

Topological amplitudes of charmed baryon decays in the $SU(3)_F$ limit

Di Wang^{1*} and Jin-Feng Luo¹

¹*Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China*

Abstract

Charmed baryon decay plays an important role in studying the weak and strong interactions. Topological diagram is an intuitive tool to analyze the dynamics of heavy hadron decays. In this work, we investigate the topological diagrams of charmed baryon anti-triplet ($\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}$) decays into light baryon octet (\mathcal{B}_8) and light meson (M). A one-to-one mapping between the topological diagram and the invariant tensor is established. The topological diagrams of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ modes (where \mathcal{B}_8^S and \mathcal{B}_8^A are the $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ symmetric and anti-symmetric octets) and the diagrams with quark loop are presented for the first time. The completeness of topologies is confirmed by permutation. The linear correlation of topologies are obtained by deriving the relation between the topological amplitudes constructed by the third- and second-rank octet tensors. It is found the topologies contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ modes can be determined by the topologies contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ modes, vice versa. The equations of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes decomposed by topologies are derived through two different intermediate amplitudes, but the inverse solution does not exist since the number of topologies is larger than number of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes. Applying this framework to the Standard Model, it is found there are thirteen independent $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays, in which four amplitudes associated with three-dimensional operators are non-negligible in CP asymmetries. Considering the suppressions induced by the small CKM matrix elements and the Korner-Pati-Woo theorem, the branching fractions of charmed baryon decays are dominated by five $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes in the $SU(3)_F$ limit. The quark-loop diagrams could enhance the U -spin breaking effects and then increase the branching fraction difference of two decay channels. The systematic measurements of branching fractions of the singly Cabibbo-suppressed modes could help us to find which modes are promising in searching for CP asymmetries in charmed baryon sector.

arXiv:2406.14061v2 [hep-ph] 4 Aug 2024

*Electronic address: wangdi@hunnu.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Charmed baryon decay plays an important role in studying the non-perturbative baryonic transition. Among the charmed baryon decays, the charmed baryon anti-triplet ($\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}$) decays into a light baryon octet (\mathcal{B}_8) and a light meson (M) are most widely studied in literature. A amount of data on the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays were collected by BESIII [1–27], Belle (II) [28–37] and LHCb [38, 39] in the last decades. In theoretical aspect, the QCD-inspired approaches do not work well in charm hadron decays because the expansion parameters $\alpha_s(m_c)$ and Λ_{QCD}/m_c are bigger than the ones in the b -hadron decays. It is significant to study charmed baryon decays based on the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry. Topological diagram is an intuitive and helpful tool to analyze the dynamics of heavy hadron decays. It provides a theoretical framework in which we can not only do the model-dependent data analysis but also make model calculations.

Topological diagrams were used in charmed baryon decays [40–48]. However, some theoretical problems have not been solved so far. According to the Pauli exclusion principle, the combination of flavour and spin wavefunctions of octet baryon, $\phi_{\text{flavor}}\chi_{\text{spin}}$, should be symmetric under the interchange of any two quarks. However, neither combination of $\phi_S\chi_S$ or $\phi_A\chi_A$ are symmetric under the interchanges of $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_3$ and $q_2 \leftrightarrow q_3$. Only the equal weight combination of them, $\psi = (\phi_S\chi_S + \phi_A\chi_A)/\sqrt{2}$, is symmetric under the interchange of any two quarks [49]. Because of the different spin wavefunctions, the topological diagrams of charmed baryon decays into octet baryons have two different sets. Decay amplitude of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay is summation of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ transitions. However, the topological diagrams of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ mode are usually missing in literature [43–46]. In papers such as [47, 48], some topological amplitudes of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ mode are considered. But most topologies are overlooked and the relations between topologies of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ transitions are ambiguous.

On the other hand, the penguin diagrams are regarded as the dominated contributions to CP asymmetries in heavy hadron weak decays. Unfortunately, the penguin diagrams of charmed baryon decays are missing in literature. It damages the mathematical completeness of topological amplitudes and limits the theoretical studies of CP asymmetries in the charmed baryon decays. Recent LHCb measurements indicate the surprise fact that the penguin diagrams are comparable to the tree amplitudes in the D meson decays [50, 51]. However, there is no evidence of the CP violation in charmed baryon decays so far. The studies of penguin diagrams in the charmed baryon decays are helpful in searching for CP asymmetries in baryon sector.

Topological diagrams in the heavy hadron decays can be formalized as invariant tensors constructed by the four-fermion operators and initial/final states [52]. This fact allows us to study topologies by some mathematical tools such as tensor contraction, permutation and Lie group decomposition. A systematic analysis of the topological amplitudes of D meson decays was performed in Ref. [53], in which the universality, completeness and linear correlation of topological amplitudes in the $SU(3)_F$ limit are clarified. However, it is not straightforward to extend the theoretical framework of D meson decays to the charmed baryon decays. The reason is that the topological amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays are constructed by the third-rank octet tensors, while the $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes are constructed by the second-rank octet tensors. The relations between the topological diagrams and $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays are more complicated than the two-body D meson decays.

In this work, we study the topological diagrams of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays in the flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry. A one-to-one mapping between the topological diagram and the invariant tensor is set up. The topological diagrams of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ modes and the quark-loop diagrams are presented for the first time. The completeness of topologies is confirmed by permutation. To study linear correlation of topologies, we derive the relations between the topological amplitudes constructed by the third-rank and second-rank octet tensors. It is found the topologies contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ modes can be determined by the topologies contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ modes, vice versa. The equations of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes decomposed by topologies are derived through two different approaches. The inverse solution does not exist since the number of topologies is larger than number of $SU(3)$

irreducible amplitudes.

As a phenomenological application, we analyze the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays in the Standard Model. It is found there are thirteen independent tree induced amplitudes contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays, in which four amplitudes associated with three-dimensional operators are non-negligible in CP asymmetries. The penguin induced amplitudes are determined if the tree induced amplitudes are known. Considering that some topological amplitudes are suppressed by the small CKM matrix elements and the Korner-Pati-Woo (KPW) theorem [54, 55], the dominated $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes in the branching fractions of charmed baryon decays are reduced to five. Similar to the charm meson decays, the quark-loop diagrams in charmed baryon decays could enhance the U -spin breaking effects. We suggest to measure of branching fractions of the singly Cabibbo-suppressed charmed baryon decays systematically before searching for CP asymmetries. It could help us to find which modes are potential golden modes in experiments.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we show the general discussions about the topological amplitudes of charmed baryon decays in the $SU(3)_F$ limit. The topological amplitudes in the Standard Model and the phenomenological analysis are presented in Sec. III. And Sec. IV is a brief summary.

II. TENSOR ANALYSIS OF TOPOLOGIES

The charm quark decays are categorized into three types, Cabibbo-favored (CF), singly Cabibbo-suppressed (SCS), and doubly Cabibbo-suppressed (DCS) decays, with flavor structures of

$$c \rightarrow s\bar{d}u, \quad c \rightarrow d\bar{d}u/s\bar{s}u, \quad c \rightarrow d\bar{s}u, \quad (1)$$

respectively. In the $SU(3)$ picture, the weak Hamiltonian of charm decay can be written as [53]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_p \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 (H^{(p)})_{ij}^k \mathcal{O}_{ij}^{(p)k}, \quad (2)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{(p)k} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\text{color}} \sum_{\text{current}} C_p (\bar{q}_i q_k) (\bar{q}_j c). \quad (3)$$

$\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{(p)k}$ denotes the four-quark operator with the Fermi coupling constant G_F and the Wilson coefficient C_p . Superscript p in $\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{(p)k}$ is the order of perturbation in the effective theory. In the Standard Model, $p = 0, 1$ denote the tree and penguin operators. For each p , there are 27 different $\mathcal{O}_{ij}^{(p)k}$. $H^{(p)}$ is the $3 \times 3 \times 3$ coefficient matrix. The color indices and current structures of four quark operators are summed because once the flavor structure of operator is determined, the operators with different color indices and current structures always appear simultaneously.

The charmed anti-triplet baryon is expressed as

$$\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Lambda_c^+ & \Xi_c^+ \\ -\Lambda_c^+ & 0 & \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ & -\Xi_c^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

The light pseudoscalar nonet meson is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta_8 & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\pi^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\eta_8 & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{2/3}\eta_8 \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5)$$

The pseudoscalar mesons η_8 and η_1 are not mass eigenstates. The mass eigenstates η and η' are mixing of η_8 and η_1 ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \xi & -\sin \xi \\ \sin \xi & \cos \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_8 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

There are two different octets with symmetric and antisymmetric flavor wavefunctions under $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$. They are labelled by \mathcal{B}_8^S and \mathcal{B}_8^A and their flavor wavefunctions are ϕ_S and ϕ_A , respectively. The light baryon octets \mathcal{B}_8^S and \mathcal{B}_8^A can be written as a tensor with three covariant indices. \mathcal{B}_8^S is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2\mathcal{B}_8^{113} - \mathcal{B}_8^{311} - \mathcal{B}_8^{131}), & p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-2\mathcal{B}_8^{112} + \mathcal{B}_8^{211} + \mathcal{B}_8^{121}), & \Sigma^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-2\mathcal{B}_8^{223} + \mathcal{B}_8^{322} + \mathcal{B}_8^{232}), \\ n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2\mathcal{B}_8^{221} - \mathcal{B}_8^{122} - \mathcal{B}_8^{212}), & \Xi^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2\mathcal{B}_8^{332} - \mathcal{B}_8^{233} - \mathcal{B}_8^{323}), & \Xi^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(-2\mathcal{B}_8^{331} + \mathcal{B}_8^{133} + \mathcal{B}_8^{313}), \\ \Sigma^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{321} + \mathcal{B}_8^{231} + \mathcal{B}_8^{312} + \mathcal{B}_8^{132} - 2\mathcal{B}_8^{123} - 2\mathcal{B}_8^{213}), & \Lambda^0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_8^{231} - \mathcal{B}_8^{132} + \mathcal{B}_8^{321} - \mathcal{B}_8^{312}).\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

And \mathcal{B}_8^A is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{311} - \mathcal{B}_8^{131}), & p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{121} - \mathcal{B}_8^{211}), & \Sigma^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{232} - \mathcal{B}_8^{322}) \\ n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{122} - \mathcal{B}_8^{212}), & \Xi^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{233} - \mathcal{B}_8^{323}), & \Xi^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathcal{B}_8^{313} - \mathcal{B}_8^{133}), \\ \Sigma^0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{B}_8^{132} - \mathcal{B}_8^{312} + \mathcal{B}_8^{231} - \mathcal{B}_8^{321}), & \Lambda^0 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}(2\mathcal{B}_8^{213} - 2\mathcal{B}_8^{123} + \mathcal{B}_8^{231} - \mathcal{B}_8^{321} + \mathcal{B}_8^{312} - \mathcal{B}_8^{132}).\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Similarly to the effective Hamiltonian, the initial and final states, for example light meson M , can be written as

$$|M^\alpha\rangle = (M^\alpha)_j^i |M_j^i\rangle, \quad (9)$$

where $|M_j^i\rangle$ is the quark composition of meson state, $|M_j^i\rangle = |q_i \bar{q}_j\rangle$, and (M^α) is the coefficient matrix.

Decay amplitude of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^\alpha M^\beta$ mode is constructed to be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^\alpha M^\beta) &= \langle \mathcal{B}_8^\alpha M^\beta | \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} | \mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma \rangle \\ &= \sum_p \sum_{\text{Per.}} (\mathcal{B}_8^\alpha)^{ijk} \langle \mathcal{B}_8^{ijk} | (M^\beta)_m^l \langle M_m^l | H_{np}^q \mathcal{O}_{np}^q | (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma)_{rs} | [\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}]_{rs} \rangle \\ &= \sum_p \sum_{\text{Per.}} \langle \mathcal{B}_8^{ijk} M_m^l | \mathcal{O}_{np}^q | [\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}]_{rs} \rangle \times (\mathcal{B}_8^\alpha)^{ijk} (M^\beta)_m^l H_{np}^q (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma)_{rs}.\end{aligned}\quad (10)$$

In above formula, $\sum_{\text{Per.}}$ presents summing over all the possible full contractions, i.e., all the flavor indices of $\langle \mathcal{B}_8^{ijk} M_m^l | \mathcal{O}_{np}^q | [\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}]_{rs} \rangle$ are contracted with each other. For simplicity, the decay amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^\alpha M^\beta$ is expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^\alpha M^\beta) = \sum_\omega X_\omega (C_\omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad (11)$$

where ω labels the different contractions of the $SU(3)$ indices, $X_\omega = \langle \mathcal{B}_8^{ijk} M_m^l | \mathcal{O}_{np}^q | [\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}]_{rs} \rangle$ is the reduced matrix element, and $(C_\omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\mathcal{B}_8^\alpha)^{ijk} (M^\beta)_m^l H_{np}^q (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^\gamma)_{rs}$ is the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficient. According to the Wigner-Eckhart theorem [56, 57], X_ω is independent of indices α , β and γ . All the information of initial/final states is absorbed into the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $(C_\omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$.

The overall wavefunction for a bound qqq state, accounting for all degrees of freedom, is written as

$$\Psi = \phi_{\text{flavor}} \chi_{\text{spin}} \xi_{\text{color}} \eta_{\text{space}}, \quad (12)$$

where ϕ_{flavor} , χ_{spin} , ξ_{color} and η_{space} are flavor, spin, color and space wavefunctions respectively. Ψ is required to be antisymmetric under the interchange of any two quarks because of the Pauli exclusion principle. The color wavefunction is necessarily totally antisymmetric since all hadrons are $SU(3)_C$ singlet. For $L = 0$ ground state baryon, there is no orbital angular momentum. The orbital wavefunction is symmetric under the interchange of any two quarks. Thereby, the combined flavour and spin wavefunction, $\phi_{\text{flavor}} \chi_{\text{spin}}$, should be symmetric under the




FIG. 1: Topological diagrams of charmed baryon anti-triplet $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}$ decays into a light baryon octet \mathcal{B}_8^A and a light meson M , in which "]" means two antisymmetric light quarks in baryon.

interchange of any two quarks. However, neither combination of $\phi_S\chi_S$ or $\phi_A\chi_A$ has a definite symmetry under the interchange of $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_3$ or $q_2 \leftrightarrow q_3$. Only the equal weight combination of them,

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_S\chi_S + \phi_A\chi_A), \quad (13)$$

is symmetric under the interchange of any two quarks [49]. Because of the different spin wavefunctions of \mathcal{B}_8^S and \mathcal{B}_8^A , the topological diagrams of charmed baryon decays into octet baryons have two different sets. For distinction, we label the topological diagrams of charmed baryon decays into \mathcal{B}_8^S and \mathcal{B}_8^A by superscripts S and A . The total amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay is obtained by summing the amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ transitions,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M) = \mathcal{A}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M) + \mathcal{A}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M). \quad (14)$$

The amount of topology contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay can be counted by permutation. There are five covariant/contravariant indices in tensor $\langle \mathcal{B}_8^{ijk} M_m^l | \mathcal{O}_{np}^q | [\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}]_{rs} \rangle$. The number of full contraction is $N = A_5^5 = 120$. Considering that the two flavor indices in the charmed baryon anti-triplet are anti-symmetric, the number of term contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay, including $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ modes, is $N_S + N_A = N/2 = 60$. The other 60 terms appear in the charmed baryon sextet decays. Because of the symmetric light quarks in \mathcal{B}_8^S is in conflict with the anti-symmetric light quarks in $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}$, the number of topological diagram in charmed anti-triplet baryon decays into \mathcal{B}_8^S is lesser than the one in decays into \mathcal{B}_8^A . The difference between them, $N_A - N_S$, is computed to be $A_3^3 = 6$. Then N_S and N_A are solved to be $N_S = 27$, $N_A = 33$. Besides, we can use permutation to count the amount of different types of topologies. For example, the number of diagram with quark loop is $2 \times A_4^4/2 = 24$, where the factor 2 is arisen from two types of quark loop, and the last factor 1/2 is arisen from the anti-symmetric indices in charmed baryons. The number of diagrams involving singlet meson is $A_4^4/2 = 12$. And the number of diagram involving $q\bar{q}$ produced from vacuum is $3 \times A_4^4/2 = 36$, where the factor 3 is arisen from the fact that there are three choices for a meson tensor contracting with a baryon tensor.

