

SELF-NORMALIZED SUMS IN FREE PROBABILITY THEORY

LEONIE NEUFELD

ABSTRACT. We show that the distribution of self-normalized sums of free self-adjoint random variables converges weakly to Wigner’s semicircle law under appropriate conditions and estimate the rate of convergence in terms of the Kolmogorov distance. In the case of free identically distributed self-adjoint bounded random variables, we retrieve the standard rate of order $n^{-1/2}$ up to a logarithmic factor, whereas we obtain a rate of order $n^{-1/4}$ in the corresponding unbounded setting. These results provide free versions of certain self-normalized limit theorems in classical probability theory.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of free self-adjoint non-commutative random variables and define

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \quad U_n := V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2} \quad (1.1)$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The aim of this work is to prove that the distribution of the *self-normalized sum* U_n converges weakly to Wigner’s semicircle law as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and to determine the corresponding rate of convergence.

1.1. Self-normalized sums in classical probability theory. Before we state our results, let us discuss self-normalized sums in classical probability theory.

Given a sequence of classical random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, let S_n and V_n^2 be defined as in (1.1). Under the convention $S_n/V_n := 0$ on the event $\{V_n = 0\}$, the quotient $S_n/V_n = V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ is called a self-normalized sum. The interest in such self-normalized sums is twofold: First, it is known that the random variable S_n/V_n is closely related to the classical Student’s t -statistic, thus making it relevant in mathematical statistics. Second, self-normalized sums often exhibit an improved limiting behavior and allow limit theorems under weaker distributional assumptions than sums that are normalized in the usual way, i.e. deterministically as in the central limit theorem. The intuition behind this phenomenon is that the denominator V_n weakens irregular fluctuations of the numerator S_n in a stronger way than the usual normalization.

In the following, we collect some results on the asymptotics of self-normalized sums. We start with an intuitive approach to determine the limiting distribution of S_n/V_n under the exemplary assumption that the random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with mean zero and unit variance. According to the central limit theorem, the sum $n^{-1/2} S_n$ is asymptotically standard normal. The weak law of large numbers states that $n^{-1} V_n^2$ converges in probability to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Lastly, an application of Slutsky’s theorem yields that S_n/V_n converges in distribution to the standard normal distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L54, 60F05.

Key words and phrases. free probability, self-normalized sums, central limit theorem, Berry–Esseen theorem, superconvergence.

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany; lneufeld@math.uni-bielefeld.de.

Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – IRTG 2235 – 282638148.

Since the above-mentioned argument considers numerator and denominator separately, the concept of self-normalization hardly comes into effect. In particular, the previously required moment conditions are unnecessarily strong as can be seen by the following self-normalized central limit theorem established by Giné, Götze, and Mason [GGM97]: Given a sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of i.i.d. random variables, the self-normalized sum S_n/V_n is asymptotically standard normal if and only if X_1 is in the domain of attraction of the normal law and $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$. This proves a conjecture made by Logan, Mallows, Rice, and Shepp [Log+73]. As already observed by Maller [Mal81] among others, the if-part of the stated equivalence follows easily by a variation of Raikov’s theorem. The proof of the only-if-direction is more involved and makes use of the fact that the moments of S_n/V_n converge to those of the standard normal distribution, whenever S_n/V_n is asymptotically standard normal. It is worth noting that in general the convergence of moments does not hold in the central limit theorem.

Mason [Mas05] and Shao [Sha18] generalized the previously stated self-normalized central limit theorem to independent non-identically distributed (non-i.i.d.) random variables. The rate of convergence to normality in terms of the Kolmogorov distance was analyzed by Bentkus and Götze [BG96] in the i.i.d. case and by Bentkus, Bloznelis, and Götze [BBG96] in the independent non-i.i.d. setting. Under the assumption of zero mean and finite third absolute moments, both works provide a rate of convergence of the distribution of S_n/V_n to the standard normal distribution, which coincides with the corresponding rate in the Berry-Esseen theorem, i.e. with $n^{-1/2}$ or the third Lyapunov fraction. In particular, Lindeberg’s condition is sufficient for S_n/V_n to be asymptotically standard normal.

We refer to [PLS09, SW13] for extensive overviews of the theory of self-normalized sums, including the analysis of non-uniform Berry-Esseen bounds and self-normalized large deviations as well as the study of all possible (non-Gaussian) limiting distributions of self-normalized sums and generalizations of such sums to the setting of random vectors.

1.2. Self-normalized sums in free probability theory – Main results. We continue by analyzing if and to what extent we can formulate self-normalized limit theorems in free probability theory.

Considering the intuitive approach to self-normalized sums presented in Section 1.1 and the fact that we have free analogs of the central limit theorem and the law of large numbers, one might think that a free self-normalized limit theorem with Wigner’s semicircle law as the limiting distribution can be derived without much effort. Indeed, as we will see in the course of this work, the proof for such a limit theorem is still rather intuitive, but we encounter two difficulties: First, we have to define an appropriate non-commutative analog of a self-normalized sum, with particular attention paid to the normalizing part due to the needed inversion process. Second, we miss a free analog of Slutsky’s theorem that helps to combine the limits arising from the free central limit theorem and the law of large numbers.

We solve these two problems as follows: Given a sequence of free self-adjoint non-commutative random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and letting the sums S_n and V_n^2 be defined as in (1.1), it turns out that V_n^2 is invertible under appropriate conditions. Hence, as already indicated at the beginning of this work, the self-adjoint random variable $U_n = V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ from (1.1) can be seen as a non-commutative self-normalized sum. The use of Slutsky’s theorem as explained in Section 1.1 will be substituted by the machinery of Cauchy transforms. For completeness, let us mention that in some cases, Slutsky’s theorem can also be replaced by a convergence result for non-commutative rational expressions in strongly convergent random variables; compare to Remark 4.5.

A concrete realization of these ideas depends heavily on whether the random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are bounded or unbounded. Thus, in the following, we differentiate between *bounded* and *unbounded self-normalized sums*. Recall that random variables are called bounded, whenever they are elements in a C^* -algebra belonging to a C^* -probability space, whereas unbounded random variables are given by unbounded linear operators on some Hilbert space that are affiliated with a von Neumann algebra. We refer to Section 2 for the definitions.

Let us begin with the study of bounded self-normalized sums. Our first result shows that self-normalized sums of free self-adjoint (possibly non-i.i.d.) bounded random variables converge to Wigner's semicircle law ω under appropriate conditions on some Lyapunov-type fraction with a rate that is only slightly worse than the one obtained in the free central limit theorem; compare to [Theorem 2.1](#). We measure the rate of convergence in terms of the Kolmogorov distance denoted by Δ and defined in [\(2.3\)](#) and consider two modes of convergence, namely weak convergence and convergence of the moments of the corresponding distributions.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a C^* -probability space with faithful tracial functional φ and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of free self-adjoint random variables in \mathcal{A} with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \quad B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$$

and assume $B_n^2 > 0$. Moreover, set

$$L_{S,3n} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}^3}{B_n^3}, \quad L_{S,4n} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}^4}{B_n^4}.$$

Then, under the condition $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$, the self-normalized sum $U_n := V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ is well-defined in \mathcal{A} . If we additionally assume that $L_{S,3n} < 1/2e$ holds and let μ_n denote the analytic distribution of U_n , we have

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C \max \left\{ |\log L_{S,3n}| L_{S,3n}, |\log L_{S,4n}| L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\}$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Lastly, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$ holds, we obtain $\mu_n \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \mu_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \omega(dx)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will comment on the subscript S (standing for support) in the Lyapunov-type fractions $L_{S,3n}$ and $L_{S,4n}$ in [Section 2.3](#). Moreover, for a discussion of the conditions imposed in the theorem above as well as of possible variations of it, we refer to [Remark 4.4](#). At this point, let us just mention that the assumption $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$ is stronger than the free analog of Lindeberg's condition given in [\(1.2\)](#).

As a byproduct of our proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we obtain that bounded self-normalized sums partly exhibit *superconvergence* to Wigner's semicircle law. More precisely, as stated in the following corollary, the support of the distribution of the self-normalized sum from above is close to that of Wigner's semicircle law.

Corollary 1.2. *Using the notation introduced in [Theorem 1.1](#), assume that $B_n^2 > 0$ and $L_{S,4n} < 1/64$ hold. Then, we have*

$$\text{supp } \mu_n \subset \left(-2 - \frac{\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|X_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}}{B_n} - 57L_{S,4n}^{1/2}, 2 + \frac{\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \|X_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}}{B_n} + 57L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right).$$

Note that the combination of the last two results with [[CM14](#), Proposition 2.1] implies that, under the condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$, the self-normalized sum U_n from [Theorem 1.1](#) strongly converges to a standard semicircular element as $n \rightarrow \infty$; see [Section 2.1](#) for the definitions of strong convergence and semicircular elements.

In the special case of identical distributions, all conditions on the Lyapunov-type fractions made above are satisfied for large n . Thus, in that case, [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Corollary 1.2](#) take the following form:

Corollary 1.3. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a C^* -probability space with faithful tracial functional φ and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of free identically distributed self-adjoint random variables in \mathcal{A} with $\varphi(X_1) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_1^2) = 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define S_n and V_n^2 as in [Theorem 1.1](#). Then, for $n > 16\|X_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^4$, the self-normalized sum $U_n := V_n^{-1/2}S_nV_n^{-1/2}$ is well-defined in \mathcal{A} . If we let μ_n denote the analytic distribution of U_n for those n , we have*

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C\|X_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^3 \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. In particular, it follows $\mu_n \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \mu_n(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^k \omega(dx)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Lastly, for $n > 64\|X_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^4$, we obtain

$$\text{supp } \mu_n \subset \left(-2 - \frac{58\|X_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{\sqrt{n}}, 2 + \frac{58\|X_1\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

Hence, in the case of identical distributions, the limiting behavior of self-normalized sums is comparable to that of sums considered in the free central limit theorem: First, up to some logarithmic factor, the distributions of both sums exhibit the same rate of convergence to Wigner's semicircle law measured in terms of the Kolmogorov distance; compare to [Theorem 2.1](#). Second, both sums admit convergence of their support to $[-2, 2]$ with identical speed up to constants; see [[Kar07b](#)].

Let us continue with analyzing unbounded self-normalized sums. We obtain an unbounded analog of [Theorem 1.1](#) under the assumption of finite fourth moments and Lindeberg's condition. Below, for a W^* -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) , we denote the corresponding non-commutative \mathcal{L}^4 -space by $\mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$. Recall that $\mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ is contained in the algebra $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ of all operators that are affiliated with \mathcal{A} ; compare to [Section 2.2](#) for more details.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a W^* -probability space with tracial functional φ . Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of free self-adjoint random variables in $\mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define S_n, V_n^2 , and B_n^2 as in [Theorem 1.1](#). Assume that $B_n^2 > 0$ as well as Lindeberg's condition, i.e.*

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{|x| > \varepsilon B_n} x^2 \mu_{X_i}(dx) = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

with μ_{X_i} being the analytic distribution of X_i for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, are satisfied. Moreover, set

$$L_{4n} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(|X_i|^4)}{B_n^4}.$$

Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the self-normalized sum $U_n := V_n^{-1/2}S_nV_n^{-1/2}$ is well-defined in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $n \geq n_0$. If we let μ_n denote the analytic distribution of U_n for those n , we have

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C \left(L_{4n}^{1/4} + \sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} + nL_{4n}^{5/4} \right)$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Lastly, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}L_{4n} = 0$ holds, we obtain $\mu_n \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the setting of the above theorem and under the additional condition that the Lyapunov fraction L_{4n} is of order n^{-1} , we deduce that $\Delta(\mu_n, \omega)$ is of order $n^{-1/4}$ for sufficiently large n . This includes the case of identical distributions; the corresponding result is formulated in the following corollary.

Corollary 1.5. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a W^* -probability space with tracial functional φ . Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of free identically distributed self-adjoint random variables in $\mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with $\varphi(X_1) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_1^2) = 1$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define S_n and V_n^2 as in [Theorem 1.1](#). Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the self-normalized sum $U_n := V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ is well-defined in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $n \geq n_0$. If we let μ_n denote the analytic distribution of U_n for those n , we have*

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C \frac{\varphi(|X_1|^4)^{5/4}}{n^{1/4}}$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. In particular, it follows $\mu_n \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By our approach, we were not able to establish rates of convergence that go beyond the ones obtained in [Theorem 1.4](#) and [Corollary 1.5](#). A comment on the difficulties encountered is provided in [Remark 5.9](#).

For completeness, let us mention that all of the above results apply to self-normalized sums of free self-adjoint random variables contained in a von Neumann algebra. Note that in the special case of identical distributions, the rate of convergence stated in [Corollary 1.3](#) is better than the one derived in [Corollary 1.5](#). In the non-i.d. case, the condition for the existence of the self-normalized sum given in [Theorem 1.4](#) is more accessible than that in [Theorem 1.1](#).

Organization. This paper is structured as follows: We start with recalling the basics of free probability theory and formulate a few auxiliary results in [Section 2](#). In [Section 3](#), we derive versions of Bai's inequality relating the Kolmogorov distance between two probability measures to their Cauchy transforms. [Section 4](#) is divided into two parts. In [Section 4.1](#), we prove [Theorem 1.1](#) and [Corollaries 1.2](#) and [1.3](#). Then, in [Section 4.2](#), we study self-normalized sums of independent GUE matrices, providing an example of how the intuitive approach to self-normalized sums can be applied in the context of random matrices. The results on unbounded self-normalized sums, i.e. [Theorem 1.4](#) and [Corollary 1.5](#), are verified in [Section 5](#).

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Friedrich Götze for numerous discussions and helpful feedback on this project. Moreover, I thank Franz Lehner for valuable comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The aim of this section consists of recalling the basic concepts of free probability theory with main focus on its operator-algebraic aspects. We start with the introduction of non-commutative bounded random variables in the context of C^* -probability spaces in [Section 2.1](#), followed by an overview of non-commutative possibly unbounded random variables realized as operators that are affiliated with a von Neumann algebra in [Section 2.2](#). In [Section 2.3](#) we discuss rates of convergence in the free central limit theorem in the bounded and unbounded setting.

2.1. Bounded random variables. In the following, we define C^* -probability spaces and introduce non-commutative bounded random variables. We refer to [\[NS06, KR97\]](#) for extensive overviews including the definitions and statements given below.

