q}$ which is also equal to $\tau(T_{p,q})$. We may also compute $\widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(K)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(K)$. For this purpose, suppose that \mathbf{z}_i is a generator in bi-grading $(-b_{2i-1}, -b_{2(n-i)+1})$, where $b_{2i-1} := b_{2i} - 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{HFL}}(K) &= \langle \mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{z}_1, \dots, \mathbf{z}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{F}} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{F}[[b_{2i}, b_{2(n-i)}]] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \mathbb{F}[[b_{2j-1}, b_{2(n-j)+1}]] \right) \quad \text{and} \\ \widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(K) &= \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{F}[[b_{2i}, b_{2(n-i)}]] \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j: a_{j-1} - a_j > 1} \mathbb{F}[[b_{2j-1}, b_{2(n-j)+1}]] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Example 5.2 If K is the figure 8 knot, as discussed in [AE20a, Example 5.6], $\mathrm{HF}^*(K)$ is generated by two generators \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in bi-degree $(0, 0)$, while $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{y}$ are both zero. In particular, $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(K)$ is different from the knot Floer homology group $\mathrm{HFL}^\circ(K)$ (which has 3 generators $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$, with $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{y} = 0$, $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{z} = 0$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}$). Moreover, $\mathrm{HF}_w^*(K)$ is generated by $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}$ and we thus have

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^*(K) = \mathrm{HF}_w^*(U_1) = \mathbb{A}^*[[0, 2]], \quad \star = -, \circ.$$

Example 5.3 Let K be a pointed alternating knot with $\tau(K) > 0$. Since alternating knots have simple knot Floer chain complexes, as discussed in [AE20a, Example 5.7], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HF}^*(K) &= \mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{tor}}^*(K) \oplus \mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{t.f.}}^*(K), \quad \mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{t.f.}}^\circ(K) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2\tau(K)]] \\ \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{t.f.}}^-(K) &= \left\langle \mathbf{u}^i \mathbf{v}^{\tau(K)-i} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \tau(K) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{tor}}^*(K)$ and $\mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{t.f.}}^*(K) = \mathrm{HF}^*(K)/\mathrm{HF}_{\mathrm{tor}}^*(K)$ denote the torsion and the torsion-free part of $\mathrm{HF}^*(K)$, respectively. Moreover,

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(K) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2\tau(K) + 2]] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HF}_w^-(K) = \left\langle \mathbf{u}^i \mathbf{v}^{\tau(K)-i+1} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, \tau(K) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} [[0, 2]].$$

Example 5.4 The $(1, 1)$ knot $K = 12n_{404}$ is illustrated in Figure 12 (left). The corresponding chain complex $\mathrm{CFL}^-(K)$ is discussed in [AE20a, Example 5.10], and is illustrated in Figure 12 (right). Each dot represents a generator of $\mathrm{CFL}^-(K)$. An arrow which connects a dot corresponding to a generator \mathbf{x} to a dot representing a generator \mathbf{y} and cuts i vertical lines and j horizontal lines corresponds to the contribution of $\mathbf{u}^i \mathbf{v}^j \mathbf{y}$ to $d(\mathbf{x})$. We then have

$$\mathrm{HF}^-(12n_{404}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \oplus \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \right)^5 \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}^2, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HF}_w^-(12n_{404}) = \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle},$$

where the computations are valid up to shifts in the homological bi-gradings. A similar computation implies that $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(12n_{404}) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle}$.




Fig. 12: The knot $12n_{404}$ and its corresponding chain complex.

Example 5.5 Let $K = T_{2,3;2,-1}$ and $K' = -T_{2,3;2,-3}$ denote the $(2, -1)$ cable of the torus knot $T_{2,3}$, and the mirror image of the $(2, -3)$ cable of it, respectively. The chain complexes associated with K and K' are illustrated in Figure 13 on the left and right, respectively. It follows from the computations of [AE20a, Section 5] that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{HF}^-(T_{2,3;2,-1}) &= \mathrm{HF}_{t.f.}^-(T_{2,3;2,-1}) \oplus \mathrm{HF}_{tor}^-(T_{2,3;2,-1}) = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \oplus \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{u}^2, \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}} \\ \mathrm{HF}^-(-T_{2,3;2,-3}) &= \mathrm{HF}_{t.f.}^-(-T_{2,3;2,-3}) \oplus \mathrm{HF}_{tor}^-(-T_{2,3;2,-3}) = \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}^2, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}} \\ \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{HF}_w^-(T_{2,3;2,-1}), \mathrm{HF}_w^-(-T_{2,3;2,-3}) &= \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, the identifications are up to shifts in homological bi-grading. It follows from a similar computation that $\mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(T_{2,3;2,-1}), \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(-T_{2,3;2,-3}) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}{\mathbf{v}}$. In particular, both of these cables of $T_{2,3}$ share the same weak Heegaard Floer homology group (upto a shift in homological bi-grading), although $\mathrm{HF}^-(K)$ and $\mathrm{HF}^-(K')$ are completely different.

