

Zero sets of homogeneous polynomials containing infinite dimensional spaces

Mikaela Aires* and Geraldo Botelho†

Abstract

Let X be a (real or complex) infinite dimensional linear space. We establish conditions on a homogeneous polynomial P on X so that, if W is any finite dimensional subspace of X on which P vanishes, then P vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of X containing W . In the complex case, this is a step beyond the classical result due to Plichko and Zagorodnyuk. Applications to the real case are also provided.

1 Introduction

In 1998, Plichko and Zagorodnyuk [13] proved the following remarkable result: For any infinite dimensional complex linear space X , every \mathbb{C} -valued homogeneous polynomial on X vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of X . The real case of the problem, which is clearly very different from the complex case, was thoroughly studied by several authors, see, e.g., [4, 5, 10].

All homogeneous polynomials considered here are scalar-valued. In the modern language of lineability (see [3]), the Plichko-Zagorodnyuk theorem asserts that the zero set of any homogeneous polynomial on an infinite dimensional complex space is lineable, meaning that it contains an infinite dimensional linear space. The subject of lineability is growing quickly, several new interesting approaches to the area have appeared. In this paper, we investigate the problem solved by Plichko-Zagorodnyuk in the complex case under the perspective of the notions introduced in [9] and developed in, e.g., [1, 2, 8]. More precisely, we are interested in the following question:

Given a homogeneous polynomial P on a (real or complex) infinite dimensional linear space X and given a finite dimensional subspace W of X on which P vanishes, does P vanish on an infinite dimensional subspace of X containing W ?

In Theorem 2.3 we establish conditions on P under which the answer to the question above is affirmative. Applications to the complex case include an extension of the Plichko-Zagorodnyuk theorem (cf. Corollary 2.7). Applications of the main result to the real case are also given, see, e.g., Proposition 2.10 and Remark 2.11.

The following definition is given just for the sake of simplicity.

*Supported by a CNPq scholarship

†Supported by FAPEMIG grants RED-00133-21 and APQ-01853-23.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 46B87, 46G25, 47H60.

Keywords: Infinite dimensional linear spaces, homogeneous polynomials, finitely lineable sets.

Definition 1.1. A subset A of a linear space X is *finitely lineable* if, for every finite dimensional subspace W of X contained in $A \cup \{0\}$, there exists an infinite dimensional subspace of X containing W and contained in $A \cup \{0\}$.

Since $P(0) = 0$ for every homogeneous polynomial P , the answer to the question above is affirmative if and only if the zero set of P is finitely lineable if and only if (in the language of [9]) the zero set of P is (n, \aleph_0) -lineable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let X be an infinite dimensional linear space $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . Given an m -homogeneous polynomial $P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, by $\check{P}: X^m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ we denote the (unique) symmetric m -linear form associated to P , that is, $P(x) = \check{P}(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{m \text{ times}})$ for every $x \in X$. Given $0 \leq k \leq m$, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X$, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = m$, we shall use the simplified notation

$$\check{P}(x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_k^{\alpha_k}) := \check{P}(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{\alpha_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{x_k, \dots, x_k}_{\alpha_k \text{ times}}).$$

For the basics of homogeneous polynomials on linear spaces the reader is referred to [7, 11].

We are assuming the Axiom of Choice, therefore every subspace of a linear space is (algebraically) complemented.

2 Results

From now on, unless stated explicitly otherwise, $m \geq 2$ is a natural number and X is an infinite dimensional linear space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . Of course, the zero set of a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is defined by $\{x \in X : f(x) = 0\}$. Sometimes the zero set of f will be denoted by $f^{-1}(0)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $f_1, \dots, f_k: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be maps such that, regardless of the infinite dimensional subspace Y of X , each f_j vanishes on some infinite dimensional subspace of Y . Then, all of them vanish on a same infinite dimensional subspace of X .*

Proof. By assumption, there is an infinite dimensional subspace X_1 of X on which f_1 vanishes. By assumption again, there is an infinite dimensional subspace X_2 of X_1 on which f_2 vanishes. Of course, f_1 vanishes on X_2 too. Repeating the procedure finitely many times we get the result. \square

