

ON THE COMPLEXITY OF MATRIX PUTINAR'S POSITIVSTELLENSATZ

LEI HUANG

ABSTRACT. This paper studies the complexity of matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz on the semialgebraic set that is given by the polynomial matrix inequality. Under the archimedeaness, we prove a polynomial bound on degrees of terms appearing in the representation of matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz. Estimates on the exponent and constant are given. As a byproduct, a polynomial bound on the convergence rate of matrix sum-of-squares relaxations is obtained, which resolves an open question raised by Dinh and Pham. When the constraining set is unbounded (the archimedeaness fails), we also prove a similar bound for the matrix version of Putinar–Vasilescu's Positivstellensatz by exploiting homogenization techniques.

1. INTRODUCTION

Optimization with polynomial matrix inequalities has broad applications [6, 12, 15, 16, 17, 23, 42, 43, 52, 53]. A fundamental question to solve it globally is how to efficiently characterize polynomial matrices that are positive semidefinite on the semialgebraic set described by the polynomial matrix inequality. To be more specific, given an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix $F(x)$ in $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and a semialgebraic set K , how can we certify that $F \succeq 0$ on K ? Here, the set K is given as

$$(1.1) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\},$$

where $G(x)$ is an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix.

When $F(x)$ is a scalar polynomial (i.e., $\ell = 1$) and $G(x)$ is a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are polynomials $g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x)$, K can be equivalently described as

$$(1.2) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Then, it reduces to the classical Positivstellensatz in real algebraic geometry and polynomial optimization. A polynomial p is said to be a sum of squares (SOS) if $p = p_1^2 + \dots + p_t^2$ for polynomials p_1, \dots, p_t . Schmüdgen [44] proved that if K is compact and $F > 0$ on K , then there exist SOS polynomials σ_α such that

$$(1.3) \quad F = \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^m} \sigma_\alpha g_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_m^{\alpha_m}.$$

Under the archimedeaness (a condition slightly stronger than the compactness, referring to Subsection 2.2), Putinar [38] showed that if $F > 0$ on K , then there

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 90C23, 65K05, 90C22, 90C26.

Key words and phrases. polynomial optimization, matrix optimization, Moment-SOS relaxations, Positivstellensatz.

exist SOS polynomials $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad F = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 g_1 + \sigma_m g_m,$$

which is referred to as Putinar's Positivstellensatz in the literature.

The complexities of the above scalar Positivstellensatz have been studied extensively. Suppose that $K \subseteq (-1, 1)^n$ and the degree of F is d . For the degree d , denote

$$\mathbb{N}_d^n := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \leq d\}.$$

For a polynomial $p = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} p_\alpha \frac{|\alpha|!}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} x^\alpha$, denote the norm

$$\|p\| = \max\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n\}.$$

It was shown in [46] that if $F \geq F_{\min}$ on K , then for

$$k \geq cd^2 \left(1 + \left(d^2 n^d \frac{\|F\|}{F_{\min}} \right)^c \right),$$

there exist SOS polynomials σ_α with $\deg(\sigma_\alpha g_1^{\alpha_1} \dots g_m^{\alpha_m}) \leq k$ such that (1.3) holds. Here, c is a positive constant depending on constraining polynomials g_1, \dots, g_m . Based on the above bound, Nie and Schweighofer [35] proved that under the archimedeaness, there exists a constant $c > 0$ depending on g_1, \dots, g_m such that if

$$(1.5) \quad k \geq c \exp \left(\left(d^2 n^d \frac{\|F\|}{F_{\min}} \right)^c \right),$$

then (1.4) holds for some SOS polynomials $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ with $\deg(\sigma_1 g_1) \leq k, \dots, \deg(\sigma_m g_m) \leq k$. Recently, Baldi and Mourrain improved the exponent bound (1.5) to a polynomial bound. It was shown in [2] that the same result holds if

$$(1.6) \quad k \geq \gamma d^{3.5nL} \left(\frac{\|F\|_{\max}}{F_{\min}} \right)^{2.5nL}.$$

In the above, γ is a positive constant depending on n, g_1, \dots, g_m , L is the Lojasiewicz exponent associated with g_1, \dots, g_m and $\|F\|_{\max}$ is the max norm on the cube $[-1, 1]^n$. The bound (1.6) has been further improved in [3] by removing the dependency of the exponent on the dimension n and providing estimates on the constant γ . There exist better degree bounds when the set K is specified, seeing [1, 4, 12, 48, 49, 50]. We also refer to [26] when F, g_1, \dots, g_m admit the correlative sparse sparsity.

Based on Putinar's Positivstellensatz, Lasserre [28] introduced the Moment-SOS hierarchy for solving polynomial optimization and proved its asymptotic convergence under the archimedeaness. The above degree bounds give estimates on convergence rates of the Moment-SOS hierarchy directly. We also refer to [8, 19, 20, 21, 32, 33, 41] for the finite convergence of the Moment-SOS hierarchy under some regular assumptions.

When F, G are general polynomial matrices, a matrix version of Putinar's Positivstellensatz was provided in [25, 43]. Under the archimedeaness, it was proved that if $F \succ 0$ on K , then there exist polynomial matrices $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times \ell}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) and an SOS matrix S such that

$$(1.7) \quad F = S + P_1^T G P_1 + \dots + P_t^T G P_t.$$

A polynomial matrix $S(x)$ is SOS if $S(x) = H(x)^T H(x)$ for some polynomial matrix $H(x)$. For the special case that G is diagonal (i.e., K is as in (1.2)), the exponential bound (1.5) was generalized to the matrix representation (1.7) in [14]. When G is a general polynomial matrix, the complexity of matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz (1.7) is open, to the best of author's knowledge. We also refer to [7, 24, 45] for other types of SOS representations for polynomial matrices.

Contributions. This paper studies the complexity of matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz. Throughout the paper, we assume that

$$K \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 - \|x\|_2^2 \geq 0\},$$

unless it is specified. Denote the scaled simplex

$$(1.8) \quad \bar{\Delta} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 + x_1 \geq 0, \dots, 1 + x_n \geq 0, \sqrt{n} - x_1 - \dots - x_n \geq 0\}.$$

Note that the set $K \subset \bar{\Delta}$ in our settings. The degree of the polynomial matrix G is denoted by d_G , i.e.,

$$(1.9) \quad d_G = \max\{\deg(G_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}.$$

For a positive integer m , denote

$$(1.10) \quad \theta(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{k=m+1-i}^m \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \right).$$

Let $\|F\|_B$ be the Bernstein norm of F on the scaled simplex $\bar{\Delta}$ (referring to Section 3 for details). The set of all polynomial matrices with representations (1.7) is the quadratic module of ℓ -by- ℓ polynomial matrices generated by $G(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]^{\ell \times \ell}$, for which we denote by $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$. The set $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is archimedean if there exists a scalar $r > 0$ such that $(r - \|x\|_2^2)I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$.

The following is our main result. It generalizes the recent results of scalar Putinar's Positivstellensatz as in [2, 3] to the matrix case and gives the first degree bound for matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz.

Theorem 1.1. *Let K be as in (1.1) with $m \geq 2$. Suppose $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix of degree d , and $(1 - \|x\|_2^2) \cdot I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$. If $F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_m \succ 0$ on K , then for*

$$(1.11) \quad k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \theta(m)^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3},$$

there exists an ℓ -by- ℓ SOS matrix S and polynomial matrices $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times \ell}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) with

$$\deg(S) \leq k, \quad \deg(P_i^T G P_i) \leq k \quad (i = 1, \dots, t),$$

such that

$$(1.12) \quad F = S + P_1^T G P_1 + \dots + P_t^T G P_t.$$

In the above, κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (5.3), and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of F, G .

Positivity certificate (1.12) can be applied to construct matrix SOS relaxations for solving polynomial matrix optimization [15, 43]. As a byproduct of Theorem 1.1,

we can get a polynomial bound on the convergence rate of matrix SOS relaxations (see Theorem 5.5), which resolves an open question raised in [10].

