

Reclaiming Power over AI: Equipping Queer Teens as AI Designers for HIV Prevention

WILLIAM LIEM*, Northwestern University, USA

ANDREW BERRY, Northwestern University, USA

KATHRYN MACAPAGAL, Northwestern University, USA

In this position paper, we explore the potential of generative AI (GenAI) tools in supporting HIV prevention initiatives among LGBTQ+ adolescents. GenAI offers opportunities to bridge information gaps and enhance healthcare access, yet it also risks exacerbating existing inequities through biased AI outputs reflecting heteronormative and cisnormative values. We advocate for the importance of queer adolescent-centered interventions, contend with the promise of GenAI tools while addressing concerns of bias, and position participatory frameworks for empowering queer youth in the design and development of AI tools. Viewing LGBTQ+ adolescents as designers, we propose a community-engaged approach to enable a group of queer teens with sexual health education expertise to design their own GenAI health tools. Through this collaborative effort, we put forward participatory ways to develop processes minimizing the potential iatrogenic harms of biased AI models, while harnessing AI benefits for LGBTQ+ teens. In this workshop, we offer specialized community-engaged knowledge in designing equitable AI tools to improve LGBTQ+ well-being.

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI)**; • **Social and professional topics** → **Sexual orientation; Gender**.

Additional Key Words and Phrases: generative AI, bias in AI, queer communities, sexual orientation, HIV prevention

ACM Reference Format:

William Liem, Andrew Berry, and Kathryn Macapagal. 2024. Reclaiming Power over AI: Equipping Queer Teens as AI Designers for HIV Prevention. 1, 1 (June 2024), 7 pages. <https://doi.org/XXXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 INTRODUCTION

The advent of GenAI introduces immense promise in personalized healthcare, however, biased AI models risk further marginalizing historically vulnerable populations such as the LGBTQ+ community. Particularly, LGBTQ+ adolescents encounter distinct health disparities, highlighted by alarming rates of HIV infections and challenges in discreetly accessing HIV preventive services, often hindered by laws restricting minors from self-consenting [14]. GenAI tools like ChatGPT have the potential to enable LGBTQ+ adolescents to seek sexual health information anonymously. However, in alignment with open problems for AI in designing for well-being, we grapple with the relevance and effectiveness of AI outputs in addressing such disparities. Given the complexity of personal health data and biases in AI models, addressing these issues emerges as critical focal points. Moreover, we argue that building LGBTQ+ adolescents' AI

*Corresponding author

Authors' addresses: William Liem, william-liem@northwestern.edu, Northwestern University, 633 North St. Clair, Floor 20, Chicago, Illinois, USA, 60611; Andrew Berry, Northwestern University, Chicago, USA, andrew.berry@northwestern.edu; Kathryn Macapagal, Northwestern University, Chicago, USA, kathryn.macapagal@northwestern.edu.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2024 ACM.

Manuscript submitted to ACM

Manuscript submitted to ACM

1

literacy and involving them in co-design processes are essential for sustaining diversity, inclusivity, and adaptability in AI-driven healthcare designs.

Within this context, GenAI tools offer promising avenues for bridging informational gaps and enhancing healthcare access. However, inherent biases within AI models can cause undue harm to queer users. For example, AI models are trained on cisnormative and heteronormative datasets [9] and are capable of misgendering users [23]. This can exacerbate emotional distress among transgender users who have historically faced systemic identity neglect [39]. In this position paper, we advocate for an approach aimed at co-developing safeguards against potential AI harm to LGBTQ+ adolescents and exploring potential opportunities for GenAI tools in addressing teen sexual health education. We highlight the need for adolescent-centric HIV prevention strategies among LGBTQ+ adolescents (section 2.1), survey the potential promise of ChatGPT for personalized LGBTQ+ inclusive healthcare (section 2.2), and propose a combinatory approach to co-designing AI tools that mitigate potential harms of biased models (section 2.3). We conclude with a forthcoming research plan that responds to the growing need for involving LGBTQ+ adolescents in the interrogation and development of AI tools. We anticipate this work to demonstrate the potential for AI scientists, policymakers, and practitioners to work with disenfranchised queer populations in creating more equitable AI health tools.