Definition 2.2. Let $P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be an m -homogeneous polynomial. For a t -homogeneous polynomial $Q: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $1 \leq t \leq m - 1$, we write $Q \prec P$ if there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ on which P vanishes, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + t = m$ such that

$$Q(x) = \check{P}(x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}, x^t) \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Now we prove our main result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $P: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be an m -homogeneous polynomial. Suppose that, for every infinite dimensional subspace Y of X , P vanishes on some nonzero vector of Y and every homogeneous polynomial $Q \prec P$ vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of Y . Then the zero set of P is finitely lineable.*

Proof. Let W be a finite dimensional subspace of X contained in the zero set of P and let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a basis for W . Denote by Y an algebraic complement of W , that is, $X = W \oplus Y$. Of course, Y is infinite dimensional. For $1 \leq t \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m-t} \leq n$, consider the t -homogeneous polynomial

$$P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}(x) = \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t}}, x^t).$$

Each $P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}} \prec P$, so, by assumption, each $P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}$ vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of Y . Since there are only finitely many of such polynomials and Y is infinite dimensional, by Lemma 2.1 there is an infinite dimensional subspace Y_1 of Y such that, for all $1 \leq t \leq m-1$ and $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m-t} \leq n$,

$$P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in Y_1. \quad (1)$$

Since Y_1 is an infinite dimensional subspace of X , by assumption there is $0 \neq y_1 \in Y_1$ such that $P(y_1) = 0$.

Claim 1. P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1\}$.

Proof of Claim 1. Given $a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$, set $a = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$. By the binomial formula [7, Lemma 1.9],

$$P(a + \beta y_1) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \check{P}(a^{m-t}, (\beta y_1)^t) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \beta^t \check{P}(a^{m-t}, y_1^t).$$

It is enough to see that each of the terms of this sum is zero. Indeed:

- For $t = 0$, $\check{P}(a^{m-t}, y_1^t) = \check{P}(a^m) = P(a) = 0$ because P vanishes on W .
- For $t = m$, $\check{P}(a^{m-t}, y_1^t) = \check{P}(y_1^m) = P(y_1) = 0$.
- For $1 \leq t \leq m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}(a^{m-t}, y_1^t) &= \check{P}(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n, \binom{m-t}{\dots}, a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n, y_1^t) \\ &= \check{P}\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{i_1}x_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_{m-t}=1}^n a_{i_{m-t}}x_{i_{m-t}}, y_1^t\right) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}=1}^n a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-t}} \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t}}, y_1^t) \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}=1}^n a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-t}} P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}(y_1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that \check{P} is m -linear, $y_1 \in Y_1$ and (1). Claim 1 has been proved.

Claim 2. There is a sequence $(y_k)_{k=2}^\infty$ of vectors of X and a sequence $(Y_k)_{k=2}^\infty$ of infinite dimensional subspaces of Y such that, for every $k \geq 2$:

- (i) $0 \neq y_k \in Y_k$, $y_{k-1} \notin Y_k \subseteq Y_{k-1}$ and $P(y_k) = 0$.
- (ii) Given $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} + j = m-t$, and given $i_1, \dots, i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the t -homogeneous polynomials

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}, x^t),$$

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}}^t : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}, x^t),$$

vanish on Y_k .

(iii) P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$.

Proof of Claim 2. Let us show first how y_2 and Y_2 can be chosen according to the claim. Given $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq m-t$ with $\alpha_1 + j = m-t$, and $i_1, \dots, i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, consider the t -homogeneous polynomials

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, y_1^{\alpha_1}, x^t)$$

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^t : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(y_1^{\alpha_1}, x^t), \quad \text{if } \alpha_1 > 0.$$

Note that if $\alpha_1 = 0$, then $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} = P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}$, which vanishes on Y_1 by (1), hence it vanishes on any infinite dimensional subspace of Y_1 as well. Note also that we excluded the case $\alpha_1 = 0$ in the second polynomial because, in this case, we would have $t = m$, and we are confined to the cases $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. So, it is enough to handle the cases where $\alpha_1 \geq 1$. Since $P(x_{i_1}) = \dots = P(x_{i_j}) = P(y_1) = 0$, in all these cases we have $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} \prec P$ and $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^t \prec P$. By assumption, each of these polynomials vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of Y_1 . Since Y_1 is infinite dimensional, by Lemma 2.1 there is an infinite dimensional subspace X_1 of Y_1 such that, for all t, α_1, j and i_1, \dots, i_j as above,

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^t(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in X_1. \quad (2)$$

Let us consider two cases:

- $y_1 \in X_1$. In this case we choose Y_2 as any algebraic complement of $\text{span}\{y_1\}$ in X_1 . Of course, Y_2 is infinite dimensional subspace.
- $y_1 \notin X_1$. In this case we choose $Y_2 = X_1$.

