

Ramanujan vector field

HOSSEIN MOVASATI ¹

On se propose de donner un dictionnaire heuristique entre énoncés en cohomologie l -adique et énoncés en théorie de Hodge. Ce dictionnaire a notamment pour sources [...] et la théorie conjecturale des motifs de Grothendieck [...]. Jusqu'ici, il a surtout servi à formuler, en théorie de Hodge, des conjectures, et il en a parfois suggéré une démonstration, (P. Deligne in [Del71]).

Abstract: In this article we prove that for all primes $p \neq 2, 3$, the Ramanujan vector field has an invariant algebraic curve and then we give a moduli space interpretation of this curve in terms of Cartier operator acting on the de Rham cohomology of elliptic curves. The main ingredients of our study are due to Serre, Swinnerton-Dyer and Katz in 1973. We aim to generalize this for the theory of Calabi-Yau modular forms, which includes the generating function of genus g Gromov-Witten invariants. The integrality of q -expansions of such modular forms is still a main conjecture which has been only established for special Calabi-Yau varieties, for instance those whose periods are hypergeometric functions. For this the main tools are Dwork's theorem. We present an alternative project which aims to prove such integralities using modular vector fields and Gauss-Manin connection in positive characteristic.

1 Introduction

We are going to study algebraic leaves of the Ramanujan vector field

$$(1) \quad v := (t_1^2 - \frac{1}{12}t_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + (4t_1t_2 - 6t_3) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + (6t_1t_3 - \frac{1}{3}t_2^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}$$

in characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$. All the algebraic leaves of this vector field over \mathbb{C} are inside the hypersurface $27t_3^2 - t_2^3 = 0$ and it has a transcendental solution given by the Eisenstein series a_1E_2, a_2E_4, a_3E_6 , where $a = (-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1}{216})$, and that is why it carries this name. We consider it as a vector field in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}^3 = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3])$, where \mathfrak{R} is a ring of characteristic zero with 2 and 3 invertible. We usually take $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$ and so $\mathfrak{R}/p\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{F}_p$. Let \mathfrak{k} be the quotient field of \mathfrak{R} . In [Mov12] the author gave a moduli space interpretation of the Ramanujan vector field:

Theorem 1. *Let \mathbb{T} be the moduli space of triples (E, α, ω) , where E is an elliptic curve defined over \mathfrak{k} and α, ω form a basis of $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathfrak{k})$ with $\alpha \in F^1 H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathfrak{k})$ and $\langle \alpha, \omega \rangle = 1$. This moduli space is the affine variety*

$$\mathbb{T} = \text{Spec} \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, t_3, \frac{1}{\Delta}], \quad \Delta := 27t_3^2 - t_2^3,$$

and we have a universal family over \mathbb{T} given by $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \quad : \quad & zy^2 - 4(x - t_1)^3 + t_2z^2(x - t_1) + t_3z^3 = 0, \\ & [x; y; z] \times (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{T}, \\ (\alpha, \omega) \quad : \quad & \left(\left[\frac{dx}{y} \right], \left[\frac{xdx}{y} \right] \right) \text{ given in the affine coordinate } z = 1. \end{aligned}$$

¹Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina, 110, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, www.impa.br/~hossein, hossein@impa.br.

The natural action of the algebraic group

$$\mathbf{G} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} k & k' \\ 0 & k^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \middle| k' \in \mathfrak{k}, k \in \mathfrak{k} - \{0\} \right\}$$

by change of basis on \mathbb{T} is given by

$$t \bullet g := (t_1 k^{-2} + k' k^{-1}, t_2 k^{-4}, t_3 k^{-6}), t = (t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{T}, \quad g = \begin{bmatrix} k & k' \\ 0 & k^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}.$$

Moreover, if $\nabla : H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{T}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{T}}} H_{\text{dR}}^1(\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{T})$ is the Gauss-Manin connection of \mathbb{E}/\mathbb{T} then the Ramanujan vector field is the unique vector field in \mathbb{T} with the property

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \alpha = -\omega, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \omega = 0.$$

Once we master all the preliminaries of [Theorem 1](#), the proof becomes an easy exercise, see also [[Kat73](#), Appendix 1, page 158], and we realize that being an elliptic curve does not play a significant role in this theorem. The author took the job of generalizing [Theorem 1](#) and wrote many papers with the title ‘‘Gauss-Manin connection in disguise’’. The project has been summarized in the book [[Mov22](#)], and it has been so far written over fields of characteristic zero. For arithmetic applications, it seems to be necessary to consider fields of positive characteristic. In this article we prove the following:

Theorem 2. *Let \mathfrak{k} be a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$. There is a curve in \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{F}_p which is invariant by \mathbf{v} , and its \mathfrak{k} -rational points correspond to triples (E, α, ω) such that $C(\alpha) = \alpha$ and $C(\omega) = 0$, where C is the Cartier operator.*