The topological amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decay is constructed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M) = & a_1^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} + a_2^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jlk} + a_3^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klj} \\ & + a_4^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klm} + a_5^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kml} + a_6^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{lmk} \\ & + a_7^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jlm} + a_8^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jml} + a_9^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{lmj} \\ & + a_{10}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkm} + a_{11}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jmk} + a_{12}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kmj} \\ & + a_{13}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj} + a_{14}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ilj} + a_{15}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} \\ & + a_{16}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jlk} + a_{17}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klj} + a_{18}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkm} \\ & + a_{19}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jmk} + a_{20}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kmj} + a_{21}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{imj} \\ & + a_{22}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj} + a_{23}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkm} + a_{24}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jmk} \\ & + a_{25}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kmj} + a_{26}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{imj} + a_{27}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj}. \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

And the topological amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decay is constructed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M) = & a_1^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} + a_2^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jlk} + a_3^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klj} \\
& + a_4^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klm} + a_5^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kml} + a_6^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^j (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{lmk} \\
& + a_7^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jlm} + a_8^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jml} + a_9^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{lmj} \\
& + a_{10}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkm} + a_{11}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jmk} + a_{12}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kml} \\
& + a_{13}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} + a_{14}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ilj} + a_{15}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} \\
& + a_{16}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jlk} + a_{17}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^i M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klj} + a_{18}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkm} \\
& + a_{19}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jmk} + a_{20}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kmj} + a_{21}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{imj} \\
& + a_{22}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} + a_{23}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkm} + a_{24}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jmk} \\
& + a_{25}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kmj} + a_{26}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{imj} + a_{27}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} \\
& + a_{28}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijl} + a_{29}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^l (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk} + a_{30}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijm} \\
& + a_{31}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk} + a_{32}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^k (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijm} + a_{33}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{lk}^l M_m^m (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk}. \quad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Each term in Eq. (15) and Eq. (16) can be seen as a topological diagram with following rules.

- In tensor H_{ij}^k , index j presents quark q_j produced in the vertex that connects with c quark line, and indices i and k denote quark q_i and anti-quark \bar{q}_k produced in the other vertex.
- The contraction of final state meson or baryon with four-quark Hamiltonian denotes that the quark produced in one vertex enters the final state meson or baryon.
- The contraction between initial state and final state denotes the light quark in charmed baryon enters the final state as a spectator quark.
- The contraction between two indices in H_{ij}^k denotes the quark loop. There are two different ways to contract two indices in H_{ij}^k . H_{il}^l presents the quark loop connects two vertex in the topological diagram, and H_{ij}^l presents the quark loop induced by one vertexes in the topological diagram.

To distinguish other decay amplitudes defined in this paper, we also name the topological amplitudes defined in Eqs. (15) and (16) as "3-rank topological amplitudes" since the octet baryons are written as third-rank tensors.

The topological diagrams contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decay are shown in Fig. 1. The topological diagrams contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decay can be obtained by changing the anti-symmetric quarks of $a_1 \sim a_{27}$ in Fig. 1 to symmetric ones. In Eqs. (15) and (16), we do not write the order of perturbation of four-quark operators p explicitly. It is stressed that the same contractions with different p are different topological amplitudes. Actually, perturbation order p provides a natural way to classify the topologies. In the SM, $p = 0$ denotes the diagrams induced by tree operators and $p = 1$ denotes the diagrams induced by penguin operators. If we dropping the diagrams with quark loop, the topological amplitudes contributing to $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ mode are consistent with Ref. [43].

The octet baryon can also be written as a tensor with one covariant index and one contravariant index, $(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^i$, where $i \neq j$. In literature, the third-rank tensor is usually written as $(\mathcal{B}_8)^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k$, where ϵ^{ijk} is the Levi-Civita tensor. It is only valid for the $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ anti-symmetric octet \mathcal{B}_8^A since the indices i and j are anti-symmetric. The third-rank tensor of $q_1 \leftrightarrow q_2$ symmetric octet, $(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ijk}$ can be constructed by summing two anti-symmetric tensors $\epsilon^{ikl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j$ and $\epsilon^{jkl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^i$. Then third-rank tensors $(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ijk}$ and $(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk}$ are expressed by the second-rank tensors as

$$(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ikl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j + \epsilon^{jkl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^i, \quad (\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk} = \epsilon^{ijl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k. \quad (17)$$

Notice that indices i and j are $i \leftrightarrow j$ symmetric in $(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ijk}$. The second-rank octet is written as

$$\mathcal{B}_8 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda^0 & \Sigma^+ & p \\ \Sigma^- & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\Sigma^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Lambda^0 & n \\ \Xi^- & \Xi^0 & -\sqrt{2/3}\Lambda^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (18)$$

The charmed baryon anti-triplet can be written as

$$(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^k, \quad (19)$$

in which

$$(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^k = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_c^0 \\ -\Xi_c^+ \\ \Lambda_c^+ \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The decay amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ mode could be constructed by second-rank baryon octet and first-rank charmed baryon anti-triplet. There are four covariant/contravariant indices in tensor $\langle (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^i M_l^k | \mathcal{O}_{mn}^p | \mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}}^a \rangle$. The number of full contraction is $N = A_4^4 = 24$. Considering that $i \neq j$ in $(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^i$, 6 terms are dropped. Then the number of term contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ mode is counted to be $24 - 6 = 18$. The decay amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ mode constructed by the second-rank octet tensors is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M) = & A_1(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{kl}^j M_l^i (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k + A_2(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{lk}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + A_3(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{lk}^j M_i^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k \\ & + A_4(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{kl}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + A_5(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ik}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + A_6(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{il}^j M_l^k (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k \\ & + A_7(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ki}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + A_8(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{li}^j M_k^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k + A_9(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ik}^j M_l^i (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k \\ & + A_{10}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ki}^j M_l^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k + A_{11}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{kj}^j M_i^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + A_{12}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{lj}^j M_k^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k \\ & + A_{13}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{kj}^j M_l^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + A_{14}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ij}^j M_k^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + A_{15}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{jl}^j M_k^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k \\ & + A_{16}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{jk}^j M_l^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + A_{17}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{jk}^j M_i^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + A_{18}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ji}^j M_k^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In this work, we call the decay amplitude defined in Eq. (21) as "2-rank topological amplitude".

By inserting Eqs. (17) and (19) into each term of Eqs. (15) and (16), we can derive the relations between decay amplitudes constructed by second- and third-rank octets. For example, the first term of Eq. (15) is simplified to be

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^S (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H_{kl}^m M_m^i (\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} &= a_1^S \epsilon_{ijp} (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^p H_{kl}^m M_m^i \epsilon^{qjl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_q^k + a_1^S \epsilon_{ijp} (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^p H_{kl}^m M_m^i \epsilon^{qkl} (\mathcal{B}_8)_q^j \\ &= a_1^S (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{lk}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k - 2a_1^S (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{kl}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k \\ &\quad - a_1^S (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ik}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k + 2a_1^S (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H_{ki}^j M_j^l (\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

So diagram a_1^S contributes to Eq. (21) as

$$A_2 = -\sqrt{6} a_1^S + \dots, \quad A_4 = 2\sqrt{6} a_1^S + \dots, \quad A_5 = \sqrt{6} a_1^S + \dots, \quad A_7 = -2\sqrt{6} a_1^S + \dots, \quad (23)$$

where factor $-\sqrt{6}$ is arisen from the normalization factor $1/\sqrt{6}$ in Eq. (7) and the index arbitrariness of Eqs. (17) and

(19). The relations between the third- and second-rank topological amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ mode are derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sqrt{2}(a_6^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S), \\
A_2 &= \sqrt{2}(-a_2^A + a_3^A - a_5^A - a_8^A - a_9^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S + a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + 3a_{14}^S), \\
A_3 &= \sqrt{2}(a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_4^S - a_6^S + 2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S), \\
A_4 &= \sqrt{2}(-a_4^A - a_3^A - a_6^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S), \\
A_5 &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A - a_3^A + a_5^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_1^S + 2a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S - a_6^S), \\
A_6 &= \sqrt{2}(-a_4^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_5^S + a_6^S - a_{10}^S + 2a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S), \\
A_7 &= \sqrt{2}(a_2^A + a_3^A + a_6^A) - \sqrt{6}(2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S - a_5^S), \\
A_8 &= \sqrt{2}(a_4^A + a_7^A - a_9^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S), \\
A_9 &= \sqrt{2}(-a_5^A + a_{15}^A - a_{17}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_4^S + a_6^S - a_{15}^S + 2a_{16}^S - a_{17}^S), \\
A_{10} &= \sqrt{2}(-a_6^A + a_{16}^A + a_{17}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_4^S + a_5^S + 2a_{15}^S - a_{16}^S - a_{17}^S), \\
A_{11} &= \sqrt{2}(-a_6^A + a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_4^S + a_5^S + 2a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S), \\
A_{12} &= \sqrt{2}(-a_4^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{18}^A + a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S + a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S), \\
A_{13} &= \sqrt{2}(a_6^A - a_{16}^A - a_{17}^A - a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{22}^A + 2a_{31}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_4^S - a_5^S - 2a_{15}^S + a_{16}^S + a_{17}^S - 2a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S + 3a_{22}^S), \\
A_{14} &= \sqrt{2}(a_4^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{18}^S + 2a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S), \\
A_{15} &= \sqrt{2}(a_4^A - a_{10}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{23}^A + a_{25}^A + a_{26}^A + 2a_{32}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_5^S - a_6^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + a_{23}^S - 2a_{24}^S + a_{25}^S + 3a_{26}^S), \\
A_{16} &= \sqrt{2}(a_5^A - a_{15}^A + a_{17}^A - a_{24}^A - a_{25}^A + a_{27}^A + 2a_{33}^A) - \sqrt{6}(a_4^S - a_6^S + a_{15}^S - 2a_{16}^S + a_{17}^S - 2a_{23}^S + a_{24}^S + a_{25}^S + 3a_{27}^S), \\
A_{17} &= \sqrt{2}(-a_5^A + a_{24}^A + a_{25}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_4^S + a_6^S + 2a_{23}^S - a_{24}^S - a_{25}^S), \\
A_{18} &= \sqrt{2}(-a_4^A + a_{23}^A - a_{25}^A) - \sqrt{6}(-a_5^S + a_6^S - a_{23}^S + 2a_{24}^S - a_{25}^S). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

The factor $\sqrt{2}$ is arisen from the normalization factor $1/\sqrt{2}$ in Eq. (8). According to Eq. (24), the topological diagrams of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ transitions are correlative. If the topological diagrams in one $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ mode are known, the topological diagrams contributing to the corresponding $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ mode are determined, vice versa.

Operator \mathcal{O}_{ij}^k is a reducible representation of $SU(3)$ group. It can be decomposed as $3 \otimes \bar{3} \otimes 3 = 3_p \oplus 3_t \oplus \bar{6} \oplus 15$. Then the coefficient matrix H can be written as

$$H_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{8}H(15)_{ij}^k + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ijl}H(\bar{6})^{lk} + \delta_j^k \left(\frac{3}{8}H(3_t)_i - \frac{1}{8}H(3_p)_i \right) + \delta_i^k \left(\frac{3}{8}H(3_p)_j - \frac{1}{8}H(3_t)_j \right). \tag{25}$$

The $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay is constructed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{\text{IR}}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M) &= b_1(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l + b_2(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j + b_3(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j \\
&+ b_4(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{jl}^k M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l + b_5(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{jl}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j + b_6(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l \\
&+ b_7(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{ij}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j + b_8(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j + b_9(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{jl}^k M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l \\
&+ b_{10}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{jl}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j + b_{11}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_p)_i M_k^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j + b_{12}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_p)_k M_j^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k \\
&+ b_{13}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_p)_k M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k + b_{14}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_p)_k M_j^k(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j + b_{15}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_t)_i M_k^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k \\
&+ b_{16}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_t)_k M_j^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + b_{17}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_t)_k M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k + b_{18}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(3_t)_k M_j^k(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j. \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

In this work, we also call the decay amplitude defined in Eq. (26) as "2-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude". By

substituting Eq. (25) into each term of Eq. (21), the relations between Eq. (21) and Eq. (26) are derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= \frac{A_6 - A_8}{4}, & b_2 &= \frac{A_5 - A_7}{4}, & b_3 &= \frac{A_9 - A_{10}}{4}, & b_4 &= \frac{-A_1 + A_3}{4}, & b_5 &= \frac{-A_2 + A_4}{4}, \\
b_6 &= \frac{A_6 + A_8}{8}, & b_7 &= \frac{A_5 + A_7}{8}, & b_8 &= \frac{A_9 + A_{10}}{8}, & b_9 &= \frac{A_1 + A_3}{8}, & b_{10} &= \frac{A_2 + A_4}{8}, \\
b_{11} &= -\frac{1}{8}A_5 + \frac{3}{8}A_7 - \frac{1}{8}A_6 + \frac{3}{8}A_8 + A_{18}, & b_{15} &= \frac{3}{8}A_5 - \frac{1}{8}A_7 + \frac{3}{8}A_6 - \frac{1}{8}A_8 + A_{14}, \\
b_{12} &= \frac{3}{8}A_2 - \frac{1}{8}A_4 + \frac{3}{8}A_9 - \frac{1}{8}A_{10} + A_{16}, & b_{16} &= -\frac{1}{8}A_2 + \frac{3}{8}A_4 - \frac{1}{8}A_9 + \frac{3}{8}A_{10} + A_{13}, \\
b_{13} &= -\frac{1}{8}A_1 + \frac{3}{8}A_3 + \frac{3}{8}A_5 - \frac{1}{8}A_7 + A_{17}, & b_{17} &= \frac{3}{8}A_1 - \frac{1}{8}A_3 - \frac{1}{8}A_5 + \frac{3}{8}A_7 + A_{11}, \\
b_{14} &= -\frac{1}{8}A_2 + \frac{3}{8}A_4 + \frac{3}{8}A_6 - \frac{1}{8}A_8 + A_{15}, & b_{18} &= \frac{3}{8}A_2 - \frac{1}{8}A_4 - \frac{1}{8}A_6 + \frac{3}{8}A_8 + A_{12}.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

