

Finite group actions on dg categories and Hochschild homology

Ville Nordström

Abstract

We prove a decomposition of the Hochschild homology groups of the equivariant dg category \mathcal{C}^G associated to a small dg category \mathcal{C} with direct sums on which a finite group G acts. When the ground field is \mathbb{C} this decomposition is related to a categorical action of $\text{Rep}(G)$ on \mathcal{C}^G and the resulting action of the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ on $HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^G)$.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{C} be a dg category over a field of characteristic zero. If G is a finite group which acts on \mathcal{C} via autoequivalences $\rho_g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ we can consider the dg category \mathcal{C}^G of equivariant objects in \mathcal{C} . When \mathcal{C} is the module category of a dg algebra A and the group action comes from an action of G on A then it follows from [5] ([2] in the case of an ordinary algebra) that

$$HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^G) \cong \bigoplus_{[g]} HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)} \quad (1)$$

where $[g]$ denotes the conjugacy class of g and $C(g)$ is the centralizer of g in G . In this note we prove that this decomposition holds assuming only that \mathcal{C} is a small dg category that admits finite direct sums. To our knowledge this has not appeared in the literature. Even in situations where this decomposition was already known we believe proving it directly in the dg category setting is illuminating. The projection and inclusion maps associated to this decomposition arise very naturally in the dg category setting; they are induced by two dg functors

$$\mathcal{C}^G \xrightarrow{For} \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{Sym} \mathcal{C}^G$$

and natural transformations

$$Sym \circ \rho_g \cong Sym, \quad \rho_g \circ For \cong For.$$

Moreover, in the dg category setting this decomposition has another interesting explanation when the ground field is \mathbb{C} . Namely, we explain how the representation ring of G over \mathbb{C} , $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ acts on $HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^G)$. We then prove that the

decomposition above matches up with the decomposition of the $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ -module

$$HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^G) = \bigoplus_{[g]} \varphi_{[g]} \cdot HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^G)$$

where $\varphi_{[g]} \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ denotes the indicator function on the conjugacy class of g .

Finally we note that as a consequence of the decomposition (1) above we have that for any small dg category, $\text{Sym}^n(HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}))$ appears naturally as a summand in $HH_{\bullet}(\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{C}))$. This is related to Conjecture 3.24 in [1].

1.1 Conventions

All vector spaces will be over a fixed ground field k of characteristic zero. Sometimes we will need $k = \mathbb{C}$ and will then make this clear.

All functors between dg categories will be dg functors. By a natural transformation between two dg functors $F, F' : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ we will always mean a closed degree zero natural transformations. An equivalence $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between dg categories will mean a dg functor that admits an inverse $F^{-1} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $F \circ F^{-1} \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $F^{-1} \circ F \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and these natural isomorphisms are required to be degree zero cycles.

Our convention on matrices is that they act on the left. So when we describe a morphism in some category between objects with specified direct sum decompositions by a matrix, the columns will be indexed by the summands of the source and the rows by the summands of the target.

1.2 Acknowledgments

I am grateful to my advisor Alexander Polishchuk for bringing the decomposition (1) to my attention.

2 Finite group actions on dg categories

When talking about an action of a finite group G on a dg category \mathcal{C} there are different levels of strictness that one can require. Here is the definition that we will work with.

Definition 1. *An action of G on \mathcal{C} is the data of an autoequivalence $\rho_g : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{C}$ for every $g \in G$, natural isomorphisms $\theta_{g,g'} : \rho_g \circ \rho_{g'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{g'g}$ and $\eta : \rho_e \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ and these should satisfy the following two conditions.*

i) For each $g \in G$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$(\theta_{e,g})_c = \eta_{\rho_g(c)} : \rho_e \rho_g(c) \rightarrow \rho_g(c)$$

and

$$(\theta_{g,e})_c = \rho_g(\eta_c) : \rho_g \rho_e(c) \rightarrow \rho_g(c).$$

ii) For all $g, h, k \in G$ we have commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \rho_g \rho_h \rho_k(c) & \xrightarrow{\rho_g((\theta_{h,k})_c)} & \rho_g \rho_{kh}(c) \\ \downarrow (\theta_{g,h})_{\rho_k(c)} & & \downarrow (\theta_{g,kh})_c \\ \rho_{hg} \rho_k(c) & \xrightarrow{(\theta_{hg,k})_c} & \rho_{khg}(c) \end{array}$$

Example 2. Such group actions arise for example when a finite group G acts on a smooth variety X . We can take $\mathcal{C} = \text{perf}(X)$, the category of finite complexes of locally free sheaves on X . Then G acts on \mathcal{C} in the above sense by pulling back vector bundles.

Whenever we have a group action as above we can consider the category of G -equivariant objects in \mathcal{C} .

Definition 3. Let (ρ, θ, η) be an action of a finite group G on a small dg category \mathcal{C} . The dg category of equivariant objects, denoted \mathcal{C}^G has objects

$$(c, (\alpha_g : c \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_g(c))_{g \in G})$$

where the α_g are isomorphisms that are required to satisfy the following condition. For any $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g, h \in G$ the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\alpha_g} & \rho_g(c) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{hg} & & \downarrow \rho_g(\alpha_h) \\ \rho_{hg}(c) & \xrightarrow{(\theta_{g,h}^{-1})_c} & \rho_g \rho_h(c) \end{array}$$

commutes.