It is shown by J.V. Pereira in [[Per02](#)], that foliations modulo primes might have algebraic leaves, even though in characteristic zero they do not have such leaves. [Theorem 2](#) is a manifestation of this phenomena. Most of the ingredients of the proof of [Theorem 2](#) comes from the articles of Swinnerton-Dyer, Serre and Katz in LNM 350 and they are the building blocks of the theory of p -adic modular forms. The integrality of the coefficients of E_2, E_4, E_6 play a main role in the proof of [Theorem 2](#). For Calabi-Yau varieties such integralities, and in particular the integrality of the mirror map, have been experimentally observed by physicists, and proved for special class of Calabi-Yau varieties, see [[Mov17](#), Appendix C] and the references therein, but in general it is an open problem. In [[Mov17](#), [AMSY16](#)] we have introduced the theory of Calabi-Yau modular forms and proved a similar statement as in [Theorem 1](#) for Calabi-Yau threefolds. We strongly believe that [Theorem 2](#) for Calabi-Yau varieties is equivalent to the p -integrality of Calabi-Yau modular forms. In this direction we observe the following. For a moment forget that the Ramanujan vector field has a solution given by the Eisenstein series. Instead, consider \mathbf{v} as an ordinary differential equation

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{R} : \begin{cases} \dot{t}_1 = t_1^2 - \frac{1}{12} t_2 \\ \dot{t}_2 = 4t_1 t_2 - 6t_3 \\ \dot{t}_3 = 6t_1 t_3 - \frac{1}{3} t_2^2 \end{cases} .$$

We write each t_i as a formal power series in q , $t_i = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_{i,n} q^n$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and substitute in the above differential equation with $\dot{t}_i = -q \frac{\partial t_i}{\partial q}$ and the initial values $t_1 = -\frac{1}{12}(1 - 24q + \dots)$. It turns out that all $t_{i,n}$ can be computed recursively, however, by recursion we can at most claim $t_{i,n} \in \mathbb{Q}$, for more details see [[Mov12](#), Section 4.3].

Theorem 3. *Let $p \neq 2, 3$ be a prime. There is a curve in \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{F}_p passing through $a := (-\frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, -\frac{1}{216})$ and tangent both to the Ramanujan vector field \mathbf{v} and $b = (2, 20, \frac{7}{3})$ at a , if and only if the solution t_1, t_2, t_3 of \mathbf{v} described above is p -integral, that is, p does not appear in the denominator of $t_{i,n}$'s.*




Figure 1: Leaves and first integral

The author strongly believes that the existence of algebraic solutions of modular vector fields as in [Mov22] and generalizations of [Theorem 3](#) can be proved by available methods in algebraic geometry. This will give a purely geometric method for proving the integrality of Calabi-Yau modular forms without computing periods explicitly. Despite the fact that in this article we heavily use the Eisenstein series E_{p-1} , E_{p+1} for p a prime number, and these objects in the framework of Calabi-Yau varieties do not exist or not yet discovered, we have formulated [Conjecture 10, Item 2](#) which describes the curve in [Theorem 1](#) using only v and primary decomposition of ideals.

We would also like to announce the following theorem which suggests that there might be a heuristic dictionary for properties of foliations over \mathbb{C} and properties of foliations in characteristic p . This is mainly inspired by a similar work in Hodge theory introduced by P. Deligne in [Del71].

Theorem 4. *For any prime $p \neq 2, 3$, the Ramanujan vector field in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^3$ has a first integral $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[t]$, that is $v(f) = 0$. It is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $p+1$ with $\deg(t_i) = 2i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, v restricted to $f = 0$ has a regular first integral A . The curve $A = 1, f = 0$ is the curve in [Theorem 3](#).*

A similar theorem has been proved for the Ramanujan vector field in \mathbb{C}^3 in [Mov08, Theorem 1]. It has a real analytic first integral f in $\mathbb{C}^3 \setminus \{\Delta = 0\}$ and v restricted to $f = 0$ has also a real analytic first integral.

During the preparation of the present text we have consulted J.V. Pereira, F. Bianchini, F. Voloch, and N. Katz whose names appear throughout the text. My heartfelt thanks go to all of them.

2 Bernoulli numbers

Bernoulli numbers B_k are defined through the equality

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \cdot \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

For instance, $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$, $B_6 = \frac{1}{42}$. It is easy to see that for any odd $k \geq 3$ we have $B_k = 0$.

Theorem 5. *We have the following congruence properties for Bernoulli numbers:*

1. Von Staudt–Clausen theorem:

$$B_k + \sum_{(p-1)|k, p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

In particular, for $(p-1)|k$ $\text{ord}_p B_k = -1$.