Example 5.6 For $K = T_{4,5} \# -T_{2,3;2,5} \# T_{2,3}$, we have $\mathrm{CFL}^-(K) = C \oplus C'$, where the chain complex C is illustrated in Figure 14 and the homology of C' is freely generated by elements $\{\mathbf{t}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ such that $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{t}_i = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{t}_i = 0$. The homology of C is generated by the classes $\mathbf{z}_i = [Z_i]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, satisfying

$$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{z}_1 = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{z}_2, \quad \mathbf{u}\mathbf{z}_3 = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{z}_4, \quad \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{v}^2\mathbf{z}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}^2\mathbf{z}_2 = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{z}_3.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{v}\mathbf{z}_3$ is torsion, and $\mathbf{u}\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{v}\mathbf{t} = 0$. We then have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{HF}_{tor}^-(K) = \left(\frac{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle} \right)^{m+1} \longrightarrow H_*(C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{HF}_{t.f.}^-(K) = \langle \mathbf{u}^3, \mathbf{u}^2\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^2, \mathbf{v}^3 \rangle \longrightarrow 0,$$

which does not split. The generators in $\mathrm{HF}_{tor}^-(K)$ are killed after multiplication by \mathbf{v} . Therefore,

$$\mathrm{HF}_w^-(K) = \langle \mathbf{u}^3, \mathbf{u}^2\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^2, \mathbf{v}^3 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{HF}_w^\circ(K) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \oplus \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}].$$

In particular, the non-split module $\mathrm{HF}^-(K)$ is reduced to a torsion-free module $\mathrm{HF}_w^-(K)$ when we go from ordinary Heegaard-Floer groups to weak Heegaard-Floer groups.




Fig. 13: The chain complex associated with the knots $T_{2,3;2,-1}$ (left) and $-T_{2,3;2,-3}$ (right).




Fig. 14: The chain complex C associated with the knot $T_{4,5} \# -T_{2,3;2,5} \# T_{2,3}$.

5.2 The link cobordism TQFT: some examples

We would now like to compute the cobordism maps in a number of simple examples. We work out HF° , which is easier to compute.

Example 5.7 Figure 15(a) illustrates a trisection diagram on the sphere S^2 which is given as

$$H = (S^2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w} = \{w_1, w_2\}, \mathbf{z} = \{z_1, z_2\})$$

and corresponds to the genus-0 cobordism from a 2-pointed unknot to a Hopf link (with a single marked point on each one of its components). The decoration of the surface is by a pair of arcs connecting the unknot to the components of the Hopf link L . Let us denote the aforementioned decorated link cobordism by

$$(X, S_0, \mathbf{A}_0) = (S^3 \times [0, 1], S_0, \mathbf{A}_0) : (S^3, U, \mathbf{p}_U) \rightarrow (S^3, L, \mathbf{p}_L).$$

Some of the intersection points in the diagram are labeled. In particular, the top intersection point between α_1 and α_2 is labeled θ . It is not hard to see that

$$\text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, U, \mathbf{p}_U) = \langle x, y \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[V]} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, L, \mathbf{p}_L) = \frac{\langle x_{0,0}, x_{1,1}, x_{0,1} + x_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[V]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[V]}}.$$




Fig. 15: A trisection diagram for a link cobordism of genus 0 from the unknot to the Hopf link.

Moreover, the action of $\Phi = \Phi_{w_1}^\circ = \Phi_{w_2}^\circ$ on $\text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, U, \rho_U)$ and $\text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, L, \rho_L)$ is given by

$$\Phi(y) = x, \quad \Phi(x) = 0, \quad \Phi(x_{1,1}) = 0, \quad \Phi(x_{0,0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(x_{0,1} + x_{1,0}) = x_{1,1}.$$

In particular, from the above computations we find

$$\text{HF}^\circ(S^3, U) = \langle x \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{HF}^\circ(S^3, L) = \frac{\langle x_{0,0}, x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[[2, 0]].$$

The only triangle class which contributes to $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_0; A_0}^\circ(x)$ is the triangle $\Delta \in \pi_2(x, \theta, x_{0,0})$ which is shaded gray in Figure 15(a). In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_0; A_0}^\circ(x) = \mathbf{x}_{0,0}$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S; A_0}^\circ$ shifts the homological bi-grading by $(0, -2)$.

In Figure 15(b), the mirror of the trisection diagram H is presented. It provides a trisection diagram for the link cobordism

$$(-X, -S_0, A_0) : (S^3, U, \rho_U) \rightarrow (S^3, -L, \rho_L).$$

As in the above computation, and using the labeling of Figure 15(b) we then find

$$\text{HF}^\circ(S^3, U) = \langle \bar{y} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{HF}^\circ(S^3, -L) = \frac{\langle \bar{x}_{0,1}, \bar{x}_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{0,1} = \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \oplus \mathbb{F}.$$

The only triangle class which contributes to $\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S_0; A_0}^\circ(x)$ is the triangle $\Delta \in \pi_2(\bar{y}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{x}_{0,1})$ which is shaded gray in Figure 15(b). In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S_0; A_0}^\circ(\bar{y}) = \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{0,1} = \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{1,0}$.