A degree n morphism between equivariant objects $\varphi : (c, \alpha) \rightarrow (c', \alpha')$ is a degree n morphism in \mathcal{C} such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & c' \\ \downarrow \alpha_g & & \downarrow \alpha'_g \\ \rho_g(c) & \xrightarrow{\rho_g(\varphi)} & \rho_g(c'). \end{array}$$

If the category \mathcal{C} has finite direct sums we can define a tensor product $\otimes : \text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$. Indeed, if $(c, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}^G$ and (V, ρ_V) is a left G -module we define their tensor product $(V, \rho_V) \otimes (c, \alpha)$ as follows. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis for V . Then

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n c \cdot e_i \in \mathcal{C}$$

is the object underlying $(V, \rho_V) \otimes (c, \alpha)$. The equivariant structure is given in matrix form by $((\rho_V \otimes \alpha)_g)_{ij} := \rho_V(g)_{ij} \cdot \alpha_g$. To verify that this is indeed an equivariant object we have to check that $(\alpha \otimes \rho_V)_g$ is indeed an isomorphism and

that the condition from definition 3 is satisfied. To see that it is an isomorphism we simply note that its inverse is given by the matrix $((\rho_g^{-1})_{ij}\alpha_g^{-1})_{ij}$. To see that it is an equivariant structure we note that

$$\sum_j \rho_V(h)_{ij}\rho_V(g)_{jk}\rho_g(\alpha_h)\alpha_g = \rho_V(hg)_{ik}\theta_{g,h}^{-1}\alpha_{hg}.$$

There is a forgetful functor $For : \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. If \mathcal{C} has finite direct sums then there is also a functor in the other direction which we call the symmetrization functor and denote by S . It is defined by

$$S(c) := \left(\bigoplus_{h \in G} \rho_h(c), \alpha_g = (\delta_{hg=h'}\theta_{g,h}^{-1})_{hh'} \right).$$

Proposition 4. *The symmetrization functor is left adjoint to the forgetful functor.*

Proof. Suppose (c, α) is an equivariant object and c' is just an object. Define

$$\mathcal{C}(c', c) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^G(S(c'), (c, \alpha))$$

$$\varphi \longmapsto (\alpha_g^{-1} \circ \rho_g(\varphi))_g.$$

Now define

$$\mathcal{C}^G(S(c'), (c, \alpha)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(c', c)$$

$$\psi \longmapsto \eta_c^{-1} \circ \alpha_e \circ \psi_e \circ \eta_{c'}.$$

These are inverse bijections which proves the proposition. \square

Proposition 5. *We have $S \circ For \cong k[G] \otimes (-)$ and $For \circ S \cong \bigoplus_g \rho_g$.*

Proof. Let (c, α) be an equivariant object. Consider the following diagram, where the morphisms are written as matrices,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[G] \otimes c & \xrightarrow{(\delta_{g=g'^{-1}\alpha_g})_{gg'}} & S \circ For(c) \\ \downarrow (\delta_{k'=hg'}\alpha_h)_{k'g'} & & \downarrow (\delta_{kh=hg}\theta_{h,k}^{-1})_{kg} \\ \rho_h(k[G] \otimes c) & \xrightarrow{(\delta_{k=k'^{-1}\rho_h(\alpha_k)})_{kk'}} & \rho_h(S \circ For(c)). \end{array}$$

The top horizontal arrow is an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} so if the diagram commutes it is also an isomorphism in \mathcal{C}^G . We check that it commutes

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_g \delta_{kh=hg}\theta_{h,k}^{-1}\delta_{g=g'^{-1}\alpha_g} &= \delta_{(g')^{-1}=kh}\theta_{h,k}^{-1}\alpha_{kh} = \delta_{(g')^{-1}=kh}\rho_h(\alpha_k)\alpha_h = \\ &= \sum_{k'} \delta_{k=k'^{-1}\rho_h(\alpha_k)}\delta_{k'=hg'}\alpha_h. \end{aligned}$$

\square

3 Hochschild homology of dg categories

3.1 Some background on dg categories

Here we give a brief summary of dg categories and their modules. We follow section 2.1 of [4].

A right module over dg category \mathcal{C} is a dg functor $M : \mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Com}}(k)$ where the later is the dg category whose objects are chain complexes of vector spaces and whose morphism complexes are defined in the usual way. Similarly we define a left module as functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Com}}(k)$. The collection of right (resp. left) dg modules themselves form a dg category in a natural way which we denote $mod - \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C} - mod$). For any $c \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ we denote by h_c the right module represented by c . The category $Z^0(mod - \mathcal{C})$ has the structure of an exact category where a sequence of modules

$$M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M$$

is defined to be exact if for any $c \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ both

$$M(c) \rightarrow M''(c) \rightarrow M'(c)$$

is an exact sequence of complexes and

$$H(M(c)) \rightarrow H(M''(c)) \rightarrow H(M'(c))$$

is an exact sequence of graded vector spaces.

