The study of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe using nonclosure approach in nuclear shell model

S. Sarkar,^{1, *} Y. Iwata,² K. Jha,³ and R. Chatterjee¹

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee - 247667, Uttarakhand, India

²Osaka University of Economics and Law, Yao, Osaka 581-0853, Japan

³Department of Physics, Medi-Caps University, Piqdamber, Rau, Indore - 453331, Madhya Pradesh, India

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

In this investigation, we compute the nuclear matrix elements (NMEs) relevant to the light neutrino-exchange mechanism governing neutrinoless double beta $(0\nu\beta\beta)$ decay in ¹³⁶Xe. Our method is based on the nonclosure approach within the interacting nuclear shell model framework. This approach considers the genuine effects arising from the excitation energies of two hundred states for each spin-parity of the intermediary nucleus ¹³⁶Cs. All computations are performed using the effective shell model Hamiltonian GCN5082. To understand the impact of nuclear structure on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, we explore the dependence of the NME on various factors, including the number of intermediate states and their spin-parity characteristics. We identify an optimal closure energy of approximately 3.7 MeV for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe that reproduces the nonclosure NME using the closure approach. The calculated total NME for the light neutrino-exchange $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe is 2.06 with the CD-Bonn short-range correlation (SRC). These results can be valuable for future experimental investigations into the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe.

I. INTRODUCTION

The $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay is a rare type of weak nuclear decay that can occur in specific even-even nuclei such as 136 Xe [1–4]. In this process, two neutrons within the parent nucleus are simultaneously converted into two protons and two electrons, with no emission of neutrinos. The absence of neutrinos in the final state violates the law of lepton number conservation, making it a process of great interest to physicists. The detection of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in experiments would provide evidence for the Majorana nature of neutrinos. Majorana neutrinos, hypothesized as their own antineutrinos, could elucidate the unexpectedly small mass of neutrinos in various theoretical particle physics models. Moreover, exploring $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay could provide insights into the absolute scale of the effective Majorana neutrino mass, potentially revealing clues about the overall mass hierarchy of neutrinos. Currently, the most stringent upper limit on the effective Majorana neutrino mass $(\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle)$ is between 36 and 156 meV. This limit is derived from the $0\nu\beta\beta$ half-life of ¹³⁶Xe, $T_{1/2}^{0\nu} \geq 2.3 \times 10^{26}$ years, using NMEs from various nuclear models, as reported by the KamLAND-Zen experiment [5].

A number of decay mechanisms have been proposed for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, such as standard light neutrinoexchange mechanism, supersymmetric particle exchange mechanisms, left-right symmetric mechanisms, etc. [3]. In this study, we focus on the light neutrino-exchange mechanism. The decay rate for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay mechanisms is related to NMEs and the absolute mass of the neutrino. These NMEs are typically calculated using theoretical nuclear many-body models [4]. Some of the widely used models are the quasiparticle random phase approximation (QRPA) [6], the interacting shell-model (ISM) [7–10], the interacting boson model (IBM 2) [11, 12], the generator coordinate method (GCM) [13–15], the energy density functional (EDF) theory [13, 14], the relativistic energy density functional (REDF) theory [14, 15], the projected Hartree-Fock Bogolibov model (PHFB) [16], *ab initio* Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) technique [17], the no-core shell model (NCSM) [18], In-medium similarity renormalization group (IMSRG) [19].

The ¹³⁶Xe is one of the important $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay candidates with an immense experimental interest all over the globe, such as KamLAND-Zen [5], nEXO [20], EXO-200 [21], PandaX-III [22], and NEXT [23]. This serves as motivation for us to enhance the reliability of NMEs regarding the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, employing the nuclear shell model.

The $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe occurs as

136
Xe \rightarrow^{136} Ba + e^- + e^- . (1)

Previously, the NMEs for the light neutrino-exchange mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay for ¹³⁶Xe was calculated using closure approximation in the nuclear shell model in Refs. [7, 24–35]. This approach approximates the effects of excitation energy of all virtual intermediate states of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay with a single, constant energy value. However, this simplification neglects the contributions of actual individual excited states. The nonclosure approach offers a more comprehensive calculation by incorporating the actual excitation energies for each spin-parity of these intermediate states. The nonclosure approach can improve the reliability of NMEs for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay and avoid the problem of picking the correct closure energy value. The nonclosure approach has gained popularity in recent years due to increasing computational resources. This approach was applied in the nuclear shell model calculations of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay for ⁴⁸Ca [36–38] ⁷⁶Ge [39, 40], ⁸²Se [41], ¹²⁴Sn [42], and briefly for ¹³⁶Xe [43].

The present work aims to conduct a detailed study

^{*} shahariar.ph@sric.iitr.ac.in

of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in ¹³⁶Xe using the nonclosure approach. This approach is employed to calculate the reliable NMEs within the nuclear shell model. The study examines the effects of the excitation energy of numerous intermediate states and various nuclear structure aspects on the NMEs for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II outlines the theoretical formalism for computing the NMEs in the nuclear shell model for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay with closure and nonclosure approaches. Section III explores our findings: calculations, comparisons to prior research, and discussion. Finally, in Section IV, we summarize the main conclusions of our work.