Example 5.8 A trisection diagram for a genus-0 cobordism from a 2-pointed Hopf link L (with a single marked point on each one of its components) to a 2-pointed left handed trefoil $K = T_{2,3}$ is illustrated in Figure 16 (a). The decorated cobordism and the diagram are denoted by

$$(X, S_1, A_1) : (S^3, L, \rho_L) \rightarrow (S^3, K, \rho_K) \quad \text{and} \quad H = (S^2, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w} = \{w_1, w_2\}, \mathbf{z} = \{z_1, z_2\})$$




Fig. 16: A trisection diagram for a link cobordism of genus 0 from the Hopf link to the trefoil.

respectively. As in the previous example (and compatible with the notation set there), some of the intersection points in the diagram are labeled. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, L, \rho_L) &= \frac{\langle x_{0,0}, x_{1,1}, x_{0,1} + x_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}}{\langle \nu x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}} \quad \text{and} \\ \text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, K, \rho_K) &= \frac{\langle y_0 + y_{1,1}, y_1, y_{0,0}, y_{0,1} + y_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}}{\langle \nu y_1, \nu(y_0 + y_{1,1}) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}}. \end{aligned}$$

The action of $\Phi = \Phi_{w_1}^\circ = \Phi_{w_2}^\circ$ on $\text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, L, \rho_L)$ is already described in Example 5.7, while the aforementioned action on $\text{HFL}^\circ(S^3, K, \rho_K)$ is given by

$$\Phi(y_1) = y_0 + y_{1,1}, \quad \Phi(y_{0,1} + y_{1,0}) = y_{0,0}, \quad \Phi(y_{0,0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(y_0 + y_{1,1}) = 0.$$

In particular, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{HF}^\circ(S^3, L) &= \frac{\langle x_{0,0}, x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}}{\langle \nu x_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}} = \mathbb{F}[\nu][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[2, 0] \quad \text{and} \\ \text{HF}^\circ(S^3, K) &= \frac{\langle y_{0,0}, y_0 + y_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}}{\langle \nu(y_0 + y_{1,1}) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\nu]}} = \mathbb{F}[\nu][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[2, 0]. \end{aligned}$$

The only triangle classes which contributes to $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_1; A_1}^\circ$ are the triangle classes $\Delta \in \pi_2(x_{1,1}, \theta, y_0)$, $\Delta' \in \pi_2(x_{0,0}, \theta, y_{0,0})$ and $\Delta'' \in \pi_2(x_{1,1}, \theta, y_{1,1})$. The domain \mathcal{D} of Δ is shaded pink, while the domain \mathcal{D}' is the union of the pink and the gray region in Figure 16. The domain \mathcal{D}'' is given by 2 times the pink region plus the sum of the gray and green domains. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_1; A_1}^\circ(x_{0,0}) = y_{0,0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_1; A_1}^\circ(x_{1,1}) = y_0 + y_{1,1}$. In other words, $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_1; A_1}^\circ$ is an isomorphism.

As in the previous example, the mirror image of the same diagram (which is illustrated in Figure 16(b)) describes a trisection diagram for

$$(-X, -S_1, -A_1) : (S^3, -L, \rho_L) \rightarrow (S^3, -K, \rho_K).$$

The Floer homology group $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, -L)$ may be computed from the diagram. With the labeling of the intersection points as in Figure 16(b), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, -L) &= \frac{\langle \bar{x}_{0,1}, \bar{x}_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{0,1} = \mathbf{v}\bar{x}_{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] \oplus \mathbb{F} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, -K) &= \frac{\langle \mathbf{v}\bar{y}_{1,0} = \mathbf{v}\bar{y}_{0,1}, \bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_{1,1} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}}{\langle \mathbf{v}(\bar{y}_0 + \bar{y}_{1,1}) \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]}} = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-1, 1].\end{aligned}$$

There are two contributing triangle classes $\Delta_1 \in \pi_2(\bar{x}_{1,0}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{y}_{1,0})$ and $\Delta_2 \in \pi_2(\bar{x}_{0,1}, \bar{\theta}, \bar{y}_{0,1})$ with domains \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 which are obtained as the union of the pink domain containing z_2 in Figure 16(b) with the gray and the green domain, respectively. Therefore, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S_1; A_1}^\circ(\bar{x}_{1,0}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S_1; A_1}^\circ(\bar{x}_{0,1}) = \mathbf{v}\bar{y}_{1,0} = \mathbf{v}\bar{y}_{0,1}.$$

Example 5.9 The composition of the two decorated link cobordisms from Example 5.7 and Example 5.8 is a decorated link cobordism

$$(X, S, A) = (X, S_1, A_1) \circ (X, S_0, A_0) : (S^3, U, \mathfrak{p}_U) \rightarrow (S^3, T_{2,3}, \mathfrak{p}_K).$$

The corresponding cobordism map is given by $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S; A}^\circ(x) = y_{0,0}$, and is a homogeneous map of bi-degree $(0, -2)$ which takes the generator of the group $\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}] = \mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, U)$ to the non-torsion element in $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, K) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[2, 0]$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_{X, S}^\circ : \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 4]]$ is an isomorphism which drops the bi-degree by $(2, 0)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S; A}^\circ(\bar{y}) = \mathbf{v}^2\bar{y}_{1,0} = \mathbf{v}^2\bar{y}_{0,1}.$$

In other words, the image of the generator of $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, U) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}]$ under $\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S; A}^\circ$ is \mathbf{v} times the non-torsion generator of $\mathrm{HF}^\circ(S^3, -T_{2,3}) = \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \oplus \mathbb{F}[-1, 1]$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}_{-X, -S}^\circ : \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 2]] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[\mathbf{v}][[0, 4]]$ is identified as $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathrm{Id}$.