Lemma 6. *If V is any chain complex of vector spaces, and $c \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ then the functor $V \otimes h_c$ is projective in the exact structure defined above.*

Proof. Consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & X \\ & & \downarrow \pi \\ V \otimes h_c & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M. \end{array}$$

The map φ corresponds to a degree 0 cycle

$$\hat{\varphi} \in \mathrm{Hom}_{Z^0(mod-\mathcal{C})}(h_c, \mathrm{Hom}_k^\bullet(V, M(-))) = \mathrm{Hom}_{Ch(k)}(V, M(c))$$

where $\mathrm{Hom}_{Ch(k)}(A, B)$ denotes chain maps between complexes of vector spaces. Because $X(c) \rightarrow M(c)$ and $H(X(c)) \rightarrow H(M(c))$ are both surjective the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{Ch(k)}(V, X(c)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{Ch(k)}(V, M(c))$ is also surjective so we find a lift of $\hat{\varphi}$, call it $\hat{\psi}$. It corresponds to a map $\psi : V \otimes h_c \rightarrow X$ which makes the triangle above commute. \square

If \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} are two dg categories we can form their tensor product $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ which by definition is the dg category whose objects are pairs (c, b) with $c \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $b \in \mathbf{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$ and the morphism complexes are defined by

$$(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B})((c, b), (c', b')) := \mathcal{C}(c, c') \otimes \mathcal{B}(b, b').$$

When defining composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ we take the Koszul sign rule into account.

A right module over $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{op}$ is also called a $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}$ -bimodule. If M is a right \mathcal{C} module and N is a left \mathcal{C} we define their tensor product $M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N$ to be the cokernel of the following map

$$\bigoplus_{c, c' \in \mathcal{C}} M(c) \otimes \mathcal{C}(c', c) \otimes N(c) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{c \in \mathcal{C}} M(c) \otimes N(c), \quad m \otimes f \otimes n \mapsto mf \otimes n - m \otimes fn.$$

Note that $M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N$ is just a chain complex. Similarly, if M is a $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{C}$ -bimodule and N is a $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{D}$ bimodule we can define their tensor product $M \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} N$ which will be a $\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{D}$ -bimodule.

For any \mathcal{C} we have two diagonal bimodules. We denote by $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^r$ the right $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$ module defined by

$$(c_1, c_2) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(c_1, c_2).$$

We denote by $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^l$ the left $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$ module defined by

$$(c_1, c_2) \mapsto \mathcal{C}(c_2, c_1).$$

There is a standard way to resolve $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^l$ by projective left $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$ -modules, called the bar resolution which we will denote by $\text{Bar}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$. It is defined by

$$\text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C}) := \left((x, y) \mapsto \bigoplus_{c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(c_0, x) \otimes \mathcal{C}(c_1, c_0) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}(y, c_n) \right)$$

for $n \geq 1$. For each $n \geq 1$ we have the map of left $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$ modules $d^n : \text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Bar}^{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$ defined by

$$f_0 | f_1 | \dots | f_{n+1} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} (-1)^i f_0 | \dots | f_i f_{i+1} | \dots | f_{n+1}$$

and we have the map $d^0 : \text{Bar}^0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^l$ defined by $f_0 | f_1 \mapsto f_0 f_1$. Note that each $\text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C})$ is projective as a left $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}$ modules by lemma 6. The fact that this is a resolution in the exact structure follows from the fact that for any pair (x, y) we have a contracting homotopy (which is not natural in x and y however)

$$\text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Bar}^{n+1}(\mathcal{C}), \quad f_0 | \dots | f_{n+1} \mapsto \text{id}_x | f_0 | \dots | f_{n+1}.$$

3.2 Hochschild homology

We first define the standard complex which we will use to compute Hochschild homology

Definition 7. Let \mathcal{C} be a small dg category and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be an endofunctor. Set

$$C_n(\mathcal{C}; F) := \bigoplus_{c_0, \dots, c_n \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}(c_1, F(c_0)) \otimes \mathcal{C}(c_2, c_1) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}(c_0, c_n).$$

There is a differential $d_2 : C_n(\mathcal{C}; F) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}; F)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(a_0[a_1 | \dots | a_n]) &= a_0 a_1 [a_2 | \dots | a_n] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i a_0 [\dots | a_i a_{i+1} | \dots] \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n+|a_n|(|a_0|+\dots+|a_{n-1}|)} F(a_n) a_0 [a_1 | \dots | a_{n-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Each $C_n(\mathcal{C}; F)$ is itself a cohomologically graded chain complex $(C_n(\mathcal{C}; F)^\bullet, d_1)$. The differentials d_1 and d_2 commute and therefore we get a total complex (cohomologically graded) by altering the sign of d_1 by $(-1)^n$ on $C_n(\mathcal{C}; F)$ whose k 'th term for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is

$$\bigoplus_{n-m=k} C_m(\mathcal{C}; F)^n.$$

The homology of this complex is the Hochschild homology of \mathcal{C} with values in F and it is denoted $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; F)$.