A tuple (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a $*$ -probability space if \mathcal{A} is a unital $*$ -algebra over \mathbb{C} with unit $1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *positive* linear functional with $\varphi(1_{\mathcal{A}}) = 1$. Recall that φ is said to be positive if we have $\varphi(x^*x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. For later reference, let us mention that φ is called *tracial* if $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ and *faithful* whenever $\varphi(x^*x) = 0$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$, implies $x = 0$. We say that (\mathcal{A}, φ) is a C^* -probability space if it is a $*$ -probability space and \mathcal{A} is a unital C^* -algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

From now on, let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a C^* -probability space. Since \mathcal{A} is endowed with an involution, we can define *normal* and *self-adjoint* elements in \mathcal{A} as usual. Let $\sigma(x) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z1_{\mathcal{A}} - x \text{ is not invertible in } \mathcal{A}\}$ denote the *spectrum* of $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Recall that for any normal $x \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists a *continuous functional calculus* allowing to define $f(x)$ as an element in \mathcal{A} for all continuous functions $f : \sigma(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Making use of that functional calculus, we obtain $\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup\{|z| : z \in \sigma(x)\}$ for normal $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

We use the notation $x \geq 0$ to indicate that $x \in \mathcal{A}$ is *positive*, i.e. x is self-adjoint and we have $\sigma(x) \subset [0, \infty)$. It is easy to prove that the set of all positive elements is a convex cone in the real vector space \mathcal{A}_{sa} of all self-adjoint elements in \mathcal{A} . The notion of positivity induces a partial order on \mathcal{A}_{sa} as follows: We write $x \leq y$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ if $y - x \geq 0$ holds. Let us recall a few properties of this order: First, for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ and $c \in \mathcal{A}$, the relation $x \leq y$ implies $c^*xc \leq c^*yc$. Consequently, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we get $0 \leq y^*x^*xy \leq \|x\|_{\mathcal{A}}^2|y|^2$ with $|y| := (y^*y)^{1/2}$ being defined via functional calculus. Second, for $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ satisfying $0 \leq x \leq y$, we have $0 \leq x^\alpha \leq y^\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(y)$, and $\|x\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \|y\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Additionally, if x is invertible in \mathcal{A} , then one can prove that y is invertible in \mathcal{A} with $0 \leq y^{-1} \leq x^{-1}$.

We refer to elements in \mathcal{A} as (*bounded*) *random variables*. A family of unital subalgebras $(\mathcal{A}_i)_{i \in I}$ in \mathcal{A} for some index set I is called *free* if for any choice of indices $i_1, \dots, i_k \in I$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $i_1 \neq i_2$, $i_2 \neq i_3, \dots, i_{k-1} \neq i_k$ and all $x_j \in \mathcal{A}_{i_j}$ with $\varphi(x_j) = 0$, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we have $\varphi(x_1 \cdots x_k) = 0$. A family of random variables $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ is said to be free if the unital subalgebras generated by $x_i, i \in I$, are free. The (*analytic*) *distribution* μ_x of a random variable $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{sa}}$ is given by the unique probability measure μ_x on \mathbb{R} with $\text{supp } \mu_x \subset \sigma(x)$ (or even $\text{supp } \mu_x = \sigma(x)$ if φ is faithful) satisfying

$$\varphi(f(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_x(dt)$$

for any continuous function $f : \sigma(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. A random variable in \mathcal{A} with distribution given by Wigner's semicircle law ω is called a *standard semicircular random variable*. Recalling that the *Cauchy transform* G_μ of a probability measure μ on \mathbb{R} is given by

$$G_\mu(z) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{z - t} \mu(dt), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Im z > 0\}, \quad (2.1)$$

we can write $\varphi((z1_{\mathcal{A}} - x)^{-1}) = G_{\mu_x}(z)$ for any self-adjoint $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Sometimes, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we abbreviate $z1_{\mathcal{A}} = z$, where it is clear from the context if we consider z as a complex number or an element in \mathcal{A} .

Lastly, let us define *strong convergence* of (tuples of) bounded random variables; compare to [CM14]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x^{(n)} = (x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_m^{(n)})$ be an m -tuple of random variables in \mathcal{A} . We say that $(x^{(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ strongly converges to an m -tuple $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ of elements in \mathcal{A} as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi \left(P(x^{(n)}, (x^{(n)})^*) \right) = \varphi(P(x, x^*)) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| P(x^{(n)}, (x^{(n)})^*) \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \|P(x, x^*)\|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

for any polynomial P in $2m$ non-commuting formal variables. Note that the above-mentioned convergence in φ is also known as *convergence in distribution*.

2.2. Unbounded random variables. The extension of bounded random variables to unbounded ones is done in the context of unbounded operators on some Hilbert space that are affiliated with a von Neumann algebra acting on that space. We start with introducing the basic setting, followed by a discussion of affiliated operators in [Section 2.2.1](#). Lastly, in [Section 2.2.2](#), we recall the theory of non-commutative \mathcal{L}^p -spaces.

Throughout this section, let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ and let $B(\mathcal{H})$ denote the vector space of bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . We denote the identity operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$ by 1, whereas the operator norm is given by $\|\cdot\|$. Moreover, fix a W^* -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) with tracial φ , i.e. \mathcal{A} is a von Neumann algebra acting on \mathcal{H} and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional with $\varphi(1) = 1$, which is faithful, *normal*, tracial, and positive. Recall that φ is normal if we have $\sup_{i \in I} \varphi(T_i) = \varphi(\sup_{i \in I} T_i)$ for each monotone increasing net $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ of operators in \mathcal{A} .

Before we discuss affiliated operators, we formulate a few results from the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} . For a self-adjoint operator $T : D(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ with domain $D(T) \subset \mathcal{H}$, let E_T denote its *spectral measure* defined on the Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ taking values in the set of orthogonal projections of \mathcal{H} . Recall that the *spectrum* of T is given by $\sigma(T) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z1 - T \text{ is not a bijection of } D(T) \text{ onto } \mathcal{H}\}$

and that the support of E_T is equal to $\sigma(T)$. For any E_T -almost surely (a.s.) finite Borel function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, the closed densely defined operator $f(T) : D(f(T)) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is given by the *spectral integral* $f(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) E_T(d\lambda)$ with $D(f(T)) \subset \mathcal{H}$ being some suitably defined domain. The assignment $f \mapsto f(T)$ is known as the *functional calculus* for T ; we refer to [Sch12, Chapter 5.3] for an extensive overview of properties of that calculus. For $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, we call $E_T(M) = \mathbf{1}_M(T)$ a *spectral projection*.

2.2.1. Affiliated operators. We say that a closed densely defined operator T on \mathcal{H} with polar decomposition $T = V|T|$ for $|T| := (T^*T)^{1/2}$, is *affiliated with* \mathcal{A} if we have $V, E_{|T|}(M) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $M \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Note that a bounded operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is affiliated with \mathcal{A} if and only if $T \in \mathcal{A}$ holds.

Let $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the set of all operators on \mathcal{H} which are affiliated with \mathcal{A} . Equipped with the operation of taking adjoints, the strong multiplication, and the strong addition (given by the closures of the usual multiplication and addition), $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ is a $*$ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} ; compare to [MN36]. From now on, whenever we add or multiply operators in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$, we always refer to the corresponding strong operation.

The set of all self-adjoint operators in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ is denoted by $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$. As can be shown with the help of [Sch12, Propositions 4.23 and 5.15], we have $f(T) \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ and any E_T -a.s. finite Borel function f . Note that this statement implies that a self-adjoint operator T is affiliated with \mathcal{A} if and only if $f(T) \in \mathcal{A}$ holds for any E_T -a.s. bounded Borel function f . In particular, for $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, we get $(z1 - T)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $z \notin \sigma(T)$ and the second resolvent identity remains valid in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, i.e. we have

$$(z1 - T)^{-1}(T - S)(z1 - S)^{-1} = (z1 - T)^{-1} - (z1 - S)^{-1}$$

for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ and $z \notin \sigma(T) \cup \sigma(S)$. Again, for complex z , we sometimes abbreviate $z1 = z$.

Recall that a self-adjoint operator $T : D(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is called *positive* – denoted by $T \geq 0$ – if we have $\langle Tx, x \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0$ for any $x \in D(T)$. The convex cone of all positive operators in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ is denoted by $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+$. We can define a partial order on $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ by writing $S \leq T$ for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ whenever $T - S \geq 0$ holds. Let us state a few properties of the just-defined order; we refer to [DP14, Proposition 1] and [Sch12, Proposition 10.14] for the corresponding proofs. First, for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ with $S \leq T$ and $C \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $C^*SC \leq C^*TC$. Second, for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, the inequality $0 \leq S \leq T$ implies $0 \leq S^\alpha \leq T^\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Moreover, if S is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$, then T is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ with $0 \leq T^{-1} \leq S^{-1}$. Third, for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+$, we have $S \leq T$ if and only if $D(T^{1/2}) \subset D(S^{1/2})$ and $\|S^{1/2}x\| \leq \|T^{1/2}x\|$ hold for all $x \in D(T^{1/2})$.

As introduced in [BV93], we call elements in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ (*unbounded*) *random variables*. For a random variable $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ with polar decomposition $T = V|T|$, let $W^*(T) \subset \mathcal{A}$ denote the von Neumann subalgebra generated by V and all spectral projections of $|T|$. A family of random variables $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for some index set I is said to be *free* if the von Neumann subalgebras $(W^*(T_i))_{i \in I}$ are free in \mathcal{A} ; compare to Section 2.1 for the definition of freeness in \mathcal{A} . The (*analytic*) *distribution* μ_T of an operator $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$ is the unique probability measure on \mathbb{R} , concentrated on $\sigma(T)$, satisfying

$$\varphi(f(T)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mu_T(dt)$$

for any (E_T -a.s.) bounded Borel function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. As before, the Cauchy transform G_{μ_T} of μ_T (as defined in (2.1)) can be retrieved via $G_{\mu_T}(z) = \varphi((z1 - T)^{-1}) = \varphi((z - T)^{-1})$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Note that the definitions of freeness and analytic distributions of possibly unbounded random variables are consistent extensions of the corresponding definitions given in the context of bounded random variables.

For completeness, let us define *free additive convolutions* in the unbounded and bounded setting. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be free self-adjoint (unbounded or bounded) random variables with distributions μ_1, \dots, μ_n . Then, the distribution of the sum $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ is known as the free additive convolution $\mu_1 \boxplus \dots \boxplus \mu_n$. We refer to [MS17] and the references given therein for an analytic approach to free additive convolutions.

2.2.2. *Non-commutative integration theory.* In this section we discuss the non-commutative analog of \mathcal{L}^p -spaces. We follow the expositions given in [FK86, Hia20, Nel74].

The linear functional $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be extended to $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+$ via

$$\varphi(T) := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi \left(\int_0^n \lambda E_T(d\lambda) \right) = \int_0^\infty \lambda \varphi(E_T(d\lambda)) \in [0, \infty], \quad T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, the *non-commutative \mathcal{L}^p -space* on (\mathcal{A}, φ) for $0 < p < \infty$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) := \left\{ T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A}) \mid \|T\|_p := \varphi(|T|^p)^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

We set $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{A}, \varphi) = \mathcal{A}$ and equip $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{A}, \varphi)$ with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Let us recall a few properties of non-commutative \mathcal{L}^p -spaces: Firstly, $(\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A}), \|\cdot\|_p)$ is a Banach space for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Secondly, for $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, $T \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A})$, and $0 < p \leq \infty$, we have $S_1 T S_2 \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|S_1 T S_2\|_p \leq \|S_1\| \|S_2\| \|T\|_p$. Thirdly, Hölder's inequality holds true, i.e. for $0 < p, q, r \leq \infty$ with $r^{-1} = p^{-1} + q^{-1}$ and for $S \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A}), T \in \mathcal{L}^q(\mathcal{A})$, we obtain $ST \in \mathcal{L}^r(\mathcal{A})$ with $\|ST\|_r \leq \|S\|_p \|T\|_q$. Lastly, we can write

$$\|T\|_p^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t|^p \mu_T(dt)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A}) \cap \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, $0 < p < \infty$, with μ_T being the analytic distribution of T .

For $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ and $t > 0$, the *t-th generalized singular number* $\mu_t(T)$ is defined by

$$\mu_t(T) := \inf \{ s \geq 0 : \varphi(E_{|T|}((s, \infty))) \leq t \}.$$

One can prove that $\varphi(T) = \int_0^1 \mu_t(T) dt$ is valid for any $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+$. Together with $\mu_t(S) \leq \mu_t(T)$ holding for $S, T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_+$ with $S \leq T$ and all $t > 0$, we get $\varphi(S) \leq \varphi(T)$. Moreover, we have

$$\|T\|_p^p = \int_0^1 \mu_t(T)^p dt$$

for all $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ and $0 < p < \infty$.

Since $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$, the functional φ defined on \mathcal{A} can be extended uniquely to a positive linear functional on $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$ (again denoted by φ) such that $|\varphi(T)| \leq \|T\|_1$ holds for any $T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$. Let us recall the following properties of this extension: First, for positive $T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$, $\varphi(T)$ coincides with the definition in (2.2). Second, note that φ is not tracial in general. However, we can at least prove the following: Let $T \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$. Then, we have $T^*T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if $TT^* \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$, in which case $\varphi(T^*T) = \varphi(TT^*)$ follows; compare to [DDP93, Lemma 3.1]. Third, if $S, T \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$ are free, then we have $ST \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A})$ and $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)\varphi(T) = \varphi(TS)$; see [LP97, Section 3] for a reference.

2.3. Rates of convergence in the free CLT. The goal of this section is to recall rates of convergence in the free central limit theorem (CLT). We refer to [MS23, NS06] for several versions of this limit theorem, including a free analog of the Lindeberg CLT in [MS23, Theorem 4.1].

Before we start with providing the rates of convergence, let us fix some notation: Given two probability measures ν_1, ν_2 on \mathbb{R} , the *Kolmogorov distance* $\Delta(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ between ν_1 and ν_2 is defined by

$$\Delta(\nu_1, \nu_2) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\nu_1((-\infty, x]) - \nu_2((-\infty, x])|. \quad (2.3)$$

For a family of (bounded or unbounded) free self-adjoint random variables X_1, \dots, X_n with mean zero, variances $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2$, and finite k -th absolute moments, the *k-th Lyapunov fraction* L_{kn} is given by

$$L_{kn} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(|X_i|^k)}{B_n^k}, \quad B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3.$$

As shown in [Pet75, Chapter IV, §2, Lemma 2], we have

$$L_{3n} \leq L_{kn}^{1/(k-2)}$$

for $k \geq 3$. In agreement with the notation used in [Theorem 1.1](#), we define the Lyapunov-type fractions $L_{S,kn}$ as follows: If X_1, \dots, X_n are free self-adjoint bounded random variables with mean zero and variances $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2$ in some C^* -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, we set

$$L_{S,kn} := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|X_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}^k}{B_n^k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq 3,$$

where B_n^2 is defined as above. The additional subscript S in $L_{S,kn}$ stands for "support" and is meant to indicate the replacement of the absolute moments considered in L_{kn} by appropriate powers of the norms of the underlying random variables. Recall that the norm of a bounded random variable is closely related to the support of its distribution. It is easy to see that

$$L_{S,kn}^{1/k} \leq L_{S,3n}^{1/3}$$

holds for all $k \geq 3$.