The second-rank topological amplitudes and $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude are equivalent since the only difference between them is the effective Hamiltonian is decomposed into $SU(3)$ irreducible representation or not. The inverse solution of Eq. (27) is

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= -2b_4 + 4b_9, & A_2 &= -2b_5 + 4b_{10}, & A_3 &= 2b_4 + 4b_9, & A_4 &= 2b_5 + 4b_{10}, & A_5 &= 2b_2 + 4b_7, \\
A_6 &= 2b_1 + 4b_6, & A_7 &= -2b_2 + 4b_7, & A_8 &= -2b_1 + 4b_6, & A_9 &= 2b_3 + 4b_8, & A_{10} &= -2b_3 + 4b_8, \\
A_{11} &= b_{17} + b_2 + b_4 - b_7 - b_9, & A_{12} &= b_{18} + b_1 + b_5 - b_6 - b_{10}, & A_{13} &= b_{16} + b_3 - b_5 - b_8 - b_{10}, \\
A_{14} &= b_{15} - b_1 - b_2 - b_6 - b_7, & A_{15} &= b_{14} - b_1 - b_5 - b_6 - b_{10}, & A_{16} &= b_{12} - b_3 + b_5 - b_8 - b_{10}, \\
A_{17} &= b_{13} - b_2 - b_4 - b_7 - b_9, & A_{18} &= b_{11} + b_1 + b_2 - b_6 - b_7.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By inserting Eq. (24) into Eq. (27), we get the equations of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes decomposed by topological

amplitudes,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= -\sqrt{2}(2a_4^A + a_7^A - a_9^A - a_{10}^A + a_{12}^A)/4 + \sqrt{6}(2a_5^S - 2a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)/4, \\
b_2 &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A + a_5^A - a_6^A)/4 + \sqrt{6}(3a_1^S - 3a_2^S - a_5^S + a_6^S)/4, \\
b_3 &= -\sqrt{2}(a_5^A - a_6^A - a_{15}^A + a_{16}^A + 2a_{17}^A)/4 + \sqrt{6}(a_5^S - a_6^S + 3a_{15}^S - 3a_{16}^S)/4, \\
b_4 &= \sqrt{2}(a_5^A - a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A)/4 - \sqrt{6}(a_5^S - a_6^S + 2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)/4, \\
b_5 &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A + a_5^A - a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A)/4 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{6}(3a_1^S - 3a_2^S - a_5^S + a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S)/4, \\
b_6 &= \sqrt{2}(a_7^A - a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A)/8 + \sqrt{6}(a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)/8, \\
b_7 &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A + a_2^A + a_5^A + a_6^A)/8 - \sqrt{6}(a_1^S + a_2^S - 2a_3^S + 2a_4^S - a_5^S - a_6^S)/8, \\
b_8 &= -\sqrt{2}(a_5^A + a_6^A - a_{15}^A - a_{16}^A)/8 + \sqrt{6}(2a_4^S - a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{15}^S - a_{16}^S + 2a_{17}^S)/8, \\
b_9 &= \sqrt{2}(a_5^A + a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A)/8 + \sqrt{6}(-2a_4^S + a_5^S + a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)/8, \\
b_{10} &= -\sqrt{2}(a_1^A + a_2^A + a_5^A + a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A - 2a_{29}^A)/8 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{6}(a_1^S + a_2^S - 2a_3^S + 2a_4^S - a_5^S - a_6^S + 2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S - 3a_{14}^S)/8, \\
b_{11} &= -\sqrt{2}(a_1^A - 3a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 4a_4^A + a_5^A - 3a_6^A - 3a_7^A + 3a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A - 8a_{23}^A + 8a_{25}^A)/8 \\
&\quad - \sqrt{6}(7a_1^S - 5a_2^S - 2a_3^S + 2a_4^S - 7a_5^S + 5a_6^S - 3a_7^S + 6a_8^S - 3a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S - 8a_{23}^S + 16a_{24}^S - 8a_{25}^S)/8, \\
b_{12} &= -\sqrt{2}(3a_1^A - a_2^A - 4a_3^A - 2a_5^A - 2a_6^A + 3a_8^A + 3a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A - 3a_{14}^A + 5a_{15}^A + a_{16}^A - 4a_{17}^A \\
&\quad + 8a_{24}^A + 8a_{25}^A - 8a_{27}^A - 6a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A - 16a_{33}^A)/8 - \sqrt{6}(5a_1^S - 7a_2^S + 2a_3^S + 4a_4^S - 2a_5^S - 2a_6^S - 6a_7^S + 3a_8^S \\
&\quad + 3a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S + 9a_{14}^S + 3a_{15}^S - 9a_{16}^S + 6a_{17}^S - 16a_{23}^S + 8a_{24}^S + 8a_{25}^S + 24a_{27}^S)/8, \\
b_{13} &= \sqrt{2}(3a_1^A - a_2^A - 4a_3^A - 2a_5^A - 2a_6^A + 3a_8^A + 3a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + 8a_{24}^A + 8a_{25}^A)/8 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{6}(5a_1^S - 7a_2^S + 2a_3^S + 4a_4^S - 2a_5^S - 2a_6^S - 6a_7^S + 3a_8^S + 3a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 16a_{23}^S + 8a_{24}^S + 8a_{25}^S)/8, \\
b_{14} &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A - 3a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 4a_4^A + a_5^A - 3a_6^A - a_7^A + a_8^A + 2a_9^A - 5a_{10}^A - 3a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A + 3a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 8a_{23}^A + 8a_{25}^A \\
&\quad + 8a_{26}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 6a_{29}^A + 16a_{32}^A)/8 + \sqrt{6}(7a_1^S - 5a_2^S - 2a_3^S + 2a_4^S - 7a_5^S + 5a_6^S - 3a_7^S + 3a_8^S + a_{10}^S + 7a_{11}^S \\
&\quad - 8a_{12}^S - 9a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S - 8a_{23}^S + 16a_{24}^S - 8a_{25}^S - 24a_{26}^S)/8, \\
b_{15} &= \sqrt{2}(3a_1^A - a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 4a_4^A + 3a_5^A - a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A + 3a_{10}^A - 3a_{12}^A + 8a_{18}^A - 8a_{20}^A)/8 \\
&\quad + \sqrt{6}(5a_1^S - 7a_2^S + 2a_3^S - 2a_4^S - 5a_5^S + 7a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 3a_{10}^S - 6a_{11}^S + 3a_{12}^S + 8a_{18}^S - 16a_{19}^S + 8a_{20}^S)/8, \\
b_{16} &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^A - 3a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 2a_5^A + 2a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - 3a_{11}^A - 3a_{12}^A + 3a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - a_{15}^A - 5a_{16}^A - 4a_{17}^A - 8a_{19}^A - 8a_{20}^A \\
&\quad + 8a_{22}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 6a_{29}^A + 16a_{31}^A)/8 + \sqrt{6}(7a_1^S - 5a_2^S - 2a_3^S - 4a_4^S + 2a_5^S + 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + 6a_{10}^S \\
&\quad - 3a_{11}^S - 3a_{12}^S - 9a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S + 9a_{15}^S - 3a_{16}^S - 6a_{17}^S + 16a_{18}^S - 8a_{19}^S - 8a_{20}^S - 24a_{22}^S)/8, \\
b_{17} &= -\sqrt{2}(a_1^A - 3a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 2a_5^A + 2a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - 3a_{11}^A - 3a_{12}^A - 8a_{19}^A - 8a_{20}^A)/8 \\
&\quad - \sqrt{6}(7a_1^S - 5a_2^S - 2a_3^S - 4a_4^S + 2a_5^S + 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + 6a_{10}^S - 3a_{11}^S - 3a_{12}^S + 16a_{18}^S - 8a_{19}^S - 8a_{20}^S)/8, \\
b_{18} &= -\sqrt{2}(3a_1^A - a_2^A - 4a_3^A + 4a_4^A + 3a_5^A - a_6^A + 5a_7^A + 3a_8^A - 2a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{11}^A - 2a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A - 3a_{14}^A + 8a_{18}^A - 8a_{20}^A \\
&\quad - 8a_{21}^A - 6a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A - 16a_{30}^A)/8 - \sqrt{6}(5a_1^S - 7a_2^S + 2a_3^S - 2a_4^S - 5a_5^S + 7a_6^S - a_7^S - 7a_8^S + 8a_9^S + 3a_{10}^S \\
&\quad - 3a_{11}^S - 3a_{13}^S + 9a_{14}^S + 8a_{18}^S - 16a_{19}^S + 8a_{20}^S + 24a_{21}^S)/8. \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

Notice the inverse solution is not exist because the number of term of topological amplitude are larger than the one of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude.

The three indices of $H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k$ can be simplified to two upper indices through Levi-Civita tensor, $H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k = \epsilon_{ijl}H(\bar{6})^{lk}$.




FIG. 2: Relations between 3-rank topological amplitude, 2-rank topological amplitude, 3-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude and 2-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude.

The terms constructed by $H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k$ in Eq. (26) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l &= b_1(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l, \\
b_2(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j &= b_2(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_l^j, \\
b_3(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{ij}^k M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j &= b_3(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j, \\
b_4(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{jl}^k M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l &= b_4[(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{jl} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l - (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_l^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^j], \\
b_5(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(\bar{6})_{jl}^k M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j &= -b_5[(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ji} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_k^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^j + (\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{jl} H(\bar{6})^{ki} M_i^j(\mathcal{B}_8)_k^l],
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

in which $(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i = \epsilon^{ijk}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{jk}/2$ is used. There are four invariant tensors in the RHS of Eq. (30). It indicates the degree of freedom of the $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes associated with $\bar{6}$ representation is four, which is consistent with Refs. [43, 45]. We can define four new parameters to replace $b_1 \sim b_5$,

$$b'_1 = b_1 - b_4, \quad b'_2 = b_2 - b_5, \quad b'_3 = b_3 + b_4, \quad b'_4 = b_4 - b_5. \tag{31}$$

Compared with the decay amplitudes defined in Ref. [58], we have

$$b'_1 = -\tilde{f}^b, \quad b'_2 = -\tilde{f}^c, \quad b'_3 = -\tilde{f}^a, \quad b'_4 = -\tilde{f}^d. \tag{32}$$

Since Eq. (21) and Eq. (26) are equivalent, one of the amplitudes in Eq. (21) is not independent. According to Eq. (27) and Eq. (24), the $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes associated with $\bar{6}$ representation have nothing to do with the topologies involving quark-loop. It means one of the amplitudes $A_1 \sim A_{10}$ is not independent under the $SU(3)_F$ symmetry, no matter the amplitudes $A_{11} \sim A_{18}$ are neglected or not.

The amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay can also be constructed by thied-rank octet tensors and $SU(3)$ irreducible effective operators. The third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ modes and the equivalence relations between the third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes and topological amplitudes are shown in Appendix. A. Moreover, the equations of the second-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes decomposed by the third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes are derived. And the crosscheck of Eq. (29) is performed through the approach of 3-rank topological amplitude \Rightarrow 3-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude \Rightarrow 2-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude.

As a summary, we show relations between the 3-rank topological amplitude, the 2-rank topological amplitude, the 3-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude and the 2-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude in Fig. 2. The double-headed arrow presents the 3(2)-rank amplitudes can be decomposed by the other 3(2)-rank amplitudes and the inverse solution exist. And the single-headed arrow presents the two 2-rank amplitudes can be decomposed by corresponding 3-rank amplitudes, but inverse solutions do not exist.

TABLE I: Topological amplitudes of the Cabibbo-favored and doubly Cabibbo-suppressed $\mathcal{B}_{c3} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decays.

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S - a_6^S + a_7^S - a_9^S - 2a_{14}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6} \lambda_1 (-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S + 4a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S - 2a_{12}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S - 6a_{15}^S + 3a_{16}^S + 3a_{17}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (-a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_6^S - a_7^S - a_8^S + 2a_9^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S - 3a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (-a_1^S + 2a_2^S - a_3^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6} \lambda_1 (a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S - 2a_4^S - 2a_5^S + 4a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + 4a_{11}^S - 2J_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{15}^S - 6a_{16}^S + 3a_{17}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (-a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S + a_6^S - a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{13}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (-a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{K}^0$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S + 3a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S + 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S - 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (-a_1^S + 2a_2^S - a_3^S - 3a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S - 3a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (-a_1^S + a_2^S + a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + a_{13}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^0$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (-a_1^S - a_2^S + 2a_3^S - 2a_4^S + a_5^S + a_6^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p \pi^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (-a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (-a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S - a_{10}^S + 2a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6} \lambda_2 (-2a_1^S + 4a_2^S - 2a_3^S + a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S - 3a_{15}^S + 6a_{16}^S - 3a_{17}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (-a_1^S + a_2^S + a_5^S - a_6^S + a_8^S - a_9^S - a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (-a_1^S - a_2^S + 2a_3^S - 2a_4^S + a_5^S + a_6^S - 2a_7^S + a_8^S + a_9^S + 3a_{14}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (-2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (-a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6} \lambda_2 (-4a_1^S + 2a_2^S + 2a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S + 6a_{15}^S - 3a_{16}^S - 3a_{17}^S)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_6^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S)$

III. TOPOLOGICAL AMPLITUDES IN THE STANDARD MODEL

The effective Hamiltonian in charm quark weak decay in the SM is [59]

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{q=d,s} V_{cq_1}^* V_{uq_2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^2 C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) \right) - V_{cb}^* V_{ub} \left(\sum_{i=3}^6 C_i(\mu) \mathcal{O}_i(\mu) + C_{8g}(\mu) \mathcal{O}_{8g}(\mu) \right) \right]. \quad (33)$$

The tree operators are

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = (\bar{u}_\alpha q_2 \beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}_1 \beta c_\alpha)_{V-A}, \quad \mathcal{O}_2 = (\bar{u}_\alpha q_2 \alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}_1 \beta c_\beta)_{V-A}, \quad (34)$$

in which α, β are color indices, $q_{1,2}$ are d/s quarks. The QCD penguin operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_3 &= \sum_{q'=u,d,s} (\bar{u}_\alpha c_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\beta)_{V-A}, & \mathcal{O}_4 &= \sum_{q'=u,d,s} (\bar{u}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V-A}, \\ \mathcal{O}_5 &= \sum_{q'=u,d,s} (\bar{u}_\alpha c_\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\beta)_{V+A}, & \mathcal{O}_6 &= \sum_{q'=u,d,s} (\bar{u}_\alpha c_\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}'_\beta q'_\alpha)_{V+A}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and the chromomagnetic penguin operator is

$$\mathcal{O}_{8g} = \frac{g}{8\pi^2} m_c \bar{u} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) T^a G^{\mu\nu} c. \quad (36)$$

TABLE II: Topological amplitudes of the singly Cabibbo-favored $\mathcal{B}_{c3}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decays (1).