Remark. The assumption that $(1 - \|x\|_2^2) \cdot I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is not serious. Under the archimedeaness of $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$, i.e., $(r - \|x\|_2^2)I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$ for some $r > 0$, we have that $(1 - \|x\|_2^2)I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G(\sqrt{r}x)]^\ell$. Theorem 1.1 remains true with F, G replaced by $F(\sqrt{r}x), G(\sqrt{r}x)$.

When K is unbounded, the Putinar type SOS representation (1.7) may not generally hold. For the scalar case (i.e., F is a scalar polynomial and K is described by $g_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \geq 0$), it was shown in [39, 40] that if the highest degree homogeneous term of F is positive definite in \mathbb{R}^n and $F > 0$ on K , then there exists a degree k such that $(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F$ has a representation (1.4), which is referred to as Putinar-Vasilescu's Positivstellensatz. A polynomial complexity was proved for this type of representation by Mai and Magron [31]. Recently, a matrix version of Putinar-Vasilescu's Positivstellensatz was established in [11].

In the following, we prove a similar bound as in Theorem 1.1 for matrix Putinar-Vasilescu's Positivstellensatz. Let F^{hom} denote the highest degree homogeneous term of F . The homogenization of F is denoted by \tilde{F} , i.e., $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) := x_0^{\deg(F)} F(x/x_0)$ for $\tilde{x} := (x_0, x)$. The notation $\lambda_{\min}(A)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix A .

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be as in (1.1) with $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix of degree d . If $F(x) \succ 0$ on K and $F^{\text{hom}} \succ 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , then for*

$$k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} (\theta(m) + 2)^3 (n+1)^2 ([d_G/2])^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|\tilde{F}\|_B}{\tilde{F}_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3},$$

there exists an ℓ -by- ℓ SOS matrix S and polynomial matrices $P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times \ell}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) with

$$\deg(S) \leq 2k + d, \quad \deg(P_i^T G P_i) \leq 2k + d \quad (i = 1, \dots, t),$$

such that

$$(1.13) \quad (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F = S + P_1^T G P_1 + \dots + P_t^T G P_t.$$

In the above, κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (5.8), $C > 0$ is a constant independent of F, G and \tilde{F}_{\min} is the optimal value of the optimization

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} \min & \lambda_{\min}(\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})) \\ \text{s.t.} & (x_0)^{2[d_G/2]-d_G} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x}) \succeq 0, \\ & x_0^2 + x^T x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Outline of proofs. The proof of Theorem 1.1 involves three major steps:

Step 1. When $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix and K is described by finitely many scalar polynomial inequalities (i.e., K is as in (1.2)), we establish a polynomial bound on degrees of terms appearing in matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz (see Theorem 3.3). It improves the exponential bound given by Helton and Nie [14]. The proof is provided in Section 3.

Step 2. When K is described by a polynomial matrix inequality (i.e., K is as in (1.1)), we show that K can be equivalently described by finitely many scalar polynomial inequalities with exact estimates on the quantity and degrees, by exploiting a proposition due to Schmüdgen [45]. Additionally, these polynomials belong to the quadratic module generated by $G(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]$. This is discussed in Section 4.

Step 3. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.1 by applying the main result in *Step 1* (i.e., Theorem 3.3) to F and equivalent scalar polynomial inequalities for K obtained in *Step 2*. We refer to 5.

The proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on Theorem 1.1 and homogenization techniques.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some backgrounds. Section 5 provides the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and studies the convergence rate of matrix SOS relaxations. Conclusions and discussions are drawn in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. The symbol \mathbb{N} (resp., \mathbb{R}) denotes the set of nonnegative integers (resp., real numbers). Let $\mathbb{R}[x] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the ring of polynomials in $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with real coefficients and let $\mathbb{R}[x]_d$ be the ring of polynomials with degrees $\leq d$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and a degree d , denote $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$,

$$\mathbb{N}_d^n := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid |\alpha| \leq d\}.$$

For a polynomial p , $\deg(p)$ denotes its total degree. The degree of a polynomial matrix $P = (P_{ij}(x)) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{\ell_1 \times \ell_2}$ is denoted by $\deg(P)$, i.e.,

$$\deg(P) := \max\{\deg(P_{ij}(x)), 1 \leq i \leq \ell_1, 1 \leq j \leq \ell_2\}.$$

For a real number t , $\lceil t \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to t . For a matrix A , A^T denotes its transpose and $\|A\|_2$ denotes the spectral norm of A . A symmetric matrix $X \succeq 0$ (resp., $X \succ 0$) if X is positive semidefinite (resp., positive definite). For a smooth function p in x , its gradient is denoted by ∇p .

2.2. Some basics in real algebraic geometry. In this subsection, we review some basics in real algebraic geometry. We refer to [7, 15, 24, 25, 29, 34, 43, 45] for more details.

A polynomial matrix S is said to be a sum of squares (SOS) if $S = P^T P$ for some polynomial matrix $P(x)$. The set of all ℓ -by- ℓ SOS polynomial matrices in x is denoted as $\Sigma[x]^\ell$. For a degree k , denote the truncation

$$\Sigma[x]_k^\ell := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^t P_i^T P_i \mid t \in \mathbb{N}, P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{\ell \times \ell}, 2 \deg(P_i) \leq k \right\}.$$

For an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix G , the quadratic module of ℓ -by- ℓ polynomial matrices generated by $G(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}[x]^{\ell \times \ell}$ is

$$\text{QM}[G]^\ell = \left\{ S + \sum_{i=1}^t P_i^T G P_i \mid t \in \mathbb{N}, S \in \Sigma[x]^\ell, P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^{m \times \ell} \right\}.$$

The k th degree truncation of $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is

$$(2.1) \quad \text{QM}[G]_k^\ell = \left\{ S + \sum_{i=1}^t P_i^T G P_i \mid t \in \mathbb{N}, S \in \Sigma[x]_k^\ell, P_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]_{\lceil k - \deg(G) \rceil / 2}^{m \times \ell} \right\}.$$

Note that $\Sigma[x]^\ell \subseteq \text{QM}[G]^\ell$. For the case $\ell = 1$, we simply denote that

$$\Sigma[x]_k := \Sigma[x]_k^1, \quad \text{QM}[G]_k := \text{QM}[G]_k^1.$$

The quadratic module $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is said to be archimedean if there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$(r - \|x\|_2^2) I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell.$$

The polynomial matrix G determines the semialgebraic set

$$K := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\}.$$

If $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is archimedean, then the set K must be compact. However, when K is compact, it is not necessarily that $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is archimedean. The following is matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz.

Theorem 2.1 ([25, 43]). *Suppose that G is an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix such that $\text{QM}[G]^\ell$ is archimedean. If the ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix $F \succ 0$ on K , then $F \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$.*

2.3. Some technical propositions. In this subsection, we present two useful propositions that are used in our proofs. The following is the classical Lojasiewicz inequality.

Corollary 2.2 ([5, 30]). *Let A be a closed and bounded semialgebraic set and f and g two continuous semialgebraic functions from A to \mathbb{R} such that $f^{-1}(0) \subset g^{-1}(0)$. Then there exist two positive constants η, κ such that:*

$$|g(x)|^\eta \leq \kappa |f(x)|, \quad \forall x \in A.$$

The smallest constant η is called the Lojasiewicz exponent and κ is the corresponding Lojasiewicz constant.

The Markov inequality below can be used to estimate gradients of polynomials.

Theorem 2.3 ([3, 27]). *Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be the scaled simplex as in (1.8) and let $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ be a polynomial with degree $\leq d$. Then:*

$$\max_{x \in \bar{\Delta}} \|\nabla p(x)\|_2 \leq \frac{2d(2d-1)}{\sqrt{n}+1} \max_{x \in \bar{\Delta}} |p(x)|.$$

3. DEGREE BOUNDS FOR SCALAR POLYNOMIAL INEQUALITIES

In this section, we consider the case that $G(x)$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. Then, the set K as in (1.1) can be equivalently described as

$$(3.1) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x) \geq 0\}.$$

If the symmetric polynomial matrix $F(x) \succ 0$ on K , we prove a polynomial bound on degrees of terms appearing in matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz (1.7). It improves the exponential bound shown by Helton and Nie [14]. The proof generalizes the techniques recently developed in [2, 3] to the matrix case.