2. Kummer theorem: If $(p-1) \nmid k$ then $\text{ord}_p \frac{B_k}{k} \geq 0$ and

$$\frac{B_k}{k} \equiv_p \frac{B_{k'}}{k}, \quad \forall k \equiv_{p-1} k' \not\equiv_{p-1} 0.$$

See [BS66, 384–386] or [IR90, Chapter 15] for a proof. The articles [Ser73, SD73, Kat73] use the above theorem to built up the theory of p -adic modular forms.

Proposition 6. *The numerator of $\frac{B_{2k}}{2k}$ is the smallest number $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that aE_{2k} can be written as a polynomial with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} of weight $2k$ in E_4, E_6 with $\deg(E_i) = i$, $i = 4, 6$.*

For the sequence of these numbers see A001067. Examples of $a = a_{2k}$, $2k = 2, 4, 6, 8, \dots, 12$ are

$$1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 691, 1, 3617, 43867, 174611, 77683, 236364091,$$

Proof. This proposition follows from a statement in [SD73, page 19]: “if f is a modular form and A the additive group generated by the coefficients of the q -series expansion of f , then f has a unique expression as an isobaric element of $A[Q, \Delta] \oplus RA[Q, \Delta]$ ”. Here, $Q = E_4, R = E_6$ and $\Delta = \frac{1}{1728}(Q^3 - R^2)$. The proof is easy, even though at first it did not appear to me and Frederico Bianchini reminded me the argument. First, $f - f_0 E_4^a E_6^b$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k := 4a + 6b$ is the weight of f , is a cusp form. We know that the ideal of cusp form over \mathbb{Q} , is generated by Δ and so $\frac{f - f_0 E_4^a E_6^b}{\Delta}$ has coefficients in A and is a modular form of weight $k - 12$. The proof is finished by using induction on k . \square

Let E_2, E_4, E_6 be the Eisenstein series. For a prime $p \neq 2, 3$ let us define

$$\mathcal{I}_p := \left\{ P \in \mathbb{F}_p[t_1, t_2, t_3] \mid P(a_1 E_2, a_2 E_4, a_3 E_6) = 0 \right\}.$$

This is an ideal in $\mathbb{F}_p[t_1, t_2, t_3]$ and we know that it has many elements. We have $E_{p-1} = 1 + \frac{2(p-1)}{B_{p-1}}(q + \dots)$ and Von Staut Clausen theorem says that $\text{ord}_p \frac{2(p-1)}{B_{p-1}} = +1$ and so $E_{p-1} \equiv_p 1$. We write $E_{p-1} = A(a_2 E_4, a_3 E_6)$ and by Proposition 6 the prime p does not appear in the denominator of A and so it makes sense to consider $A \in \mathbb{F}_p[t_2, t_3]$. We get $A - 1 \in \mathcal{I}_p$. In a similar way we use Kummer’s theorem for $(p-1) \nmid (p+1)$ and so $\text{ord}_p \frac{B_{p+1}}{2(p+1)} \geq 0$ and this is $\equiv_p \frac{B_2}{4} = 24$ which implies that

$$(3) \quad \text{ord}_p \frac{B_{p+1}}{2(p+1)} = 0$$

and hence it is invertible modulo p . This together with Fermat’s little theorem imply that $E_{p+1} \equiv E_2$. By Proposition 6 and (3) we can write $E_{p+1} \equiv_p B(a_2 E_4, a_6 E_6)$ with $B \in \mathbb{F}_p[t_2, t_3]$, and we have another element $B + 12t_1 \in \mathcal{I}_p$.

Proposition 7. *For $p \geq 5$ we have*

1. *The ideal \mathcal{I}_p is generated by $A(t_2, t_3) - 1$ and $B(t_2, t_3) + 12t_1$.*
2. *It is invariant under the Ramanujan vector field \mathfrak{v} and $(\mathfrak{v}^p - \mathfrak{v})\mathbb{F}_p[t_1, t_2, t_3] \subset \mathcal{I}_p$.*

3. The scheme $\text{Zero}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ is an irreducible curve in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^3$ with the smooth point a and tangent to the vector b , both defined in [Theorem 3](#), at a .

Proof. 1. Since $\frac{1}{12}B(t_2, t_3) + t_1 \in \mathcal{I}_p$, we need to show that any $P(t_2, t_3) \in \mathcal{I}_p$ is a multiple of $A(t_2, t_3) - 1$. This has been proved in [[SD73](#), Theorem 2 (iv), page 22], see also [[Ser73](#), page 196].