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(a_5^S - a_6^S + a_8^S - a_9^S + a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 2a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(a_2^S - a_3^S - 2a_{14}^S + a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 2a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(a_1^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} - a_3^{SP} + a_6^{SP} - a_9^{SP} - a_{20}^{SP} - 3a_{25}^{SP} + 2a_{11}^{SP} - 2a_{12}^{SP} - 2a_{13}^{SP} - 2a_{21}^{SP} - 6a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(2a_4^S - a_5^S - a_6^S + 2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S - 2a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S - 2a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-2a_2^{SP} + 2a_4^{SP} - 2a_7^{SP} - 2a_{18}^{SP} - 6a_{23}^{SP} + a_1^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S - 2a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S - 2a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-2a_2^{SP} + 2a_4^{SP} - 2a_7^{SP} - 2a_{18}^{SP} - 6a_{23}^{SP} + a_1^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S - a_{18}^S + 2a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-a_{18}^S + 2a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S) - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP})$ $+2a_1^{SP} - 2a_5^{SP} + 2a_8^{SP} + 2a_{19}^{SP} + 6a_{24}^{SP} - a_3^{SP} + a_6^{SP} - a_9^{SP} - a_{20}^{SP} - 3a_{25}^{SP} + 3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6}\lambda_d(2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_s(6a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S) - \frac{1}{6}\lambda_b(3a_{25}^{SP} - 3a_{15}^{SP} - 3a_4^{SP} + 3a_7^{SP})$ $+3a_{18}^{SP} + 9a_{23}^{SP} - 3a_3^{SP} + 3a_6^{SP} - 3a_9^{SP} - 3a_{20}^{SP} - 9a_{25}^{SP} + 3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S + 6a_{15}^S - 3a_{16}^S - 3a_{17}^S)$ $+3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S - 9a_{22}^S) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-3a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S - 9a_{22}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(3a_2^{SP} - 3a_4^{SP} + 3a_7^{SP} + 3a_{18}^{SP} + 9a_{23}^{SP} - 3a_3^{SP} + 3a_6^{SP} - 3a_9^{SP} - 3a_{20}^{SP} - 9a_{25}^{SP})$ $+3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP} - 9a_{14}^{SP} + 9a_{16}^{SP} - 9a_{17}^{SP} - 9a_{22}^{SP} - 27a_{27}^{SP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n\pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_1^S + 2a_2^S - a_3^S + a_4^S + a_5^S - 2a_6^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S - 3a_{14}^S - a_{18}^S + 2a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-a_{18}^S + 2a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + 2a_1^{SP} - 2a_5^{SP} + 2a_8^{SP} + 2a_{19}^{SP} + 6a_{24}^{SP} - a_3^{SP} + a_6^{SP} - a_9^{SP}$ $-a_{20}^{SP} - 3a_{25}^{SP} + 3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S - 3a_{14}^S - 3a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - 3a_{21}^S) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_1^S - a_2^S + a_7^S - a_8^S + a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{13}^S + a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-a_4^S + a_6^S - a_7^S + a_9^S + a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_1^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_{12}^{SP} + a_{13}^{SP} + a_{21}^{SP} + 3a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_1^S + a_2^S + 2a_5^S - 2a_6^S + a_7^S - a_8^S - a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{13}^S - a_{18}^S + 3a_{19}^S - 2a_{20}^S + a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(2a_2^S - 2a_3^S + a_4^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + a_9^S + 2a_{11}^S - 2a_{12}^S + 2a_{13}^S - a_{18}^S + 3a_{19}^S - 2a_{20}^S + a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + 3a_1^{SP} - 3a_5^{SP} + 3a_8^{SP} + 3a_{19}^{SP} + 9a_{24}^{SP} - 2a_3^{SP} + 2a_6^{SP} - 2a_9^{SP}$ $-2a_{20}^{SP} - 6a_{25}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_{12}^{SP} + a_{13}^{SP} + a_{21}^{SP} + 3a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-a_1^S + a_2^S - a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - a_8^S - a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + a_{13}^S - 3a_{15}^S + 3a_{16}^S - a_{18}^S + a_{20}^S + a_{21}^S + 3a_{22}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + a_9^S - a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + a_{13}^S - 3a_{16}^S + 3a_{17}^S - a_{18}^S + a_{20}^S + a_{21}^S + 3a_{22}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_{12}^{SP} + a_{13}^{SP}$ $+a_{21}^{SP} + 3a_{26}^{SP} + 3a_{14}^{SP} - 3a_{15}^{SP} + 3a_{17}^{SP} + 3a_{22}^{SP} + 9a_{27}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_1^S - a_2^S + 2a_3^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S - a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_1^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} + 2a_3^{SP} - 2a_6^{SP} + 2a_9^{SP}$ $+2a_{20}^{SP} + 6a_{25}^{SP} + 3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_1^S + a_2^S - 2a_3^S + 4a_4^S - 2a_5^S - 2a_6^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S + a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S - 2a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S - 3a_{18}^S + 3a_{19}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-4a_1^S + 2a_2^S + 2a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - 4a_{10}^S + 2a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S + 6a_{13}^S - 3a_{18}^S + 3a_{19}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + a_1^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} + a_{11}^{SP} - a_{12}^{SP} - a_{13}^{SP} - a_{21}^{SP} - 3a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{6}\lambda_d(a_1^S + a_2^S - 2a_3^S - 2a_4^S + a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S + a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S - 2a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S)$ $+3a_{15}^S + 3a_{16}^S - 6a_{17}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S - 9a_{22}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_s(2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S - a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + 2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S - 3a_{13}^S)$ $+6a_{15}^S - 3a_{16}^S - 3a_{17}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S - 9a_{22}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_3^{SP} + a_6^{SP} - a_9^{SP} - a_{20}^{SP} - 3a_{25}^{SP} + a_{11}^{SP} - a_{12}^{SP} - a_{13}^{SP} - a_{21}^{SP} - 3a_{26}^{SP}$ $-3a_{14}^{SP} + 3a_{16}^{SP} - 3a_{17}^{SP} - 3a_{22}^{SP} - 9a_{27}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_6^S + a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - 2a_1^{SP} + 2a_5^{SP} - 2a_8^{SP} - 2a_{19}^{SP} - 6a_{24}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S - 3a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S - 3a_{14}^S - 3a_{21}^S) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-3a_{11}^{SP} + 3a_{12}^{SP} + 3a_{13}^{SP} + 3a_{21}^{SP} + 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_6^S + a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - 2a_1^{SP} + 2a_5^{SP} - 2a_8^{SP} - 2a_{19}^{SP} - 6a_{24}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_1^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} + 2a_3^{SP} - 2a_6^{SP} + 2a_9^{SP} + 2a_{20}^{SP} + 6a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S + 2a_{12}^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_1^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} + 2a_3^{SP} - 2a_6^{SP} + 2a_9^{SP} + 2a_{20}^{SP} + 6a_{25}^{SP})$

TABLE III: Topological amplitudes of the singly Cabibbo-favored $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decays (2).

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_d (-a_1^S + a_2^S - a_3^S - a_{14}^S - a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S - a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_s (a_4^S - a_6^S + a_7^S - a_9^S - a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S - a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_b (-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + a_{11}^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} + a_{11}^{SP} - a_{12}^{SP} - a_{13}^{SP} - a_{21}^{SP} - 3a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_d (a_1^S + a_2^S - 2a_3^S - 3a_{14}^S + a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S - 2a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_s (-a_4^S + 2a_5^S - a_6^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S + a_{18}^S + a_{19}^S - 2a_{20}^S - 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_b (a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} + a_{11}^{SP} - a_5^{SP} + a_8^{SP} + a_{19}^{SP} + 3a_{24}^{SP} - 2a_3^{SP} + 2a_6^{SP} - 2a_9^{SP}$ $-2a_{20}^{SP} - 6a_{25}^{SP} + 3a_{11}^{SP} - 3a_{12}^{SP} - 3a_{13}^{SP} - 3a_{21}^{SP} - 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_d (-3a_{13}^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_s (a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S + a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S - a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S + 2a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_b (-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} + 2a_3^{SP} - 2a_6^{SP} + 2a_9^{SP}$ $+2a_{20}^{SP} + 6a_{25}^{SP} - 3a_{11}^{SP} + 3a_{12}^{SP} + 3a_{13}^{SP} + 3a_{21}^{SP} + 9a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{6} \lambda_d (3a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{19}^S + 3a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{6} \lambda_s (4a_1^S - 2a_2^S - 2a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S$ $+a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S + 4a_{10}^S - 2a_{11}^S - 2a_{12}^S - 6a_{13}^S + 3a_{18}^S - 3a_{19}^S + 3a_{21}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_b (a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_{12}^{SP} + a_{13}^{SP} + a_{21}^{SP} + 3a_{26}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_d (3a_{13}^S - 3a_{18}^S + 3a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S + 9a_{22}^S) + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \lambda_s (-2a_1^S + a_2^S + a_3^S + a_4^S - 2a_5^S + a_6^S$ $+a_7^S - 2a_8^S + a_9^S - 2a_{10}^S + a_{11}^S + a_{12}^S + 3a_{13}^S - 6a_{15}^S + 3a_{16}^S + 3a_{17}^S - 3a_{18}^S + 3a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S + 9a_{22}^S)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_b (-a_2^{SP} + a_4^{SP} - a_7^{SP} - a_{18}^{SP} - 3a_{23}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_{12}^{SP} + a_{13}^{SP}$ $+a_{21}^{SP} + 3a_{26}^{SP} + 3a_{14}^{SP} - 3a_{16}^{SP} + 3a_{17}^{SP} + 3a_{22}^{SP} + 9a_{27}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_d (2a_1^S - a_2^S - a_3^S + 2a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_s (2a_{10}^S - a_{11}^S - a_{12}^S + 2a_{18}^S - a_{19}^S - a_{20}^S) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_b (2a_2^{SP} - 2a_4^{SP}$ $+2a_7^{SP} + 2a_{18}^{SP} + 6a_{23}^{SP} - a_{11}^{SP} + a_5^{SP} - a_8^{SP} - a_{19}^{SP} - 3a_{24}^{SP} - a_3^{SP} + a_6^{SP} - a_9^{SP} - a_{20}^{SP} - 3a_{25}^{SP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_d (a_{18}^S - 2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_s (a_1^S - 2a_2^S + a_3^S - a_4^S - a_5^S + 2a_6^S - a_7^S - a_8^S + 2a_9^S + 3a_{14}^S + a_{18}^S$ $-2a_{19}^S + a_{20}^S + 3a_{21}^S) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_b (a_2^{SP} - a_4^{SP} + a_7^{SP} + a_{18}^{SP} + 3a_{23}^{SP} - 2a_{11}^{SP} + 2a_5^{SP} - 2a_8^{SP} - 2a_{19}^{SP}$ $-6a_{24}^{SP} + a_3^{SP} - a_6^{SP} + a_9^{SP} + a_{20}^{SP} + 3a_{25}^{SP} - 3a_{11}^{SP} + 3a_{12}^{SP} + 3a_{13}^{SP} + 3a_{21}^{SP} + 9a_{26}^{SP})$

The magnetic-penguin contributions can be included into the Wilson coefficients for the penguin operators following the substitutions [60–62]

$$C_{3,5}(\mu) \rightarrow C_{3,5}(\mu) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{8\pi N_c} \frac{2m_c^2}{\langle l^2 \rangle} C_{8g}^{\text{eff}}(\mu), \quad C_{4,6}(\mu) \rightarrow C_{4,6}(\mu) - \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{8\pi} \frac{2m_c^2}{\langle l^2 \rangle} C_{8g}^{\text{eff}}(\mu), \quad (37)$$

with the effective Wilson coefficient $C_{8g}^{\text{eff}} = C_{8g} + C_5$ and $\langle l^2 \rangle$ being the averaged invariant mass squared of the virtual gluon emitted from the magnetic penguin operator. In this work, we classify the topological diagrams into tree and penguin induced diagrams according to which types of four-quark operators, tree or penguin, being inserted into the effective vertexes. The advantage of this classification is that we can find out all topologies systematically by writing invariant tensors [53].

According to Eq. (33), H_{ij}^k can be obtained from the map $(\bar{u}q_1)(\bar{q}_2c) \rightarrow V_{cq_2}^* V_{uq_1}$ in current-current operators and $(\bar{q}q)(\bar{u}c) \rightarrow -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}$ in penguin operators. The non-zero H_{ij}^k induced by tree operators include

$$(H^{(0)})_{13}^2 = V_{cs}^* V_{ud}, \quad (H^{(0)})_{12}^2 = V_{cd}^* V_{ud}, \quad (H^{(0)})_{13}^3 = V_{cs}^* V_{us}, \quad (H^{(0)})_{12}^3 = V_{cd}^* V_{us}. \quad (38)$$

The non-zero H_{ij}^k induced by penguin operators include

$$(H^{(1)})_{11}^1 = -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}, \quad (H^{(1)})_{21}^2 = -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}, \quad (H^{(1)})_{31}^3 = -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}. \quad (39)$$

The superscripts (0) and (1) differentiate tree and penguin operators contributions. The tree- and penguin induced topological amplitudes of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decays are listed in Tables. I ~ VI. And the decay amplitudes constructed by $(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^i$ are listed in Tables. VII and VIII. We use superscript P to distinguish the penguin induced amplitudes from the tree induced amplitudes. One can check the topological amplitudes and the amplitudes constructed by second-rank octet tensor follow Eq. (24). Notice that the tree induced amplitudes $A_{15} \sim A_{18}$ and penguin induced amplitudes A_1^P and A_{10}^P do not contribute to the charmed baryon decays. It is understandable because H_{jk}^j in $A_{15} \sim A_{18}$ terms does not match with the tree operators in the SM. And the property of $j \neq k$ in $(\mathcal{B}_8)_j^k$ is in conflict with $j = k$ in H_{kl}^j if the penguin operators are inserted into A_1 and A_{10} terms. The decay

TABLE IV: Topological amplitudes of the Cabibbo-favored and doubly Cabibbo-favored $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decays.