Remark 8. Different sign conventions appear for the Hochschild differential but they all give rise to isomorphic chain complexes and so we use this one as it is the least complicated. This sign convention appears for example in [3].

If we have two dg categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ and two endofunctors $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, $F' : \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ and $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ is a functor and $\epsilon : \phi \circ F \implies F' \circ \phi$ is a natural transformation, then we get an induced map on Hochschild homology

$$(\phi, \epsilon)_* : HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; F) \rightarrow HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}'; F')$$

defined at the chain level as

$$\mathcal{C}(c_1, F(c_0)) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}(c_0, c_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}'(\phi(c_1), F' \circ \phi(c_0)) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}'(\phi(c_0), \phi(c_n))$$

$$f_0[f_1 | \dots | f_n] \longmapsto \epsilon_{c_0} \phi(f_0) [\phi(f_1) | \dots | \phi(f_n)].$$

We will refer to the data of such a ϕ and ϵ as $(\phi, \epsilon) : (\mathcal{C}, F) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', F')$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ be dg categories, $F \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C}), F' \in \text{End}(\mathcal{C}')$ be two endofunctors, $A_1, A_2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ two dg functors and $\eta_{ij} : A_j \circ F \implies F' \circ A_i$ natural transformations. Set

$$\eta := \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{11} & \eta_{12} \\ \eta_{21} & \eta_{22} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{cc} A_1 \circ F & F \circ A_1 \\ \oplus & \rightarrow \oplus \\ A_2 \circ F & F \circ A_2 \end{array}.$$

Then

$$(A_1 \oplus A_2, \eta)_* \simeq (A_1, \eta_{11})_* + (A_2, \eta_{22})_*$$

as maps $C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; F) \rightarrow C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}'; F')$.

Proof of lemma. Let π_i and ι_i be the natural projections and inclusions associated with the direct sum $A := A_1 \oplus A_2$. Note that for any morphism f in \mathcal{C} we have $A(f) = \iota_1 A_1(f) \pi_1 + \iota_2 A_2(f) \pi_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (A, \eta)_*(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) &= \\ \eta \circ A(f_0)[A(f_1) | \cdots | A(f_k)] &= \\ (\iota_1 \eta_{11} + \iota_2 \eta_{21}) A_1(f_0) \pi_1 [\iota_1 A_1(f_1) \pi_1 | \cdots | \iota_1 A_1(f_k) \pi_1] &+ \\ (\iota_1 \eta_{12} + \iota_2 \eta_{22}) A_2(f_0) \pi_2 [\iota_2 A_2(f_1) \pi_2 | \cdots | \iota_2 A_2(f_k) \pi_2] &+ \\ &\text{mixed terms.} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

For a term in (2) we say that the parity changes at position l (for some $0 \leq l \leq k$) if the $l+1$ 'th factor ends with a ι_2 and the l 'th factor begins with a π_1 or vice versa. When $l = k$ here then the $l+1$ 'th factor refers to the 0 'th factor. So for example

$$\iota_1 \eta_{11} A_1(f_0) \pi_1 [\iota_2 A_2(f_1) \pi_2 | \iota_2 A_2 \pi_2]$$

changes parity at positions 0 and 2 and

$$\iota_1 \eta_{12} A_2(f_0) \pi_2 [\iota_2 A_2(f_1) \pi_2 | \iota_2 A_2 \pi_2]$$

changes parity only at position 2.

For $n \geq 0$ and $i = 1, 2$ let ϕ_i^n be the sum of all the terms in (2) which changes parity at n distinct positions and which has $A_i(f_0)$ in position zero. Then, each ϕ_i^n is a chain map and $(A_1 \oplus A_2, \eta)_* = \sum_{n,i} \phi_i^n$. We have

$$\phi_i^0(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) = \iota_i \eta_{ii} A_i(f_0) \pi_i [\iota_i A_i(f_1) \pi_i | \cdots | \iota_i A_i(f_k) \pi_i]$$

and this is homotopic to $(A_i, \eta_{ii})_*$ via the homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) &:= \\ \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \eta_{ii} A_i(f_0) \pi_i [\iota_i A_i(f_1) \pi_i | \cdots | \iota_i A_i(f_j) \pi_i | \iota_i A_i(f_{j+1}) | \cdots | A_i(f_k)]. \end{aligned}$$

Next, for $n \geq 1$ we have $\phi_1^n \simeq 0$ via the homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} H(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) &:= \\ \sum (-1)^i \iota_\alpha \eta_{\alpha\beta} A_1(f_0) \pi_1 [\iota_1 A_1(f_1) \pi_1 | \cdots | \iota_2 A_2(f_i) \pi_2 | \cdots]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sum is over all the ways in which we can start with $A_1(f_0)$ and then change parity at precisely n positions and then we've inserted ι_2 after the last position that has A_1 in it. Similarly $\phi_2^n \simeq 0$ for $n \geq 1$. This proves the lemma. \square