Now, we continue by recalling rates of convergence in the free CLT for bounded random variables. The following theorem summarizes results taken from [CG08, CG13, Neu23].

Theorem 2.1. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a C^* -probability space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Choose free self-adjoint random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{A} with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2 > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define*

$$B_n^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2, \quad S_n := \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i,$$

and let μ_{S_n} denote the analytic distribution of S_n . Then, we have

$$\Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega) \leq C_0 L_{S,3n} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega) \leq C_1 L_{3n}^{1/2}$$

for constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$. In the special case of identical distributions with $\varphi(X_1^2) = 1$, we obtain

$$\Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega) \leq C_2 \frac{\varphi(|X_1|^3)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for some $C_2 > 0$.

The case of (possibly) unbounded random variables was studied in [CG08, CG13]. The corresponding results read as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a W^* -probability space with tracial functional φ . Choose free self-adjoint random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{L}^3(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2 > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define B_n^2, S_n , and μ_{S_n} analogously to [Theorem 2.1](#). Then, we have*

$$\Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega) \leq C_1 L_{3n}^{1/2}$$

for a constant $C_1 > 0$. In the special case of identical distributions with $\varphi(X_1^2) = 1$, we obtain

$$\Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega) \leq C_2 \frac{\varphi(|X_1|^3)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for some $C_2 > 0$.

For completeness, let us mention that throughout this work, rates of convergence in the free law of large numbers (LLN; compare to [BP96, LP97] for references) will be of relevance too. Since those can be derived easily, we do not formulate them here. Instead, we just refer to [Lemma 4.3](#) and [\(5.1\)](#), where we calculate the corresponding rates exemplarily.

3. VARIATIONS OF BAI'S INEQUALITY

In this section we prove two inequalities relating the Kolmogorov distance between two probability measures to their Cauchy transforms. A first contribution in that direction is due to Bai [Bai93, Section 2]. Making use of Cauchy's integral theorem, Götze and Tikhomirov [GT03, Corollary 2.3] established a version of Bai's inequality. The following theorem is a generalization of their result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let μ and ν be probability measures on \mathbb{R} with distribution functions F_μ and F_ν and Cauchy transforms G_μ and G_ν . Assume that*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| dx < \infty \quad (3.1)$$

holds. Choose $v \in (0, 1)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 2)$, and $a, \gamma > 0$ in such a way that

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x| < a} \frac{1}{1+x^2} dx > \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon > 2va$$

are satisfied. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mu, \nu) \leq C_\gamma & \left(\int_{-\infty}^2 |G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)| du + \sup_{x \in [-2+\varepsilon/2, 2-\varepsilon/2]} \int_v^1 |G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy)| dy \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy + \gamma \pi \max\{F_\nu(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2-\varepsilon)\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_\gamma > 0$ is given by $C_\gamma := ((2\gamma - 1)\pi)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let v, ε, a , and γ be as given above and define

$$I_\varepsilon := [-2 + \varepsilon, 2 - \varepsilon], \quad I'_\varepsilon := [-2 + \varepsilon/2, 2 - \varepsilon/2], \quad \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) := \sup_{x \in I_\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)|.$$

Following some of the ideas presented in [Bai93, GT03], we proceed in several steps: We start with establishing two preliminary bounds on $\Delta(\mu, \nu)$; see (3.2) and (3.3) below. The first one shows that it suffices to analyze $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$, whereas the second one provides an estimate for $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$. Lastly, using Cauchy's integral theorem, we obtain the claimed inequality.

Let us begin by relating $\Delta(\mu, \nu)$ to $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$. Observe that

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu) = \max \left\{ \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu), \sup_{x > 2-\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)|, \sup_{x < -2+\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| \right\}$$

holds. In order to bound the term $\sup_{x > 2-\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)|$, note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon) & \geq 1 - F_\nu(x) \geq F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x) \\ & \geq F_\mu(2 - \varepsilon) - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon) - 1 + F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon) \geq -\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) - 1 + F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for any $x > 2 - \varepsilon$. This implies

$$\sup_{x > 2-\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| \leq \max\{1 - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon), \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) + 1 - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon)\} = \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) + 1 - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon).$$

Similarly, for any $x < -2 + \varepsilon$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon) & \leq F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon) \leq F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x) \\ & \leq F_\mu(-2 + \varepsilon) - F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon) + F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon) \leq \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) + F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

yielding the estimate

$$\sup_{x < -2+\varepsilon} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| \leq \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) + F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon).$$

Hence, we deduce

$$\Delta(\mu, \nu) \leq \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) + \max\{F_\nu(-2 + \varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2 - \varepsilon)\}. \quad (3.2)$$

We continue by bounding the quantity $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$. Due to (3.2) and $\gamma(2\gamma - 1)^{-1} \geq 1$, we are allowed to assume that $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) > 0$ holds. By definition of $\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$, there exists a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I_\varepsilon$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_\mu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n)| = \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$. After passing to a convergent subsequence if necessary, it follows $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\mu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n) \in \{\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu), -\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)\}$.

Let us start with considering the case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\mu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n) = \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$. By integration by parts for the Riemann-Stieltjes integral, we have

$$\Im G_\mu(u + iv) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{v}{(y - u)^2 + v^2} \mu(dy) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2v(y - u)F_\mu(y)}{((y - u)^2 + v^2)^2} dy, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Clearly, a similar equation holds for ν . For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u + iv) - G_\nu(u + iv)) du \right| &\geq \int_{-\infty}^x \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{2v(y - u)(F_\mu(y) - F_\nu(y))}{((y - u)^2 + v^2)^2} dy \right) du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (F_\mu(y) - F_\nu(y)) \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \frac{2v(y - u)}{((y - u)^2 + v^2)^2} du \right) dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(F_\mu(y) - F_\nu(y))v}{v^2 + (x - y)^2} dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F_\mu(x - vy) - F_\nu(x - vy)}{y^2 + 1} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we applied the Fubini-Tonelli theorem in the first equality, which is possible due to (3.1). The monotonicity of F_μ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|y| < a} \frac{F_\mu(x - vy) - F_\nu(x - vy)}{y^2 + 1} dy &\geq \gamma\pi(F_\mu(x - va) - F_\nu(x - va)) - \int_{|y| < a} |F_\nu(x - vy) - F_\nu(x - va)| dy \\ &= \gamma\pi(F_\mu(x - va) - F_\nu(x - va)) - \frac{1}{v} \int_{|y| < va} |F_\nu(x - y) - F_\nu(x - va)| dy \end{aligned}$$

for x as above. By observing that

$$\int_{|y| \geq a} \frac{F_\mu(x - vy) - F_\nu(x - vy)}{y^2 + 1} dy \geq -\Delta(\mu, \nu) \int_{|y| \geq a} \frac{1}{y^2 + 1} dy = -\Delta(\mu, \nu)(1 - \gamma)\pi$$

and $t_n + va \in I'_\varepsilon$ hold, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u + iv) - G_\nu(u + iv)) du \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{t_n + va} \Im(G_\mu(u + iv) - G_\nu(u + iv)) du \right| \\ &\geq \gamma\pi(F_\mu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n)) - \frac{1}{v} \int_{|y| < va} |F_\nu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n + va - y)| dy - (1 - \gamma)\pi\Delta(\mu, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using integration by substitution, it follows

$$\int_{|y| < va} |F_\nu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n + va - y)| dy = \int_0^{2va} |F_\nu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n + y)| dy \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x + y)| dy.$$

Combining the last two inequalities and passing to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv)) du \right| \\ & \geq \gamma \pi \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) - \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy - (1-\gamma) \pi \Delta(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.2), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv)) du \right| \\ & \geq (2\gamma - 1) \pi \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) - (1-\gamma) \pi \max\{F_\nu(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2-\varepsilon)\} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, i.e. if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_\mu(t_n) - F_\nu(t_n) = -\Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu)$ holds, we switch the roles of F_μ and F_ν and obtain the same inequality as above. In total, we have proved

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\varepsilon(\mu, \nu) & \leq \frac{1}{(2\gamma - 1)\pi} \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv)) du \right| \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{(2\gamma - 1)\pi} \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy \\ & \quad + \frac{1-\gamma}{2\gamma - 1} \max\{F_\nu(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2-\varepsilon)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Lastly, we apply Cauchy's integral theorem for rectangular contours to the first integral on the right-hand side in (3.3). For any fixed $x \in I'_\varepsilon$ and $M > 0$ with $x > -M$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-M}^x G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv) du & = \int_{-M}^x G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i) du + i \int_v^1 G_\mu(-M+iy) - G_\nu(-M+iy) dy \\ & \quad - i \int_v^1 G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$|G_\mu(-M+iy)| \leq \int_{|t| \leq M/2} \frac{1}{|-M+iy-t|} \mu(dt) + \frac{1}{y} \int_{|t| > M/2} \mu(dt) \leq \frac{2}{M} + \frac{\mu((M/2, \infty))}{y}$$

holds for any $y > 0$. An analog inequality is valid for $G_\nu(-M+iy)$. Thus, we have

$$\left| \int_v^1 G_\mu(-M+iy) - G_\nu(-M+iy) dy \right| \leq \frac{4}{M} + (\mu((M/2, \infty)) + \nu((M/2, \infty))) |\log(v)| \rightarrow 0$$

as $M \rightarrow \infty$. By the dominated convergence theorem, it follows

$$\int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+ib) - G_\nu(u+ib)) du = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \Im \int_{-M}^x G_\mu(u+ib) - G_\nu(u+ib) du, \quad b \in \{v, 1\}$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv)) du & = \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)) du \\ & \quad - i \int_v^1 \Im(G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy)) dy \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in I'_\varepsilon$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \left| \int_{-\infty}^x \Im(G_\mu(u+iv) - G_\nu(u+iv)) du \right| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^2 |G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)| du \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \int_v^1 |G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) with the inequality above, the claim follows. \square

The result of the following corollary can be obtained easily from [Theorem 3.1](#). The idea is to replace the domain of integration $(-\infty, 2)$ of the first integral in the upper bound for the Kolmogorov distance as given in [Theorem 3.1](#) by a bounded interval at the cost of gaining an additional term in that upper bound. The proof given below is inspired by the proof of [\[Bai93, Corollary 2.3\]](#).

Corollary 3.2. *In the setting of [Theorem 3.1](#), we additionally choose $A > B > 0$ such that*

$$\kappa := \frac{2B}{\pi(A-B)(2\gamma-1)} < 1$$

holds. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mu, \nu) &\leq C_{\gamma, \kappa} \left(\int_{-A}^2 |G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)| du + \sup_{x \in [-2+\varepsilon/2, 2-\varepsilon/2]} \int_v^1 |G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy)| dy \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy + \pi \int_{|x| > B} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| dx \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma\pi \max\{F_\nu(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2-\varepsilon)\} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{\gamma, \kappa} > 0$ is given by $C_{\gamma, \kappa} := ((2\gamma-1)\pi(1-\kappa))^{-1}$.

Proof. Choose $A > B > 0$ as in the premise. Then, with the help of integration by parts and the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{-A} |G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)| du \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{-A} \left| \int_{-B}^B \frac{F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)}{(x-(u+i))^2} dx \right| du + \int_{-\infty}^{-A} \left| \int_{|x| > B} \frac{F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)}{(x-(u+i))^2} dx \right| du \\ &\leq 2B\Delta(\mu, \nu) \int_{-\infty}^{-A} \frac{1}{(u+B)^2} du + \int_{|x| > B} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-A} \frac{1}{(x-u)^2 + 1} du \right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{2B\Delta(\mu, \nu)}{A-B} + \pi \int_{|x| > B} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| dx. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this calculation with [Theorem 3.1](#) and recalling the notation $I'_\varepsilon := [-2+\varepsilon/2, 2-\varepsilon/2]$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (2\gamma-1)\pi\Delta(\mu, \nu) &\leq \int_{-A}^2 |G_\mu(u+i) - G_\nu(u+i)| du + \frac{2B\Delta(\mu, \nu)}{A-B} + \pi \int_{|x| > B} |F_\mu(x) - F_\nu(x)| dx \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in I'_\varepsilon} \int_v^1 |G_\mu(x+iy) - G_\nu(x+iy)| dy + \frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\nu(x) - F_\nu(x+y)| dy \\ &\quad + \gamma\pi \max\{F_\nu(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\nu(2-\varepsilon)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the claim. \square

We end this section with a remark concerning the integrability condition (3.1) and the special case that one of the measures appearing in Theorem 3.1 or Corollary 3.2 is given by Wigner's semicircle law.

Remark 3.3. Assume that we are in one of the settings given in the last two results.

- (i) As can be seen easily by the layer cake representation, the condition (3.1) is satisfied if the measures μ and ν both have finite second moment.
- (ii) If we choose $\nu = \omega$, then some of the terms in the previously derived upper bounds on the Kolmogorov distance can be calculated easily. More precisely, we have

$$\frac{1}{v} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{|y| < 2va} |F_\omega(x) - F_\omega(x+y)| dy \leq \frac{4a^2v}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \max\{F_\omega(-2+\varepsilon), 1 - F_\omega(2-\varepsilon)\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2}}{\pi},$$

where F_ω denotes the distribution function of ω . The first inequality can be proven by using the fact that the density of Wigner's semicircle law is bounded by π^{-1} , whereas the second one follows easily by symmetry.

4. BOUNDED SELF-NORMALIZED SUMS

The main goal of this section is to analyze self-normalized sums of free self-adjoint bounded random variables, i.e. of random variables contained in some C^* -algebra. In Section 4.1, we provide the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3. Later, in Section 4.2, we show how some of the ideas presented in those proofs can be used to establish a convergence result for self-normalized sums of independent GUE matrices.

4.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3. This section is structured as follows: We start by proving the results in Theorem 1.1, from which the statements of Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3 follow without much effort. Lastly, we comment on an alternative proof of some of the claims in Theorem 1.1.

