

# A Comparison of Takai and Treumann Dualities

Vikram Nadig\*

June 21, 2024

## Abstract

We prove a comparison result between two duality statements - Takai duality, which is implemented by the crossed product functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  on equivariant Kasparov categories; and Treumann duality, which asserts the existence of an exotic equivalence of stable  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \simeq \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft}$  given by tensoring with a particular  $(G, \hat{G})$ -bimodule  $M_E$  and  $p$ -completing.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>The Crossed Product Functor</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Takai Duality</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Treumann Duality</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>A Comparison of Takai Duality with Treumann Duality</b>	<b>26</b>

---

\*Universität Regensburg/Università degli studi di Milano  
 vikram.nadig@stud.uni-regensburg.de/vikram.nadig@studenti.unimi.it

# 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $\hat{G}$  be its Pontryagin dual. In this paper, we study and compare two different duality results on algebraic structures with actions of  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ .

The first of these is in the realm of  $C^*$ -algebras. Given a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra (a  $C^*$ -algebra together with a continuous  $G$ -action)  $A$ , we can form the crossed product  $A \rtimes G$ , which is a  $C^*$ -algebra that acquires a natural action of  $\hat{G}$ . It is natural to ask, via the Pontryagin duality isomorphism, what the  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$  is. This question was answered by Takai in the 1970s:

**Theorem 1.1 (Takai Duality).** *There is a  $G$ -equivariant isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras*

$$(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G} \cong A \otimes K(L^2(G))$$

Here,  $K(L^2(G))$  is given the  $G$ -action of conjugation with the left-regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$ .

The other duality result we take up is in the modern realm of higher algebra. For this theorem, we assume that  $G$  is a finite abelian  $p$ -group.

Let  $KU_p$  denote the  $p$ -completed complex  $K$ -theory spectrum, and let  $KU_p[G]$  denote the commutative ring spectrum  $KU_p \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty G$ . One can form the stable  $\infty$ -category of modules of finite type  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$  over  $KU_p[G]$ . In [Tre15], a module  $M_E$  over  $KU_p[G \times \hat{G}]$  is constructed, whose underlying  $KU_p$ -module is  $KU_p$  itself. Tensoring by  $M_E$  over  $KU_p[G]$  and  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$ , respectively, induces functors  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft}$  and  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$ . Let  $L_p$  denote the  $p$ -completion functor. Treumann proved the following duality result (Theorem 1.5.1 of [Tre15]):

**Theorem 1.2 (Treumann Duality).** *The composites*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} &\xrightarrow{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)} \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} \xrightarrow{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[\hat{G}]} M_E)} \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \\ \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} &\xrightarrow{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[\hat{G}]} M_E)} \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \xrightarrow{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)} \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} \end{aligned}$$

*are equivalent to the respective identities.*

This theorem produces an equivalence of stable  $\infty$ -categories  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \simeq \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft}$  that is not induced by any choice of isomorphism  $G \cong \hat{G}$  (for example, the  $KU_p[G]$ -module  $KU_p$  with trivial  $G$ -action is mapped to  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$  under this equivalence).

The article [BEL23] bridges these two different worlds. A stable  $\infty$ -category  $KK^G$  is constructed, which represents Kasparov’s  $G$ -equivariant  $KK$ -theory for  $C^*$ -algebras, in the following sense. Denote by  $KK_*^G(-, -)$  Kasparov’s original equivariant  $KK$ -groups. There is a functor  $kk^G : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow KK^G$  (where  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  is the category of  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras) such that

$$\pi_* KK^G(kk^G(A), kk^G(B)) \cong KK_*^G(A, B)$$

for all separable  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $B$ . That is, for separable algebras, Kasparov’s classical  $G$ -equivariant  $KK$ -groups can be read off from the stable homotopy groups of the mapping spectra in  $KK^G$ . In Section 2.1, we recall the explicit construction of  $KK^G$  and  $kk^G$  by a series of Dwyer-Kan localizations and state their universal properties.

In the language of equivariant  $KK$ -theory, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are similar statements. To motivate why, we consider a simple example. Consider the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  with trivial  $G$ -action (here we assume that  $G$  is a finite abelian group). Its  $p$ -completed  $K$ -theory spectrum is nothing but  $KU_p$  itself, and it inherits the trivial  $G$ -action. On the other hand, the crossed product  $\mathbb{C} \rtimes G$  can be identified, under the Fourier transform, with  $C_0(\hat{G})$ . This can be identified with a  $\hat{G}$ -indexed direct sum  $\bigoplus_{\hat{G}} \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\hat{G}$  acts on it by permuting the summands. Consequently, the  $p$ -completed  $K$ -theory spectrum of  $\mathbb{C} \rtimes G$  is  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$  with the usual  $\hat{G}$ -action, and so one recovers the association  $KU_p \mapsto KU_p[\hat{G}]$  of Theorem 1.2 by taking the crossed product as illustrated.

In Section 2.2, we observe that the crossed product gives a functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ . We subsequently show that it descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$ . Here, “descends” means that we have a commutative square of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} & \xrightarrow{\rtimes G} & \hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \\ \downarrow kk^G & & \downarrow kk^{\hat{G}} \\ KK^G & \xrightarrow{\rtimes G} & KK^{\hat{G}} \end{array}$$

If we aspire to formulate a reasonable comparison statement between the two duality results stated above, we must begin by stating Theorem 1.1 in categorical language, which we do in Section 3. From this and the  $K_G$ -stability of  $KK$ -theory, we conclude that  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  is an equivalence (Corollary 3.3). The rest of the section is dedicated to providing a different formula for the functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  which we use later to compare the two duality results.

In Section 4, we precisely formulate Theorem 1.2 as Theorem 4.5. The only novelty here is a description of the module  $M_E$  using  $C^*$ -categories. This proves to be very suitable for our intentions.

In Section 5, we make precise what we mean by comparing Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We briefly summarize this below:

Taking  $K$ -theory and  $p$ -completing yields a natural functor

$$r_G : KK^G \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])$$

We define  $KK^{G,ft}$  to be the full subcategory of  $KK^G$  that is mapped by  $r_G$  to  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$ . We show that  $KK^{G,ft}$  is, in fact, a thick subcategory of  $KK^G$ . Further, we show that the crossed product functor  $- \rtimes G$  restricts to an equivalence  $KK^{G,ft} \simeq KK^{\hat{G},ft}$  (Corollary 5.5).

We conclude the paper with our comparison result (Theorem 5.7):

**Theorem 1.3.** *We have a commutative square of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 KK^{G,ft} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{-\rtimes G} & KK^{\hat{G},ft} \\
 \downarrow r_G & & \downarrow r_{\hat{G}} \\
 \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)} & \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft}
 \end{array}$$

Both the horizontal arrows are equivalences - the upper one is that of Takai duality, and the lower one is that of Treumann duality.

*Acknowledgements:* The author is very grateful to Ulrich Bunke for proposing this problem, his extensive help with ideas and suggestions, and reviewing preliminary drafts in detail. The author also thanks Benjamin Dünzinger for helpful discussions, Christoph Winges for providing a proof of Proposition 4.1., and Paul Arne Østvær for useful comments.

The author was supported by scholarships from ERASMUS+ and the ALGANT consortium.

## 2 The Crossed Product Functor

Throughout this section, we denote by  $G$  a locally compact, second countable, abelian group with identity element  $e$  and its Pontryagin dual by  $\hat{G}$ . The dual group  $\hat{G}$  is endowed with the compact-open topology. We fix Haar measures  $\mu$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ , respectively. These are unique up to multiplication by a positive scalar.

## 2.1 A stable $\infty$ -category for equivariant $KK$ -theory

In this subsection, we will introduce the definition and state the universal property of the stable  $\infty$ -category  $KK^G$  referenced in the introduction. All the material here is contained in [BEL23]<sup>1</sup> and [Bun23].

We will denote by  $C^*\text{Alg}$  the category with objects the unital  $C^*$ -algebras and morphisms the unital  $*$ -algebra homomorphisms, whereas  $C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  will refer to the category with objects the  $C^*$ -algebras (not necessarily unital) and morphisms the  $*$ -algebra homomorphisms<sup>2</sup>. We also recall the notion of a pre- $C^*$ -algebra - it is a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  each element of which has a finite maximal norm<sup>3</sup>. One has a category  $C_{\text{pre}}^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  of pre- $C^*$ -algebras and  $*$ -algebra homomorphisms. The fully faithful inclusion  $C^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \hookrightarrow C_{\text{pre}}^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  admits a left adjoint  $\text{compl} : C_{\text{pre}}^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ , which acts on objects by completing with respect to the maximal norm (see Section 2 of [Bun24]).

We denote by  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  the following category. The objects are pairs of the form  $(A, \alpha)$ , where  $A$  is an object of  $C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  and  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}}(A, A)$  is a group homomorphism, such that for each element  $a$  of  $A$ , the function  $G \rightarrow A$  given by  $g \mapsto \alpha_g(a)$  is continuous. At times we will be sloppy and simply write  $A$  instead of  $(A, \alpha)$ . The morphisms of  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  are the  $G$ -equivariant  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphisms. When  $G$  is discrete,  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  can be identified with the functor category  $\text{Fun}(BG, C^*\text{Alg}^{nu})$ . The category  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  has two different symmetric monoidal structures given by the minimal and maximal tensor products. However, in this paper, for simplicity, we will work exclusively with the maximal tensor product, which we denote simply by  $- \otimes -$ .

Let  $GC^*\text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu}$  denote the full subcategory of  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  consisting of the separable algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $D$  be an  $\infty$ -category, and  $F : GC^*\text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu} \rightarrow D$  a functor.*

1. *The functor  $F$  is said to be homotopy invariant if it sends homotopy equivalences to equivalences. The notion of homotopy is defined with respect to the point-norm topology on the mapping sets in  $GC^*\text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu}$ .*
2. *Let  $K_G := K(L^2(G) \otimes l^2) \in GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  be the algebra of compact operators on the Hilbert space  $L^2(G) \otimes l^2$ , with group action induced by conjugation of the natural action on  $L^2(G)$ . A morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $GC^*\text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu}$  is a  $K_G$ -equivalence if  $f \otimes K_G$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

---

<sup>1</sup>This reference deals with discrete groups.

<sup>2</sup>The notation  $C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  is not to be confused with that of nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras. We are following the convention in [BEL23].

<sup>3</sup>Let  $A$  be a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For an element  $a$  of  $A$ , we define its maximal norm to be  $\sup\|\rho(a)\|$ , where the supremum is taken over all representations  $\rho : A \rightarrow B$ , where  $B$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

3. The functor  $F$  is said to be  $G$ -stable if for every equivariant isometric embedding of separable unitary<sup>4</sup>  $G$ -Hilbert spaces  $V' \hookrightarrow V$ , with  $V' \neq 0$ , and for all objects  $A$  of  $GC^*Alg^{nu}$ , the induced map  $F(A \otimes K(V')) \rightarrow F(A \otimes K(V))$  is an equivalence.