Suppose we have three dg categories with endofunctors (\mathcal{C}, F) , (\mathcal{C}', F') and (\mathcal{C}'', F'') and $(\phi, \eta) : (\mathcal{C}, F) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', F')$, $(\psi, \epsilon) : (\mathcal{C}', F') \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}'', F'')$. In this situation we define

$$(\psi, \epsilon) \circ (\phi, \eta) := (\psi \circ \phi, \epsilon \star \eta)$$

where $\epsilon \star \eta$ is the composite

$$\psi \circ \phi \circ F \xrightarrow{\psi(\eta)} \psi \circ F' \circ \phi \xrightarrow{\epsilon \phi} F'' \circ \psi \circ \phi.$$

Lemma 10. *We have $((\psi, \epsilon) \circ (\phi, \eta))_* = (\psi, \epsilon)_* \circ (\phi, \eta)_*$.*

Proof. They are just equal already at the chain level. \square

Now suppose we have $(\phi, \eta) : (\mathcal{C}, F) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', F')$ and $\alpha : \phi \xrightarrow{\sim} \psi$ is an isomorphism of functors. Then by abuse of notation we will denote by $\alpha\eta\alpha^{-1}$ the natural transformation $\psi \circ F \Rightarrow F' \circ \psi$ defined as the composite

$$\psi F \xrightarrow{\alpha_F^{-1}} \phi \circ F \xrightarrow{\eta} F' \circ \phi \xrightarrow{F'(\alpha)} F' \circ \psi.$$

Lemma 11. *We have $(\phi, \eta)_* = (\psi, \alpha\eta\alpha^{-1})_*$.*

Proof. The following homotopy proves the equality at the level of homology

$$H(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) := \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \eta \alpha^{-1} \psi(f_0) [\psi(f_1) | \cdots | \psi(f_i) | \alpha | \phi(f_{i+1}) | \cdots | \phi(f_k)].$$

\square

3.3 Künneth isomorphism

In this section we give a proof of the Künneth isomorphism for Hochschild homology and check that it is compatible with the S_2 action. Given two dg categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} we define

$$Sh : C_\bullet(\mathcal{C}) \otimes C_\bullet(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C_\bullet(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B})$$

by the formula

$$f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k] \otimes g_0[g_1 | \cdots | g_l] \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in (k,l)\text{-shuffles}} (-1)^{|\sigma| + \epsilon} f_0 \otimes g_0 [\cdots | f_i \otimes 1 | \cdots | 1 \otimes g_j | \cdots | f_{i+1} \otimes 1 | \cdots | 1 \otimes g_j | \cdots].$$

The sign in the formula above is given by

$$\epsilon = \sum |g_t| |f_s|$$

where the sum is over all pairs (f_s, g_t) so that f_s appears after g_t .

Proposition 12. *The map Sh defined above induces an isomorphism*

$$HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow HH_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

When $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{B}$ this isomorphism commutes with the S_2 action.

Before we prove the proposition we recall some facts about Hochschild homology. Just like for algebras Hochschild homology can be defined in a "basis independent" way as $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^r \overset{L}{\otimes} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^l$. As we show in the lemma below, the standard complex is then obtained by computing this derived tensor product using the bar resolution of $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^l$ described in section 3.1.

Lemma 13. *For each n we have an isomorphism of chain complexes*

$$C_n(\mathcal{C}) \cong \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^r \otimes_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}} \text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, this isomorphism is compatible with the Hochschild and Bar differentials and so it gives an isomorphism

$$C_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Tot}^{\oplus}(\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}^r \otimes_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op}} \text{Bar}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Proof. The complex on the right is the cokernel of

$$\bigoplus_{(x,y),(x',y')} \mathcal{C}(x,y) \otimes (\mathcal{C}(y',y) \otimes \mathcal{C}(x,x')) \otimes \text{Bar}^n(\mathcal{C})(y',x') \rightarrow \bigoplus_{(x,y)} \mathcal{C}(x,y) \otimes \text{Bar}^n(y,x)$$

$$f \otimes (\alpha \otimes \beta) \otimes B \mapsto (-1)^{|\alpha||f|} \alpha f \beta \otimes B - (-1)^{(|B|+|\beta|)|\alpha|} f \otimes \beta B \alpha.$$

The isomorphism is given induced by

$$\bigoplus_{(x,y)} \mathcal{C}(x,y) \otimes \text{Bar}^n(y,x) \rightarrow C_n(\mathcal{C})$$

$$f \otimes b_0 |b_1| \cdots |b_{n+1}| \mapsto (-1)^{b_{n+1}(|b_0|+\dots+|b_n|+|f|)} b_{n+1} f b_0 |b_1| \cdots |b_n|$$

and its inverse sends $a_0 |a_1| \cdots |a_n|$ to the image of $a_0 \otimes 1 |a_1| \dots |a_n| 1$ in the cokernel. \square

If M is a right (or left) \mathcal{C} module and N is a right (or left) \mathcal{B} module then we can form their tensor product over k which will be a right (or left) module over $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$

$$(M \otimes_k N)(c, b) := M(c) \otimes N(b).$$

Note that tensor product of representable modules is again representable. More generally if M and N are projective modules of the special type described in lemma 6 then their tensor product is again of that form.