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (2a_1^A + a_2^A - a_3^A + a_4^A + 2a_5^A + a_6^A + a_7^A + 2a_8^A + a_9^A - 2a_{14}^A - 4a_{28}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (a_2^A + a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (-a_2^A - a_3^A + a_4^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_9^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (a_2^A + a_3^A + a_4^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_9^A - 2a_{11}^A - 2a_{12}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (a_2^A + a_3^A + a_4^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A + 3a_{16}^A + 3a_{17}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (-a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (a_4^A + a_5^A + a_7^A + a_8^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (-a_8^A - a_9^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 (-a_1^A + a_3^A + a_8^A + a_9^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (a_1^A - a_3^A + 2a_4^A + 2a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - 2a_{10}^A + 2a_{12}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_1 (a_1^A - a_3^A - a_4^A - a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A + 3a_{15}^A - 3a_{17}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \bar{K}^0$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_1 (2a_1^A + a_2^A - a_3^A + a_4^A + 2a_5^A + a_6^A - a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 3a_{29}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (-a_4^A + a_6^A + a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{K}^0$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (a_2^A + a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A + a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (a_1^A - a_3^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_1 (a_2^A + a_3^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (-a_2^A - a_3^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (-a_1^A + a_3^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (-a_8^A - a_9^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A + 2a_4^A + a_5^A - a_6^A - 2a_{10}^A - a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^0$	$-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (a_1^A + a_2^A + a_5^A + a_6^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p \pi^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (-a_4^A + a_6^A + a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (a_4^A + a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - a_{10}^A + a_{12}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (-2a_1^A + 2a_3^A - a_4^A - a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (a_1^A - a_3^A - a_4^A - a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A + 3a_{15}^A - 3a_{17}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A + 2a_4^A + a_5^A - a_6^A + 2a_7^A + a_8^A - a_9^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (a_1^A + a_2^A + a_5^A + a_6^A + a_8^A + a_9^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 (-a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \lambda_2 (2a_2^A + 2a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \lambda_2 (-a_2^A - a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A - 3a_{16}^A - 3a_{17}^A)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_2 (-a_4^A - a_5^A - a_7^A - a_8^A)$

amplitudes listed in Tables. I ~ VIII could help us to test the $SU(3)$ and isospin sum rules given by Refs. [63–65], and the U -spin CP violating sum rules given by Ref. [66].

The linear correlation of decay amplitudes contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ modes in the Standard Model is beyond the model-independent analysis in the last section. The non-zero coefficients induced by tree operators in the $SU(3)$ irreducible representations are

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{(0)}(\bar{6})^{22} &= -2V_{cs}^* V_{ud}, & H^{(0)}(\bar{6})^{23} &= (V_{cd}^* V_{ud} - V_{cs}^* V_{us}), & H^{(0)}(\bar{6})^{33} &= 2V_{cd}^* V_{us}, \\
 H^{(0)}(15)_{11}^1 &= -2(V_{cd}^* V_{ud} + V_{cs}^* V_{us}), & H^{(0)}(15)_{13}^2 &= 4V_{cs}^* V_{ud}, & H^{(0)}(15)_{12}^3 &= 4V_{cd}^* V_{us}, \\
 H^{(0)}(15)_{12}^2 &= 3V_{cd}^* V_{ud} - V_{cs}^* V_{us}, & H^{(0)}(15)_{13}^3 &= 3V_{cs}^* V_{us} - V_{cd}^* V_{ud}, \\
 H^{(0)}(3_t)_1 &= V_{cd}^* V_{ud} + V_{cs}^* V_{us}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

And the non-zero coefficients induced by penguin operators in the $SU(3)$ irreducible representations are

$$H^{(1)}(3_t)_1 = -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}, \quad H^{(1)}(3_p)_1 = -3V_{cb}^* V_{ub}. \tag{41}$$

The $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ modes can be obtained from Tables. VII and VIII by replacing $A_1 \sim A_{18}$ with $b_1 \sim b_{18}$ according to Eq. (28). From Eqs. (40) and (41), it is found the non-zero coefficients of 3-dimensional representations, including $H^{(0)}(3_t)_1$, $H^{(1)}(3_t)_1$ and $H^{(1)}(3_p)_1$, only contain the first component. And there

TABLE V: Topological amplitudes of the singly Cabibbo-favored $\mathcal{B}_{c3} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decays (1).

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-2a_4^A - a_5^A + a_6^A - 2a_7^A - a_8^A + a_9^A - 2a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A + 2a_{21}^A + 4a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-2a_1^A - a_2^A + a_3^A + 2a_{14}^A + 4a_{28}^A - 2a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A + 2a_{21}^A + 4a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-2a_2^{AP} + 2a_4^{AP} - 2a_7^{AP} - 2a_{18}^{AP} - 6a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} - a_3^{AP} - a_6^{AP} + a_9^{AP}$ $+ a_{20}^{AP} + 3a_{25}^{AP} - 2a_{11}^{AP} + 2a_{12}^{AP} + 2a_{13}^{AP} + 2a_{21}^{AP} + 6a_{26}^{AP} - 4a_{10}^{AP} + 4a_{29}^{AP} + 4a_{30}^{AP} + 12a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(-a_5^A - a_6^A - a_8^A - a_9^A + a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(a_2^A + a_3^A + a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP} - a_3^{AP} - a_6^{AP} + a_9^{AP} + a_{20}^{AP} + 3a_{25}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A + a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_2^A + a_3^A + a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(a_2^A + a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A + a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(-a_{18}^A + a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) - \frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - a_3^{AP} - a_6^{AP} + a_9^{AP} + a_{20}^{AP}$ $+ 3a_{25}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-a_2^A - a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-2a_{13}^A - 4a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - 2a_{11}^{AP} + 2a_{12}^{AP} - 2a_{19}^{AP} - 6a_{24}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP}$ $- a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_2^A - a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - 3a_{16}^A - 3a_{17}^A - a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A$ $+ a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A + 3a_{22}^A + 6a_{31}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A + 3a_{22}^A + 6a_{31}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - 2a_{11}^{AP} + 2a_{12}^{AP} - 2a_{19}^{AP} - 6a_{24}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP}$ $- a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} + 3a_{14}^{AP} - 3a_{16}^{AP} + 3a_{17}^{AP}$ $+ 3a_{22}^{AP} + 9a_{27}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP} - 6a_{15}^{AP} + 6a_{28}^{AP} + 6a_{31}^{AP} + 18a_{33}^{AP})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n\pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_1^A + a_3^A - a_4^A - a_5^A - a_7^A - a_8^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A - J_{18}^A + J_{20}^A + J_{21}^A + 2J_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-a_{18}^A + a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP}$ $+ a_{20}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(-a_7^A - a_8^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-a_7^A + a_9^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A + a_7^A - a_8^A - 2a_9^A + a_{10}^A - a_{11}^A - 2a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_4^A + 2a_5^A + a_6^A + a_7^A + 2a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} + 2a_3^{AP} + 2a_6^{AP} - 2a_9^{AP}$ $- 2a_{20}^{AP} - 6a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_8$	$-\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(-a_1^A + a_2^A + 2a_3^A - 4a_4^A - 2a_5^A + 2a_6^A + a_7^A - a_8^A - 2a_9^A - a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A)$ $- 5a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + 4a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-4a_1^A - 2a_2^A + 2a_3^A - a_4^A - 2a_5^A - a_6^A + a_7^A + 2a_8^A + a_9^A - 4a_{10}^A - 2a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A + 2a_{13}^A + 4a_{29}^A$ $- 5a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + 4a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{6\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-5a_2^{AP} + 5a_4^{AP} - 5a_7^{AP} - 5a_{18}^{AP} - 15a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} - 4a_3^{AP} - 4a_6^{AP}$ $+ 4a_9^{AP} + 4a_{20}^{AP} + 12a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_1$	$-\frac{1}{6}\lambda_d(-a_1^A + a_2^A + 2a_3^A + 2a_4^A + a_5^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_8^A - 2a_9^A - a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A - 3a_{15}^A)$ $+ 3a_{16}^A + 6a_{17}^A + a_{18}^A + 2a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A - 3a_{22}^A - 6a_{31}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{6}\lambda_s(2a_1^A + a_2^A - a_3^A - a_4^A - 2a_5^A - a_6^A + a_7^A + 2a_8^A + a_9^A + 2a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A + 6a_{15}^A)$ $+ 3a_{16}^A - 3a_{17}^A + a_{18}^A + 2a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A - 3a_{22}^A - 6a_{31}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - 2a_{11}^{AP} + 2a_{12}^{AP} + 2a_{13}^{AP} + 2a_{21}^{AP} + 6a_{24}^{AP} - a_3^{AP} - a_6^{AP} + a_9^{AP}$ $+ a_{20}^{AP} + 3a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} - 3a_{14}^{AP} + 3a_{16}^{AP} - 3a_{17}^{AP} - 3a_{22}^{AP} - 9a_{27}^{AP}$ $+ 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP} + 6a_{15}^{AP} - 6a_{28}^{AP} - 6a_{31}^{AP} - 18a_{33}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_1^A + a_2^A - a_7^A - a_8^A + a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_4^A - a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP}$ $+ a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_5^A - 2a_6^A - a_7^A - a_8^A - a_{10}^A - a_{11}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A + 2a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(2a_2^A + 2a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A + 2a_{11}^A + 2a_{12}^A - 2a_{13}^A - 4a_{29}^A - a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A + 2a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP} - 2a_3^{AP} - 2a_6^{AP} + 2a_9^{AP}$ $+ 2a_{20}^{AP} + 6a_{25}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-a_1^A - a_2^A + a_5^A + a_6^A - a_7^A - a_8^A - a_{10}^A - a_{11}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - 3a_{15}^A - 3a_{16}^A)$ $- a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A + 3a_{22}^A + 6a_{31}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-a_2^A - a_3^A - a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A - 3a_{16}^A - 3a_{17}^A$ $- a_{18}^A - 2a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A + 3a_{22}^A + 6a_{31}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - 2a_{11}^{AP} + 2a_{12}^{AP} - 2a_{19}^{AP} - 6a_{24}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP} - a_9^{AP}$ $- a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP} - a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP} + 3a_{14}^{AP} - 3a_{16}^{AP}$ $+ 3a_{17}^{AP} + 3a_{22}^{AP} + 9a_{27}^{AP} - 6a_{15}^{AP} + 6a_{28}^{AP} + 6a_{31}^{AP} + 18a_{33}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_1^A - a_3^A + a_4^A + a_5^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP} - a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP})$

TABLE VI: Topological amplitudes of the singly Cabibbo-favored $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decays (2).

Channel	Topological amplitude
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^+$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(-a_7^A + a_9^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(-a_7^A - a_8^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(-a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_{10}^A - a_{12}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_1^A - a_3^A + a_4^A + a_5^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP} - a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_4^A - a_6^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_4^A - a_6^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_{10}^A + a_{11}^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(a_1^A - a_2^A - 2a_3^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(a_4^A + 2a_5^A + a_6^A + a_7^A + 2a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} + 2a_3^{AP} + 2a_6^{AP} - 2a_9^{AP}$ $-2a_{20}^{AP} - 6a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(-a_1^A - a_2^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A - a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(-a_4^A + a_6^A - a_7^A + a_9^A - a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A + a_{21}^A + 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(-a_2^{AP} + a_4^{AP} - a_7^{AP} - a_{18}^{AP} - 3a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} + a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP}$ $-a_{11}^{AP} + a_{12}^{AP} + a_{13}^{AP} + a_{21}^{AP} + 3a_{26}^{AP} - 2a_{10}^{AP} + 2a_{29}^{AP} + 2a_{30}^{AP} + 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(a_{13}^A + 2a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(a_4^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_9^A + a_{18}^A + a_{19}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + a_1^{AP} - a_5^{AP} + a_8^{AP} + a_{19}^{AP} + 3a_{24}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP}$ $-a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(-2a_2^A - 2a_3^A + a_4^A - a_6^A + a_7^A - a_9^A - 2a_{11}^A - 2a_{12}^A + 2a_{13}^A + 4a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{19}^A - 2a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} - a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} + 2a_3^{AP} + 2a_6^{AP} - 2a_9^{AP}$ $-2a_{20}^{AP} - 6a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A + a_{18}^A + 2a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A - 3a_{22}^A - 6a_{31}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(a_2^A + a_3^A + a_4^A - a_6^A$ $+ a_7^A - a_9^A + a_{11}^A + a_{12}^A - a_{13}^A - 2a_{29}^A + 3a_{16}^A + 3a_{17}^A + a_{18}^A + 2a_{19}^A + a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A - 3a_{22}^A - 6a_{31}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(a_2^{AP} - a_4^{AP} + a_7^{AP} + a_{18}^{AP} + 3a_{23}^{AP} + 2a_1^{AP} - 2a_5^{AP} + 2a_8^{AP} + 2a_{19}^{AP} + 6a_{24}^{AP} - a_3^{AP} - a_6^{AP}$ $+ a_9^{AP} + a_{20}^{AP} + 3a_{25}^{AP} + a_{11}^{AP} - a_{12}^{AP} - a_{13}^{AP} - a_{21}^{AP} - 3a_{26}^{AP} + 2a_{10}^{AP} - 2a_{29}^{AP} - 2a_{30}^{AP} - 6a_{32}^{AP}$ $-3a_{14}^{AP} + 3a_{16}^{AP} - 3a_{17}^{AP} - 3a_{22}^{AP} + 9a_{27}^{AP} + 6a_{15}^{AP} - 6a_{28}^{AP} - 6a_{31}^{AP} - 18a_{33}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(-a_2^A - a_3^A - a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-a_{11}^A - a_{12}^A - a_{19}^A - a_{20}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP} - a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(a_1^A - a_3^A + a_4^A + a_5^A + a_7^A + a_8^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + a_{18}^A - a_{20}^A - a_{21}^A - 2a_{30}^A)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-a_1^{AP} + a_5^{AP} - a_8^{AP} - a_{19}^{AP} - 3a_{24}^{AP} + a_3^{AP} + a_6^{AP} - a_9^{AP} - a_{20}^{AP} - 3a_{25}^{AP})$

 TABLE VII: Decay amplitudes of the Cabibbo-favored and doubly Cabibbo-suppressed $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays, in which baryon octet is expressed as second-rank tensor.

Channel	Amplitude	Channel	Amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_1(-2A_2 + A_7 + A_8)$	$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^0$	$\lambda_2(A_1 + A_4)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_1(A_7 - A_8)$	$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow nK^+$	$\lambda_2(A_2 + A_3)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_1(-A_7 + A_8)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- K^+$	$\lambda_2(A_2 + A_5)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_1(-2A_1 + A_7 + A_8)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_2(A_4 + A_5 - 2A_6)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_1(A_1 + 3A_{10} + A_7 + A_8)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_2(A_4 - A_5)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\bar{K}^0$	$\lambda_1(A_4 + A_7)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow p\pi^-$	$\lambda_2(A_1 + A_6)$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\lambda_1(A_3 + A_8)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\pi^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_2(A_3 - A_6)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$	$\lambda_1(A_2 + A_5)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\eta_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_2(A_3 - 2A_5 + A_6)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_1(A_3 - A_5)$	$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_2(A_3 + A_5 + A_6 + 3A_9)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_1(A_3 + A_5 - 2A_6)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_2(A_2 + A_7 - 2A_8)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_1(A_3 + A_5 + A_6 + 3A_9)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_2(A_2 - A_7)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_1(A_4 - 2A_5 + A_6)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$-\lambda_2(A_4 + A_7)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^-$	$\lambda_1(A_1 + A_6)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_2(A_1 - A_8)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \bar{K}^0$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_1(A_4 - A_6)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_8$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_2(A_1 - 2A_7 + A_8)$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \pi^+$	$-\lambda_1(A_2 + A_3)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_1$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_2(A_1 + A_7 + A_8 + 3A_{10})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \bar{K}^0$	$-\lambda_1(A_1 + A_4)$	$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow n\pi^+$	$-\lambda_2(A_3 + A_8)$

TABLE VIII: Decay amplitudes of the singly Cabibbo-suppressed $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays, in which baryon octet is expressed as second-rank tensor.