2 BACKGROUND

2.1 Co-Designing HIV Prevention for LGBTQ+ Youth

In 2021, people aged 13 to 24 accounted for almost 20 percent of new HIV infections, [16] with the COVID-19 pandemic significantly disrupting the availability of HIV health services, [38] including pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), a once-daily medication with 99% effectiveness at preventing HIV transmission [15]. This trend underscores the pressing need to address HIV prevention and education strategies, particularly among this vulnerable population. Higher HIV incidence rates may be attributed to the discontinuation of PrEP use by 1 in 7 young men who have sex with men aged 17-24 [20]. Younger people are particularly vulnerable, as they are more likely to discontinue PrEP [8] and less likely to reinstate PrEP [36]. This discontinuation trend is emblematic of broader challenges within the LGBTQ+ youth community, which faces a disproportionately higher burden of HIV compared to their heterosexual peers [34]. Multiple studies reveal that only half of LGBTQ+ teens know about PrEP's existence and less than 3 percent have ever used it [11, 26, 28, 32, 33, 42]. In response to these challenges, innovative approaches such as co-author Dr. Macapagal's Teen Health Lab's work in developing adolescent-centered digital HIV prevention programs and social marketing campaigns have emerged to empower youth in HIV prevention, advocacy, and education [25]. By involving youth in the creation of their own health promotion efforts, participatory initiatives like the Teen Health Lab represent a promising model and ethos for reducing HIV incidence among young people by cultivating a sense of ownership and agency in HIV prevention strategies.

However, systemic barriers still plague LGBTQ+ youth regarding PrEP access and information. Parents are key gatekeepers for PrEP uptake as LGBTQ+ youth rely on them for navigating health care and associated costs [30], however, there is a risk of disclosing their sexual identity in the process of exploring PrEP [13, 32]. Recent work examining experiences of PrEP uptake among 100 adolescent sexual minority men in the US calls for interventions to enhance adolescents' self-efficacy skills and PrEP knowledge, such as sexual health care coaching to discuss PrEP uptake with parents or providers, enabling them to pursue PrEP independently if desired [17]. With 56% of young people aged 18-24 using GenAI tools for information searching [37], there is a prime opportunity to explore the role of GenAI in addressing barriers to PrEP information seeking among LGBTQ+ youth. In the next section, we review

innovative approaches to leverage GenAI tools for personalized healthcare, and contextualize it within an LGBTQ+ adolescent context.

2.2 Generative AI for Personalized Healthcare

Recent studies have shown that AI chatbots potentially improve knowledge about and uptake of PrEP [5, 19] and ChatGPT specifically shows some promise in counseling people living with HIV on treatment [24]. Further, GenAI tools like ChatGPT are promising for delivering simplified health information [2, 4] and promoting health literacy [10], however, caution is needed to mitigate the potential harms of biased algorithms towards the queer community [6, 29, 43]. Datasets used to train chatbots and internet-based assistance are often riddled with gender biases [18, 31] such as the conflation of sex and gender, discourse of toxic masculinity, and erasure of non-binary identities [40], which can result in harmful outputs such as misgendering. This is particularly concerning given that many trans people already struggle with gender dysphoria, where gendered outputs may exacerbate emotional distress related to their gender identity or social experiences [39]. Further, these harms could cause people to disengage with GenAI tools completely, preventing them from reaping the potential benefits of accessible personalized health information.

Nascent work on curbing gendered biases in large language models has called for further work on creating “personalized guardrails” enabling end-users to design the boundaries of AI tools via prompt engineering as a means to combat gendered outputs of ChatGPT [12]. Scholars have sounded the alarm for engaging marginalized communities in co-designing AI tools that meet their needs [6, 7, 29, 43, 44]. In particular, recommendations include promoting awareness of sex and gender biases in AI, advocating for fair AI-personalized medicine, and including diverse LGBTQ+ perspectives in either auditing or developing AI tools [27]. We respond to these recommendations by surveying approaches that have engaged marginalized communities in the critique and interrogation of AI models in order to inform our research agenda.