In the proof of all three results, we work in the following underlying setting: Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a C^* -probability space with unit $1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{A}$, norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, and faithful tracial φ . Fix a sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ of free self-adjoint random variables with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $B_n^2, L_{S,3n}$, and $L_{S,4n}$ be defined as in Theorem 1.1 and assume that $B_n^2 > 0$ holds true. In contrast to the definitions given in Theorem 1.1, we set

$$S_n = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad V_n^2 = \frac{1}{B_n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2. \quad (4.1)$$

Note that this change does not have any effect on the self-normalized sum $U_n = V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ whenever it exists in \mathcal{A} . In the case of existence, we let μ_n denote the analytic distribution of U_n , whereas F_n and G_n denote the distribution function and the Cauchy transform of μ_n . Moreover, the Cauchy transform of the analytic distribution μ_{S_n} of S_n will be referred to as G_{S_n} .

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we proceed in three steps: First, we show that U_n is well-defined in \mathcal{A} under certain conditions. For better comparability with the unbounded case, this will be outsourced and done separately in Lemma 4.3. The key ingredient in the proof of this lemma is the fact that V_n^2 is close to 1 in norm.

Second, in order to establish the claimed rate of convergence, we apply a version of Bai's inequality. Then, it remains to bound the difference between the Cauchy transforms G_n and G_ω . Together with the above-mentioned fact concerning V_n^2 , we obtain that G_n is close to G_{S_n} , whereas the free Berry-Esseen theorem from Theorem 2.1 helps to control the difference between G_{S_n} and G_ω . Note that this procedure strongly resembles the intuitive approach used for self-normalized sums in classical probability theory: We consider the sums S_n and V_n^2 separately and make use of the free CLT – in form of the corresponding Berry-Esseen theorem – and a statement similar to the free LLN – in form of the closeness of V_n^2 to 1. As

already indicated in [Section 1.2](#), the machinery of Cauchy transforms serves as a substitute for Slutsky's theorem and thus helps to combine the results concerning S_n and V_n^2 .

Third, the convergence claims at the end of [Theorem 1.1](#) follow from certain calculations made in the second step without much effort.

We begin by proving that the self-normalized sum U_n is well-defined in \mathcal{A} . For this purpose, it suffices to ensure that V_n^2 is invertible in \mathcal{A} . This can be done with the help of the next two lemmata. The first one (following from [[KR97](#), Lemma 3.1.5]) is rather standard, but we include it for completeness.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\|1 - a\| < 1$. Then, a is invertible in \mathcal{A} and we have*

$$a^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - a)^k, \quad \|a^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|1 - a\|}, \quad \|1 - a^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|1 - a\|}{1 - \|1 - a\|}.$$

The second lemma provides an upper bound on the norm of sums of free self-adjoint random variables in \mathcal{A} proven by Voiculescu in [[Voi86](#), Lemma 3.2]. In contrast to the formulation given below, Voiculescu's version of the lemma is written in terms of free additive convolutions. Let us remark that this change of perspective is possible since φ is faithful. Throughout the rest of this work, we abbreviate $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be free self-adjoint random variables in \mathcal{A} with $\varphi(a_i) = 0$ for all $i \in [k]$. Then, we have*

$$\|a_1 + \dots + a_k\| \leq \max_{i \in [k]} \|a_i\| + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \varphi(a_i^2) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using the previous lemmata, we are able to derive a few useful results concerning the random variable V_n^2 – namely its invertibility and closeness to 1 – under the assumption that $L_{S,4n}$ is sufficiently small.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$ holds. Then, we have:*

- (i) $\|V_n^2 - 1\| < 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2}$,
- (ii) V_n^2 , V_n , and $V_n^{1/2}$ are invertible in \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By [Lemma 4.2](#) and due to the assumption $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$, we get

$$\|V_n^2 - 1\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i^2 - \sigma_i^2}{B_n^2} \right\| \leq \frac{2 \max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|^2}{B_n^2} + 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi \left(\frac{(X_i^2 - \sigma_i^2)^2}{B_n^4} \right) \right)^{1/2} < 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2} < 1.$$

Combining [Lemma 4.1](#) with the inequality above, we obtain that V_n^2 is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Next, observe that $0 \leq 1 \leq V_n + 1$ holds, implying that $V_n + 1$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} . Its inverse satisfies $0 \leq (V_n + 1)^{-1} \leq 1$, which leads to $\|(V_n + 1)^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Since we can write $V_n - 1 = (V_n + 1)^{-1}(V_n^2 - 1)$, it follows

$$\|V_n - 1\| \leq \|(V_n + 1)^{-1}\| \|V_n^2 - 1\| \leq \|V_n^2 - 1\| < 1.$$

Hence, by [Lemma 4.1](#), we deduce that V_n is invertible in \mathcal{A} . The invertibility of $V_n^{1/2}$ can be shown analogously. \square

Now, let us complete the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In the setting fixed at the beginning of this section, assume that $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$ holds. According to [Lemma 4.3](#), U_n is well-defined in \mathcal{A} , which proves the first claim in [Theorem 1.1](#).

We continue by proving the second claim of the theorem dealing with the rate of convergence of μ_n to Wigner's semicircle law ω under the conditions $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$ and $L_{S,3n} < 1/2e$. Let us temporarily assume

the stronger condition $L_{S,4n} < 1/(160e)^2$. Then, we can apply Bai's inequality as given in [Corollary 3.2](#) with the parameters

$$A = 8, \quad B = 3, \quad a = 2, \quad \gamma > 0.7, \quad v = \max \left\{ L_{S,3n}, 80L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\}, \quad \varepsilon = 6v.$$

Note that the integrability of $|F_n - F_\omega|$ as requested in [\(3.1\)](#) is guaranteed due to the fact that U_n is an element in \mathcal{A} and thus μ_n has finite second moment; compare to [Remark 3.3](#).

Let us proceed by showing that μ_n has compact support in $[-3, 3]$. This will allow us to simplify Bai's inequality; see [\(4.4\)](#) below. In view of the calculations made in the proof of [Lemma 4.3](#), we have

$$\|V_n - 1\| \leq \|V_n^2 - 1\| \leq 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2} < \frac{1}{40}$$

leading to $\|V_n^{-1}\| < 40/39$ with the help of [Lemma 4.1](#). By [Lemma 4.2](#), we obtain

$$\|S_n\| \leq 2 + \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|}{B_n} \leq 2 + L_{S,4n}^{1/4} < \frac{21}{10}. \quad (4.2)$$

It follows

$$\|U_n\| = \left\| V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2} \right\| \leq \left\| V_n^{-1/2} \right\|^2 \|S_n\| = \|V_n^{-1}\| \|S_n\| \leq \frac{40}{39} \|S_n\| < 3, \quad (4.3)$$

which proves $\text{supp } \mu_n \subset [-3, 3]$ as claimed above. Combining this with $\text{supp } \omega = [-2, 2]$ and [Remark 3.3](#), Bai's inequality from [Corollary 3.2](#) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\gamma,\kappa}^{-1} \Delta(\mu_n, \omega) &\leq \frac{16v}{\pi} + \gamma\varepsilon^{3/2} + \int_{-8}^2 |G_n(u+i) - G_\omega(u+i)| du \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in [-2+\varepsilon/2, 2-\varepsilon/2]} \int_v^1 |G_n(x+iy) - G_\omega(x+iy)| dy \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

with $C_{\gamma,\kappa}$ being the constant from [Corollary 3.2](#).

It suffices to bound the difference between the Cauchy transforms G_n and G_ω evaluated at certain $z \in A_v := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^+ : |\Re z| \leq 8, 1 \geq \Im z \geq v\}$. Before we continue with this, let us do some preparatory work, mainly consisting of the analysis of the random variables $(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}$ and $(z - S_n)^{-1}$ for $z \in A_v$. Due to

$$zV_n - S_n = V_n^{1/2}(z - U_n)V_n^{1/2}$$

and since $V_n^{1/2}$ is invertible, we obtain that $zV_n - S_n$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. For $z \in A_v$, define $W_z := z(z - S_n)^{-1}(V_n - 1) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $U_z := 1 + W_z \in \mathcal{A}$. Then, because of $v \geq 20\|V_n - 1\|$, we have

$$\|W_z\| \leq \frac{|z|}{\Im z} \|V_n - 1\| \leq \frac{9}{\Im z} \|V_n - 1\| \leq \frac{9}{v} \|V_n - 1\| < \frac{1}{2}$$

for any $z \in A_v$. Thus, by [Lemma 4.1](#), U_z is invertible in \mathcal{A} with

$$\|U_z^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|W_z\|} < 2$$

for $z \in A_v$. Consequently, we can write

$$(zV_n - S_n)^{-1} = ((z - S_n)(1 + z(z - S_n)^{-1}(V_n - 1)))^{-1} = U_z^{-1}(z - S_n)^{-1}, \quad z \in A_v.$$

Using the inequality $0 \leq y^* x^* x y \leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2$ holding for any $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$, we get

$$\varphi \left(\left| (zV_n - S_n)^{-1} \right|^2 \right) = \varphi \left((\bar{z} - S_n)^{-1} (U_z^{-1})^* U_z^{-1} (z - S_n)^{-1} \right) < 4\varphi \left(\left| (z - S_n)^{-1} \right|^2 \right)$$

for all $z \in A_v$. The resolvent identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right) &= \varphi\left((\bar{z} - S_n)^{-1}(z - S_n)^{-1}\right) = \frac{\varphi\left((\bar{z} - S_n)^{-1}\right) - \varphi\left((z - S_n)^{-1}\right)}{z - \bar{z}} \\ &= -\frac{\Im(\varphi\left((z - S_n)^{-1}\right))}{\Im z} = \frac{|\Im(G_{S_n}(z))|}{\Im z} \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. By integration by parts and the inequality $|G_\omega| \leq 1$ holding in \mathbb{C}^+ (see [Kar07a, Lemma 8]), we obtain

$$|G_{S_n}(z)| \leq 1 + |G_{S_n}(z) - G_\omega(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{\pi\Delta(\mu_{S_n}, \omega)}{\Im z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

Theorem 2.1 yields

$$|G_{S_n}(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{\pi C_0 L_{S,3n}}{\Im z} \leq 1 + \pi C_0$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ with $\Im z \geq L_{S,3n}$, where $C_0 > 0$ is taken from **Theorem 2.1**. It follows

$$\varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right) \leq \frac{|G_{S_n}(z)|}{\Im z} \leq \frac{1 + \pi C_0}{\Im z}, \quad \Im z \geq L_{S,3n}.$$

Now, let us analyze the difference of G_n and G_{S_n} evaluated at some $z \in A_v$. Since φ is tracial, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(z) &= \varphi\left((z - U_n)^{-1}\right) = \varphi\left(V_n^{1/2}(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}V_n^{1/2}\right) \\ &= \varphi\left(V_n(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}\right) = \varphi\left((V_n - 1)(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}\right) + \varphi\left((zV_n - S_n)^{-1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, which leads to

$$G_n(z) - G_{S_n}(z) = \varphi\left((V_n - 1)U_z^{-1}(z - S_n)^{-1}\right) + \varphi\left((zV_n - S_n)^{-1} - (z - S_n)^{-1}\right)$$

for all $z \in A_v$. Combining our preparatory work with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for φ and using $|\varphi(x)| \leq \|x\|$ holding for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, the first summand on the right-hand side in the equation above admits the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\left((V_n - 1)U_z^{-1}(z - S_n)^{-1}\right)| &\leq \varphi\left((V_n - 1)U_z^{-1}(U_z^{-1})^*(V_n - 1)\right)^{1/2} \varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|U_z^{-1}\| \|V_n - 1\| \varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \pi C_0}}{\sqrt{\Im z}} \|V_n - 1\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $z \in A_v$. Similarly, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi\left((zV_n - S_n)^{-1} - (z - S_n)^{-1}\right)| &= |\varphi\left((zV_n - S_n)^{-1}z(1 - V_n)(z - S_n)^{-1}\right)| \\ &\leq |z| \|V_n - 1\| \varphi\left(\left|(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq 2|z| \|V_n - 1\| \varphi\left(\left|(z - S_n)^{-1}\right|^2\right) \leq \frac{2(1 + \pi C_0)|z|}{\Im z} \|V_n - 1\| \end{aligned}$$

for all z as above. Hence, we arrive at

$$|G_n(z) - G_{S_n}(z)| \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + \pi C_0}}{\sqrt{\Im z}} + \frac{(1 + \pi C_0)|z|}{\Im z}\right) 8L_{S,4n}^{1/2}, \quad z \in A_v.$$

Integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-8}^2 |G_n(u + i) - G_{S_n}(u + i)| du &\leq \left(10\sqrt{1 + \pi C_0} + (1 + \pi C_0) \int_{-8}^2 \sqrt{1 + u^2} du\right) 8L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(80\sqrt{1 + \pi C_0} + 293(1 + \pi C_0)\right) L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_v^1 |G_n(x+iy) - G_{S_n}(x+iy)| dy &\leq \left(\int_v^1 \frac{\sqrt{1+\pi C_0}}{\sqrt{y}} dy + (1+\pi C_0) \int_v^1 \frac{|x+iy|}{y} dy \right) 8L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(16\sqrt{1+\pi C_0} + 8(1+\pi C_0) + 16(1+\pi C_0)|\log v| \right) L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in [-2 + \varepsilon/2, 2 - \varepsilon/2]$.

It remains to handle the integral contributions from the difference of G_{S_n} and G_ω . We have

$$\int_v^1 |G_{S_n}(x+iy) - G_\omega(x+iy)| dy \leq \int_v^1 \frac{\pi C_0 L_{S,3n}}{y} dy \leq \pi C_0 L_{S,3n} |\log v|$$

for x as above and

$$\int_{-8}^2 |G_{S_n}(u+i) - G_\omega(u+i)| du \leq 10\pi C_0 L_{S,3n}.$$

Using (4.4), we conclude

$$C_{\gamma,\kappa}^{-1} \Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq \frac{16v}{\pi} + \gamma\varepsilon^{3/2} + \pi C_0 L_{S,3n} (10 + |\log v|) + L_{S,4n}^{1/2} (C_1 + C_2 |\log v|) \quad (4.6)$$

for suitably chosen constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$.

Observing that $v < 1/e$ holds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ L_{S,3n}, L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\} &\leq \max \left\{ L_{S,3n}, L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\} |\log v| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ L_{S,3n}, L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\} \left| \log \left(\max \left\{ L_{S,3n}, L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \max \left\{ |\log L_{S,3n}| L_{S,3n}, |\log L_{S,4n}| L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.6), this implies

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C_3 \max \left\{ |\log L_{S,3n}| L_{S,3n}, |\log L_{S,4n}| L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$.