In both cases, Y_2 is an infinite dimensional subspace of $X_1 \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq Y$, $y_1 \notin Y_2$ and, by (1) and (2), for all t, α_1, j and i_1, \dots, i_j as above,

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}}^t(x) = P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = 0 \quad \text{for every } x \in Y_2. \quad (3)$$

Since Y_2 is an infinite dimensional subspace of X , by assumption there is $0 \neq y_2 \in Y_2$ such that $P(y_2) = 0$. To complete this step of the proof of Claim 2 we just have to show that P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2\}$. To do so, let $a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta_1, \beta$ be given scalars and set $b := a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + \beta_1y_1$. The binomial formula gives

$$P(b + \beta y_2) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \check{P}(b^{m-t}, (\beta y_2)^t) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \beta^t \check{P}(b^{m-t}, y_2^t).$$

It is enough to check that each term of this sum is zero. Indeed:

- For $t = 0$, $\check{P}(b^{m-t}, y_1^t) = \check{P}(b^m) = P(b) = 0$ by Claim 1.
- For $t = m$, $\check{P}(b^{m-t}, y_2^t) = \check{P}(y_2^m) = P(y_2) = 0$.
- For $1 \leq t \leq m-1$, consider the $(m-t)$ -homogeneous polynomial

$$Q_1 : Y_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad Q_1(x) = \check{P}(y_2^t, x^{m-t}).$$

Calling $a = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$, by the binomial formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{P}(b^{m-t}, y_2^t) &= \check{P}((a + \beta_1y_1)^{m-t}, y_2^t) = Q_1(a + \beta_1y_1) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} Q_1^\vee(a^{(m-t)-s}, (\beta_1y_1)^s) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \check{P}(a^{(m-t)-s}, (\beta_1y_1)^s, y_2^t) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \beta_1^s \check{P}\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{i_1}x_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_{m-t-s}=1}^n a_{i_{m-t-s}}x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t\right) \\
&= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \beta_1^s \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s}=1}^n a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-t-s}} \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

All we have to do is to show that $\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq m-t$ and $i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ (recall that we are in the case $1 \leq t \leq m-1$). Indeed, using that $y_2 \in Y_2$ and (3) in the three cases below, we have:

- For $s = 0$,

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t) = \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t}}, y_2^t) = P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}(y_2) = 0.$$

- For $s = m-t$, we have $m-t-s = 0$, hence,

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t) = \check{P}(y_1^s, y_2^t) = P_{y_1^s}^t(y_2) = 0.$$

- For $1 \leq s \leq m-t-1$, we have $m-t-s > 0$, so

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^s, y_2^t) = P_{y_1^s}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s}}(y_2) = 0.$$

We have just established that $\check{P}(b^{m-t}, y_2^t) = 0$ for every $1 \leq t \leq m-1$. Therefore, $P(b + \beta y_2) = 0$, which shows that P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2\}$. This completes the selection of y_2 and Y_2 .

Suppose now that $y_1, \dots, y_k, Y_1, \dots, Y_k$ have been chosen according to the claim. We shall show how y_{k+1} and Y_{k+1} can be chosen accordingly. Given $t \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k-1} \leq m-t$, $1 \leq \alpha_k \leq m-t$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + j = m-t$, and $i_1, \dots, i_j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, consider the t -homogeneous polynomials

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, x^t),$$

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad x \in X \mapsto \check{P}(y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, x^t).$$