II. THEORETICAL FORMALISM

For the light neutrino-exchange mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, the inverse of half-life is [44]

$$[T_{\frac{1}{2}}^{0\nu}]^{-1} = G^{0\nu} g_A^4 |M^{0\nu}|^2 \left(\frac{\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle}{m_e}\right)^2, \qquad (2)$$

where $G^{0\nu}$ is phase-space factor [45], $M^{0\nu}$ is the total NME for the light neutrino-exchange mechanism, and the effective Majorana mass $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle$ is defined by the neutrino mass eigenvalues m_k and the neutrino mixing matrix elements U_{ek} , given in Eq. (3) of Ref. [37].

The total nuclear matrix element $M^{0\nu}$ contains Gamow-Teller (M_{GT}) , Fermi (M_F) , and tensor (M_T) matrix elements as given by [44]

$$M^{0\nu} = M_{GT} - \left(\frac{g_V}{g_A}\right)^2 M_F + M_T,$$
 (3)

where g_V and g_A are the vector and axial-vector constants, respectively. In the present work, $g_V=1$ and the bare value of $g_A=1.27$ are used. The matrix elements M_{GT} , M_F , and M_T of the two-body transition operator O_{12}^{α} of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay can be expressed as [9]:

$$M_{\alpha} = \langle f | f_{Jastrow}(r) O_{12}^{\alpha} f_{Jastrow}(r) | i \rangle, \qquad (4)$$

where $\alpha \in F, GT, T$, and in the present case, $|i\rangle$ corresponds to the 0⁺ ground state of the parent nucleus ¹³⁶Xe, and $|f\rangle$ corresponds to the 0⁺ ground state of the granddaughter nucleus ¹³⁶Ba. The O_{12}^{α} is the scalar twoparticle transition operator of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay that incorporates both spin and radial neutrino potential operators. In standard Jastrow approach, the $f_{Jastrow}(r)$ includes the effects of SRC [46, 47] which is defined as

$$f_{Jastrow}(r) = 1 - ce^{-ar^2}(1 - br^2).$$
 (5)

In the literature, three different short-range correlation (SRC) parameterizations are used in the Jastrow approach: Miller-Spencer, Charge-Dependent Bonn (CD-Bonn), and Argonne V18 (AV18) [48]. The parameters a, b, and c for these SRC parameterizations are detailed

in Ref. [48]. The Jastrow-like function approach to incorporating SRC effects is extensively utilized in Refs. [24, 48, 49]. Recently, the authors of Refs. [50, 51] have proposed an alternative method called the Unitary Correlation Operator Method (UCOM) for estimating SRC effects. This study focuses exclusively on the Jastrowtype approach for estimating SRC effects. Detailed descriptions of incorporating SRC effects using different approaches can be found in Refs. [46, 47].

The two-body transition operators O_{12}^{α} for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in light neutrino-exchange mechanism are can be written as [36]

where τ is the isospin annihilation operator, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r_1} - \mathbf{r_2}$ is the inter-nucleon distance of the decaying nucleons, and the tensor spin operator S_{12} is defined as $S_{12} = 3(\sigma_1.\hat{\mathbf{r}})(\sigma_2.\hat{\mathbf{r}}) - (\sigma_1.\sigma_2)$.

The radial neutrino potential with explicit dependence on the energy of the intermediate states is given by [36]

$$H_{\alpha}(r, E_k) = \frac{2R}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{f_{\alpha}(q, r)qdq}{q + E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2}, \quad (7)$$

where R is the radius of the parent nucleus, q is the momentum of the virtual Majorana neutrino, and E_i , E_k , and E_f are the energies of the initial, intermediate, and final states, respectively. The term $f_{\alpha}(q,r) = j_p(q,r)h_{\alpha}(q^2)$, where $j_p(q,r)$ is the spherical Bessel function with p = 0 for Fermi and Gamow-Teller, and p = 2for tensor NMEs. The term $h_{\alpha}(q^2)$ accounts for the effects of finite nucleon size (FNS) and higher-order currents (HOC) as described in Refs. [44, 46]. In the expression for $h_{\alpha}(q^2)$, the parameters M_V and M_A are 850 MeV and 1086 MeV, respectively, and $\mu_p - \mu_n = 4.7$ is used in the calculations [48].

Two different approaches to evaluating neutrino potential integral in NME calculations are closure and nonclosure approaches. In closure approach, one approximates the term $E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2$ in the denominator of the neutrino potential of Eq. (7) with a constant closure energy $(\langle E \rangle)$ such that $E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2 \rightarrow \langle E \rangle$ [48]. The closure approximation simplifies calculations by eliminating the need to account for a large number of allowed spin-parity states of intermediate nuclei, which can be computationally challenging for higher-mass isotopes such as ¹³⁶Xe in the nuclear shell model. However, the accuracy of this method relies on the selection of an appropriate closure energy.