Lemma 14. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{B} be two small dg categories. We have a homotopy equivalence of left $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{op}$ -modules*

$$Sh' : \text{Bar}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \text{Bar}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Bar}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}).$$

Proof. The map is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bigoplus_{c_1, \dots, c_{p+1}} \mathcal{C}(c_1, -) \mathcal{C}(c_2, c_1) \cdots \mathcal{C}(-, c_{p+1}) \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{b_1, \dots, b_{q+1}} \mathcal{B}(b_1, -) \mathcal{C}(b_2, b_1) \cdots \mathcal{B}(-, b_{q+1}) \right) \\
\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{(c_1, b_1), \dots, (c_{p+q+1}, b_{p+q+1})} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}((c_1, b_1), -) \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}((c_2, b_2), (c_1, c_1)) \cdots \mathcal{C} \mathcal{B}(-, (c_{p+q+1}, b_{p+q+1})) \\
& f_0 |f_1| \cdots |f_p \otimes g_0 |g_1| \cdots |g_q \longmapsto \\
& (-1)^{\epsilon_1} \sum (f_0 \otimes g_0) | \cdots | (f_i \otimes 1) | \cdots | (1 \otimes g_j) | \cdots | (f_{i+1} \otimes 1) | \cdots | (1 \otimes g_{j+1}) | \cdots | (f_p \otimes g_q)
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all $(p-1, q-1)$ -*uffles* σ . The signs are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_1 &= |g_0|(|f_1| + \dots + |f_p|) + |f_p|(|g_1| + \dots + |g_q|) \\
\epsilon_2 &= |\sigma| + \sum |f_i| |g_j|
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum is over all pairs (f_i, g_j) such that g_j appears before f_i . This is a chain map which fits into a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Bar}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \text{Bar}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{Sh'} & \text{Bar}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}) \\
\downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\
\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{=} & \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}
\end{array}$$

Because both $\text{Bar}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \text{Bar}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\text{Bar}(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B})$ form resolutions of $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ by projective $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{op} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{op}$ -modules Sh' is a homotopy equivalence. \square

Proof of proposition 12. The isomorphism is obtained by tensoring the homotopy equivalence Sh' from the previous lemma on the left with $\Delta_{\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}}^r$. \square

4 Hochschild homology of equivariant category

Now we again consider an action of a finite group G on a small dg category \mathcal{C} . Let $g \in G$ and denote by $C(g)$ the subgroup of elements that commute with g . Then, for any $h \in C(g)$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$C_{h,g} := \theta_{g,h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h,g} : \rho_h \circ \rho_g \implies \rho_g \circ \rho_h$$

and they induce isomorphisms

$$(\rho_h, C_{h,g})_* : HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}, \rho_g) \xrightarrow{\sim} HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}, \rho_h).$$

Lemma 15. *The assignment $h \mapsto (\rho_h, C_{h,g})_*$ defines a right action of $C(g)$ on $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}, \rho_g)$.*

Proof. We have

$$(\rho_h, C_{h,g}) \circ (\rho_{h'}, C_{h',g}) = (\rho_h \circ \rho_{h'}, (C_{h,g})_{\rho_{h'}} \circ \rho_h(C_{h',g})).$$

and $\theta_{h,h'} : \rho_h \circ \rho_{h'} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_{h'h}$ and $\theta_{h,h'}(C_{h,g} \star C_{h',g}) \theta_{h,h'}^{-1} = C_{h'h,g}$. It then follows from lemmas 10 and 11 that $(\rho_h, C_{h,g})_* \circ (\rho_{h'}, C_{h',g})_* = (\rho_{h'h}, C_{h'h,g})_*$. \square

The tensor product functor $\text{Rep}(G) \times \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ makes $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$ into a module over the ring $R_k(G) := R(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k$ where $R(G)$ is the representation ring of G . When $k = \mathbb{C}$ it follows from character theory that this ring is nothing but the ring of complex valued functions on the set of conjugacy classes of G . Denote by $\varphi_{[g]} \in R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ the indicator function on the conjugacy class of g . Note that the $\varphi_{[g]}$ form a collection of pairwise orthogonal idempotents which sum to the identity. Therefore, any $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ -module M decomposes as $\bigoplus_{[g] \in G/\sim} \varphi_{[g]} \cdot M$.

Now we are ready to state and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 16. *Let G be a finite group which acts on a small dg category \mathcal{C} and assume that \mathcal{C} has finite direct sums. Then we have*

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) \cong \bigoplus_{[g] \in G/\sim} HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)}$$

where \sim refers to conjugacy. Moreover, when the ground field is \mathbb{C} , this isomorphism identifies $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)}$ with the submodule $\varphi_{[g]} \cdot HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) \subset HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$.

Proof. We will first define inclusions and projections ι_g, π_g and show that they give rise to a decomposition $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) \cong \bigoplus_{[g] \in G/\sim} HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)}$. Then we check that the image of $\iota_g \circ \pi_g$ is precisely the submodule $\varphi_{[g]} HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) \subset HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$.

