

Rings of almost everywhere defined functions

Matthias Schötz*

June 2024

Abstract

We prove the following representation theorem: A partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} is a subring of a ring of almost everywhere defined continuous real-valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space X if and only if \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable. Here we assume that the positive cone of \mathcal{R} is closed under multiplication and stable under multiplication with squares, but we also show that one of these assumptions implies the other. An almost everywhere defined function on X is one that is defined on a dense open subset of X . These functions can be added and multiplied pointwise so that the result is again almost everywhere defined. A partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} is archimedean if the underlying additive partially ordered abelian group is archimedean, and \mathcal{R} is localizable essentially if its order is compatible with the construction of a localization with sufficiently large, positive denominators. As application we obtain several more specific representation theorems: representations by continuous real-valued functions on some topological space if \mathcal{R} is σ -bounded, and representation of lattice-ordered commutative rings (f -rings), of partially ordered fields, and of commutative operator algebras.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F25, 13J25, 16G30, 47L60

Keywords: partially ordered commutative ring, representation theory, f -ring, real algebraic geometry, operator algebras

1 Introduction

Consider a commutative ring \mathcal{R} , which we always assume to have a unit. For any field \mathbb{F} a question of general interest is whether or not \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to a subring of the ring \mathbb{F}^X of \mathbb{F} -valued functions on a suitable set X (with addition and multiplication defined pointwise). In particular if \mathbb{F} is the field of real numbers \mathbb{R} , then partial answers to this question are provided e.g. by the Gelfand–Naimark theorem for commutative real C^* -algebras and by the real Nullstellensatz:

- If \mathcal{R} is a commutative real C^* -algebra with trivial $*$ -involution $r^* := r$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$, then \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the ring of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X .
- If \mathcal{R} is a finitely generated commutative real algebra and formally real (i.e. $\sum_{j=1}^k r_j^2 = 0$ with $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathcal{R}$ implies $r_1 = \dots = r_k = 0$), then \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the ring of polynomial functions on a real algebraic set.

*Instytut Matematyczny PAN; ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-656 Warsaw, Poland; schotz@impan.pl

However, it is not hard to show that there cannot be a general answer along the lines of the real Nullstellensatz – more precisely, whether or not \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to a subring of the ring \mathbb{R}^X on some suitable set X cannot be decided by examining only finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} :

As an example take $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}(x)$, the field of rational functions in one variable x . Every finitely generated subring $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}(x)$ is isomorphic to a subring of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R} \setminus P}$ with $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the finite set of poles of elements of \mathcal{S} . Yet $\mathbb{R}(x)$ itself cannot be isomorphic to any subring of \mathbb{R}^X for any set X because there does not even exist a single unital ring morphism $\varphi: \mathbb{R}(x) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as this would lead to the contradiction $1 = \varphi((x - \varphi(x))^{-1})\varphi(x - \varphi(x)) = 0$.

In contrast to this, a commutative ring \mathcal{R} clearly is formally real if and only if every finitely generated subring of \mathcal{R} is formally real. To give just another elementary example, a ring is commutative if and only if all its finitely generated subrings are commutative. Properties of this type are abundant, but they cannot characterize the rings of \mathbb{R} -valued functions among all rings.

Note that rings of \mathbb{R} -valued functions carry an additional structure, the partial order of pointwise comparison. Because of this, questions of representability by \mathbb{R} -valued functions should rather be examined for partially ordered commutative rings, i.e. for commutative rings endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq such that the positive cone $\mathcal{R}^+ := \{r \in \mathcal{R} \mid 0 \leq r\}$ is closed under multiplication and contains all squares. This, however, does not make a substantial difference: whether or not a partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to a subring of \mathbb{R}^X for some suitable set X cannot be decided by examining only finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} as demonstrated by the same example of $\mathbb{R}(x)$, now also endowed with the partial order of pointwise comparison almost everywhere. Any characterization of the rings of \mathbb{R} -valued functions inside all partially ordered commutative rings must therefore include a more involved condition which might feel less natural (e.g. existence of \mathbb{R} -valued ring morphisms, or closedness of the positive cone in a topology with suitable properties, see [12, Cors. 3.4 and 4.23], etc.).

The solution is to broaden the question and to consider representations in the larger class of rings of almost everywhere defined continuous functions. In this setting, our main Theorem 31 shows that for any partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} the following are equivalent:

- There exists a compact Hausdorff space X such that \mathcal{R} is isomorphic (as a partially ordered commutative ring) to a subring of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$, the almost everywhere defined continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions on X .
- \mathcal{R} is archimedean and strongly localizable.

In Proposition 6 we also show that the properties of being archimedean or strongly localizable can be checked by examining only finitely generated subrings.

A representation theorem of this type, i.e. by almost everywhere defined continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions, has essentially already been developed many decades ago for certain lattice-ordered rings, see [4]. The formulation there is only slightly different, using continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space X with values in the extended real line $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$ that are finite on an open dense subset of X . This has the disadvantage that such functions in general do not form a ring. Up to such technicalities, this classical result in the lattice-ordered setting can essentially be obtained as a corollary of our main Theorem 31 here. On the way we will also examine some weaker forms

of representations, namely by equivalence classes of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions that coincide on some element of a given set of open (but not necessarily dense) subsets of a topological space.

This article is organized as follows: After discussing some preliminaries in the next Section 2 we define and examine the rings of almost everywhere defined continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions on a compact Hausdorff space in Section 3. In Sections 4 and 5 we introduce the main technical tools required in the proof of our main Theorem 31, namely the space of extended positive characters of a partially ordered commutative ring and the extended Gelfand transformation. Theorem 27 in Section 5, characterizing the elements that have positive image under the extended Gelfand transformation, is also of independent interest. In Section 6 we then prove our main Theorem 31, and in the final Section 7 we discuss some applications: The σ -bounded case, lattice-ordered rings (f -rings), partially ordered fields, and operator algebras (the first two of these applications are known theorems, the last two seem to be new results).

2 Preliminaries

The natural numbers are denoted by $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Similarly, the rings of integers, rationals, real and complex numbers are \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} , respectively. A ring is always supposed to have a multiplicative unit 1, and accordingly, a subring of a ring \mathcal{R} is meant to contain the multiplicative unit of \mathcal{R} . Next we recall some basic notions concerning partially ordered abelian groups and commutative rings:

A partially ordered abelian group is an abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq , i.e. \leq is a reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric relation on G and $g + f \leq h + f$ holds for all $f, g, h \in G$ that fulfil $g \leq h$. In this case we write $G^+ := \{g \in G \mid 0 \leq g\}$ for the *positive cone* of G . This positive cone G^+ is a submonoid of G such that $G^+ \cap (-G)^+ = \{0\}$, and two elements $g, h \in G$ fulfil $g \leq h$ if and only if $h - g \in G^+$. Conversely, given an abelian group $(G, +, 0)$, then any submonoid M of G satisfying $M \cap (-M) = \{0\}$ induces a unique translation-invariant partial order \leq on G such that $G^+ = M$.

A partially ordered abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ is called *archimedean* if the following holds: Whenever two elements $g, h \in G$ fulfil $kg + h \in G^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $g \in G^+$. This archimedean property is crucial in the theory of partially ordered abelian groups, see e.g. [3]. We will call a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order archimedean if the underlying partially ordered abelian group is archimedean. This should not be confused with the unrelated notion of archimedean preorderings (preprimes / semirings, quadratic modules, ...) of commutative rings in real algebraic geometry. For any submonoid M of an abelian group $(G, +, 0)$ we write

$$M^\ddagger := \{g \in G \mid \text{there is } h \in G \text{ such that } kg + h \in M \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (1)$$

It is easy to check that M^\ddagger is again a submonoid of G and $M \subseteq M^\ddagger$. The operation \cdot^\ddagger has been examined in various contexts before, mostly for convex cones of real vector spaces where \cdot^\ddagger is a sesquential closure as in [2, 6, 9, 11]. In [2] one also finds a counterexample showing that in general $(M^\ddagger)^\ddagger \supsetneq M^\ddagger$. A partially ordered abelian group G is archimedean if and only if $(G^+)^\ddagger = G^+$.

Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring, then a *preordering* of \mathcal{R} is a subset T of \mathcal{R} that is closed under addition and multiplication and such that $r^2 \in T$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$. A *partially ordered commutative ring* is a commutative ring \mathcal{R} endowed with a translation-invariant order \leq on the underlying additive group such that \mathcal{R}^+ is a preordering of \mathcal{R} . In this case $rt \leq st$ holds for all $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ that fulfil $r \leq s$ and all $t \in \mathcal{R}^+$. All non-trivial partially ordered commutative rings fulfil $1 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ but $-1 \notin \mathcal{R}^+$ and in particular have characteristic 0. Any subring \mathcal{S} of a partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} automatically becomes a partially ordered commutative ring by endowing \mathcal{S} with the relative order inherited from \mathcal{R} .