2. Let us consider the following map which is a ring homomorphism:

$$\mathfrak{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}[[q]], P(t_1, t_2, t_3) \mapsto P(a_1E_2, a_2E_4, a_3E_6).$$

In $\mathfrak{R}[[q]]$ we consider the derivation $-q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$ and it turns out that the following is commutative:

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3] & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{v}} & \mathfrak{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{R}[[q]] & \xrightarrow{-q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}} & \mathfrak{R}[[q]]. \end{array}$$

This implies that \mathcal{I}_p is invariant under the Ramanujan vector field \mathbf{v} . One can compute $\mathbf{v}(A - 1)$ and $\mathbf{v}(B + 12t_1)$ in terms of $A - 1$ and $B + 12t_1$ using the equalities in [[SD73](#), Theorem 2 page 22]. By Fermat little theorem we have $a^p \equiv_p a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$, and so, $(-q\frac{\partial}{\partial q})^p = -q\frac{\partial}{\partial q}$. This implies that $\mathbf{v}^p f - \mathbf{v}f \in \mathcal{I}_p$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{R}[t]$.

3. Note that

$$\left\{ P \in \mathbb{F}_p[t_1, t_2, t_3] \mid P(E_2, E_4, E_6) = 0 \right\} = \mathcal{I}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p.$$

This follows by considering the same equality for the vector space of polynomials P of degree $\leq d$ and the fact that the left hand side of the above equality is defined over \mathbb{F}_p . By definition $\mathcal{I}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is a prime ideal. The point a is a singular point of the Ramanujan vector field. Of course we can prove this also by explicit generators $A - 1$ and $B + 12t_1$ of \mathcal{I}_p . The smoothness at a follows from $(p - 1)A = 4t_2\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_2} + 6t_3\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_3}$. \square

Remark 1. We remark that \mathcal{I}_p is not generated by $(\mathbf{v}^p - \mathbf{v})t_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. For the computation below we use the Ramanujan vector field corresponding with the solution (E_2, E_4, E_6) (without constant a_i 's). We first compute the linear part of \mathbf{v} at t . In the coordinates $x_i = t_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, \mathbf{v} can be written as

$$\mathbf{v} := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & \frac{-1}{12} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{-1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} + \dots$$

where \dots means higher order terms. The Jordan decomposition of the linear part is $A = SJS^{-1}$, where

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 2 & 1/21 \\ 2/3 & 0 & -10/21 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This implies that the linear part of $\mathbf{v}^p - \mathbf{v}$ is of rank one. If $(\mathbf{v}^p - \mathbf{v})t_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ generate \mathcal{I}_p , this must be 2 because $\text{Zero}(\mathcal{I}_p)$ is smooth at $t = (1, 1, 1)$.

3 Hasse-Witt invariant

For the definition of Hasse-Witt invariants, we follow [[AH19](#)]. We actually use a notion of Hasse-Witt invariant for differential forms of the second kind. For a curve X defined over a perfect field of characteristic p , there exists a unique map $C : \Omega_X^1 \rightarrow \Omega_X^1$, called the Cartier operator, such that

1. C is $\frac{1}{p}$ -linear, that is C is additive and $C(f^p\omega) = fC(\omega)$.
2. $C(df) = 0$
3. $C(f^{p-1}df) = df$,
4. a differential ω is logarithmic, that is $\omega = \frac{df}{f}$ if and only if ω is closed and $C(\omega) = \omega$.

This operator induces a $1/p$ -linear map on meromorphic differential 1-forms on X . We can compute the Cartier operator in the following way. Let a be a closed point of a smooth curve X and let t be a coordinate system at a . The $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}^p$ -module $\mathcal{O}_{X,a}$ is freely generated by functions $1, t, \dots, t^{p-1}$. Any meromorphic 1-form which is holomorphic at a admits an expression

$$(5) \quad \omega = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f_j t^j \right) dt, \quad f_j \in \mathcal{O}_{X,a}.$$

We have

$$C(\omega) = f_{p-1} dt.$$

We follow [AH19] and compute the matrix of $C \frac{x^i dx}{y}$. Let $f(x) = 4x^3 - t_2 x - t_3$, where $t_2, t_3 \in \mathfrak{k}$ and \mathfrak{k} is a perfect field of characteristic p . We denote the inverse of the Frobenius map $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}$, $t \mapsto t^p$ by $t \mapsto t^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and write

$$f(x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{3(p-1)}{2}} c_i x^i.$$

By the \mathbb{G}_m action $x \rightarrow kx$, $t_2 \rightarrow k^{-2}t_2$, $t_3 \rightarrow k^{-3}t_3$, we can see that $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t_2, t_3]$, $\deg(t_3) = 6$, $\deg(t_2) = 4$ is homogeneous of degree $3(p-1) - 2i$. A simple calculation shows that

$$C \left(\frac{x^{j-1} dx}{y} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{1}{2}p-j} c_{ip-j}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{x^{i-1} dx}{y} = c_{p-j}^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{dx}{y},$$

$$\left[C \left(\frac{dx}{y} \right), C \left(\frac{x dx}{y} \right) \right] = [\alpha, \omega] \begin{bmatrix} c_{p-1}^{\frac{1}{p}} & c_{p-2}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The quantity c_{p-1} is called the Hasse-Witt invariant of the elliptic curve $E : y^2 = f(x)$.