**Proposition 2.2.** *A homotopy invariant functor  $F$  inverts  $K_G$ -equivalences if and only if it is  $G$ -stable.*

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $F$  is  $G$ -stable. Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a  $K_G$ -equivalence. Write  $\hat{K}_G$  for  $K(\mathbb{C} \oplus (L^2(G) \otimes l^2))$  with the obvious  $G$ -action, so that one has equivariant isometric inclusions  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \hat{K}_G$  and  $K_G \hookrightarrow \hat{K}_G$ . This gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \longrightarrow & A \otimes \hat{K}_G & \longleftarrow & A \otimes K_G \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \otimes \hat{K}_G & & \downarrow f \otimes K_G \\ B & \longrightarrow & B \otimes \hat{K}_G & \longleftarrow & B \otimes K_G \end{array}$$

By assumption,  $F$  inverts all the horizontal arrows and the right vertical arrow (the latter because  $f \otimes K_G$  is a homotopy equivalence and  $F$  is homotopy invariant). Therefore, it inverts  $f$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $F$  inverts  $K_G$ -equivalences. It suffices to show that  $K(V') \rightarrow K(V)$  is a  $K_G$ -equivalence, where  $V'$  and  $V$  are as in Definition 2.1.3.

Let  $K$  denote  $K(l^2)$ . Suppose the  $G$ -actions on  $V$  and  $V'$  are trivial. Then  $K(V') \otimes K \rightarrow K(V) \otimes K$  is isomorphic to a left upper corner inclusion, and therefore becomes a homotopy equivalence on tensoring again by  $K$ . As  $K_G \cong K_G \otimes K \otimes K$ , we conclude the result in this case.

In the general case, we will produce a natural equivariant isomorphism  $\phi_H : L^2(G) \otimes H \cong L^2(G) \otimes \text{Res}^G(H)$  for any Hilbert space  $H$  with  $G$ -action  $\rho$ . Here  $\text{Res}^G(H)$  is the Hilbert space  $H$  with trivial  $G$ -action. This induces an isomorphism  $K(H) \otimes K_G \cong \text{Res}^G(K(H)) \otimes K_G$ , and we conclude by the earlier case.

We can identify  $L^2(G) \otimes H$  with  $L^2(G, H)$  (the  $G$ -action given on the latter by  $g(f(h)) := \rho_g f(g^{-1}h)$ ), and similarly,  $L^2(G) \otimes \text{Res}^G(H)$  with  $L^2(G, \text{Res}^G(H))$ . We now define  $\phi_H$  by the formula  $f(h) \mapsto \rho(h)^{-1} f(h)$ , and one easily checks that it is a natural equivariant isomorphism.  $\square$

We write  $GC^*Alg_{h,sep}^{nu}$  for the localization<sup>5</sup> of  $GC^*Alg_{sep}^{nu}$  by the homotopy equivalences, and  $L_{K_G}GC^*Alg_{h,sep}^{nu}$  for the localization of  $GC^*Alg_{sep}^{nu}$  by the homotopy equivalences and the  $K_G$ -equivalences. We have a sequence of localizations

$$L_{h,K_G} : GC^*Alg_{sep}^{nu} \xrightarrow{L_h} GC^*Alg_{h,sep}^{nu} \xrightarrow{L_{K_G}} L_{K_G}GC^*Alg_{h,sep}^{nu}$$

<sup>4</sup>Here, unitary means that  $G$  acts by unitaries on  $V'$  and  $V$ .

<sup>5</sup>We always refer to the Dwyer-Kan localization when we write ‘‘localization’’. See [Lur24, Tag 01N0] and [Lur24, Tag 01N1] for an existence and uniqueness statement.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $D$  be a pointed  $\infty$ -category, and  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu} \rightarrow D$  a reduced functor (that is,  $F(0)$  is a zero object). An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  in  $GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$  is semi-split if there exists a completely positive and contractive  $G$ -equivariant section  $C \rightarrow B$ . The functor  $F$  is said to be semi-exact if it takes semi-split exact sequences to fibre sequences.

We remark that completely analogous definitions can be made for  $GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  in place of  $GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$ .

If

$$\mathcal{E} : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{f} C \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence in  $GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$ , there is a natural induced map  $i_f : A \rightarrow C(f)$ , where  $C(f)$  is the cone on  $f$ . Let  $W'$  denote the class of morphisms of the form  $L_{h,KG}(i_f)$  taken over all semi-split exact sequences  $\mathcal{E}$ . Write  $W$  for the closure of  $W'$  by the 2-out-of-3 property and pullbacks.

Let

$$L_W : L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu} \rightarrow L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}]$$

be the localization by the class  $W$ . The  $\infty$ -category  $L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}]$  is left-exact and semi-additive (see [Bun24, Cor. 4.3.2] and [Bun24, Prop. 4.4] in the case that  $G$  is trivial and item 2 at the beginning of [BD24, Sec. 3.4] for discrete  $G$ ). There is a Bousfield localization

$$\text{incl} : (L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}])^{\text{grp}} \hookrightarrow L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}] : \Omega^2$$

where the superscript  $\text{grp}$  indicates the full subcategory of group objects (see [Bun24, Prop. 7.2] in the case that  $G$  is trivial, and item 4 at the beginning of [BD24, Sec 3.4] for an analogous statement for  $E$ -theory (for discrete  $G$ )).

We define the  $\infty$ -category  $KK_{sep}^G := (L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}])^{\text{grp}}$ , and the functor  $kk_{sep}^G$  as the composite

$$GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu} \xrightarrow{L_{h,KG}} L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu} \xrightarrow{L_W} L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}[W^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\Omega^2} KK_{sep}^G$$

The  $\infty$ -category  $KK_{sep}^G$  is characterized essentially uniquely by the following universal property.

**Theorem 2.4.**  $KK_{sep}^G$  is a stable  $\infty$ -category, the functor  $kk_{sep}^G$  is homotopy invariant,  $G$ -stable and semi-exact; and for any stable  $\infty$ -category  $D$ , pre-composition by  $kk_{sep}^G$  induces an equivalence of functor categories

$$\text{Fun}^{ex}(KK_{sep}^G, D) \simeq \text{Fun}^{h,Gs,se}(GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}, D)$$

The superscripts  $\{h, Gs, se\}$  refer to the full subcategory of homotopy invariant,  $G$ -stable, semi-exact functors; the superscript  $ex$  denotes the full subcategory of exact functors.

We define  $KK^G := \text{Ind}(KK_{sep}^G)$  as the Ind-completion, with  $y : KK_{sep}^G \rightarrow KK^G$  the Yoneda embedding. We define the functor  $kk^G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow KK^G$  by left-Kan extension as indicated below:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu} & \xleftrightarrow{\quad} & GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} & \xrightarrow{\quad kk^G \quad} & KK^G \\
 & \searrow^{kk_{sep}^G} & & \nearrow^y & \\
 & & KK_{sep}^G & & 
 \end{array}$$

We need another preliminary notion to characterize  $KK^G$ .

**Definition 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be a cocomplete  $\infty$ -category, and  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow D$  a functor.  $F$  is said to be  $s$ -finitary if the natural map*

$$\text{colim}_{A' \subset_{sep} A} F(A') \rightarrow F(A)$$

*is an equivalence, for all objects  $A$  of  $GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$ . The colimit here is taken over all separable  $G$ - $C^*$ -subalgebras of  $A$ .*

The  $\infty$ -category  $KK^G$  is determined essentially uniquely by the following universal property.

**Theorem 2.6.**  *$KK^G$  is a stable and cocomplete  $\infty$ -category, the functor  $kk^G$  is homotopy invariant,  $G$ -stable, semi-exact and  $s$ -finitary; and for any stable, cocomplete  $\infty$ -category  $D$ , pre-composition by  $kk^G$  induces an equivalence*

$$\text{Fun}^{\text{colim}}(KK^G, D) \simeq \text{Fun}^{h, Gs, se, sfin}(GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}, D)$$

*The superscripts  $\{h, Gs, se, sfin\}$  refer to the full subcategory of homotopy invariant,  $G$ -stable, semi-exact and  $s$ -finitary functors; the superscript  $\text{colim}$  denotes the full subcategory of colimit-preserving functors.*

We remark that  $KK^G$  also admits a symmetric monoidal structure and that  $kk^G$  can be refined to a symmetric monoidal functor, and an analogous universal property can be stated in this situation.

We denote  $KK^{\{e\}}$  simply by  $KK$ , where  $\{e\}$  is the trivial group. For an object  $A$  of  $KK$ , we write  $K(A)$  “the  $K$ -theory spectrum of  $A$ ” for the mapping spectrum  $KK(\mathbb{C}, A)$ . We denote the spectrum  $K(\mathbb{C})$  by  $KU$ ; this coincides with the 2-periodic topological complex  $K$ -theory spectrum (see [Bun24, Rem. 9.19]). The spectrum-valued functor  $K(-)$  naturally refines to a functor valued in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Mod}(KU)$  of modules over the commutative ring spectrum  $KU$ .

A detailed account of the properties of  $K(-)$  is presented in [BLP23].



## 2.2 The Crossed Product Functor

For a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , one can consider the set  $C_c(G, A)$  of compactly supported continuous  $A$ -valued functions on  $G$ . This set acquires the structure of a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  with the following definitions of the algebraic operations. For  $f, f' \in C_c(G, A)$  and  $g \in G$ , we set:

- $(f + f')(g) := f(g) + f'(g)$
- $(f * f')(g) := \int_G f(h)\alpha_h(f'(h^{-1}g))\mu(h)$
- $f^*(g) := \alpha_g(f(g^{-1}))^*$

With this algebraic structure, one can check that  $C_c(G, A)$  is a pre- $C^*$ -algebra - in fact, for  $f \in C_c(G, A)$ , the maximal norm of  $f$  is bounded by its  $L_1$ -norm. We define the (maximal)<sup>6</sup> crossed product  $A \rtimes G$  to be the completion  $\text{compl}(C_c(G, A))$ <sup>7</sup>. This yields a functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ .<sup>8</sup>

We will refine the functor  $- \rtimes G$  to take values in  $\hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ , and show that it descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$ .

To begin with, consider a character  $\chi$  in  $\hat{G}$ , and an element  $f$  of  $C_c(G, A)$ , where  $A$  is a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra. We define  $\chi f \in C_c(G, A)$  by pointwise multiplication with the character value:

$$(\chi f)(g) := \chi(g)f(g)$$

**Proposition 2.7.** *The rule defined above gives a strongly continuous action of  $\hat{G}$  on  $A \rtimes G$ .*

*Proof.* By substituting into the definitions, one easily checks that we have an action of  $\hat{G}$  on the pre- $C^*$ -algebra  $C_c(G, A)$ ; applying  $\text{compl}$ , we get an action of  $\hat{G}$  on  $A \rtimes G$ .

We check that the action is continuous. We must show that for each element  $f$  of  $A \rtimes G$ , the map  $\hat{G} \rightarrow A \rtimes G$  given by  $\chi \rightarrow \chi f$  is continuous. To this end, we may assume that  $f \in C_c(G, A)$ , as  $C_c(G, A)$  is dense in  $A \rtimes G$ . Let  $S$  be the support of  $f$ . By assumption,  $S$  is compact, so  $\mu(S)$  is finite. Suppose  $\{\chi_i\}$  is a net converging to the trivial character

---

<sup>6</sup>There is also a variant called the reduced crossed product  $A \rtimes_r G$  obtained by completing  $C_c(G, A)$  with respect to a particular representation. However, we shall work exclusively with the maximal crossed product for simplicity, and because of its compatibility with the maximal tensor product (Lemma 2.11).

<sup>7</sup>This construction can also be generalized for non-abelian  $G$ .

<sup>8</sup>Throughout this paper, we will abuse notation and use  $- \rtimes G$  for different functors. By default, we will mean the functor  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  of Proposition 2.8 or the functor  $KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  of Theorem 2.19, as should be clear from the context. In other cases, we will explicitly indicate the source and target.