2.3 Community-Engaged AI Design

There is a pressing need to involve underrepresented and marginalized groups in designing and developing AI solutions to improve one’s well-being. Such involvement should build on the lived experiences of the user, incorporate the diversity of queer communities, and accommodate the multitude of considerations needed to design inclusive and equitable AI health tools. However, inviting everyday individuals to interrogate and make AI systems more equitable remains a perennial challenge, as it requires advanced technical knowledge that often acts as a barrier to participation [41]. GenAI tools like ChatGPT have opened a new format for an everyday audience to influence AI outputs where each individual user can set parameters and guidelines to shape the output. This presents a unique opportunity for community participation in the critique of AI tools, however, we need to be intentional with how participation is designed, as there are significant risks with “participation washing” where shallow forms of engagement inhibit the actual interrogation and redesign of these tools [1, 3]. We draw from two promising frameworks for designing AI tools with a non-technical audience: meta-design for resistance, and the PARTicipatory Queer AI Research in Mental Health (PARQAIR-MH) protocol.

2.3.1 Meta-design for resistance. Resistance as characterized by Agnew et al.,[1] is a process of adapting technologies to meet the community’s needs. This framework addresses power imbalances inherent in technology-driven environments by empowering individuals and communities to oversee data collection, algorithmic decision-making, and AI

model creation. This facilitates an environment where individuals can actively oppose undesirable AI applications within their lives and local settings. There are three levels of meta-design for resistance.

- (1) *Adversarial Attacks and Privacy Enhancing Technologies as AI Defenses*: The first level involves identifying tools to defend against AI harms, such as exploiting how biased AI models can cause unintentional harm, and creating guardrails to protect users from that.
- (2) *Collaboration for Resistance*: The second level is collaboration for resistance. Sociotechnical in nature, it explores how AI defenses and collaborations can be designed together to empower resistance. An example is when TikTok users replace words that would trigger algorithms to flag their content as harmful, when they are trying to advocate for a social justice issue [22].
- (3) *Communities of Resistance*: Supportive networks that facilitate collaboration, knowledge sharing, and coordinated actions to resist AI harms, fostering empowerment and grassroots efforts to challenge and mitigate the negative impacts of AI technologies within marginalized communities. This bridges the gap between research and practical application, while also shaping broader cultural expectations of contestability and ownership in the face of data and AI-related challenges.

Agnew et al., argue that central to this framework is the concept of designing AI tools that align with the values of the community. This is necessary because one goal of this framework is to enable end users to provide feedback and iterate so that if there is value drift, communities have the ability to realign the technology or stop its deployment. In order to achieve a consensus on these values to center in the design process, we draw from the *PARTicipatory Queer AI Research in Mental Health (PARQAIR-MH)* protocol.

2.3.2 PARQAIR-MH. This community-engaged Delphi process incorporates queer perspectives on AI design in mental health care [21]. This protocol aims to deliver a toolkit that will help ensure that the specific needs of the LGBTQ+ communities are accounted for in mental health applications of data-driven technologies. The protocol starts with a comprehensive literature review of the problem space, with the goal of sharing findings back to queer stakeholders to ground foundational knowledge of the AI problem space. Subsequently, through a series of Delphi rounds, the group refines a moral framework for how AI tools should be used and developed that meet the needs of their community. It assists researchers, healthcare organizations, and policymakers in deciding how to collect and use data on sensitive characteristics appropriately.

We adapt this process to be inclusive, but not limited to, mental health care in AI. We believe this protocol embodies scientific rigor through a Delphi process for achieving a consensus across diverse perspectives, while also adhering to the central tenets of community-based participatory research. We conclude this position paper with a proposed research plan, one where we use the aforementioned frameworks to engage an adolescent queer community to be AI designers and have them explore concerns and opportunities as it relates to LGBTQ+ health.

