

Non-hermitian Floquet perspective on high harmonic generation and above threshold ionization spectra from Photon statistics

Nimrod Moiseyev^{1,2,3} *

*Schulich Faculty of Chemistry¹, Faculty of Physics² and Solid State Institute³,
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel*

We present a proof based on non-hermitian Floquet theory that confirms the experimental findings of Tsatrafylis and his colleagues in 2017, demonstrating the coincidence between the high harmonic generation spectra (HGS) and the number of absorbed odd infrared (IR) photons leading to emitted extreme ultraviolet (XUV) radiation. This coincidence is achieved through post-selection of the IR photons, conserving the total energy of the absorbed odd IR photons and the emitted XUV photons. Our derivation is consistent with their results and relies on our ability to compute the HGS in ultra-high-intensity lasers using non-Hermitian quantum mechanics (NHQM), which competes with above-threshold ionization (ATI). Through our NHQM theoretical simulation, we identify the regimes where there is correspondence between the HHG and ATI spectra and annihilated pump photons (with post-selection). Additionally, we demonstrate that the photon statistics in HHG exhibit Wigner-type distributions, which reflect the quantum chaotic dynamics of electrons at the cutoff of the plateau of the HGS. We emphasize that our findings underscore a unified mechanism governing the three distinct measurements of HGS, ATI, and IR photon number distribution, none of which require the quantization of the electromagnetic field.

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

The groundbreaking research by L’Huillier[1] presented experimental results on high harmonic generation (HHG) using a ultra high intensity infrared laser, leading to the development of Atto-science. The high order harmonics are VUV radiation with the frequency $\omega_{XUV} = \omega_{IR}N_{IR}$ which are omitted due to the absorption of N_{IR} infrared photons. Recently in Refs.2, 3 it was demonstrated how by comparing the number of IR photons that pass the Xe gas to the number of photons that reach the detector when the Xenon gas-jet is off the spectrum of the absorbed infrared photons is identical to the spectrum of the emitted XUV radiation. Their post selective analysis was based on a requirement that the total energy of light (XUV and IR) is conserved, i.e., the HGS process is parametric. Here we provide a Floquet perspective of their measurements, that does not require quantization of light. we interpret their post scheme as a post-selection on a single resonance (non-hermitian) Floquet solution. *This condition is in harmony with the calculations of the HGS and photon statistics from a single Floquet solution.* This can be done only in NHQM when the resonances are in the discrete part of the spectrum of the Floquet operator[4]. The Floquet operator consists the atomic Hamiltonian that interacts with the classical electromagnetic field. Below, using a *single* complex resonance Floquet solution, we will prove that HGS can be deduced from the photon statistics of the absorbed number N of IR Floquet ”photons”, without quantization of light due to the discrete time transformation symmetry.

As we have shown already when the number of absorbed Floquet ”photons” is significantly smaller than the total number of photons emitted by the strong laser, one would anticipate that similar results will be obtained from quantum electrodynamics (QED) calculations, where the light is treated as a quantum entity [5]. Notice that while in Ref. 3 a semi-classical approach (known as three-step models) was used for the description of the HHG process, here the theory is based on the exact solution of the time-periodic Hamiltonian. For the sake of simplicity in the calculations, a model Hamiltonian for Xenon in strong laser fields has been used. In Ref. 3, Fock states were used as a basis set. We have already proven [5] that when the bandwidth of Fourier channels contributing to the resonance Floquet solution is narrow, the occupations quantum Fock states can be approximated by the resonance Floquet solutions obtained through complex scaling transformation. Using the non-Hermitian approach, a rigorous proof for the equality between HHG and photon statistics spectra has been derived.