1. As the maximal norm is bounded by the  $L_1$ -norm, it suffices to show that  $\|\chi_i f - f\|_{L_1}$  goes to 0. We have

$$\|\chi_i f - f\|_{L_1} = \int_G \|\chi_i f - f\| \mu \leq \int_G \|\chi_i - 1\| \|f\| \mu$$

To conclude, note that  $\|f\|$  is bounded, and that by definition of the compact-open topology, we can make the difference  $\|\chi_i - 1\|$  arbitrarily small on  $S$ .  $\square$

It now follows that:

**Proposition 2.8.**  $- \rtimes G$  determines a functor  $GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$ .

We record the following important categorical fact.

**Proposition 2.9.** The functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  preserves filtered colimits.

*Proof.* As this result appears to be folklore, we only sketch the idea.

Let  $I$  be a small filtered category, and  $A : I \rightarrow GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  a functor. We first assume that  $A$  is unital - i.e., it factors through the inclusion  $GC^* \text{Alg} \hookrightarrow GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$ . One can show by hand that the canonical map  $\text{colim}_I (A \rtimes G) \rightarrow (\text{colim}_I A) \rtimes G$  is surjective. To produce an inverse, we use the observation that if  $A_i \rightarrow A_j$  is a unital homomorphism, then  $A_i \rtimes G \rightarrow A_j \rtimes G$  is an essential homomorphism and thus functorially induces a map on multiplier algebras  $M(A_i \rtimes G) \rightarrow M(A_j \rtimes G)$  together with [Wil07, Prop. 2.34]. The extension from the unital to the non-unital case is carried out exactly as in the proof of [BEL23, Thm 7.13.1].  $\square$

We now proceed to show that  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  descends to a functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$ , by showing that it descends through the intermediate localizations introduced in Section 2.1. Recall the  $\infty$ -categories  $GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}$  and  $L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}$  introduced there.

Observe that the functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  preserves separable algebras. We recall the following criterion to check for topological enrichment.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu} \rightarrow G'C^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$  be a functor. Suppose that for all commutative algebras  $B$  and for all objects  $A$  of  $GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$ , there exists a natural isomorphism

$$F(A \otimes B) \cong F(A) \otimes B$$

(where  $B$  is given trivial group actions). Further, assume that the composite isomorphism

$$F(A) \cong F(A \otimes \mathbb{C}) \cong F(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong F(A)$$

is the identity for all objects  $A$  of  $GC^* \text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$ . Then  $F$  is a topologically enriched functor and hence descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu} \rightarrow G'C^* \text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $\text{Hom}_G(-, -)$  and  $\text{Hom}_{G'}(-, -)$  for the mapping spaces in  $GC^*\text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$  and  $G'C^*\text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$ , respectively.

For objects  $A, B$  of  $GC^*\text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$ , and a compact topological space  $X$ , consider the composite map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, \text{Hom}_G(A, B)) &\cong \text{Hom}_G(A, B \otimes C(X)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(F(A), F(B \otimes C(X))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{G'}(F(A), F(B) \otimes C(X)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, \text{Hom}_{G'}(F(A), F(B))) \end{aligned}$$

The condition on the isomorphism in the statement of the lemma implies that the underlying map of sets  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, \text{Hom}_G(A, B)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Top}}(X, \text{Hom}_{G'}(F(A), F(B)))$  constructed above is induced by  $F$ , from which the lemma follows because the point-norm topology on mapping sets between separable algebras is compactly generated.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let  $A$  be an object of  $GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  and  $B$  an object of  $C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ . There is a natural isomorphism of algebras*

$$\phi_{A,B} : (A \rtimes G) \otimes B \simeq (A \otimes B) \rtimes G$$

given by  $f \otimes b \mapsto (g \mapsto f(g) \otimes b)$  on the dense subset  $C_c(G, A) \otimes B$ .

*Proof.* See [Wil07, Lem. 2.75].  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12.** *The functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^*\text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}_{sep}^{nu}$  descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^*\text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^*\text{Alg}_{h,sep}^{nu}$ .*

*Proof.* We check the condition of Lemma 2.10. Indeed, the isomorphism  $\phi_{A,B}$  of Lemma 2.11 gives us the desired isomorphism: it is  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant, and the composition

$$A \rtimes G \cong (A \rtimes G) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\phi_{A,\mathbb{C}}} (A \otimes \mathbb{C}) \rtimes G \cong A \rtimes G$$

is the identity.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\rho : G \rightarrow U(M(B))$  be a strongly continuous group homomorphism. Then  $B$  becomes a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra with action  $\beta_g(b) := \rho_g b \rho_g^{-1}$ . Denote by  $\underline{B}$  the algebra  $B$  with trivial  $G$ -action. For any  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $(A, \alpha)$ , there is a natural (in  $A$ ) algebra isomorphism*

$$\psi_{A,B} : (A \otimes B) \rtimes G \rightarrow (A \otimes \underline{B}) \rtimes G$$

given by  $f(g) \mapsto f(g)(\text{id} \otimes \rho_g)$  on the dense subset  $C_c(G, A \otimes B)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $A \otimes B$  is an ideal in  $M(A) \otimes M(B)$ , so by the universal property of the multiplier algebra, we obtain a unital map  $M(A) \otimes M(B) \rightarrow M(A \otimes B)$ . We can therefore define  $\text{id} \otimes \rho_g$  as the composite

$$G \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \rho_g)} U(M(A)) \times U(M(B)) \xrightarrow{(a,b) \mapsto a \otimes b} U(M(A) \otimes M(B)) \longrightarrow U(M(A \otimes B))$$

We check that the formula  $f(g) \mapsto f(g)(\text{id} \otimes \rho_g)$  defines a  $*$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\psi'_{A,B} : C_c(G, A \otimes B) \rightarrow C_c(G, A \otimes \underline{B})$$

One can easily check from the definitions that it defines a  $*$ -algebra homomorphism. The inverse  $\psi'^{-1}_{A,B}$  is given by  $f(k) \mapsto f(k)(\text{id} \otimes \rho_{k^{-1}})$ .

We now set  $\psi_{A,B} := \text{compl}(\psi'_{A,B})$ . □

**Proposition 2.14.** *The functor  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu}$  descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $- \rtimes G : L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu} \rightarrow L_{K_{\hat{G}}} \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu}$ .*

*Proof.* We will show that the composition

$$F : GC^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu} \xrightarrow{- \rtimes G} \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu} \xrightarrow{L_{K_{\hat{G}}, h}} L_{K_{\hat{G}}} \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu}$$

is  $G$ -stable. Since  $F$  is homotopy invariant by Lemma 2.12, this would imply the assertion by the universal property of  $L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu}$ .

Let  $V'$  and  $V$  be  $G$ -Hilbert spaces together with an equivariant unitary embedding  $V' \hookrightarrow V$ . We have to show that for any  $A \in GC^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu}$ , the induced map  $A \otimes K(V') \rightarrow A \otimes K(V)$  is sent to an equivalence by  $F$ .

Using Lemma 2.11 and Lemma 2.13, we have the following commutative diagram of algebras, with the horizontal arrows being natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (A \otimes K(V')) \rtimes G & \xrightarrow{\psi_{A, K(V')}} & (A \otimes \underline{K(V')}) \rtimes G & \xleftarrow{\phi_{A, K(V')}} & (A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{K(V')} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (A \otimes K(V)) \rtimes G & \xrightarrow{\psi_{A, K(V)}} & (A \otimes \underline{K(V)}) \rtimes G & \xleftarrow{\phi_{A, K(V)}} & (A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{K(V)} \end{array}$$

We can upgrade this to a commutative diagram of  $\hat{G}$ -algebras by introducing the appropriate  $\hat{G}$  actions on the algebras in the middle and right columns. It is easy to see that this will be the usual crossed product action on the middle column, and  $\hat{\alpha} \otimes \text{id}$  on the right column, where  $\hat{\alpha}$  is the usual action on  $A \rtimes G$ .

The right vertical map is induced by the identity on  $A \rtimes G$  and the embedding  $V' \hookrightarrow V$ . Therefore, it becomes an equivalence on passage to  $L_{K_{\hat{G}}} \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{h, \text{sep}}^{nu}$  (Proposition 2.2). Hence, so does the left vertical map, and this is what we wanted to show. □

**Proposition 2.15.** *The functor  $- \rtimes G : L_{K_G} GC^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep},h}^{nu} \rightarrow L_{K_{\hat{G}}} \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep},h}^{nu}$  descends essentially uniquely to an exact functor  $- \rtimes G : KK_{\text{sep}}^G \rightarrow KK_{\text{sep}}^{\hat{G}}$ .*

*Proof.* First, we show that  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu}$  preserves semi-exact sequences.

So, suppose that we are given a semi-exact sequence of  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0$$

with a  $G$ -equivariant completely positive and contractive split  $r : Q \rightarrow A$ . It is known (Proposition 9 of [Mey07]) that this yields a semi-exact sequence of algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow I \rtimes G \longrightarrow A \rtimes G \longrightarrow Q \rtimes G \longrightarrow 0$$

with completely positive and contractive split  $\hat{r} : Q \rtimes G \rightarrow A \rtimes G$  induced by post-composition with  $r$  on  $C_c(G, Q) \rightarrow C_c(G, A)$ .

It only remains to check that  $\hat{r}$  is  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant - but this is clear from the definition of the action and the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity of  $r$ .

Thus, the composition  $kk^{\hat{G}} \circ \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}_{\text{sep}}^{nu} \rightarrow KK_{\text{sep}}^{\hat{G}}$  is semi-exact, and we now conclude using the universal property of  $KK_{\text{sep}}^G$ .  $\square$

To proceed, we need the notion of an Ind- $s$ -finitary functor:

**Definition 2.16.** ([BEL23, Def. 4.1])<sup>9</sup>

*A functor  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow HC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  that preserves separable algebras is said to be Ind- $s$ -finitary if:*

1. *For all  $A \in GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$ , the inductive system  $F(A')_{A' \subset_{\text{sep}} A}^{F(A)}$  is cofinal in the system of all separable algebras of  $F(A)$ .*
2. *The canonical map  $F(A')_{A' \subset_{\text{sep}} A} \rightarrow (F(A')^{F(A)})_{A' \subset_{\text{sep}} A}$  is an isomorphism of inductive systems in  $\text{Ind}(HC^* \text{Alg}^{nu})$ .*

*The notation  $F(A')^{F(A)}$  denotes the image of  $F(A')$  in  $F(A)$ .*

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow HC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  be a functor that preserves separable algebras and satisfies item 1 of Definition 2.16. If  $F$  preserves countably filtered colimits, then it is Ind- $s$ -finitary.*

*Proof.* This is [BEL23, Lem. 4.3.1].  $\square$

---

<sup>9</sup>This definition and the following two lemmas are stated in [BEL23] for discrete groups. However, the arguments provided there work almost verbatim in our generality.

The notion of Ind- $s$ -finitariness is related to that of  $s$ -finitariness by the following result:

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $F' : HC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow D$  be an  $s$ -finitary functor, and  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow HC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  an Ind- $s$ -finitary functor. Then, the composite  $F' \circ F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow D$  is an  $s$ -finitary functor.*

*Proof.* This is [BEL23, Lem. 4.2]. □

We can now conclude this section with its main result:

**Theorem 2.19.** *The functor  $- \rtimes G : KK_{sep}^G \rightarrow KK_{sep}^{\hat{G}}$  extends essentially uniquely to a colimit-preserving functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.18, all we have to check is that  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  is Ind- $s$ -finitary.