Let Δ^n be the n -dimensional standard simplex, i.e.,

$$\Delta^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1, x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_n \geq 0\}.$$

Denote the scaled simplex by

$$\bar{\Delta} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sqrt{n} - x_1 - \dots - x_n \geq 0, 1 + x_1 \geq 0, \dots, 1 + x_n \geq 0\}.$$

For a degree t , let $\{B_\alpha^{(t)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n\}$ be the Bernstein basis of degree t on $\bar{\Delta}$, i.e.,

$$B_\alpha^{(t)}(x) = \frac{t!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} (n + \sqrt{n})^{-t} (\sqrt{n} - x_1 - \cdots - x_n)^{t-|\alpha|} (1+x_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (1+x_n)^{\alpha_n},$$

For a degree $t \geq d$, the $\ell \times \ell$ symmetric polynomial matrix F of degree d can be expressed in the Bernstein basis $\{B_\alpha^{(t)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n\}$ as

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n} F_\alpha^{(t)} B_\alpha^{(t)}(x),$$

where $F_\alpha^{(t)}$ are ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric matrices. The Bernstein norm of F with respect to $\{B_\alpha^{(t)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n\}$ is defined as

$$\|F\|_{B,t} = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_t^n} \{\|F_\alpha^{(t)}\|_2\}.$$

For convenience of notations, we denote $\|F\|_{B,d}$ by $\|F\|_B$. Similarly, F can also be expressed in the monomial basis $\{x^\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n\}$ as

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha \frac{d!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} x^\alpha.$$

The spectral norm of F is defined as

$$\|F\|_2 = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} \{\|F_\alpha\|_2\}.$$

Suppose that $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a homogeneous polynomial with $\deg(p) = d$. The Polya's Positivstellensatz [36, 37] states that if $p \geq p_{\min} > 0$ on the standard simplex Δ^n , then the polynomial $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^k p(x)$ has only positive coefficients if

$$k > d(d-1) \frac{\|p\|}{2p_{\min}} - d.$$

This result has been generalized to the matrix case by Scherer and Hol [43]. It was shown in [43, Theorem 3] that if $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ homogeneous symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$ and $F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_m \succ 0$ on Δ^n , then for

$$k > d(d-1) \frac{\|F\|_2}{2F_{\min}} - d,$$

all coefficient matrices of $(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)^k F(x)$ in the monomial basis are positive definite.

In the following, we present a dehomogenized version of matrix Polya's Positivstellensatz in terms of the Bernstein basis.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$. If $F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_m \succ 0$ on $\bar{\Delta}$, then for*

$$k > d(d-1) \frac{\|F\|_B}{2F_{\min}} - d,$$

all coefficient matrices of $F(x)$ in the Bernstein basis $\{B_\alpha^{(k+d)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{k+d}^n\}$ are positive definite.

Proof. Suppose that F can be expressed in the Bernstein basis $\{B_\alpha^{(d)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n\}$ as

$$F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha^{(d)} B_\alpha^{(d)}(x),$$

where $F_\alpha^{(d)}$ are ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric matrices. Denote

$$\widehat{F}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha^{(d)} \frac{d!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} y^\alpha y_{n+1}^{d-|\alpha|}.$$

For each $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \Delta^{n+1}$, let

$$x_i = (n + \sqrt{n})y_i - 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n} - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= \sqrt{n} - \sum_{i=1}^n ((n + \sqrt{n})y_i - 1) \\ &= \sqrt{n} + n - (n + \sqrt{n}) \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \\ &= (\sqrt{n} + n) \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \bar{\Delta}$. By computations, we know that for $(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) \in \Delta^{n+1}$, the following holds

$$\widehat{F}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha^{(d)} B_\alpha^{(d)}(x) = F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_m.$$

Hence, $\widehat{F} \succeq F_{\min} I_m$ on Δ^{n+1} . It follows from Theorem 3 [43] that for $k > d(d - 1) \frac{\|\widehat{F}\|_2}{2F_{\min}} - d$, all coefficient matrices of $(y_1 + \cdots + y_{n+1})^k \widehat{F}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1})$ in the monomial basis are positive definite, i.e., there exist $P_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(y_1 + \cdots + y_{n+1})^k \widehat{F}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{k+d}^n} P_\alpha \frac{(k+d)!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_n!} y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n} (y_{n+1})^{k+d-|\alpha|}.$$

By substituting

$$y_i = \frac{x_i + 1}{n + \sqrt{n}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n), \quad y_{n+1} = 1 - \frac{1}{n + \sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + n \right)$$

into the above identity, we get that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{k+d}^n} P_\alpha B_\alpha^{(k+d)}(x).$$

Since $\|\widehat{F}\|_2 = \|F\|_B$, the conclusion follows. \square \square

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose $P(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(P) = d_P$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$, and denote*

$$P^{(\xi)}(x) := \xi^T P(x) \xi.$$

Then, we have that

$$(3.2) \quad \|P^{(\xi)}\|_{B, d_P} \leq \|P\|_B.$$

Furthermore, there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with $\|\xi_0\|_2 = 1$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|P^{(\xi_0)}\|_{B, d_P} = \|P\|_B.$$

Proof. Suppose that P can be expressed as

$$P = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} P_\alpha^{(d_P)} B_\alpha^{(d_P)}(x),$$

where $P_\alpha^{(d_P)}$ are ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric matrices. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P^{(\xi)}\|_{B, d_P} &= \|\xi^T P(x) \xi\|_{B, d_P} = \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} \xi^T P_\alpha^{(d_P)} \xi B_\alpha^{(d_P)}(x) \right\|_{B, d_P} \\ &= \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} \{|\xi^T P_\alpha^{(d_P)} \xi|\} \\ &\leq \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} \{\|P_\alpha^{(d_P)}\|_2\} = \|P\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|P^{(\xi)}\|_{B, d_P} \leq \|P\|_B.$$

Since there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with $\|\xi_0\|_2 = 1$ such that

$$\|P\|_B = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} \{|\xi_0^T P_\alpha^{(d_P)} \xi_0|\},$$

then we have that

$$\|P^{(\xi_0)}\|_{B, d_P} = \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{d_P}^n} \{|\xi_0^T P_\alpha^{(d_P)} \xi_0|\} = \|P\|_B.$$

□

□

Denote

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{G}(x) = -\min \left(\frac{g_1(x)}{\|g_1(x)\|_B}, \dots, \frac{g_m(x)}{\|g_m(x)\|_B}, 0 \right).$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $d(x, K)$ denote the Euclidean distance to the set K , i.e.,

$$d(x, K) = \min\{\|x - y\|_2, y \in K\}.$$

If $\mathcal{G}(x) = 0$, then we have $x \in K$ and $d(x, K) = 0$. By Corollary 2.2, there exist positive constants η, κ such that the following Lojasiewicz inequality holds:

$$(3.5) \quad d(x, K)^\eta \leq \kappa \mathcal{G}(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Delta}.$$

Note that

$$d_G = \max\{\deg(g_1), \dots, \deg(g_m)\}.$$

In the following, we prove a polynomial bound on degrees of terms appearing in matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz (1.7) when K is given by finitely many scalar polynomial inequalities, which improves the exponential bound as in [14].

Theorem 3.3. *Let $G(x)$ be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ and let K be as in (3.1). Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$, and $1 - \|x\|_2^2 \in QM[G]$. If $F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_\ell \succ 0$ on K , then $F \in QM[G]_k^\ell$ for*

$$(3.6) \quad k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} m^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3}.$$

In the above, κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (3.5) and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of F, g_1, \dots, g_m .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\|g_i\|_B = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Otherwise, we can scale g_i by $1/\|g_i\|_B$ and the bound will not change. Let

$$\delta = \frac{1}{8^\eta \kappa d^{2\eta}} \left(\frac{F_{\min}}{\|F\|_B} \right)^\eta, \quad v = \frac{\delta F_{\min}}{20m\|F\|_B}, \quad \lambda = 5\|F\|_B/\delta.$$

For the above δ , v , it follows from Proposition 3.2 [3] that there exist $h_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that for $x \in \bar{\Delta}$, we have

- (i) if $g_i(x) \geq 0$, $|h_i(x)| \leq 2v$.
- (ii) if $g_i(x) \leq -\delta$, $|h_i(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}$.
- (iii) $\|h_i\|_B \leq 1$.
- (iv) $h_i \in \Sigma[x]_k$ with $k \leq 10^5 n d_G^2 \delta^{-2} v^{-1}$.