Now consider two partially ordered commutative rings \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} and a ring morphism $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We say that Φ is *positive* if $\Phi(r) \in \mathcal{S}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$, i.e. $\Phi(\mathcal{R}^+) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^+$. A positive ring morphism $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is called an *order embedding* if conversely also $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^+) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$ holds (hence $\Phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}^+) = \mathcal{R}^+$ by positivity of Φ). In this case Φ is automatically injective. An isomorphism of the partially ordered commutative rings \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{S} is an isomorphism Φ of the underlying rings such that Φ and its inverse Φ^{-1} are positive. Equivalently, a positive ring morphism $\Phi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered commutative rings if and only if Φ is a surjective order embedding.

We are interested in partially ordered commutative rings that fulfil an additional assumption:

Definition 1 *Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq on the underlying additive group. An element $s \in 1 + \mathcal{R}^+ := \{1 + t \mid t \in \mathcal{R}^+\}$ is called *localizable* if the following holds: Whenever an element $r \in \mathcal{R}$ fulfils $rs \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$. We write $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ for the set of all localizable elements in $1 + \mathcal{R}^+$ and we say that \mathcal{R} itself is *localizable* if for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $-s \leq r \leq s$. Moreover, we say that \mathcal{R} is *strongly localizable* if $r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) = 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$.*

Clearly $1 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ is closed under multiplication. We also make the following observation: If \mathcal{R} is localizable, then $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$. Indeed, if \mathcal{R} is localizable, then there exists at least one element $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ that fulfils $-s \leq 0 \leq s$, in particular $s \in \mathcal{R}^+$, therefore $1 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ by localizability of s , and consequently $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq 1 + \mathcal{R}^+ \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$.

Localizability of elements of rings with a partial order has been introduced in [11] but has implicitly been present in real algebraic geometry for a long time, e.g. in the denominator of the strict Positivstellensatz. Localizability is also the key to multiplicativity of extremal positive functionals, see [12, Thm. 4.20], and to automatic associativity and commutativity of the multiplication of partially ordered (extended) rings, see [13]. As strong localizability requires squares to be positive, it indeed implies localizability as the name suggests:

Proposition 2 *Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq on the underlying additive group and assume that \mathcal{R} is strongly localizable, then \mathcal{R} is localizable.*

Proof: Consider an element $r \in \mathcal{R}$. Then $2((1+r)^2 - r) = (1+r)^2 + 1^2 + r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ holds, and therefore $(1+r)^2 - r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because $2 = 1 + 1^2 \in 1 + \mathcal{R}^+ \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. This shows that $r \leq (1+r)^2$, and, by substituting r with $-r$, $-r \leq (1-r)^2$. So set $s := (1+r)^2 + (1-r)^2 = 1 + 1^2 + 2r^2 \in 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$, then $-s \leq r \leq s$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ by strong localizability. \square

In the archimedean case, the converse is often also true as we will see in our main Theorem 31. This has also already been observed in [13, Thm. 32].

Definition 1 was formulated in a setting more general than partially ordered commutative rings because of the following two technical propositions inspired by [11, Thm. 3.2 and Prop. 3.5]. These show that some axioms of localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative rings are redundant. This will later on be helpful for the construction of examples. As a preparation we note:

Lemma 3 *Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq on the underlying additive group. Assume also that \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable. Then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof: Recall that $1 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$ by localizability and therefore $\mathbb{N} \subseteq 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$. Consider $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $r \in \mathcal{R}$ be given such that $nr \in \mathcal{R}^+$. For all $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ there exists $s_m \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$ such that $-s_m \leq mr \leq s_m$. Set $\hat{s} := \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} s_m$, then $0 \leq mr + s_m \leq mr + \hat{s}$ for all $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. It follows that $\ell nr + mr + \hat{s} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, so $kr + \hat{s} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because \mathcal{R} is archimedean. \square

Proposition 4 *Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq on the underlying additive group such that \mathcal{R}^+ is closed under multiplication. If \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable, then $r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ so that \mathcal{R} in particular is a partially ordered commutative ring.*

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable, then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ by the previous Lemma 3. Let any $r \in \mathcal{R}$ be given, then there is $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $-s \leq r \leq s$. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ define

$$S_k := \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (k-2\ell)^2 (s+r)^{k-\ell} (s-r)^\ell,$$

then $S_k \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because $s+r, s-r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and because \mathcal{R}^+ is closed under multiplication by assumption. Moreover, expanding $(k-2\ell)^2 = k^2 - 4\ell(k-1) + 4\ell(\ell-1)$ and applying the binomial theorem shows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_k &= k^2(2s)^k - 4k(k-1) \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} \ell (s+r)^{k-\ell} (s-r)^\ell + 4 \sum_{\ell=0}^k \binom{k}{\ell} \ell(\ell-1) (s+r)^{k-\ell} (s-r)^\ell \\ &= k^2(2s)^k - 4k(k-1) \sum_{\ell=1}^k \binom{k-1}{\ell-1} (s+r)^{k-\ell} (s-r)^\ell + 4k(k-1) \sum_{\ell=2}^k \binom{k-2}{\ell-2} (s+r)^{k-\ell} (s-r)^\ell \\ &= k^2(2s)^k - 4k(k-1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{\ell} (s+r)^{k-1-\ell} (s-r)^{\ell+1} + 4k(k-1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-2} \binom{k}{\ell} (s+r)^{k-2-\ell} (s-r)^{\ell+2} \\ &= k^2(2s)^k - 4k(k-1)(2s)^{k-1} (s-r) + 4k(k-1)(2s)^{k-2} (s-r)^2 \\ &= k^2(2s)^k - 4k(k-1)(2s)^{k-2} (s^2 - r^2) \\ &= 4k(2s)^{k-2} s^2 + 4k(k-1)(2s)^{k-2} r^2, \end{aligned}$$

so $s^2 + (k-1)r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. This shows that $s^2 + kr^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because \mathcal{R} is archimedean. \square

Proposition 5 *Let \mathcal{R} be a commutative ring endowed with a translation-invariant partial order \leq on the underlying additive group such that \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under multiplication with squares, i.e. $r^2s \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $s \in \mathcal{R}^+$. If \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable, then $pq \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $p, q \in \mathcal{R}^+$ so that \mathcal{R} in particular is a partially ordered commutative ring.*

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable, then $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ by the previous Lemma 3. Let $p, q \in \mathcal{R}^+$ be given. In order to show that $pq \in \mathcal{R}^+$ we essentially approximate a square root of p . By localizability of \mathcal{R} there exists $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $p \leq s$. We will use the shorthand $s_k := s^{(2^k)}$, so $s_0 = s$, and $s_{k+1} = s_k^2$ and $s_k \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ hold for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The crucial technical step is to show that $2^{\ell+1}pq + s(1 + q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

We recursively define a sequence $(r_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in \mathcal{R} as $r_0 := p$ and $r_{k+1} := 2s_k r_k - r_k^2$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then $s_{k+1} - r_{k+1} = (s_k - r_k)^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and therefore $s_k - r_k \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ because in the case $k = 0$ this reduces to the assumption that $p \leq s$. Moreover, we can prove by induction that $r_k \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$: For $k = 0$ this is just the assumption that $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$; if $r_k \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $r_k^2(s_k - r_k) + (s_k - r_k)^2 r_k + s_k^2 r_k \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under multiplication with squares, and a quick calculation shows that $r_k^2(s_k - r_k) + (s_k - r_k)^2 r_k + s_k^2 r_k = s_k r_{k+1}$, so $r_{k+1} \in \mathcal{R}^+$ by localizability of s_k . We have thus shown that $0 \leq r_k \leq s_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$S_\ell := \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{\ell-k} \left(\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} s_j^2 \right) r_k^2 q,$$

then $S_\ell \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because $q \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and because \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under multiplication with squares. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} S_\ell &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{\ell-k} \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) r_k^2 q \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{\ell-k} \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) (2s_k r_k - r_{k+1}) q \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{(\ell+1)-k} \left(\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) r_k q - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{(\ell+1)-(k+1)} \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) r_{k+1} q \\ &= 2^{\ell+1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) pq - 2s_\ell r_\ell q \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $2^{\ell+1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) pq - 2r_\ell q \in \mathcal{R}^+$ by localizability of s_ℓ . Now note that

$$s_\ell(1 + q^2) + 2r_\ell q = (s_\ell - r_\ell)(1 + q^2) + r_\ell(1 + q^2) + 2r_\ell q = (s_\ell - r_\ell)(1 + q^2) + r_\ell(1 + q)^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$$

because $s_\ell - r_\ell \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $r_\ell \in \mathcal{R}^+$ as discussed above and because \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under multiplication with squares. Adding these two elements of \mathcal{R}^+ yields $2^{\ell+1} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) pq + s_\ell(1 + q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Finally, note that $s_\ell = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} s_j \right) s$, which is easily checked by induction over ℓ , so by localizability of s_j with $j \in \{0, \dots, \ell-1\}$ we obtain the desired result that $2^{\ell+1}pq + s(1 + q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$.