We first verify the cofinality condition of Definition 2.16. Let  $A$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra, and  $B$  a separable  $\hat{G}$ -subalgebra of  $A \rtimes G$ . Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $B$ . It is a standard fact (see [Wil07, Lem. 1.87]) that elements of the form  $f \otimes a$ , with  $f \in C_c(G)$  and  $a \in A$ , generate  $A \rtimes G$ . Thus, for each  $i$ , one can find a sequence  $\{f_{ik} = \sum_{l=1}^{n_{k,i}} g_{l,k,i} \otimes a_{l,k,i}\}$  of linear combinations of elementary tensors that converges to  $f_i$ . Consider the  $G$ - $C^*$ -subalgebra  $A'$  of  $A$  generated by the collection  $\{a_{l,k,i}\}$ . Then  $A'$  is separable and  $B$  is contained in the image of  $A' \rtimes G$  in  $A \rtimes G$ .

By Proposition 2.9,  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  preserves filtered colimits.

The theorem now follows from Lemma 2.17. □

### 3 Takai Duality

We retain the notation and conventions from the previous section - we will denote by  $G$  a locally compact, second countable, abelian group with identity element  $e$  and its Pontryagin dual by  $\hat{G}$ . The dual group  $\hat{G}$  is endowed with the compact-open topology. We fix Haar measures  $\mu$  and  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ , respectively. These are unique up to multiplication by a positive scalar.

Classically, the Takai duality statement is simply stated as the existence of a certain equivariant isomorphism of algebras (Theorem 1.1); however, this is not sufficient for our purposes. We shall begin by restating this in categorical language.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $H : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  be the functor  $A \mapsto (A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$ , with the double-dual action, and let  $H' : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  be the functor  $A \mapsto A \otimes K(L^2(G))$  with the tensor action (here  $K(L^2(G))$  is given the usual conjugation action). Then there is an isomorphism of functors  $F \simeq F'$ .*

*Proof.* The classical statement is that, for any  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , there exists an equivariant isomorphism  $(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G} \cong A \otimes K(L^2(G))$ ; and this isomorphism is constructed as a sequence of isomorphisms (see [Wil07, Sec. 7.1] for the proof). We will upgrade this to a sequence of isomorphisms between functors and focus on the naturality, omitting the well-established details of why each map that appears is an equivariant isomorphism.

Let  $A$  be a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra with action  $\alpha$ . Classically, the major part of the argument is the construction of an algebra isomorphism

$$\phi_1^A : (A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G} \rightarrow (C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G$$

where  $\underline{A}$  is the algebra  $A$  with trivial  $G$ -action. Let  $F$  be an element of  $C_c(\hat{G} \times G, A)$ , interpreted as an element of  $(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$  under the identification  $C_c(\hat{G} \times G, A) \cong C_c(\hat{G}, C_c(G, A)) \subset C_c(G, A \rtimes G) \subset (A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$ . For such an element,  $\phi_1^A$  is described by the formula

$$\phi_1^A(F)(s, r) = \int_{\hat{G}} \alpha_r^{-1}(F(\gamma, s)) \overline{\gamma(s^{-1}r)} \hat{\mu}(\gamma)$$

where  $\phi_1^F(-, r)$  is interpreted as an element of  $C_c(G, C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \subset (C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G$ .

Consider the algebra  $C_0(G) \rtimes G$ , where  $G$  acts on  $C_0(G)$  by left-multiplication. Functoriality induces an action  $\kappa$  of  $G$  on  $C_0(G) \rtimes G$ . Let  $\kappa'$  be the unique action on  $(C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G$  such that the isomorphism  $\phi_{C_0(G), A}$  of Lemma 2.11 becomes equivariant with respect to the action  $\kappa \otimes \alpha$  on  $(C_0(G) \rtimes G) \otimes A$ . We obtain a functor  $H_1 : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$  given by

$$(A, \alpha) \mapsto ((C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G, \kappa')$$

One can check that  $\phi_1^A$  is  $G$ -equivariant with the double-dual action on  $(A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$  and the action  $\kappa'$  on  $(C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G$ .

As integration commutes with bounded linear operators (in particular,  $C^*$ -algebra morphisms), it follows that the family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_1^A\}_{A \in GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu}}$  assembles to a natural isomorphism of functors  $H \simeq H_1$ .

We now construct a natural isomorphism  $H_1 \simeq H'$  as follows:

In the classical proof, an equivariant isomorphism

$$\phi_2 : (C_0(G) \rtimes G, \kappa) \rightarrow (K(L^2(G)), \text{conjugation})$$

is first constructed. On tensoring by  $(A, \alpha)$  and using the isomorphism  $\phi_{C_0(G), A}$  of Lemma 2.11, we obtain an equivariant isomorphism

$$\phi_2^A : (C_0(G) \otimes \underline{A}) \rtimes G \simeq A \otimes K(L^2(G))$$

The family of isomorphisms  $\{\phi_2^A\}_{A \in GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu}}$  assembles to the desired natural isomorphism. □

**Corollary 3.2.** *The functor  $(- \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G} : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  is equivalent to the identity functor on  $KK^G$ .*

*Proof.* We have a natural (in  $A$ ) zig-zag of morphisms

$$A \longrightarrow A \otimes \hat{K}_G \longleftarrow A \otimes K_G \longleftarrow A \otimes K(L^2(G)) \cong (A \rtimes G) \rtimes \hat{G}$$

Each map above is inverted by  $kk^G$  (see Proposition 2.2). □

**Corollary 3.3.** *The functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  is an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories.*

*Proof.* Apply the previous corollary to  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ . □

Our aim in the remainder of this section is to provide a different formula for the crossed product functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  that is closer in form to the duality result of Treumann.

The Hilbert space  $L^2(G)$  admits a (unitary)  $\hat{G}$ -action defined as follows. Let  $f$  be an element of  $L^2(G)$ , interpreted as a function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ; then, for a character  $\chi$  in  $\hat{G}$ , we set  $(\chi f)(g) := \chi(g)f(g)$ . Conjugation now yields a  $\hat{G}$ -action on  $K(L^2(G))$ . Also, recall the canonical  $G$ -action on  $K(L^2(G))$  by conjugation.

**Lemma 3.4.** *The actions of  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$  on  $K(L^2(G))$  commute and thus yield a  $G \times \hat{G}$ -action on  $K(L^2(G))$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\chi \in \hat{G}$  and  $g \in G$ , let us denote by  $S_\chi$  and  $T_g$  the linear operators representing the respective actions of  $\chi$  and  $g$  on  $L^2(G)$ . Explicitly, for an element  $f$  of  $L^2(G)$ , we have  $S_\chi(f)(h) = \chi(h)f(h)$  and  $T_g(f)(h) = f(gh)$ . We will show that for any compact operator  $A$  in  $K(L^2(G))$ , there is an equality  $S_\chi T_g^{-1} A T_g S_\chi^{-1} = T_g^{-1} S_\chi A S_\chi^{-1} T_g$ . It suffices to show that  $S_\chi^{-1} T_g$  and  $T_g S_\chi^{-1}$  are scalar multiples of each other.

Indeed, for a function  $f \in L^2(G)$ , one has  $S_\chi^{-1} T_g(f)(h) = \chi(g^{-1}h^{-1})f(gh)$  and  $T_g S_\chi^{-1}(f)(h) = \chi(h^{-1})f(gh)$ .

Thus, we can define an action of  $G \times \hat{G}$  on  $K(L^2(G))$  by  $(g, \chi)(A) := T_g S_\chi^{-1} A S_\chi T_g^{-1}$ . □

We denote by  $\mathbf{B}$  the algebra  $K(L^2(G))$  together with this  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action. We can now define a functor  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  by  $A \mapsto (A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G$ , where the  $\hat{G}$ -action is the one induced by the action of  $\hat{G}$  on  $\mathbf{B}$ .



**Proposition 3.5.** *The functor  $F : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $F : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$ .*

*Proof.* We realize  $F$  as a composite of three functors -

$$\begin{aligned} i : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} &\hookrightarrow (G \times \hat{G})C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \\ - \otimes \mathbf{B} : (G \times \hat{G})C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} &\rightarrow (G \times \hat{G})C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \\ - \rtimes G : (G \times \hat{G})C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} &\rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \end{aligned}$$

where, in the third functor, the  $G$ -action is used to form the crossed product and the  $\hat{G}$ -action on the target is induced by functoriality.

One easily checks (using Proposition 2.2) that the first functor descends. The second functor descends by the symmetric monoidality of  $kk^{G \times \hat{G}}$ . That the third functor descends is seen through an identical<sup>10</sup> argument as in the descent of  $- \rtimes G : GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \hat{G}C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}$  explained in the previous section.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *The descended functor  $F : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  implements Takai duality.*

*Proof.* Write  $V$  for  $L^2(G)$ . Let  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$  denote the  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $K(V)$  with trivial  $G$ -action. For a  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , we have the isomorphism of Lemma 2.13 (since translation operators are unitary)

$$\psi_{A, \mathbf{B}} : (A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G \rightarrow (A \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}) \rtimes G$$

Identifying  $A \otimes K(V)$  with  $A$ -valued convolution kernels of the form  $a(g', g)$ , the formula of Lemma 2.13 says that  $\psi_{A, \mathbf{B}}$  is given by  $a_k(g', g) \mapsto a_k(k^{-1}g', g)$ .

We give  $(A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G$  the  $\hat{G}$ -action of  $F(A)$ , and define an action on  $(A \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}) \rtimes G$  by  $\chi(a_k(g', g)) := \chi(k(g')^{-1}g)a_k(g', g)$ . One can check that  $\psi_{A, \mathbf{B}}$  is  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant with respect to these actions.

We have the natural algebra isomorphism of Lemma 2.11

$$\phi_{A, \underline{\mathbf{B}}} : (A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow (A \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}) \rtimes G$$

which is given by  $f(k) \otimes c(g', g) \mapsto (k \mapsto f(k) \otimes c(g', g))$ . We introduce a  $\hat{G}$ -action on  $(A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}$  by setting  $\chi(f(k) \otimes c(g', g)) := \chi(k)f(k) \otimes \chi((g')^{-1}g)c(g', g)$ . One can check that  $\phi_{A, \underline{\mathbf{B}}}$  is  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant with respect to these actions.

We can now make the final comparison with the classical Takai duality functor  $- \rtimes G$ . Consider the algebra  $A \rtimes G$  with the ‘‘standard’’  $\hat{G}$ -action, i.e. given by  $(\chi f)(k) =$

---

<sup>10</sup>To see why the steps go through, the main point to note is that the isomorphisms appearing in the proof of Proposition 2.14 are  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant if  $A$  is a  $(G \times \hat{G})$ - $C^*$ -algebra, and if  $V'$  and  $V$  are  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -Hilbert spaces. The rest of the argument is trivial.

$\chi(k)f(k)$ . As in the proof of Corollary 3.2, we define a zig-zag  $\eta_A$  of  $\hat{G}$ -equivariant morphisms

$$A \rtimes G \longrightarrow (A \rtimes G) \otimes K_{\hat{G}} \longleftarrow (A \rtimes G) \otimes K_G \longleftarrow (A \rtimes G) \otimes K(L^2(G)) = (A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}$$

It is clear that  $\eta_A$  is inverted by  $kk^{\hat{G}}$  (Proposition 2.2).