In the nonclosure approach, the neutrino potential of Eq.(7) is computed explicitly in NMEs calculation by considering energy E_k of a large number of states $|k\rangle$ of the virtual intermediate nucleus (¹³⁶Cs for the present case). The term $E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2$ in the denominator of the neutrino potential in Eq. (7) is expressed as a

function of the excitation energy (E_k^*) of the intermediate state $(|k\rangle)$ as $E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2 \rightarrow Q_{\beta\beta}(0^+)/2 + \Delta M + E_k^*$ [36]. Here, $Q_{\beta\beta}(0^+)$ is the Q value for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, ΔM is the mass difference between the ¹³⁶Cs and ¹³⁶Xe isotopes, and E_k^* is the excitation energy of the intermediate states $|k\rangle$ with different allowed spin-parities of ¹³⁶Cs.

This paper primarily focuses on employing the nonclosure approach to account for the realistic effects of at least two hundred states for each spin-parity of the virtual intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs. Additionally, it derives the optimal closure energy required to reproduce the NMEs obtained from the nonclosure approach using the closure method.

The method based on the nonclosure approach is referred to as the running nonclosure method [36], due to the limitation of calculating only a finite number of intermediate states with current computational resources. The partial NMEs for the transition operator of Eq. (6) in the running nonclosure method is defined as [38]

$$M_{\alpha}(J_{k}, J, E_{k}^{*}) = \sum_{\substack{k_{1}^{\prime}k_{2}^{\prime}k_{1}k_{2}}} \sqrt{(2J_{k}+1)(2J_{k}+1)(2J+1)} \times (-1)^{j_{k1}+j_{k2}+J} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} j_{k1^{\prime}} & j_{k1} & J_{k} \\ j_{k2} & j_{k2^{\prime}} & J \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\times \text{OBTD}(k, f, k_{2}^{\prime}, k_{2}, J_{k}) \times \text{OBTD}(k, i, k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{1}, J_{k}) \langle k_{1}^{\prime}, k_{2}^{\prime} : J || f_{Jastrow}(r) O_{12}^{\alpha} f_{Jastrow}(r) || k_{1}, k_{2} : J \rangle.$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Here, k_1 represents a set of quantum numbers (n_1, l_1, j_1) corresponding to an orbit, with similar notations for k_2 , k'_1 , and k'_2 . In this study, k_1 (and others) corresponds to the quantum numbers associated with the $0g_{7/2}$, $1d_{5/2}$, $1d_{3/2}$, $2s_{1/2}$, and $0h_{11/2}$ orbits within the jj55 model space. J denotes the allowed spin-parity of the two decaying neutrons and the created protons, while J_k represents the allowed spin-parity of the intermediate states $|k\rangle$. The complete expression for the non-antisymmetric reduced two-body matrix elements $(\langle k'_1, k'_2 : J || f_{Jastrow}(r) O^{\alpha}_{12} f_{Jastrow}(r) || k_1, k_2 : J \rangle)$ used in the running nonclosure method is detailed in Ref. [36]. The one-body transition density (OBTD) represents the matrix elements of neutron annihilation and proton creation operators. These are expressed within the protonneutron formalism, as shown in Eq. (41) of Ref. [38]. When one approximates $E_k - (E_i + E_f)/2$ as $\langle E \rangle$ in Eq. (8), it yields the expression of the NME in the running closure method, which is also employed in this study.

Finally, in the running nonclosure method, NMEs are computed by summing over all intermediate states $|k\rangle$, with excitation energies E_k^* up to a specified cutoff value E_c , given by [36]

$$M_{\alpha}(E_c) = \sum_{J_k, J, E_k^* \leqslant E_c} M_{\alpha}(J_k, J, E_k^*).$$
(9)

The NMEs demonstrate convergence when the E_c values are large enough to encompass all relevant intermediate states.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this study of the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, we utilized the KSHELL code [55] to diagonalize the shell model Hamiltonian, allowing us to determine the wave functions and energies for the initial (¹³⁶Xe), intermediate (¹³⁶Cs), and final (¹³⁶Ba) nuclei involved in the decay process. The calculations employed the GCN5082 shell model Hamiltonian [56] within the jj55 model space, also used in previous research [24]. While the SVD Hamiltonian has been applied in the jj55 model space in earlier study [29], it was not available for this investigation.

For the intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs involved in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, we computed the lowest 200 energy states for each permissible spin-parity J_k^{π} . These calculated wave functions were used for generating an extensive set of OBTDs. Finally, we developed programming to compute the non-antisymmetric reduced twobody matrix elements (TBMEs) essential for running nonclosure and closure methods of NME calculations.

Table I provides a detailed summary of the calculated NMEs for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, using the nonclosure method within the nuclear shell model framework. All NMEs are computed with corrections for FNS and HOC. The table also includes NMEs derived from three different short-range correlation (SRC) parameterizations: Miller-Spencer, CD-Bonn, and AV18. The results indicate that Gamow-Teller (GT) NMEs are dominant compared to the Fermi and tensor NMEs, underscoring their critical role in the decay process. Significant variations in NMEs are observed depending on the type of SRC employed, with the Miller-Spencer SRC exhibiting the most pronounced impact. For comparison, the "None" SRC type accounts for only FNS and HOC effects.