Proposition 8. *Let $E_{t_2, t_3} : y^2 = 4x^3 - t_2 x - t_3$ be an elliptic curve in the Weierstrass format over a perfect field of characteristic $p \neq 2, 3$. We have*

$$c_{p-1} \equiv_p A(t_2, t_3), \quad c_{p-2} \equiv_p \frac{1}{12} B(t_2, t_3),$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{Q}[t_2, t_3]$ are computed via $E_{p-1} = A(a_2 E_4, a_3 E_6)$, $E_{p+1} = B(a_2 E_4, a_3 E_6)$.

Proof. A hint for the proof of the first congruence can be found in [SD73, last paragraph of page 23]. In this article we read "This may be proved in one of two ways. On the one hand Deligne has shown that the q -series expansion of the Hasse invariant reduces to 1; and Theorem 2 shows that this property characterizes A among polynomials of weight $l-1$. On the other hand the differential equation derived from (ii) is just that which the Hasse invariant is known to satisfy, see Igusa." The first proof is reproduced in [Kat73, page 90], see also [Ser73, Theorem 3]. The second congruency at first seemed to be a novelty which has not deserved the attention of masters. The author consulted F. Voloch regarding this which resulted in the following comments. Serre in a note (Algèbre et géométrie, page 81) mentions the article [Rob80] in which a multiplication by E_{p+1} map is characterized uniquely with a certain property related to Hecke operators. This is also reproduced in [Edi92, Proposition 7.2]. At no point its relation

with the Cartier map and the differential form of the second kind $\frac{xdx}{y}$ is discussed. From another perspective, Katz in [Kat77, page 57] describes the action of Frobenius map (dual to Cartier) on the de Rham cohomology of elliptic curves. He uses the letter B to denote a coefficient which must be essentially c_{p-2} , but no relation with E_{p+1} is discussed. After a personal communication with N. Katz, the author came to know that the puzzle has been only solved many decades later in [Kat21, Theorem 3.1]. This might partially justify the author's rediscovery of the second congruency. The reader who dislikes proofs using some complicated language of Algebraic Geometry and prefers experimental verification, might use the following computer code in SINGULAR which verifies the proposition for as much as primes that the computer can handle. Here is the code for primes ≤ 300 .

```
LIB "foliation.lib"; int np=300;
intvec prli=primes(1,np); int i;
for (i=3;i<=size(prli);i=i+1)
{ prli[i];
ring r=0,(x,t_2,t_3),dp;
poly A=Eisenstein(prli[i]-1, t_2, t_3); poly B=Eisenstein(prli[i]+1, t_2, t_3 );
A=subst(subst(A, t_2, 12*t_2),t_3, -216*t_3); B=subst(subst(B, t_2, 12*t_2),t_3, -216*t_3);
ring rr=int(prli[i]),(x,t_2,t_3),dp;
poly A=imap(r,A); poly B=imap(r,B);
int p12=(prli[i]-1) div 2;
poly P=(4*x^3-t_2*x-t_3)^p12;
matrix M=coeffs(P,x);
M[prli[i],1]-A;
M[prli[i]-1,1]-1/12*B;
}
```

□

Proof. (of Theorem 2) The curve we are looking for in Theorem 2 is the one explicitly described in Proposition 7. Let (t_1, t_2, t_3) be a \mathfrak{k} -rational point of $\text{Zero}(\mathcal{I}_p)$, and hence, $A(t_2, t_3) = 1$, $B(t_2, t_3) = t_1$. We write the family of elliptic curves in Theorem 1 in the affine coordinates and write it in the form $y^2 = 4x^3 - t_2x - t_3$, $\alpha = \frac{dx}{y}$, $\omega = \frac{(x+t_1)dx}{y}$. By Proposition 8 we know that

$$C(\alpha) = A^{\frac{1}{p}}\alpha = \alpha,$$

$$C(\omega) = \left(\frac{1}{12}B\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\alpha + t_1^{\frac{1}{p}}A^{\frac{1}{p}}\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{12}B + t_1\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\alpha = 0.$$