In order to complete the proof it only remains to show that this implies $kpq + s(1 + q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $pq \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because \mathcal{R} is archimedean by assumption. So let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be given, then there

exists $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq 2^{\ell+1}$ and $2^{\ell+1}kpq + ks(1+q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Note that $s(1+q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ because $s \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and because \mathcal{R}^+ is stable under multiplication with squares. Therefore $(2^{\ell+1}-k)s(1+q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and consequently $2^{\ell+1}kpq + 2^{\ell+1}s(1+q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. As $2 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ this shows that $kpq + s(1+q^2) \in \mathcal{R}^+$. \square

We also note that strong localizability and the archimedean property descend to subrings, i.e. if a partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} is archimedean or strongly localizable, then all its subrings are also archimedean or strongly localizable, respectively. Conversely, strong localizability and the archimedean property can actually be checked by looking at only the finitely generated subrings:

Proposition 6 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then the following holds:*

i.) \mathcal{R} is archimedean if (and only if) all finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} are archimedean.

ii.) \mathcal{R} is strongly localizable if (and only if) all finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} are strongly localizable.

Proof: The ‘‘only if’’-part is clear in both cases. Given $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ then we write $\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}}$ for the subring (with unit) of \mathcal{R} that is generated by the two elements r and s .

First assume all finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} are archimedean. If $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ fulfil $kr + s \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $kr + s \in (\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}})^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and therefore $r \in (\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$ because $\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}}$ is archimedean. This shows that whole \mathcal{R} is archimedean.

Now assume all finitely generated subrings of \mathcal{R} are strongly localizable. By the definition of partially ordered commutative rings, $r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$. If $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $s \in 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$ fulfil $rs \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $s \in 1 + (\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}})^+$ and $rs \in (\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}})^+$, and consequently $r \in (\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{R}^+$ by strong localizability of $\langle\langle \{r, s\} \rangle\rangle_{\text{rg}}$. This shows that whole \mathcal{R} is strongly localizable. \square

3 Almost everywhere defined continuous functions

Consider any map $\Phi: X \rightarrow Y$ between two sets X and Y , then we write $\text{dom } \Phi := X$ for its *domain*. We also write $\Phi|_A: A \rightarrow Y$, $x \mapsto \Phi|_A(x) := \Phi(x)$ for the *restriction* of Φ to a subset A of X .

For the partially ordered commutative ring of continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions (with pointwise operations and pointwise order) on a topological space X we write $\mathcal{C}(X) := \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ continuous}\}$. We also write $\mathcal{C}(A)$ for the ring of continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions defined on a subset A of the topological space X , where it is understood that A carries the relative topology of X .

Definition 7 *An admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on a topological space X is a set of open subsets of X with $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and such that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{D}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$. For any such admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on any topological space X we define addition and multiplication on the (disjoint) union $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$: Given $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, then their sum and product $f + g, fg \in \mathcal{C}(\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g) \subseteq \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ are defined as the pointwise sum and products of their restrictions to $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$, i.e.*

$$f + g := f|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g} + g|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g} \quad \text{and} \quad fg := f|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g} g|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g}. \quad (2)$$

One easily checks that these operations are associative and commutative and they fulfil the usual distributivity of multiplication over addition. The constant-0-function with domain X is the (necessarily

unique) neutral element of addition, denoted simply by 0 , and the constant-1-function with domain X is the (necessarily unique) neutral element of multiplication, denoted by $\mathbb{1}$. However, in general, the pointwise negative function $-f: \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto (-f)(x) := -f(x)$ is not the additive inverse of $f \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, because $f + (-f) = 0|_{\text{dom } f}$, which in general does not equal 0 . This deficiency can be cured by passing to a suitable quotient:

Definition 8 Consider an admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on a topological space X . Then we define a relations \lesssim and \approx on $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ as follows: Given $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, then $f \lesssim g$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{D}$, $A \subseteq \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$, such that $f|_A \leq g|_A$ holds with respect to the order of $\mathcal{C}(A)$, i.e. the pointwise one. Moreover, $f \approx g$ if and only if $f \lesssim g$ and $g \lesssim f$.

One easily checks that \lesssim is a quasi-order on $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, i.e. a reflexive and transitive relation. This quasi-order is also compatible with addition and multiplication in the sense that

$$c + e \lesssim d + e, \quad 0 \lesssim fg, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \lesssim e^2 \quad (3)$$

hold for all $c, d, e, f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ with $c \lesssim d$ and $0 \lesssim f$, $0 \lesssim g$. Moreover, \approx is an equivalence relation on $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ that is explicitly given, for $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, by $f \approx g$ if and only if there exists $A \in \mathcal{D}$, $A \subseteq \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ such that $f|_A = g|_A$. It is now easy to check that addition descends to the quotient $(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$. Moreover, as $f + (-f) = 0|_{\text{dom } f} \approx 0$ for all $f \in (\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$, this quotient $(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$ becomes an abelian group. Similarly, multiplication also descends to the quotient $(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$, turning it into a commutative ring. Finally, if $f, f', g, g' \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ fulfil $f \approx f'$, $g \approx g'$, and $f \lesssim g$, then also $f' \lesssim g'$ by transitivity of \lesssim . This allows to equip the quotient $(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$ with a welldefined order \leq :

Definition 9 Consider an admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on a topological space X . Then we define the commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}) := (\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A))/\approx$ and the canonical projection onto the quotient $[\cdot]: \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$. Moreover, we endow $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ with the relation \leq that is defined, for $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, as $[f] \leq [g]$ if and only if $f \lesssim g$.

From (3) it follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ is a partially ordered commutative ring. Moreover:

Proposition 10 Consider an admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on a topological space X . Then the partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ is strongly localizable.

Proof: Assume $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ fulfil $[g] \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})^+$ and $[f(\mathbb{1} + g)] \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})^+$. This means that there are $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $g(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in A$ and $f(x)(1 + g(x)) \geq 0$ for all $x \in B$. Consequently $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in A \cap B$, so $[f] \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})^+$. \square

In the construction of such strongly localizable partially ordered commutative rings $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ it is of course desirable that the empty set \emptyset is not an element of \mathcal{D} : The ring $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ is trivial, i.e. $[0] = [\mathbb{1}]$, if and only if $\emptyset \in \mathcal{D}$.

Example 11 Consider an admissible set of domains \mathcal{D} on a topological space X and assume that $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}} A \in \mathcal{D}$ (this is the case e.g. if \mathcal{D} consists of only finitely many elements). Set $A_{\min} := \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}} A$.

Then the relation \lesssim on $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ can be described as $f \lesssim g$ for $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ if and only if $f|_{A_{\min}} \leq g|_{A_{\min}}$. It is now easy to check that the map $\mathcal{C}(A_{\min}) \ni f \mapsto [f] \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ is an isomorphism of partially ordered rings.