To summarize, one has the following zig-zag of natural equivariant algebra morphisms that become equivalences on descent to  $KK^{\hat{G}}$ , and thus prove the theorem:

$$F(A) = (A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G \xrightarrow{\psi_{A,\mathbf{B}}} (A \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}}) \rtimes G \xleftarrow{\phi_{A,\mathbf{B}}} (A \rtimes G) \otimes \underline{\mathbf{B}} \xleftarrow{\eta_A} A \rtimes G$$

□

## 4 Treumann Duality

We begin with some preliminaries.

For a commutative ring spectrum  $S$ , we write  $\text{Mod}(S)$  for the presentable stable  $\infty$ -category of modules over  $S$ . Given a commutative ring spectrum  $R$  and a finite abelian group  $G$ , we define a commutative ring spectrum

$$R[G] := R \wedge \Sigma_+^\infty G$$

This is the  $\infty$ -categorical analogue of the group-ring construction, with the ring structure on  $R[G]$  coming from the ring structure of  $R$  and the group operation on  $G$ .

For finite abelian groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , we define a  $(G_1, G_2)$ -bimodule over  $R$  to be a module over  $R[G_1 \times G_2]$ . Each such bimodule  $M$  determines a colimit-preserving functor  $-\otimes_{R[G_1]} M : \text{Mod}(R[G_1]) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R[G_2])$ . Conversely, every colimit preserving functor arises from some bimodule (see [Tre15, Sec. 2.4.7]).

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian<sup>11</sup> group. We have an equivalence of  $\infty$ -categories*

$$\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R)) \simeq \text{Mod}(R[G])$$

*Proof.* One argument is sketched at the beginning of [Tre15, Sec 2.4].

We sketch a more concrete argument<sup>12</sup>. Consider the colimit-preserving functor  $j' : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R[G])$  determined by the  $(\{e\}, G)$ -bimodule  $R[G]$ . Endowing  $\text{Mod}(R)$  and  $\text{Mod}(R[G])$  (regarded as objects in the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Pr}^L$  of presentable  $\infty$ -categories

<sup>11</sup>The proof below works for any group, in fact.

<sup>12</sup>This was explained to the author by Christoph Winges.

and colimit-preserving functors) with the trivial  $G$ -action, we see that  $j'$  induces a morphism in  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Pr}^L)$ . Its left adjoint is a morphism in  $\text{Pr}^L$

$$j : \text{colim}_{BG} \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R[G])$$

Since the colimit of a diagram in  $\text{Pr}^L$  is computed by passing to the right adjoint diagram and computing the limit in  $\infty$ -categories, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{colim}_{BG} \text{Mod}(R) &\simeq \lim_{BG^{\text{op}}} \text{Mod}(R) \\ &\simeq \lim_{BG^{\text{op}}} \text{Fun}(*, \text{Mod}(R)) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(\text{colim}_{BG^{\text{op}}} *, \text{Mod}(R)) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(BG^{\text{op}}, \text{Mod}(R)) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R)) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the underlying functor  $c : \text{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$  is given by taking the left adjoint to the forgetful functor  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(R)$ , i.e. by left-Kan extension along the inclusion  $* \hookrightarrow BG$ . The object  $c(R) \in \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$  generates  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$ , and one can compute that its underlying  $R$ -module is  $\bigoplus_G R$ , with  $G$ -action given by permuting the summands.

We need to show that  $j$  is an equivalence. We will first show that  $j$  induces an equivalence of mapping spectra

$$\text{map}_{\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))}(c(R), c(R)) \simeq \text{map}_{\text{Mod}(R[G])}(R[G], R[G])$$

We have a commutative triangle of left adjoint functors:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Mod}(R) & \xrightarrow{j'} & \text{Mod}(R[G]) \\ & \searrow c & \nearrow j \\ & \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R)) & \end{array}$$

We denote by  $(-)^*$  the right adjoint to  $(-)$ , where  $(-)$  is one of  $j'$ ,  $j$ , or  $c$ . We observe that  $(j')^*$  and  $c^*$  are forgetful functors. The functor  $j^*$  endows the underlying  $R$ -module of an object of  $\text{Mod}(R[G])$  the  $G$ -action granted by the symmetric monoidality of the functor  $R[-]$ .

We reduce to showing that the unit  $c(R) \rightarrow j^*j'(R)$  induces an equivalence  $c^*c(R) \rightarrow (j')^*j'(R)$ . We know that  $c(R)$  and  $j^*j'(R)$  are *abstractly* isomorphic - the underlying  $R$ -module is  $\bigoplus_G R$  with  $G$  acting by permuting the summands, in both cases. Therefore it suffices to show that the map  $c^*c(R) \rightarrow (j')^*j'(R)$  takes the summand indexed by  $e$  to the summand indexed by  $e$  via the identity map. This follows from the fact that the units  $R \rightarrow (j')^*j'(R)$  and  $R \rightarrow c^*cR$  can both be described by the map  $R \rightarrow \bigoplus_G R$  that

takes  $R$  via the identity to the  $e$ -indexed summand of  $\bigoplus_G R$ , and one has a factorization of the unit maps as

$$R \rightarrow c^* cR \rightarrow (j')^* j'(R)$$

We claim that this completes the proof - indeed, consider the full subcategory  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$  spanned by objects  $X$  for which  $j$  induces an equivalence

$$\text{map}_{\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))}(c(R), X) \simeq \text{map}_{\text{Mod}(R[G])}(R[G], j(X))$$

Then  $\mathcal{C}$  contains  $c(R)$ , is closed under limits and filtered colimits (because  $c(R)$  is compact) and thus is closed under all colimits, so  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$ . Now consider the full subcategory  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$  spanned by objects  $Y$  for which  $j$  induces an equivalence

$$\text{map}_{\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))}(Y, X) \simeq \text{map}_{\text{Mod}(R[G])}(j(Y), j(X))$$

for all objects  $X$  of  $\text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  contains  $c(R)$  (as just argued) and is closed under colimits, so  $\mathcal{D} = \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R))$  as desired.

Note that  $j$  has the property of preserving the underlying  $R$ -module structure.  $\square$

We write  $\text{Mod}(R[G])^{ft}$  for the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(R[G])$  generated by those modules whose homotopy groups are finitely generated over  $\pi_0(R)$ . We refer to the objects of  $\text{Mod}(R[G])^{ft}$  as modules of finite type over  $R[G]$ .

Fix a prime  $p$  for the remainder of this section, and let  $KU_p$  denote the  $p$ -completion of  $KU$ .

**Proposition 4.2.**

1. For a finite abelian group  $G$ , the equivalence of Proposition 4.1 induces an equivalence

$$\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \simeq \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(KU_p)^{\text{perf}})$$

where  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{\text{perf}}$  is the full, stable subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)$  consisting of the perfect modules.

2. For finite abelian groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , and a  $KU_p[G_1 \times G_2]$ -bimodule  $M$  of finite type, the composite functor

$$\text{Mod}(KU_p[G_1]) \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{KU_p[G_1]} M} \text{Mod}(KU_p[G_2]) \xrightarrow{L_p} \text{Mod}(KU_p[G_2])$$

sends modules of finite type to modules of finite type, where  $L_p$  is the  $p$ -completion functor.

*Proof.*

1. We first remark that the equivalence of Proposition 4.1 preserves the underlying  $R$ -module. The finite-type property also only depends on the underlying  $R$ -module - that is,  $\text{Mod}(R[G])^{ft} \simeq \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(R)^{ft})$ . Thus, it suffices to prove that over  $KU_p$ , the finite type modules are precisely the perfect modules.

It is trivially seen that  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{ft}$  is closed under retracts. If

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M' & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'' \end{array}$$

is a pullback square in  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)$  with two of  $M', M, M''$  in  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{ft}$ , the long exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \pi_{*+1}(M'') \longrightarrow \pi_*(M') \longrightarrow \pi_*(M) \longrightarrow \pi_*(M'') \longrightarrow \pi_{*-1}(M') \longrightarrow$$

yields that the third also belongs to  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{ft}$ . Thus we conclude that  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{ft}$  is a thick subcategory of  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)$ . In particular, it is stable.

We now show that  $\text{Mod}(KU_p)^{ft} \subset \text{Mod}(KU_p)^{\text{perf}}$ . To this end, first suppose  $M$  is a  $KU_p$ -module with finitely generated and free homotopy groups over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , so that  $\pi_0(M) = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$  and  $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}_p^m$ . We choose a  $\pi_*(KU_p)$ -basis of  $\pi_*M$ . By the universal coefficient theorem and the 2-periodicity of homotopy groups, this determines an equivalence  $KU_p^n \oplus \Sigma KU_p^m \rightarrow M$ , so  $M$  is perfect.

Now, let  $M$  be a  $KU_p$ -module of finite type. Choosing finite sets of generators  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  and  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$  for  $\pi_0(M)$  and  $\pi_1(M)$ , respectively, we obtain a map  $\alpha : KU_p^n \oplus \Sigma KU_p^m \rightarrow M$  that induces a surjection on homotopy groups. In greater detail, we can write  $M = M_0 \oplus \Sigma M_1$  ([Tre15, Prop. 2.1]), where  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are even modules (that is, they have non-trivial homotopy groups only in even degrees), and this gives us maps (by the universal coefficient theorem)  $\alpha_0 : KU_p^n \rightarrow M_0$  and  $\alpha_1 : KU_p^m \rightarrow M_1$  that induce surjections on homotopy groups. Let  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  be the fibres of  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$ , respectively. They are both even modules, and since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a noetherian PID, their homotopy groups are also free and finitely generated. The square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_0 \oplus P_1 & \longrightarrow & KU_q^i \oplus KU_q^j \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

is cartesian, and by stability, co-cartesian as well. Thus  $M$  is a cofibre of a map of free modules and is hence perfect.

2. We refer the reader to [Tre15, Sec. 3.5] for the proof. We only sketch the argument provided there.

It is first argued that one can replace  $L_p$  by  $L_{K(1)}$  in the statement of the proposition, as  $KU_p$  is a  $K(1)$ -local spectrum.

Let  $N \in \text{Mod}(KU_p)[G_1]^{ft}$ . The Künneth theorem yields that  $N \otimes_{KU_p} M \in \text{Mod}(KU_p)[G_2]^{ft}$ . This fact, in conjunction with [Tre15, Lem. 3.2], implies that the homotopy  $G_1$ -fixed points  $(N \otimes_{KU_p} M)^{hG_1} \in \text{Mod}(KU_p)[G_2]^{ft}$ . We can identify the tensor product over  $KU_p[G_1]$  as the homotopy  $G_1$ -orbit over the tensor product over  $KU_p$ ; that is

$$\text{colim}_{BG_1}(N \otimes_{KU_p} M) =: (N \otimes_{KU_p} M)_{hG_1} \simeq (N \otimes_{KU_p[G_1]} M)$$

The proposition now follows from [HL13, Thm. 0.0.1], which implies that there is an isomorphism

$$L_{K(1)}(N \otimes_{KU_p} M)_{hG_1} \simeq L_{K(1)}(N \otimes_{KU_p} M)^{hG_1}$$

□

We now briefly recall the setup for topological  $K$ -theory of  $C^*$ -categories, as described in [BE21].