Note that we are not considering $\alpha_k = 0$ because, in this case, we have $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}$ and $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_{k-1}^{\alpha_{k-1}}}^t$, polynomials which vanish on Y_k by the induction hypothesis, hence they vanish on any infinite dimensional subspace of Y_k as well. Since $P(x_{i_1}) = \dots = P(x_{i_j}) = P(y_1) = \dots = P(y_k) = 0$, in all these cases we have $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j} \prec P$ and $P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t \prec P$. Since Y_k

is an infinite dimensional subspace of X , by assumption each of these polynomials vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of Y_k . By Lemma 2.1 there is an infinite dimensional subspace X_k of Y_k such that, for all $t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, j$ and i_1, \dots, i_j as above,

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in X_k. \quad (4)$$

Let us consider two cases:

- $y_k \in X_k$. In this case we choose Y_{k+1} as any algebraic complement of $\text{span}\{y_k\}$ in X_k . Of course, Y_{k+1} is infinite dimensional subspace.
- $y_k \notin X_k$. In this case we choose $Y_{k+1} = X_k$.

In both cases, Y_{k+1} is an infinite dimensional subspace of $X_k \subseteq Y_k \subseteq Y_1 \subseteq Y$, $y_k \notin Y_{k+1}$ and, by (1) and (4), for all $t, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, j$ and i_1, \dots, i_j as above,

$$P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t(x) = P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(x) = 0 \text{ for every } x \in Y_{k+1}. \quad (5)$$

As mentioned before, the above also holds for $\alpha_k = 0$, by the induction hypothesis, because $Y_{k+1} \subseteq Y_k$.

Since Y_{k+1} is an infinite dimensional subspace of X , by assumption there is $0 \neq y_{k+1} \in Y_{k+1}$ such that $P(y_{k+1}) = 0$. To complete this step of the proof of Claim 2 we just have to show that P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}\}$. To do so, let $a_1, \dots, a_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \beta$ be given scalars and set $c := a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n + \beta_1y_1 + \dots + \beta_ky_k$. The binomial formula gives

$$P(c + \beta y_{k+1}) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \check{P}(c^{m-t}, (\beta y_{k+1})^t) = \sum_{t=0}^m \binom{m}{t} \beta^t \check{P}(c^{m-t}, y_{k+1}^t).$$

It is enough to check that each term of this sum is zero. Indeed:

- For $t = 0$, since $c \in \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ and P vanishes on this subspace by the inductions hypothesis, we have $\check{P}(c^{m-t}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(c^m) = P(c) = 0$.
- For $t = m$, $\check{P}(c^{m-t}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(y_{k+1}^m) = P(y_{k+1}) = 0$.
- For $1 \leq t \leq m - 1$, consider the $(m - t)$ -homogeneous polynomial

$$Q_k : Y_{k+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad Q_k(x) = \check{P}(y_{k+1}^t, x^{m-t}).$$

Putting $a = a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$ and $b = \beta_1y_1 + \dots + \beta_ky_k$, the binomial formula gives

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}(c^{m-t}, y_{k+1}^t) &= \check{P}((a+b)^{m-t}, y_{k+1}^t) = Q_k(a+b) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \check{Q}_k(a^{(m-t)-s}, b^s) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \check{P}(a^{(m-t)-s}, b^s, y_{k+1}^t) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \check{P}\left(\sum_{i_1=1}^n a_{i_1}x_{i_1}, \dots, \sum_{i_{m-t-s}=1}^n a_{i_{m-t-s}}x_{i_{m-t-s}}, \sum_{r_1=1}^k \beta_{r_1}y_{r_1}, \dots, \sum_{r_s=1}^k \beta_{r_s}y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t\right) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s}=1}^n \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_s=1}^k \check{P}(a_{i_1}x_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_{m-t-s}}x_{i_{m-t-s}}, \beta_{r_1}y_{r_1}, \dots, \beta_{r_s}y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) \right) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{m-t} \binom{m-t}{s} \left(\sum_{i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s}=1}^n \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_s=1}^k a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_{m-t-s}} \beta_{r_1} \cdots \beta_{r_s} \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

All we have to do is to show that $\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) = 0$ for all $0 \leq s \leq m-t, 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_{m-t-s} \leq n, 1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_s \leq k$ (remember that we are in the case $1 \leq t \leq m-1$). Indeed, using that $y_{k+1} \in Y_{k+1}$ and (5) in the three cases below, we have:

