

# Gravitational Wave Birefringence from Fuzzy Dark Matter

Da Huang<sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup> and Ze-Xuan Xiong<sup>2,4,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100012, China*

<sup>2</sup>*School of Fundamental Physics and Mathematical Sciences,*

*Hangzhou Institute for Advanced Study, UCAS, Hangzhou 310024, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth,*

*Dennis Sciama Building, Burnaby Road, Portsmouth PO1 3FX, United Kingdom*

<sup>4</sup>*International Centre for Theoretical Physics Asia-Pacific, Beijing/Hangzhou, China*

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

Gravitational wave (GW) birefringence is a remarkable phenomenon that can be used to test the parity violation in gravity. By coupling the fuzzy dark matter (FDM) scalar to the gravitational Chern-Simons term, we explore the GW birefringence effects in the FDM background. In particular, in light of the highly oscillating granular FDM structure at the galactic scale, we are led to investigating the GW propagation in the Chern-Simons gravity over the general nontrivial scalar profile, which is a natural extension of previous studies on the homogeneous and isotropic configurations. As a result, it is found that GWs of both circularly polarized modes propagate in the straight line with the speed of light, and does not show any velocity birefringence. However, when considering the imaginary part of the dispersion relation, GWs exhibit the amplitude birefringence in which one circular polarization is enhanced while the other suppressed. Due to its local nature, the FDM-induced amplitude birefringence only depends on the GW frequency without any reliance on the GW event distance. More importantly, the birefringence factor shows a periodic time variation with the period reflecting the FDM scalar mass, which is the smoking gun for testing this new birefringence mechanism. Finally, we also study the extra-galactic FDM contribution to the GW birefringence, which is shown to be suppressed by the cosmological DM density and thus subdominant compared with the galactic counterpart.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Testing gravitational parity violation is a key to understand the nature of gravity. It is well-known that general relativity (RG) preserves the parity symmetry. However, the parity conservation can be broken in many modifications to GR [1], such as Chern-Simons (CS) gravity [2–4], Teleparallel Gravity [5, 6], ghost-free scalar-tensor gravity [7] and so on [8–17]. Moreover, the direct observation of gravitational waves (GWs) by the LIGO-Virgo-Kagra (LVK) collaboration [18–22] has opened a new avenue to probe this important issue. Especially, one smoking gun of parity violation in gravity is provided by the GW birefringence effects [23–27], *i.e.*, the two circularly polarized modes behave differently in phase and amplitude when propagating over astrophysical and cosmological distances. Such a remarkable phenomenon has already widely studied in many modified gravity theories [28–32] and in model-independent ways [33–37].

Although more and more astrophysical evidences for dark matter (DM) have been accumulated in the past several decades [38], its nature is still elusive. More recently, the fuzzy dark matter (FDM) [39–41] has become a promising DM candidate since it may provide possible solutions to many problems observed on sub-galactic scales (see *e.g.* Refs. [42–44] for recent reviews). Importantly, latest precise N-body simulations [45–49] have

shown that, for a FDM with its mass of  $\mathcal{O}(10^{-22} \text{ eV})$ , the FDM scalar field is oscillating in time inside the DM halo, and forms a solitonic core surrounded by DM halos with complicated granular structures. Moreover, it was argued that the FDM can be identified as an axion-like particle [39–42, 50, 51] since its lightness can be easily understood by its associated approximate shift symmetry. Thus, it is quite natural to expect that the FDM can have a gravitational CS coupling arising from the gravitational anomaly [52, 53] or in the string theory [54–57]. Therefore, all these FDM properties motivate us to consider the GW birefringence phenomena in the CS gravity when GWs propagate in a general FDM profile of highly non-trivial spacetime dependence, which can be viewed as an extension of the previous framework [24–36] by assuming a homogeneous and isotropic scalar profile. Given that the FDM background variations in time and space are much smaller than the GW frequency and wavenumber, we shall perform our calculation with the well-known eikonal approximation [58]. As a result, we show that the FDM-induced GW birefringence exhibits many new features, which can be used to distinguish this signal from other cosmologically generated ones. Finally, we further consider the extra-galactic FDM contribution to the GW birefringence and assess its impact on the detectability of the galactic one.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin our discussion in Sec. II by setting up our conventions of the CS gravity theory where the scalar field is identified as the FDM candidate. In Sec. III, we focus on the GW birefringence taking place inside our galaxy, and identify its observational signatures. Sec. IV is devoted to

---

\* dahuang@bao.ac.cn

† xiongzexuan22@mails.ucas.ac.cn

investigating the contribution to the GW birefringence from the extra-galactic FDM. Finally, we conclude and provide further discussions in Sec. V.