Let $For : \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$ be the functors introduced in section 2. We define $\pi_g = (For, \alpha_g)_* : HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) \rightarrow HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)$ where (by abuse of notation) α_g denotes the natural isomorphism $For \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_g \circ For$ whose value at an equivariant object (c, α) is $\alpha_g : c \rightarrow \rho_g(c)$.

Claim 1: The map π_g lands in $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)}$.

Proof of claim 1: We consider $(\rho_h, C_{h,g}) \circ (For, \alpha_g) = (\rho_h \circ For, C_{h,g} \star \alpha_g)$ and note that $\alpha_h : For \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho_h \circ For$ and $C_{h,g} \star \alpha_g = \alpha_h \alpha_g \alpha_h^{-1}$. The claim then follows from lemmas 10 and 11.

Let us denote by $\hat{S} := For \circ S$ and recall from proposition 5 that it is isomorphic (or in fact equal) to $\bigoplus_{g \in G} \rho_g$. Now define $\iota_g : HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)} \rightarrow HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$ by

$$HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g)^{C(g)} \rightarrow HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}; \rho_g) \xrightarrow{S_g} HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$$

where $S_g = (S, \phi_g)_*$ and $\phi_g : S(\rho_g(x_0)) \rightarrow S(x_0)$ is the natural isomorphism

$$(\phi_g)_{hh'} = \delta_{gh'=h} \theta_{h',g}.$$

Claim 2: $\pi_g \circ \iota_g \simeq |C(g)| \cdot \text{id}$.

Proof of claim 2: Note that $\pi_g \circ S_g = (For \circ S, \alpha_g \star \phi_g)$ and $\alpha_g \star \phi_g : \hat{S}(\rho_g(x_0)) \rightarrow \rho_g(\hat{S}(x_0))$ is give by $(\alpha_g \star \phi_g)_{hh'} = \delta_{hg=gh'} \theta_{g,h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h',g}$. By lemma 9 we see that $\pi_g \circ \tilde{S} = \sum_{h \in C(g)} (\rho_h, C_{h,g})_*$ and from this the claim follows.

Claim 3: $\pi_g \circ \iota_{g'} \simeq 0$ if $g \not\sim g'$.

This also follows from lemma 9.

Claim 4: If g and g' are conjugate then $\iota_g \pi_g \simeq \iota_{g'} \pi_{g'}$.

Proof of claim 4: Suppose $g' = h^{-1}gh$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (\mathcal{C}, \rho_g) & & \\
 & (F, \alpha_g) \nearrow & \downarrow & \searrow (S, \phi_g) & \\
 (\mathcal{C}^G, \text{id}) & & & & (\mathcal{C}^G, \text{id}) \\
 & (F, \alpha_{g'}) \searrow & \downarrow (\rho_h, \theta_{g',h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h,g}) & \nearrow (S, \phi_{g'}) & \\
 & & (\mathcal{C}, \rho_{g'}) & &
 \end{array}$$

We have a natural isomorphism $\alpha_h : F \Rightarrow \rho_h \circ F$ and

$$(\rho_h, \theta_{g',h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h,g}) \circ (F, \alpha_g) = (\rho_h \circ F, \rho_{g'}(\alpha_h) \circ \alpha_{g'} \circ \alpha_h^{-1}).$$

Also, we have a natural isomorphism $\phi_h : S \circ \rho_h \Rightarrow S$ and

$$(S, \phi_{g'}) \circ (\rho_h, \theta_{g',h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h,g}) = (S \circ \rho_h, \phi_h^{-1} \circ \phi_g \circ (\phi_h)_{\rho_g}).$$

Now using lemmas 10 and 11 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \iota_g \pi_g &= (S, \phi_g)_* \circ (F, \alpha_g)_* \\
 &= (S, \phi_{g'})_* \circ (\rho_h, \theta_{g',h}^{-1} \circ \theta_{h,g})_* \circ (F, \alpha_g)_* \\
 &= (S, \phi_{g'})_* \circ (F, \alpha_{g'})_* = \iota_{g'} \circ \pi_{g'}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Claim 5:

$$\sum_{[g] \in G/\sim} \frac{\iota_g \circ \pi_g}{|C(g)|} = \text{id}_{HH \bullet (\mathcal{C}^G)}$$

Proof of claim 5: By the previous claim we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{[g] \in G/\sim} \frac{\iota_g \circ \pi_g}{|C(g)|} &= \sum_{[g] \in G/\sim} |\text{conj}(g)| \frac{\iota_g \circ \pi_g}{|G|} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \iota_g \circ \pi_g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next we note that

$$\iota_g \circ \pi_g = (S, \phi_g)_* \circ (For, \alpha_g)_* = (S \circ For, \phi_g \star \alpha_g)_*$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{g \in G} \iota_g \circ \pi_g = (S \circ For, \sum_{g \in G} \phi_g \star \alpha_g).$$

Moreover

$$\sum_{g \in G} \phi_g \star \alpha_g : S \circ For \implies S \circ For$$

is given in matrix form by

$$\left(\sum_{g \in G} \phi_g \star \alpha_g \right)_{h'h} = \alpha_{h'} \circ \alpha_h^{-1} = (I \circ P)_{h'h}$$

where

$$I : \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^G} \implies S \circ For, \quad P : S \circ For \implies \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}^G}$$

are the inclusion- and projection-natural transformation associated with the identity component of $\mathbb{1} \otimes (-) \subset k[G] \otimes (-)$ (see proposition 5). Let $S' = S \circ For$. Now the following homotopy completes the proof of the claim.