Let

$$P = F - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i I_\ell.$$

In the following, we show that $P \succeq \frac{1}{4} F_{\min} \cdot I_\ell$ on $\bar{\Delta}$. Equivalently, for each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ with $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$, we prove that for every $x \in \bar{\Delta}$, the following holds

$$(3.7) \quad F^{(\xi)}(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) g_i(x) = \xi^T (F(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) g_i(x) I_\ell) \xi \geq \frac{1}{4} F_{\min}.$$

In the above, $F^{(\xi)}(x) := \xi^T F(x) \xi$.

Case I. Suppose that $F^{(\xi)}(x) \leq \frac{3F_{\min}}{4}$. Then we know that $x \notin K$. Let $y \in K$ be the vector such that $\|x - y\|_2 = d(x, K)$. Since $F(y) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_\ell$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_{\min}}{4} &\leq F^{(\xi)}(y) - F^{(\xi)}(x) \leq \max_{s \in \bar{\Delta}} \|\nabla F^{(\xi)}(s)\|_2 \|x - y\|_2 \\ &\leq \frac{2d(2d-1)}{\sqrt{n+1}} \|x - y\|_2 \max_{s \in \bar{\Delta}} |F^{(\xi)}(s)|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is from Theorem 2.3. Note that $\max_{x \in \bar{\Delta}} |F^{(\xi)}| \leq \|F^{(\xi)}\|_{B,d}$ (cf. [13]). It follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$\frac{F_{\min}}{4} \leq \frac{2d(2d-1)}{\sqrt{n+1}} \|F^{(\xi)}\|_{B,d} \|x - y\|_2 \leq 2d^2 \|F\|_B \|x - y\|_2,$$

By the Lojasiewicz inequality (3.5), we have that

$$G(x) \geq \kappa^{-1} \|x - y\|_2^\eta \geq \frac{1}{8^\eta \kappa d^{2\eta}} \left(\frac{F_{\min}}{\|F\|_B} \right)^\eta = \delta.$$

Hence, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $g_{i_0}(x) \leq -\delta$. By item (ii), we have $h_{i_0}(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\max_{x \in \bar{\Delta}} |F^{(\xi)}(x)| \geq F_{\min}$, we know that for $x \in \bar{\Delta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(\xi)}(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x) g_i(x) &\geq F^{(\xi)}(x) + \lambda \frac{1}{2} \delta - 2\lambda v(m-1) \\ &\geq F^{(\xi)}(x) + \lambda \frac{\delta}{4} + \lambda \left(\frac{\delta}{4} - 2v(m-1) \right) \\ &\geq -\|F^{(\xi)}(x)\|_{B,d} + \frac{5}{4} \|F^{(\xi)}(x)\|_{B,d} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} \|F^{(\xi)}(x)\|_{B,d} \geq \frac{1}{4} \max_{x \in \bar{\Delta}} |F^{(\xi)}(x)| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} F_{\min}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II. Suppose that $F^{(\xi)}(x) \geq \frac{3F_{\min}}{4}$. Then for every $x \in \bar{\Delta}$, the following holds

$$F^{(\xi)}(x) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i(x)g_i(x) \geq \frac{3}{4}F_{\min} - 2m\lambda v \geq \frac{1}{4}F_{\min}.$$

Thus, we know that $F^{(\xi)}(x) \geq \frac{1}{4}F_{\min} > 0$ for all $x \in \bar{\Delta}$. Note that $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\xi\|_2 = 1$ is arbitrary. We have $P \succeq \frac{1}{4}F_{\min} \cdot I_\ell$ on $\bar{\Delta}$.

Since $\deg(h_i) \leq 10^5 n d_G^2 \delta^{-2} v^{-1}$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(h_i g_i) &\leq 10^5 n d_G^3 \delta^{-2} v^{-1} \leq 2 \cdot 10^6 m n d_G^3 \delta^{-3} \frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 10^6 \cdot 8^{3\eta} m n d_G^3 \kappa^3 d^{6\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^{3\eta+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, there exists $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|\xi_0\|_2 = 1$ such that $\|P\|_B = \|\xi_0^T P \xi_0\|_{B, \deg(P)}$. Then, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_B &= \|\xi_0^T P \xi_0\|_{B, \deg(P)} \\ &= \|F^{(\xi_0)} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i\|_{B, \deg(P)} \\ &\leq \|F^{(\xi_0)}\|_{B, \deg(P)} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \|h_i g_i\|_{B, \deg(P)} \\ &\leq \|F^{(\xi_0)}\|_{B, \deg(F)} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m \|h_i\|_{B, \deg(P) - \deg(g_i)} \|g_i\|_{B, \deg(g_i)} \\ &\leq \|F\|_B + \lambda m \leq (1 + 5\delta^{-1} m) \|f\|_B \\ &\leq 8^{\eta+1} m \kappa d^{2\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^\eta \|F\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that for

$$\begin{aligned} k &\geq (16 \cdot 10^6)^2 \cdot 8^{7\eta} m^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^{7\eta+3} \\ &> \left(2 \cdot 10^6 \cdot 8^{3\eta} m n d_G^3 \kappa^3 d^{6\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^{3\eta+1}\right)^2 8^{\eta+2} m \kappa d^{2\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^\eta \frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \\ &\geq \deg(P)^2 \frac{\|P\|_B}{\frac{1}{2}F_{\min}}, \end{aligned}$$

all coefficient matrices of $P(x)$ in the Bernstein basis $\{B_\alpha^{(k)}(x) : \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_k^n\}$ are positive definite, i.e., there exist matrices $P_\alpha^{(k)} \succ 0$ such that

$$F - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m h_i g_i I_\ell = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_k^n} P_\alpha^{(k)} B_\alpha^{(k)}(x).$$

Since $1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_n, \sqrt{n} - x_1 - \dots - x_n \in \text{QM}[1 - \|x\|_2^2]$ and $1 - \|x\|_2^2 \in \text{QM}[G]$, the conclusion holds for sufficiently large C . \square \square

Remark. If the linear independence constraint qualification holds at every $x \in K$, it was shown in [2, 3] that the Lojasiewicz exponent as in (3.5) is equal to 1, i.e., $\eta = 1$. Then, under the assumptions of Theorem 3.3, we know that $f \in \text{QM}[G]_k^\ell$ if

$$(3.8) \quad k \geq C \cdot m^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^{10}.$$

4. A SCALAR REPRESENTATION FOR POLYNOMIAL MATRIX INEQUALITIES

In this section, we provide exact estimates on the quantity and degree bound for polynomials d_1, \dots, d_t with $d_1, \dots, d_t \in \text{QM}[G]$ such that the polynomial matrix inequality $G(x) \succeq 0$ can be equivalently described by scalar polynomial inequalities $d_1 \geq 0, \dots, d_t \geq 0$. This is a quantitative version of the following proposition due to Schmüdgen [45].

Proposition 4.1 (Proposition 9, [45]). *Let $G(x)$ be an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix and $G(x) \neq 0$. Then there exist m -by- m diagonal matrices D_r , m -by- m symmetric matrices $X_{\pm,r}$ and polynomials $z_r \in \Sigma[x]$, $r = 1, \dots, t$, such that:*

- (i) $X_{+r}X_{-r} = X_{-r}X_{+r} = z_r I$, $D_l = X_{-r}GX_{-r}^T$, $z_r^2 G = X_{+r}D_r X_{+r}^T$,
- (ii) For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A(x) \geq 0$ if and only if $D_r(x) \geq 0$ for all $r = 1, \dots, t$.