Recall that the inequality above only holds under the stronger condition $L_{S,4n} < 1/(160e)^2$. Let us now consider the remaining case, i.e. we are given $1/16 > L_{S,4n} \geq 1/(160e)^2$ and $L_{S,3n} < 1/2e$. Due to $L_{S,4n} \leq L_{S,3n}^{4/3} \leq L_{S,3n}$, we obtain $L_{S,3n} \geq 1/(160e)^2$, which leads to

$$\max \left\{ |\log L_{S,3n}| L_{S,3n}, |\log L_{S,4n}| L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\} \geq \frac{\max \{ |\log L_{S,3n}|, |\log L_{S,4n}| \}}{(160e)^2} \geq \frac{|\log L_{S,4n}|}{(160e)^2} > \frac{2}{(160e)^2}.$$

In particular, we arrive at

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq 1 \leq \frac{(160e)^2}{2} \max \left\{ |\log L_{S,3n}| L_{S,3n}, |\log L_{S,4n}| L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Hence, by setting $C := \max\{C_3, (160e)^2/2\}$, the claim concerning the rate of convergence follows in all cases.

Let us end by verifying the last two claims of [Theorem 1.1](#). Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$ holds. Then, the free Lindeberg CLT is applicable and we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{S_n}(z) = G_\omega(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Combining (4.5) with the inequality

$$\|(zV_n - S_n)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{40}{39} \frac{1}{\Im z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

it follows

$$|G_n(z) - G_{S_n}(z)| \leq \frac{40}{39} \|V_n - 1\| \left(\frac{1}{\Im z} + \frac{|z|}{(\Im z)^2} \right) \leq \frac{160}{39} \left(\frac{1}{\Im z} + \frac{|z|}{(\Im z)^2} \right) L_{S,4n}^{1/2}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Thus, we conclude $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(z) = G_\omega(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ leading to $\mu_n \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Due to $\text{supp } \mu_n \subset [-3, 3]$ holding for sufficiently large n and [Bil99, Theorem 25.12], the claimed convergence of moments follows from the just-proven weak convergence. \square

Before we continue with proving the remaining claims on bounded self-normalized sums, let us briefly comment on the assumptions made in [Theorem 1.1](#) as well as on possible variations of our proof.

Remark 4.4. (i) In our proof, the assumption $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$ is necessary in order to guarantee that U_n is a well-defined random variable in \mathcal{A} , whereas the condition $L_{S,3n} < 1/2e$ is made mainly for convenience. In fact, it is possible to obtain the same rate of convergence (up to constants) as in [Theorem 1.1](#) under the assumptions $1 > c_0 > L_{S,3n}$ for some $c_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $L_{S,4n} < 1/16$ by replacing the constant C appearing in the last-named theorem by $C' := \max\{C, 2e(|\log c_0|)^{-1}\}$.
(ii) The rate of convergence established in [Theorem 1.1](#) depends on $L_{S,3n}$ due to the fact that the speed of convergence in the free CLT is of order $L_{S,3n}$; compare to [Theorem 2.1](#). Since [Theorem 2.1](#) additionally provides an alternative rate in the free CLT of order $L_{3n}^{1/2}$, one can also bound $\Delta(\mu_n, \omega)$ in terms of the quantities $L_{S,4n}^{1/2}$ and $L_{3n}^{1/2}$ – up to some logarithmic factors and under suitable conditions on both fractions.

Let us now study the support of μ_n . According to the calculations in (4.2) and (4.3), we already know that

$$\text{supp } \mu_n \subset \left[-\frac{40}{39} \left(2 + \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|}{B_n} \right), \frac{40}{39} \left(2 + \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|}{B_n} \right) \right]$$

holds, whenever $L_{S,4n}$ is sufficiently small. A modification of those calculations leads to the result in [Corollary 1.2](#).

Proof of [Corollary 1.2](#). In the setting introduced at the beginning of this section, assume that $L_{S,4n} < 1/64$ holds. In view of [Lemma 4.1](#) and the calculations made in the proof of [Lemma 4.3](#), we have

$$\left\| V_n^{1/2} - 1 \right\| \leq \|V_n - 1\| \leq 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2} < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \left\| V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right\| < 2 \left\| V_n^{1/2} - 1 \right\| \leq 8L_{S,4n}^{1/2}.$$

Together with (4.2), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_n\| &\leq \left\| \left(V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right) S_n \left(V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right) \right\| + \left\| \left(V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right) S_n \right\| + \left\| S_n V_n^{-1/2} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right\|^2 \|S_n\| + 2 \left\| V_n^{-1/2} - 1 \right\| \|S_n\| + \|S_n\| \\ &< \left(2 + L_{S,4n}^{1/4} \right) \left(64L_{S,4n} + 16L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \right) + 2 + \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|}{B_n} \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \|X_i\|}{B_n} + 57L_{S,4n}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lastly, we prove [Corollary 1.3](#) dealing with the special case of identical distributions.

Proof of [Corollary 1.3](#). Assume that the sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ fixed at the beginning of this section is a sequence of free identically distributed self-adjoint random variables with $\varphi(X_1) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_1^2) = 1$. Then, it follows $B_n^2 = n > 0$, $L_{S,3n} = \|X_1\|^3 n^{-1/2}$, and $L_{S,4n} = \|X_1\|^4 n^{-1}$. From [Theorem 1.1](#), we know

that the self-normalized sum U_n is well-defined in \mathcal{A} for $n > 16\|X_1\|^4$ and that its analytic distribution μ_n satisfies

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq 2C_0\|X_1\|^3 \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for $n > \max\{16\|X_1\|^4, 4e^2\|X_1\|^6\}$ with $C_0 > 0$ being the constant in [Theorem 1.1](#). In the case that $16\|X_1\|^4 < n \leq 4e^2\|X_1\|^6$ holds, we have $n^{-1/2} \log n > (2e\|X_1\|^3)^{-1}$, which leads to

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq 1 \leq 2e\|X_1\|^3 \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Letting $C := 2 \max\{C_0, e\}$, we arrive at $\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C\|X_1\|^3 (\log n)n^{-1/2}$ for $n > 16\|X_1\|^4$ as claimed. The weak convergence and the moment convergence of μ_n to ω as $n \rightarrow \infty$ both follow from [Theorem 1.1](#), whereas the statement concerning the support of μ_n is immediate from [Corollary 1.2](#). \square

In [Section 1.2](#), we indicated that the use of Cauchy transforms is not the only option to substitute Slutsky's theorem in the intuitive approach to self-normalized sums. As we will see in the following remark, one can use a convergence result for *rational expressions* in strongly convergent bounded random variables in order to prove the convergence of moments (and thus the weak convergence) stated in [Theorem 1.1](#). We refer to [[Col+22](#), [Yin18](#)] for the definition of non-commutative rational expressions.

Remark 4.5. We work in the setting fixed at the beginning of this section. Assuming $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$ and making use of [[Yin18](#), [Theorem 1.2](#)], our goal is to show that the self-normalized sum U_n satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(U_n^k) = \varphi(s^k), \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $s \in \mathcal{A}$ is a standard semicircular element.

Note that we find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that $L_{S,4n} < 1/64$ holds for all $n \geq n_0$. Then, by [Lemma 4.3](#), the random variable V_n^2 is invertible in \mathcal{A} , implying that U_n is well-defined for those n . For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, define the rational expression r_k by $r_k(x, y) := (xy^{-1})^k$ and observe that we have $\varphi(U_n^k) = \varphi(r_k(S_n, V_n))$ for any $n \geq n_0$ (since φ is tracial) as well as $r_k(s, 1) = s^k \in \mathcal{A}$. If we assume that (S_n, V_n) strongly converges to $(s, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we can apply [[Yin18](#), [Theorem 1.2](#)] to r_k leading to

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(U_n^k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(r_k(S_n, V_n)) = \varphi(r_k(s, 1)) = \varphi(s^k)$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ as claimed.

It remains to verify that (S_n, V_n) strongly converges to $(s, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For this, we have to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(P(S_n, V_n)) = \varphi(P(s, 1)), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(S_n, V_n)\| = \|P(s, 1)\|$$

for any polynomial P in two non-commuting formal variables. Let us begin with the convergence in φ . Due to linearity, it suffices to establish convergence of all mixed moments, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} \dots S_n^{p_m} V_n^{q_m}) = \varphi(s^{p_1+p_2+\dots+p_m})$$

for all choices of $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \dots, p_m, q_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Below, we restrict to $m = 3$; the general case can be dealt with in complete analogy. Fix $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, p_3, q_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. With the help of [Lemmata 4.2](#) and [4.3](#), we get

$$\|S_n\| \leq 2 + L_{S,4n}^{1/4} < 3, \quad \|V_n\| \leq 1 + \|V_n - 1\| \leq 1 + 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2} < 2$$

for $n \geq n_0$. Moreover, note that we have

$$\|V_n^{q_j} - 1\| = \left\| (V_n - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{q_j} V_n^{i-1} \right\| \leq \|V_n - 1\| \sum_{i=1}^{q_j} \|V_n\|^{i-1} < 4L_{S,4n}^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{q_j} 2^{i-1} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $j \in [3]$. Together with

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} &= S_n^{p_1} (V_n^{q_1} - 1) S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} + S_n^{p_1+p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} \\ &= S_n^{p_1} (V_n^{q_1} - 1) S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} + S_n^{p_1+p_2} (V_n^{q_2} - 1) S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} + S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3} V_n^{q_3} \\ &= S_n^{p_1} (V_n^{q_1} - 1) S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} + S_n^{p_1+p_2} (V_n^{q_2} - 1) S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} \\ &\quad + S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3} (V_n^{q_3} - 1) + S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varphi(S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3}) - \varphi(S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3})| \\ &\leq \|S_n\|^{p_1+p_2+p_3} (\|V_n^{q_1} - 1\| \|V_n\|^{q_2+q_3} + \|V_n^{q_2} - 1\| \|V_n\|^{q_3} + \|V_n^{q_3} - 1\|) \\ &\leq 3^{p_1+p_2+p_3} (2^{q_2+q_3} \|V_n^{q_1} - 1\| + 2^{q_3} \|V_n^{q_2} - 1\| + \|V_n^{q_3} - 1\|) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the free Lindeberg CLT, which is applicable due to $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{S,4n} = 0$, we know that $\mu_{S_n} \Rightarrow \omega$ holds as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Together with $\text{supp } \mu_{S_n} \subset [-3, 3]$ for all $n \geq n_0$, we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3}) = \varphi(s^{p_1+p_2+p_3}).$$

Combining the last observations, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3}) = \varphi(s^{p_1+p_2+p_3})$$

as claimed.

In order to verify the requested norm convergence, let P be a polynomial in two non-commuting formal variables. Making use of [CM14, Proposition 2.1], we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(S_n, 1)\| = \|P(s, 1)\|$. Hence, the claimed convergence follows if we can prove $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(S_n, V_n) - P(S_n, 1)\| = 0$. Here, it suffices to show

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} \dots S_n^{p_m} V_n^{q_m} - S_n^{p_1+p_2+\dots+p_m}\| = 0$$

for all choices of $p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2, \dots, p_m, q_m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, which can be done easily by using the previous calculations. For instance, in the case $m = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S_n^{p_1} V_n^{q_1} S_n^{p_2} V_n^{q_2} S_n^{p_3} V_n^{q_3} - S_n^{p_1+p_2+p_3}\| \\ &\leq 3^{p_1+p_2+p_3} (2^{q_2+q_3} \|V_n^{q_1} - 1\| + 2^{q_3} \|V_n^{q_2} - 1\| + \|V_n^{q_3} - 1\|) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4.2. Example: Self-normalized sums of independent GUE matrices. The goal of this section is to show how some of the arguments provided in the previous section, especially those belonging to the intuitive approach to self-normalized sums, can be used to derive a self-normalized limit theorem in the context of independent GUE matrices.

Before we formulate the exact statement, let us briefly recall some aspects from random matrix theory. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ denote the C^* -algebra of matrices of dimension $N \times N$ over \mathbb{C} . For any matrix $X \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, the normalized trace of X is denoted by $\text{tr}_N(X)$, whereas $\|X\|_N$ is the operator norm given by the largest singular value of X . A self-adjoint random matrix $X = N^{-1/2}(X_{ij})_{i,j \in [N]}$ over some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a *GUE matrix* if its entries satisfy the following conditions: Each X_{ij} is a standard Gaussian random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, which is complex for $i \neq j$ and real for $i = j$, and $\{X_{ij} : i \leq j\}$ are independent. Recall that Wigner's semicircle law applies to GUE matrices and that tuples of independent GUE matrices of dimension $N \times N$ almost surely strongly converge to tuples of free standard semicircular random variables in some C^* -probability space as $N \rightarrow \infty$. We refer to [MS17] for more details on the last-mentioned convergence results.

Having introduced the necessary notation, we can formulate our result on self-normalized sums of independent GUE matrices.

Proposition 4.6. For all choices of $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_{n,N} = (X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_n^{(N)})$ be an n -tuple of independent GUE matrices of dimension $N \times N$ over some probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Define

$$S_{n,N} := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(N)}, \quad V_{n,N}^2 := \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^{(N)})^2.$$

Then, there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ the self-normalized sum $U_{n,N}(\omega)$ given by

$$U_{n,N}(\omega) := (V_{n,N}^2(\omega))^{-1/4} S_{n,N}(\omega) (V_{n,N}^2(\omega))^{-1/4}$$

is well-defined in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ for all $N \geq N_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, for \mathbb{P} -a.e. $\omega \in \Omega$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} |\operatorname{tr}_N((z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1}) - G_\omega(z)| = 0,$$

where $G_\omega(z)$ denotes the Cauchy transform of Wigner's semicircle law ω (not to be confused with the element $\omega \in \Omega$) evaluated at $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$.

Before we provide the proof of the proposition above, let us make a few comments: First, an application of the results established in [Section 4.1](#) is not possible since the GUE matrices $X_1^{(N)}, \dots, X_n^{(N)}$ are neither free nor elements in a C^* -probability space. Moreover, Yin's strong convergence result [[Yin18](#), Theorem 1.2] as used in [Remark 4.5](#) does not imply [Proposition 4.6](#) either due to the consideration of the double limit. Second, the sole purpose of [Proposition 4.6](#) and its proof is to show how some of the ideas of the intuitive approach to (bounded) self-normalized sums are still applicable in the setting of independent GUE matrices. In particular, the proof of [Proposition 4.6](#) is dominated by the free probabilistic approach to random matrices.

Proof of [Proposition 4.6](#). We proceed in three steps: In the first step, we verify that $U_{n,N}$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. well-defined for all n and all sufficiently large N . The second step, non-rigorously speaking, consists of showing that $V_{n,N}^2$ and $V_{n,N}^{-2}$ are \mathbb{P} -a.s. close to the identity matrix $I_N \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$ in the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_N$ for large N and n . In the third step, we prove the claimed convergence by comparing $\operatorname{tr}_N((z - U_{n,N})^{-1})$ to $\operatorname{tr}_N((z - S_{n,N})^{-1})$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ and by using Wigner's semicircle law for GUE matrices.