In Table II, we present the newly calculated total NME $(M^{0\nu})$ for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of 136 Xe. This calculation employs the interacting shell model in the nonclosure approximation with the CD-Bonn SRC for the light neutrino-exchange mechanism. Additionally, we compare the results with NMEs reported from various many-body nuclear models, SRCs, and approximations. The NMEs across different models and approximations range from 1.74 to 4.32.

In Ref. [29], the $M^{0\nu}$ for the CD-Bonn SRC was cal-

NME Type	SRC Type	Nonclosure NME
M_F	None	-0.458
M_F	Miller-Spencer	-0.322
M_F	CD-Bonn	-0.489
M_F	AV18	-0.451
M_{GT}	None	1.693
M_{GT}	Miller-Spencer	1.225
M_{GT}	CD-Bonn	1.743
M_{GT}	AV18	1.611
M_T	None	0.013
M_T	Miller-Spencer	0.012
M_T	CD-Bonn	0.012
M_T	AV18	0.012
$M^{0 u}$	None	1.990
$M^{0 u}$	Miller-Spencer	1.437
$M^{0 u}$	CD-Bonn	2.058
$M^{0\nu}$	AV18	1.903

TABLE I. The nuclear matrix elements M_F , M_{GT} , M_T , and $M^{0\nu}$ for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe (light neutrino-exchange mechanism) are calculated using the GCN5082 interaction with the running nonclosure method across different SRC parameterizations. The SRC type labeled "None" includes only the effects of FNS and HOC.

TABLE II. The comparison of total NME $(M^{0\nu})$ for the light neutrino-exchange mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in ¹³⁶Xe, calculated using various many-body nuclear models. This comparison includes both nonclosure and closure approaches with different SRC parameterizations and g_A .

Nuclear Model	Reference	Approximation	g_A	SRC Type	Total NME $(M^{0\nu})$
ISM	Current Study	Nonclosure	1.270	CD-Bonn	2.06
ISM	Ref. [29]	Closure	1.270	CD-Bonn	1.74
ISM	Ref. [43]	Closure	1.254	CD-Bonn	1.76
ISM	Ref. [24]	Closure	1.250	UCOM	2.19
QRPA	Ref. [52]	Closure	1.260	CD-Bonn	2.91
QRPA	Ref. [53]	Closure	1.269	CD-Bonn	2.46
QRPA	Ref. [53]	Closure	1.269	AV18	2.18
GCM	Ref. [28]	Closure	1.254	CD-Bonn	2.35
IBM2	Ref. [54]	Closure	1.269	AV18	3.05
EDF	Ref. [13]	Closure	1.250	UCOM	4.20
REDF	Ref. [15]	Closure	1.254	None	4.32

culated to be 1.74 using the shell model with a closure energy of 3.5 MeV. On the other hand, Ref. [24] reports an NME of 2.19, calculated using the shell model with UCOM SRC in the closure approximation. In our present study, the newly calculated $M^{0\nu}$ is 2.06, determined using the shell model in a nonclosure approach with CD-Bonn SRC. This value is about 18% larger than the results in Ref. [29] and about 6% smaller than those in Ref. [24]. These differences may arise from the Hamiltonian, SRC type, and closure energy used in the earlier studies.

It is observed that NMEs calculated with nuclear models other than the shell model tend to be larger. This significant variation in NMEs across different models highlights an ongoing challenge to reconcile these differences and achieve more consistent results in the field.

A. Contributions of spin-parity (J_k^{π}) of ¹³⁶Cs on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay NMEs for ¹³⁶Xe

To understand the impact of nuclear structure on $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe, we first analyze the contributions from specific spin-parity of the intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs. Using Eq. (8), we compute the partial NMEs for each spin-parity as follows:

$$M_{\alpha}(E_c, J_k) = \sum_{J, E_k^* \leqslant E_c} M_{\alpha}(J_k, J, E_k^*), \qquad (10)$$

where J_k^{π} denotes the spin-parity state of $^{136}\mathrm{Cs}.$

Figure 1 shows the contributions of each J_k^{π} of ¹³⁶Cs on the Fermi, Gamow-Teller, and tensor NMEs, calculated using the running nonclosure method with CD-



FIG. 1. The figure illustrates the contributions of various spin-parity states J_k^{π} of the virtual intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs to the NMEs for the light neutrino-exchange mechanism in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe. These NMEs are computed using the running nonclosure method with the GCN5082 effective interaction for CD-Bonn SRC parametrization.

Bonn SRC.

In Fermi-type NMEs, all contributions from various J_k^{π} states are negative. Conversely, Gamow-Teller NMEs show consistently positive contributions. Tensor-type NMEs, however, feature both positive and negative contributions depending on the J_k^{π} state.