Example 12 Let X be a topological space, C a non-empty closed subset of X , and \mathcal{D} the set of all open subsets of X that contain C . Then \mathcal{D} is an admissible set of domains on X and $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ is the usual partially ordered commutative ring of germs of continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions around C . Examples of this type are typically not archimedean, e.g. consider $X := [-1, 1]$ and $C := \{0\}$. Then the germ at 0 of $f \in \mathcal{C}([-1, 1])$, $x \mapsto f(x) := -x^2$ is not positive, but the germ at 0 of $1 + kf$ is positive for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 13 *Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{D} be the set of dense open subsets of X . Then \mathcal{D} is an admissible set of domains on X .*

Proof: Clearly $X \in \mathcal{D}$. Consider $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$. Given any non-empty open subset C of X , then the open subset $A \cap C$ of X is non-empty because A is dense in X , therefore $(A \cap B) \cap C = B \cap (A \cap C)$ is non-empty because B is dense in X . This shows that the open subset $A \cap B$ of X is again dense in X , i.e. $A \cap B \in \mathcal{D}$. \square

Due to this Lemma 13 we can define a certain partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ on any topological space X :

Definition 14 *Let X be a topological space, then we write $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X) := \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ with \mathcal{D} the set of dense open subsets of X . An element of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ is called an almost everywhere defined continuous \mathbb{R} -valued function on X .*

Proposition 15 *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, then $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ and all its subrings are strongly localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative rings.*

Proof: By Proposition 10, $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ is a strongly localizable partially ordered commutative ring, so all its subrings are strongly localizable, too. It only remains to check that $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ is archimedean (which also implies that all its subrings are archimedean):

Let \mathcal{D} be the set of dense open subsets of X and $f, g \in \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$ such that $[kf + g] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This means there is a sequence $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{D} such that $A_k \subseteq \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ and $(kf + g)|_{A_k} \geq 0$ pointwise for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $A_{\infty} := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ and consider any $x \in A_{\infty}$, then the estimate $kf(x) + g(x) \geq 0$ holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This shows that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in A_{\infty}$. As X is a compact Hausdorff space by assumption, and in particular a Baire space, A_{∞} is still a dense subset of X and therefore A_{∞} is dense in $\text{dom } f$. It follows that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \text{dom } f$, hence $[f] \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)^+$. \square

Our main Theorem 31 essentially shows that the converse of this Proposition 15 is also true.

Proposition 16 *Let X be a topological space and $a \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$, then there is a unique representative $a_{\max} \in a$ that fulfils $f = a_{\max}|_{\text{dom } f}$ for all $f \in a$. In particular, $\text{dom } a_{\max} \supseteq \text{dom } f$ for all $f \in a$.*

Proof: The union $\bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f$ of open subsets of X is again an open subset of X . As the equivalence class a is non-empty, there exists $f \in a$, and as $\text{dom } f$ is already dense in X it follows that $\bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f$ is also dense in X . Now consider $x \in \bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f$ and $f, f' \in a$ such that $x \in \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } f'$. There exists a dense open subset A of X fulfilling $A \subseteq \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } f'$ and $f|_A = f'|_A$. Then A is also dense in $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } f'$, so $f|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } f'} = f'|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } f'}$ by continuity of f and f' , and in particular $f(x) = f'(x)$. It follows that the function $a_{\max}: \bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$x \mapsto a_{\max}(x) := f(x) \quad \text{with } f \in a \text{ any representative for which } x \in \text{dom } f \quad (4)$$

is welldefined. By this definition, $a_{\max}(x) = f(x)$ for all $f \in a$ and all $x \in \text{dom } f$, i.e. $f = a_{\max}|_{\text{dom } f}$ for all $f \in a$. Consequently a_{\max} is continuous, because for all $x \in \bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f$ there exists $f \in a$ with $x \in \text{dom } f$, so that $\text{dom } f$ is an open neighbourhood of x on which a_{\max} coincides with the continuous function f . As the domain of a_{\max} , i.e. $\bigcup_{f \in a} \text{dom } f$, is a dense open subset of X , it follows that $a_{\max} \in a$. This shows existence of a representative $a_{\max} \in a$ such that $f = a_{\max}|_{\text{dom } f}$ for all $f \in a$, and it is clear that this condition uniquely determines a_{\max} . \square

Proposition 17 *Let X be a topological space and let \mathcal{D} be the set of dense open subsets of X . Moreover, let \mathcal{D}' be an admissible set of domains on X such that $\mathcal{D}' \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Then the canonical inclusion map $\iota: \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}'} \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, $f \mapsto \iota(f) := f$ descends to a welldefined positive ring morphism $\tilde{\iota}: \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$, and this positive ring morphism $\tilde{\iota}$ is an order embedding.*

Proof: We write \lesssim' and \lesssim for the quasi orders on $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}'} \mathcal{C}(A)$ and $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A)$, respectively. Consider any $f, g \in \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}'} \mathcal{C}(A)$. It is clear that $\iota(f + g) = \iota(f) + \iota(g)$ and $\iota(fg) = \iota(f)\iota(g)$ hold. If $f \lesssim' g$, then certainly also $\iota(f) \lesssim \iota(g)$. Conversely, if $\iota(f) \lesssim \iota(g)$, then there exists a dense open subset A of X fulfilling $A \subseteq \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$ and such that $f|_A \leq g|_A$ pointwise. As A is dense in X , A is in particular dense in $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g$, and therefore $f|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g} \leq g|_{\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g}$ pointwise. As $\text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } g \in \mathcal{D}'$ this shows that $f \lesssim' g$.

It is now straightforward to check that ι descends to a welldefined map $\tilde{\iota}: \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$, $[f]' \mapsto \tilde{\iota}([f]') := [f]$, where $[\cdot]': \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}'} \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}')$ and $[\cdot]: \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D})$ are the canonical maps onto the quotient, and that $\tilde{\iota}$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding. \square

By slight abuse of notation, dropping the canonical order embedding $\tilde{\iota}$, we can therefore treat any partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}')$ like in the above Proposition 17 as a subring of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$. In this sense, $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}')$ consists of all those elements $a \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ that have a representative $f \in a$ fulfilling $\text{dom } f \in \mathcal{D}'$, or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}') = \{ a \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X) \mid \text{there is } A \in \mathcal{D}' \text{ such that } A \subseteq \text{dom } a_{\max} \} \quad (5)$$

where $\text{dom } a_{\max}$ is the maximal domain of an element a of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ like in Proposition 16.

4 Extended positive characters

Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ is a multiplicative submonoid of \mathcal{R} and cancellable, i.e. whenever $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfil $rs = 0$, then $r = 0$, because $rs = 0 \in \mathcal{R}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+)$

implies $r \in \mathcal{R}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+) = \{0\}$. This simplifies the construction of the *localization* \mathcal{R}_{loc} of \mathcal{R} (with respect to $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$):

\mathcal{R}_{loc} is the commutative ring whose underlying set is $(\mathcal{R} \times \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})) / \sim$, the quotient of the cartesian product of the sets \mathcal{R} and $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ modulo the equivalence relation \sim that is defined, for $p, r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $q, s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$, as $(p, q) \sim (r, s)$ if and only if $ps = qr$ (transitivity of \sim holds because $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ is cancellable). For an equivalence class in $\mathcal{R} \times \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ with respect to \sim we simply write $p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$ with representatives $p \in \mathcal{R}$, $q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. Addition and multiplication of \mathcal{R}_{loc} are defined as

$$p/q + r/s := (ps + qr)/(qs) \quad \text{and} \quad (p/q)(r/s) := (pq)/(rs) \quad \text{for } p/q, r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}. \quad (6)$$

This way, \mathcal{R}_{loc} becomes a welldefined commutative ring with unit $1/1 \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$. Moreover, write

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+ := \{ p/q \mid p \in \mathcal{R}^+, q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \}, \quad (7)$$

then $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$ is a preordering of \mathcal{R}_{loc} and an element $p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$ with $p \in \mathcal{R}$ and $q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfils $p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$ if and only if $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$: Indeed, $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ implies $p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$ by definition, and if $p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$, then there exist $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $p/q = r/s$, i.e. $ps = rq \in \mathcal{R}^+$, so $p \in \mathcal{R}^+$ by localizability of s . In particular, $p/q = 0 \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+)$ implies $p \in \mathcal{R}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+) = \{0\}$, so $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+ \cap (-\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+) = \{0\}$. Therefore \mathcal{R}_{loc} becomes a partially ordered commutative ring with order given, for $p, r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $q, s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$, by $p/q \leq r/s$ if and only if $r/s - p/q \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$, or equivalently, $ps \leq rq$.

We summarize the preceeding discussion:

Proposition 18 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then its localization \mathcal{R}_{loc} is a partially ordered commutative ring and the canonical inclusion $\iota: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$, $r \mapsto \iota(r) := r/1$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding.*

In the next step we investigate the subring of the localization that is given by its uniformly bounded elements and the corresponding space of positive characters. So let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then we define the subset

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}} := \{ a \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}} \mid \text{there exists } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ such that } -n \leq a \leq n \} \quad (8)$$

of *uniformly bounded* elements of \mathcal{R}_{loc} . In particular $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ for $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ with $-s \leq r \leq s$.

Proposition 19 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ is a subring of \mathcal{R}_{loc} .*

Proof: This is wellknown, just note that, if $a, b \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ fulfil $-m \leq a \leq m$ and $-n \leq b \leq n$, then

$$3mn - ab = (m - a)(n + b) + (m + a)n + m(n - b) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$$

and

$$3mn + ab = (m + a)(n + b) + (m - a)n + m(n - b) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+,$$

i.e. $-3mn \leq ab \leq 3mn$. □

In particular, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ is a partially ordered commutative ring with positive cone $(\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+ = \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+ \cap \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. Note that $1/q \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$ for all $q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ because $\text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \subseteq 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$ by definition.