The next example considers the construction of Juhász and Zemke from [JZ20] of different slice disks for a knot of the form $K \# -K$. Although we only consider the case where K is the figure-eight knot, most of the argument remains valid for roll-spinning along arbitrary knots. Example 5.10 also implies that HF° is an invariant of smooth isotopy, rather than topological isotopy.

Example 5.10 Let K denote the figure-eight knot and $f : (S^3, K) \rightarrow (S^3, K)$ denote the diffeomorphism which fixes a point p on K and gives rise to roll-spinning. Let $D, D' = D_{f,p} \subset B^4$ denote the properly embedded disks with boundary $-K \# K$ which are determined by the identity and the map f , respectively, as discussed in [JZ20]. Note that the diffeomorphism types of the pairs (B^4, D) and (B^4, D') are the same by [JZ20, Proposition 3.2]. We may assume that the knot chain complex $C = C_K$ for K is $C = \langle x, x_0, x_1, y_0, y_1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]}$ and that the differential d of C is given by

$$d(x) = 0, \quad d(x_0) = 0, \quad d(x_1) = \mathbf{v}x_0, \quad d(y_0) = \mathbf{u}x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad d(y_1) = \mathbf{v}y_0 + \mathbf{u}x_1.$$

The dual complex (C^*, d^*) is then generated by x^*, x_0^*, x_1^*, y_0^* and y_1^* , while we have

$$d^*(x^*) = 0, \quad d^*(y_1^*) = 0, \quad d^*(x_1^*) = \mathbf{u}y_1^*, \quad d^*(y_0^*) = \mathbf{v}y_1^* \quad \text{and} \quad d^*(x_0^*) = \mathbf{u}y_0^* + \mathbf{v}x_1^*.$$

The chain map \mathfrak{f} associated with f is given by the identity on x, x_0, x_1, y_0 , while $\mathfrak{f}(y_1) = y_1 + x_0$. The classes in $C \otimes C^*$ associated with D and D' are then determined by

$$\mathfrak{t} = x \otimes x^* + x_0 \otimes x_0^* + x_1 \otimes x_1^* + y_0 \otimes y_0^* + y_1 \otimes y_1^* \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{t}' = \mathfrak{t} + x_0 \otimes y_1^*.$$

Note that the class represented by $\mathfrak{t}' - \mathfrak{t}$ in $\mathrm{HF}^*(S^3, -K \# K)$ is non-trivial for $\star = -, \circ, \wedge$. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{t}_{D, -K \# K}^{\star} \neq \mathfrak{t}_{D', -K \# K}^{\star}, \quad \text{for } \star = -, \circ, \wedge.$$

In particular, the slice disks D and D' are different up isotopy. This result should be compared with [JZ20, Theorem 5.4].

5.3 Elementary cobordisms

A union $S \subset Y \times [0, 1]$ of smooth annuli from a link (Y, L) to another link (Y, L') with

$$\partial S \cap Y \times \{0\} = -L \quad \text{and} \quad \partial S \cap Y \times \{1\} = L'$$

gives a concordance $(Y \times [0, 1], S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$. A concordance as above is called a *ribbon concordance* if the projection $h : Y \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ restricts to a Morse function on S which does not have any critical points of index 2. Let us write $(Y, L) \leq (Y, L')$ if a ribbon concordance from (Y, L) to (Y, L') exists. The reverse of a ribbon concordance is called a *co-ribbon concordance*. Ribbon concordances were studied by Gordon [Gor81]. His conjecture, that \leq is a partial order among the knots in S^3 , is proved by Agol [Ago22]. Zemke showed that knot Floer homology provides an obstruction to ribbon concordance [Zem19a]. The following theorem follows as a byproduct of Zemke's result and our construction.

Theorem 5.11 *With $X = Y \times [0, 1]$, let $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$ be a ribbon concordance and $(X, \bar{S}) : (Y, L') \rightarrow (Y, L)$ be the reverse concordance (which is co-ribbon). Then for $\star = -, \circ, \wedge$*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, \bar{S}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X, S}^{\star} = \mathrm{Id} : \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}^*(Y, L).$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{Y \times [0, 1], S}^{\star}$ is injective (respectively, surjective) for every ribbon (respectively, co-ribbon) concordance $(Y \times [0, 1], S)$.

More generally, let K and K' be a pair of knots in a 3-manifold Y , $X = Y \times [0, 1]$, and $(X, S) : (Y, K) \rightarrow (Y, K')$ denote a link cobordism. The projection map from X to $[0, 1]$ may be perturbed to a function $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ without critical points on X , such that $h|_S : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is Morse. One may modify $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ without creating critical points in X so that $h|_S$ has $m = 2a + 2b + k$ critical values

$$0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_m < 1,$$

and associated with s_j there is a unique critical point $w_j \in S$ for $h|_S$, of index 0 for $j = 1, \dots, a$, index 1 for $j = a + 1, \dots, m - b$ and index 2 for $j = m - b + 1, \dots, m$. Fix $\{r_j\}_{j=0}^m$ so that

$$0 = r_0 < s_1 < r_1 < s_2 < r_2 < \cdots < r_{m_1} < s_m < r_m = 1.$$

We may further change the order of the critical points w_{a+1}, \dots, w_{m-b} arbitrarily, and after such a change of order, one may assume that the pre-image of $[0, r_{2a}]$ gives a ribbon concordance