The 2^+ state contributes the most significantly to Fermi-type NMEs, with substantial contributions also from the 4^+ , 6^+ , 3^- , 5^- , and 7^- states.

For Gamow-Teller NMEs, the 1^+ state is the primary contributor, while significant contributions also come from the 3^+ , 5^+ , 2^- , and 4^- states.

In tensor-type NMEs, positive contributions are most prominent from the 2^+ , 4^+ , 3^- , and 5^- states, while negative contributions are notable from the 3^+ , 5^+ , and 7^+ states.

This pattern of NME variation with J_k^{π} persists across different SRC parameterizations.

B. The optimal closure energy for light neutrino-exchange $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe

At the optimal closure energy, the NMEs calculated using the running closure method align with those obtained from the running nonclosure method. This congruence is advantageous because the closure approach is computationally less demanding, eliminating the need to evaluate the neutrino potential integral for each intermediate state energy.

To determine the optimal closure energy for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe in the light neutrino-exchange mechanism, we examined the variations of Fermi, Gamow-Teller, tensor, and total NMEs with different closure energies, as depicted in Fig. 2. This analysis includes both closure and nonclosure NMEs, with the intersection point indicating the optimal closure energy, marked by a vertical magenta line. The pattern observed for the CD-Bonn SRC is consistent across other SRC types, showing similar optimal closure energies.

The results indicate that the optimal closure energy for the Fermi-type NME with CD-Bonn SRC is approximately 4.1 MeV. For GT NMEs, the optimal closure energy is around 3.7 MeV, and for tensor-type NMEs, it is near 0 MeV. The total NMEs are predominantly influenced by the GT component, leading to an optimal closure energy of approximately 3.7 MeV for the total NMEs.

In the next subsection, for simplicity and consistency, we use 3.7 MeV (derived from the total NME) as the optimal closure energy for all NME types in the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe via the light neutrino-exchange mechanism. This choice demonstrates that the NMEs calculated using the closure approach closely reproduce those obtained from the nonclosure approach across the number of spin-parity states considered for ¹³⁶Cs for different



FIG. 2. The figure demonstrates the closure NME dependencies for (a) Fermi, (b) Gamow-Teller, (c) Tensor, and (d) Total types as functions of closure energy $\langle E \rangle$ using CD-Bonn SRC parametrizations for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe. It highlights where closure and nonclosure NMEs intersect, marking the optimal closure energy where these NMEs overlap, indicated by a vertical magenta line. The closure NMEs are shown as dotted lines (various colors), while nonclosure NMEs are depicted as solid lines (various colors). Notably, the optimal closure energy for which closure and nonclosure NMEs converge is consistent across different SRC parametrizations.

types of NME.

C. Convergence of NMEs for $0\nu\beta\beta$ of 136 Xe with the cutoff number of states (N_c) of 136 Cs

In the concluding part of this study, we evaluate the convergence behavior of NMEs for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe with respect to the cutoff number of energy states (N_c) considered for each spin-parity (J_k^{π}) of the intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs. We express the NMEs as a function of N_c using the running nonclosure method:

$$M_{\alpha}(N_c) = \sum_{J_k, J, N_k \leqslant N_c} M_{\alpha}(J_k, J, N_k), \qquad (11)$$

where $M_{\alpha}(J_k, J, N_k)$ follows the definition provided in Eq. (8). The running closure method NME as a function N_c is the same as above, except the energy term of the intermediate nucleus is approximated with constant closure energy, as discussed in the formalism part.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of Fermi, Gamow-Teller, tensor, and total NMEs on N_c for ¹³⁶Cs in both the running closure and nonclosure methods. The figure focuses on the CD-Bonn SRC parametrization, though



FIG. 3. Variation of (a) Fermi, (b) Gamow-Teller, (c) tensor, and (d) total NMEs for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe (the light neutrino-exchange mechanism) with the cutoff number of excitation energy states (N_c) of the virtual intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs. The NMEs are computed using the GCN5082 interaction for the CD-Bonn SRC parametrization in both the running nonclosure method (dotted lines) and the closure method (solid lines). The closure method employs an optimal closure energy of $\langle E \rangle \approx 3.7$ MeV for all types of NME.

similar trends are observed for other SRCs. For the closure method, we use an optimal closure energy of 3.7 MeV for all types of NME.

In this study, the maximum number of intermediate states considered for each allowed J_k^{π} of ¹³⁶Cs is $N_c = 200$, limited by current computational capabilities. We find that even with 200 intermediate states per spin-parity, the NMEs have not fully converged in either the running closure or nonclosure methods. The tensortype NMEs, while less saturated, have minimal impact compared to the dominant Gamow-Teller and Fermi-type

NMEs.

Expanding our computational efforts beyond $N_c = 200$ proved challenging for now, especially for the heavier isotope ¹³⁶Xe. However, we believe that calculated NMEs are within reasonable accuracy. Furthermore, our analysis revealed the crossover of running closure and nonclosure NMEs at an optimal closure energy of approximately 3.7 MeV. This underscores the dominance of lower-energy states, with the major contributions adequately captured within $N_c = 200$ for each J_k^{π} configuration of ¹³⁶Cs.