We can now give another of the central definitions of this article:

Definition 20 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then an extended character of \mathcal{R} is a ring morphism from $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ to \mathbb{R} . Such an extended character $\varphi: \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called positive if $\varphi(a) \geq 0$ for all $a \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$. We write*

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) := \{ \varphi: \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi \text{ a positive ring morphism } \} \quad (9)$$

for the topological space of all positive extended characters of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ equipped with the weak*-topology, i.e. the topology obtained from the subbasis of all the (by definition) open subsets

$$\mathcal{O}_{a,V} := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \mid \varphi(a) \in V \} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \quad (10)$$

with $a \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ and with V an open subset of \mathbb{R} .

Proposition 21 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring with $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ and a neighbourhood U of φ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ be given. Then there exists $b \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{b,]-\infty,0[} \subseteq U$.*

Proof: Note that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ implies $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. There are $n \in \mathbb{N}$, elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, and open subsets V_1, \dots, V_n of \mathbb{R} such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{a_1, V_1} \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{O}_{a_n, V_n} \subseteq U$. In particular $\varphi(a_j) \in V_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and therefore there is $\epsilon \in]0, \infty[\cap \mathbb{Q}$ such that $]\varphi(a_j) - \epsilon, \varphi(a_j) + \epsilon[\subseteq V_j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. There also are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|\varphi(a_j) - \lambda_j| \leq \min\{\epsilon/\sqrt{4n}, \epsilon/4\}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Set $b := -1 + 2\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j - \lambda_j)^2 \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, then

$$\varphi(b) = -1 + 2\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^n |\varphi(a_j) - \lambda_j|^2 \leq -1 + 2n\epsilon^{-2}(\epsilon^2/(4n)) = -1/2 < 0.$$

This shows that $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{b,]-\infty,0[}$.

Moreover, for every $\psi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus U$ there is $j' \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\psi \notin \mathcal{O}_{a_{j'}, V_{j'}}$, i.e. $\psi(a_{j'}) \notin V_{j'}$ and in particular $|\psi(a_{j'}) - \varphi(a_{j'})| \geq \epsilon$. It follows that $|\psi(a_{j'}) - \lambda_{j'}| \geq \epsilon - \epsilon/4 = \frac{3}{4}\epsilon$, so

$$\psi(b) = -1 + 2\epsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^n |\psi(a_j) - \lambda_j|^2 \geq -1 + 2\epsilon^{-2} |\psi(a_{j'}) - \lambda_{j'}|^2 \geq -1 + 2\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 = 1/8 > 0,$$

so $\psi \notin \mathcal{O}_{b,]-\infty,0[}$. This shows that $\mathcal{O}_{b,]-\infty,0[} \subseteq U$. □

5 The extended Gelfand transformation

To every partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} we can first assign its topological space $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ of positive extended characters, and, after choosing a suitable admissible set of domains $\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})$ on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$, we will obtain another partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{E}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$. We then relate these by constructing a positive ring morphism $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$, the extended Gelfand transformation.

Definition 22 Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring. For all $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ we define the open subset $O_{s<\infty} := O_{1/s,]0,\infty[}$ of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ and we write $\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}) := \{ O_{s<\infty} \mid s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R}) \}$.

Proposition 23 Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then $O_{q<\infty} \cap O_{s<\infty} = O_{qs<\infty}$ for all $q, s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ and $O_{1<\infty} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$, so $\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})$ is an admissible set of domains on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$.

Proof: Clearly $O_{1<\infty} = O_{1/1,]0,\infty[} = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Now consider $q, s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Then $\varphi \in O_{q<\infty} \cap O_{s<\infty}$ if and only if $\varphi(1/q) > 0$ and $\varphi(1/s) > 0$. Similarly, $\varphi \in O_{qs<\infty}$ if and only if $\varphi(1/(qs)) > 0$. If $\varphi(1/q) > 0$ and $\varphi(1/s) > 0$, then of course $\varphi(1/(qs)) = \varphi(1/q)\varphi(1/s) > 0$. Conversely, if $\varphi(1/(qs)) = \varphi(1/q)\varphi(1/s) > 0$, then either $\varphi(1/q) > 0$ and $\varphi(1/s) > 0$, or $\varphi(1/q) < 0$ and $\varphi(1/s) < 0$. The latter, however, is not possible because φ is a positive ring morphism and $1/q, 1/s \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$. \square

Before defining the extended Gelfand transformation we need to check the following:

Lemma 24 Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring. For $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ define the function $r_s: O_{s<\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\varphi \mapsto r_s(\varphi) := \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s). \quad (11)$$

Then r_s is continuous. Moreover, if $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s, s' \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfil $r/s, r/s' \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, then $r_s(\varphi) = r_{s'}(\varphi)$ for all $\varphi \in O_{s<\infty} \cap O_{s'<\infty}$. In particular, r_s and $r_{s'}$ are representatives of the same \approx -equivalence class in $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$.

Proof: Consider $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. Then $O_{s<\infty} \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi(1/s) \in]0, \infty[$ and $O_{s<\infty} \ni \varphi \mapsto \varphi(r/s) \in \mathbb{R}$ are continuous by definition of the weak *-topology on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. It follows that r_s is also continuous.

Now consider $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s, s' \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying $r/s, r/s' \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. Then for all $\varphi \in O_{s<\infty} \cap O_{s'<\infty}$ the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s) &= \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(1/s')^{-1}\varphi(1/s')\varphi(r/s) \\ &= \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(1/s')^{-1}\varphi(1/s)\varphi(r/s') \\ &= \varphi(1/s')^{-1}\varphi(r/s') \end{aligned}$$

holds. As $O_{s<\infty}, O_{s'<\infty} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})$ by definition, therefore $O_{s<\infty} \cap O_{s'<\infty} \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})$, it follows that r_s and $r_{s'}$ are representatives of the same \approx -equivalence class in $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$. \square

Note also that for any element r of a localizable partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} there is $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $-s \leq r \leq s$, hence $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. This observation and Lemma 24 allow us to define:

Definition 25 Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable partially ordered commutative ring, then the extended Gelfand transformation is the map $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$, $r \mapsto \hat{r}$ that assigns to every element $r \in \mathcal{R}$ the \approx -equivalence class of the function $r_s \in \mathcal{C}(O_{s<\infty})$ from the previous Lemma 24, where $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ is any element satisfying $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$.

Proposition 26 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is a positive ring morphism.*

Proof: Clearly $\widehat{1}$ is the equivalence of the constant 1-function on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Given $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, then $\varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{s < \infty}$ because $1/s, r/s \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$, so $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$. Now consider $p, r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $q, s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $p/q, r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ hold. Then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{q < \infty} \cap \mathcal{O}_{s < \infty}$ the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(1/q)^{-1}\varphi(p/q) + \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s) &= \varphi(1/q)^{-1}\varphi(1/s)^{-1}(\varphi(1/s)\varphi(p/q) + \varphi(1/q)\varphi(r/s)) \\ &= \varphi(1/(qs))^{-1}\varphi((p+r)/(qs)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi(1/q)^{-1}\varphi(p/q) \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s) = \varphi(1/(qs))^{-1}\varphi((pr)/(qs))$$

hold, i.e. $p_q(\varphi) + r_s(\varphi) = (p+r)_{qs}(\varphi)$ and $p_q(\varphi)r_s(\varphi) = (pr)_{qs}(\varphi)$. So $\widehat{p} + \widehat{r} = \widehat{p+r}$ and $\widehat{p}\widehat{r} = \widehat{pr}$. \square

While the extended Gelfand transformation is not an order embedding in general, we can precisely describe the defect:

Theorem 27 *Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable partially ordered commutative ring with $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ is a compact Hausdorff space and*

$$\{ r \in \mathcal{R} \mid \widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+ \} = (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger} \quad (12)$$

with the operation \cdot^{\ddagger} from (1).

Proof: This is an application of the Positivstellensatz for archimedean preorderings [5, Sec. ‘‘Préordres archimédiens’’] (see also e.g. [7, Sec. 5] for a more modern reference) to the preordering $(\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$ of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$: From $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ it follows that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. By definition of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, for every $a \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $-n \leq a \leq n$ with respect to the order of \mathcal{R}_{loc} or, equivalently, the order of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. In other words, the preordering $(\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$ of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ is ‘‘archimedean’’ in the sense of [7, Def. 5.2.1]. Therefore, by [7, Thm. 5.7.2], $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ is a compact Hausdorff space and, whenever an element $a \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ fulfils $\varphi(a) > 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$, then $a \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+ = \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+ \cap \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$.