## II. CHERN-SIMONS GRAVITY THEORY

The GW birefringence can be generated if the FDM pseudoscalar  $\phi$  possesses the gravitational CS coupling. Following the conventions in Ref. [4], we parametrize the Lagrangian of the CS gravity as follows

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \kappa R + \frac{\alpha}{4} \phi {}^*R^\tau{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} R^\lambda{}_{\tau}{}^{\mu\nu} \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $\kappa \equiv (16\pi G)^{-1}$  with  $G$  the Newton constant, while  $\alpha$  denotes the CS coupling with unit length dimension. The quantity  ${}^*R^\tau{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} R^\lambda{}_{\tau}{}^{\mu\nu}$  is the so-called Pontryagin density. Here the dual Riemann tensor is defined by  ${}^*R^\lambda{}_{\tau}{}^{\mu\nu} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^\lambda{}_{\tau\rho\sigma}/2$ , where  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}/\sqrt{-g}$  is the 4-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor with the anti-symmetric symbol as  $\tilde{\epsilon}^{0123} = -\tilde{\epsilon}_{0123} = 1$ . By differentiating the CS gravity action with respect to the tensor perturbation  $h_{ij}$  defined by  $ds^2 = a(\eta)^2[-d\eta^2 + (\delta_{ij} + h_{ij})dx^i dx^j]$ , we can obtain the following linearized gravitational equations [23]

$$\square h^j{}_i = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa} \epsilon^{pjik} \partial^\alpha \left[ \frac{1}{a^2} (\partial_p \phi \partial_\eta \partial_\alpha - \partial_\eta \phi \partial_p \partial_\alpha) \right] h_{ki}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\square \equiv (-\partial_\eta^2 - 2\mathcal{H}\partial_\eta + \partial_i^2)/a^2$  is the four-dimensional d'Alembertian operator in the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric with  $\mathcal{H}$  the conformal Hubble parameter. Throughout this article, the Latin letters refer to the spatial indices while the Greek letters to the spacetime ones. Also, we have simplified our formulae by using the usual transverse-traceless gauge conditions  $\delta^{ij} h_{ij} = \partial^i h_{ij} = 0$ . Depending on the realistic situations inside and outside of the Milky Way (MW), we can further simplify the above general GW equations.

## III. GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BIREFRINGENCE IN OUR GALAXY

Let us firstly focus on the GW propagation over the inhomogeneous FDM field background within the galactic scale. Thus, the cosmic expansion effect can be ignored and the background metric can be taken to be flat. By setting the scale factor  $a = 1$  and replacing the conformal time  $\eta$  with the physical one  $t$ , we can reduce Eq. (2) into

$$\square h^j{}_i = (\alpha/\kappa) \epsilon^{pjik} \partial^\alpha (\partial_p \phi \partial_t \partial_\alpha - \partial_t \phi \partial_p \partial_\alpha) h_{ki}. \quad (3)$$

In order to proceed, we shall work in the coordinates where the GW moves in the  $z$ -direction, so that the widely-used linearly polarizations can be identified as  $h_+ \equiv h_{xx} = -h_{yy}$  and  $h_\times \equiv h_{xy} = h_{yx}$ . According

to Ref. [59], the two circularly polarized GW modes can be written as

$$h_{R,L} = (1/\sqrt{2})(h_+ \mp i h_\times), \quad (4)$$

and Eq. (3) can be decomposed into two separate equations for left- and right-handed polarizations

$$\square h_{R,L} \mp i(\alpha/\kappa) \partial^\alpha [\partial_z \phi \partial_\alpha \partial_t - \partial_t \phi \partial_\alpha \partial_z] h_{R,L} = 0. \quad (5)$$

where and in what follows the upper (lower) sign refers to the right(left)-handed polarization.

By further assuming that the time and spatial variations of the FDM scalar background are small compared with the typical GW frequency and wavenumber, we can take advantage of the famous eikonal approximation [58, 60] to compute the GW waveform corrections induced by the FDM profile. Concretely, we consider the GW solution of the form  $h_{R,L} = h_{R,L}^0 e^{iS}$ , where  $h_{R,L}^0$  are slowly-varying GW amplitudes for both polarizations while the phase  $S$  dominates the GW evolution. According to the general rules of the eikonal approximation, we can define the following GW frequency and wavenumber

$$\omega = -\partial S / \partial t, \quad k_i = \partial S / \partial x^i. \quad (6)$$