Channel	Amplitude
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(A_3^P - 2A_4^P + A_5^P - 2A_6^P + A_{11}^P - 2A_{12}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(A_3 + A_{11} - 2A_{12}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-2A_2 - 2A_3 + A_7 - 2A_8 + A_{11} - 2A_{12})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 K^+$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_5^P + A_{11}^P + A_{17}^P) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(-A_3 + A_{11}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(A_7 + A_{11})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0$	$-\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_5^P + A_{11}^P + 3A_{17}^P) + \lambda_d(A_1 + A_{11}) + \lambda_s(A_7 + A_{11})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\pi^0$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(A_4^P + A_6^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(-A_4 + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(A_8 + A_{12})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(2A_3^P - A_4^P + 2A_5^P - A_6^P + 2A_{11}^P - A_{12}^P - 3A_{15}^P + 6A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(A_4 - 2A_{11} + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-2A_1 - 2A_4 - 2A_7 + A_8 - 2A_{11} + A_{12})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\eta_1$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(3A_2^P + A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 3A_9^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{13}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 9A_{16}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(A_4 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(A_1 + A_4 + A_7 + A_8 + 3A_{10} + A_{11} + A_{12})$
$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow n\pi^+$	$-\lambda_b(A_4^P + A_6^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P) + \lambda_d(A_2 + A_{12}) + \lambda_s(A_8 + A_{12})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$	$-\lambda_b(A_4^P + A_6^P + A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{12}^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{18}^P)$ $+\lambda_d(A_2 + A_5 + A_{12} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_{12} + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^0$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(A_3 - A_4 - A_5 - A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(A_{11} + A_{12} - 2A_3)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_8$	$-\frac{1}{6}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 6A_7^P + 6A_8^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 6A_{14}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P + 18A_{18}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_d(A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 6A_{14})$ $+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_s(-2A_3 - 2A_4 + 4A_5 + 4A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 6A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \eta_1$	$-\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(3A_2^P + A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 3A_9^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{13}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 9A_{16}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(A_3 + A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + 3A_9 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13})$ $+\frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-2A_3 + A_4 - 2A_5 - 2A_6 - 6A_9 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^0$	$-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 2A_7^P + 2A_8^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 2A_{14}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P + 6A_{18}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{2}\lambda_d(-A_3 - A_4 + A_5 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 2A_{14}) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_s(A_{11} + A_{12} + 2A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_8$	$-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(-A_3 + A_4 - A_5 - A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(A_{11} + A_{12} - 2A_4)$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \eta_1$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(3A_2^P + A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 3A_9^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{13}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 9A_{16}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(-A_3 + A_4 - A_5 - A_6 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(A_4 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow n\bar{K}^0$	$-\lambda_b(A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{18}^P) + \lambda_d(A_5 + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_6 + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^+$	$-\lambda_b(A_4^P + A_6^P + A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{12}^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{18}^P)$ $+\lambda_d(A_{12} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_2 + A_5 + A_{12} + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^0$	$-\lambda_b(A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{18}^P) + \lambda_d(A_6 + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_5 + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$	$-\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_5^P + A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{11}^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{17}^P + 3A_{18}^P)$ $+\lambda_d(A_1 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_{11} + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$	$-\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_5^P + A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{11}^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{17}^P + 3A_{18}^P)$ $+\lambda_d(A_{11} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_1 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{14})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(A_2 + A_3 + A_7 + A_8 + A_{11} + A_{12}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-2A_3 + A_{11} + A_{12})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \pi^+$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(A_3^P - A_4^P + A_5^P - A_6^P + A_{11}^P - A_{12}^P - 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(A_2 + A_3 - A_7 + A_8 - A_{11} + A_{12}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(-A_{11} + A_{12})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^0$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_b(-A_3^P + A_4^P - A_5^P + A_6^P - A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P - 3A_{17}^P)$ $+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_d(A_1 + A_4 + A_7 - A_8 + A_{11} - A_{12}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\lambda_s(A_{11} - A_{12})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_8$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_d(A_1 + A_4 + A_7 + A_8 + A_{11} + A_{12}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\lambda_s(-2A_4 + A_{11} + A_{12})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \eta_1$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_b(3A_2^P + A_3^P + A_4^P + A_5^P + A_6^P + 3A_9^P + A_{11}^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{13}^P + 3A_{15}^P + 9A_{16}^P + 3A_{17}^P)$ $-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_d(A_1 + A_4 + A_7 + A_8 + 3A_{10} + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\lambda_s(A_4 + A_{11} + A_{12} + 3A_{13})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\bar{K}^0$	$\lambda_b(A_3^P + A_5^P + A_{11}^P + 3A_{17}^P) - \lambda_d(A_7 + A_{11}) - \lambda_s(A_1 + A_{11})$
$\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^+$	$\lambda_b(A_4^P + A_6^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P) - \lambda_d(A_8 + A_{12}) - \lambda_s(A_2 + A_{12})$

are no penguin induced amplitudes in the 15- and 6-dimensional irreducible representations. Because of the unitarity of the CKM matrix, we have $H^{(0)}(3_t)_1 = V_{cd}^* V_{ud} + V_{cs}^* V_{us} = -V_{cb}^* V_{ub}$, and then $H^{(0)}(3_t) : H^{(1)}(3_t) : H^{(1)}(3_p) = 1 : 1 : 3$. So the amplitudes induced by the 3-dimensional representations always appear simultaneously and can be absorbed into four parameters,

$$b'_{11} = b_{15} + 3b_{11}^P + b_{15}^P, \quad b'_{12} = b_{16} + 3b_{12}^P + b_{12}^P, \quad b'_{13} = b_{17} + 3b_{13}^P + b_{17}^P, \quad b'_{14} = b_{18} + 3b_{14}^P + b_{18}^P. \quad (42)$$

According to Eq. (42) and Eq. (27), the tree induced amplitudes $A_{11} \sim A_{14}$ and all the penguin induced amplitudes can be absorbed into four parameters,

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{11} &= A_{11} + A_3^P + A_5^P + A_{11}^P + 3A_{17}^P, & A'_{12} &= A_{12} + A_4^P + A_6^P + A_{12}^P + 3A_{15}^P, \\ A'_{13} &= A_{13} + A_2^P + A_9^P + A_{13}^P + 3A_{16}^P, & A'_{14} &= A_{14} + A_7^P + A_8^P + A_{14}^P + 3A_{18}^P. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

There is no degree of freedom of the penguin induced diagrams in the SM. All the penguin induced amplitudes are determined if the tree induced amplitudes with quark loop are known. The quark loop diagrams with s quark and d quark in the loop always appear simultaneously. In the $SU(3)_F$ limit, the quark loop diagrams that are proportional to λ_d and λ_s almost cancel each other since $\lambda_d + \lambda_s = -\lambda_b$ and λ_b is very small. Thus, amplitudes $b'_{11} \sim b'_{14}$, or $A'_{11} \sim A'_{14}$, are negligible in estimating the branching fractions of charmed baryon decays. But they are dominated in CP asymmetries because of the large weak phase in λ_b .

According to the Korner-Pati-Woo theorem [54, 55], if two quarks produced by weak operators enter one baryon, they are anti-symmetric in flavor. The $\mathcal{O}(\bar{6})$ operators are flavor anti-symmetric. The Fierz transformations of $\mathcal{O}(\bar{6})$ operators are opposite to the original ones. Thereby, if two topological diagrams that the two quarks produced by weak operators enter final state baryon are connected by the Fierz transformation, they are opposite according to the KPW theorem. Then we get following amplitude relations,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^{S,A} &= -a_2^{S,A}, & a_5^{S,A} &= -a_6^{S,A}, & a_7^{S,A} &= -a_{10}^{S,A}, & a_8^{S,A} &= -a_{11}^{S,A}, \\ a_9^{S,A} &= -a_{12}^{S,A}, & a_{15}^{S,A} &= -a_{16}^{S,A}, & a_3^S &= a_4^S = a_{17}^S = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

By substituting above equations into Eq. (29), we find only six amplitudes in $b_1 \sim b_{10}$ are not suppressed by the KPW theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_4^A + a_7^A - a_9^A) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(2a_5^S - a_7^S + 2a_8^S - a_9^S), & b_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_1^A - a_3^A + a_5^A) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(3a_1^S - a_5^S), \\ b_3 &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_5^A - a_{15}^A + a_{17}^A) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(a_5^S + 3a_{15}^S), & b_4 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_5^A + a_8^A + a_9^A) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(a_5^S + 2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S), \\ b_5 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(2a_1^A - 2a_3^A + 2a_5^A + 2a_8^A + 2a_9^A + a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}(6a_1^S - 2a_5^S - 4a_7^S + 2a_8^S + 2a_9^S - 3a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S), \\ b_{10} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}(a_{13}^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8}(3a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S). \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Considering that one of amplitudes in $b_1 \sim b_5$ is not independent according to Eq. (31), there are five dominated $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes contributing to the charmed baryon decays,

$$\begin{aligned} b'_1 &= b_1 - b_4 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_4^A + a_5^A + a_7^A + a_8^A) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(3a_5^S + a_7^S + a_8^S - 2a_9^S), \\ b'_2 &= b_2 - b_5 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(2a_8^A + 2a_9^A + a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}(4a_7^S - 2a_8^S - 2a_9^S + 3a_{13}^S - 3a_{14}^S), \\ b'_3 &= b_3 + b_4 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(a_8^A + a_9^A + a_{15}^A - a_{17}^A) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(2a_7^S - a_8^S - a_9^S - 3a_{15}^S), \\ b'_4 &= b_4 - b_5 = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}(2a_1^A - 2a_3^A + a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A - 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}(6a_1^S - 3a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S), \\ b'_5 &= b_{10} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8}(a_{13}^A + a_{14}^A + 2a_{28}^A + 2a_{29}^A) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{8}(3a_{13}^S + 3a_{14}^S). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The dominated $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes in the charmed baryon decays are consistent with Ref. [58]. Considering that all the decay amplitudes $b'_1 \sim b'_5$ receive S wave and P wave contributions, we need 10 magnitudes and 9 strong

phases to describe $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays in the $SU(3)_F$ limit. The global fit of the $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes has been performed in Refs. [48, 58]. Since the topological amplitudes cannot be solved by the $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes, we cannot extract the magnitudes and strong phases of topological amplitudes from the fitting results.

Taking the $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ modes as examples, we discuss the U -spin breaking of charmed baryon decays. In the $SU(3)_F$ limit, the amplitudes of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ decays are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-) &= \lambda_d(A_1 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_{11} + A_{14}), \\ \mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-) &= \lambda_d(A_{11} + A_{14}) + \lambda_s(A_1 + A_6 + A_{11} + A_{14}).\end{aligned}\quad (47)$$

In the effective Hamiltonian (33), the Wilson coefficients C_{3-6} are much smaller than $C_{1,2}$ [59]. The penguin induced amplitudes are smaller than the tree induced ones. So we neglect the penguin induced amplitudes in Eq. (47). Considering the U -spin breaking, the amplitude of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ decays can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-) &= \lambda_d(A_1^d + A_6^d + A_{11}^d + A_{14}^d) + \lambda_s(A_{11}^s + A_{14}^s) \\ &= -\lambda_s(A_1^d + A_6^d - A_{11}^{\Delta U} - A_{14}^{\Delta U}) - \lambda_b(A_{11} + A_{14}), \\ \mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-) &= \lambda_d(A_{11}^d + A_{14}^d) + \lambda_s(A_1^s + A_6^s + A_{11}^s + A_{14}^s) \\ &= \lambda_s(A_1^s + A_6^s + A_{11}^{\Delta U} + A_{14}^{\Delta U}) - \lambda_b(A_{11} + A_{14}).\end{aligned}\quad (48)$$

where $A_{11}^{d,s}$ and $A_{14}^{d,s}$ are the decay amplitudes in which d or s quark in the quark-loop and $A_{11,14}^{\Delta U} = A_{11,14}^s - A_{11,14}^d$. Since λ_b/λ_s is very small, we can drop the $SU(3)$ symmetric parts of quark-loop diagrams in the branching fractions and then

$$\mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-) \simeq -\lambda_s(A_1^d + A_6^d - A_{11}^{\Delta U} - A_{14}^{\Delta U}), \quad \mathcal{A}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-) \simeq \lambda_s(A_1^s + A_6^s + A_{11}^{\Delta U} + A_{14}^{\Delta U}). \quad (49)$$

According above formulas, if the decay amplitudes of $A_1^d + A_6^d$ and $A_{11}^{\Delta U} + A_{14}^{\Delta U}$ will be constructive in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ mode, the decay amplitudes of $A_1^s + A_6^s$ and $A_{11}^{\Delta U} + A_{14}^{\Delta U}$ are destructive in $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ mode, vice versa. In other word, amplitudes $A_{11}^{\Delta U}$ and $A_{14}^{\Delta U}$ could enhance the U -spin breaking effects induced by amplitudes $A_1^{d,s}$ and $A_6^{d,s}$. In general, the U -spin breaking effect is expected to be around 30%. Thereby, if the branching fraction difference of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \pi^-$ and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow pK^-$ modes is far from the 30% U -spin breaking, it indicates large quark-loop diagrams. Then CP asymmetries in these two decay modes might be observable. The similar discussion has been proposed in charm meson decays [67–72] and verified by LHCb measurements [50, 51]. Even the U -spin breaking in charmed baryon decays is at order of $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$, the U -spin broken parts are the dominated quark-loop contributions in the branching fraction since λ_b/λ_s is at order of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$. It is impossible to extract the U -spin symmetric parts of quark-loop amplitudes, which are dominated in the CP asymmetries, by global fitting of branching fractions. Above discussions can be generalized into other decay modes connected by U -spin, such as $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^- \pi^+$ and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- K^+$, $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^{(*)0}$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow p\bar{K}^{(*)0}$, and so on. We suggest to measure the branching fractions the singly Cabibbo-suppressed charmed baryon modes systematically. It will help us to study which modes are promising in searching for CP asymmetries of charmed baryon decays.