So assume that $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$, i.e. for any $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfilling $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ the representative r_s of \widehat{r} like in Lemma 24 fulfils $0 \lesssim r_s$. This means that there is $q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{q < \infty} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{s < \infty}$ and $0 \leq r_s(\varphi) = \varphi(1/s)^{-1}\varphi(r/s)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{q < \infty}$, so $0 \leq \varphi(r/s)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{q < \infty}$. Then $r/(qs) \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ and $\varphi(r/(qs)) = \varphi(1/q)\varphi(r/s) \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$: Indeed, by positivity of φ either $\varphi(1/q) = 0$ or $\varphi(1/q) > 0$ holds, and in the latter case $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{q < \infty}$ so that $\varphi(r/s) \geq 0$. Therefore $\varphi(1/n + r/(qs)) \geq 1/n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$, so the Positivstellensatz for archimedean preorderings shows that $(nr + qs)/(nqs) = 1/n + r/(qs) \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+ \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $nr + qs \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Proposition 18, and therefore $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$.

Conversely, assume that $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$, then there is $s \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $nr + s \in \mathcal{R}^+$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By localizability of \mathcal{R} there exists $t \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $1 + r^2 + s^2 \leq t$. Then $-t \leq r \leq t$ because $2t \pm 2r = t + (t - (1 + r^2 + s^2)) + (1 \pm r)^2 + s^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for both choices of the sign \pm and

because $2 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ by assumption, and similarly $-t \leq s \leq t$. This shows that $r/t, s/t \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ and therefore also $(nr + s)/t = n(r/t) + s/t \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consequently, every $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfils $\varphi(r/t) = \frac{1}{n}\varphi((nr + s)/t) - \frac{1}{n}\varphi(s/t) \geq -\frac{1}{n}\varphi(s/t)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore $\varphi(r/t) \geq 0$. It follows that the representative $r_t: \text{O}_{t < \infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of \widehat{r} is pointwise positive on $\text{O}_{t < \infty}$, which shows that $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$. \square

This result is similar to some of the strict Positivstellensätze for preorderings like [8], but without any assumption of boundedness or finiteness.

Corollary 28 *Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable partially ordered commutative ring with $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. Then the kernel of the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$ is*

$$\ker \widehat{\cdot} = \{ r \in \mathcal{R} \mid \text{there is } s \in \mathcal{R}^+ \text{ such that } -s \leq nr \leq s \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (13)$$

Proof: By the previous Theorem 27, $\ker \widehat{\cdot} = (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger} \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$. Consider any $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger} \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$, then by definition there are $e, f \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $e + nr \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and $f - nr \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So set $s := e + f = (e + r) + (f - r) \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $-s = -e - f \leq -e - r \leq nr \leq f - r \leq e + f = s$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This proves the inclusion \subseteq , and the converse inclusion follows immediately from the identity $\ker \widehat{\cdot} = (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger} \cap (-\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$ and from the definition of the operation \cdot^{\ddagger} . \square

6 Representation by almost everywhere defined continuous functions

We can almost put all the pieces together and prove the main theorem. The only missing part ist the following observation:

Proposition 29 *Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring and consider any element $q \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$. Then $\text{O}_{q < \infty}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$.*

Proof: Note that $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ by Lemma 3 so that Proposition 21 applies. Assume to the contrary that there are $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ and a neighbourhood U of φ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $U \cap \text{O}_{q < \infty} = \emptyset$. By Proposition 21 there is $b = r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$ with $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $\varphi \in \text{O}_{b,]-\infty, 0[} \subseteq U$. In particular, $\text{O}_{b,]-\infty, 0[} \cap \text{O}_{q < \infty} = \emptyset$, so $\psi(r/(sq)) = \psi(b)\psi(1/q) \geq 0$ for all $\psi \in \text{O}_{q < \infty}$. As $\text{O}_{sq < \infty} = \text{O}_{s < \infty} \cap \text{O}_{q < \infty} \subseteq \text{O}_{q < \infty}$ by Proposition 23, the representative $r_{sq} \in \widehat{r}$, $\text{O}_{sq < \infty} \ni \psi \mapsto \psi(1/(sq))^{-1}\psi(r/(sq)) \in \mathbb{R}$ fulfils $r_{sq} \geq 0$ pointwise, so $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$. Theorem 27 now shows that $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$. As \mathcal{R} is archimedean by assumption, this means that $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$, i.e. $b \in (\mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}})^+$. But this would imply $\psi(b) \geq 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$, in particular $\varphi(b) \geq 0$, which is impossible because $\varphi \in \text{O}_{b,]-\infty, 0[}$. \square

As an immediate consequence we obtain:

Corollary 30 *Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring, then, in the sense of Proposition 17 and Equation (5), $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$. So by slight abuse of notation we can treat the extended Gelfand transformation as a positive ring morphism $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$.*

We finally state and prove our main theorem:

Theorem 31 *Let \mathcal{R} be a partially ordered commutative ring, then the following are equivalent:*

- i.) There exists a compact Hausdorff space X such that \mathcal{R} is isomorphic (as a partially ordered commutative ring) to a subring of the partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ of almost everywhere defined continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions on X .*
- ii.) \mathcal{R} is localizable and the extended Gelfand transformation $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is an order embedding.*
- iii.) \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable.*
- iv.) \mathcal{R} is archimedean and strongly localizable.*

If these equivalent statements hold, then $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$ like in the previous Corollary 30.

Proof: Assume that \mathcal{R} is archimedean and localizable. Consider $r \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\hat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$, then $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$ by Theorem 27, so $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$ due to the assumption that \mathcal{R} is archimedean. This shows that the extended Gelfand transformation $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is an order embedding. As the extended Gelfand transformation is always a ring morphism by Proposition 26, this means that \mathcal{R} and its image under $\hat{\cdot}$ are isomorphic as partially ordered commutative rings. By the previous Corollary 30, $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$, so \mathcal{R} is isomorphic as a partially ordered commutative ring to a subring of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$, with $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ a compact Hausdorff space by Theorem 27. This shows that *iii.)* implies both *ii.)* and *i.)*.

Conversely, we first show that *ii.)* implies *iii.)*, so assume that *ii.)* holds. Then \mathcal{R} is archimedean: Given $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $nr + s \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. $r \in (\mathcal{R}^+)^{\ddagger}$, then $\hat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$ by Theorem 27. As $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is an order embedding by assumption, it follows that $r \in \mathcal{R}^+$.

Finally, *i.)* implies *iv.)*, because for any compact Hausdorff space X , the partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ and all its subrings are archimedean and strongly localizable by Propositions 15. Proposition 2 shows that *iv.)* implies *iii.)*. \square

Remark 32 For the implication *iii.)* \implies *i.)* in the previous Theorem 31, the assumption that the multiplication of the archimedean localizable partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} is associative and commutative is redundant by [13], and the assumption that \mathcal{R}^+ contains all squares is redundant by Proposition 4: Consider an archimedean partially ordered abelian group \mathcal{R} endowed with a biadditive binary operation $\mu: \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ that admits a (necessarily unique) neutral element $1 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ and that satisfies $\mu(u, v) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $u, v \in \mathcal{R}^+$. We adapt localizability to this more general setting by assuming that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists $s \in 1 + \mathcal{R}^+$ such that $-s \leq r \leq s$ and such that s is localizable in the following sense: Whenever some element $r' \in \mathcal{R}$ fulfils $\mu(r', s) \in \mathcal{R}^+$ or $\mu(s, r') \in \mathcal{R}^+$, then $r' \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Then the restriction of μ to \mathcal{R}^+ is associative and commutative by [13, Cor. 13, Props. 21-22], and therefore μ is associative and commutative on whole \mathcal{R} . So Proposition 4 shows that $r^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+$ for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$, i.e. \mathcal{R} in particular is a partially ordered commutative ring, and Theorem 31 applies.

By Proposition 16, every equivalence classes of an almost everywhere defined continuous function a on a topological space X has a unique representative $a_{\text{max}} \in a$ with maximal domain. This allows to decide whether or not that function can be defined at some given point of X . We apply this to the extended Gelfand transformation:

Definition 33 Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring. Then for any positive extended character $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ we define a map $\widehat{\varphi}: \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with domain

$$\text{dom } \widehat{\varphi} := \{ r \in \mathcal{R} \mid \varphi \in \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max} \} \quad (14)$$

that is given by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(r) := \widehat{r}_{\max}(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } r \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}. \quad (15)$$

Here we identify $\mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R}))$ with a subring of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$ as discussed in Proposition 17 and Corollary 30 and treat the extended Gelfand transformation as a map $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\approx}(\mathcal{D}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{R})) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$.