Since the GW propagates along  $z$ -axes by assumption, only  $k_z = k$  is nonzero. The dispersion relations for both polarizations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} D^\pm &= (\omega^2 - k^2) \left[ 1 \mp \frac{\alpha}{\kappa} (\omega \partial_z \phi + k \partial_t \phi) \right] \\ &\mp \frac{i\alpha}{\kappa} [(\omega^2 + k^2) \partial_t \partial_z \phi + \omega k (\partial_z^2 \phi + \partial_t^2 \phi)] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Due to the presence of the imaginary part in Eq. (7), the GW frequency and wavenumber would, in general, be complex. In the literature, the real (imaginary) correction to the GW dispersion relation is often referred to the velocity (amplitude) birefringence, as it usually leads to the different modifications to left- and right-handed GW propagating speed (amplitude). It is interesting to note that the imaginary part in Eq. (7) is one-order smaller than the real correction in the limit  $\partial_{t,z} \phi / \omega, k \ll 1$ , so that we can consider them separately.

### A. Velocity Birefringence

We now take into account the real part of the dispersion relations in Eq. (7)

$$D_R^\pm = (\omega^2 - k^2) [1 \mp (\alpha/\kappa) (\omega \partial_z \phi + k \partial_t \phi)] = 0, \quad (8)$$

which determines the GW velocity and direction in the FDM field  $\phi$ . It is clear that  $\omega = k$  is always a solution to Eq. (8)<sup>1</sup>, which indicates that GWs of both circular polarizations propagate with the speed of light, regardless

<sup>1</sup> It seems that there is another solution to Eq. (8) with  $\omega = [-k \partial_t \phi \mp \kappa / \alpha] / (\partial_z \phi)$ . But this solution cannot continuously connect to the vacuum one  $\omega = k$  and should be ignored.

of the presence of the FDM background. We can further check this by calculating the GW paths under the influence of a nontrivial  $\phi$  profile

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^i}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial k_i}{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial \omega} = \delta_z^i, \\ \frac{dk_i}{dt} &= \frac{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial x^i}{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial \omega} = 0, \quad \frac{d\omega}{dt} = -\frac{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial t}{\partial D_R^\pm/\partial \omega} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we have used  $\omega = k$  to simplify these formulae. It is clear from Eq. (9) that the FDM does not induce any velocity birefringence and both GW polarizations still follow the straight line. Also, the GW frequency and wavenumber would remain the same during its propagation in the galactic FDM halo.

### B. Amplitude Birefringence

In this subsection, we shall turn to the full dispersion relation in Eq. (7) by including the imaginary part, which might lead to the GW amplitude birefringence. Following the general rules of eikonal approximation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx^i}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial D^\pm/\partial k_i}{\partial D^\pm/\partial \omega} \\ &\approx \{1 \pm i(\alpha/\kappa)[2\partial_t\partial_z\phi + (\partial_t^2\phi + \partial_z^2\phi)]\}\delta_z^i, \\ \frac{dk_i}{dt} &= \frac{\partial D^\pm/\partial x^i}{\partial D^\pm/\partial \omega} \\ &\approx \mp i(\alpha\omega/2\kappa)[2\partial_t\partial_z\partial_i\phi + \partial_t^2\partial_i\phi + \partial_z^2\partial_i\phi], \\ \frac{d\omega}{dt} &= -\frac{\partial D^\pm/\partial t}{\partial D^\pm/\partial \omega} \\ &\approx \pm i(\alpha\omega/2\kappa)[2\partial_t^2\partial_z\phi + \partial_t\partial_z^2\phi + \partial_t^3\phi], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have kept only the leading-order contributions and set  $\omega = k$  to make our expressions simpler. For the GW path in which  $x^i(t)$  is the function of time  $t$ , we can define the following total derivative of the scalar field

$$\dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{d\phi}{dt} = \partial_t\phi + \partial_i\phi\frac{dx^i}{dt} \approx \partial_t\phi + \partial_z\phi, \quad (11)$$

where we have used the leading-order GW velocity  $dx^i/dt = \delta_z^i$ . Hence, we can integrate the GW wavenumber and frequency over time  $t$  in Eq. (10) to obtain the following corrections

$$\Delta k_i = \mp i\alpha\omega\partial_i\dot{\phi}/(2\kappa), \quad \Delta\omega = \pm i\alpha\omega\partial_t\dot{\phi}/(2\kappa). \quad (12)$$

As a result, the GW phase  $S$  varies as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S &= -\int_{t_e}^{t_o} dt\Delta\omega + \int_{\mathbf{x}_e}^{\mathbf{x}_o} dx^i\Delta k_i \\ &= \mp i\alpha\omega(\dot{\phi}_o - \dot{\phi}_e)/(2\kappa), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where the subscripts  $o$  and  $e$  represent the field values when GWs are observed and emitted, respectively.