Before we start with the first step, let us introduce some notation. As before, we agree on considering the normalized versions of $S_{n,N}$ and $V_{n,N}^2$, i.e. from now on, let

$$S_{n,N} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^{(N)}, \quad V_{n,N}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i^{(N)})^2.$$

Define the non-commutative polynomial P_n in n formal variables by $P_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$. Moreover, set $r_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) := (P_n(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{-1}$ and observe that r_n is a non-degenerate non-commutative rational expression. Lastly, after passing over to a countable union of null sets if necessary, we find a null set $M_0 \subset \Omega$ such that for all $\omega \notin M_0$ and all $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in [n]$, we have

$$\left(X_i^{(N)}(\omega)\right)^* = X_i^{(N)}(\omega) \in M_N(\mathbb{C}).$$

Now, we begin with the first step. Clearly, it suffices to show that $V_{n,N}^2$ is \mathbb{P} -a.s. invertible for all n and sufficiently large N . For this purpose, we use [[Col+22](#), Theorem 18] dealing with evaluations of certain rational expressions in random matrices that are distributed according to an absolutely continuous probability measure. Let us go into detail here: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in [n]$. Applying the last-cited theorem to the rational expression r_1 and the GUE matrix $X_i^{(N)}$, we find $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a null set $M_1 \subset \Omega$ – both independent of i and n – such that for all $\omega \notin M_1$ and all $N \geq N_0$ the matrix

$$r_1\left(X_i^{(N)}(\omega)\right) = \left(X_i^{(N)}(\omega)\right)^{-2}$$

exists in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, it follows that $(X_i^{(N)}(\omega))^2$ is positive definite for all $\omega \notin M_0 \cup M_1$ and N, n , and i as above. Together with

$$P_n(X_{n,N}(\omega)) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_1\left(X_i^{(N)}(\omega)\right),$$

we deduce that $V_{n,N}^2(\omega) = n^{-1}P_n(X_{n,N}(\omega))$ is positive definite and thus invertible for all $\omega \notin M_0 \cup M_1$, $N \geq N_0$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For completeness, let us remark that it is possible to apply [Col+22, Theorem 18] to r_n and $X_{n,N}$ directly, i.e. the detour via r_1 can be avoided. However, by this approach, it is not immediate that the lower bound on the matrix dimension N is independent of n .

Before we continue with the second step, let us do some preparatory work. For this, fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let (s_1, \dots, s_n) be an n -tuple of free standard semicircular random variables in some C^* -probability space (\mathcal{A}, φ) with faithful tracial functional φ , unit $1 = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, and norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Making use of some information on *free Poisson elements* taken from [NS06, Propositions 12.11 and 12.13], our goal is to analyze the random variables $n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and $nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$. Note that $nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ a priori does not need to exist in \mathcal{A} . Recall that s_i^2 is a free Poisson element of rate and jump size both equal to 1 for any $i \in [n]$. In particular, we have $\kappa_m(s_i^2) = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [n]$, where $\kappa_m(\cdot)$ denotes the m -th *free cumulant* as defined in [NS06, Definition 11.3]. By freeness of s_1^2, \dots, s_n^2 and vanishing of mixed cumulants, it follows

$$\kappa_m\left(\frac{P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{n}\right) = \kappa_m\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n s_i^2\right) = n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^m = \frac{1}{n^{m-1}}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ implying that $n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is a free Poisson element with rate n and jump size n^{-1} . Since $n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is normal and φ is faithful, we get

$$\sigma\left(\frac{P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{n}\right) = \left[1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n}\right] \subset [0, \infty)$$

leading to

$$\left\| \frac{P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{n} - 1 \right\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup \left\{ |z - 1| : z \in \sigma\left(\frac{P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{n}\right) \right\} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (4.7)$$

Under the additional assumption $n \geq 20$, we obtain $\|n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) - 1\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq 1/2$. Then, Lemma 4.1 yields that $n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} with inverse $nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\|nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) - 1\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq \frac{\|n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) - 1\|_{\mathcal{A}}}{1 - \|n^{-1}P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) - 1\|_{\mathcal{A}}} \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{2}{n} \quad (4.8)$$

for all $n \geq 20$.

Now, we turn to the second step of the proof. Since $nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)$ is defined in \mathcal{A} , we can apply [Yin18, Corollary 1.3] to the rational expressions $nr_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - 1$ and $n^{-1}P_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) - 1$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, we find a null set $M_2 \subset \Omega$ – again after passing over to a countable union of null sets if necessary – such that for all $\omega \notin \cup_{i=0}^2 M_i$ and all $N \geq N_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N\|_N &= \|nr_n(s_1, \dots, s_n) - 1\|_{\mathcal{A}}, \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \|V_{n,N}^2(\omega) - I_N\|_N &= \left\| \frac{P_n(s_1, \dots, s_n)}{n} - 1 \right\|_{\mathcal{A}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

Finally, let us continue with the third step. Here, we argue realization-wise. Thus, for the following calculations, fix $\omega \notin \cup_{i=0}^2 M_i$ as well as $N \geq N_0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Because of $\omega \notin M_0$, the realizations $X_1^{(N)}(\omega), \dots, X_n^{(N)}(\omega)$ are self-adjoint random variables in the C^* -probability space $(M_N(\mathbb{C}), \text{tr}_N)$. In particular, we can reuse some of the ideas presented in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

We already know that $\|(z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1}\|_N \leq (\Im z)^{-1}$ and $\|(z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1}\|_N \leq (\Im z)^{-1}$ hold. Note that $zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega)$ is invertible in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with inverse

$$(zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} = V_{n,N}^{-1/2}(\omega)(z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1}V_{n,N}^{-1/2}(\omega).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\left\| (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right\|_N \leq \frac{\left\| V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) \right\|_N}{\Im z}.$$

Since $V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega)$ is positive definite, all eigenvalues of $V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) + I_N$ are larger than 1, implying that the matrix $V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) + I_N$ is invertible in $M_N(\mathbb{C})$ with

$$\left\| (V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) + I_N)^{-1} \right\|_N \leq 1.$$

Together with $V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) - I_N = (V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) + I_N)^{-1}(V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N)$, it follows

$$\left\| V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \leq \left\| (V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) + I_N)^{-1} \right\|_N \left\| V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \leq \left\| V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N,$$

which leads to

$$\left\| V_{n,N}^{-1}(\omega) \right\|_N \leq 1 + \left\| V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N.$$

Moreover, by using the same arguments as above, we get

$$\left\| V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \leq \left\| (V_{n,N}(\omega) + I_N)^{-1} \right\|_N \left\| V_{n,N}^2(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \leq \left\| V_{n,N}^2(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N.$$

Now, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr}_N \left((z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} - (z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_N \left((V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N) (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{tr}_N \left((zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} - (z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}_N \left((V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N) (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \\ & \quad + \operatorname{tr}_N \left((zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} z(I_N - V_{n,N}(\omega))(z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the last sum above admits the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \operatorname{tr}_N \left((V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N) (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \right| &\leq \left\| V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \left\| (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right\|_N \\ &\leq \frac{\left\| V_{n,N}^2(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \left(1 + \left\| V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \right)}{\Im z}, \end{aligned}$$

whereas the second term can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \operatorname{tr}_N \left((zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} z(I_N - V_{n,N}(\omega))(z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \right| \\ &\leq |z| \left\| V_{n,N}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \left\| (zV_{n,N}(\omega) - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right\|_N \left\| (z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right\|_N \\ &\leq \frac{|z|}{(\Im z)^2} \left\| V_{n,N}^2(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \left(1 + \left\| V_{n,N}^{-2}(\omega) - I_N \right\|_N \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is immediate that the last two inequalities combined with (4.7), (4.8), and (4.9) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \operatorname{tr}_N \left((z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} - (z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) \right| = 0.$$

Since $S_{n,N}$ is a GUE matrix for any $n, N \in \mathbb{N}$, Wigner's semicircle law is applicable. Hence, there exists a null set $M_3 \subset \Omega$ such that for all $\omega \notin M_3$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_N \left((z - S_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) = G_\omega(z).$$

Finally, we conclude: There exists a null set $M \subset \Omega$, namely $M := \cup_{i=0}^3 M_i$, such that for all $\omega \notin M$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \operatorname{tr}_N \left((z - U_{n,N}(\omega))^{-1} \right) - G_\omega(z) \right| = 0.$$

□

5. UNBOUNDED SELF-NORMALIZED SUMS: PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.4 AND COROLLARY 1.5

This section is devoted to the analysis of self-normalized sums of free self-adjoint unbounded operators that are affiliated with a von Neumann algebra. We start with proving [Theorem 1.4](#), from which the claims in [Corollary 1.5](#) dealing with the special case of identical distributions can be derived easily.

Throughout this section, we work in the following setting: Let (\mathcal{A}, φ) be a W^* -probability space acting on some Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with tracial functional φ . Recall that the identity operator in $B(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted by 1, whereas the operator norm is given by $\|\cdot\|$. Fix a sequence $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A}) \subset \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ of free self-adjoint random variables with $\varphi(X_i) = 0$ and $\varphi(X_i^2) = \sigma_i^2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let B_n^2 be defined as in [Theorem 1.1](#) and assume that $B_n^2 > 0$ as well as Lindeberg's condition in [\(1.2\)](#) are satisfied. In agreement with the notation introduced in [Section 4](#), we consider the normalized versions of S_n and V_n^2 as given in [\(4.1\)](#). In the case of existence, μ_n denotes the analytic distribution of the self-normalized sum $U_n = V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2}$ and F_n and G_n are its distribution function and Cauchy transform. Lastly, G_{S_n} denotes the Cauchy transform of the analytic distribution μ_{S_n} of S_n .

Before we start with some preparations for the proof of [Theorem 1.4](#), let us briefly comment on the general idea. On a superficial level, we proceed similarly to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#): First, we show that U_n is well-defined in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for large n ; compare to [Lemma 5.4](#). Second, in order to derive the claimed rate of convergence of μ_n to ω , we argue by a version of Bai's inequality and bound the resulting differences between the Cauchy transforms G_n and G_ω by making use of the closeness of V_n^2 to 1 and the free CLT. Third, the convergence claim at the end of [Theorem 1.4](#) follows immediately from calculations done in the second step. As we will see in the course of this section, the explicit realizations of the first two steps differ strongly from the ones given in [Section 4](#).

We begin by showing that the self-normalized sum U_n is well-defined in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for sufficiently large n . For this, we have to verify that V_n^2 is invertible in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for large n . The following lemma provides a sufficient condition for a self-adjoint operator in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ to be invertible in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$. Due to its generality, the corresponding statement could also be included in [Section 2.2.1](#). However, we chose to give the lemma here for better comparability of the proofs of the invertibility of V_n^2 in the bounded and unbounded case; compare to [Remark 5.5](#).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ be self-adjoint with spectral measure E_T satisfying $E_T(\{0\}) = 0$. Then, T is invertible in $\operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Due to $E_T(\{0\}) = 0$, the identity map is E_T -a.s. non-zero on \mathbb{R} and we can make use of [[Sch12](#), [Theorem 5.9](#)]. It follows that T is invertible with $T^{-1} = f(T)$ for $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, $f(x) := 1/x$ for $x \neq 0$, $f(0) := \infty$. Since f is E_T -a.s. finite and due to $T \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, we obtain $T^{-1} = f(T) \in \operatorname{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$; compare to [Section 2.2.1](#). □

Let us briefly add some remarks to the last lemma.

Remark 5.2. (i) For T as in [Lemma 5.1](#), the condition $E_T(\{0\}) = 0$ is equivalent to $\mu_T(\{0\}) = 0$ with μ_T being the analytic distribution of T . For self-adjoint T (not necessarily in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$), the equation $E_T(\{0\}) = 0$ is equivalent to T being injective; compare to [[Sch12](#), Proposition 5.10].

(ii) As shown in [[Rei98](#), Lemma 2.6], any injective operator in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$. The proof of this statement is based on the polar decomposition of closed densely defined operators, functional calculus, and the faithfulness of φ . Tembo [[Tem09](#), Lemma 3.2] proved that any injective normal operator in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ without the use of functional calculus.

Later, we would like to apply [Lemma 5.1](#) to the sum V_n^2 . In view of [Remark 5.2](#), it suffices to gather some information on possible atoms of the free additive convolution $\mu_{V_n^2} = \mu_{X_1^2/B_n^2} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mu_{X_n^2/B_n^2}$. For this purpose, we use the following well-known regularity result for free additive convolutions proven by Bercovici and Voiculescu [[BV98](#), Theorem 7.4].

Proposition 5.3. *Let ν_1 and ν_2 be probability measures on \mathbb{R} and let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) γ is an atom of $\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2$.
- (ii) There exist atoms $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ of ν_1, ν_2 , respectively, with $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$ and $\nu_1(\{\alpha\}) + \nu_2(\{\beta\}) > 1$.

If (ii) holds, then $(\nu_1 \boxplus \nu_2)(\{\gamma\}) = \nu_1(\{\alpha\}) + \nu_2(\{\beta\}) - 1$.

Finally, we are able to prove that V_n^2 is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for sufficiently large n . As a byproduct of our proof, we obtain that the same conclusion holds true for the random variable S_n . Let us note that the invertibility of S_n in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ is not very surprising considering [Lemma 5.1](#), [Remark 5.2](#), and the phenomenon of superconvergence in the free CLT.

Lemma 5.4. *There exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_n^2, V_n, V_n^{1/2}$, and S_n are invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $n \geq n_0$.*

Proof. Let us begin by proving that the analytic distribution μ_{S_n} has no atoms for sufficiently large n . It is well-known that Lindeberg's condition, which we assumed to hold at the beginning of this section, implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \sigma_i^2}{B_n^2} = 0.$$

Chebyshev's inequality yields

$$\max_{i \in [n]} \mu_{X_i/B_n}(\{x : |x| \geq \varepsilon\}) \leq \varepsilon^{-2} \max_{i \in [n]} \varphi\left(\frac{X_i^2}{B_n^2}\right) = \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\max_{i \in [n]} \sigma_i^2}{B_n^2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e. the family $\{\mu_{X_i/B_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [n]\}$ is an infinitesimal array of probability measures. Moreover, according to the free Lindeberg CLT, we have $\mu_{S_n} = \mu_{X_1/B_n} \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \mu_{X_n/B_n} \Rightarrow \omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The last two observations allow to apply the superconvergence result for free additive convolutions in [[Ber+22](#), Corollary 2.4] implying that μ_{S_n} is absolutely continuous in a neighborhood of $[-1, 1]$ for sufficiently large n , say $n \geq n_0$. In particular, we get $\mu_{S_n}(\{0\}) = 0$ for those n . By [Lemma 5.1](#), S_n is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $n \geq n_0$.