Lemma 34 Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring and $s \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$, then $\text{O}_{s < \infty} = \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$.

Proof: Clearly $s/s = 1/1 \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, so $s_s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{O}_{s < \infty})$ is a representative of \widehat{s} , and $\text{O}_{s < \infty} \subseteq \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$. Conversely, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set $U_n := \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \mid \varphi(1/s) < 1/n \}$. Then $U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} \cap \text{O}_{s < \infty}$ is dense in $U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$ because $\text{O}_{s < \infty}$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ by Proposition 29 and because $U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$ is open in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Moreover, for all $\psi \in U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} \cap \text{O}_{s < \infty}$ the estimate $\widehat{s}_{\max}(\psi) = s_s(\psi) = \varphi(1/s)^{-1} > n$ holds, and consequently $\widehat{s}_{\max}(\varphi) \geq n$ for all $\varphi \in U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$. Clearly $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \text{O}_{s < \infty}$ and so it follows that

$$(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}) \setminus \text{O}_{s < \infty}) \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} = \left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \right) \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \cap \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}) = \emptyset.$$

This shows that $\text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} \subseteq \text{O}_{s < \infty}$. □

Proposition 35 Let \mathcal{R} be a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring and consider $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Then $\text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$ is a subring of \mathcal{R} and $\widehat{\varphi}: \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive ring morphism. Moreover, if $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi} \cap \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfil $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, then also $r \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$.

Proof: Clearly $1 \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$ because $\widehat{1}_{\max}$ is the constant 1-function on $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Consider $r, s \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$ and write $A := \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max}$, $B := \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$, then $\varphi \in A \cap B$. Note that $\widehat{r}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} + \widehat{s}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap B)$ is a representative of $\widehat{r+s} = \widehat{r} + \widehat{s}$, and $\widehat{r}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} \widehat{s}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} \in \mathcal{C}(A \cap B)$ is a representative of $\widehat{rs} = \widehat{r}\widehat{s}$. This shows that $A \cap B \subseteq \text{dom } (\widehat{r+s})_{\max}$ and $A \cap B \subseteq \text{dom } (\widehat{rs})_{\max}$, so $r+s \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$ and $rs \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$. It also follows that

$$\widehat{\varphi}(r+s) = (\widehat{r+s})_{\max}(\varphi) = (\widehat{r}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} + \widehat{s}_{\max}|_{A \cap B})(\varphi) = \widehat{r}_{\max}(\varphi) + \widehat{s}_{\max}(\varphi) = \widehat{\varphi}(r) + \widehat{\varphi}(s)$$

and

$$\widehat{\varphi}(rs) = (\widehat{rs})_{\max}(\varphi) = (\widehat{r}_{\max}|_{A \cap B} \widehat{s}_{\max}|_{A \cap B})(\varphi) = \widehat{r}_{\max}(\varphi) \widehat{s}_{\max}(\varphi) = \widehat{\varphi}(r) \widehat{\varphi}(s).$$

Next consider $r \in (\text{dom } \widehat{\varphi})^+ = (\text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}) \cap \mathcal{R}^+$, then $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))^+$, so there exists a representative $f \in \widehat{r}$ that is pointwise positive on its domain $\text{dom } f$, which is a dense open subset of $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$. In particular $\text{dom } f$ is dense in $\text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max}$, so $\widehat{\varphi}(r) = \widehat{r}_{\max}(\varphi) \geq 0$.

Finally, assume two elements $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $s \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi} \cap \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ fulfil $r/s \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$. Then $r_s \in \mathcal{C}(\text{O}_{s < \infty})$ is a representative of \widehat{r} and in particular $\text{O}_{s < \infty} \subseteq \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max}$. The previous Lemma 34 shows that $\text{O}_{s < \infty} = \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max}$, so $\varphi \in \text{dom } \widehat{s}_{\max} \subseteq \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max}$, i.e. $r \in \text{dom } \widehat{\varphi}$. □

7 Applications

We finally discuss some applications: In the σ -bounded or lattice-ordered case, the main Theorem 31 essentially reproduces some already known results. The applications to partially ordered fields and to commutative operator algebras seem to be new.

7.1 The σ -bounded case

We adapt [12, Def. 3.1] to partially ordered commutative rings:

Definition 36 *A partially ordered commutative ring is σ -bounded if there exists a sequence $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{R}^+ such that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $-s_n \leq r \leq s_n$ holds.*

Recall the construction of the maximal domain $\text{dom } f_{\max} \subseteq X$ of an element $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ from Proposition 16 for X any topological space.

Theorem 37 *Let \mathcal{R} be a σ -bounded localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring, then $\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}) := \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max}$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ and the map $\widehat{\cdot}_{\text{fin}}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}))$,*

$$r \mapsto \widehat{r}_{\text{fin}} := \widehat{r}_{\max}|_{\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})}$$

is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding.

Proof: By Proposition 35 all the maps $\mathcal{R} \ni r \mapsto \widehat{r}_{\text{fin}}(\varphi) = \widehat{\varphi}(r) \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})$ are positive ring morphisms, so $\widehat{\cdot}_{\text{fin}}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is a positive ring morphism.

By σ -boundedness of \mathcal{R} there is a sequence $(s'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{R}^+ with the property that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which $-s'_n \leq r \leq s'_n$ holds. Moreover, by localizability of \mathcal{R} , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $s_n \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{R})$ satisfying $s'_n \leq s_n$. It follows that for all $r \in \mathcal{R}$ there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r/s_n \in \mathcal{R}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{bd}}$, hence $\text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max} \supseteq \text{dom}((\widehat{s}_n)_{\max})$ by Proposition 35, and therefore $\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}) = \bigcap_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{dom}((\widehat{s}_n)_{\max})$. As $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ is a compact Hausdorff space by Theorem 27 and in particular a Baire space, and as $\text{dom}((\widehat{s}_n)_{\max})$ is a dense open subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})$ is dense in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$.

Now consider $r \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{R}^+$, then $\widehat{r} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})) \setminus \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))^+$ because the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot}$ is an order embedding by Theorem 31. So $\{\varphi \in \text{dom } \widehat{r}_{\max} \mid \widehat{r}_{\max}(\varphi) < 0\}$ is a non-empty open subset of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$ and has non-empty intersection with $\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})$ by denseness of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})$ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R})$. Therefore $\widehat{r}_{\max}|_{\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R})) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}))^+$. This shows that the positive ring morphism $\widehat{\cdot}_{\text{fin}}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}_{\text{fin}}(\mathcal{R}))$ is an order embedding. \square

This representation theorem for σ -bounded localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative rings is essentially [12, Thm. 4.24].

7.2 The lattice-ordered case

A *commutative f -ring* is a partially ordered commutative ring \mathcal{R} such that for all $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ the supremum $r \vee s := \sup\{r, s\} \in \mathcal{R}$ and infimum $r \wedge s := \inf\{r, s\} \in \mathcal{R}$ exist (i.e. \mathcal{R} is lattice-ordered)

and such that the following condition is fulfilled: Whenever $r, s \in \mathcal{R}$ fulfil $r \wedge s = 0$, then $(rt) \wedge s = 0$ for all $t \in \mathcal{R}^+$. Note that, in contrast to the original definition [1, Sec. 8], we here require rings to have a unit. Moreover, by Eq. (18) in [1, Sec. 8], the axiom of positivity of squares is actually redundant for f -rings.

Theorem 38 *Let \mathcal{R} be an archimedean commutative f -ring (with multiplicative unit), then \mathcal{R} is localizable and the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{R}))$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding.*

Proof: In [13, Prop. 26] it was shown that all f -rings (in particular commutative f -rings with multiplicative unit) are strongly localizable, so Theorem 31 applies. \square

This representation theorem for (unital) archimedean commutative f -rings is essentially [4, Thm. 2.3].

7.3 Partially ordered fields

We define a *partially ordered field* as a partially ordered commutative ring \mathbb{F} in which every element $f \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$ has a multiplicative inverse f^{-1} . Note that $f^{-1} = (f^{-1})^2 f \in \mathbb{F}^+$ for all $f \in \mathbb{F}^+ \setminus \{0\}$. As $-1 \notin \mathbb{F}^+$ because $1 \in \mathbb{F}^+$, this in particular means that $(1 + f)^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}^+$ exists for all $f \in \mathbb{F}^+$, and therefore every partially ordered field is strongly localizable. Applying our main Theorem 31 yields:

Theorem 39 *Let \mathbb{F} be an archimedean partially ordered field, then \mathbb{F} is localizable and the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot}: \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{F}))$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding.*

A typical example of a partially ordered field that is a subring of the partially ordered commutative ring $\mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(X)$ for some compact Hausdorff space X is the field of rational functions on \mathbb{R} (or on the 1-point compactification of \mathbb{R}).