Therefore, the GW waveform would modify according to

$$h_{R,L} = h_{R,L}^0 e^{i\Delta S} = h_{R,L}^0 \exp\left(\pm\alpha\omega(\dot{\phi}_o - \dot{\phi}_e)/(2\kappa)\right), \quad (14)$$

which clearly manifests the GW amplitude birefringence.

### C. Observational Signatures

GW birefringence has been widely studied in the literature, but earlier explorations usually assumed a homogeneous scalar background in the CS gravity. Given the complicated FDM distributions in our galaxy, it is generally expected that the induced GW birefringence would exhibit new signatures.

Typically, the FDM mass is taken to be  $m_\phi \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-22} \text{ eV})$ , and the corresponding de Broglie wavelength of  $\mathcal{O}(1 \text{ kpc})$ . Recent precise numerical simulations [45–47] have shown that the clustering of such light FDM particles could form a core of flat density profile around the center of a MW-like galaxy, and suppress the formation of small structures, which could solve many problems faced by the cold DM [61]. However, outside of the core, the FDM density transits into the conventional Navarro-Frenk-White (NFW) profile [62]

$$\rho_{\text{NFW}}(r) = \rho_0 \left[ \frac{r}{r_s} \left( 1 + \frac{r}{r_s} \right) \right]^{-2}. \quad (15)$$

where  $r_s$  and  $\rho_0$  are two characteristic parameters. Thus, the local FDM field can be well estimated by [63]

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{2\rho_{\text{NFW}}}}{m_\phi} \cos(m_\phi t + \alpha(\mathbf{x})), \quad (16)$$

which could reproduce the above NFW density distribution. Here  $\alpha(\mathbf{x})$  is a position-dependent random phase, which accounts for the incoherently fluctuating granular structures seen in simulations.

Now we concentrate on the GW propagation inside the MW, and postpone the discussion of the extra-galactic effects to the next section. Hence, we can take  $\dot{\phi}_e$  and  $\dot{\phi}_o$  in Eq. (14) to be the field values just outside our galaxy and around the Sun, respectively. However, the FDM density out of the MW can be estimated as  $\rho_{\text{DM}} = 0.265\rho_{\text{crit}}$  [64], which is nearly six orders smaller than  $\rho_\odot = 0.4 \text{ GeV/cm}^3$  around the Solar system. Hence, the birefringence effect from  $\dot{\phi}_e$  in Eq. (14) should be greatly suppressed and thus ignored. It turns out that the GW magnitude birefringence only depends on the local FDM property  $\dot{\phi}_o$  near the Earth, giving us the following observed GW waveforms

$$h_{R,L}^{\text{obs}}(f) = h_{R,L}^0(f) \times \exp\left(\pm \frac{\kappa_A}{1 \text{ Gpc}} \times \frac{f}{100 \text{ Hz}}\right), \quad (17)$$

where  $h_{R,L}^0(f)$  can be viewed as right- and left-handed the GW waveforms predicted by GR, while the opacity parameter is defined by

$$\kappa_A \equiv \pi\alpha\dot{\phi}_o/\kappa. \quad (18)$$

From Eq. (11),  $\dot{\phi}_0$  is the sum of the following local time and spatial derivatives of the FDM field near the Sun

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \phi &= \sqrt{2\rho_\odot} \sin(m_\phi t + \alpha_0), \\ \partial_z \phi &\approx \cos(\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r} \rangle) \partial_r \phi,\end{aligned}\quad (19)$$

where  $R_\odot \simeq 8 \text{ kpc}$  and  $\alpha_0$  denote the radial galactic distance and the local field phase at the Solar system, respectively. The factor  $\cos(\langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r} \rangle)$  comes from the projection of the radial derivative of the FDM field  $\partial_r \phi$  onto the GW propagating orientation  $\mathbf{k}$  with

$$\partial_r \phi = -\frac{\sqrt{\rho_\odot/2}}{m_\phi R_\odot} \left( \frac{1 + 3R_\odot/r_s}{1 + R_\odot/r_s} \right) \cos(m_\phi t + \alpha_0). \quad (20)$$

However, for a FDM with  $m_\phi \sim 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$ , it is generally expected that the time variation of the field profile dominates over the birefringence due to  $\partial_t \phi / \partial_r \phi \sim m_\phi R_\odot \sim \mathcal{O}(10^5)$ . Therefore, the opacity parameter can be expressed as

$$\kappa_A \simeq (\pi\alpha/\kappa) \sqrt{2\rho_\odot} \sin(m_\phi t + \alpha_0). \quad (21)$$

Compared with the conventional signals studied in the literature, our predicted magnitude birefringence induced by the FDM shows several novel features. Firstly, it is obvious from Eq. (17) that, due to its local nature, the birefringence factor is only a function of the GW frequency, without any dependence on the GW event distance, which is distinguished from the earlier results in [24, 28, 32, 34, 36]. More significantly, our proposed birefringence in Eq. (21) exhibits a remarkable time variation with the period directly reflecting the FDM mass. For example, if the mass is taken to be  $10^{-22} \text{ eV}$ , the oscillation period corresponds to 1.3 year, which can be viewed as a smoking gun of this FDM-generated GW birefringence.