STUDY OF THE CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN HGS AND PHOTON STATISTICS FROM A SINGLE RESONANCE FLOQUET SOLUTION

Theory

The post-selection made in Ref.2 on some diagonal in their diagram seems to select the points where the total energy of XUV and IR light is conserved. This fits to our calculations of the HGS form a single resonance Floquet solution that is associated with the energy of a mixed state of the atom and light[6]. Notice that only when the resonance Floquet solutions are discrete and there-

*nimrod@technion.ac.il <https://nhqm.net.technion.ac.il/>

fore only then the HGS and ATI can be calculated from a single Floquet solution. A single resonance Floquet state that is dominated by the ground, field-free electronic state of an atom allows for the derivation of both high-order harmonics, ATI, and photon statistics. This is achieved through the probability amplitude to transfer N infrared Floquet "photons" from the n -Floquet channel to the $(n+N)$ -Floquet channel of the resonance Floquet state. See Ref.7 and Ref.8 for the first agreement between ab-initio calculations of the HGS and experimental measurement of the HGS. In Ref.9 it is shown that this approach which is based on non-hermitian calculations of the resonance Floquet solutions provides *the same* HGS as calculated by the standard (Hermitian) quantum mechanics calculations. Let us focus now on the introducing the probability amplitude to transfer N infrared photons from the n -Floquet channel to the $(n+N)$ -Floquet channel of the resonance Floquet state which is the building block in the calculations of HGS, ATI and photon statistics. Notice that this probability amplitude is not equal to zero iff N gets odd values only.

The probability amplitude to transfer N infrared photons from one Floquet channel to another

The Floquet Hamiltonian in the length gauge representation is given by

$$\hat{H}_f \equiv -i\hbar\partial_t + \hat{H}_{atom} + \epsilon_0\hat{x}\cos(\omega_{IR}t)$$

where $T = 2\pi/\omega_{IR}$ is the period of the classical electromagnetic field and x is the polarization direction of the linear electromagnetic field. The Floquet spectrum is obtained by solving the following eigenvalue problem $\hat{H}_f|x, t\rangle_\alpha = E_\alpha|t\rangle_\alpha$ where $|x, t\rangle_\alpha = \sum_{n_f=-\infty}^{+\infty} |\phi_{n_f, \alpha}\rangle \exp(in_f\omega_{IR}t)$. To simplify the notation \hat{x} includes the x -component of all the electronic coordinates in the atom and $\phi_{n_f, \alpha}$ is also a function of the y and z components of the positions of all electrons. In order to separate the Floquet resonances from the continuum the electronic coordinates are rotated into the complex plane by an angle θ (i.e., the electronic coordinates are scaled by a complex factor $\exp(i\theta)$). Upon complex scaling the Floquet spectrum become complex. The resonances Floquet solutions are those that are associated with complex eigenvalues that are not affected by the value of θ . Upon complex scaling the Floquet components of the resonance Floquet solutions are square integrable function by using the c-product [4], $\sum_{n_f=-\infty}^{+\infty} (\phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta | \phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta) = 1$ where $(\phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta | \phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta) = \langle [\phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta]^* | \phi_{n_f, \alpha}^\theta \rangle$. It is clear that every one of the n -th Floquet channels is square integrable as well. The complex scaled resonance Floquet solution that is mostly dominated by the field-free electron ground state of the atom is assigned here as $\alpha = 0$. The width (i.e. inverse lifetime) of the resonance Floquet solutions

is given by $\Gamma_{res} = -2ImE_{\alpha=0}$. To simplify the notation from now on we will ignore the α notation. That is, $|\phi_n^\theta\rangle$ stands for the Fourier component of the ground resonance Floquet state. Within the framework of the length gauge approach the complex probability amplitude of transferring N infrared photons from the n_f Floquet channel to the $n_f + N$ channel is given by[4],

$$\mathcal{A}(n_f, N) = (n_f + N|\hat{x}|n_f). \quad (1)$$

However, we prefer to use the acceleration gauge here as it enables us not only to calculate the HGS but also the ATI spectra when the ionized electrons are not affected by the laser field. Within the framework of the acceleration gauge $\mathcal{A}(n_{floquet}, N)$ is given by,

$$\mathcal{A}(n_f, N) = (n_f + N| -\frac{dV(x)}{dx} |n_f). \quad (2)$$

where $V(x)$ is an one electron potential which will be given later for our model of Xenon. The total complex probability amplitude to absorb N infrared photons (sometimes denoted here as N_{IR}) is