In order to verify the claims concerning V_n^2, V_n , and $V_n^{1/2}$, we argue similarly: Assume that 0 is an atom of $\mu_{V_n^2}$. Then, by induction on [Proposition 5.3](#), we find atoms $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ of $\mu_{X_i^2/B_n^2}$ such that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_{X_i^2/B_n^2}(\{x_i\}) > n - 1$$

hold. By positivity of X_i^2/B_n^2 for all $i \in [n]$, we must have $x_i = 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Making use of functional calculus, it follows $0 < \mu_{X_i^2/B_n^2}(\{0\}) = \mu_{X_i/B_n}(\{0\})$ for all $i \in [n]$. In particular, [Proposition 5.3](#) – this time in the reverse direction – yields that 0 has to be an atom of μ_{S_n} , which is a contradiction whenever $n \geq n_0$ holds. Hence, we obtain $0 = \mu_{V_n^2}(\{0\}) = \mu_{V_n}(\{0\}) = \mu_{V_n^{1/2}}(\{0\})$ for $n \geq n_0$. \square

Let us briefly compare the proofs of the invertibility of V_n^2 in the bounded and unbounded case; compare to [Lemmata 4.3](#) and [5.4](#).

Remark 5.5. (i) In the bounded case, i.e. in [Lemma 4.3](#), the invertibility of V_n^2 was derived with the help of a Neumann series type argument with respect to the norm defined on the underlying C^* -algebra combined with the fact that V_n^2 is close to 1 in that norm. This approach fails in the unbounded case since the operator norm of V_n^2 may be infinite. Additionally, in the proof of [Lemma 5.4](#), we did not use the closeness of the unbounded operator V_n^2 to the identity 1.

(ii) The proof of the invertibility of V_n^2 in the unbounded case, compare to [Lemma 5.4](#), heavily relies on the fact that V_n^2 is a linear operator (and not just an abstract object in some C^* -algebra) allowing us to talk about injectivity and spectral measures. In particular, V_n^2 being invertible is not tied to the condition that 0 is not contained in its spectrum and we can make sense of V_n^{-2} as a linear operator whenever the premise of [Lemma 5.1](#) is satisfied, i.e. whenever V_n^2 is injective. A statement similar to that in [Lemma 5.1](#) is not possible in the context of C^* -probability spaces, even in view of the GNS representation.

In the following, assume that $n \geq n_0$ holds with n_0 taken from the preceding lemma. Then, the self-normalized sum U_n is well-defined in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$. Before we pass over to the complete proof of [Theorem 1.4](#), let us collect some information on the integrability of U_n . We start by recalling the following lemma taken from [\[BS12, Lemma 2.1\]](#).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $T_1, \dots, T_k \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k > 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \leq 1$. Then, we have*

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i T_i \right|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i |T_i|^2.$$

Clearly, the last lemma implies $0 \leq |S_n|^2 \leq nV_n^2$. With the help of this inequality, we can prove that the self-normalized sum U_n satisfies $|U_n| \leq \sqrt{n}$ and is contained in $\mathcal{L}^p(\mathcal{A})$ for all $0 < p \leq \infty$. Let us remark that these statements can be interpreted as a consequence of some kind of regularizing effect of the self-normalization. By Cauchy's inequality, a comparable regularizing effect is observable in the case of self-normalized sums in classical probability theory.

Lemma 5.7. *We have $U_n^2 \leq n$ and thus obtain $|U_n| \leq \sqrt{n}$. It follows $U_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\|U_n\|_p \leq \sqrt{n}$ for any $p \in (0, \infty]$.*

Proof. From the proof of [Lemma 5.4](#), we get $\mu_{|S_n|}(\{0\}) = 0$ and thus conclude that $|S_n|$ is invertible in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$. Using [Lemma 5.6](#) and some of the properties of the partial order \leq given in [Section 2.2.1](#), we obtain $0 \leq |S_n| \leq \sqrt{n}V_n$, which yields $0 \leq V_n^{-1} \leq \sqrt{n}|S_n|^{-1}$. The functions $x \mapsto x|x|^{-1}x = x^2|x|^{-1}$ with 0 being sent to 0 and $x \mapsto |x|$ (E_{S_n} -a.s.) agree on \mathbb{R} . Hence, by functional calculus, we deduce $S_n|S_n|^{-1}S_n = |S_n|$. Finally, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |U_n|^2 &= U_n^2 = U_n^*U_n = V_n^{-1/2}S_nV_n^{-1}S_nV_n^{-1/2} = \left(S_nV_n^{-1/2}\right)^*V_n^{-1}\left(S_nV_n^{-1/2}\right) \leq \sqrt{n}V_n^{-1/2}S_n|S_n|^{-1}S_nV_n^{-1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{n}V_n^{-1/2}|S_n|V_n^{-1/2} \leq n, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $|U_n| \leq \sqrt{n}$. In particular, as stated in [Section 2.2.1](#), we obtain $\mathcal{H} = D(\sqrt{n}) \subset D(|U_n|)$. Combining this with the closed graph theorem and the fact that U_n is affiliated with \mathcal{A} , we get $U_n \in \mathcal{A}$. In order to prove the last claim, recall that we have

$$\|U_n\|_p = \left(\int_0^1 \mu_t(U_n)^p dt \right)^{1/p} \in [0, \infty]$$

for any $p \in (0, \infty)$. Together with $\mu_t(U_n) = \mu_t(|U_n|) \leq \sqrt{n}\mu_t(1) \leq \sqrt{n}$ holding for all $t > 0$, we arrive at $\|U_n\|_p \leq \sqrt{n}$ for p as above. For $p = \infty$, the claimed inequality $\|U_n\| \leq \sqrt{n}$ is immediate from $\lim_{t \searrow 0} \mu_t(|U_n|) = \|U_n\|$. \square

According to the last lemma, we have $\|U_n\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n}$ whenever the underlying sequence of random variables $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{A})$. The following lemma shows that under stronger moment assumptions, we obtain a new bound on $\|U_n\|_2$. This bound contains a summand of the form $\sqrt{n}\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2$, which – in view of our expectation that V_n^2 is close to 1 – will turn out to be advantageous in the proof of [Theorem 1.4](#).

Lemma 5.8. *Assume that $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A})$ holds. Then, we have*

$$\|U_n\|_2 \leq 2 + 3\sqrt{2n}\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \|S_n V_n^{-1/2}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{n}\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2.$$

Proof. Let us start by analyzing the spectral projection $p = E_{V_n^2}((0, 1/4))$. Since V_n^2 is affiliated with \mathcal{A} , we have $p \in \mathcal{A}$. Due to $E_{V_n^2}(\{0\}) = E_{V_n}(\{0\}) = E_{V_n^{1/2}}(\{0\}) = 0$, we can write

$$p = E_{V_n}((0, 1/2)) = E_{V_n^{-1}}((2, \infty)) = E_{V_n^{-1/2}}((\sqrt{2}, \infty)) = E_{V_n^{-2}}((4, \infty)).$$

Now, define $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f(x) := |x - 1|$ and note that $f^{-1}((\alpha, \infty)) = (-\infty, 1 - \alpha) \cup (\alpha + 1, \infty)$ holds for any $\alpha \geq 0$. Thus, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_{|V_n^2 - 1|}((\alpha, \infty)) &= E_{f(V_n^2)}((\alpha, \infty)) = E_{V_n^2}((-\infty, 1 - \alpha) \cup (\alpha + 1, \infty)) \\ &= E_{V_n^2}((0, 1 - \alpha)) + E_{V_n^2}((\alpha + 1, \infty)) \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Combining this equation for the specific choice $\alpha = 3/4$ with Chebyshev's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p) &= \varphi(E_{V_n^2}((0, 1/4))) \leq \varphi(E_{V_n^2}((0, 1/4))) + \varphi(E_{V_n^2}((7/4, \infty))) \\ &= \varphi(E_{|V_n^2 - 1|}((3/4, \infty))) = \mu_{|V_n^2 - 1|}((3/4, \infty)) \leq 2\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have $\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2 < \infty$ due to $X_i \in \mathcal{L}^4(\mathcal{A})$ for all $i \in [n]$. Moreover, observe that we can write $V_n p = g(V_n)$ for $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $g(x) := x$ for $x \in (0, 1/2)$ and $g(x) := 0$ for $x \notin (0, 1/2)$. Due to $0 \leq g \leq 1/2$ and $V_n \in \text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{sa}}$, we get $V_n p \in \mathcal{A}$ with $0 \leq V_n p \leq 1/2$. Similarly, it follows

$$(1 - p)V_n^{-1/2} = V_n^{-1/2}(1 - p) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad 0 \leq V_n^{-1/2}(1 - p) = V_n^{-1/2}E_{V_n^{-1/2}}((0, \sqrt{2}]) \leq \sqrt{2}.$$

Let us proceed by proving the claim for $\|S_n V_n^{-1/2}\|_2$. Together with $0 \leq S_n^2 = |S_n|^2 \leq nV_n^2$ following from [Lemma 5.6](#), we obtain

$$\|S_n V_n^{-1/2} p\|_2^2 = \varphi(p V_n^{-1/2} S_n^2 V_n^{-1/2} p) \leq \varphi(p n V_n p) = n \|p V_n p\|_1 \leq n \|p\|_1 \|V_n p\| \leq \frac{n}{2} \varphi(p) \leq n \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2^2.$$

For later reference, note that this implies

$$(S_n V_n^{-1/2} p)^* (S_n V_n^{-1/2} p) = p V_n^{-1/2} S_n^2 V_n^{-1/2} p \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{A}),$$

which, by the tracial property of φ as formulated in [Section 2.2.2](#), leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|p V_n^{-1/2} S_n\|_2^2 &= \varphi\left((p V_n^{-1/2} S_n)^* (p V_n^{-1/2} S_n)\right) = \varphi\left((S_n V_n^{-1/2} p) (S_n V_n^{-1/2} p)^*\right) \\ &= \varphi\left((S_n V_n^{-1/2} p)^* (S_n V_n^{-1/2} p)\right) = \|S_n V_n^{-1/2} p\|_2^2 \leq n \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

By freeness, we have $\varphi(X_i X_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and thus obtain $\|S_n\|_2 = 1$. Combining this with

$$\|S_n V_n^{-1/2}(1 - p)\|_2 \leq \|S_n\|_2 \|V_n^{-1/2}(1 - p)\| \leq \sqrt{2},$$

we arrive at

$$\|S_n V_n^{-1/2}\|_2 \leq \|S_n V_n^{-1/2} p\|_2 + \|S_n V_n^{-1/2} (1-p)\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2 + \sqrt{2}$$

as claimed.

In order to prove the remaining inequality, we use $0 \leq p = p^2 \leq 1$ as well as [Lemma 5.7](#) leading to

$$\|p U_n p\|_2^2 = \varphi(p U_n p^2 U_n p) \leq \varphi(p U_n^2 p) \leq n \varphi(p^2) \leq 2n \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2^2.$$

Together with

$$\|(1-p)U_n(1-p)\|_2 = \|(1-p)V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2} (1-p)\|_2 \leq \|(1-p)V_n^{-1/2}\| \|V_n^{-1/2} (1-p)\| \|S_n\|_2 \leq 2$$

and $U_n = p U_n p + (1-p)U_n(1-p) + (1-p)U_n p + p U_n(1-p)$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \|U_n\|_2 &\leq \sqrt{2n} \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2 + 2 + \|(1-p)V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2} p\|_2 + \|p V_n^{-1/2} S_n V_n^{-1/2} (1-p)\|_2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2n} \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2 + 2 + \|(1-p)V_n^{-1/2}\| \|S_n V_n^{-1/2} p\|_2 + \|p V_n^{-1/2} S_n\|_2 \|V_n^{-1/2} (1-p)\| \\ &\leq 2 + 3\sqrt{2n} \|V_n^2 - 1\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Finally, let us see how the previous lemmata help to prove [Theorem 1.4](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given as in [Theorem 1.4](#). Assume that $n \geq n_0$ holds with n_0 taken from [Lemma 5.4](#). Then, by the same lemma, the self-normalized sum U_n is well-defined in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ proving the first claim of the theorem.

In order to derive the claimed rate of convergence in terms of the Kolmogorov distance, let us – for the moment – additionally assume that $L_{4n} < 1/16$ holds. We will use Bai’s inequality from [Corollary 3.2](#) with the choices

$$A = 3B, \quad B = L_{4n}^{-1/4} > 2, \quad a = 2, \quad \gamma > 0.7, \quad v \in (0, 1), \quad \varepsilon = 6v \in (0, 2).$$

The parameter v will be specified in the course of this proof. Note that the integrability of $|F_n - F_\omega|$ is ensured by [Lemma 5.7](#) and [Remark 3.3](#). Moreover, observe that the above-made choices guarantee that the constants κ and $C_{\gamma, \kappa}$ from [Corollary 3.2](#) do not depend on n .