#### IV. EXTRA-GALACTIC CONTRIBUTION TO GRAVITATIONAL WAVE BIREFRINGENCE

Beside the amplitude birefringence induced by the FDM in the MW, there is an additional contribution from the extra-galactic FDM field. One might worry that this contribution might affect or even govern the birefringence signal since it might be enhanced by the GW travel over an astrophysical distance. In order to investigate this important issue, we shall study the GW movement in the following cosmological FDM background [65, 66]

$$\phi(t) = \phi_0 (a_0/a)^{3/2} \cos(m_\phi t + \alpha_c), \quad (22)$$

where  $\phi_0 = \sqrt{2\rho_{\text{DM}}}/m_\phi$ ,  $\alpha_c$  and  $a_0$  are the FDM field amplitude, phase and present-day scale factor, respectively. Note that the field amplitude and phase should change at different spacetime point, with the typical scale being the inverse of the de Broglie wavelength  $m_\phi v$ . For a small FDM velocity  $v \ll 1$ , such variations can be ignored compared with the dominant oscillation frequency

$m_\phi$ . Hence, we shall use the homogeneous FDM profile in Eq. (22) to perform the following calculation. Also, we will set  $a_0 = 1$  for simplicity. The associated GW equation can be deduced from Eq. (2) as follows

$$\square h_{\text{R,L}} = \pm \frac{i\alpha}{\kappa a^2} \left[ \frac{1}{a^2} (\phi'' - 2\mathcal{H}\phi') \partial_z h'_{\text{R,L}} - \phi' \square \partial_z h_{\text{R,L}} \right], \quad (23)$$

which leads to the following dispersion relations for both circularly polarized modes

$$\omega \equiv k + \Delta\omega^{\text{ex}} \approx k - i\mathcal{H}\omega/k \pm \frac{i\alpha\omega}{2\kappa a^2} (\phi'' - 2\mathcal{H}\phi'), \quad (24)$$

up to the leading order in the eikonal approximation.

For the FDM scalar with  $m_\phi \sim 10^{-22} \text{ eV}$ , the mass scale is much larger than the cosmological expansion rate characterized by  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus, we expect that the time integration in the birefringence factor  $e^{i\Delta S} = e^{-i \int d\eta \Delta\omega^{\text{ex}}}$  should be dominated by the rapidly oscillating term in  $\phi''$ . As a result, the phase correction generated by the extra-galactic FDM background is given by

$$\Delta S \approx \pm i \left( \frac{\alpha\omega}{2\kappa} \right) \sqrt{2\rho_{\text{DM}}} \sin(m_\phi t + \alpha_c), \quad (25)$$

in which we have taken the small redshift limit with all scale factors being  $a \approx 1$ . Hence, the FDM outside of the MW would give the following amplitude birefringence

$$h_{\text{R,L}}^{\text{ex}}(f) = h_{\text{R,L}}^0(f) \exp \left( \pm \frac{\kappa_A^{\text{ex}}}{1 \text{ Gpc}} \times \frac{f}{100 \text{ Hz}} \right), \quad (26)$$

where  $\kappa_A^{\text{ex}} \equiv \alpha\pi\sqrt{2\rho_{\text{DM}}}\sin(m_\phi t + \alpha_c)/\kappa$ . In comparison with Eqs. (17) and (21), it is obvious that the birefringence effect is overwhelmed by the galactic FDM component due to its enhanced DM density in the MW, which is evident by  $|\kappa_A/\kappa_A^{\text{ex}}| \sim \sqrt{\rho_\odot/\rho_{\text{DM}}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^3)$ .