3 PROPOSED RESEARCH DIRECTION

Building across our multidisciplinary team in digital sexual health, community-engagement, and values-aligned technology, we plan on engaging a Youth Advisory Council (YAC) managed by the Teen Health Lab (led by co-author Dr. Macapgal) housed within Northwestern’s Institute of Sexual and Gender Minority Health and Wellbeing. In the near term, we aim to grow a GenAI working group between our team and YAC. The YAC uses a private, teen-only Discord server facilitated by young LGBTQ research staff where LGBTQ high school students across the U.S. have offered meaningful input into four teen-centered digital and multimedia sexual health projects over the last year. This YAC

has co-designed a text-messaging intervention aimed at promoting engagement in sexual healthcare among LGBTQ+ adolescents and offered feedback on an adolescent sexual consent intervention. We have worked with this group on co-designing PrEP4teens.com [35], a website for promoting and informing PrEP.

The YAC is representative of a wide variety of sexual, gender, and racial identities—members who are historically excluded from the participation of AI tools, which has been repeatedly cited to be a significant contributor to biased AI algorithms [2, 4, 10]. Our research aims to leverage the vested interests of the YAC and create an opportunity for them to shape AI tools in the way they deem necessary for equitable HIV information seeking and dissemination. We plan on following an adapted version of the PARQAIR-MH protocol to build on our existing infrastructure and equip the YAC with a foundational knowledge of GenAI, informing them about documented use cases and harms towards the queer community. This will prepare them to explore what opportunities, concerns, and considerations must be made to leverage these tools for HIV prevention and information dissemination equitably, resulting in a moral framework for co-design.

Following suit, we follow the meta-design for resistance framework where we will lead a series of co-design workshops and usability testing to identify requirements for a queer-centric AI health assistant on ChatGPT and conduct one-on-one usability tests to exploit unintended harms. Through this, we will present back findings to the YAC to elicit reactions and create a robust list of guidelines to safeguard queer adolescents who may use ChatGPT for HIV prevention information. We plan on finding opportunities with the YAC for dissemination channels that would promote AI literacy.

We believe this is a promising approach to participatory methods in designing personalized AI health tools. Through engaging a diverse cast of queer teens who are experts in sexual health, we can build interventions to minimize the potential iatrogenic harms of biased AI models. In this workshop, we are poised to contribute our expertise in designing with marginalized communities to cultivate the development of equitable AI tools. We anticipate that this project will significantly benefit from the insightful discussions facilitated by the one-day workshop on designing with AI for well-being.

REFERENCES

- [1] William Agnew, Kevin McKee, Iason Gabriel, Jackie Kay, William Isaac, A. Stevie Bergman, Seliem El-Sayed, and Shakir Mohamed. 2023. Technologies of Resistance to AI. (2023).
- [2] Julie Ayre, Olivia Mac, Kirsten McCaffery, Brad R. McKay, Mingyi Liu, Yi Shi, Atria Rezwan, and Adam G. Dunn. 2023. New Frontiers in Health Literacy: Using ChatGPT to Simplify Health Information for People in the Community. *Journal of General Internal Medicine* (Nov. 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-023-08469-w>
- [3] Abeba Birhane, William Isaac, Vinodkumar Prabhakaran, Mark Diaz, Madeleine Clare Elish, Iason Gabriel, and Shakir Mohamed. 2022. Power to the People? Opportunities and Challenges for Participatory AI. (2022). <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2209.07572> Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 1.
- [4] Som S. Biswas. 2023. Role of Chat GPT in Public Health. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering* 51, 5 (May 2023), 868–869. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-023-03172-7>
- [5] William Richard Traylor Braddock, Manuel A Ocasio, W Scott Comulada, Jan Mandani, and M Isabel Fernandez. 2023. Increasing Participation in a TelePrEP Program for Sexual and Gender Minority Adolescents and Young Adults in Louisiana: Protocol for an SMS Text Messaging–Based Chatbot. *JMIR Research Protocols* 12 (May 2023), e42983. <https://doi.org/10.2196/42983>
- [6] Nicola Luigi Bragazzi, Andrea Crapanzano, Manlio Converti, Riccardo Zerbetto, and Rola Khamisy-Farah. 2023. The Impact of Generative Conversational Artificial Intelligence on the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Queer Community: Scoping Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 25 (Dec. 2023), e52091. <https://doi.org/10.2196/52091>
- [7] Nataly Buslón, Atia Cortés, Silvina Catuara-Solarz, Davide Cirillo, and Maria José Rementeria. 2023. Raising awareness of sex and gender bias in artificial intelligence and health. *Frontiers in Global Women's Health* 4 (Sept. 2023), 970312. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgwh.2023.970312>
- [8] Eric P. F. Chow, Jane S. Hocking, Jason J. Ong, Tiffany R. Phillips, Tina Schmidt, Andrew Buchanan, Elena Rodriguez, Kate Maddaford, and Christopher K. Fairley. 2021. Brief Report: Changes in PrEP Use, Sexual Practice, and Use of Face Mask During Sex Among MSM During