$$(X = Y \times [0, 1], S_{\mathrm{ribb}}) : (Y, K) \rightarrow (Y, L),$$

while the pre-image of $[r_{m-2b}, 1]$ gives the co-ribbon concordance

$$(X = Y \times [0, 1], S_{\mathrm{co-ribb}}) : (Y, L') \rightarrow (Y, K'),$$

for knots $L = L_0$ and $L' = L_k$ in Y . Correspondingly, (X, S) breaks down as the composition of a ribbon concordance, the band surgeries determined by the critical values s_{a+1}, \dots, s_{m-a} , and a co-ribbon concordance. More precisely,

$$(X, S) = (X, S_{co-ribbon}) \circ (X, S_k) \circ \cdots \circ (X, S_1) \circ (X, S_{ribbon}),$$

where $(X, S_j) : (Y, L_{j-1}) \rightarrow (Y, L_j)$ is determined as the pre-image of $[r_{2a+j-1}, r_{2a+j}]$ for every $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Definition 5.12 *A link cobordism $(X, S) : (Y_2, L_2) \rightarrow (Y_1, L_1)$ is called an elementary link cobordism if there is a function $h : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ without critical points on X , such that $h|_S : S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Morse function with a single critical point z of index 1.*

In the setup of Definition 5.12, it follows that Y_1 and Y_2 are diffeomorphic to the same closed 3-manifold Y and that X is diffeomorphic to $Y \times [0, 1]$. The discussion before Definition 5.12 implies that each $(X, S_j) : (Y, L_{j-1}) \rightarrow (Y, L_j)$ is an elementary cobordism. If S is a surface of genus g , we find that $k = 2g$ and

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star = \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_{co-ribbon}}^\star \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_k}^\star \circ \cdots \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_1}^\star \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_{ribbon}}^\star, \quad \forall \star \in \{-, \circ\}.$$

Theorem 3.12 gives some useful information about $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S_{ribbon}}^\star$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S_{co-ribbon}}^\star$. On the other hand, [AE20a, Lemma 2.1] may be restated as the following proposition.

Proposition 5.13 *Let $(X, S) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$ be an elementary cobordism. Denote the reverse cobordism (which is also elementary) by $(X, \bar{S}) : (Y, L') \rightarrow (Y, L)$. Then the maps*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X,\bar{S}}^\star \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star : \mathrm{HF}_w^\star(Y, L) \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^\star(Y, L) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X,\bar{S}}^\star : \mathrm{HF}_w^\star(Y, L') \rightarrow \mathrm{HF}_w^\star(Y, L')$$

are both identified as $\mathfrak{v} \cdot \mathrm{Id}$ for $\star = -, \circ$. In particular, both maps are zero for $\star = \circ$.

Proposition 5.13 has an interesting consequence. We refer the reader to Definition 1.5 for the definition of a compression disk for a link cobordism.

Theorem 5.14 *Suppose that the link cobordism (X, S_D) is obtained from the link cobordism (X, S) by compressing along a compression disk D . Then $\mathfrak{g}_{X,S}^\star = \mathfrak{v} \mathfrak{g}_{X,S_D}^\star$ for $\star = -, \circ$.*

Proof. Identify D with the standard disk D^2 and let h denote the height function on D^2 . Then h extends to a Morse function on $W = D \times [-1, 1]^2 = D^2 \times [-1, 1]^2$ by setting

$$h(x, t) := h(x) + \epsilon \langle x, t \rangle = x_2 + \epsilon t_1 x_1 + \epsilon t_2 x_2, \quad \forall (x, t) = (x_1, x_2, t_1, t_2) \in D^2 \times [-1, 1]^2,$$

for some $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. Note that $\nabla h = ((0, 1) + \epsilon t, \epsilon x)$ is always non-zero. On $\partial D \times [-1, 1] \times \{0\}$, the gradient of the restriction of h is zero if $x = (0, \pm 1)$ and $t = 0$. In other words, the restriction of h to $W \cap S$ has precisely two critical points of index 1. The function $h : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which does not have any critical points, may be extended to a Morse function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that all critical values of h are in $\mathbb{R} - [-1, 1]$ and all critical values of the restriction $h : S - W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (which may also be assumed to be Morse) are also outside $\mathbb{R} - [-1, 1]$. By considering the pre-images of $(-\infty, -1 - \epsilon]$, $[-1 - \epsilon, 1 + \epsilon]$ and $[1 + \epsilon, \infty)$ under h , we then obtain a decomposition of (X, S) and (X, S_D) as

$$(X, S) = (X_2, S_2) \circ (Y'' \times [0, 1], S'') \circ (X_1, S_1), \quad \text{and} \quad (X, S) = (X_2, S_2) \circ (X_1, S_1).$$




Fig. 17: Compression along a disk D may be described as the composition of an elementary cobordism with the reverse of that cobordism, as illustrated in parts (a) and (b).