#### V. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

The FDM is a promising DM candidate which can possibly solve many problems faced in the sub-galactic scale. If such a FDM can be identified as an axion-like particle with an additional gravitational CS coupling, GWs are expected to show the parity-violating birefringence phenomena when propagating in the nontrivial FDM background. Especially, provided the complicated granular structures displayed in recent simulations, we are led to considering the GW propagation in a general spacetime-dependent FDM field profile. By using the famous eikonal approximation, we find that GWs do not exhibit any velocity birefringence in the CS gravity, no matter if there is a FDM background field. However, the inclusion of the imaginary part in the GW dispersion relations gives rise to the amplitude birefringence, *i.e.*, one circular polarization is enhanced whereas the

other suppressed. Due to the local nature of this galactic birefringence, the obtained effect only depends on the GW frequency without any reliance on the GW event distance. More remarkably, such amplitude modifications of the left- and right-handed polarizations oscillate in time with the period controlled by the FDM scalar mass. Also, we have considered the extra-galactic FDM-induced contribution to the GW birefringence, which can be safely neglected since it is suppressed by the corresponding cosmological DM density.

Currently, the existing LVK GW data already allows us to constrain the predicted FDM-induced amplitude birefringence. By including the associated birefringence factor in Eq. (17) into the left- and right-handed GW components, we can compare the obtained waveform model against the whole set of compact binary events [28, 33, 34] or the binary neutron star merger GW170817 with a multi-messenger approach [32]. Nevertheless, as emphasized before, the birefringence factor produced by the FDM is only a function of the GW frequency and does not rely on the GW propagation distance, which is a novel signature and requires a new fit to the LVK datasets. We can estimate the bound on  $\kappa_A$  to be of  $\mathcal{O}(0.01 \text{ Gpc})$  based

on previous investigations in Refs. [28, 32–34]. More significantly, if the obtained  $\kappa_A$  for each event shows the periodic time dependence, this would further support the FDM origin of the GW birefringence as the period reflects the FDM mass. However, a detailed discussion of the data-analysis issue is beyond the scope of the present paper, and we would like to postpone this exploration to a future work.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

DH would like to thank Prof. Kazuya Koyama for his warm hospitality at the Institute of Cosmology and Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, where part of this work was carried out. DH is also grateful to Prof. Ian Harry and Prof. Tessa Baker for enlightening discussions. This work is supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant No. 2021YFC2203003, and the China Scholarship Council under Grant No. 202310740003.

- 
- [1] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and V. K. Oikonomou, Modified Gravity Theories on a Nutshell: Inflation, Bounce and Late-time Evolution, *Phys. Rept.* **692**, 1 (2017), [arXiv:1705.11098 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [2] A. Lue, L.-M. Wang, and M. Kamionkowski, Cosmological signature of new parity violating interactions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 1506 (1999), [arXiv:astro-ph/9812088](#).
- [3] R. Jackiw and S. Y. Pi, Chern-Simons modification of general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 104012 (2003), [arXiv:gr-qc/0308071](#).
- [4] S. Alexander and N. Yunes, Chern-Simons Modified General Relativity, *Phys. Rept.* **480**, 1 (2009), [arXiv:0907.2562 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] A. Conroy and T. Koivisto, Parity-Violating Gravity and GW170817 in Non-Riemannian Cosmology, *JCAP* **12**, 016, [arXiv:1908.04313 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [6] M. Crisostomi, K. Noui, C. Charmousis, and D. Langlois, Beyond Lovelock gravity: Higher derivative metric theories, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 044034 (2018), [arXiv:1710.04531 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] A. Nishizawa and T. Kobayashi, Parity-violating gravity and GW170817, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 124018 (2018), [arXiv:1809.00815 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [8] R. Hojman, C. Mukku, and W. A. Sayed, PARITY VIOLATION IN METRIC TORSION THEORIES OF GRAVITATION, *Phys. Rev. D* **22**, 1915 (1980).
- [9] P. Horava, Quantum Gravity at a Lifshitz Point, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 084008 (2009), [arXiv:0901.3775 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] T. Zhu, W. Zhao, Y. Huang, A. Wang, and Q. Wu, Effects of parity violation on non-gaussianity of primordial gravitational waves in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 063508 (2013), [arXiv:1305.0600 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] B. Altschul, Q. G. Bailey, and V. A. Kostelecky, Lorentz violation with an antisymmetric tensor, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 065028 (2010), [arXiv:0912.4852 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [12] K. Takahashi, M. Minamitsuji, and H. Motohashi, Generalized disformal Horndeski theories: Cosmological perturbations and consistent matter coupling, *PTEP* **2023**, 013E01 (2023), [arXiv:2209.02176 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [13] F. Sulantay, M. Lagos, and M. Bañados, Chiral gravitational waves in Palatini-Chern-Simons gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 104025 (2023), [arXiv:2211.08925 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [14] F. Bombacigno, F. Moretti, S. Boudet, and G. J. Olmo, Landau damping for gravitational waves in parity-violating theories, *JCAP* **02**, 009, [arXiv:2210.07673 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] S. Boudet, F. Bombacigno, F. Moretti, and G. J. Olmo, Torsional birefringence in metric-affine Chern-Simons gravity: gravitational waves in late-time cosmology, *JCAP* **01**, 026, [arXiv:2209.14394 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] S. Kawai and J. Kim, Gauss-Bonnet Chern-Simons gravitational wave leptogenesis, *Phys. Lett. B* **789**, 145 (2019), [arXiv:1702.07689 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Testing local Lorentz invariance with gravitational waves, *Phys. Lett. B* **757**, 510 (2016), [arXiv:1602.04782 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [18] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016), [arXiv:1602.03837 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo, Fermi-GBM, INTEGRAL), Gravitational Waves and Gamma-

- rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **848**, L13 (2017), [arXiv:1710.05834 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [20] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-1: A Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog of Compact Binary Mergers Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the First and Second Observing Runs, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 031040 (2019), [arXiv:1811.12907 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [21] R. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), GWTC-2: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo During the First Half of the Third Observing Run, *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021053 (2021), [arXiv:2010.14527 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [22] R. Abbott *et al.* (KAGRA, VIRGO, LIGO Scientific), GWTC-3: Compact Binary Coalescences Observed by LIGO and Virgo during the Second Part of the Third Observing Run, *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041039 (2023), [arXiv:2111.03606 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] S. Alexander and J. Martin, Birefringent gravitational waves and the consistency check of inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 063526 (2005), [arXiv:hep-th/0410230](#).
- [24] S. Alexander, L. S. Finn, and N. Yunes, A Gravitational-wave probe of effective quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 066005 (2008), [arXiv:0712.2542 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [25] N. Yunes, R. O’Shaughnessy, B. J. Owen, and S. Alexander, Testing gravitational parity violation with coincident gravitational waves and short gamma-ray bursts, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 064017 (2010), [arXiv:1005.3310 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [26] S. H. Alexander and N. Yunes, Gravitational wave probes of parity violation in compact binary coalescences, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 064033 (2018), [arXiv:1712.01853 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [27] J. M. Ezquiaga, W. Hu, M. Lagos, and M.-X. Lin, Gravitational wave propagation beyond general relativity: waveform distortions and echoes, *JCAP* **11** (11), 048, [arXiv:2108.10872 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [28] M. Okounkova, W. M. Farr, M. Isi, and L. C. Stein, Constraining gravitational wave amplitude birefringence and Chern-Simons gravity with GWTC-2, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 044067 (2022), [arXiv:2101.11153 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, V. K. Oikonomou, and A. A. Popov, Propagation of Gravitational Waves in Chern-Simons Axion Einstein Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 084009 (2019), [arXiv:1909.01324 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [30] T. Daniel, L. Jenks, and S. Alexander, Gravitational waves in Chern-Simons-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 124012 (2024), [arXiv:2403.09373 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] T. Manton and S. Alexander, The Kalb-Ramond field and Gravitational Parity Violation, (2024), [arXiv:2401.14452 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [32] M. Lagos, L. Jenks, M. Isi, K. Hotokezaka, B. D. Metzger, E. Burns, W. M. Farr, S. Perkins, K. W. K. Wong, and N. Yunes, Birefringence tests of gravity with multimessenger binaries, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 124003 (2024), [arXiv:2402.05316 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [33] T. C. K. Ng, M. Isi, K. W. K. Wong, and W. M. Farr, Constraining gravitational wave amplitude birefringence with GWTC-3, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 084068 (2023), [arXiv:2305.05844 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [34] W. Zhao, T. Zhu, J. Qiao, and A. Wang, Waveform of gravitational waves in the general parity-violating gravities, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, 024002 (2020), [arXiv:1909.10887 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [35] Y.-F. Wang, R. Niu, T. Zhu, and W. Zhao, Gravitational Wave Implications for the Parity Symmetry of Gravity in the High Energy Region, *Astrophys. J.* **908**, 58 (2021), [arXiv:2002.05668 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [36] L. Jenks, L. Choi, M. Lagos, and N. Yunes, Parametrized parity violation in gravitational wave propagation, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 044023 (2023), [arXiv:2305.10478 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [37] T. Callister, L. Jenks, D. Holz, and N. Yunes, A New Probe of Gravitational Parity Violation Through (Non-)Observation of the Stochastic Gravitational-Wave Background, (2023), [arXiv:2312.12532 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [38] G. Bertone and D. Hooper, History of dark matter, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 045002 (2018), [arXiv:1605.04909 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [39] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, Cold and fuzzy dark matter, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1158 (2000), [arXiv:astro-ph/0003365](#).
- [40] D. J. E. Marsh and P. G. Ferreira, Ultra-Light Scalar Fields and the Growth of Structure in the Universe, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 103528 (2010), [arXiv:1009.3501 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [41] L. Hui, J. P. Ostriker, S. Tremaine, and E. Witten, Ultralight scalars as cosmological dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 043541 (2017), [arXiv:1610.08297 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [42] J. C. Niemeyer, Small-scale structure of fuzzy and axion-like dark matter [10.1016/j.pnpnp.2020.103787](#) (2019), [arXiv:1912.07064 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [43] E. G. M. Ferreira, Ultra-light dark matter, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **29**, 7 (2021), [arXiv:2005.03254 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [44] L. Hui, Wave Dark Matter, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **59**, 247 (2021), [arXiv:2101.11735 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [45] H.-Y. Schive, T. Chiueh, and T. Broadhurst, Cosmic Structure as the Quantum Interference of a Coherent Dark Wave, *Nature Phys.* **10**, 496 (2014), [arXiv:1406.6586 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
- [46] H.-Y. Schive, M.-H. Liao, T.-P. Woo, S.-K. Wong, T. Chiueh, T. Broadhurst, and W. Y. P. Hwang, Understanding the Core-Halo Relation of Quantum Wave Dark Matter from 3D Simulations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 261302 (2014), [arXiv:1407.7762 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
- [47] P. Mocz, M. Vogelsberger, V. H. Robles, J. Zavala, M. Boylan-Kolchin, A. Fialkov, and L. Hernquist, Galaxy formation with BECDM – I. Turbulence and relaxation of idealized haloes, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **471**, 4559 (2017), [arXiv:1705.05845 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [48] J. Veltmaat and J. C. Niemeyer, Cosmological particle-in-cell simulations with ultralight axion dark matter, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 123523 (2016), [arXiv:1608.00802 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [49] M. Nori and M. Baldi, AX-GADGET: a new code for cosmological simulations of Fuzzy Dark Matter and Axion models, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **478**, 3935 (2018), [arXiv:1801.08144 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).