$$\mathcal{A}_{total}(N) = \sum_{n_f=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}(n_f, N), \quad (3)$$

where N gets odd value only due to the dynamical symmetry of the atom that interacts with electromagnetic waves (either classical or quantum wave). The probability that the "loss" of N infrared photons will be detected by the infrared detector is given by,

$$P(N_{IR}) = |\mathcal{A}_{total}(N_{IR})|^2 \equiv HGS(N_{IR}) \quad (4)$$

This result holds provided we neglect the population of several other Floquet excited resonance states that are also populated by the initial field free electronic ground state of the atom. Therefore, the HGS and the IR photon statistics are exactly equal only within the single Floquet resonance approximation. In reality their relationship is more complicated (see Ref.2). The post-selection made by them in Ref.2 is on some diagonal in their diagram where the total energy of XUV and IR light is conserved. This fits to our calculations of the HGS form a single resonance Floquet solution that is associated with the energy of a mixed state of the atom and light. Therefore, our comparison between HGS and photon statistics is made from the calculations of a single resonance Floquet state. Due to the fact that the energy of the emitted XUV photons is given by $\hbar\omega_{IR}N_{IR}$, the HGS spectra is also given by $P(N_{IR})$. Therefore, the HGS can be obtained from the calculations (or measurements) from the number of absorbed IR photons, as measured by *IR detectors*, which is the same distribution of the number of XUV photons, as measured by *XUV detector*. Provided, that the same experiment is repeated many times while the number of

absorbed infrared photons is a small fraction of the total number of the infrared photons emitted by the strong laser pulse with an envelop that supports a large number of optical cycles. Notice that the ATI spectra are not involved in the photon statistics as the HGS, since the acceleration of the electrons is not involved in the ATI. Rather than emitting photons, electrons are ionized. See figure 1 for the calculations of $P(N_{IR}) = HGS$ for a model Xe potential in one spatial dimension with an atomic potential which has been used before in the study of the high harmonic generation spectra in high-intensity laser fields[10]. The Potential is given by,

$$V(x) = V(x) = -0.63 \exp(-0.1424x^2) \quad (5)$$

This model atom supports a continuum, two bound states with energies $E_1 = -0.44$ a.u., $E_2 = -0.14$ a.u., and a third weakly bound state with energy $E_3 = -0.00014$ a.u. To numerically represents the Floquet operator in matrix form with a basis the time-periodic Fourier basis functions, $\{\exp(in_f \omega_{IR} t)\}_{n_f=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots}$, and the field-free states in a particle in a box basis functions. n_f stands for the index of the Floquet channel. The laser parameters are $\omega_{IR} = 0.0574$ a.u. and $\epsilon_0 = 0.015$ a.u.




FIG. 1: The HGS as a function of the number of absorbed IR photons, on log scale. Notice that $N_{IR} = \omega_{XUV} / \omega_{IR}$ and therefore as discussed in the text this spectra can be measured from infrared photon statistics.

On the fluctuation in the number of absorbed infrared photons

As already discussed above the number of absorbed infrared photons that enables the emitted XUV radiation is odd. The fluctuation in the number of IR photons that were absorbed by the atom to generate XUV high order harmonics, is given by the standard deviation (SD)

expression

$$SD(N_{IR}) = \sqrt{\sum_{n_f=-\infty}^{+\infty} [\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})]^2 - \left[\sum_{n_f=-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR}) \right]^2} \quad (6)$$

where N is a odd number of infrared photons.

In Fig.2 we see that the standard deviations of photon statistics for odd ones that are absorbed to emit the XUV radiation, can be divided into two groups. One group form a plateau with a cutoff at $N_{IR} = 11$ (exactly as the cutoff in the HGS presented in Fig.HGS-Xe) and phase-lock photons with larger fluctuations (exactly as in the HGS).




FIG. 2: The standard deviations in the number of absorbed IR photons, N_{IR} , as a function of N_{IR} . Notice that the HGS is associated with the odd number of absorbed iR photons.

More information of the distribution of the infrared absorbed photons can be obtained by natural expansion of $\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})$ [11, 12]. This issue is discussed below.