- For $s = 0, m-t-s = m-t > 0$ because $t \leq m-1$, hence

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t}}, y_{k+1}^t) = P^{t, i_1, \dots, i_{m-t}}(y_{k+1}) \stackrel{(5)}{=} 0.$$

- For $s = m-t, s \neq 0$ and $m-t-s = 0$. Since $1 \leq r_1, \dots, r_s \leq k$, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ there is $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $y_{r_i} = y_j$. Thus, there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = m-t = s$ and

$$\check{P}(y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, y_{k+1}^t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) &= \check{P}(y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) \\ &= \check{P}(y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, y_{k+1}^t) \\ &= P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^t(y_{k+1}) \stackrel{(5)}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

- For $1 \leq s \leq m-t-1$, we have $m-t-s > 0$, so, as before, there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k = s$ and

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, y_{k+1}^t).$$

Note that, since $s \geq 1$, $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ are not all zero. As always, let j be such that $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k + j = m-t$. In this case, $j = m-t-s$. Therefore,

$$\check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{m-t-s}}, y_{r_1}, \dots, y_{r_s}, y_{k+1}^t) = \check{P}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_j}, y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}, y_{k+1}^t) = P_{y_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, y_k^{\alpha_k}}^{t, i_1, \dots, i_j}(y_{k+1}) \stackrel{(5)}{=} 0,$$

which proves that P vanishes on $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}\}$. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3. The set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cup \{y_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is linearly independent.

Proof of Claim 3. It is enough to prove that $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ is linearly independent for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. To do so, let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$ be scalars such that $\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \beta_1 y_1 + \dots + \beta_k y_k = 0$. We know that $y_i \in Y_i \subseteq Y$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, hence

$$W \ni \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = -\beta_1 y_1 - \dots - \beta_k y_k \in Y.$$

Since $X = W \oplus Y$, it follows that

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n = 0 = \beta_1 y_1 + \dots + \beta_k y_k.$$

We have $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_n = 0$ because $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for W . Suppose that $\beta_1 \neq 0$. By Claim 2 we have $Y_k \subseteq \dots \subseteq Y_3 \subseteq Y_2$ and $y_i \in Y_i$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Therefore,

$$y_1 = -\frac{\beta_2 y_2}{\beta_1} - \dots - \frac{\beta_k y_k}{\beta_1} \in Y_2.$$

This is a contradiction because $y_1 \notin Y_2$ by Claim 2. This proves that $\beta_1 = 0$. It follows that $\beta_2 y_2 + \cdots + \beta_k y_k = 0$. A repetition of latter reasoning gives $\beta_2 = 0$. After finitely many repetitions of this process we obtain $\beta_1 = \cdots = \beta_k = 0$, proving Claim 3.

Claim 3 ensures that $\text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ is an infinite dimensional subspace of X , of course it contains W , and P vanishes on it by Claim 2. Therefore, the zero set of P is finitely lineable. \square

Now we proceed to give consequences/applications of the main result. We start with consequences that hold in the complex and real cases. The first is a refined version of Lemma 2.1 for homogeneous polynomials.

Corollary 2.4. *Let P_1, \dots, P_k be homogeneous polynomials on X . If, for every infinite dimensional subspace Y of X , each P_i vanishes on a nonzero vector of Y and each homogeneous polynomial $Q \prec P_i, i = 1, \dots, k$, vanishes on an infinite dimensional subspace of Y , then the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_i^{-1}(0)$ is finitely lineable.*

Proof. Let W be finite dimensional subspace of X contained in $\bigcap_{i=1}^k P_i^{-1}(0)$. As P_1 fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 and $W \subseteq P_1^{-1}(0)$, there is an infinite dimensional X_1 of X such that $W \subseteq X_1 \subseteq P_1^{-1}(0)$. The restriction $P_2|_{X_1}$ of P_2 to X_1 is a homogeneous polynomial on an infinite dimensional space which, by assumption, fulfills the conditions Theorem 2.3. As $W \subseteq P_2^{-1}(0)$, there is an infinite dimensional subspace X_2 of X_1 such that $W \subseteq X_2 \subseteq P_2^{-1}(0)$. As $X_2 \subseteq X_1$, P_1 vanishes on X_2 , hence $W \subseteq X_2 \subseteq P_1^{-1}(0) \cap P_2^{-1}(0)$. Just repeat the procedure finitely many times to get the result. \square