- the Second Wave of COVID-19 in Melbourne, Australia. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 86, 2 (Feb. 2021), 153–156. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000002575>
- [9] Sasha Costanza-Chock. 2018. Design justice, AI, and escape from the matrix of domination. *Journal of Design and Science* 3, 5 (2018).
- [10] Adnan Batuhan Coşkun, Erhan Elmaoğlu, Cebraıl Buran, and Selda Yüzer Alsaç. 2024. Integration of Chatgpt and E-Health Literacy: Opportunities, Challenges, and a Look Towards the Future. *Journal of Health Reports and Technology* 10, 1 (Jan. 2024). <https://doi.org/10.5812/jhrt-139748>
- [11] Richard Dunville, Christopher Harper, Michelle M. Johns, Melissa Heim Viox, Sabrina Avripas, Erin Fordyce, Michael Stern, Anna Schlissel, Rachel Carpenter, and Stuart Michaels. 2021. Awareness and Willingness to Use Biomedical Prevention Strategies for HIV Among Sexual and Gender Minority Youth: Results From a National Survey. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 68, 1 (Jan. 2021), 199–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2020.05.032>
- [12] Satyam Dwivedi, Sanjukta Ghosh, and Shivam Dwivedi. 2023. Breaking the Bias: Gender Fairness in LLMs Using Prompt Engineering and In-Context Learning. *Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities* 15, 4 (Dec. 2023). <https://doi.org/10.21659/rupkatha.v15n4.10>
- [13] Celia B. Fisher, Adam L. Fried, Leah Ibrahim Puri, Kathryn Macapagal, and Brian Mustanski. 2018. “Free Testing and PrEP without Outing Myself to Parents:” Motivation to participate in oral and injectable PrEP clinical trials among adolescent men who have sex with men. *PLOS ONE* 13, 7 (July 2018), e0200560. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200560>
- [14] Center for Disease Control. 2022. Minors’ Consent Laws | Law | Policy and Law | HIV/AIDS | CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/policies/law/states/minors.html>
- [15] Center for Disease Control. 2022. Pre-exposure prophylaxis | Reports| Resource Library | HIV/AIDS | CDC. [https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/risk/prep/index.html#:~:text=Pre%2Dexposure%20prophylaxis%20\(or%20PrEP,use%20by%20at%20least%2074%25](https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/risk/prep/index.html#:~:text=Pre%2Dexposure%20prophylaxis%20(or%20PrEP,use%20by%20at%20least%2074%25)
- [16] Center for Disease Control. 2024. HIV Surveillance | Reports| Resource Library | HIV/AIDS | CDC. <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>
- [17] Jacob Gordon, Val Wongsomboon, Andrés Alvarado Avila, Julianna Lorenzo, Brian Mustanski, and Kathryn Macapagal. 2024. Experiences of Successful PrEP Uptake Among Adolescent Sexual Minority Men in the United States: A Qualitative Exploration. *AIDS Education and Prevention* 36, 1 (Feb. 2024), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1521/aeap.2024.36.1.1>
- [18] Nicole Gross. 2023. What ChatGPT Tells Us about Gender: A Cautionary Tale about Performativity and Gender Biases in AI. *Social Sciences* 12, 8 (Aug. 2023), 435. <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12080435>
- [19] Maryam Hassani and Sean D. Young. 2022. Potential Role of Conversational Agents in Encouraging PrEP Uptake. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research* (May 2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-022-09798-0>