We begin with a recollection of some basic definitions as presented in Section 2 of *loc.cit.*. A possibly non-unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $*$ -category is a possibly non-unital category enriched over the category of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces together with an involution  $*$  that fixes the objects, reverses the direction of the morphisms, and acts complex anti-linearly on the morphism spaces. We have a category  $*\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}^{nu}$  with objects the possibly non-unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $*$ -categories, and morphisms the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functors of the underlying non-unital categories that additionally preserve the involution  $*$ . For a Hilbert space  $H$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $B(H)$  can be regarded as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $*$ -category with one object. We now define the maximal norm  $\| - \|_{\max}$  on the morphism spaces of a possibly non-unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear  $*$ -category  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a morphism  $f$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we set

$$\|f\|_{\max} := \sup_{\rho: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B(H)} \|\rho(f)\|$$

where the supremum is taken over all morphisms  $\rho$  in  $*\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}^{nu}$ , and  $B(H)$  is given the operator norm. An object of  $*\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}^{nu}$  is called a  $C^*$ -category if all its morphism spaces are complete with respect to  $\| - \|_{\max}$ <sup>13</sup>. We denote by  $C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$  the full subcategory of  $*\text{Cat}_{\mathbb{C}}^{nu}$  spanned by the  $C^*$ -categories<sup>14</sup>. A  $C^*$ -algebra can be regarded as a  $C^*$ -category with a single object. This provides an inclusion functor  $i : C^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \hookrightarrow C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$ , which

<sup>13</sup>This includes the assumption that  $\| - \|_{\max}$  is indeed a norm on the morphism spaces.

<sup>14</sup>Henceforth, whenever we speak of functors between  $C^*$ -categories, we always refer to morphisms in  $C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$ .

admits a left adjoint  $A^f : C^*\text{Cat}^{nu} \rightarrow C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}$ . We now define the  $K$ -theory functor  $K^{\mathbf{C}}$  as the composite

$$K^{\mathbf{C}} : C^*\text{Cat}^{nu} \xrightarrow{A^f} C^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \xrightarrow{K} \text{Mod}(KU)$$

The composite  $A^f \circ i$  is isomorphic to the identity functor, so for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  one has  $K^{\mathbf{C}}(i(A)) \simeq K(A)$ .

Let  $C^*\text{Cat}$  be the subcategory of  $C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$  comprising of the unital  $C^*$ -categories and unital  $C^*$ -functors. We abuse notation and write  $K^{\mathbf{C}}$  also for the composite

$$C^*\text{Cat} \hookrightarrow C^*\text{Cat}^{nu} \xrightarrow{K^{\mathbf{C}}} \text{Mod}(KU)$$

We next describe classes of morphisms in  $C^*\text{Cat}$  that are inverted by  $K^{\mathbf{C}}$ . This helps facilitate computations as the functor  $A^f$  has a complicated description.

We first describe the notion of a unitary equivalence between unital  $C^*$ -categories ([BE20, Sec. 8.5.4]). Let  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  be unital  $C^*$ -categories, and  $f, g : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  be unital functors. We say that  $f$  and  $g$  are unitarily isomorphic if there exists a natural transformation  $u : f \rightarrow g$  such that  $u(c) : f(c) \rightarrow g(c)$  is unitary for each object  $c$  of  $\mathbf{C}$ . A unital functor  $f : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  between unital  $C^*$ -categories is a unitary equivalence if there exists a functor  $g : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  are isomorphic to the respective identity functors on  $\mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathbf{C}$ . If  $f$  is a unitary equivalence, then  $K^{\mathbf{C}}(f)$  is an equivalence ([BE20, Cor. 8.63]).

Thus, if  $W$  denotes the class of unitary equivalences in  $C^*\text{Cat}$ , one has the following factorization:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*\text{Cat} & \xrightarrow{K^{\mathbf{C}}} & \text{Mod}(KU) \\ & \searrow^{L_W} & \nearrow^{K^{\mathbf{C}}} \\ & C^*\text{Cat}[W^{-1}] & \end{array}$$

where  $L_W$  is the localization functor.

In [Del12], the construction of a maximal tensor product  $- \otimes -$ , of two unital  $C^*$ -categories is described, and it is shown that this endows  $C^*\text{Cat}$  with a symmetric monoidal structure such that the inclusion  $C^*\text{Alg} \hookrightarrow C^*\text{Cat}$  is symmetric monoidal. In [BEL23], this was upgraded - a maximal tensor product  $- \otimes -$  is constructed on  $C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$  which gives it a symmetric monoidal structure such that the inclusions  $C^*\text{Cat} \hookrightarrow C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$  and  $i : C^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \hookrightarrow C^*\text{Cat}^{nu}$  are both symmetric monoidal.

**Proposition 4.3.**

1. *The localization functor  $L_W$  admits a symmetric monoidal refinement.*
2. *The functor  $K^{\mathbf{C}}$  admits a lax symmetric monoidal refinement for this symmetric monoidal structure on  $C^*\text{Cat}[W^{-1}]$ .*





Given a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , one can consider the category of finitely generated projective Hilbert  $A$ -modules, which we denote by  $\text{Hilb}(A)^{\text{fg,proj}}$ . This acquires the structure of a unital  $C^*$ -category in the obvious way. As argued in Example 16.9 of *loc.cit.*, the inclusion  $i(A) \rightarrow \text{Hilb}(A)^{\text{fg,proj}}$  is a Morita equivalence, so it induces an equivalence  $K^{\mathbb{C}}(\text{Hilb}(A)^{\text{fg,proj}}) \simeq K(A)$ .

**Remark 4.4.** Let  $A := \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$ . For all  $B \in C^*\text{Cat}[W^{-1}]$ , the lax monoidality constraint

$$K^{\mathbb{C}}(L_W(A)) \otimes_{KU} K^{\mathbb{C}}(B) \rightarrow K^{\mathbb{C}}(L_W(A) \otimes B)$$

is an equivalence. To see why, note that if  $A$  was  $i(\mathbb{C})$ , the statement clearly holds; now we conclude using the Morita equivalence between  $i(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$ .

In [Bun19], the existence of a simplicial model structure on  $C^*\text{Cat}$  is shown, in which the weak equivalences are precisely the unitary equivalences and every object is both cofibrant and fibrant. Therefore, the localization  $C^*\text{Cat}[W^{-1}]$  can be identified with the homotopy coherent nerve  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ . From the explicit description of the simplicial structure in Definition 6.19 of *loc.cit.*, one sees that  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$  is the nerve of a 2-category.

We now give an explicit description of  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ . Let  $A \in C^*\text{Cat}$ , and let  $\Pi(\Delta^1)$  denote the fundamental groupoid of the simplicial set  $\Delta^1$ . We describe the unital  $C^*$ -category  $A \otimes \Pi(\Delta^1)$  that serves as the cylinder object on  $A$ . Its objects are  $\text{Ob}(A) \times \{0, 1\}$ . Its morphisms are given by, for  $j, j' \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $a, a' \in \text{Ob}(A)$ ,

$$\text{Hom}_{A \otimes \Pi(\Delta^1)}((a, j), (a', j')) = \text{Hom}_A(a, a')$$

with the obvious definitions of composition and  $*$ . We have unital functors  $i_0, i_1 : A \rightarrow A \otimes \Pi(\Delta^1)$  given by  $a \mapsto (a, 0)$  and  $a \mapsto (a, 1)$ , respectively.

The data of a 2-simplex in  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$  is that of unital  $C^*$ -categories  $A, B, C$ , unital functors  $f_0 : A \rightarrow B$ ,  $f_1 : B \rightarrow C$ ,  $f_2 : A \rightarrow C$ , and a unital functor  $h : A \otimes \Pi(\Delta^1) \rightarrow C$  such that  $h \circ i_0 = f_1 \circ f_0$  and  $h \circ i_1 = f_2$  (see [Lur24, Tag 00M5]).

In what follows, we denote by  $U(1)^\delta$  the unitary group of  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with the discrete topology. We define a functor  $\Phi : BBU(1)^\delta \rightarrow N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$  by specifying the data of the object  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \in C^*\text{Cat}$ , the identity functor  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$ , and the action of elements of  $U(1)$  as automorphisms of this identity functor by scalar multiplication.

Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. Suppose one has a map  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow BBU(1)^\delta$ ; on post-composing with  $\Phi$ , we get a functor  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ . This gives an action of  $G \times \hat{G}$  on the category  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$  regarded as an object of  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ . Further post-composing by  $K^{\mathbb{C}}$  gives a  $G \times \hat{G}$ -action on  $KU$  regarded as an object of  $\text{Mod}(KU)$ , and therefore determines a  $KU[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module.

The equivalence classes of maps of spaces from  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow BBU(1)^\delta$  can be identified with the cohomology group  $H^2(B(G \times \hat{G}), U(1)^\delta)$ .

Consider the  $U(1)$ -valued 2-cocycle  $E := ((g, \chi), (g', \chi')) \mapsto \chi'(g)$ . In the manner explained above, this determines a  $KU[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module  $M'_E$ . On  $p$ -completing  $M'_E$ , we get a  $KU_p[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module which we shall denote by  $M_E$ .

We can now formulate Theorem 1.2 more precisely.

**Theorem 4.5 (Treumann Duality).** *The functor  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft}$  given by  $N \mapsto L_p(N \otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* The proof in [Tre15, Sec. 3.6] works *mutatis mutandis*; the only difference between our statement and the one there is the description of  $M_E$ . The argument is along the following lines.

Regarding  $M_E$  as a  $KU_p[\hat{G} \times G]$ -module yields a functor  $\text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$ . The cited argument shows that this functor is the inverse of the functor of the theorem, by showing that  $L_p(M_{E\hat{G}} \otimes_{KU_p[\hat{G}]} M_{EG})$  and  $L_p(M_{EG} \otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_{E\hat{G}})$  are isomorphic to the diagonal  $(G, G)$  and  $(\hat{G}, \hat{G})$ -bimodules over  $KU_p$ , respectively (here, we write  $M_{EG}$  for  $M_E$  regarded as a  $KU_p[\hat{G} \times G]$ -module and  $M_{E\hat{G}}$  for  $M_E$  regarded as a  $KU_p[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module). This involves computations of group actions that are performed on the level of the module category. These go through verbatim in our situation by Remark 4.4 and the fact that  $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$  is idempotent with respect to the symmetric monoidal structure of the maximal tensor product.  $\square$

## 5 A Comparison of Takai Duality with Treumann Duality

In this section, we compare Takai duality with Treumann duality.

Fix a prime number  $p$  and a finite abelian  $p$ -group  $G$  for the rest of this section.

We first define a functor  $r_G : GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])$  as the composite

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
GC^*\text{Alg}^{nu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Fun}(BG, C^*\text{Alg}^{nu}) & \xrightarrow{-\circ kk} & \text{Fun}(BG, KK) \\
& & & & \downarrow -\circ K(-) \\
\text{Mod}(KU_p[G]) & \xleftarrow{L_p} & \text{Mod}(KU[G]) & \xleftarrow{\sim} & \text{Fun}(BG, \text{Mod}(KU))
\end{array}$$

One can check that the upper horizontal composite is homotopy invariant,  $G$ -stable, semi-exact, and  $s$ -finitary, essentially because  $kk$  has those properties for  $G = \{e\}$ .

Thus, one has an essentially unique descended functor  $\widehat{\text{Res}}_G : KK^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK)$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Fun}(BG, C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}) \\
\downarrow kk^G & & \downarrow -\circ kk \\
KK^G & \xrightarrow{r'_G} & \text{Fun}(BG, KK)
\end{array}$$

It follows that  $r_G$  also descends essentially uniquely to a functor  $KK^G \rightarrow \text{LMod}(KU_p[G])$ , which we shall also denote by  $r_G$ .