The surface S'' is a (possibly disconnected) surface of genus 1. The crucial observation is that

$$(Y'' \times [0, 1], S'') = (Y'' \times [0, 1], \overline{S'}) \circ (Y'' \times [0, 1], S')$$

where the elementary cobordism $(X'' = Y'' \times [0, 1], S')$ is the pre-image of $[-1 - \epsilon, 0]$ under h . In other words, compression along the disk D may be realized as the composition of an elementary cobordism and its reverse, see Figure 17 for an illustration. Therefore, for $\star = -, \circ$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{X, S, t}^{\star} &= \mathfrak{g}_{X_1, S_1, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{Y'' \times [0, 1], S'', t|_{Y''}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X_2, S_2, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_{X_1, S_1, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{Y'' \times [0, 1], S', t|_{Y''}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{Y'' \times [0, 1], \overline{S'}, t|_{Y''}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X_2, S_2, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} \\ &= \mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{g}_{X_1, S_1, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{X_2, S_2, t|_{X_1}}^{\star} = \mathfrak{v}\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_D, t}^{\star}, \quad \forall t \in \text{Spin}^{\epsilon}(X). \end{aligned}$$

The third equality above follows from Proposition 5.13 for the elementary cobordism $(Y'' \times [0, 1], S')$. The above computation completes the proof. \square

5.4 General merge and split cobordisms

In Section 4.1, we considered the merge cobordism, where a trivial (unknotted) link component merges with a component of a link (Y, L) . The result is an elementary cobordism in $Y \times [0, 1]$ which consists of several trivial cylinders and a distinguished component, which is a sphere with three disks removed. The split cobordism is the reverse of the aforementioned elementary cobordism.

More generally, let (Y^j, L^j) be a link and $p^j \in L^j$ be a point on a distinguished component of L^j for $j = 1, 2$. Remove a ball neighborhood B^j of p^j and glue the S^2 -boundaries of the resulting 3-manifolds, to obtain the manifold $Y = Y^1 \# Y^2$. If the gluing is done appropriately, so that the two boundary components in $L^1 - B^1$ are identified with the two boundary components of $L^2 - B^2$, we obtain a link $L = L^1 \#_{p^1, p^2} L^2$ in Y . The orientations of L^1 and L^2 induce an orientation on L (provided that the gluing is done appropriately). If p^j is replaced by two nearby points in $Y^j - L^j$, the construction gives the split union $L' = L^1 \amalg L^2$ in Y . Note that $\text{HF}^*(Y, L') \simeq \text{HF}^*(Y, L)$. Two




Fig. 18: (a) The general merge cobordism connects the link $(Y^1 \# Y^2, \mathcal{L}^1 \amalg \mathcal{L}^2)$ to $(Y^1 \# Y^2, \mathcal{L}^1 \# \mathcal{L}^2)$.
(b) The reverse of a general merge cobordism is a general split cobordism.

simple cobordisms connecting the links (Y, L') and (Y, L) are hosted by $X = Y \times [0, 1]$, which are generalizations of the merge and split cobordisms, and are denoted by $(X, S_m) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$ and $(X, S_s) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{L}^j = (L^j, \mathbf{w}^j, \mathbf{z}^j)$ be a pointed version of the link L^j , and assume that p^j is not in $\mathbf{w}^j \amalg \mathbf{z}^j$. Denote the closest points of \mathbf{w}^j and \mathbf{z}^j to p^j by z^j and w^j , respectively. The subsets $\{\mathbf{w}^1 \amalg \mathbf{w}^2\} \times [0, 1]$ of S_m and S_s are decorations of the latter link cobordisms, giving the decorated cobordisms (X, \mathcal{S}_m) and (X, \mathcal{S}_s) , respectively, as illustrated in Figure 18(a,b).

Theorem 5.15 *Let $(X, S_m) : (Y, L) \rightarrow (Y, L')$ be a general merge cobordism, with $Y = Y^1 \# Y^2$, $L = L^1 \#_{p^1, p^2} L^2$ and $L' = L^1 \amalg L^2$ as above, and $(X, S_s) : (Y, L') \rightarrow (Y, L)$ be the corresponding general split cobordism. Then for $\star = -, \circ$ we have*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_m}^* = Id : HF_w^*(Y, L') \rightarrow HF_w^*(Y, L) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{X, S_s}^* = \mathbf{v} \cdot Id : HF_w^*(Y, L) \rightarrow HF_w^*(Y, L'). \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. For $j = 1, 2$, let $H^j = (\Sigma^j, \alpha_0^j, \alpha_1^j; \mathbf{w}^j, \mathbf{z}^j)$ denote a pointed Heegaard diagram for (Y^j, \mathcal{L}^j) . Assume that $w^j \in \mathbf{w}^j$ and $z^j \in \mathbf{z}^j$ are in the same component of $\Sigma^j - \alpha_1^j$, and that the connected sum takes place near the basepoint z^j . Further assume that there is a unique curve $\alpha_0^j \in \alpha_0^j$ which separates w^j from z^j . The neighborhood of w^j and z^j in H^j is illustrated in Figure 19(a).