On the infrared photon distribution

The natural expansion[12, 13] of the non-separable function $\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})$ implies that,

$$\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} d_l f_l(N_{IR}) g_l(n_f) \quad (7)$$

where $|d_1|^2 > |d_2|^2 > \dots |d_l|^2 \dots$, $n_f = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ are the Floquet channels and $N_{IR} = 0, 1, 3, \dots$ are associated with the number of absorbed infrared photon. The expansion is ordered by the value of the occupation of each one of the terms in the expansion, ordered from largest to smallest of $|d_l|^2 = (Re[d_l])^2 + (Im[d_l])^2$. The square of the orbital function $f_l(N_{IR})$ provides the the infrared photon distribution for the l -th configuration. Therefore, the *partial* infrared photon distribution is given by

$$Prob_l(N_{IR}) \equiv |f_l(N_{IR})|^2 \quad (8)$$

where $l = 1, 2, \dots$. In Fig.3 we show that the fluctuation




FIG. 3: The fluctuations of absorbed IR photons for the dominated contribution to the natural expansion of $\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})$. See Eq.8 in the text. Notice that this behavior is obtained only for the dominant term in natural expansion.

in the photon statistics, as obtained from the leading term in the natural expansion (i.e., $l = 1$), is not Poisson as one might expect for classical light (see Ref.2) but more like Wigner distribution which is a typical behavior for the finger print of chaos in spectroscopic measurements[14, 15]. See Ref.16 on the association of the cutoff on the HGS with the classical chaotic dynamics. The *total* infrared photon distribution is given by

$$Prob_{total}(N_{IR}) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} |d_l f_l(N_{IR})|^2 \quad (9)$$

The fluctuations presented in Fig.4 is for the non-separable function $V = \mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})$ that provides the complex probability amplitude for transfer N_{IR} red-photons from one Floquet channel to another. The plateau is as obtained in the HGS for negligible fluctuations in the amplitude of the measured high harmonics, whereas the fluctuations in the amplitudes of the high phase-lock harmonics which are observed beyond the cut-off are significantly larger. These fluctuations result from the coherent nature of the Floquet solutions and would be observed even if one uses ideal detectors, in the absence of interactions among the atoms themselves and with the environment.

ATI spectra and photon statistics

The ATI spectra as the HGS and photon statistics are all calculated from a single resonance Floquet state that is dominated by the field-free ground state of the atom. While in the HGS only odd number of IR photons are absorbed to emit the XUV radiations the ATI spectra is




FIG. 4: The fluctuations in absorbed IR photons for *all* contributions to the natural expansion of $\mathcal{A}(n_f, N_{IR})$. See Eq.9 in the text.

obtained by absorbing odd and even number of photons. Therefore, the competition between HGS and ATI happens only due the absorbing odd number of IR photons. Therefore, It is expected that the largest peak in the ATI spectra implies a minimal standard deviation of the absorbed photons since the ionization is fast (the height of the ATI peaks are related to the partial widths which are associated with the rate to ionization) and the lifetime of the photons that enable ionization is small. Indeed, Fig.5 shows that the most pronounced ATI peak for odd value of N_{IR} is obtained for $N_{IR} = 9$ where the standard deviation of the detected 9 absorbed photons gets a small value. The calculations of ATI spectra from a single resonance Floquet state are described in Ref.17 and in section 8.3.5 in Ref.4. The height of the peaks in the ATI spectra, within the acceleration gauge representation, are proportional to the partial widths $\Gamma_{N_{IR}}$, where

$$\Gamma_{res-Floquet} = \sum_{N_{IR}} \Gamma_{N_{IR}}.$$

The partial widths are given by,

$$(n_{floquet} = 0 + N_{IR} | -dV/dx | \psi_f) = \frac{\Gamma_{N_{IR}}}{2}$$

where,

$$(x | \psi_f) = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{\hbar^2 k_{N_{IR}}}} e^{i k_{N_{IR}} x}$$

and $k_{N_{IR}} = \sqrt{2m_e(\hbar\omega_{IR}N_{IR} - |E_{bound}|)} > 0$. The ground electronic energy of the atom is given by $E_{bound} < 0$.