We now restrict our attention to those objects of  $KK^G$  that map to finite-type modules. Let  $KK^{G,ft}$  denote the full subcategory of  $KK^G$  spanned by objects  $A$  such that  $r_G(A) \in \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$ .

**Proposition 5.1.**  *$KK^{G,ft}$  is a thick subcategory of  $KK^G$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $0 \in KK^{G,ft}$ . It is also obvious that  $KK^{G,ft}$  is closed under retracts.

We observe that  $r_G$  is exact. As proved in item 1 of Proposition 4.2,  $\text{LMod}(KU_p[G])^{ft}$  is stable. Therefore,  $KK^{G,ft}$  is stable and closed under fibers and cofibers.  $\square$

We now record the following crucial result, of which the case of cyclic groups is shown in [Bun23]:

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite abelian  $p$ -group. For this theorem alone, regard  $-\rtimes G$  as a functor  $KK^G \rightarrow KK$ . There is an assembly map*

$$\text{colim}_{BG} K(\widehat{\text{Res}}_G(-)) \rightarrow K(-\rtimes G)$$

*of functors  $KK^G \rightarrow \text{Mod}(KU)$  that becomes an equivalence after  $p$ -completion.*

*Proof.* We begin with a lemma.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be finite abelian groups, and set  $G := H_1 \times H_2$ . There exists an essentially unique functor  $F : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{H_1}$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
KK^G & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\text{Res}}_{G,H_2}} & \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK^{H_2}) & \xrightarrow{-\circ(\rtimes H_2)} & \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK) \\
\downarrow \rtimes G & & \searrow F & & \uparrow \widehat{\text{Res}}_{H_1} \\
KK & \xleftarrow{\rtimes H_1} & & & KK^{H_1}
\end{array}$$

*Proof.* First, one checks that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
GC^* \text{Alg}^{nu} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{Fun}(BH_1, H_2 C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}) & \xrightarrow{-\circ(\times H_2)} & \text{Fun}(BH_1, C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}) \\
\downarrow \times G & & & & \uparrow \sim \\
C^* \text{Alg}^{nu} & \xleftarrow{\times H_1} & & & H_1 C^* \text{Alg}^{nu}
\end{array}$$

It is easy to verify that each functor in this diagram can be descended to a functor between the appropriate equivariant  $KK$  categories. Using the uniqueness properties of the descended functors several times, the lemma follows.  $\square$

The assembly map alluded to in the theorem statement has been constructed in the proof of [Bun23, Prop. 2.7]. We briefly recall this below.

First, a natural transformation of functors  $KK^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK)$

$$c'_G : \widehat{\text{Res}}_G(-) \rightarrow \text{triv}^G(- \times G)$$

is constructed, where  $\text{triv}^G : KK \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK)$  equips<sup>15</sup> an object with the trivial  $G$ -action. The map  $c'_G$  is obtained by the following identifications (see *loc.cit.* for the details):

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\text{Res}}_G(-) &\simeq (\text{triv}^G(-) \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes G \\
&\simeq (\text{triv}^G(-) \otimes *_G \mathbb{C}) \rtimes G \\
&\xrightarrow{G \rightarrow *} \text{triv}^G(- \times G)
\end{aligned}$$

Its adjoint is a natural transformation

$$c_G : \text{colim}_{BG} \widehat{\text{Res}}_G(-) \rightarrow - \times G$$

The desired assembly map is obtained by applying the colimit preserving functor  $K(-)$  to  $c_G$ .

Now, we write  $G$  as a direct product of  $n$  cyclic groups, each of prime power order. We will proceed by induction on  $n$ .

If  $n = 1$ , the result follows from [Bun23, Thm. 5.7].

So assume  $G = H_1 \times H_2$  and that the theorem holds for  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . Let  $F$  be the functor of Lemma 5.3.

To simplify the proof, we introduce the following notation and record some obvious relations:

---

<sup>15</sup>We also use the notation  $\text{triv}^G$  to indicate the functor  $KK^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK^G)$  that equips an object with the trivial  $G$ -action. It will be clear from the context as to which functor we have in mind.

- (Colimits)  $L_0 := \text{colim}_{BG} : \text{Fun}(BG, KK) \rightarrow KK$ ,  $L_1 := \text{colim}_{BH_1} : \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK) \rightarrow KK$ ,  $L_2 := \text{colim}_{BH_2} : \text{Fun}(BG, KK) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK)$ ;  $L_0 \simeq L_1 \circ L_2$ .
- (Restrictions)  $M_0 := \widehat{\text{Res}}_G : KK^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK)$ ,  $M_1 := \widehat{\text{Res}}_{G, H_2} : KK^G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK^{H_2})$ ,  $M_2 := \widehat{\text{Res}}_{H_2} : \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK^{H_2}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BG, KK)$ ;  $M_0 \simeq M_2 \circ M_1$ .
- (Crossed Products)  $N_0 := - \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK$ ,  $N_1 := - \rtimes H_1 : KK^{H_1} \rightarrow KK$ ,  $N_2 := - \rtimes H_2 : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{H_1}$ ,  $N'_2 := - \rtimes H_2 : \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK^{H_2}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(BH_1, KK)$ ;  $N_0 \simeq N_1 \circ N_2$ .

Note that  $c_{H_2}$  induces a map  $L_2 M_2(-) \rightarrow N'_2(-)$ . We will abuse notation and also refer to this as  $c_{H_2}$ .

Abusing notation slightly, we observe that  $c'_G$  is equivalent to the composite

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\text{Res}}_G(-) &\simeq (\text{triv}^G(-) \otimes C_0(G)) \rtimes G \\
&\simeq (\text{triv}^G(-) \otimes C_0(H_1) \otimes C_0(H_2)) \rtimes G \\
&\simeq (\text{triv}^{H_1}((\text{triv}^{H_2}(-) \otimes C_0(H_2)) \rtimes H_2) \otimes C_0(H_1)) \rtimes H_1 \\
&\simeq (\text{triv}^{H_1}((\text{triv}^{H_2}(-) \otimes *_{H_2} \mathbb{C}) \rtimes H_2) \otimes *_{H_1} \mathbb{C}) \rtimes H_1 \\
&\xrightarrow{H_2 \rightarrow *} \text{triv}^{H_1}((\text{triv}^{H_2}(-) \rtimes H_2) \otimes *_{H_1} \mathbb{C}) \rtimes H_1 \\
&\xrightarrow{H_1 \rightarrow *} \text{triv}^G(- \rtimes G)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the adjoint morphism  $c_G : L_0 M_0(-) \rightarrow N_0(-)$  is equivalent to the composite

$$L_1 L_2 M_2 M_1(-) \xrightarrow{L_1 c_{H_2} M_1} L_1 N'_2 M_1(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} L_1 \widehat{\text{Res}}_{H_1} F(-) \xrightarrow{c_{H_1}} N_1 F(-) \xrightarrow{\sim} N_0(-)$$

where the identifications above are by Lemma 5.3.

The induction hypothesis implies that each individual map above induces an equivalence on  $p$ -completed  $K$ -theory (we also use that  $L_p$  commutes with colimits, being a left adjoint functor), and therefore, so does  $c_G$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.4.**  $- \rtimes G : KK^G \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}}$  restricts to a functor  $- \rtimes G : KK^{G, ft} \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G}, ft}$ .

*Proof.* In the course of this proof, we will abuse notation by omitting restriction functors. We recall an earlier remark that a module over  $KU_p[G]$  or  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$  being of finite type depends only on the underlying  $KU_p$ -module and not on the  $G$  or  $\hat{G}$ -action on it.

Let  $A$  be an object of  $KK^G$ , and suppose that  $L_p(K(A))$  is of finite type. We have to show that  $L_p(K(A \rtimes G))$  is also of finite type.

Consider  $KU_p$  with the trivial  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action. Then, by item 2 of Proposition 4.2,

$$L_p(\operatorname{colim}_{BG}(L_p(K(A)))) \simeq L_p(L_p(K(A)) \otimes_{KU_p[G]} KU_p)$$

is of finite type.

Using that  $L_p$  is a left adjoint and idempotent functor, it follows that  $L_p(\operatorname{colim}_{BG}(K(A)))$  is of finite type. We now conclude using Theorem 5.2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.**  $- \rtimes G : KK^{G,ft} \rightarrow KK^{\hat{G},ft}$  is an equivalence.

*Proof.* This is immediate from the statement of Proposition 5.4 applied to both  $G$  and  $\hat{G}$ , and Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** Let  $\mathbf{B}$  be the  $(G \times \hat{G})$ - $C^*$ -algebra defined just before Proposition 3.5. We have an isomorphism  $L_p(K(\mathbf{B})) \simeq M_E$  in  $\operatorname{Mod}(KU_p[G \times \hat{G}])$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $K(\mathbf{B}) \simeq M'_E$ , so that they are equivalent after  $p$ -completion.

Our first step is to establish a unitary equivalence of  $C^*$ -categories  $\operatorname{Hilb}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{fg,proj}} \simeq \operatorname{Hilb}(K(L^2(G)))^{\operatorname{fg,proj}}$ .

Every linear operator on  $L^2(G)$  is compact because  $L^2(G)$  is finite-dimensional. Let  $S$  be the  $K(L^2(G))$ -module  $L^2(G)$ . It is a standard fact that every finitely generated module over  $K(L^2(G))$  is of the form  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n S$ , for some  $n \geq 0$ . Thus the underlying category of  $\operatorname{Hilb}(K(L^2(G)))^{\operatorname{fg,proj}}$  coincides with that of the finitely generated modules over  $K(L^2(G))$ . One also sees that the underlying category of  $\operatorname{Hilb}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{fg,proj}}$  is that of the finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. In what follows, we will simply write  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$  for  $\operatorname{Hilb}(\mathbb{C})^{\operatorname{fg,proj}}$  and  $\operatorname{Hilb}(K(L^2(G)))^{\operatorname{fg,proj}}$ , respectively.

Let  $u : \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow K(L^2(G))$  be the left upper corner inclusion. This determines a (unital) functor  $u_* : \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ , given by  $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} K(L^2(G))$ . We claim that  $u_*$  is a unitary equivalence - let  $u^* : \operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G))) \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$  be the functor given by  $M \mapsto u(\mathbb{C})M$ ; then one checks that  $u_* \circ u^*$  and  $u^* \circ u_*$  are unitarily isomorphic to the respective identity functors.

Using the functor  $L_W(u)$ , we can transport the  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action on  $\operatorname{Mod}(\mathbb{C})$  given by the cocycle  $E$  to one on  $\operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ . We represent this action on  $\operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$  as a functor  $\Psi' : B(G \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow N_h(C^*\operatorname{Cat})$ . By construction,  $K^c(\operatorname{Mod}(K(L^2(G))))$  with this action is equivalent to  $M_E$  as a  $KU[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module.

Let  $P$  be the  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action on  $K(L^2(G))$  that gives it the structure of the  $(G \times \hat{G})$ - $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathbf{B}$ . With notation as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, for  $(g, \chi) \in G \times \hat{G}$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism  $P_{(g,\chi)} : K(L^2(G)) \rightarrow K(L^2(G))$  is given by  $A \mapsto S_\chi^{-1} T_g A T_g^{-1} S_\chi$ .