A particular case of Theorem 2.3 gives a contribution to the subject of pointwise lineability, introduced in [12] and developed in, e.g., [1, 6, 15]:

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that a homogeneous polynomial P on X satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 vanishes on a point $x \in X$. Then there is an infinite dimensional subspace of X containing x and contained in the zero set of P .*

Proof. Just apply Theorem 2.3 to $W = \text{span}\{x\}$. \square

Given a cardinal number κ , we say that a subset A of a topological vector space X is *finitely κ -spaceable* if, for every finite dimensional W of X such that $W \subseteq A \cup \{0\}$, there is a closed κ -dimensional subspace V of X such that $W \subseteq V \subseteq A \cup \{0\}$. In the language of [9], the zero set of homogeneous polynomial on X is finitely κ -spaceable if and only if it is (n, κ) -spaceable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.6. *Let P be a continuous homogeneous polynomial on an infinite dimensional topological vector space X satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 2.3. Then, the zero set of P is finitely \aleph_0 -spaceable. If, in addition, X is complete metrizable, in particular if X is a Banach space, then the zero set of P is finitely \mathfrak{c} -spaceable.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.3 because the zero set of a continuous homogeneous polynomial is a closed set. As the dimension of any complete metrizable infinite dimensional topological vector space is at least \mathfrak{c} (see [14]), the second statement follows from the first. \square

In the complex case, the following corollary is an extension of the Plichko-Zagorodnyuk theorem [13] mentioned in the Introduction, the one that motivated our research.

Corollary 2.7. *The zero set of any homogeneous polynomial on an infinite dimensional complex linear space is finitely lineable.*

Proof. The Plichko-Zagorodnyuk theorem assures that any homogeneous polynomial on an infinite dimensional complex linear space fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, therefore the result follows. \square

Again, a particular case gives a contribution to pointwise lineability:

Corollary 2.8. *Suppose that a homogeneous polynomial P on a complex infinite dimensional linear space X vanishes on a point $x \in X$. Then there is an infinite dimensional subspace of X containing x and contained in the zero set of P .*

Combining the Plichko-Zagorodnyuk theorem with Corollary 2.6 we get the following:

Corollary 2.9. *The zero set of any continuous homogeneous polynomial P on an infinite dimensional complex topological vector space X is finitely \aleph_0 -spaceable. If, in addition, X is complete and metrizable, in particular if X is a Banach space, then the zero set of P is finitely \mathfrak{c} -spaceable.*

Now we draw our attention to the real case. In [4, Remark 1], the authors proved that every separable real Banach space supports a positive definite 2-homogeneous polynomial. Since every infinite dimensional Banach space admits a separable closed infinite dimensional subspace – in strong contrast to the complex case – the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are not fulfilled by all homogeneous polynomials on any infinite dimensional real Banach space. Of course, this shows that general results of the type of the three corollaries above are not true in the real case. In order to show that our results are useful in the real case, we shall finish the paper giving examples of specific polynomials on real spaces for which our results apply.

An m -homogeneous polynomial P on a linear space X is *of finite type* if there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and linear functionals $\varphi_{1,1}, \dots, \varphi_{1,m}, \dots, \varphi_{k,1}, \dots, \varphi_{k,m}$ on X such that

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_{j,1}(x) \cdots \varphi_{j,m}(x) \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

The fact that each homogeneous polynomial P of finite type vanishes on an infinite dimensional space follows immediately from Lemma 2.1; but the finite lineability of $P^{-1}(0)$ does not. In view of Corollary 2.7, the next result is stated only for real scalars.