□

Proof. (of Theorem 3) We have an algebraic curve C in \mathbb{T}/\mathbb{F}_p and its smooth point a in the discriminant loci $\Delta = 0$ and we know that it is tangent to \mathbf{v} . Moreover, we have the vector b given in Theorem 3 tangent to C at a . Since C is smooth at a , we can parameterize it, that is, there are formal power series $t_i := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_{i,n}q^n \in \mathbb{F}_p[[q]]$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that $(t_{1,0}, t_{2,0}, t_{3,0}) = a$ and $(t_{1,1}, t_{2,1}, t_{3,1}) = b$. For $t = (t_1, t_2, t_3)$, both formal power series $\frac{\partial t}{\partial q}$ and $v(t(q))$ are tangent to the curve C and the first one evaluated at a is non-zero. Therefore, we have $v(t) = a(q)\frac{\partial t}{\partial q}$, where $a(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_iq^i \in \mathbb{F}_p[[q]]$. Since $\frac{\partial t}{\partial q}(a) = b \neq 0$ and $v(a) = 0$, we conclude that $a_0 = 0$. Moreover, we compute the coefficient of q in $v(t(q))$ and see it is $-b$, that is,

$$\left[\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t_j} \right]_{3 \times 3} \Big|_{q=0} b^{\text{tr}} = -b^{\text{tr}},$$

see [Mov21, Page 333]. This implies that $a_1 = -1$. By inverse function theorem (or formal change of coordinates tangent to the identity) we can assume that $a(q) = -q$. Therefore, $q\frac{\partial t}{\partial q} = v(t)$ and this implies the p -integrality of the solution over \mathbb{Q} . □

Proof. (of Theorem 4) We claim that the $B + 12t_1A$ is the first integral of \mathbf{v} , that is, $v(B + 12t_1A) = 0$. For this we use the differential equations of A and B in [SD73, Theorem 2 (ii), page 22], see also [Ser73]. Under the transformation $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \rightarrow (kt_1, k^2t_2, k^3t_3)$ with $k \in \mathfrak{k}$, \mathbf{v} is mapped to $k^{-2}\mathbf{v}$ and the curve $C_1 : A = 1, B = t_1$ is mapped to another curve C_k passing through (ka_1, k^2a_2, k^3a_3) . All these curves lie in the hypersurface $B + 12t_1A = 0$ which intersects

the discriminant hypersurface $\Delta = 0$ at two components $\text{Sing}(\mathbf{v})$ and $t_2 = t_3 = 0$. This shows that \mathbf{v} restricted to $B + 12t_1A$ has the first integral $A = -\frac{B}{12t_1}$. \square

Remark 2. The vector field \mathbf{v} is tangent to both $\Delta = 0$ and $B + 12t_1A$ and its leaves inside them are algebraic. It is interesting to know that these are the only algebraic leaves of \mathbf{v} over finite fields. This follows from [Conjecture 10, Item 2](#) which can be verified by computer for examples of p .

4 Other aspects of the Ramanujan vector field

In this section we gather some other arithmetic aspects of the Ramanujan vector field. We consider the Eisenstein series E_2, E_4 and E_6 (without a_i constants), and in particular A, B are the original ones in the literature. The corresponding differential equation in the vector field format is:

$$(6) \quad \mathbf{v} := \frac{1}{12}(t_1^2 - t_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} + \frac{1}{3}(t_1t_2 - t_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} + \frac{1}{2}(t_1t_3 - t_2^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial t_3}.$$

All the conjectures in this section must be easy exercises and the main evidence for them is their verification for many prime numbers by computer. The author has not put any effort to prove them theoretically. They are motivated by some general discussions for vector fields in [\[Mov24, Chapter 5\]](#).

Conjecture 9. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ with $a_2^3 - a_3^2 \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{R} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}, a_1, a_2, a_3]$ (polynomial ring in a_1, a_2, a_3 and with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]$). Let also \mathbf{v} be the Ramanujan vector field (6) in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{R}}^3 = \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{R}[t_1, t_2, t_3])$. For an infinite number of primes p , \mathbf{v} is not collinear with \mathbf{v}^p at a , in the scheme $\mathbb{T}_p := \mathbb{T} \times_{\mathfrak{R}} \text{Spec}(\mathfrak{R}/p\mathfrak{R})$ (that is modulo prime p).

This conjecture follows from a generalization of Grothendieck-Katz conjecture for vector fields in [\[Mov24, Chapter 5\]](#), and the fact that the solutions of \mathbf{v} passing through a is a transcendental curve, see for instance [\[Mov08, Theorem 1\]](#)). Recall that the Ramanujan vector field leaves the discriminant locus $\Delta : t_2^3 - t_3^2 = 0$ invariant and its solutions in this locus are algebraic.

Conjecture 10. For the Ramanujan vector field \mathbf{v} and any prime $p \neq 2, 3$, we have the following statements about ideals in $\mathbb{F}_p[t_1, t_2, t_3]$.