Let

$$(4.1) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\}.$$

A direct corollary of Proposition 4.1 is that there exist finite many polynomials in $\text{QM}[G]$ such that K can be equivalently described by these polynomials. Denote

$$\theta(m) = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\prod_{k=m+1-i}^m \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \right).$$

Recall that

$$d_G = \max\{\deg(G_{ij}), 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}.$$

In the following, we provide a quantitative version of Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $G(x)$ is an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix with $m \geq 2$. Then there exist $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\theta(m)} \in \text{QM}[G]_{3^{m-1}d_G}$ such that*

$$K = \{d_1 \geq 0, \dots, d_{\theta(m)} \geq 0\}.$$

Proof. We prove the result by applying the induction on the matrix size m . When $m = 2$, the inequality $G(x) = [G_{ij}(x)]_{i,j=1,2} \succeq 0$ can be equivalently described as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11} \geq 0, \quad G_{22} \geq 0, \quad G_{11}(G_{11}G_{22} - G_{12}^2) \geq 0, \\ G_{11} + 2G_{12} + G_{22} \geq 0, \quad G_{22}(G_{11}G_{22} - G_{12}^2) \geq 0, \\ (G_{11} + 2G_{12} + G_{22})((G_{11} + 2G_{12} + G_{22})G_{22} - (G_{12} + G_{22})^2) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can verify that the above polynomials all belong to $\text{QM}[G]_{3d_G}$. Note that $\theta(2) = 6$. Hence, it holds for $m = 2$.

Suppose it is true for $m = k$ and consider $m = k + 1$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by P_i the permutation matrix which permutes the first row and the i -th row. For $1 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$, denote the row operation matrix $Q^{(ij)}$ as

$$(Q^{(ij)})_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (u, v) = (1, 1), \dots, (k+1, k+1) \text{ and } (i, j), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$T_{ii} = P_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, k+1), \quad T_{ij} = P_i \cdot Q^{(ij)} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq k+1).$$

One can check that for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1$, the above matrices T_{ij} are all invertible and it holds that

$$T_{ij} G T_{ij}^T = \begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} & (\beta^{(ij)})^T \\ \beta^{(ij)} & H^{(ij)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $H^{(ij)}$ is a k -by- k symmetric polynomial matrix, $\beta^{(ij)} \in \mathbb{R}[x]^k$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ii} &= G_{ii} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k+1), \\ s_{ij} &= G_{ii} + G_{jj} + 2G_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq k+1). \end{aligned}$$

Denote matrices

$$X_+^{(ij)} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} & 0 \\ \beta^{(ij)} & s_{ij} \cdot I_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad X_-^{(ij)} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{ij} & 0 \\ -\beta^{(ij)} & s_{ij} \cdot I_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

By computations, we have that

$$(4.2) \quad X_-^{(ij)} X_+^{(ij)} = X_+^{(ij)} X_-^{(ij)} = s_{ij}^2 \cdot I_{k+1},$$

$$(4.3) \quad (X_-^{(ij)} T_{ij}) \cdot G \cdot (X_-^{(ij)} T_{ij})^T = G^{(ij)},$$

$$(4.4) \quad s_{ij}^4 T_{ij} G T_{ij}^T = X_+^{(ij)} \cdot G^{(ij)} (X_+^{(ij)})^T,$$

where

$$G^{(ij)} = \begin{bmatrix} s_{ij}^3 & 0 \\ 0 & s_{ij} \cdot (s_{ij} H^{(ij)} - \beta^{(ij)} (\beta^{(ij)})^T) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$B^{(ij)} = s_{ij} \left(s_{ij} H^{(ij)} - \beta^{(ij)} (\beta^{(ij)})^T \right) \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1).$$

Since T_{ij} are scalar matrices, we have

$$\deg(s_{ij}) \leq d_G, \quad \deg(B^{(ij)}) \leq 3d_G.$$

In the following, we show that

$$(4.5) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid s_{ij}(x) \geq 0, B^{(ij)}(x) \succeq 0, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1\}.$$

If $x \in K$, i.e., $G(x) \succeq 0$, it follows from (4.3) that $G^{(ij)}(x) \succeq 0$, i.e.,

$$(4.6) \quad s_{ij}(x) \geq 0, B^{(ij)}(x) \succeq 0, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1.$$

For the converse part, suppose that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies (4.6). If $s_{ij} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1$, then we have that $G_{ij}(x) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k+1$, and thus $G(x) = 0$. If there exist $1 \leq i_0 \leq j_0 \leq k+1$ such that $s_{i_0 j_0}(x) \neq 0$, then the relation (4.4) gives that

$$(4.7) \quad G(x) = s_{i_0 j_0}^{-4}(x) T_{ij}^{-1} X_+^{(i_0 j_0)} \cdot G^{(i_0 j_0)} (X_+^{(i_0 j_0)})^T (T_{i_0 j_0}^T)^{-1}.$$

Since $G^{(i_0 j_0)}(x) \succeq 0$, we know that $G(x) \succeq 0$.

By the induction, each $B^{(ij)}$ is a k -by- k symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(B^{(ij)}) \leq 3^{k-1} d_G$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1$ and there exist $d_1^{(ij)}, \dots, d_{\theta(k)}^{(ij)} \in \mathcal{QM}[G]_{3^k d_G}$ such that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid B^{(ij)}(x) \succeq 0\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d_1^{(ij)}(x) \geq 0, \dots, d_{\theta(k)}^{(ij)}(x) \geq 0\}.$$

Combining with the relation (4.5), we have that

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid s_{ij}(x) \geq 0, d_t^{(ij)}(x) \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k+1, 1 \leq t \leq \theta(k)\}.$$

The total number of the above constraints is

$$\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} + \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \theta(k),$$

which equals to $\theta(k+1)$. Hence, we complete the proof. \square

Remark. There exist alternative ways to obtain finitely many scalar polynomial inequalities to describe K . For instance, consider the characteristic polynomial $\det[\lambda I_m - G(x)]$ of $G(x)$. It can be expressed as

$$\det[\lambda I_m - G(x)] = \lambda^m + \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^i g_i(x) \lambda^{m-i}.$$

Since $G(x)$ is symmetric, all eigenvalues are real and $\det[\lambda I_m - G(x)]$ has only real roots and we know that $G(x) \succeq 0$ if and only if $g_i(x) \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Hence, if polynomials $g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x) \in \text{QM}[G]$, the quantity $\theta(m)$ and the degree $3^{m-1}d_G$ as in Theorem 4.2 can be improved to m and md_G , respectively. However, $g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)$ typically do not belong to $\text{QM}[G]$.

5. DEGREE BOUNDS FOR MATRIX POSITIVSTELLENSATZ

This section is mainly to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. As a byproduct, we can get a polynomial bound on the convergence rate of matrix SOS relaxations for solving polynomial matrix optimization, which resolves an open question raised by Dinh and Pham [10]. Let

$$(5.1) \quad K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid G(x) \succeq 0\},$$

where $G(x)$ is an m -by- m symmetric polynomial matrix.

5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For the polynomial matrix $G(x)$, let $d_1, \dots, d_{\theta(m)}$ be polynomials as in Theorem 4.2. Note that we assume that

$$K \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid 1 - \|x\|_2^2 \geq 0\}.$$

Denote

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{G}(x) = -\min \left(\frac{d_1(x)}{\|d_1(x)\|_B}, \dots, \frac{d_{\theta(m)}(x)}{\|d_{\theta(m)}(x)\|_B}, 0 \right).$$

If $\mathcal{G}(x) = 0$, we have that $x \in K$, i.e., $d(x, K) = 0$. By Corollary 2.2, there exist positive constants η, κ such that the following Lojasiewicz inequality holds:

$$(5.3) \quad d(x, K)^\eta \leq \kappa \mathcal{G}(x), \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Delta}.$$

In the following, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 5.1. *Let K be as in (5.1) with $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$, and $(1 - \|x\|_2^2) \cdot I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$. If $F(x) \succeq F_{\min} \cdot I_m \succ 0$ on K , then $F \in \text{QM}[G]_k^\ell$ for*

$$(5.4) \quad k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \theta(m)^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3}.$$

In the above, κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (5.3) and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of F, G .