- [20] Chenglin Hong, Keith J. Horvath, Rob Stephenson, Kimberly M. Nelson, Andrew E. Petroll, Jennifer L. Walsh, and Steven A. John. 2022. PrEP Use and Persistence Among Young Sexual Minority Men 17–24 Years Old During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *AIDS and Behavior* 26, 3 (March 2022), 631–638. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-021-03423-5>
- [21] Dan W Joyce, Andrey Kormilitzin, Julia Hamer-Hunt, Kevin R McKee, and Nenad Tomasev. 2023. *Protocol for a Delphi consensus process for PARticipatory Queer AI Research in Mental Health (PARQAIR-MH)*. preprint. Health Informatics. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.08.07.23293764>
- [22] Nadia Karizat, Dan Delmonaco, Motahhare Eslami, and Nazanin Andalibi. 2021. Algorithmic Folk Theories and Identity: How TikTok Users Co-Produce Knowledge of Identity and Engage in Algorithmic Resistance. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 5, CSCW2 (Oct. 2021), 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3476046>
- [23] Os Keyes. 2018. The Misgendering Machines: Trans/HCI Implications of Automatic Gender Recognition. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 2, CSCW (Nov. 2018), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3274357>
- [24] Matthew Chung Yi Koh, Jinghao Nicholas Ngiam, Joy Yong, Paul Anantharajah Tambyah, and Sophia Archuleta. 2024. The role of an artificial intelligence model in antiretroviral therapy counselling and advice for people living with HIV. *HIV Medicine* (Jan. 2024), hiv.13604. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.13604>
- [25] Kathryn Macapagal, Junye Ma, Christopher Owens, Silvia Valadez-Tapia, Ashley Kraus, Nathan Walter, and Jim Pickett. 2022. 64. #PrEP4Teens: LGBTQ+ Adolescent Perspectives on Content and Implementation of a Teen-Centered PrEP Social Marketing Campaign. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 70, 4 (April 2022), S34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2022.01.024>
- [26] Kathryn Macapagal, Mara Nery-Hurwit, Margaret Matson, Shariell Crosby, and George J. Greene. 2021. Perspectives on and Preferences for On-Demand and Long-Acting PrEP Among Sexual and Gender Minority Adolescents Assigned Male at Birth. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy* 18, 1 (March 2021), 39–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-020-00441-1>
- [27] Keoni Mahelona, Gianna Leoni, Suzanne Duncan, and Miles Thompson. 2023. OpenAI’s Whisper is another case study in Colonisation. <https://blog.papareo.nz/whisper-is-another-case-study-in-colonisation/>
- [28] Margaret Matson, Mara Nery-Hurwit, Shariell Crosby, George J. Greene, and Kathryn Macapagal. 2021. Awareness and Knowledge of Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Among Sexual and Gender Minority Adolescents Assigned Male at Birth in the United States. *Archives of Sexual Behavior* 50, 4 (May 2021), 1701–1711. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10508-020-01904-7>
- [29] Dawn McAra-Hunter. 2024. How AI hype impacts the LGBTQ+ community. *AI and Ethics* (Feb. 2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-024-00423-8>
- [30] Elizabeth Anne McKay and Holly B. Fontenot. 2020. Parent-Adolescent Sex Communication with Sexual and Gender Minority Youth: An Integrated Review. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care* 34, 5 (Sept. 2020), e37–e48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedhc.2020.04.004>
- [31] Mirja Mittermaier, Marium M. Raza, and Joseph C. Kvedar. 2023. Bias in AI-based models for medical applications: challenges and mitigation strategies. *npj Digital Medicine* 6, 1 (June 2023), 113, s41746–023–00858–z. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00858-z>

