

ON COMPLETELY ALTERNATING SEQUENCES GENERATED BY RATIONAL FUNCTIONS

MONOJIT BHATTACHARJEE, RAJKAMAL NAILWAL

Dedicated to Professor Gadadhar Misra on the occasion of his 65th birthday

ABSTRACT. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we discuss the problem of determining completely alternating sequence of rational functions of the form $\frac{p(x)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (x+b_i)}$, where p is a polynomial of degree at most $k+1$ and b_1, \dots, b_k are distinct positive real numbers. In particular, we also prove that the sequences corresponding to the rational functions $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (x+a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (x+b_i)}$ and $\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k+1} (x+a_i)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (x+b_i)}$ are completely alternating if it satisfies $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k$ and $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k < a_{k+1}$, respectively. Moreover, we also show a characterization of a class of joint completely monotone nets in two variables with the help of certain completely alternating sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{Z}_+, \mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonnegative real numbers, set of nonnegative integers and set of natural numbers, respectively. For a net of real numbers $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ and for $j = 1, \dots, n$, let Δ_j denotes the *forward difference operator* which is defined by

$$\Delta_j a_k = a_{k+\varepsilon_j} - a_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where ε_j stands for the n -tuple with j th entry equal to 1 and 0 elsewhere. When $n = 1$, we refer Δ_1 as Δ . For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, let Δ^α denote the operator $\prod_{j=1}^n \Delta_j^{\alpha_j}$ and $|\alpha|$ denote the sum $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. Given a polynomial p , $\deg p$ represents degree of p . A net of real numbers $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is said to be a *joint completely monotone* if

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \Delta^\alpha a_k \geq 0, \quad k, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

and *joint completely alternating* if

$$(-1)^{|\alpha|} \Delta^\alpha a_k \leq 0, \quad k, \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, \alpha \neq \mathbf{0}.$$

For $n = 1$, we call the sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ as *completely monotone* sequence and *completely alternating* sequence if it satisfies the first and second equation, respectively. Below, we enlist a well-known characterization of *completely monotone* and *completely alternating* sequence in terms of measure (see [11, Propositions 6.11, 6.12]).

Theorem 1.1. *Let n be a positive integer and $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ be a net of real numbers.*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 44A60.

Key words and phrases. rational function, completely monotone, Hausdorff moment.

- (i) $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is joint completely monotone if and only if it is Hausdorff moment i.e. there exists a positive Borel measure μ concentrated on $[0, 1]^n$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad a_k = \int_{[0,1]^n} t^k d\mu(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

- (ii) The sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$ is joint completely alternating if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ and a positive Borel measure μ concentrated on $[0, 1]^n \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad a_k = a + \langle b, k \rangle + \int_{[0,1]^n \setminus \{\mathbf{1}\}} (1 - t^k) d\mu(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ represents standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n .

We simplify the case $n = 1$ for the sake of this article. The sequence $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating if and only if there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a positive Borel measure μ concentrated on $[0, 1)$ such that

$$a_k = a + bk - \int_{[0,1)} t^k d\mu(t), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The measure in (1.1) is called the *representing measure* of $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n}$. In [13], F. Hausdorff characterized a completely monotone sequence by Hausdorff moment sequence and the above characterization about completely alternating sequence was first obtained as a special case of the Levy-Khinchin representation theory on abelian semigroups (see [9]). For a comprehensive study on these topics, we refer the reader to [9], [11], [15].

A lot of work has been done on the characterization of completely monotone sequences in terms of Hausdorff moment sequences, for instance, [10, 12, 14] and references therein. But the characterization of a completely monotone sequence induced by rational functions in terms of zeroes and poles is challenging and seems to be beyond the limit of present understanding. One of the important results in this direction is the sufficient conditions to identify completely monotone sequences introduced by Ball [7] which are solely depended on the zeroes and poles of the rational functions. This article aims to determine certain *completely alternating* sequence of rational functions with real zeros and poles in terms of sufficient conditions. In this article, we mainly deal with the following class of rational functions, that is, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(1.3) \quad r_k(x) := \frac{p(x)}{\prod_{j=1}^k (x + b_j)},$$

where p is a polynomial of degree at-most $k + 1$, and b_i 's are distinct positive real numbers arranged in non-decreasing order.