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, we know that $d_1, \dots, d_{\theta(m)} \in \text{QM}[G]_{3^{m-1}d_G}$ and

$$K = \{d_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, d_{\theta(m)}(x) \geq 0\}.$$

It follows from Theorem 3.3 that for

$$k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \theta(m)^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3},$$

there exist matrices $P_\alpha^{(k)} \succ 0$, SOS polynomial matrices $S_i \in \Sigma[x]_k^\ell$ ($i = 0, \dots, \theta(m) + 1$) with $\deg(S_0) \leq k$, $\deg(d_i S_i) \leq k$ ($i = 1, \dots, \theta(m)$) such that

$$F = S_0 + d_1 S_1 + \dots + d_{\theta(m)} S_{\theta(m)} + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_k^n} P_\alpha^{(k)} B_\alpha^k(x).$$

Since $(1 - \|x\|_2^2) \cdot I_\ell \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$, we know that $1 - \|x\|_2^2 \in \text{QM}[G]$. Note that $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\theta(m)} \in \text{QM}[G]$ and $1 + x_1, \dots, 1 + x_n, \sqrt{n} - x_1 - \dots - x_n \in \text{QM}[1 - \|x\|_2^2]$. The proof is completed. \square

Remark. When $G(x)$ is a diagonal polynomial matrix with diagonal entries $g_1, \dots, g_m \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, i.e.,

$$K = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g_1(x) \geq 0, \dots, g_m(x) \geq 0\},$$

Theorem 5.1 implies that for k greater than the quantity as in (5.4), we have $F \in \text{QM}[G]_k^\ell$. However, the bound (3.6) provided in Theorem 3.3 is typically smaller than that as in (5.4), and the proof of Theorem 5.1 builds up on Theorem 3.3.

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. For a polynomial matrix $F = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha x^\alpha$ with $\deg(F) = d$, F^{hom} denotes its highest-degree homogeneous terms, i.e.,

$$F^{hom}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|=d} F_\alpha x^\alpha.$$

The homogenization of F is denoted by \tilde{F} , i.e.,

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_d^n} F_\alpha x^\alpha x_0^{d-|\alpha|}$$

for $\tilde{x} := (x_0, x)$. Let

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{K} := \left\{ \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \begin{array}{l} (x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x}) \succeq 0, \\ x_0^2 + x^T x = 1 \end{array} \right\},$$

where $d_0 = 2\lceil d_G/2 \rceil - d_G$. Consider the optimization

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \min & \lambda_{\min}(\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})) \\ \text{s.t.} & (x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x}) \succeq 0, \\ & x_0^2 + x^T x = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}))$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})$. Let \tilde{F}_{\min} denote the optimal value of (5.6).

Lemma 5.2. *Let K be as in (5.1). Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$. If $F \succ 0$ on K and $F^{hom} \succ 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , then $\tilde{F}_{\min} > 0$ and $\tilde{F} \succeq \tilde{F}_{\min} \cdot I_\ell$ on \tilde{K} .*

Proof. Suppose $\tilde{x} = (x_0, x) \in \tilde{K}$. If $x_0 = 0$, we have that

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = F^{hom}(x) \succ 0.$$

If $x_0 \neq 0$, then

$$G(x/x_0) = (x_0)^{-d_G - d_0} (x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x}) \succeq 0,$$

which implies that $x/x_0 \in K$. Since $F^{hom} \succ 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , the degree of F must be even and we know that

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = x_0^d F(x/x_0) \succ 0.$$

Since \tilde{K} is compact, the optimal value of (5.6) is positive, i.e., $\tilde{F}_{\min} > 0$. \square \square

For the polynomial matrix $(x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x})$, let $d_1(\tilde{x}), \dots, d_{\theta(m)}(\tilde{x})$ be the scalar polynomials as in Theorem 4.2. Denote

$$(5.7) \quad \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x}) = -\min \left(\frac{d_1(\tilde{x})}{\|d_1(\tilde{x})\|_B}, \dots, \frac{d_{\theta(m)}(\tilde{x})}{\|d_{\theta(m)}(\tilde{x})\|_B}, \frac{1 - \|\tilde{x}\|_2^2}{\|1 - \|\tilde{x}\|_2^2\|_B}, \frac{\|\tilde{x}\|_2^2 - 1}{\|1 - \|\tilde{x}\|_2^2\|_B}, 0 \right).$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{x}) = 0$ implies that $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{K}$. By Corollary 2.2, there exist positive constants η, κ such that for all \tilde{x} satisfying $\sqrt{n+1} - x_0 - x_1 - \dots - x_n \geq 0, 1 + x_0 \geq 0, 1 + x_1 \geq 0, \dots, 1 + x_n \geq 0$, it holds that

$$(5.8) \quad d(\tilde{x}, \tilde{K})^\eta \leq \kappa \mathcal{G}(\tilde{x}).$$

In the following, we prove Theorem 1.2. It provides a polynomial bound on the complexity of matrix Putinar–Vasilescu’s Positivstellensatz.

Theorem 5.3. *Let K be as in (5.1) with $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$. If $F \succ 0$ on K and $F^{hom} \succ 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n , then $(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F \in \text{QM}[G]_{2k+d}^\ell$ for*

$$(5.9) \quad k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} (\theta(m) + 2)^3 (n+1)^2 (\lceil d_G/2 \rceil)^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{\|\tilde{F}\|_B}{\tilde{F}_{\min}} \right)^{7\eta+3}.$$

In the above, κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (5.8), $C > 0$ is a constant independent of F, G and \tilde{F}_{\min} is the optimal value of (5.6).

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, we have that $\tilde{F} \succeq \tilde{F}_{\min} \cdot I_\ell \succ 0$ on \tilde{K} . Note that $\text{QM}[\|\tilde{x}\|_2^2 - 1]^\ell$ is archimedean and K can be equivalently described as

$$d_1(\tilde{x}) \geq 0, \dots, d_{\theta(m)}(\tilde{x}) \geq 0, 1 - \|\tilde{x}\|_2^2 \geq 0, \|\tilde{x}\|_2^2 - 1 \geq 0,$$

By following the proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\tilde{F}(\tilde{x})$, we know that for k satisfying (5.9), there exist $S \in \Sigma[\tilde{x}]^\ell, H \in \mathbb{R}[\tilde{x}]^{\ell \times \ell}, P_i \in \mathbb{R}[\tilde{x}]^{m \times \ell}$ ($i = 1, \dots, t$) with

$$\deg(S) \leq k, \deg(H) \leq k - 2, \deg(P_i^T(x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G} P_i) \leq k \quad (i = 1, \dots, t),$$

such that

$$\tilde{F}(\tilde{x}) = S(\tilde{x}) + (\|\tilde{x}\|_2^2 - 1) \cdot H(\tilde{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^t P_i(\tilde{x})^T (x_0)^{d_0} \tilde{G}(\tilde{x}) P_i(\tilde{x}).$$

Replacing \tilde{x} by $(1, x)/\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}$ in the above identity, we get that

$$(5.10) \quad \frac{F(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^d} = \sum_{i=1}^t P_i \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right)^T \frac{G(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{d_0 + d_G}} P_i \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right) + S \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right).$$

Suppose that $S = V^T V$ for some polynomial matrix V . Then, we know that there exist polynomial matrices $V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}$ with $2 \deg(V^{(1)}) \leq \deg(S), 2 \deg(V^{(2)}) + 2 \leq \deg(S)$ such that

$$V \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right)^{\deg(V)} (V^{(1)} + \sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2} V^{(2)}).$$

Then, it holds that

$$S \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right) = \frac{(V^{(1)})^T V^{(1)} + (1 + \|x\|_2^2) (V^{(2)})^T V^{(2)}}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{\deg(S)}} + \frac{(V^{(2)})^T V^{(1)} + (V^{(1)})^T V^{(2)}}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{\deg(S)-1}}.$$

Similarly, there exist polynomial matrices $P_i^{(1)}, P_i^{(2)}$ with $\deg(P_i^{(1)}) \leq \deg(P_i)$, $\deg(P_i^{(2)}) + 1 \leq \deg(P_i)$ such that

$$P_i \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right)^{\deg(P_i)} (P_i^{(1)} + \sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2} P_i^{(2)}),$$