FIG. 5: ATI and SD (standard deviation of IR photons) as a function of the number of absorbed infrared photons. The first peak in the ATI spectra is obtained for $N_{IR} = 8$ which is the minimal number of absorbed photons that enable ionization.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The post-selection conducted in Ref. 2, 3 along a certain diagonal in their diagram appears to target the points where the total energy of XUV and IR light is conserved. This corresponds well with our calculations of the High-Order Harmonic Generation (HGS), forming a single resonance Floquet solution associated with the energy of a mixed state of the atom and light. Employing NHQM allows us to link both the HGS and the distribution of the infrared absorbed photons with single resonance Floquet solutions. Consequently, the HGS spectra, as detected by XUV detectors, can be obtained by monitoring the fluctuations of the infrared absorbed photons. Furthermore, we demonstrate that Wigner-type distributions[14] appear in the photon statistics of the pump after HHG. These wigner distributions do not necessarily signify the quantum nature of light but rather reflect the quantum chaotic dynamics of electrons within the cutoff plateau of the HGS, as observed in classical electromagnetic fields. Notice that our approach is based on solving the exact time independent Schrodinger equation. In Ref.3 It is noteworthy that the distribution of absorbed IR photons, as detected by IR detectors, mirrors the distribution of XUV photons measured by XUV detectors. The fluctuation in the number of emitted XUV photons can be measured by repeating the same experiment multiple times, particularly when the number of absorbed infrared photons is a small fraction of the to-

tal emitted by the strong laser pulse, which supports a large number of optical cycles in its envelope. Perhaps the most relevant results for the comparison between the HGS and photon statistics in Ref. 2 are presented in Figs.1 and 4, where we illustrate both the HGS for Xenon atoms and the fluctuations in our photon statistics derived from a single resonance Floquet state dominating the dynamics of high-order harmonic generation.

Mr. Matan Even-Tzur from the Hellen-Diller Quantum Center is acknowledged for bringing to my attention the open question regarding the correspondence between the high harmonic generation (HHG) measured by XUV spectrometer and by infrared (IR) spectroscopy measurements, and for his most helpful comments.

-
- [1] A. L’Huillier and P. Balcou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 774 (1993), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.774>.
 - [2] N. Tsatrafyllis, I. Kominis, I. Gonoskov, and P. Tzallas, Nature Communications **8**, 15170 (2017).
 - [3] I. Gonoskov, N. Tsatrafyllis, I. Kominis, and P. Tzallas, Scientific Reports **6**, 32821 (2016).
 - [4] N. Moiseyev, *Non-Hermitian quantum mechanics* (Cambridge University Press, 2011).
 - [5] N. Moiseyev and M. E. Tzur, Journal of Optics **26**, 025501 (2023).
 - [6] N. Moiseyev and F. Weinhold, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 2100 (1997), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.2100>.
 - [7] O. E. Alon, V. Averbukh, and N. Moiseyev, Physical review letters **80**, 3743 (1998).
 - [8] N. Moiseyev and F. Weinhold, Physical review letters **78**, 2100 (1997).
 - [9] N. Moiseyev and M. Lein, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A **107**, 7181 (2003).
 - [10] A. Fleischer and N. Moiseyev, Physical Review A **72**, 032103 (2005).
 - [11] N. Moiseyev, R. C. Brown, R. E. Wyatt, and E. Tzidoni, Chemical physics letters **127**, 37 (1986).
 - [12] N. Moiseyev and R. E. Wyatt, Chemical physics letters **132**, 396 (1986).
 - [13] N. Moiseyev, R. C. Brown, R. E. Wyatt, and E. Tzidoni, Chemical physics letters **127**, 37 (1986).
 - [14] E. Wigner, Physical review **40**, 749 (1932).
 - [15] T. Kemp, I. Nourdin, G. Peccati, and R. Speicher (2012).
 - [16] V. Averbukh and N. Moiseyev, Physical Review A **57**, 1345 (1998).
 - [17] U. Peskin and N. Moiseyev, Physical Review A **49**, 3712 (1994).