In this article, more precisely, we concentrate on the following moment problem:

Question 1.2. Among all the sequences $\{r_k(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of rational functions $r_k(x)$, defined in (1.3), which are completely alternating?

From the operator theoretic aspect, completely monotone and completely alternating sequences are used in revealing the connection with subnormal and completely hyperexpansive operators, respectively (see [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [8]).

Before proceeding further, we encounter a non-example which shows that any sequence of rational functions $\{r_k(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is not *completely alternating* sequence.

Example 1.3. Let $r(x) = \frac{x+6}{x+5}$. Note that

$$\Delta r(n) = \frac{n+7}{n+6} - \frac{n+6}{n+5}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Since $\Delta r(n)|_{n=0} = -0.033$, $\{r(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is not a *completely alternating* sequence.

The above example motivates us to identify the completely alternating sequence among the sequence of rational functions of the form (1.3). Below, we state a result involving a set of sufficient conditions which helps to find out the completely alternating sequences induced by rational functions.

Theorem 1.4. Let k be a positive integer. Let q be a polynomial given by $q(x) = (x + b_1)(x + b_2) \dots (x + b_k)$ with b_i 's distinct positive real numbers. Let p be any polynomial of degree at most $k + 1$. Then, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(n)}{q(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating provided

$$\sum_{i=1}^l c_i \leq 0, \quad l \in \{1, \dots, k\},$$

where $c_i = \frac{p(-b_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (b_j - b_i)}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

As an application of this result, we also develop a set of sufficient conditions to identify the completely alternating sequences induced by rational functions defined in (1.3), with $p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^l (x + a_i)$ and $l = k$ or $k + 1$, which solely depend on its zeros and poles (see Corollary 2.3). These sufficient conditions are very easy to check as well as turn out to be necessary in a sense discussed in Remark 2.4. In a recent article [4], the authors have studied joint complete monotonicity of the net $\left\{ \frac{1}{p(m)+q(m)n} \right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ (see [4, Theorem 1.4]) and in this article we deal with this property for a more general class of two variable nets (see Section 3). We establish an equivalence between a class of completely alternating sequences and a class of joint completely monotone nets (see Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.2), which also reveals the importance of understanding completely alternating sequences.

2. COMPLETELY ALTERNATING SEQUENCE

In this section, we present a proof of Theorem 1.4. Along with that, we show that every member of a class of sequence of rational functions is completely alternating if it satisfies a set of conditions developed solely in terms of its zeros and poles.

We begin with the following result, which deals with rational sequences of at most degree 2 and finds out when it will be a completely alternating sequence in terms of necessary and sufficient conditions. It has a deep impact on identifying a class of completely alternating sequences.

Theorem 2.1. Let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 < b_1 \leq b_2$, then we have the following:

(i) Let $r(x) = \frac{(x+a_1)(x+a_2)}{(x+b_1)(x+b_2)}$. Then the sequence $\{r(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating if and only if

$$a_1 \leq b_1 \leq a_2, a_1 + a_2 \leq b_1 + b_2.$$

(ii) Let $r(x) = \frac{(x+a_1)(x+a_2)}{x+b_1}$. Then the sequence $\{r(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating if and only if $a_1 \leq b_1 \leq a_2$.