1. The radical of the ideal of $\mathbf{v}^p = 0$, that is $\langle \mathbf{v}^p t_1, \mathbf{v}^p t_2, \mathbf{v}^p t_3 \rangle$, is generated by Δ .
2. The primary decomposition of the ideal of the equality $\mathbf{v}^p = \mathbf{v}$, that is $\langle \mathbf{v}^p t_1 - \mathbf{v} t_1, \mathbf{v}^p t_2 - \mathbf{v} t_2, \mathbf{v}^p t_3 - \mathbf{v} t_3 \rangle$, consists of three components: The first two are $\langle A - 1, B - t_1 \rangle$ and $\langle A - 1, B + t_1 \rangle$ mentioned in the proof of [Theorem 4](#) and the third component is $\text{Sing}(\mathbf{v}) := \langle t_1^2 - t_2, t_1^3 - t_3 \rangle$ which is inside $\Delta = 0$.
3. The radical of the collinearity ideal between \mathbf{v}^p and \mathbf{v} , that is $\langle \mathbf{v}^p t_i \mathbf{v} t_j - \mathbf{v}^p t_j \mathbf{v} t_i \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3 \rangle$, is generated by $\Delta \cdot (B - t_1 A)$.

One can check the above conjecture for any prime $p \neq 2, 3$ using the following code.

```
LIB "foliation.lib"; int np=100;
intvec prli=primes(1,np); int n=10; int pr=prli[n]; pr;
ring r=pr, (t_1,t_2,t_3),dp;
list vecfield=1/12*(t_1^2-t_2), 1/3*(t_1*t_2-t_3), 1/2*(t_1*t_3-t_2^2);
list vf; int i; int k; int j; poly Q; int di=size(vecfield);
for (i=1; i<=di;i=i+1){vf=insert(vf, var(i),size(vf));}
for (k=1; k<=di;k=k+1)
  {for (i=1; i<=pr;i=i+1)
    {Q=0;
     for (j=1; j<=di;j=j+1){Q=Q+diff(vf[k], var(j))*vecfield[j];}
    vf[k]=Q;
  }
}
```



```

poly Delta=t_2^3-t_3^2; ideal I=vf[1..size(vf)]; I=radical(I); "vp=0"; I;
ideal K=vf[1]-vecfield[1], vf[2]-vecfield[2],vf[3]-vecfield[3]; "vp=v"; primdecGTZ(K);

matrix CL[2][3]=vecfield[1..di],vf[1..di]; ideal J=minor(CL,2); J=radical(J); "vp cllinear v"; J;
ring rr=0,(x,t_2,t_3),dp;
poly A=Eisenstein(pr-1, t_2, t_3); poly B=Eisenstein(pr+1, t_2, t_3 );
setting r;
poly A=imap(rr,A); poly B=imap(rr,B);
(Delta*(B-t_1*A)/J[1])*J[1]-Delta*(B-t_1*A);
/--Experimental verification of the fact that B-t_1A is a first integral
list lv=t_1,t_2,t_3;
Diffvf(B-t_1*A, lv, vecfield);
/--ideal generated by A, B----
ideal I=A,B; radical(I);
I=B-t_1*A,Delta; primdecGTZ(I);
/--Investigating F_g-----
poly P=(10*B^3-6*B*t_2-4*t_3)/103680;
ideal I=A-1,P; primdecGTZ(I);
pr;

```

Conjecture 10, Item 3 implies the following.

Conjecture 11. *For all primes $p \neq 2, 3$ the Ramanujan vector field in $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^3$ is not p -closed, that is, v^p is not collinear to v at a generic point.*

The above statement can be also verified by the following computer code.

```

LIB "foliation.lib";
ring r=0, (t_1,t_2,t_3),dp;
list vf=1/12*(t_1^2-t_2), 1/3*(t_1*t_2-t_3), 1/2*(t_1*t_3-t_2^2);
int ub=200;
BadPrV(vf, ub);

```

The fact that the variety given by $A = B = 0$ is $t_2 = t_3 = 0$ has been noticed in the literature, see [Kat21, Theorem 3.1]. This implies that the radical of the ideal $\langle A, B \rangle$ is $\langle t_2, t_3 \rangle$. Moreover, we can also easily prove that the primary decomposition of the ideal $\langle \Delta, B - t_1 A \rangle$ consists of two components $\langle t_2, t_3 \rangle$ and $\text{Sing}(v)$. Both facts can be verified experimentally.