To illustrate the efficacy of the determined optimal clo-

sure energy, Fig. 3 demonstrates that the running closure method, employing a closure energy of 3.7 MeV for all types of NME, accurately reproduces the NMEs obtained through the running nonclosure method across all considered cutoff numbers of states, up to $N_c = 200$. This suggests that the trend may persist beyond $N_c = 200$. Therefore, future endeavors aiming to calculate states of ¹³⁶Cs beyond $N_c = 200$ can leverage the established optimal energy of 3.7 MeV. By employing the closure method, one can efficiently reproduce nonclosure NMEs beyond $N_c = 200$, minimizing computational requirements.

IV. SUMMARY

The ¹³⁶Xe is an important candidate for global experimental studies of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay.

In this study, we calculated the NMEs for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe in the light neutrino-exchange mechanism within the nuclear shell model framework. The calculations employed mainly the nonclosure approach, explicitly considering excitation energies for 200 states of each spin-parity in the intermediate nucleus ¹³⁶Cs, which enhances the accuracy of the NMEs.

Our findings indicate up to 18% variation in NMEs compared to recent calculations using the closure approach with different Hamiltonians, SRC, and closure energies in the nuclear shell model. This discrepancy may be attributed to variations in the Hamiltonian, SRC, or closure energy choices in previous studies.

We also analyzed the NMEs' dependence on the spinparity of the intermediate states in ¹³⁶Cs. For Gamow-Teller NMEs, contributions from each spin-parity state were positive, while for Fermi NMEs, the contributions An optimal closure energy of approximately 3.7 MeV was identified, where the closure NMEs align with the nonclosure NMEs for the $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹³⁶Xe (light neutrino-exchange mechanism). This optimal closure energy can be utilized in future closure approach calculations, significantly reducing computational demands.

The convergence of NMEs with respect to the number of intermediate states was also investigated. Although full convergence was not achieved with 200 intermediate states for each spin-parity of 136 Cs, expanding beyond this number is currently computationally challenging for us. However, we identified the crossover point between running nonclosure and running closure NMEs at the optimal closure energy of 3.7 MeV, effectively reproducing the nonclosure NMEs using the closure approach for all considered cutoff numbers of states for each spin-parity of the intermediate nucleus 136 Cs.

Future studies can extend this nonclosure approach to investigate other non-standard mechanisms of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay, potentially broadening our understanding of the process.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.S. thanks the Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF), Government of India, for the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) National Postdoctoral Fellowship under grant no. PDF/2022/003729.

S.S. and R.C. acknowledge the National Supercomputing Mission (NSM) for providing computing resources of 'PARAM Ganga' at the Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, which is implemented by C-DAC and supported by the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY) and Department of Science and Technology (DST), Government of India.

- [1] M. Agostini, G. Benato, J. A. Detwiler, J. Menéndez, and F. Vissani, Toward the discovery of matter creation with neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay, Rev. Mod. Phys. **95**, 025002 (2023).
- [2] M. J. Dolinski, A. W. P. Poon, and W. Rodejohann, Neutrinoless Double-Beta Decay: Status and Prospects, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 69, 219 (2019), arXiv:1902.04097 [nucl-ex].
- [3] J. D. Vergados, H. Ejiri, and F. Šimkovic, Neutrinoless double beta decay and neutrino mass, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 25, 1630007 (2016), arXiv:1612.02924 [hep-ph].
- [4] J. Engel and J. Menéndez, Status and future of nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double-beta decay: a review, Reports on Progress in Physics 80, 046301 (2017).
- [5] S. Abe et al. (KamLAND-Zen), Search for the Majorana Nature of Neutrinos in the Inverted Mass Ordering Region with KamLAND-Zen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 051801 (2023), arXiv:2203.02139 [hep-ex].
- [6] F. Šimkovic, V. Rodin, A. Faessler, and P. Vogel, $0\nu\beta\beta$

and $2\nu\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements, quasiparticle randomphase approximation, and isospin symmetry restoration, Physical Review C 87, 045501 (2013).

- [7] E. Caurier, J. Menéndez, F. Nowacki, and A. Poves, Influence of pairing on the nuclear matrix elements of the neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 052503 (2008).
- [8] M. Horoi and A. Neacsu, Shell model predictions for 124 Sn double- β decay, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 024308 (2016).
- [9] B. A. Brown, M. Horoi, and R. A. Sen'kov, Nuclear structure aspects of neutrinoless double- β decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 262501 (2014).
- [10] Y. Iwata, N. Shimizu, T. Otsuka, Y. Utsuno, J. Menéndez, M. Honma, and T. Abe, Large-scale shell-model analysis of the neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay of ⁴⁸Ca, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 112502 (2016).
- [11] J. Barea and F. Iachello, Neutrinoless double-β decay in the microscopic interacting boson model, Phys. Rev. C 79, 044301 (2009).
- [12] J. Barea, J. Kotila, and F. Iachello, Limits on neutrino masses from neutrinoless double-β decay,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 042501 (2012).