Proof. (i) We divide the proof into two cases. Assume that $b_1 < b_2$. By the partial fraction decomposition,

$$r(x) = 1 + \frac{c_1}{(x+b_1)} + \frac{c_2}{(x+b_2)},$$

where $c_1 = \frac{(-b_1+a_1)(-b_1+a_2)}{b_2-b_1}$ and $c_2 = \frac{(-b_2+a_1)(-b_2+a_2)}{b_1-b_2}$. Note that

$$r(n) = 1 + \int_{(0,1)} t^n (c_1 t^{b_1} + c_2 t^{b_2}) t^{-1} dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

In view of Theorem 1.1(ii), it suffices to check that $c_1 t^{b_1} + c_2 t^{b_2} \leq 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ for the proof of both the necessity and sufficiency part. By [4, Lemma 3.4], this is equivalent to $c_1 \leq 0$ and $c_1 + c_2 \leq 0$. Further, it is equivalent to $a_1 \leq b_1 \leq a_2, a_1 + a_2 \leq b_1 + b_2$. Now if $b_1 = b_2$ then again by the partial fraction decomposition,

$$r(x) = 1 + \frac{c_1}{(x+b_1)} + \frac{c_2}{(x+b_1)^2},$$

where $c_1 = a_1 + a_2 - 2b_1$ and $c_2 = (-b_1 + a_1)(-b_1 + a_2)$. Note that

$$r(n) = 1 + \int_{(0,1)} t^n (c_1 - c_2 \ln(t)) t^{b_1-1} dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is easy to verify that $c_1 - c_2 \ln(t) \leq 0, t \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $c_1 \leq 0$ and $c_2 \leq 0$. Latter conditions are equivalent to $a_1 + a_2 \leq 2b_1$ and $b_1 \in [a_1, a_2]$. This completes the proof.

(ii) Analogous argument, as used in the first part, works here as well. \square

Next, we present the proof of one of the main Theorem of this article by generalizing the idea used in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that by partial fraction decomposition, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = a_0 + a_1 x + \frac{c_1}{x+b_1} + \cdots + \frac{c_k}{x+b_k},$$

where $c_i = \frac{p(-b_i)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^k (b_j - b_i)}$ and a_1 is the coefficient of x^{k+1} in p . Since the range of p is contained in $(0, \infty)$, $a_1 \geq 0$. It is easy to see using (2.1) that

$$\frac{p(n)}{q(n)} = a_0 + a_1 n + \int_{(0,1)} t^n w(t) t^{-1} dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i t^{b_i}, t \in (0, 1)$. To prove the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(n)}{q(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating, by Theorem 1.1(ii), it is now sufficient to check that $w(t) \leq 0$, for $t \in (0, 1)$. We will use

finite induction on the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$. For $k = 1$, since $c_1 \leq 0$, $w(t) = c_1 t^{b_1} \leq 0, t \in (0, 1)$. Assume the induction hypothesis. Let $J = \{i : c_i > 0\}$. If J is empty, then we are done. Assume that J is not empty. Let i_0 be the largest element of J . Let if possible $i_0 \neq k$. Then

$$w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} c_i t^{b_i} + c_{i_0} t^{b_{i_0}} + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^k c_i t^{b_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} c_i t^{b_i} + c_{i_0} t^{b_{i_0}}.$$

Since only a proper subset of the set $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ is involved in R.H.S. so by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} c_i t^{b_i} + c_{i_0} t^{b_{i_0}} \leq 0, \quad t \in (0, 1).$$

The remaining case is when $i_0 = k$. We divide this case into the following two subcases.

Subcase 1. $c_{k-1} < 0$.

Note that for every $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} c_i t^{b_i} + c_{k-1} t^{b_{k-1}} + c_k t^{b_k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} c_i t^{b_i} + (c_{k-1} + c_k) t^{b_k} \leq 0.$$

The last inequality follows from the induction hypothesis.

Subcase 2. $c_{k-1} \geq 0$.

Note that for every $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$w(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-2} c_i t^{b_i} + c_{k-1} t^{b_{k-1}} + c_k t^{b_k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} c_i t^{b_i} + (c_{k-1} + c_k) t^{b_{k-1}} \leq 0.$$

The last inequality follows from the induction hypothesis. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. In Theorem 1.4, $\deg p \leq k+1$ turns out to be a necessary condition. Otherwise, if the sequence corresponding to the rational function r_k with $\deg p > k+1$ is completely alternating then the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(n)}{n \prod_{i=1}^k (n+b_i)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ turns out to be divergent, that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n)}{n \prod_{i=1}^k (n+b_i)}$$

is not finite. But on the other hand, according to the Theorem 1.1(ii), for a completely alternating sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{n} = b$, where b is a real number as in (1.2).