It remains to establish upper bounds for the integrals appearing on the right-hand side of the inequality in [Corollary 3.2](#). Since the resulting bounds partly depend on $\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2$, let us note that the freeness of X_1^2, \dots, X_n^2 implies

$$\|V_n^2 - 1\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{B_n^4} \varphi \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \sigma_i^2 \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{B_n^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi \left((X_i^2 - \sigma_i^2)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{B_n^4} \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(X_i^4) - \sigma_i^4 \leq L_{4n}. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we start with estimating one of the integrals appearing in [Corollary 3.2](#). By Chebyshev’s inequality, we obtain $1 - F_n(x) \leq \|U_n\|_2^2 x^{-2}$ for $x > 0$ and $F_n(x) \leq \|U_n\|_2^2 x^{-2}$ for $x < 0$. Combining this with [Lemma 5.8](#) and (5.1), it follows

$$\int_B^\infty 1 - F_n(x) dx \leq \frac{\|U_n\|_2^2}{B} \leq 8L_{4n}^{1/4} + 36nL_{4n}^{5/4}, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{-B} F_n(x) dx \leq 8L_{4n}^{1/4} + 36nL_{4n}^{5/4}$$

leading to

$$\int_{|x| > B} |F_n(x) - F_\omega(x)| dx \leq 16L_{4n}^{1/4} + 72nL_{4n}^{5/4}. \quad (5.2)$$

Let us continue with the integrals over the difference of the Cauchy transforms. The resolvent identity implies

$$\begin{aligned} |G_n(z) - G_{S_n}(z)| &= |\varphi((z - U_n)^{-1} - (z - S_n)^{-1})| = |\varphi((z - U_n)^{-1}(U_n - S_n)(z - S_n)^{-1})| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\Im z)^2} \|U_n - S_n\|_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Rewriting

$$U_n - S_n = (V_n^{-1/2} - 1) S_n V_n^{-1/2} + S_n (V_n^{-1/2} - 1) = (1 - V_n^{1/2}) U_n + S_n V_n^{-1/2} (1 - V_n^{1/2}),$$

we get

$$\|U_n - S_n\|_1 \leq \|1 - V_n^{1/2}\|_2 \left(\|U_n\|_2 + \|S_n V_n^{-1/2}\|_2 \right).$$

By functional calculus, we deduce that $1 + V_n^{1/2}$ is invertible with inverse $(1 + V_n^{1/2})^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\|(1 + V_n^{1/2})^{-1}\| \leq 1$. Together with $1 - V_n^{1/2} = (1 - V_n)(1 + V_n^{1/2})^{-1}$, it follows

$$\|1 - V_n^{1/2}\|_2 \leq \|1 - V_n\|_2 \|(1 + V_n^{1/2})^{-1}\| \leq \|1 - V_n\|_2.$$

Repeating the last two calculations, we arrive at $\|1 - V_n^{1/2}\|_2 \leq \|1 - V_n^2\|_2$. With the help of [Lemma 5.8](#), we conclude

$$\|U_n - S_n\|_1 \leq L_{4n}^{1/2} \left(\|U_n\|_2 + \|S_n V_n^{-1/2}\|_2 \right) \leq L_{4n}^{1/2} \left(4 + 6\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{1/2} \right).$$

Integration yields

$$\int_v^1 |G_n(x + iy) - G_{S_n}(x + iy)| dy \leq \|U_n - S_n\|_1 \int_v^1 \frac{1}{y^2} dy \leq \frac{\|U_n - S_n\|_1}{v} \leq v^{-1} L_{4n}^{1/2} \left(4 + 6\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{1/2} \right)$$

for any $x \in [-2 + \varepsilon/2, 2 - \varepsilon/2]$ and

$$\int_{-A}^2 |G_n(u + i) - G_{S_n}(u + i)| du \leq (2 + A) \|U_n - S_n\|_1 \leq 4L_{4n}^{1/4} \left(4 + 6\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{1/2} \right).$$

It remains to bound the integral contributions from the difference between G_{S_n} and G_ω . In the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we used integration by parts and a Berry-Esseen type estimate in order to handle these contributions. However, the same procedure would increase the final rate of convergence in the setting at hand, which is due to the fact that the corresponding Berry-Esseen rate of order $L_{3n}^{1/2}$ – compare to [Theorem 2.2](#) – is too weak. Fortunately, a detailed look into the proof of [Theorem 2.2](#) given in [[CG08](#), [Theorem 2.6](#)] solves this problem. According to the inequalities (6.38), (6.39), (6.43), and (6.44) in [[CG08](#)], we have

$$\int_{-A}^2 |G_{S_n}(u + i) - G_\omega(u + i)| du \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G_{S_n}(u + i) - G_\omega(u + i)| du \leq C_0 L_{3n},$$

$$\sup_{x \in [-2, 2]} \int_v^1 |G_{S_n}(x + iy) - G_\omega(x + iy)| dy \leq C_1 \left(L_{3n}^{3/4} + L_{3n} |\log L_{3n}| \right)$$

for absolute constants $C_0, C_1 > 0$, whenever $L_{3n} \leq D_1$ and $v \geq D_2 L_{3n}^{1/2}$ are satisfied for appropriately chosen constants $D_1, D_2 > 0$.

From now on, assume that the inequalities $L_{4n} < \min\{1/16, 1/(3D_2)^4\}$, $L_{3n} < \min\{D_1, 1\}$ hold. We set $v := D_2 L_{4n}^{1/4}$ and note that we have $v \geq D_2 L_{3n}^{1/2}$. We obtain

$$\int_{-A}^2 |G_n(u + i) - G_\omega(u + i)| du \leq 16L_{4n}^{1/4} + 24\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} + C_0 L_{4n}^{1/2}$$

and

$$\sup_{x \in [-2+\varepsilon/2, 2-\varepsilon/2]} \int_v^1 |G_n(x+iy) - G_\omega(x+iy)| dy \leq D_2^{-1} \left(4L_{4n}^{1/4} + 6\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} \right) + 2C_1L_{4n}^{1/4}.$$

Combining the last two estimates with (5.2), Corollary 3.2, and Remark 3.3, it follows

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C_3 \left(L_{4n}^{1/4} + \sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} + nL_{4n}^{5/4} \right)$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$ (independent of n), whenever the conditions on L_{4n} and L_{3n} given above are satisfied.

Lastly, note that if at least one of these conditions does not hold, we have $L_{4n}^{1/4} + \sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} + nL_{4n}^{5/4} > C_4$ for some $C_4 > 0$ by using the inequality $L_{4n} \geq L_{3n}^2$. Consequently, we get

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq \max \{ C_3, C_4^{-1} \} \left(L_{4n}^{1/4} + \sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{3/4} + nL_{4n}^{5/4} \right)$$

for all $n \geq n_0$.

In order to prove the last claim, assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n}L_{4n} = 0$ holds. Due to (5.3), we obtain

$$|G_n(z) - G_{S_n}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{(\Im z)^2} L_{4n}^{1/2} \left(4 + 6\sqrt{n}L_{4n}^{1/2} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Together with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_{S_n}(z) = G_\omega(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$ following from the free Lindeberg CLT, the claimed weak convergence of μ_n to ω as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is immediate. \square

Let us continue with the proof of Corollary 1.5 considering the special case of identical distributions.

Proof of Corollary 1.5. Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given as in Corollary 1.5. Keeping the notation introduced in Theorem 1.4, we have $B_n^2 = n > 0$, $L_{4n} = \|X_1\|_4^4 n^{-1}$. Moreover, Lindeberg's condition is satisfied. By the last-mentioned theorem, we find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the self-normalized sum U_n exists in $\text{Aff}(\mathcal{A})$ for $n \geq n_0$ and its analytic distribution μ_n satisfies

$$\Delta(\mu_n, \omega) \leq C_0 \frac{\|X_1\|_4 + \|X_1\|_4^3 + \|X_1\|_4^5}{n^{1/4}} \leq 3C_0 \frac{\|X_1\|_4^5}{n^{1/4}}$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ with $C_0 > 0$ being the constant from Theorem 1.4. The weak convergence of μ_n to ω as $n \rightarrow \infty$ follows immediately from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Delta(\mu_n, \omega) = 0$. \square

We end the discussion of unbounded self-normalized sums with two comments.

- Remark 5.9.** (i) In view of the rates of convergence established in the context of the free CLT in Theorem 2.2, the rates obtained in Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 do not seem to be optimal. The reason why we were not able to go beyond these non-optimal rates in our proofs is twofold: First, the bound on the difference between the Cauchy transforms $G_n(z)$ and $G_{S_n}(z)$ in (5.3) is too weak – in particular due to the factor $(\Im z)^{-2}$. However, any attempt to improve this bound (for instance by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1.1) was not successful since evaluations of the (extended) functional φ in unbounded random variables are rather delicate to handle. Second, the support of μ_n a priori grows with n , which forces us to choose the parameters A and B in Corollary 3.2 dependently on n .
- (ii) In Remark 4.5, we saw that the approach via Cauchy transforms can be substituted by a convergence result for rational expressions in strongly convergent bounded random variables. Recently, Collins et al. [Col+22, Proposition 28] established a similar result in the unbounded setting. However, the assumptions made in the last-cited proposition are stronger than the ones needed in Theorem 1.4: Among others, we would have to assume that the underlying sequence of random variables is contained in $\mathcal{L}^k(\mathcal{A})$ for any $1 \leq k < \infty$ in order to be able to derive weak convergence of the analytic distribution of the self-normalized sum to Wigner's semicircle law.

REFERENCES

- [Bai93] Z. D. Bai. Convergence Rate of Expected Spectral Distributions of Large Random Matrices. Part I. Wigner Matrices. *Ann. Probab.*, 21(2):625–648, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176989261>
- [BBG96] V. Bentkus, M. Bloznelis, and F. Götze. A Berry-Esséen Bound for Student’s Statistic in the Non-I.I.D. Case. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 9(3):765–796, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02214086>
- [BG96] V. Bentkus and F. Götze. The Berry-Esseen bound for student’s statistic. *Ann. Probab.*, 24(1):491–503, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1042644728>
- [BP96] H. Bercovici and V. Pata. The law of large numbers for free identically distributed random variables. *Ann. Probab.*, 24(1):453–465, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1042644726>
- [BV93] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu. Free Convolution of Measures with Unbounded Support. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 42(3):733–773, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1993.42.42033>
- [BV98] H. Bercovici and D. Voiculescu. Regularity Questions for Free Convolution. In H. Bercovici and C. I. Foias, editors, *Nonselfadjoint Operator Algebras, Operator Theory, and Related Topics*, volume 104 of *Operator Theory Advances and Applications*, pages 37–47. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8779-3_3
- [Ber+22] H. Bercovici, C.-H. Ho, J.-C. Wang, and P. Zhong. Superconvergence in free probability limit theorems for arbitrary triangular arrays. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 150:5253–5265, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/16033>
- [BS12] A. M. Bikchentaev and A. A. Sabirova. Dominated convergence in measure on semifinite von Neumann algebras and arithmetic averages of measurable operators. *Sib. Math. J.*, 53(2):207–216, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0037446612020036>
- [Bil99] P. Billingsley. *Probability and measure*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999.
- [CG08] G. P. Chistyakov and F. Götze. Limit Theorems in free probability theory. I. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(1):54–90, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1214/009117907000000051>
- [CG13] G. P. Chistyakov and F. Götze. Asymptotic expansions in the CLT in free probability. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 157:107–156, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-012-0451-2>
- [CM14] B. Collins and C. Male. The strong asymptotic freeness of Haar and deterministic matrices. *Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 47(1):147–163, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2211>
- [Col+22] B. Collins, T. Mai, A. Miyagawa, F. Parraud, and S. Yin. Convergence for noncommutative rational functions evaluated in random matrices. *Math. Ann.*, 388:543–574, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-022-02530-5>
- [DDP93] P. G. Dodds, T. K.-Y. Dodds, and B. de Pagter. Noncommutative Köthe Duality. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 339(2):717–750, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1993-1113694-3>
- [DP14] P. G. Dodds and B. de Pagter. Normed Köthe spaces: A non-commutative viewpoint. *Indag. Math.*, 25(2):206–249, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2013.01.009>
- [FK86] T. Fack and H. Kosaki. Generalized s-numbers of τ -measurable operators. *Pac. J. Math.*, 123(2):269–300, 1986. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1986.123.269>
- [GGM97] E. Giné, F. Götze, and D. M. Mason. When is the Student t -statistic asymptotically standard normal?. *Ann. Probab.*, 25(3):1514–1531, 1997. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1024404523>
- [GT03] F. Götze and A. Tikhomirov. Rate of convergence to the semi-circular law. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 127:228–276, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-003-0285-z>
- [Hia20] F. Hiai. Concise lectures on selected topics of von Neumann algebras. arXiv:2004.02383, 2020.
- [KR97] R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose. *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras. Volume I: Elementary Theory*, volume 15 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I, 1997.
- [Kar07a] V. Kargin. Berry–Esseen for Free Random Variables. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 20:381–395, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10959-007-0097-7>
- [Kar07b] V. Kargin. On superconvergence of sums of free random variables. *Ann. Probab.*, 35(5):1931–1949, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1214/009117906000001132>
- [LP97] J. M. Lindsay and V. Pata. Some weak laws of large numbers in noncommutative probability. *Math. Z.*, 226:533–543, 1997. <https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00004356>
- [Log+73] B. F. Logan, C. L. Mallows, S. O. Rice, and L. A. Shepp. Limit Distributions of Self-normalized Sums. *Ann. Probab.*, 1(5):788–809, 1973. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aop/1176996846>
- [MS23] M. Maejima and N. Sakuma. Rates of convergence in the free central limit theorem. *Stat. Probab. Lett.*, 197:109802, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spl.2023.109802>

- [Mal81] R. A. Maller. A Theorem on Products of Random Variables, With Application to Regression. *Austrian J. Stat.*, 23(2):177–185, 1981. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-842X.1981.tb00775.x>
- [Mas05] D. M. Mason. The Asymptotic Distribution of Self-Normalized Triangular Arrays. *J. Theor. Probab.*, 18(4):853–870, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10959-005-7529-z>
- [MS17] J. A. Mingo and R. Speicher. *Free Probability and Random Matrices*, volume 35 of *Fields Institute Monographs*. Springer New York, NY, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6942-5>
- [MN36] F. J. Murray and J. v. Neumann. On Rings of Operators. *Ann. Math.*, 37(1):116–229, 1936. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968693>
- [Nel74] E. Nelson. Notes on non-commutative integration. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 15(2):103–116, 1974. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(74\)90014-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(74)90014-7)
- [Neu23] L. Neufeld. Weighted Sums and Berry-Esseen type estimates in Free Probability Theory. arXiv:2303.06489, 2023.
- [NS06] A. Nica and R. Speicher. *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability*, volume 335 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, 2006. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511735127>
- [PLS09] V. H. de la Peña, T. L. Lai, and Q. Shao. *Self-Normalized Processes*. Probability and Its Applications. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-85636-8>
- [Pet75] V. V. Petrov. *Sums of Independent Random Variables*, volume 82 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 2. Folge*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1975. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-65809-9>
- [Rei98] H. Reich. *Group von Neumann algebras and related topics*. PhD thesis, Universität Göttingen, 1998.
- [Sch12] K. Schmüdgen. *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, volume 265 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer Dordrecht, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4753-1>
- [SW13] Q. Shao and Q. Wang. Self-normalized limit theorems: A survey. *Probab. Surveys*, 10:69–93, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1214/13-PS216>
- [Sha18] Q. Shao. On necessary and sufficient conditions for the self-normalized central limit theorem. *Sci. China Math.*, 61(10):1741–1748, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-018-9368-3>
- [Tem09] I. D. Tembo. Invertibility in the Algebra of τ -measurable Operators. In J. J. Grobler, L. E. Labuschagne, M. Möller, editors, *Operator Algebras, Operator Theory and Applications*, volume 195 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, pages 245–256. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2009. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0346-0174-0_12
- [Voi86] D. Voiculescu. Addition of certain non-commuting random variables. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 66(3):323–346, 1986. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(86\)90062-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(86)90062-5)
- [Yin18] S. Yin. Non-commutative rational functions in strong convergent random variables. *Adv. Oper. Theory*, 3(1):178–192, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.22034/aot.1702-1126>