Proposition 2.10. *The zero set of any homogeneous polynomial of finite type on any infinite dimensional real linear space is finitely lineable.*

Proof. Let P be an m -homogeneous polynomial of finite type on an infinite dimensional linear space X . It is well known that P can be written as

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \varphi_j(x)^m \text{ for every } x \in X,$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a_1, \dots, a_k are scalars and $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k$ are linear functionals on X (see [7, p. 42]). Let Y be an arbitrary infinite dimensional linear subspace of X . As Y is infinite dimensional, for every $j = 1, \dots, k$, the kernel of the restriction of φ_j to Y , denoted by $\ker(\varphi_j|_Y)$, is an infinite dimensional subspace of Y on which φ_j vanishes. By Lemma 2.1 there is an infinite dimensional subspace Z of Y contained in $\bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker(\varphi_j|_Y)$. Thus, P vanishes on Z , in particular, P vanishes on some nonzero vector of Y . For every $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m$,

$$\check{P}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \varphi_j(x_1) \cdots \varphi_j(x_m).$$

Let $1 \leq t \leq m-1$ and let $Q \prec P$ be a t -homogeneous polynomial. Then there are $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ on which P vanishes, and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n + t = m$ such that $Q(x) = \check{P}(x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}, x^t)$ for every $x \in X$. It is plain that there are $y_1, \dots, y_{m-t} \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that, for every $x \in X$,

$$Q(x) = \check{P}(x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}, x^t) = \check{P}(y_1, \dots, y_{m-t}, x^t) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \varphi_j(y_1) \cdots \varphi_j(y_{m-t}) \varphi_j(x)^t.$$

Since $Z \subseteq \bigcap_{j=1}^k \ker(\varphi_j|_Y)$ and $t \geq 1$, we have that Q vanishes on the infinite dimensional subspace Z of Y . We have proved that P fulfills the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, therefore the zero set of P is finitely lineable. \square

Remark 2.11. A result similar to Corollary 2.8 for homogeneous polynomials of finite type on real infinite dimensional real spaces, and a result similar to Corollary 2.9 for continuous homogeneous polynomials of finite type on infinite dimensional real topological vector spaces follow Proposition 2.10.

References

- [1] M. Aires, G. Botelho, *Spaceability of sets of non-injective maps*, arXiv:2403.19855, 2024.
- [2] N. G. Alburquerque, L. Coleta, *Large structures within the class of summing operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **526** (2023), Article ID 127262, 13. p.
- [3] R. M. Aron, L. Bernal-González, D. Pellegrino and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Lineability: The Search for Linearity in Mathematics*, Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2015.
- [4] R. M. Aron, C. Boyd, R. A. Ryan, I. Zalduendo, *Zeros of polynomials on Banach spaces: The real story*, Positivity **7** (2003), 284-295.

- [5] R. M. Aron, P. Hájek, *Odd degree polynomials on real Banach spaces*, Positivity **11** (2007), 143-153.
- [6] M. C. Calderón-Moreno, P. J. Gerlach-Mena, J. A. Prado-Bassas, *Infinite pointwise lineability: general criteria and applications*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM **118** (2024), Paper No. 25, 13 p.
- [7] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, 1999.
- [8] V. V. Fávaro, D. Pellegrino, A. Raposo, G. Ribeiro, *General criteria for a stronger notion of lineability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (2024), 941-954.
- [9] V. V. Fávaro, D. Pellegrino, D. Tomaz, *Lineability and spaceability: a new approach*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **51** (2020), 27-46.
- [10] M. S. Moslehian, G. A. Muñoz-Fernández, A. M. Peralta, J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda, *Similarities and differences between real and complex Banach spaces: an overview and recent developments*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A. Mat., RACSAM **116** (2022), Paper No. 88, 80 p.
- [11] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, Dover Publications, 2010.
- [12] D. Pellegrino, A. Raposo Jr., *Pointwise lineability in sequence spaces*, Indag. Math. (N.S) **32** (2021), 536-546.
- [13] A. Plichko, A. Zagorodnyuk, *On automatic continuity and three problems of The Scottish Book concerning the boundedness of polynomial functionals*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **220** (1998), 477-494.
- [14] J. O. Popoola, I. Tweddle, *On the dimension of a complete metrizable topological vector space*, Canad. Math. Bull. **20** (1977), 271-272.
- [15] A. Raposo Jr., G. Ribeiro, *Pointwise linear separation property and infinite pointwise dense lineability*, arXiv:2311.09110, 2024.

Mikaela Aires
 Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
 Universidade de São Paulo
 05.508-090 – São Paulo – Brazil
 e-mail: mikaela_aires@ime.usp.br

Geraldo Botelho
 Instituto de Matemática e Estatística
 Universidade Federal de Uberlândia
 38.400-902 – Uberlândia – Brazil
 e-mail: botelho@ufu.br