As an application to Theorem 1.4, we obtain the following classes of completely alternating sequences.

Corollary 2.3. Let $q(x) = (x + b_1) \dots (x + b_k)$ and p be any of the following:

- (i) $p(x) = (x + a_1) \dots (x + a_k)$ with $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k$,
- (ii) $p(x) = (x + a_1) \dots (x + a_{k+1})$ with $0 < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_k < b_k < a_{k+1}$.

Then the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(n)}{q(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating.

Proof. (i) Note that

$$\frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{c_i}{(x + b_i)},$$

where $c_i = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^k (a_l - b_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq l \neq i \leq k} (b_l - b_i)}$ $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. This can be rewritten as

$$c_i = - \frac{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq i} (b_i - a_l) \prod_{i+1 \leq l \leq k} (a_l - b_i)}{\prod_{1 \leq l \leq i-1} (b_i - b_l) \prod_{i+1 \leq l \leq k} (b_l - b_i)},$$

(we assume that the product over the empty set is 1). Under the given conditions, it is easy to see that $c_i < 0$. By Theorem 1.4, the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(n)}{q(n)} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating. A similar proof works for (ii). \square

Remark 2.4. *The sufficient conditions in Corollary 2.3 turn out to be necessary if we further assume that, in the first case where degree of p is same as degree of q , the sequences $\left\{ \frac{(n+a_i)(n+a_{i+1})}{n+b_i} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\left\{ \frac{n+a_k}{n+b_k} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ are complete alternating and in the second case where degree of p is bigger than degree of q by 1, the sequences $\left\{ \frac{(n+a_i)(n+a_{i+1})}{n+b_i} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ are completely alternating. The proof follows from the Theorem 2.1.*

3. A LINK BETWEEN CA SEQUENCE AND JCM NET

In this section, we establish an equivalence between completely alternating sequences and two variable joint completely monotone nets and show an immediate application of the Corollary 2.3.

In [5, Proposition 6] (also see [12, Theorem 2.5]), the authors have shown a correspondence between completely alternating sequences and completely monotone sequences. In the following result, we establish a relation between completely alternating sequences and two variable joint completely monotone nets. This also extends [4, Theorem 1.4(i)].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\{p(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\{q(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be sequences of positive real numbers. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *the sequence $\left\{ \frac{p(m)}{q(m)} \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating,*
- (ii) *$\left\{ \frac{q(m)}{p(m)+q(m)n} \right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net.*

Moreover, if (i) holds and $\left\{ \frac{1}{q(m)} \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely monotone sequence, then the sequence

$\left\{ \frac{1}{p(m)+q(m)n} \right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net.

Proof. To prove the equivalence, we note the following identity:

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{q(m)}{p(m) + q(m)n} = \int_{(0,1)} t^n t^{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}-1} dt, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that $\left\{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely alternating sequence. By [11, Proposition 6.10], $\{e^{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)} \ln t}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely monotone sequence, for every $t \in (0, 1)$. Equivalently, the sequence $\{t^{p(m)/q(m)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely monotone. This together with the fact that $\{t^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, $t \in (0, 1)$, is completely monotone, yields that for every $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$(-1)^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \Delta^{\alpha_1} \Delta^{\alpha_2} t^n t^{p(m)/q(m)} = ((-1)^{\alpha_2} \Delta^{\alpha_2} t^n) ((-1)^{\alpha_1} \Delta^{\alpha_1} t^{p(m)/q(m)}) \geq 0, \quad m, n, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Combining this with (3.1), we get

$$(-1)^\alpha \Delta^\alpha \frac{q(m)}{p(m) + q(m)n} \geq 0, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2.$$

This shows that $\left\{\frac{q(m)}{p(m) + q(m)n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume that $\left\{\frac{q(m)}{p(m) + q(m)n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net. This together with (3.1) yields that for every $k, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^k \Delta_1^k \frac{q(m)}{p(m) + q(m)n} = \int_{(0,1)} t^n \left((-1)^k \Delta_1^k t^{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}-1} \right) dt \geq 0.$$