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we first perform a model independent study of the topological amplitudes of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays in the $SU(3)_F$ limit. The topological diagrams of the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decays and the diagrams with quark loop are presented completely for the first time. The number of possible topologies contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decay is counted by permutation. There are twenty-seven and thirty-three topological diagrams in the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ and $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ modes. To study the linear correlation of the topologies, we derive the relation between the topological

amplitudes constructed by the third-rank and second-rank octet tensors. It is found that if the topologies in the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decays are known, the topologies in the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decays are also determined, vice versa. The equations of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes decomposed by topologies are derived through two different intermediate amplitudes. However, the inverse solution does not exist because the number of topologies is larger than number of $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes.

Applying our framework to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays in the Standard Model, we find there are thirteen independent tree induced $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes contributing to the $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8 M$ decays, in which four amplitudes associated with three-dimensional operators are dominated in the CP asymmetries. The penguin induced amplitudes are determined by the tree induced amplitudes with quark loop. Considering the suppression induced by the small CKM matrix elements and the Korner-Pati-Woo theorem, the dominated $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes contributing to the branching fractions of charmed baryon decays are reduced to five. The quark-loop diagrams could enhance the U -spin breaking effects and then increase branching fraction difference of two decay channels associated by U -spin. An systematic measurements of branching fractions of the singly Cabibbo-suppressed charmed baryon modes could help us to find which modes are promising in searching for CP asymmetries.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Hai-Yang Cheng for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 12105099.

Appendix A: Third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes

The $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decay constructed by third-rank octet tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M) = & c_1^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} + c_2^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} + c_3^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klj} \\
& + c_4^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klm} + c_5^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kml} + c_6^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kml} \\
& + c_7^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jlm} + c_8^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jml} + c_9^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{lmj} \\
& + c_{10}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jlm} + c_{11}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jml} + c_{12}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{lmj} \\
& + c_{13}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^l(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj} + c_{14}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^l(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj} + c_{15}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} \\
& + c_{16}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} + c_{17}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{klj} + c_{18}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkm} \\
& + c_{19}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jmk} + c_{20}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kmj} + c_{21}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{imj} \\
& + c_{22}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj} + c_{23}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkm} + c_{24}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jmk} \\
& + c_{25}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{kmj} + c_{26}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{imj} \\
& + c_{27}^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{ikj}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

The equivalence relations of the third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes and the topological amplitudes in $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^S M$ decay are derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1^S &= \frac{a_1^S + a_2^S}{8}, & c_2^S &= \frac{a_1^S - a_2^S}{4}, & c_3^S &= \frac{a_3^S}{8}, & c_4^S &= \frac{a_4^S}{8}, & c_5^S &= \frac{a_5^S + a_6^S}{8}, & c_6^S &= \frac{a_5^S - a_6^S}{4}, \\
c_7^S &= \frac{a_7^S + a_{10}^S}{8}, & c_{10}^S &= \frac{a_7^S - a_{10}^S}{4}, & c_8^S &= \frac{a_8^S + a_{11}^S}{8}, & c_{11}^S &= \frac{a_8^S - a_{11}^S}{4}, & c_9^S &= \frac{a_9^S + a_{12}^S}{8}, & c_{12}^S &= \frac{a_9^S - a_{12}^S}{4}, \\
c_{13}^S &= \frac{a_{13}^S + a_{14}^S}{8}, & c_{14}^S &= \frac{a_{13}^S - a_{14}^S}{4}, & c_{15}^S &= \frac{a_{15}^S + a_{16}^S}{8}, & c_{16}^S &= \frac{a_{15}^S - a_{16}^S}{4}, & c_{17}^S &= \frac{a_{17}^S}{8}, \\
c_{18}^S &= \frac{3}{8}a_1^S - \frac{1}{8}a_2^S - \frac{1}{4}a_4^S - \frac{1}{8}a_7^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{10}^S + a_{18}^S, & c_{23}^S &= -\frac{1}{8}a_1^S + \frac{3}{8}a_2^S - \frac{1}{4}a_4^S + \frac{3}{8}a_7^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{10}^S + a_{23}^S, \\
c_{19}^S &= -\frac{1}{8}a_1^S + \frac{3}{8}a_2^S + \frac{1}{8}a_5^S - \frac{3}{8}a_6^S - \frac{1}{8}a_8^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{11}^S + a_{19}^S, & c_{24}^S &= \frac{3}{8}a_1^S - \frac{1}{8}a_2^S - \frac{3}{8}a_5^S + \frac{1}{8}a_6^S + \frac{3}{8}a_8^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{11}^S + a_{24}^S, \\
c_{20}^S &= \frac{1}{4}a_3^S - \frac{3}{8}a_5^S + \frac{1}{8}a_6^S - \frac{1}{8}a_9^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{12}^S + a_{20}^S, & c_{25}^S &= \frac{1}{4}a_3^S + \frac{1}{8}a_5^S - \frac{3}{8}a_6^S + \frac{3}{8}a_9^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{12}^S + a_{25}^S, \\
c_{21}^S &= -\frac{3}{8}a_8^S + \frac{1}{8}a_{11}^S + \frac{3}{8}a_9^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{12}^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{13}^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{14}^S + a_{21}^S, & c_{26}^S &= \frac{1}{8}a_8^S - \frac{3}{8}a_{11}^S - \frac{1}{8}a_9^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{12}^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{13}^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{14}^S + a_{26}^S, \\
c_{22}^S &= \frac{3}{8}a_{13}^S - \frac{1}{8}a_{14}^S - \frac{3}{8}a_{15}^S + \frac{1}{8}a_{16}^S + \frac{1}{4}a_{17}^S + a_{22}^S, & c_{27}^S &= -\frac{1}{8}a_{13}^S + \frac{3}{8}a_{14}^S + \frac{1}{8}a_{15}^S - \frac{3}{8}a_{16}^S + \frac{1}{4}a_{17}^S + a_{27}^S.
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}$$

The inverse solution of Eq. (A2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^S &= 4c_1^S + 2c_2^S, & a_2^S &= 4c_1^S - 2c_2^S, & a_3^S &= 8c_3^S, & a_4^S &= 8c_4^S, & a_5^S &= 4c_5^S + 2c_6^S, & a_6^S &= 4c_5^S - 2c_6^S, \\
a_7^S &= 4c_7^S + 2c_{10}^S, & a_{10}^S &= 4c_7^S - 2c_{10}^S, & a_8^S &= 4c_8^S + 2c_{11}^S, & a_{11}^S &= 4c_8^S - 2c_{11}^S, & a_9^S &= 4c_9^S + 2c_{12}^S, \\
a_{12}^S &= 4c_9^S - 2c_{12}^S, & a_{13}^S &= 4c_{13}^S + 2c_{14}^S, & a_{14}^S &= 4c_{13}^S - 2c_{14}^S, & a_{15}^S &= 4c_{15}^S + 2c_{16}^S, & a_{16}^S &= 4c_{15}^S - 2c_{16}^S, & a_{17}^S &= 8c_{17}^S, \\
a_{18}^S &= c_{18}^S - c_1^S - c_2^S + 2c_4^S - c_7^S + c_{10}^S, & a_{23}^S &= c_{23}^S - c_1^S + c_2^S + 2c_4^S - c_7^S - c_{10}^S, \\
a_{19}^S &= c_{19}^S - c_1^S + c_2^S + c_5^S - c_6^S - c_8^S + c_{11}^S, & a_{24}^S &= c_{24}^S - c_1^S - c_2^S + c_5^S + c_6^S - c_8^S - c_{11}^S, \\
a_{20}^S &= c_{20}^S - 2c_3^S + c_5^S + c_6^S - c_9^S + c_{12}^S, & a_{25}^S &= c_{25}^S - 2c_3^S + c_5^S - c_6^S - c_9^S - c_{12}^S, \\
a_{21}^S &= c_{21}^S + c_8^S + c_{11}^S - c_9^S - c_{12}^S - c_{13}^S + c_{14}^S, & a_{26}^S &= c_{26}^S + c_8^S - c_{11}^S - c_9^S + c_{12}^S - c_{13}^S - c_{14}^S, \\
a_{22}^S &= c_{22}^S - c_{13}^S - c_{14}^S + c_{15}^S + c_{16}^S - 2c_{17}^S, & a_{27}^S &= c_{27}^S - c_{13}^S + c_{14}^S + c_{15}^S - c_{16}^S - 2c_{17}^S.
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}$$

The $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitude of $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decay constructed by third-rank octet tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M) &= c_1^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} + c_2^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} + c_3^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klj} \\
&+ c_4^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klm} + c_5^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kml} + c_6^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^j(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kml} \\
&+ c_7^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jlm} + c_8^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jml} + c_9^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{lmj} \\
&+ c_{10}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jlm} + c_{11}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jml} + c_{12}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{lmj} \\
&+ c_{13}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^l(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} + c_{14}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^l(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} + c_{15}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^l(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} \\
&+ c_{16}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkl} + c_{17}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^i M_m^m(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{klj} + c_{18}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkm} \\
&+ c_{19}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jmk} + c_{20}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kmj} + c_{21}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{imj} \\
&+ c_{22}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} + c_{23}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jkm} + c_{24}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{jmk} \\
&+ c_{25}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{kmj} + c_{26}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{imj} + c_{27}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ikj} \\
&+ c_{28}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijl} + c_{29}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(\bar{6})_{kl}^m M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijl} + c_{30}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijm} \\
&+ c_{31}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_t)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk} + c_{32}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijm} \\
&+ c_{33}^A(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij} H(3_p)_k M_m^k(\mathcal{B}_8^A)^{ijk}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}$$

The equivalence relations of the third-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes and the topological amplitudes in $\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_8^A M$ decay are derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1^A &= \frac{a_1^A + a_2^A}{8}, & c_2^A &= \frac{a_1^A - a_2^A}{4}, & c_3^A &= \frac{a_3^A}{4}, & c_4^A &= \frac{a_4^A}{4}, & c_5^A &= \frac{a_5^A + a_6^A}{8}, & c_6^A &= \frac{a_5^A - a_6^A}{4}, & c_7^A &= \frac{a_7^A + a_{10}^A}{8}, \\
c_{10}^A &= \frac{a_7^A - a_{10}^A}{4}, & c_8^A &= \frac{a_8^A + a_{11}^A}{8}, & c_{11}^A &= \frac{a_8^A - a_{11}^A}{4}, & c_9^A &= \frac{a_9^A + a_{12}^A}{8}, & c_{12}^A &= \frac{a_9^A - a_{12}^A}{4}, & c_{13}^A &= \frac{a_{13}^A + a_{14}^A}{8}, \\
c_{14}^A &= \frac{a_{13}^A - a_{14}^A}{4}, & c_{15}^A &= \frac{a_{15}^A + a_{16}^A}{8}, & c_{16}^A &= \frac{a_{15}^A - a_{16}^A}{4}, & c_{17}^A &= \frac{a_{17}^A}{4}, & c_{28}^A &= \frac{a_{28}^A + a_{29}^A}{8}, & c_{29}^A &= \frac{a_{28}^A - a_{29}^A}{4}, \\
c_{18}^A &= \frac{3}{8}a_1^A - \frac{1}{8}a_2^A + \frac{1}{2}a_4^A - \frac{1}{8}a_7^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{10}^A + J_{18}^S, & c_{23}^A &= -\frac{1}{8}a_1^A + \frac{3}{8}a_2^A - \frac{1}{2}a_4^A + \frac{3}{8}a_7^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{10}^A + a_{23}^A, \\
c_{19}^A &= -\frac{1}{8}a_1^A + \frac{3}{8}a_2^A + \frac{1}{8}a_5^A - \frac{3}{8}a_6^A - \frac{1}{8}a_8^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{11}^A + a_{19}^A, & c_{24}^A &= \frac{3}{8}a_1^A - \frac{1}{8}a_2^A - \frac{3}{8}a_5^A + \frac{1}{8}a_6^A + \frac{3}{8}a_8^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{11}^A + a_{24}^A, \\
c_{20}^A &= \frac{1}{2}a_3^A - \frac{3}{8}a_5^A + \frac{1}{8}a_6^A - \frac{1}{8}a_9^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{12}^A + a_{20}^A, & c_{25}^A &= -\frac{1}{2}a_3^A + \frac{1}{8}a_5^A - \frac{3}{8}a_6^A + \frac{3}{8}a_9^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{12}^A + a_{25}^A, \\
c_{21}^A &= -\frac{3}{8}a_8^A + \frac{1}{8}a_{11}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_9^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{12}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{13}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{14}^A + a_{21}^A, & c_{26}^A &= \frac{1}{8}a_8^A - \frac{3}{8}a_{11}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_9^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{12}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{13}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{14}^A + a_{26}^A, \\
c_{22}^A &= \frac{3}{8}a_{13}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{14}^A - \frac{3}{8}a_{15}^A + \frac{1}{8}a_{16}^A - \frac{1}{2}a_{17}^A + a_{22}^A, & c_{27}^A &= -\frac{1}{8}a_{13}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{14}^A + \frac{1}{8}a_{15}^A - \frac{3}{8}a_{16}^A + \frac{1}{2}a_{17}^A + a_{27}^A, \\
c_{30}^A &= -\frac{3}{8}a_7^A + \frac{1}{8}a_{10}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{28}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{29}^A + a_{30}^A, & c_{32}^A &= \frac{1}{8}a_7^A - \frac{3}{8}a_{10}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{28}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{29}^A + a_{32}^A, \\
c_{31}^A &= \frac{1}{8}a_{15}^A - \frac{3}{8}a_{16}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{28}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{29}^A + a_{31}^A, & c_{33}^A &= -\frac{3}{8}a_{15}^A + \frac{1}{8}a_{16}^A + \frac{3}{8}a_{28}^A - \frac{1}{8}a_{29}^A + a_{33}^A.
\end{aligned} \tag{A5}$$