- [50] J.-c. Hwang and H. Noh, Axion as a fuzzy dark matter candidate: proofs in different gauges, *JCAP* **03** (03), 001, [arXiv:2109.05436 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [51] Y.-C. Qiu and T. T. Yanagida, Electroweak Axion as the Fuzzy Dark Matter – A Proposal for the Mixed Fuzzy and Cold Dark Matters, (2022), [arXiv:2211.15967 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [52] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, Gravitational Anomalies, *Nucl. Phys. B* **234**, 269 (1984).
- [53] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications* (Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- [54] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz, and E. Witten, *SUPERSTRING THEORY. VOL. 2: LOOP AMPLITUDES, ANOMALIES AND PHENOMENOLOGY* (1988).
- [55] J. Polchinski, *String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [56] S. H.-S. Alexander, M. E. Peskin, and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Leptogenesis from gravity waves in models of inflation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 081301 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0403069](#).
- [57] S. H. S. Alexander and S. J. Gates, Jr., Can the string scale be related to the cosmic baryon asymmetry?, *JCAP* **06**, 018, [arXiv:hep-th/0409014](#).
- [58] S. Weinberg, Eikonal method in magnetohydrodynamics, *Physical Review* **126**, 1899 (1962).
- [59] M. Isi, Parametrizing gravitational-wave polarizations, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 203001 (2023), [arXiv:2208.03372 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [60] D. Blas, A. Caputo, M. M. Ivanov, and L. Sberna, No chiral light bending by clumps of axion-like particles, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **27**, 100428 (2020), [arXiv:1910.06128 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [61] V. H. Robles, J. S. Bullock, and M. Boylan-Kolchin, Scalar Field Dark Matter: Helping or Hurting Small-Scale Problems in Cosmology?, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **483**, 289 (2019), [arXiv:1807.06018 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [62] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk, and S. D. M. White, A Universal density profile from hierarchical clustering, *Astrophys. J.* **490**, 493 (1997), [arXiv:astro-ph/9611107](#).
- [63] A. Khmelnitsky and V. Rubakov, Pulsar timing signal from ultralight scalar dark matter, *JCAP* **02**, 019, [arXiv:1309.5888 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [64] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020), [Erratum: *Astron. Astrophys.* 652, C4 (2021)], [arXiv:1807.06209 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [65] L. Kofman, A. D. Linde, and A. A. Starobinsky, Towards the theory of reheating after inflation, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 3258 (1997), [arXiv:hep-ph/9704452](#).
- [66] C. S. Machado, W. Ratzinger, P. Schwaller, and B. A. Stefanek, Audible Axions, *JHEP* **01**, 053, [arXiv:1811.01950 \[hep-ph\]](#).