Since the integrand in (3.2) is a continuous function in $(0, 1)$,

$$(-1)^k \Delta_1^k t^{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}-1} \geq 0, \quad m, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, t \in (0, 1).$$

This yields that for every $t \in (0, 1)$, $\{t^{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely monotone sequence and equivalently, $\left\{\frac{p(m)}{q(m)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely alternating sequence. To see the moreover part,

assume that (i) holds and $\left\{\frac{1}{q(m)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a completely monotone sequence. Since the product of completely monotone net is completely monotone, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{p(m) + q(m)n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Note that the combination of Corollary 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 not only helps to identify a class of completely alternating sequences but also a class of joint completely monotone net. In particular, it also recovers Theorem 1.4(i) of [4].

We intuitively believe that it might be possible to relax the condition, that is, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{b(m)}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is also completely monotone, in Theorem 3.1 but we do not know any proof of it. Therefore, we do not have any answer to the following question, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.4 in [4].

Question 3.3. Let $\{a(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\{b(m)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be sequences of positive real numbers. Is it true that the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) the sequence $\left\{ \frac{a(m)}{b(m)} \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is completely alternating,
- (ii) $\left\{ \frac{1}{a(m)+b(m)n} \right\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is a joint completely monotone net?

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to Prof. Sameer Chavan for some insightful comments/suggestions. The second named author acknowledges the Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science K.K. Birla Goa Campus for warm hospitality and is grateful to the DST-INSPIRE Faculty Fellowship. The first-named author's research is supported by the DST-INSPIRE Faculty Fellowship No. DST/INSPIRE/04/2020/001250.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Anand, S. Chavan, A moment problem and joint q-isometry tuples. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **11** (2017), 785–810.
- [2] A. Anand, S. Chavan, Module tensor product of subnormal modules need not be subnormal. *J. Funct. Anal.* **272**(11) (2017), 4752–4761.
- [3] A. Anand, S. Chavan, Z. J. Jabłoński, J. Stochel, A solution to the Cauchy dual subnormality problem for 2-isometries, *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019), 108292, 51 pp.
- [4] A. Anand, S. Chavan, R. Nailwal, Joint complete monotonicity of rational functions in two variables and toral m-isometric pairs, *J. Operator Theory*, to appear, (2023).
- [5] A. Athavale, On completely hyperexpansive operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 3745–3752.
- [6] A. Athavale, A. Ranjekar, Bernstein functions, Complete hyperexpansivity and subnormality I, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **43** (2002), 253-263.
- [7] K. Ball, Completely monotone rational functions and Hall's marriage theorem, *J. Comb. Theory* **61** (1994), 118-124.
- [8] C. Benhida and R. E. Curto and G. R. Exner, Moment infinite divisibility of weighted shifts: sequence conditions, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **16** (2022), 23 pp.
- [9] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, P. Ressel, Positive definite functions on abelian semigroups, *Math. Ann.* **223** (1976), 253-274.
- [10] C. Berg, A. Durán, Some transformations of Hausdorff moment sequences and harmonic numbers, *Canad. J. Math.* **57** (2005), 941–960.
- [11] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, P. Ressel, *Harmonic analysis on semigroups. Theory of positive definite and related functions.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 100. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. x+289 pp.
- [12] S. Ghara, S. Kumar, On the sum of two subnormal kernels, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **469**(2) (2019), 1015-1027
- [13] F. Hausdorff, Momentprobleme für ein endliches intervall, *Math. Z.* **16**(1) (1923), 220–248
- [14] Md. R. Reza, G. Zhang, Hausdorff moment sequences induced by rational functions, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* **13** (2019), 4117-4142.
- [15] D. V. Widder, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 6. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1941. x+406 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE - PILANI, K. K. BIRLA GOA CAMPUS, SOUTH GOA 403726, INDIA

Email address: monojitb@goa.bits-pilani.ac.in, raj1994nailwal@gmail.com