Then, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^t P_i \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right)^T \frac{G(x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{d_0 + d_G}} P_i \left(\frac{(1, x)}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}} \right) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{(P_i^{(1)})^T G(x) P_i^{(1)} + (1 + \|x\|_2^2) (P_i^{(2)})^T G(x) P_i^{(2)}}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{d_0 + d_G + 2 \deg(P_i)}} \\ + \frac{(P_i^{(2)})^T G(x) P_i^{(1)} + (P_i^{(1)})^T G(x) P_i^{(2)}}{\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}^{d_0 + d_G + 2 \deg(P_i) - 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The identity (5.10) implies that for k satisfying (5.9), we have

$$(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F = Q + \sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2} H(x),$$

for some $Q \in \text{QM}[G]^\ell$ with $\deg(Q) \leq 2k + d$ and symmetric polynomial matrix H . Since $\sqrt{1 + \|x\|_2^2}$ is not a rational function, we have that $(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F = Q$ and thus $(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k F \in \text{QM}[G]_{2k+d}^\ell$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let K be as in (5.1) with $m \geq 2$. Suppose that $F(x)$ is an ℓ -by- ℓ symmetric polynomial matrix with $\deg(F) = d$. If $F \succeq 0$ on K , then for $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$(1 + \|x\|_2^2)^k (F + \varepsilon \cdot (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil} I_\ell) \in \text{QM}[G]_k^\ell,$$

if $k \geq C \cdot \varepsilon^{-7\eta-3}$. Here, η is the Lojasiewicz exponent as in (5.8) and $C > 0$ is a constant depending on F, G .

Proof. Note that $F \succeq 0$ on K and

$$d + 2 \geq \deg(F + \varepsilon \cdot (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil}) = 2 \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil > d.$$

Hence, we know that for $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{K}$, the homogenization of $F + \varepsilon \cdot (1 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil} I_\ell$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{2 \lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil - d} \tilde{F} + \varepsilon \cdot (x_0^2 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil} I_\ell &\succeq \varepsilon \cdot (x_0^2 + \|x\|_2^2)^{\lceil \frac{d+1}{2} \rceil} I_\ell \\ &\succeq \varepsilon \cdot I_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 5.3. \square

5.3. Convergence rate of matrix SOS relaxations. Consider the polynomial matrix optimization

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{cases} \min & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & G(x) \succeq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$, $G(x)$ is an $m \times m$ symmetric polynomial matrix. Denote by f_{\min} the optimal value of (5.11).

A standard approach for solving (5.11) globally is the sum-of-squares hierarchy introduced in [15, 25, 43], consisting of a sequence of semidefinite programming relaxations. Recall that for a degree k , the k th degree truncation of $\text{QM}[G]$ is

$$\text{QM}[G]_k := \left\{ \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^t v_i^T G v_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma \in \Sigma[x], v_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]^m, \\ \deg(\sigma) \leq k, \deg(v_i^T G v_i) \leq k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Checking whether a polynomial belongs to the the quadratic module $\text{QM}[G]_k$ can be done by solving a semidefinite program (see [43]). For an order k , the k th order SOS relaxation of (5.11) is

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{cases} \max & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} & f - \gamma \in \text{QM}[G]_{2k}. \end{cases}$$

Let f_k denote the optimal value of (5.12). It holds the monotonicity relation

$$f_k \leq f_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq f_{\min}.$$

The hierarchy (5.12) is said to have *asymptotic convergence* if $f_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and it has *finite convergence* if $f_k = f_{\min}$ for all k big enough. Under the archimedeaness, it was shown in [15, 25, 43] that the hierarchy (5.12) has asymptotic convergence. Recently, Huang and Nie [22] proved that this hierarchy also has finite convergence if in addition the nondegeneracy condition, strict complementarity condition and second order sufficient condition hold at every global minimizer of (5.11). In the following, we provide a polynomial bound on the convergence rate of the hierarchy (5.12).

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ with $d = \deg(f)$, $m \geq 2$, and $1 - \|x\|_2^2 \in \text{QM}[G]$. Then we have that*

$$(5.13) \quad |f_k - f_{\min}| \leq 3 \cdot (C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \theta(m)^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta})^{\frac{1}{7\eta+3}} \|f\|_B \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{7\eta+3}},$$

where κ, η are respectively Lojasiewicz constant and exponent as in (5.3) and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of f, G .

Proof. Since $f_{\min} \leq \|f\|_B$ (see [13]), we have that for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \|f\|_B$,

$$\|f - f_{\min} + \varepsilon\|_B \leq \|f\|_B + |f_{\min}| + \varepsilon \leq 3\|f\|_B.$$

Since $f - f_{\min} + \varepsilon \geq \varepsilon$ on K , it follows from Theorem 1.1 that $f - f_{\min} + \varepsilon \in \text{QM}[G]_k$ for

$$k \geq C \cdot 8^{7\eta} \cdot 3^{6(m-1)} \theta(m)^3 n^2 d_G^6 \kappa^7 d^{14\eta} \left(\frac{3\|f\|_B}{\varepsilon}\right)^{7\eta+3}.$$

Then, we know that $f_{\min} - \varepsilon$ is feasible for (5.12), i.e., $f_k \geq f_{\min} - \varepsilon$ when k satisfies the above inequality. Equivalently, we know that the relation (5.13) holds. $\square \square$

6. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

Under the archimedeaness, we prove a polynomial bound on degrees of terms appearing in matrix Putinar's Positivstellensatz. For the special case that the constraining polynomial matrix is diagonal, it improves the exponential bound shown in [14]. As a byproduct, we also obtain a polynomial bound on the convergence rate of matrix SOS relaxations, which settles an open question posed by Dinh and Pham [10]. When the constraining set is unbounded, a similar bound is provided for matrix Putinar–Vasilescu's Positivstellensatz.

Theorem 1.1 uses the Lojasiewicz inequality (5.3) for equivalent scalar polynomial inequalities of K . There also exists the Lojasiewicz inequality for polynomial matrices (see [9, 10]), whose Lojasiewicz exponent is typically smaller than the scalar one as in (5.3). An interesting future work is to give a proof based on the Lojasiewicz inequality for $G(x)$ directly and it may provide a better bound. On the another hand, the polynomial matrix $F(x) \succeq 0$ on the set K described by $G(x) \succeq 0$ is equivalent to that $F(x) \succeq 0$ on the quantifier set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y^T G(x) y \geq 0, \forall \|y\|_2 = 1\}.$$

It would also be interesting to study the complexity of Putinar type Positivstellensätze with universal quantifiers for the above reformulation. We refer to [18] for the recent work on Positivstellensätze with universal quantifiers.

When K is given by scalar polynomial inequalities, it was shown in [2, 3] that the Lojasiewicz exponent η as in (3.5) is equal to 1 if the standard linear independence constraint qualification holds at every $x \in K$. Then, we can get a representation with order $\left(\frac{\|F\|_B}{F_{\min}}\right)^{10}$. An analogous of the linear independence constraint qualification in nonlinear semidefinite programming is the nondegeneracy condition [47, 51]. It is also interesting to investigate if there exist similar results for polynomial matrix inequalities under the nondegeneracy condition.