7.4 Commutative operator algebras

Consider a dense linear subspace \mathcal{D} of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , with inner product denoted by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (antilinear in the first, linear in the second argument). An *adjointable endomorphism* of \mathcal{D} is a (necessarily linear) map $a: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ for which there exists another (necessarily linear) map $a^*: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that $\langle \phi | a(\psi) \rangle = \langle a^*(\phi) | \psi \rangle$ holds for all $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$. This map a^* , if it exists, is uniquely determined, adjointable, and $(a^*)^* = a$. We write $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$ for the set of all adjointable endomorphisms of \mathcal{D} , then $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$ with pointwise addition, pointwise scalar multiplication, and composition as multiplication, is a complex algebra with unit $\mathbb{1} := \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}, \phi \mapsto \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}(\phi) := \phi$. The map $\cdot^*: \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D}), a \mapsto a^*$ is an antilinear involution fulfilling $(ab)^* = b^*a^*$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$. In other words, $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$ is a $*$ -algebra. A $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$ is a subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$ with $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{A}$ that is stable under the $*$ -involution, i.e. $a^* \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Now let \mathcal{A} be a commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$, then its space of *hermitian elements* $\mathcal{A}_{\text{h}} := \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a^* = a\}$ is a commutative ring (even a commutative real algebra) and carries a canonical translation-invariant partial order \leq , the *operator order*: for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{h}}$, the operator order is given by

$$a \leq b \quad :\iff \quad \langle \phi | a(\phi) \rangle \leq \langle \phi | b(\phi) \rangle \text{ for all } \phi \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (16)$$

This operator order is indeed an antisymmetric relation as a consequence of the polarization identity $\langle \phi | a(\psi) \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=0}^3 i^{-k} \langle \phi + i^k \psi | a(\phi + i^k \psi) \rangle$ for $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}$ and $a \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$. Clearly $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ and $a^2 b = a^* b a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$, $b \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$, so \mathcal{A}_h^+ is stable under multiplication with squares as in Proposition 5. Moreover, \mathcal{A}_h is archimedean: If $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_h$ fulfil $ka + b \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\langle \phi | a(\phi) \rangle = \langle \phi | a(\phi) \rangle + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \phi | b(\phi) \rangle}{k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \phi | (ka + b)(\phi) \rangle}{k} \geq 0 \quad (17)$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ and therefore $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$. Note that this means that Proposition 5 applies to \mathcal{A}_h whenever \mathcal{A}_h is localizable. Every element of \mathcal{A}_h is, by definition, a densely defined symmetric (but in general unbounded) operator on the surrounding Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It therefore makes sense to ask whether or not an element of \mathcal{A}_h is (essentially) selfadjoint.

Theorem 40 *Let \mathcal{D} be a dense linear subspace of a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{A} a commutative $*$ -subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$. Assume that all elements of $\mathbb{1} + \mathcal{A}_h^+$ are essentially selfadjoint. Then \mathcal{A}_h with the operator order is a localizable archimedean partially ordered commutative ring, and the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}_h))$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding.*

Proof: Consider $b \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \mathcal{A}_h^+$ and $a \in \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{A}_h^+$, then there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle < 0$. Set

$$\epsilon := \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{2} (1 + \|\psi\| + \|b(\psi)\|)^{-1} |\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle| \right\} \in]0, 1]$$

and

$$s := (\mathbb{1} + b^2)a \in \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{A}_h^+.$$

As s is essentially selfadjoint by assumption and $\mathbb{1} \leq s$, the image of s is dense in \mathcal{H} and in particular there exists $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\|(\mathbb{1} + b^2)\psi - s(\phi)\| \leq \epsilon$ (see e.g. [10, Prop. 3.9]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi - a(\phi)\|^2 + \|b(\psi - a(\phi))\|^2 &= \langle \psi - a(\phi) | (\mathbb{1} + b^2)(\psi - a(\phi)) \rangle \\ &\leq \|\psi - a(\phi)\| \|(\mathbb{1} + b^2)(\psi - a(\phi))\| \\ &\leq \epsilon \|\psi - a(\phi)\| \end{aligned}$$

holds. So, firstly, $\|\psi - a(\phi)\|^2 \leq \epsilon \|\psi - a(\phi)\|$ shows that $\|\psi - a(\phi)\| \leq \epsilon$, and then, secondly, $\|b(\psi - a(\phi))\|^2 \leq \epsilon \|\psi - a(\phi)\| \leq \epsilon^2$ shows that $\|b(\psi - a(\phi))\| \leq \epsilon$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle - \langle \phi | a^2 b(\phi) \rangle| &= |\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle - \langle a(\phi) | b a(\phi) \rangle| \\ &= \left| \langle \psi - a(\phi) | b(\psi) \rangle + \langle a(\phi) - \psi | b(\psi - a(\phi)) \rangle + \langle \psi | b(\psi - a(\phi)) \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|\psi - a(\phi)\| \|b(\psi)\| + \|a(\phi) - \psi\| \|b(\psi - a(\phi))\| + \|\psi\| \|b(\psi - a(\phi))\| \\ &\leq \epsilon (\|b(\psi)\| + \epsilon + \|\psi\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle| \end{aligned}$$

holds. As $\langle \psi | b(\psi) \rangle < 0$ this shows that $\langle \phi | a^2 b(\phi) \rangle < 0$, and in particular $a^2 b \notin \mathcal{A}_h^+$.

We have thus proven that $a^2 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{A}_h)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{A}_h^+$. This shows that \mathcal{A}_h is localizable:

Indeed, given any $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$ and set $b := \mathbb{1} + (a/2)^2 \in \mathbb{1} + \mathcal{A}_h^+$, then $-b \leq a \leq b$ because $b+a = (\mathbb{1} + a/2)^2$ and $b-a = (\mathbb{1} - a/2)^2$, and then $b \leq b + (a/2)^2 b = b^2 \in \text{Loc}(\mathcal{A}_h)$ and $-b^2 \leq a \leq b^2$ hold. So \mathcal{A}_h is archimedean, localizable, and by Proposition 5, a partially ordered commutative ring. Therefore our main Theorem 31 applies and shows that the extended Gelfand transformation $\widehat{\cdot} : \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{a.e.}}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}_h))$ is a positive ring morphism and an order embedding. \square

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff and R.S. Pierce. Lattice-ordered rings. *An. Acad. Brasil. Ci.*, 28, 1956. 19
- [2] J. Cimprič, M. Marshall, and T. Netzer. Closures of quadratic modules. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 183:445–474, 2011. doi:10.1007/s11856-011-0056-y. 3
- [3] K. R. Goodearl. *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups With Interpolation*. American Mathematical Society, 1986. 3
- [4] M. Henriksen and D. Johnson. On the structure of a class of archimedean lattice-ordered algebras. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 50(1):73–94, 1961. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/213636>. 2, 19
- [5] J.-L. Krivine. Anneaux préordonnés. *Journal d'analyse mathématique*, 12:307–326, 1964. 14
- [6] S. Kuhlmann and M. Marshall. Positivity, sums of squares and the multi-dimensional moment problem. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 354(11):4285–4301, 2002. doi:10.1515/advg.2005.5.4.583. 3
- [7] M. Marshall. *Positive Polynomials and Sums of Squares*. American Mathematical Society, 2008. URL: <https://bookstore.ams.org/surv-146>. 14
- [8] M. A. Marshall. Extending the archimedean positivstellensatz to the non-compact case. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 44:223–230, 2001. doi:10.4153/CMB-2001-022-2. 15
- [9] T. Netzer. Positive polynomials and sequential closure of quadratic modules. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(5):2619–2639, 2010. URL: <https://www.ams.org/journals/tran/2010-362-05/S0002-9947-09-05001-6/>. 3
- [10] K. Schmüdgen. *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Netherlands, 2012. 20
- [11] K. Schmüdgen and M. Schötz. Positivstellensätze for semirings. *Mathematische Annalen*, 389:947–985, 2024. doi:10.1007/s00208-023-02656-0. 3, 4, 5
- [12] M. Schötz. Gelfand–Naimark theorems for ordered *-algebras. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 75(4):1272–1292, 2023. doi:10.4153/S0008414X22000359. 2, 4, 18
- [13] M. Schötz. Associativity and commutativity of partially ordered rings. *arXiv e-prints*, 2303.03627v5, 2024. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.03627. 4, 5, 16, 19