- [32] David A. Moskowitz, Kathryn Macapagal, Melissa Mongrella, Leishla Pérez-Cardona, Michael E. Newcomb, and Brian Mustanski. 2020. What If My Dad Finds Out!?: Assessing Adolescent Men Who Have Sex with Men’s Perceptions About Parents as Barriers to PrEP Uptake. *AIDS and Behavior* 24, 9 (Sept. 2020), 2703–2719. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-020-02827-z>
- [33] David A. Moskowitz, Kevin O. Moran, Margaret Matson, Andrés Alvarado-Avila, and Brian Mustanski. 2021. The PrEP Cascade in a National Cohort of Adolescent Men Who Have Sex With Men. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 86, 5 (April 2021), 536–543. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000002613>
- [34] M. Cheryl Bañez Ocfemia, Richard Dunville, Tianchi Zhang, Lisa C. Barrios, and Alexandra M. Oster. 2018. HIV Diagnoses Among Persons Aged 13–29 Years — United States, 2010–2014. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 67, 7 (Feb. 2018), 212–215. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6707a2>
- [35] PrEP4Teens. [n. d.]. PrEP4Teens. <https://prep4teens.com/>
- [36] Amrita Rao, Hlengiwe Mhlophe, Carly Comins, Katherine Young, Mfezi Mcingana, Catherine Lesko, Ntambue Mulumba, Stefan Baral, Harry Hausler, and Sheree Schwartz. 2022. Persistence on oral pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) among female sex workers in eThekweni, South Africa, 2016–2020. *PLOS ONE* 17, 3 (March 2022), e0265434. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0265434>
- [37] Microsoft Research. [n. d.]. Digital Safety | Global Online Safety Survey Results. <https://www.microsoft.com/en/digitalsafety/research/global-online-safety-survey>
- [38] Fernanda Rick, Wilfred Odoke, Jan Van Den Hombergh, Adele S. Benzaken, and Vivian I. Avelino-Silva. 2022. Impact of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) on HIV testing and care provision across four continents. *HIV Medicine* 23, 2 (Feb. 2022), 169–177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.13180>
- [39] Morgan Klaus Scheuerman, Jacob M. Paul, and Jed R. Brubaker. 2019. How Computers See Gender: An Evaluation of Gender Classification in Commercial Facial Analysis Services. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction* 3, CSCW (Nov. 2019), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3359246>
- [40] Katie Seaborn, Shruti Chandra, and Thibault Fabre. 2023. Transcending the “Male Code”: Implicit Masculine Biases in NLP Contexts. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Hamburg Germany, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581017>
- [41] Mona Sloane, Emanuel Moss, Olaitan Awomolo, and Laura Forlano. 2022. Participation Is not a Design Fix for Machine Learning. In *Equity and Access in Algorithms, Mechanisms, and Optimization*. ACM, Arlington VA USA, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3551624.3555285>
- [42] Brian C. Thoma and David M. Huebner. 2018. Brief Report: HIV Pre-exposure Prophylaxis Engagement Among Adolescent Men Who Have Sex With Men: The Role of Parent–Adolescent Communication About Sex. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes* 79, 4 (Dec. 2018), 453–457. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000001837>
- [43] Nenad Tomasev, Kevin R. McKee, Jackie Kay, and Shakir Mohamed. 2021. Fairness for Unobserved Characteristics: Insights from Technological Impacts on Queer Communities. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.2102.04257> Publisher: arXiv Version Number: 3.
- [44] Douglas Zytka, Pamela J. Wisniewski, Shion Guha, Eric P. S. Baumer, and Min Kyung Lee. 2022. Participatory Design of AI Systems: Opportunities and Challenges Across Diverse Users, Relationships, and Application Domains. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491101.3516506>

This figure "acm-jdslogo.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2406.13018v1>

This figure "sample-franklin.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/2406.13018v1>