Acknowledgements: The author would like to thank Prof. Konrad Schmüdgen for helpful discussions on Proposition 4.1, Prof. Tien-Son Pham for fruitful suggestions and comments which help improve this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bach, F., Rudi, A.: Exponential convergence of sum-of-squares hierarchies for trigonometric polynomials. *SIAM J. Optim.* 11(3), 796–817 (2023)
- [2] Baldi, L., Mourrain, B.: On the effective Putinar's Positivstellensatz and moment approximation. *Math. Program.* 200, 71–103 (2023)
- [3] Baldi, L., Mourrain, B., Parusinski, A.: On Lojasiewicz inequalities and the effective Putinar's Positivstellensatz. *arXiv preprint*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09551> (2023)
- [4] Baldi, L., Slot, L.: Degree bounds for Putinar's Positivstellensatz on the hypercube. *SIAM J. Appl. Algebra Geom.*, 8(1), 1–25 (2023)
- [5] Bochnak, J., Coste, M., Roy, M.: *Real Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, Berlin (1998)
- [6] Chesi, G.: LMI techniques for optimization over polynomials in control: a survey. *IEEE Trans. Autom.* 55(11), 2500–2510 (2010)
- [7] Cimprič, J.: Real algebraic geometry for matrices over commutative rings. *J. Algebra* 359, 89–103 (2012)
- [8] De Klerk, E., Laurent, M.: On the Lasserre hierarchy of semidefinite programming relaxations of convex polynomial optimization problems. *SIAM J. Optim.* 21, 824–832 (2011)

- [9] Dinh., S., Pham, T.: Lojasiewicz-type inequalities with explicit exponents for the largest eigenvalue function of real symmetric polynomial matrices. *Internat. J. Math.* 27 (2), 1650012 (2016)
- [10] Dinh., S., Pham, T.: Lojasiewicz inequalities with explicit exponents for smallest singular value functions. *J. Complex.* 41, 58–71 (2017)
- [11] Dinh., T., Ho, M., Le, C.: Positivstellensätze for polynomial matrices. *Positivity* 25, 1295–1312 (2021)
- [12] Fang, K., Fawzi, H.: The sum-of-squares hierarchy on the sphere and applications in quantum information theory. *Math. Program.* 190, 331–360 (2021)
- [13] Farin, G.: Curves and Surfaces for CAGD: A Practical Guide. 5th ed. Morgan Kaufmann Series in Computer Graphics and Geometric Modeling. San Francisco (2021)
- [14] Helton, J., Nie, J.: Semidefinite representation of convex sets. *Math. Program.*, 122, 21–64 (2010)
- [15] Henrion, D., Lasserre, J.: Convergent relaxations of polynomial matrix inequalities and static output feedback. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 51, 192–202 (2006)
- [16] Henrion, D., Lasserre, J.: Inner approximations for polynomial matrix inequalities and robust stability. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 57(6), 1456–1467 (2011)
- [17] Henrion, D., Korda, M., Lasserre, J.: Moment-SOS Hierarchy, The: Lectures in Probability, Statistics, Computational Geometry, Control And Nonlinear Pdes. World Scientific, Cambridge (2020)
- [18] Hu, X., Klep, I., Nie, J.: Positivstellensätze and moment problems with universal quantifiers. *arXiv preprint*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.12359> (2024)
- [19] Huang, L.: Optimality conditions for homogeneous polynomial optimization on the unit sphere. *Optim Lett* 17, 1263–1270 (2023)
- [20] Huang, L., Nie, J., Yuan, Y.: Homogenization for polynomial optimization with unbounded sets. *Math. Program.* 200, 105–145 (2023)
- [21] Huang, L., Nie, J., Yuan, Y.: Finite convergence of Moment-SOS relaxations with non-real radical ideals. *arXiv preprint*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.15398> (2023)
- [22] Huang, L., Nie, J., Yuan, Y.: On the tightness of matrix Moment-SOS hierarchy. *arXiv preprint*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.17241> (2023)
- [23] Ichihara, H., Lasserre, J.: Optimal control for polynomial systems using matrix sum of squares relaxations. *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control* 54, 1048–1053 (2009)
- [24] Klep, I., Schweighofer, M.: Pure states, positive matrix polynomials and sums of hermitian squares. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 59(3), 857–874 (2010)
- [25] Kojima, M.: Sums of squares relaxations of polynomial semidefinite programs. In: *Research Reports on Mathematical and Computing Sciences Series B: Operations Research B-397*. Tokyo Institute of Technology (2003)
- [26] Korda, M., Magron, V., Rios-Zertuche, Z.: Convergence rates for sums-of-squares hierarchies with correlative sparsity. *Math. Program.*, to appear (2024)
- [27] Kroo, A., Revesz, S.: On Bernstein and Markov-type inequalities for multivariate polynomials on convex bodies. *J Approx Theory* 99(1), 134–152 (1999)
- [28] Lasserre, J.: Global optimization with polynomials and the problem of moments. *SIAM J. Optim.* 11(3), 796–817 (2001)
- [29] Laurent, M.: Sums of squares, moment matrices and optimization over polynomials. In: *Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, 149: 157–270. Springer (2009)
- [30] Lojasiewicz, S.: Sur le problème de la division. *Studia Math.* 18, 87–136 (1959)
- [31] Mai, N., Magron, V.: On the complexity of Putinar–Vasilescu’s Positivstellensatz, *J. Complexity*, 72, 101663 (2022)
- [32] Marshall, M.: Representation of non-negative polynomials with finitely many zeros. *Annales de la Faculte des Sciences Toulouse* 15, 599–609 (2006)
- [33] Nie, J.: Optimality conditions and finite convergence of Lasserre’s hierarchy. *Math. Program.* 146(1-2), 97–121 (2014)
- [34] Nie, J.: Moment and Polynomial Optimization. SIAM, Philadelphia (2023)
- [35] Nie, J., Schweighofer, M.: On the complexity of Putinar’s Positivstellensatz, *J. Complexity* 23, 135–150 (2007)
- [36] Polya, G.: Über positive Darstellung von Polynomen, *Vierteljahresschrift der Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Zurich* 73, 141–145 (1928)

- [37] Powers, V., Reznick, B.: A new bound for Polya's theorem with applications to polynomials positive on polyhedra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 164 (1–2), 221–229 (2001)
- [38] Putinar, M.: Positive polynomials on compact semi-algebraic sets. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 42(3), 969–984 (1993)
- [39] Putinar, M., Vasilescu, F.: Positive polynomials on semi-algebraic sets. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. I Math.* 328(7), 585–589 (1999)
- [40] Putinar, M., Vasilescu, F.: Solving moment problems by dimensional extension. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Ser. I Math.* 328(6), 495–499 (1999)
- [41] Scheiderer, C.: Distinguished representations of non-negative polynomials. *J. Algebra*, 289, 558–573 (2005)
- [42] Scherer, C.: LMI relaxations in robust control. *Eur. J. Control* 2(1), 3–29 (2006)
- [43] Scherer, C., Hol, C.: Matrix sum-of-squares relaxations for robust semi-definite programs. *Math. Program.* 107, 189–211 (2006)
- [44] Schmüdgen, K.: The K -moment problem for compact semi-algebraic sets. *Math. Ann.* 289(1), 203–206 (1991)
- [45] Schmüdgen, K.: Noncommutative real algebraic geometry: some basic concepts and first ideas. In: *Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry of IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications*, 149: 325–350. Springer (2009)
- [46] Schweighofer, M.: On the complexity of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz. *J. Complexity* 20(4), 529–543 (2004)
- [47] Shapiro, A.: First and second order analysis of nonlinear semidefinite programs. *Math. Program.* 77(2), 301–320 (1997)
- [48] Slot, L.: Sum-of-squares hierarchies for polynomial optimization and the Christoffel–Darboux kernel. *SIAM J. Optim.* 32(4), 2612–2635 (2022)
- [49] Slot, L., Laurent, M.: An effective version of Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz for the hypercube. *Optim Lett* 17, 515–530 (2023)
- [50] Slot, L., Laurent, M.: Sum-of-squares hierarchies for binary polynomial optimization. *Math. Program.* 197, 621–660 (2023)
- [51] Sun, D.: The strong second order sufficient condition and constraint nondegeneracy in nonlinear semidefinite programming and their implications. *Math. Oper. Res.* 31(4), 761–776 (2006)
- [52] Tyburec, M., Zeman, J., Kruzík, Henrion, D.: Global Global optimality in minimum compliance topology optimization of frames and shells by moment-sum-of-squares hierarchy. *Struct Multidisc Optim* 64, 1963–1981 (2021)
- [53] Zheng, Y., Fantuzzi, G.: Sum-of-squares chordal decomposition of polynomial matrix inequalities. *Math. Program.* 197, 71–108 (2023)

LEI HUANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO, 9500 GILMAN DRIVE, LA JOLLA, CA, USA, 92093.

Email address: leh010@ucsd.edu