A trisection diagram for (X, \mathcal{S}_m) is then constructed as follows. We remove a disk neighborhood of z^j in Σ^j , and connect the circle boundaries of the resulting surfaces by a 1-handle to form a closed surface $\Sigma = \Sigma^1 \# \Sigma^2$. Let α_0, α_1 and α_2 denote three simple closed curves which are Hamiltonian isotopes of the belt of the aforementioned 1-handle. Therefore, each pair of these three curves intersect each-other in a pair of transverse intersection points. We then set $\alpha_i = \alpha_i^1 \amalg \alpha_i^2 \amalg \{\alpha_i\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$, where α_2^j consists of Hamiltonian isotopes of the curves in α_1^j (for $j = 1, 2$). This is illustrated in Figure 18(b). A pair of basepoints in two of the 6 small triangles bounded between α_0, α_1 and α_2 may be labeled z^1 and z^2 (to replace the missing basepoints with the same label from z^1 and z^2), as illustrated in Figure 18(b). The result is a trisection diagram

$$H = (\Sigma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}^1 \amalg \mathbf{w}^2, \mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}^1 \amalg \mathbf{z}^2),$$

which represents (X, \mathcal{S}_m) . We fix the Spin^c structures \mathfrak{s}^j on Y^j and $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}^1 \# \mathfrak{s}^2$ on Y , and drop them from the notation for simplicity. We may further assume that H is \mathfrak{s} -admissible. There are 6




Fig. 19: A pair of diagrams H^1 and H^2 for the links (Y^1, \mathcal{L}^1) and (Y^2, \mathcal{L}^2) , as in (a), give a trisection diagram for the general merge cobordism, illustrated in (b).

intersection points on the 1-handle connecting Σ^1 and Σ^2 between α_0, α_1 and α_2 . The intersection points between α_{i+1} and α_{i-1} are denoted by θ_i^+ and θ_i^- , while we assume that the two bigons connecting them are the domains of Whitney disks from θ_i^+ to θ_i^- (i.e. that θ_i^+ is the top intersection point, see Figure 18(d)).

Abusing the notation, we use H^j also to denote $(\Sigma^j, \alpha_0^j, \alpha_1^j, \alpha_2^j, w^j, z^j)$, corresponding to the cobordism $(Y^j, \mathcal{L}^j) \times [0, 1]$. Therefore, the chain map f_{H^j} induces the identity map of $\text{HFL}^*(Y^j, \mathcal{L}^j)$. The set $\mathbf{S}(H_i)$ of generators of the chain complex $C(H_i)$ is decomposed as

$$\mathbf{S}(H_i) = \mathbf{S}(H_i^1) \times \mathbf{S}(H_i^2) \times \{\theta_i^+, \theta_i^-\}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}/3.$$

The diagram H is of the type studied in [AE20b, Theorem 7.3] (or [Zem19c, Proposition 5.3]), except for the positioning of the basepoints z^1 and z^2 . We may thus describe the chain map $f_{H, \mathfrak{s}}$ (associated with H and \mathfrak{s}), provided that the path of almost complex structures is sufficiently stretched along the two attaching circles of the 1-handle containing α_0, α_1 and α_2 , by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{H, \mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{x}^1 \times \mathbf{x}^2 \times \theta_2^+) &= f_{H^1, \mathfrak{s}^1}(\mathbf{x}^1) \otimes f_{H^2, \mathfrak{s}^2}(\mathbf{x}^2) \otimes \theta_1^+ \quad \text{and} \\ f_{H, \mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{x}^1 \times \mathbf{x}^2 \times \theta_2^-) &= v \cdot f_{H^1, \mathfrak{s}^1}(\mathbf{x}^1) \otimes f_{H^2, \mathfrak{s}^2}(\mathbf{x}^2) \otimes \theta_1^- + F_{\mathfrak{s}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \otimes \theta_2^+, \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

for some map $F_{\mathfrak{s}} : C(H_2^1) \otimes C(H_2^2) \rightarrow C(H_1^1) \otimes C(H_1^2)$. The domain of every contributing triangle for $f_{H, \mathfrak{s}}$ includes one of the two pink triangles in Figure 18(b). Under the assumption on the path of almost complex structures (that it is sufficiently stretched), the disks contributing to the differential of $C(H_i)$ (for $i = 1, 2$) may be described using [AE20b, Proposition 5.1]. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} C(H_1) &= C(H_1^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} C(H_1^2) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} (\mathbb{F}[u, v] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u, v][[1, 1]]) \quad \text{and} \\ C(H_2) &= C(H_2^1) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} C(H_2^2) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[u, v]} (\mathbb{F}[u, v] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u, v][[1, 0]]), \end{aligned}$$

where the tensor product with $\mathbb{F}[u, v] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u, v][[1, 1]]$ (or with $\mathbb{F}[u, v] \oplus \mathbb{F}[u, v][[1, 0]]$) on the right-hand-side corresponds to the choice of θ_i^+ or θ_i^- . In particular, the generators of $\text{HF}^*(H_i) \subset \text{HFL}^*(H_i)$, which are in the kernel of the action of Λ_w^* , use θ_i^+ (and not θ_i^-). Therefore, the first formula in (5.2) implies that the induced cobordism map

$$\mathfrak{g}_{X, S_m, \mathfrak{s}}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{H, \mathfrak{s}}^* : \text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow \text{HF}_w^*(Y, L', \mathfrak{s}) = \text{HF}_w^*(Y, L, \mathfrak{s})$$

is the natural identity map. This completes the proof of the first claim in (5.1). The proof of the second claim is completely similar. \square