- [13] T. R. Rodríguez and G. Martínez-Pinedo, Energy density functional study of nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 252503 (2010).
- [14] L. S. Song, J. M. Yao, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Relativistic description of nuclear matrix elements in neutrinoless double-β decay, Phys. Rev. C 90, 054309 (2014).
- [15] J. M. Yao, L. S. Song, K. Hagino, P. Ring, and J. Meng, Systematic study of nuclear matrix elements in neutrinoless double-β decay with a beyond-mean-field covariant density functional theory, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024316 (2015).
- [16] P. K. Rath, R. Chandra, K. Chaturvedi, P. K. Raina, and J. G. Hirsch, Uncertainties in nuclear transition matrix elements for neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay within the projected-hartree-fock-bogoliubov model, Phys. Rev. C 82, 064310 (2010).
- [17] R. Weiss, P. Soriano, A. Lovato, J. Menendez, and R. B. Wiringa, Neutrinoless double- β decay: Combining quantum monte carlo and the nuclear shell model with the generalized contact formalism, Phys. Rev. C **106**, 065501 (2022).
- [18] J. M. Yao, A. Belley, R. Wirth, T. Miyagi, C. G. Payne, S. R. Stroberg, H. Hergert, and J. D. Holt, Ab initio benchmarks of neutrinoless double- β decay in light nuclei with a chiral hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. C **103**, 014315 (2021).
- [19] R. A. M. Basili, J. M. Yao, J. Engel, H. Hergert, M. Lockner, P. Maris, and J. P. Vary, Benchmark neutrinoless double-β decay matrix elements in a light nucleus, Phys. Rev. C 102, 014302 (2020).
- [20] G. Adhikari *et al.* (nEXO), nEXO: neutrinoless double beta decay search beyond 10²⁸ year half-life sensitivity, J. Phys. G **49**, 015104 (2022), arXiv:2106.16243 [nucl-ex].
- [21] G. Anton *et al.* (EXO-200), Search for Neutrinoless Double- β Decay with the Complete EXO-200 Dataset, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 161802 (2019), arXiv:1906.02723 [hep-ex].
- [22] W. Zhang, H. Lin, Y. Liu, K. Han, K. Ni, S. Wang, and W. Zhai (PandaX-III), Status and prospects of the PandaX-III experiment, JINST 18 (12), C12001, arXiv:2311.13396 [physics.ins-det].
- [23] P. Novella *et al.* (NEXT), Demonstration of neutrinoless double beta decay searches in gaseous xenon with NEXT, JHEP **09**, 190, arXiv:2305.09435 [nucl-ex].
- [24] J. Menendez, A. Poves, E. Caurier, and F. Nowacki, Disassembling the Nuclear Matrix Elements of the Neutrinoless beta beta Decay, Nucl. Phys. A 818, 139 (2009), arXiv:0801.3760 [nucl-th].
- [25] M. Horoi and B. A. Brown, Shell-model analysis of the ¹³⁶Xe double beta decay nuclear matrix elements, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 222502 (2013).
- [26] A. Neacsu and M. Horoi, Shell model studies of competing mechanisms to the neutrinoless double-beta decay in ¹²⁴Sn, ¹³⁰Te, and ¹³⁶Xe, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2016**, 1903767 (2016), arXiv:1607.01295 [nucl-th].
- [27] J. Menéndez, Neutrinoless $\beta\beta$ decay mediated by the exchange of light and heavy neutrinos: The role of nuclear structure correlations, J. Phys. G **45**, 014003 (2018), arXiv:1804.02105 [nucl-th].
- [28] C. F. Jiao, M. Horoi, and A. Neacsu, Neutrino-less double- β decay of $^{124}{\rm Sn},~^{130}{\rm Te},$ and $^{136}{\rm Xe}$ in

the hamiltonian-based generator-coordinate method, Phys. Rev. C **98**, 064324 (2018).