The inverse solution of Eq. (A5) is

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1^A &= 4c_1^A + 2c_2^A, & a_2^A &= 4c_1^A - 2c_2^A, & a_3^A &= 4c_3^A, & a_4^A &= 4c_4^A, & a_5^A &= 4c_5^A + 2c_6^A, & a_6^A &= 4c_5^A - 2c_6^A, \\
a_7^A &= 4c_7^A + 2c_{10}^A, & a_{10}^A &= 4c_7^A - 2c_{10}^A, & a_8^A &= 4c_8^A + 2c_{11}^A, & a_{11}^A &= 4c_8^A - 2c_{11}^A, & a_9^A &= 4c_9^A + 2c_{12}^A, \\
a_{12}^A &= 4c_9^A - 2c_{12}^A, & a_{13}^A &= 4c_{13}^A + 2c_{14}^A, & a_{14}^A &= 4c_{13}^A - 2c_{14}^A, & a_{15}^A &= 4c_{15}^A + 2c_{16}^A, & a_{16}^A &= 4c_{15}^A - 2c_{16}^A, \\
a_{17}^A &= 4c_{17}^A, & a_{28}^A &= 4c_{28}^A + 2c_{29}^A, & a_{29}^A &= 4c_{28}^A - 2c_{29}^A, \\
a_{18}^A &= c_{18}^A - c_1^A - c_2^A - 2c_4^A - c_7^A + c_{10}^A, & a_{23}^A &= c_{23}^A - c_1^A + c_2^A + 2c_4^A - c_7^A - c_{10}^A, \\
a_{19}^A &= c_{19}^A - c_1^A + c_2^A + c_5^A - c_6^A - c_8^A + c_{11}^A, & a_{24}^A &= c_{24}^A - c_1^A - c_2^A + c_5^A + c_6^A - c_8^A - c_{11}^A, \\
a_{20}^A &= c_{20}^A - 2c_3^A + c_5^A + c_6^A - c_9^A + c_{12}^A, & a_{25}^A &= c_{25}^A + 2c_3^A + c_5^A - c_6^A - c_9^A - c_{12}^A, \\
a_{21}^A &= c_{21}^A + c_8^A + c_{11}^A - c_9^A - c_{12}^A - c_{13}^A + c_{14}^A, & a_{26}^A &= c_{26}^A + c_8^A - c_{11}^A - c_9^A + c_{12}^A - c_{13}^A - c_{14}^A, \\
a_{22}^A &= c_{22}^A - c_{13}^A - c_{14}^A + c_{15}^A + c_{16}^A + 2c_{17}^A, & a_{27}^A &= c_{27}^A - c_{13}^A + c_{14}^A + c_{15}^A - c_{16}^A - 2c_{17}^A, \\
a_{30}^A &= c_{30}^A + c_7^A + c_{10}^A - c_{28}^A - c_{29}^A, & a_{32}^A &= c_{32}^A + c_7^A - c_{10}^A - c_{28}^A + c_{29}^A, \\
a_{31}^A &= c_{31}^A + c_{15}^A - c_{16}^A - c_{28}^A + c_{29}^A, & a_{33}^A &= c_{33}^A + c_{15}^A + c_{16}^A - c_{28}^A - c_{29}^A.
\end{aligned} \tag{A6}$$

The relations between third- and second-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes can be derived by inserting Eqs. (17) and (19) into each term of Eq. (A1) and Eq. (A4). For example, the first term of Eq. (A1) is simplified to be

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})_{ij}H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i(\mathcal{B}_8^S)^{jkl} &= c_1^S \epsilon_{ijp}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^p H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i \epsilon^{qjl}(\mathcal{B}_8)^k + c_1^S \epsilon_{ijp}(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^p H(15)_{kl}^m M_m^i \epsilon^{qkl}(\mathcal{B}_8)_q^j \\
&= -c_1^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{kl}^j M_j^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_i^k + c_1^S(\mathcal{B}_{c\bar{3}})^i H(15)_{ki}^j M_j^l(\mathcal{B}_8)_l^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{A7}$$

So c_1^S contributes to Eq. (26) as

$$b_7 = -\sqrt{6} c_1^S + \dots, \quad b_{10} = \sqrt{6} c_1^S + \dots \tag{A8}$$

The relations between third- and second-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes are derived to be

$$\begin{aligned}
b_1 &= -\sqrt{2}(2c_4^A + c_{10}^A - c_{12}^A) + \sqrt{6}(2c_6^S - c_{10}^S + 2c_{11}^S - c_{12}^S), \\
b_2 &= \sqrt{2}(2c_2^A - 2c_3^A + c_6^A) + \sqrt{6}(3c_2^S - c_6^S), \\
b_3 &= -\sqrt{2}(c_6^A - c_{16}^A + 2c_{17}^A) + \sqrt{6}(c_6^S + 3c_{16}^S), \\
b_4 &= \sqrt{2}(c_6^A + c_{11}^A + c_{12}^A) - \sqrt{6}(c_6^S + 2c_{10}^S - c_{11}^S - c_{12}^S), \\
b_5 &= \sqrt{2}(c_2^A - 2c_3^A + c_6^A + c_{11}^A + c_{12}^A + c_{14}^A - 2c_{29}^A) + \sqrt{6}(3c_2^S - c_6^S - 2c_{10}^S + c_{11}^S + c_{12}^S - 3c_{14}^S), \\
b_6 &= \sqrt{2}(c_7^A - c_9^A) + \sqrt{6}(c_7^S - 2c_8^S + c_9^S), \\
b_7 &= \sqrt{2}(c_1^A + c_5^A) - \sqrt{6}(c_1^S - 2c_3^S + 2c_4^S - c_5^S), \\
b_8 &= -\sqrt{2}(c_5^A - c_{15}^A) + \sqrt{6}(2c_4^S - c_5^S - c_{15}^S + 2c_{17}^S), \\
b_9 &= \sqrt{2}(c_5^A + c_8^A + c_9^A) - \sqrt{6}(2c_4^S - c_5^S + 2c_7^S - c_8^S - c_9^S), \\
b_{10} &= -\sqrt{2}(c_1^A + c_5^A + c_8^A + c_9^A - c_{13}^A - 2c_{28}^A) + \sqrt{6}(c_1^S - 2c_3^S + 2c_4^S - c_5^S + 2c_7^S - c_8^S - c_9^S - 3c_{13}^S), \\
b_{11} &= \sqrt{2}(c_{23}^A - c_{25}^A) + \sqrt{6}(c_{23}^S - 2c_{24}^S + c_{25}^S), \\
b_{12} &= -\sqrt{2}(c_{24}^A + c_{25}^A - c_{27}^A - 2c_{33}^A) + \sqrt{6}(2c_{23}^S - c_{24}^S - c_{25}^S - 3c_{27}^S), \\
b_{13} &= \sqrt{2}(c_{24}^A + c_{25}^A) - \sqrt{6}(2c_{23}^S - c_{24}^S - c_{25}^S), \\
b_{14} &= -\sqrt{2}(c_{23}^A - c_{25}^A - c_{26}^A - 2c_{32}^A) - \sqrt{6}(c_{23}^S - 2c_{24}^S + c_{25}^S + 3c_{26}^S), \\
b_{15} &= \sqrt{2}(c_{18}^A - c_{20}^A) + \sqrt{6}(c_{18}^S - 2c_{19}^S + c_{20}^S), \\
b_{16} &= -\sqrt{2}(c_{19}^A + c_{20}^A - c_{22}^A - 2c_{31}^A) + \sqrt{6}(2c_{18}^S - c_{19}^S - c_{20}^S - 3c_{22}^S), \\
b_{17} &= \sqrt{2}(c_{19}^A + c_{20}^A) - \sqrt{6}(2c_{18}^S - c_{19}^S - c_{20}^S), \\
b_{18} &= -\sqrt{2}(c_{18}^A - c_{20}^A - c_{21}^A - 2c_{30}^A) - \sqrt{6}(c_{18}^S - 2c_{19}^S + c_{20}^S + 3c_{21}^S). \tag{A9}
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (A9), it is found the 3-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes contribute to the 2-rank $SU(3)$ irreducible amplitudes without the mixing of 15 -, $\bar{6}$, 3_t and 3_p operators. By substituting Eqs. (A2) and (A5) into Eq. (A9), we re-derive Eq. (29) within different approach.

-
- [1] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 221805 (2015), [arXiv:1510.02610 [hep-ex]].
 - [2] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 052001 (2016), [arXiv:1511.08380 [hep-ex]].
 - [3] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 232002 (2016), [arXiv:1608.00407 [hep-ex]].
 - [4] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 112001 (2017), [arXiv:1611.02797 [hep-ex]].
 - [5] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Lett. B **767**, 42 (2017), [arXiv:1611.04382 [hep-ex]].
 - [6] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **95**, 111102 (2017), [arXiv:1702.05279 [hep-ex]].
 - [7] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Lett. B **772**, 388 (2017). [arXiv:1705.11109 [hep-ex]].
 - [8] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Lett. B **783**, 200 (2018) [arXiv:1803.04299 [hep-ex]].
 - [9] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no. 6, 062003 (2018) [arXiv:1803.05706 [hep-ex]].
 - [10] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no.25, 251801 (2018) [arXiv:1805.09060 [hep-ex]].
 - [11] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **99**, no.3, 032010 (2019) [arXiv:1812.10731 [hep-ex]].
 - [12] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.7, 072004 (2019) [arXiv:1905.04707 [hep-ex]].
 - [13] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.10, 935 (2020) [arXiv:2005.11211 [hep-ex]].
 - [14] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.5, 052006 (2021) [arXiv:2104.08754 [hep-ex]].
 - [15] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **103**, no.9, L091101 (2021) [arXiv:2011.00396 [hep-ex]].
 - [16] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, no.14, 142001 (2022) [arXiv:2201.02056 [hep-ex]].
 - [17] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], JHEP **12**, 033 (2022) [arXiv:2209.08464 [hep-ex]].

- [18] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.7, 072008 (2022) [arXiv:2208.04496 [hep-ex]].
- [19] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **106**, no.7, 072002 (2022) [arXiv:2207.14461 [hep-ex]].
- [20] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Chin. Phys. C **47**, no.2, 023001 (2023) [arXiv:2210.03375 [hep-ex]].
- [21] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **108**, no.3, L031101 (2023) [arXiv:2210.09561 [hep-ex]].
- [22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], [arXiv:2306.02624 [hep-ex]].
- [23] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **107**, no.5, 052002 (2023) [arXiv:2212.07214 [hep-ex]].
- [24] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], JHEP **09**, 125 (2023) [arXiv:2304.09405 [hep-ex]].
- [25] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], JHEP **11**, 137 (2023) [arXiv:2307.09266 [hep-ex]].
- [26] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.7, L071103 (2024) [arXiv:2309.05484 [hep-ex]].
- [27] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.9, L091101 (2024) [arXiv:2311.06883 [hep-ex]].
- [28] A. Zupanc *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 042002 (2014), [arXiv:1312.7826 [hep-ex]].
- [29] S. B. Yang *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 011801 (2016), [arXiv:1512.07366 [hep-ex]].
- [30] M. Berger *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.11, 112006 (2018) [arXiv:1802.03421 [hep-ex]].
- [31] B. Pal *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 5, 051102 (2017) [arXiv:1707.00089 [hep-ex]].
- [32] Y. B. Li *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, no.12, 121803 (2021) [arXiv:2103.06496 [hep-ex]].
- [33] S. X. Li *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **104**, no.7, 072008 (2021) [arXiv:2108.11301 [hep-ex]].
- [34] S. X. Li *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **107**, 032003 (2023) [arXiv:2208.10825 [hep-ex]].
- [35] L. K. Li *et al.* [Belle], Sci. Bull. **68**, 583-592 (2023) [arXiv:2208.08695 [hep-ex]].
- [36] Y. B. Li *et al.* [Belle], Phys. Rev. D **105**, no.9, L091101 (2022) [arXiv:2112.10367 [hep-ex]].
- [37] I. Adachi *et al.* [Belle-II and Belle], [arXiv:2406.04642 [hep-ex]].
- [38] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], JHEP **1803**, 182 (2018) [arXiv:1712.07051 [hep-ex]].
- [39] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. D **97**, no. 9, 091101 (2018) [arXiv:1712.07938 [hep-ex]].
- [40] Y. Kohara, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 2799 (1991).
- [41] L. L. Chau, H. Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 2132 (1996) [hep-ph/9508382].
- [42] Y. K. Hsiao, Q. Yi, S. T. Cai and H. J. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.11, 1067 (2020) [arXiv:2006.15291 [hep-ph]].
- [43] X. G. He, Y. J. Shi and W. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, no.5, 359 (2020) [arXiv:1811.03480 [hep-ph]].
- [44] H. J. Zhao, Y. L. Wang, Y. K. Hsiao and Y. Yu, JHEP **02**, 165 (2020) [arXiv:1811.07265 [hep-ph]].
- [45] S. Groote and J. G. Körner, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, no.4, 297 (2022) [arXiv:2112.14599 [hep-ph]].
- [46] Y. K. Hsiao, Y. L. Wang and H. J. Zhao, JHEP **09**, 035 (2022) [arXiv:2111.04124 [hep-ph]].
- [47] H. Zhong, F. Xu and H. Y. Cheng, [arXiv:2401.15926 [hep-ph]].
- [48] H. Zhong, F. Xu and H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.11, 114027 (2024) [arXiv:2404.01350 [hep-ph]].
- [49] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1994) 656 p.
- [50] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, no. 21, 211803 (2019) [arXiv:1903.08726 [hep-ex]].
- [51] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, no.9, 091802 (2023) [arXiv:2209.03179 [hep-ex]].
- [52] X. G. He and W. Wang, Chin. Phys. C **42**, no.10, 103108 (2018) [arXiv:1803.04227 [hep-ph]].
- [53] D. Wang, C. P. Jia and F. S. Yu, JHEP **09**, 126 (2021) [arXiv:2001.09460 [hep-ph]].
- [54] J. G. Korner, Nucl. Phys. B **25**, 282-290 (1971).
- [55] J. C. Pati and C. H. Woo, Phys. Rev. D **3**, 2920-2922 (1971).
- [56] C. Eckart, Rev. Mod. Phys. **2**, 305 (1930). Google ScholarCrossref, CAS.
- [57] E. Wigner, Group Theory and Its Application to the Quantum Mechanics of Atomic Spectra (Academic, New York, 1959).
- [58] C. Q. Geng, X. G. He, X. N. Jin, C. W. Liu and C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D **109**, no.7, L071302 (2024) [arXiv:2310.05491 [hep-ph]].
- [59] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996) [hep-ph/9512380].
- [60] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert and C. T. Sachrajda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 1914 (1999) [hep-ph/9905312].
- [61] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert and C. T. Sachrajda, Nucl. Phys. B **591**, 313 (2000) [hep-ph/0006124].
- [62] M. Beneke and M. Neubert, Nucl. Phys. B **675**, 333 (2003) [hep-ph/0308039].
- [63] C. P. Jia, D. Wang and F. S. Yu, Nucl. Phys. B **956**, 115048 (2020) [arXiv:1910.00876 [hep-ph]].
- [64] D. Wang, JHEP **12**, 003 (2022) [arXiv:2204.05915 [hep-ph]].
- [65] J. F. Luo and D. Wang, [arXiv:2301.07443 [hep-ph]].

- [66] D. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no.5, 429 (2019) [arXiv:1901.01776 [hep-ph]].
- [67] B. Bhattacharya, M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 054014 (2012) [Phys. Rev. D **85**, no. 7, 079901 (2012)] [arXiv:1201.2351 [hep-ph]].
- [68] J. Brod, Y. Grossman, A. L. Kagan and J. Zupan, JHEP **1210**, 161 (2012) [arXiv:1203.6659 [hep-ph]].
- [69] H. Y. Cheng and C. W. Chiang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 014014 (2012) [arXiv:1205.0580 [hep-ph]].
- [70] S. Miller, U. Nierste and S. Schacht, Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 014004 (2015) [arXiv:1503.06759 [hep-ph]].
- [71] S. Müller, U. Nierste and S. Schacht, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 25, 251802 (2015) [arXiv:1506.04121 [hep-ph]].
- [72] Y. Grossman and S. Schacht, JHEP **1907**, 020 (2019) [arXiv:1903.10952 [hep-ph]].