$$\begin{aligned} & H(f_0[f_1 | \cdots | f_k]) = \\ & \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i P \circ S'(f_0) | S'(f_0) | \cdots | S'(f_i) | I | f_{i+1} | \cdots | f_k. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to prove the second part of the theorem, that when the ground field is \mathbb{C} we have $\iota_g \circ \pi_g(HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)) = \varphi_{[g]} \cdot HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$. Since we know now that $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) = \bigoplus_{[g] \in G/\sim} \iota_g \circ \pi_g(HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G))$ and $HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G) = \bigoplus_{[g] \in G/\sim} \varphi_{[g]} \cdot HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$ it suffices to prove that $\iota_g \circ \pi_g(HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)) \subset \varphi_{[g]} \cdot HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^G)$ for each conjugacy class $[g]$. Note that for any $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ module M we have that

$$\varphi_{[g]} \cdot M = \{m \in M | [V] \cdot m = \chi_V(g) \cdot m \text{ for any representation } V\}.$$

Let (V, ρ_V) be a representation with basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Let $T_V := V \otimes (-) : \mathcal{C}^G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^G$. Then, multiplication by $[V]$ on Hochschild homology is the same as $(T_V, 1)_*$. But note that using proposition 5 we have the following isomorphism of functors

$$T_V \circ S \circ For \cong T_V \circ T_{k[G]} \cong T_{k[G]} \circ T_V = S \circ For \circ T_V \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S \circ For. \quad (3)$$

Call the composite of these natural isomorphisms τ . It is given in matrix form by

$$\tau_{(i,k),(j,h)} = \delta_{h=k} \rho_V(k)_{ij}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $h, k \in G$. Let $I_j : S \circ For \implies T_V \circ S \circ For$ and $P_j : T_V \circ S \circ For \implies S \circ For$ be the inclusion and projection-natural transformations associated with the decomposition (3) above. We have

$$P_j \circ T_V(\phi_g \star \alpha_g) \circ I_j = \rho_V(g)_{jj} \cdot (\phi_g \star \alpha_g).$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_V, 1)_* \circ \iota_g \circ \pi_g &= (T_V, 1)_* \circ (S, \phi_g)_* \circ (For, \alpha_g)_* \\
&= (T_V \circ S \circ For, T_V(\phi_g \star \alpha_g))_* \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_V(g)_{jj} \cdot (S \circ For, \phi_g \star \alpha_g)_* \\
&= \chi_V(g)(\iota_g \circ \pi_g)
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is lemma 10 and the third equality combines the decomposition (3) above and lemma 9. \square

4.1 The case of symmetric powers

Now for any dg category \mathcal{C} we can define its tensor powers. Recall that by definition $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ is the dg category with objects $x_\bullet = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with each $x_i \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$. The homomorphism spaces are given by $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}}(x_\bullet, y_\bullet) := \mathcal{C}(x_1, y_1) \otimes \mathcal{C}(x_2, y_2) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{C}(x_n, y_n)$. There is a right action of S^n on \mathcal{C} defined on objects by $\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)})$. This action is strict in the strongest possible sense. All the higher categorical data is trivial, $\theta_{\sigma, \tau} = \text{id}$, $\eta = \text{id}$. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}}$ be the pre triangulated hull of $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$ and note that S_n still acts on $\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}}$. If we set $\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{C}) = (\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}})^{S_n}$ then the theorem tells us

$$HH_\bullet(\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{C})) = \bigoplus_{[\sigma] \in S_n / \sim} HH_\bullet(\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}}, \rho_\sigma)^{C(\sigma)}.$$

In particular it contains one summand (the one corresponding to the identity permutation)

$$HH_\bullet(\widehat{\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}})^{S_n} \cong HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n})^{S_n} \cong (HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes n})^{S_n} = \text{Sym}^n(HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}))$$

where the second isomorphism is the Künneth isomorphism (which is an isomorphism of S_n -modules). We get the following corollary.

Corollary 17. *If \mathcal{C} is a small dg category then $HH_\bullet(\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{C}))$ contains naturally $\text{Sym}^n(HH_\bullet(\mathcal{C}))$ as a summand.*

References

- [1] P. Belmans, L. Fu, A. Krug, *Hochschild cohomology of Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*. arXiv:2309.06244 [math.AG]
- [2] E. Getzler, J.D. Jones, *The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras*. J. Reine Angew. Math.445(1993), 161–174.

- [3] J-L. Loday *Cyclic Homology*. 2nd ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [4] A. Polishchuk, L. Positselski *Hochschild (co)homology of the second kind I*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.364(2012), no.10, 5311–5368.
- [5] S. Quddus *Equivariant Cartan homotopy formulae for the crossed product of DG algebra*. Rocky Mountain J. Math.51(2021), no.4, 1399–1406.