5 Final remarks

As the main goal of the present article has been to prepare the ground for similar investigations in the case of Calabi-Yau varieties, one might try to describe modulo prime properties of topological string partition functions F_g in the case of elliptic curves, see the articles of Dijkgraaf, Douglas, Kaneko and Zagier in [Mov12, Appendix B]. These are homogeneous polynomials in E_2, E_4 and E_6 of weight $6g - 6$, for instance $F_2 = \frac{1}{103680}(10E_2^3 - 6E_2E_4 - 4E_6)$. For Calabi-Yau threefolds, and in particular for mirror quintic, there is an ambiguity problem for F_g 's which has been only established for lower genus, see the references in [Mov17]. Modulo primes investigation of F_g 's might give some insight to this problem. For instance, in the last lines of the computer code of Conjecture 10 we have investigated the zero locus of F_2 restricted to the curve $\text{Zero}(\mathcal{I}_p)$. We found many such points with coordinates in \mathbb{F}_p , but no pattern for different primes were found.

The articles of Swinnerton-Dyer and Serre used in this article have originated the Serre conjecture on the modularity of two dimensional Galois representations. It would be of interest to see similar conjectural statements in the case of Calabi-Yau modular forms.

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Z} and assume that its reduction E/\mathcal{F}_p modulo p is smooth. We consider E over \mathbb{Z}_p , and we know that $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank 2. Moreover, by a comparison theorem of Berthelot, $H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is isomorphic in a canonical way to $H_{\text{cris}}^1(E/\mathbb{F}_p)$. The later, has the Frobenius map which lifts to a map $F : H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_{\text{dR}}^1(E/\mathbb{Z}_p)$, for details and references see [Ked08]. When we started to write the present paper, we wanted to formulate Theorem 2 using F , but later we realized that only the Cartier operator is sufficient.

References

- [AH19] Jeffrey D. Achter and Everett W. Howe. Hasse-Witt and Cartier-Manin matrices: a warning and a request. In *Arithmetic geometry: computation and applications*.

- 16th international conference on arithmetic, geometry, cryptography, and coding theory, AGC2T, CIRM, Marseille, France, June 19–23, 2017. Proceedings*, pages 1–18. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2019.
- [AMSY16] M. Alim, H. Movasati, E. Scheidegger, and S.-T. Yau. Gauss-Manin connection in disguise: Calabi-Yau threefolds. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 334(3):889–914, 2016.
- [BS66] Z. I. Borevich and I. R. Shafarevich. *Number theory. Translated by Newcomb Greenleaf*, volume 20 of *Pure Appl. Math., Academic Press*. New York and London: Academic Press, 1966.
- [Del71] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. I. In *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 1*, pages 425–430. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971.
- [Edi92] Bas Edixhoven. The weight in Serre’s conjectures on modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 109(3):563–594, 1992.
- [IR90] Kenneth Ireland and Michael Rosen. *A classical introduction to modern number theory.*, volume 84. New York etc.: Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Kat73] N. M. Katz. p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, pages 69–190. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 350. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Kat77] Nicholas M. Katz. A result on modular forms in characteristic p . *Modular Funct. one Var. V, Proc. int. Conf., Bonn 1976, Lect. Notes Math.* 601, 53-61 (1977)., 1977.
- [Kat21] Nicholas M. Katz. On a question of Zannier. *Exp. Math.*, 30(3):422–428, 2021.
- [Ked08] K. S. Kedlaya. p -adic cohomology: from theory to practice. In *p -adic geometry*, volume 45 of *Univ. Lecture Ser.*, pages 175–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [Mov08] H. Movasati. On elliptic modular foliations. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 19(2):263–286, 2008.
- [Mov12] H. Movasati. Quasi-modular forms attached to elliptic curves, I. *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal*, 19(2):307–377, 2012.
- [Mov17] H. Movasati. Gauss-Manin connection in disguise: Calabi-Yau modular forms. *Surveys of Modern Mathematics, Int. Press, Boston.*, 2017.
- [Mov21] H. Movasati. *A course in Hodge theory. With emphasis on multiple integrals*. Somerville, MA: International Press, 2021.
- [Mov22] H. Movasati. *Modular and automorphic forms & beyond*, volume 9 of *Monogr. Number Theory*. Singapore: World Scientific, 2022.
- [Mov24] H. Movasati. Leaf scheme and hodge loci. *Preprint*, 2024.
- [Per02] Jorge Vitório Pereira. Invariant hypersurfaces for positive characteristic vector fields. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 171(2-3):295–301, 2002.
- [Rob80] Gilles Robert. Congruences entre séries d’Eisenstein, dans le cas supersingulier. *Invent. Math.*, 61:103–158, 1980.

- [SD73] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer. On l -adic representations and congruences for coefficients of modular forms. *Modular Functions of one Variable III*, Proc. internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp 1972, Lect. Notes Math. 350, 1-55 (1973)., 1973.
- [Ser73] Jean-Pierre Serre. Formes modulaires et fonctions zêta p -adiques. In *Modular functions of one variable, III (Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp, Antwerp, 1972)*, volume Vol. 350 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 191–268. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973.