Consider the functor  $j : C^*\text{Alg} \rightarrow C^*\text{Cat}$  given by  $A \mapsto \text{Hilb}(A)^{\text{fg,proj}}$ . We obtain an action  $j(P)$  on  $\text{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ . On further composing with  $L_W$ , we obtain a functor  $\Psi : B(G \times \hat{G}) \rightarrow N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ .

The functor  $\Psi$  is determined by the data of the object  $\text{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ , and for each  $(g, \chi)$ , the endofunctor  $\Psi_{(g, \chi)} : \text{Mod}(K(L^2(G))) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$  given by  $M \mapsto M_{P_{(g, \chi)}}$ , where  $M_{P_{(g, \chi)}}$  is the module with the same underlying abelian group as  $M$  and  $K(L^2(G))$ -action twisted by the isomorphism  $P_{(g, \chi)}$ . Because  $K$ -theory inverts Morita equivalences,  $K^c(\text{Mod}(K(L^2(G))))$  with the resulting  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action is isomorphic to  $K(\mathbf{B})$  as a  $KU[G \times \hat{G}]$ -module.

We will now construct an equivalence between the functors  $\Psi'$  and  $\Psi$ . By the observations above, this would complete the proof.

We start by defining invertible natural transformations  $\alpha_{(g, \chi)}$  between the identity functor and  $\Psi_{(g, \chi)}$ . For  $M \in \text{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ , we define a module isomorphism  $\alpha_{(g, \chi)} : M \rightarrow \Psi_{(g, \chi)}(M)$  by the rule  $m \mapsto S_\chi^{-1} T_g m$ . To make sense of this formula, note that the underlying abelian groups of  $\Psi_{(g, \chi)}(M)$  and  $M$  are the same. One checks that these maps indeed assemble to an invertible natural transformation  $\alpha_{(g, \chi)}$ . Stated differently, we have the following invertible 2-cell in  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{id} & \\
 & \curvearrowright & \\
 \text{Mod}(K(L^2(G))) & \Downarrow \alpha_{(g, \chi)} & \text{Mod}(K(L^2(G))) \\
 & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & \Psi_{(g, \chi)} & 
 \end{array}$$

Now, we claim that  $\alpha_{(g, \chi)} \circ \alpha_{(g', \chi')} = E(g, \chi, g', \chi') \alpha_{(gg', \chi\chi')} = \chi'(g) \alpha_{(gg', \chi\chi')}$ .


Indeed, the composite  $\alpha_{(g, \chi)} \circ \alpha_{(g', \chi')}$  is given by the matrix  $S_\chi^{-1} T_g S_{\chi'}^{-1} T_{g'}$ . From the proof of Lemma 3.4, we see that  $T_g S_{\chi'}^{-1} = \chi'(g) S_{\chi'}^{-1} T_g$ . The claim is immediate from this observation.

In what follows, we write  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $\text{Mod}(K(L^2(G)))$ . We now define a homotopy  $\sigma : B(G \times \hat{G}) \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ . We start by doing this degree-wise:


- **Degree 0** Set  $\sigma((*, 0)) = \mathcal{C}$  and  $\sigma((*, 1)) = \mathcal{C}$ .
- **Degree 1** Set  $\sigma(((g, \chi), 00)) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $\sigma(((g, \chi), 01)) = \Psi_{(g, \chi)}$  and  $\sigma(((g, \chi), 11)) = \Psi_{(g, \chi)}$ .
- **Degree 2** Set  $\sigma(((g, \chi), (g', \chi'), 000))$  to be

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{C} & \\
 \text{id} \nearrow & \Downarrow \chi'(g) & \searrow \text{id} \\
 \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \mathcal{C}
 \end{array}$$


Set  $\sigma((g, \chi), (g', \chi'), 001)$  to be



Set  $\sigma((g, \chi), (g', \chi'), 011)$  to be



We set  $\sigma((g, \chi), (g', \chi'), 111)$  similarly:



Since  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \times \Delta^1$  is the nerve of a 1-category, it is 2-coskeletal. Similarly,  $N_h(C^* \text{Cat})$ , being the nerve of a 2-category, is 3-coskeletal (see Theorem 5.2 of [Str87]<sup>16</sup>). Therefore we only have to check the following condition to see that the definition above extends uniquely to the desired homotopy  $\sigma$ :

For two composable morphisms  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \times \Delta^1$ , denote by  $\sigma_{f, f'}$  the image of the identity 2-simplex on  $f, f'$  and  $f' \circ f$ . Let  $f_3, f_2, f_1$  be a sequence of composable morphisms in  $B(G \times \hat{G}) \times \Delta^1$ . Then there is an equality of 2-simplices

$$\sigma_{f_1, f_3 \circ f_2} * (\sigma_{f_2, f_3} \circ \text{id}_{f_1}) = \sigma_{f_2 \circ f_1, f_3} * (\text{id}_{f_3} \circ \sigma_{f_1, f_2})$$

We check this condition case-by-case on the sequence of composable morphisms, according to the underlying sequence after projecting to  $\Delta^1$ :

- The case 0000 amounts to checking that  $E$  is a cocycle.

---

<sup>16</sup>The author thanks Lyne Moser for pointing out this reference.



- The case 0001 reduces to verifying the equality, for  $(g_3, \chi_3), (g_2, \chi_2), (g_1, \chi_1) \in G \times \hat{G}$ ,

$$(\alpha_{(g_2, \chi_2)} \circ \alpha_{(g_1, \chi_1)}) * \text{id}_{\Psi_{(g_3, \chi_3)}} = \chi_1(g_2) \alpha_{(g_1 g_2, \chi_1 \chi_2)} * \text{id}_{\Psi_{(g_3, \chi_3)}}$$

This follows from the claim proven just before the definition of  $\sigma$ .

- The case 0011 is just the trivial equality

$$\alpha_{(g_1, \chi_1)} * \text{id}_{\Psi_{(g_2 g_3, \chi_2 \chi_3)}} = \alpha_{(g_1, \chi_1)} * \text{id}_{\Psi_{(g_2 g_3, \chi_2 \chi_3)}}$$

- The cases 0111 and 1111 are trivial because all the 2-simplices involved are identities.

Therefore,  $\sigma$  defines a homotopy between  $\Psi' = \sigma|_0$  and  $\Psi = \sigma|_1$ . It is, in fact, a homotopy equivalence, because it factors through the maximal groupoid of  $N_h(C^*\text{Cat})$ .

This shows that the two actions are equivalent. Hence,  $K(\mathbf{B})$  with its natural  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action is equivalent to  $KU$  with  $(G \times \hat{G})$ -action given by the cocycle  $E$ , whence it follows that  $K(\mathbf{B}) \simeq M'_E$ , as desired.  $\square$

We are now ready to state and prove our main comparison result (Theorem 1.3).

**Theorem 5.7.** *We have a commutative square of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} KK^{G, ft} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{-\times G} & KK^{\hat{G}, ft} \\ \downarrow r_G & & \downarrow r_{\hat{G}} \\ \text{Mod}(KU_p[G])^{ft} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{L_p(-\otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)} & \text{Mod}(KU_p[\hat{G}])^{ft} \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.6, we can replace the upper horizontal arrow by the descended functor  $F$  of Proposition 3.5. We recall that  $F$  is given by  $A \mapsto (A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \times G$ , with  $\hat{G}$ -action on the target induced by that on  $\mathbf{B}$ .

The down-right composite of the diagram is the functor  $A \mapsto L_p(L_p(K(A)) \otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E)$ , with  $\hat{G}$ -action induced by that on  $M_E$ . By the previous lemma, we have a natural isomorphism of  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$ -modules

$$L_p(L_p(K(A)) \otimes_{KU_p[G]} M_E) \simeq L_p(\text{colim}_{BG}(L_p(K(A)) \otimes_{KU_p} L_p(K(\mathbf{B}))))$$

The symmetric monoidality of  $L_p$  gives a natural isomorphism of  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$ -modules

$$L_p(\text{colim}_{BG}(L_p(K(A)) \otimes_{KU_p} L_p(K(\mathbf{B})))) \simeq L_p(\text{colim}_{BG} L_p(K(A) \otimes_{KU} K(\mathbf{B})))$$

By the Künneth theorem ( $K(\mathbf{B}) \simeq KU$ ), the left-adjointness and idempotence of  $L_p$ , we obtain yet another natural isomorphism<sup>17</sup> of  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$ -modules

$$L_p(\operatorname{colim}_{BG} L_p(K(A) \otimes_{KU} K(\mathbf{B}))) \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{BG} (L_p(K(A \otimes \mathbf{B})))$$

Lastly, Theorem 5.2 with another application of the left-adjointness of  $L_p$  gives a natural  $KU_p[\hat{G}]$ -module isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_{BG} (L_p(K(A \otimes \mathbf{B}))) \simeq L_p(K((A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G))$$

It is easy to see that the composite  $r_{\hat{G}} \circ F$  is  $A \mapsto L_p(K((A \otimes \mathbf{B}) \rtimes G))$ , so this completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [BD24] Ulrich Bunke and Benjamin Duenzinger. *E-theory is compactly assembled*. 2024. arXiv: 2402.18228 [math.KT].
- [BE20] Ulrich Bunke and Alexander Engel. *Homotopy theory with bornological coarse spaces*. Springer, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol.2269, 2020.
- [BE21] Ulrich Bunke and Alexander Engel. *Additive  $C^*$ -categories and  $K$ -theory*. 2021. arXiv: 2010.14830 [math.KT].
- [BEL23] Ulrich Bunke, Alexander Engel, and Markus Land. *A stable  $\infty$ -category for equivariant  $KK$ -theory*. 2023. arXiv: 2102.13372 [math.OA].
- [BLP23] Ulrich Bunke, Markus Land, and Ulrich Pennig. *A survey on operator  $K$ -theory via homotopical algebra*. 2023. arXiv: 2311.17191 [math.OA].
- [Bun19] Ulrich Bunke. “Homotopy theory with  $*$ -categories”. In: *Theory Appl. Categ.* 34 (2019), no. 27, 781-853 (2019).
- [Bun23] Ulrich Bunke.  *$K$ -theory of crossed products via homotopy theory*. 2023. arXiv: 2311.06562 [math.OA].
- [Bun24] Ulrich Bunke. “ $KK$ - and  $E$ -theory via homotopy theory”. In: *Orbita Mathematicae, vol.1(2)* (2024).
- [Del12] Ivo Dell’Ambrogio. “The unitary symmetric monoidal model category of small  $C^*$ -categories”. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications, vol.14(2)* (2012).
- [HL13] Michael Hopkins and Jacob Lurie. *Ambidexterity in  $K(n)$ -Local Stable Homotopy Theory*. 2013.
- [Lur24] Jacob Lurie. *Kerodon*. <https://kerodon.net>. 2024.
- [Mey07] Ralf Meyer. “Categorical aspects of bivariant  $K$ -theory”. In:  *$K$ -theory and Noncommutative Geometry (Valladolid 2006)*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., pp. 1-39 (2007).

---

<sup>17</sup>The colimit on the right-hand side is to be interpreted in  $p$ -complete  $KU_p$ -modules.

- [Str87] Ross Street. “The algebra of oriented simplexes”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol.49(3) (1987).
- [Tre15] David Treumann. *Representations of finite groups on modules over K-theory (with an appendix by Akhil Mathew)*. 2015. arXiv: 1503.02477 [math.RT].
- [Wil07] Dana P. Williams. *Crossed Products of C\*-Algebras*. Number 134 in Math. Surveys and Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.