- [29] M. Horoi and A. Neacsu, Shell model study of using an effective field theory for disentangling several contributions to neutrinoless double- β decay, Phys. Rev. C **98**, 035502 (2018).
- [30] F. Ahmed and M. Horoi, Interference effects for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay in the left-right symmetric model, Phys. Rev. C **101**, 035504 (2020).
- [31] L. Coraggio, N. Itaco, G. De Gregorio, A. Gargano, R. Mancino, and S. Pastore, Present Status of Nuclear Shell-Model Calculations of $0\nu\beta\beta$ Decay Matrix Elements, Universe **6**, 233 (2020), arXiv:2011.14734 [nucl-th].
- [32] L. Coraggio, A. Gargano, N. Itaco, R. Mancino, and F. Nowacki, Calculation of the neutrinoless double-β decay matrix element within the realistic shell model, Phys. Rev. C 101, 044315 (2020).
- [33] K. Higashiyama, K. Yanase, N. Yoshinaga, A. Umeya, A. Uehara, and E. Teruya, Nuclear matrix elements of neutrinoless double beta decay for masses 130 and 136 in the shell model, J. Phys. G 47, 035102 (2020).
- [34] L. Jokiniemi, P. Soriano, and J. Menéndez, Impact of the leading-order short-range nuclear matrix element on the neutrinoless double-beta decay of medium-mass and heavy nuclei, Phys. Lett. B 823, 136720 (2021), arXiv:2107.13354 [nucl-th].
- [35] M. Horoi, A. Neacsu, and S. Stoica, Predicting the neutrinoless double- β -decay matrix element of ¹³⁶Xe using a statistical approach, Phys. Rev. C **107**, 045501 (2023).
- [36] R. A. Sen'kov and M. Horoi, Neutrinoless double- β decay of ⁴⁸Ca in the shell model: Closure versus nonclosure approximation, Phys. Rev. C 88, 064312 (2013).
- [37] S. Sarkar, P. Kumar, K. Jha, and P. K. Raina, Sensitivity of nuclear matrix elements of $0\nu\beta\beta$ of ⁴⁸Ca to different components of the two-nucleon interaction, Phys. Rev. C **101**, 014307 (2020).
- [38] S. Sarkar, Y. Iwata, and P. K. Raina, Nuclear matrix elements for the λ mechanism of $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ⁴⁸Ca in the nuclear shell-model: Closure versus nonclosure approach, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 034317 (2020).
- [39] R. A. Sen'kov and M. Horoi, Shell-model calculation of neutrinoless double- β decay of ⁷⁶Ge, Phys. Rev. C **93**, 044334 (2016).
- [40] R. A. Sen'kov and M. Horoi, Accurate shell-model nuclear matrix elements for neutrinoless double- β decay, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 051301 (2014).
- [41] R. A. Sen'kov, M. Horoi, and B. A. Brown, Neutrinoless double- β decay of ⁸²Se in the shell model: Beyond the closure approximation, Phys. Rev. C 89, 054304 (2014).
- [42] S. Sarkar, P. K. Rath, V. Nanal, R. G. Pillay, P. P. Singh, Y. Iwata, K. Jha, and P. K. Raina, Calculation of nuclear matrix elements for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay of ¹²⁴Sn using the nonclosure approach in the nuclear shell model, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 024301 (2024).
- [43] A. Neacsu and M. Horoi, Shell model studies of the ¹³⁰Te neutrinoless double- β decay, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024309 (2015).
- [44] F. Šimkovic, G. Pantis, J. D. Vergados, and A. Faessler, Additional nucleon current contributions to neutrinoless double β decay, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 055502 (1999).
- [45] J. Kotila and F. Iachello, Phase-space factors for double- β decay, Phys. Rev. C 85, 034316 (2012).
- [46] F. Šimkovic, A. Faessler, H. Müther, V. Rodin,

and M. Stauf, $0\nu\beta\beta$ -decay nuclear matrix elements with self-consistent short-range correlations, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055501 (2009).

- [47] P. Vogel, Nuclear structure and double beta decay, Journal of Physics G: Nuclear and Particle Physics 39, 124002 (2012).
- [48] M. Horoi and S. Stoica, Shell model analysis of the neutrinoless double- β decay of ⁴⁸Ca, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 024321 (2010).
- [49] S. Sarkar and Y. Iwata, Effect of Spin-Dependent Short-Range Correlations on Nuclear Matrix Elements for Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay of ⁴⁸Ca, Universe 9, 444 (2023), arXiv:2308.08216 [nucl-th].
- [50] M. Kortelainen, O. Civitarese, J. Suhonen, and J. Toivanen, Short-range correlations and neutrinoless double beta decay, Physics Letters B 647, 128 (2007).
- [51] M. Kortelainen and J. Suhonen, Improved short-range correlations and $0\nu\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements of ⁷⁶Ge and ⁸²Se, Phys. Rev. C **75**, 051303 (2007).

- [52] J. Hyvärinen and J. Suhonen, Nuclear matrix elements for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decays with light or heavy majorana-neutrino exchange, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 024613 (2015).
- [53] A. Faessler, M. González, S. Kovalenko, and F. Šimkovic, Arbitrary mass majorana neutrinos in neutrinoless double beta decay, Phys. Rev. D 90, 096010 (2014).
- [54] J. Barea, J. Kotila, and F. Iachello, $0\nu\beta\beta$ and $2\nu\beta\beta$ nuclear matrix elements in the interacting boson model with isospin restoration, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 034304 (2015).
- [55] N. Shimizu, Т. Mizusaki, Y. Utsuno, and Υ. Tsunoda, Thick-Restart Block Lanczos Method for Large-Scale Shell-Model Calculations, Comput. Phys. Commun. 244, 372 (2019), arXiv:1902.02064 [nucl-th].
- [56] E. Caurier, F. Nowacki, A. Poves, and K. Sieja, Collectivity in the light xenon isotopes: A shell model study, Phys. Rev. C 82, 064304 (2010).