Example 5.16 Let K and K' denote a pair of knots in S^3 which correspond to knot diagrams which are identical outside a small disk D , where the two diagrams differ by a proper rational replacement (i.e. a rational replacement which does not change the pair of points on the boundary of D which are connected to one another). The rational replacement determines a $(1, 1)$ link R with two components and the link cobordism

$$\begin{aligned} (S^3 \times [0, 1], S_1) : (S^3, K \amalg R \amalg -R) &\rightarrow (S^3, K' \amalg -R) \quad \text{and} \\ (S^3 \times [0, 1], S_2) : (S^3, K' \amalg -R) &\rightarrow (S^3, K), \end{aligned}$$

of genus zero. The composition of the above two link cobordisms is a link cobordism $(S^3 \times [0, 1], S)$ which decomposes as the composition of a merge cobordism and $(S^3 \times [0, 1], S_m)$ with the split union of a cobordism

$$(S^3 \times [0, 1], K \times [0, 1] \amalg S_3) : (S^3, K \amalg R \amalg -R) \rightarrow (S^3, K \amalg U).$$

Correspondingly, Theorem 5.15 and the composition law for TQFTs imply that associated with every $\mathbf{y}^+ \in \text{HF}^*(R)$, $\mathbf{y}^- \in \text{HF}^*(-R)$ we obtain the maps

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{y}^+} : \text{HF}^*(K) \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(K') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{y}^-} : \text{HF}^*(K') \rightarrow \text{HF}^*(K),$$

so that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{y}^-} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{y}^+} = \mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{y}^-, \mathbf{y}^+) \cdot \text{Id}$ for some $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{y}^-, \mathbf{y}^+) \in \mathbb{A}^*$. The main observation in [Eft] is that for $\star = \circ$, we may choose \mathbf{y}^- and \mathbf{y}^+ so that $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{y}^-, \mathbf{y}^+) = \mathfrak{v}$.

References

- [AE15] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. A refinement of sutured Floer homology. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 13(3):609–743, 2015.
- [AE20a] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. Knot Floer homology and the unknotting number. *Geom. Topol.*, 24(5):2435–2469, 2020.
- [AE20b] Akram Alishahi and Eaman Eftekhary. Tangle Floer homology and cobordisms between tangles. *J. Topol.*, 13(4):1582–1657, 2020.
- [Ago22] Ian Agol. Ribbon concordance of knots is a partial ordering. *Commun. Am. Math. Soc.*, 2:374–379, 2022.
- [Eft] Eaman Eftekhary. Rational tangle replacements and knot Floer homology. *J. Symplectic Geom.* (to appear), *arXiv:2203.09319*.
- [Eft05] Eaman Eftekhary. Longitude Floer homology and the Whitehead double. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 5:1389–1418, 2005.
- [GM22] David Gay and Jeffrey Meier. Doubly pointed trisection diagrams and surgery on 2-knots. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 172(1):163–195, 2022.
- [Gor81] C. McA. Gordon. Ribbon concordance of knots in the 3-sphere. *Math. Ann.*, 257:157–170, 1981.
- [HKM20] Mark C. Hughes, Seungwon Kim, and Maggie Miller. Isotopies of surfaces in 4-manifolds via banded unlink diagrams. *Geom. Topol.*, 24(3):1519–1569, 2020.

- [JM16] András Juhász and Marco Marengon. Concordance maps in knot Floer homology. *Geom. Topol.*, 20(6):3623–3673, 2016.
- [JTZ21] András Juhász, Dylan P. Thurston, and Ian Zemke. *Naturality and mapping class groups in Heegaard Floer homology*, volume 1338 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2021.
- [Juh06] András Juhász. Holomorphic discs and sutured manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 6:1429–1457, 2006.
- [Juh16] András Juhász. Cobordisms of sutured manifolds and the functoriality of link Floer homology. *Adv. Math.*, 299:940–1038, 2016.
- [JZ20] András Juhász and Ian Zemke. Distinguishing slice disks using knot Floer homology. *Sel. Math., New Ser.*, 26(1):18, 2020. Id/No 5.
- [Mah20] Roohallah Mahkam. Grid diagrams, link cobordisms in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ and the computation of heegaard-floer tqft. preprint, in preparation, 2020.
- [MO22] Ciprian Manolescu and Peter Ozsvath. Heegaard floer homology and integer surgeries on links, 2022.
- [OS04a] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and knot invariants. *Adv. Math.*, 186(1):58–116, 2004.
- [OS04b] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks and topological invariants for closed three-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 159(3):1027–1158, 2004.
- [OS08] Peter Ozsváth and Zoltán Szabó. Holomorphic disks, link invariants and the multi-variable Alexander polynomial. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 8(2):615–692, 2008.
- [Ras02] Jacob Andrew Rasmussen. Floer homology of surgeries on two-bridge knots. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 2:757–789, 2002.
- [Sar15] Sucharit Sarkar. Moving basepoints and the induced automorphisms of link Floer homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(5):2479–2515, 2015.
- [Zem17] Ian Zemke. Quasistabilization and basepoint moving maps in link Floer homology. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17(6):3461–3518, 2017.
- [Zem19a] Ian Zemke. Knot Floer homology obstructs ribbon concordance. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 190(3):931–947, 2019.
- [Zem19b] Ian Zemke. Link cobordisms and absolute gradings on link Floer homology. *Quantum Topol.*, 10(2):207–323, 2019.
- [Zem19c] Ian Zemke. Link cobordisms and functoriality in link Floer homology. *J. Topol.*, 12(1):94–220, 2019.