

Suitability of CCA for Generating Latent State/ Variables in Multi-View Textual Data

Akanksha Mehndiratta^{1*} and Krishna Asawa¹

^{1*}Department of Computer Science & Engineering and Information
Technology, Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, A-10,
Sector-62, Noida, 201309, Uttar Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): mehndiratta.akanksha@gmail.com;
Contributing authors: krishna.asawa@jiit.ac.in;

Abstract

The probabilistic interpretation of Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) for learning low-dimensional real vectors, called as latent variables, has been exploited immensely in various fields. This study takes a step further by demonstrating the potential of CCA in discovering a latent state that captures the contextual information within the textual data under a two-view setting. The interpretation of CCA discussed in this study utilizes the multi-view nature of textual data, i.e. the consecutive sentences in a document or turns in a dyadic conversation, and has a strong theoretical foundation.

Furthermore, this study proposes a model using CCA to perform the Automatic Short Answer Grading (ASAG) task. The empirical analysis confirms that the proposed model delivers competitive results and can even beat various sophisticated supervised techniques. The model is simple, linear, and adaptable and should be used as the baseline especially when labeled training data is scarce or nonexistent.

Keywords: Canonical Correlation Analysis, Natural Language Processing, Automatic Short Answer Grading, Unlabeled Data, Latent State, Hidden Variables, Latent Variables, Hidden State, Low Resource Language

1 Introduction

The multi-view nature of data refers to situations where information about a particular entity or phenomenon is available from multiple perspectives or sources. In various

fields, including data analysis [1], machine learning [2, 3], computer vision [4, 5], and information retrieval [6], dealing with data from multiple views has become increasingly important. Each view represents a different aspect or set of features related to the underlying data, and combining these views can provide a more comprehensive understanding.

The two-view nature of data refers to situations where information about a particular entity or phenomenon is available from exactly two perspectives or sources. In the context of machine learning and data analysis, dealing with two-view data is a specific case of multi-view learning where there are only two distinct sets of features or perspectives.

This study presents an interpretation for learning latent information by performing CCA in textual data under a two-view setting. The interpretation banks upon identifying past and future views in textual data which one can easily map. For instance, a certain window of past and future words around the word in a sentence, the consecutive sentences in a document, or turns in a dyadic conversation may be perceived as two-views in a two-view setting. The study exploits a two-view setting under a conditional independence assumption. The conditional independence assumption, represented in figure 1, states that the two views (a_1 and a_2) are said to be conditionally independent given latent state (L). The study proposed here revolves around the conditional independence assumption of the past and future views in textual data on some contextual(latent/hidden) state and the learning paradigm proposed exploits the aforementioned assumption.

The two-view setting fits quite effortlessly in various applications including Natural Language Processing(NLP). For instance, two news articles covering the same event, two captions used to describe one image, a document with its translation and many more. Moreover, it is also easy for one to find applications in text and NLP, under a two-view setting, where the conditional independence assumption holds naturally. This inherent association between the two-view setting and the conditional independence assumption serves as the foundation for the work presented in this study.

This study explores the probabilistic interpretation of Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) for developing latent variables in textual data. For most NLP-based applications, latent states/knowledge serves as a fundamental block and hence there is a constant need for methods that can do so efficiently. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first investigation to generate latent representation using CCA by exploiting the multi-view nature of textual data. Experiments on the Automatic Short Answer Grading (ASAG) task confirm that the proposed model is certainly competitive and achieves better results than the state-of-the-art.

2 Related Work

Textual data is often large, making it challenging for any model to process efficiently. Therefore, generating a latent representation or encoding of the input text in a condensed form is crucial. This representation typically has reduced dimensionality while preserving the semantic and syntactic information of the text. Latent representations capture hidden features that cannot be observed directly in the raw text. Various




Fig. 1 Graphical model for Multi-view assumption adapted from [7]

machine learning models designed for tasks, such as text classification, sentiment analysis, and more, use these latent representations as input features. Employing these representations enhances their understanding by capturing the semantic relationships present in the latent space.

Over the years, various methods have been developed to extract hidden features from raw text, but deep learning techniques, particularly variational autoencoders (VAEs) [8, 9], have revolutionized the field recently. With smart training techniques, these well-designed network architectures encode words, phrases, or sentences onto a latent space, where representations of the words or sentences that are similar are close to each other. VAEs have shown amazing improvements in this field. VAEs, consisting of both an encoder and a decoder, are trained on huge amounts of data, to minimize the reconstruction error between the original input and the encoded-decoded data.

Popular architectures for encoder and decoder include recurrent neural network (RNN)[10, 11], and transformer [12, 13]. To improve the performance a variety of mechanisms are appended to the existing architecture such as the Attention mechanism [14–16], Memory augmented encoder-decoder framework [17, 18]. Another variation include Hierarchical Encoder-Decoder[19, 20], multi-level network [21] and pre-trained language models (PLMs) [22–24].

Despite significant progress, there are several open challenges required to be addressed to advance this field. In the context of deep neural architectures, it is difficult to determine the features responsible for their high accuracy rates. Additionally, in NLP applications, latent representations/encodings are established using several deep neural architectures, customized to embed knowledge about the specific problems being addressed into the models. This field lacks a unified structure for constructing and evaluating such latent representations. Also, most models developed for latent representations rely on extensively labeled data for effective training. However, in many real-world applications, only a few examples are available for new domains, which is insufficient for training deep learning-based models.

This study establishes the theoretical foundation for demonstrating that CCA can learn latent or hidden states in input textual data and the latent state learned by the CCA has reduced sample complexity. Further, the predictive power of the learned latent state on a target variable is equivalent to the inputted two views, earning them

the status of an encoding. The study proposed eliminates the need for large labeled corpus, and resource-intensive training and is adaptable.

3 Canonical Correlation Analysis

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA)[25] is a multivariate statistical technique used to explore the relationships between two sets of variables. The primary goal of CCA is to find linear combinations of variables in each set, known as canonical variates, such that the correlation between the sets of canonical variates is maximized. In other words, CCA identifies the most highly correlated pairs, essentially a linear combination of variables in two sets.

CCA requires two sets of variables, one set for each domain. For example, you might have one set of variables related to physical activities and another set related to an individual's health. It then generates a pair of canonical variates, a linear combination of variables from each set of physical activity and individual health, that are maximally correlated. The number of canonical variates is equal to the minimum number of variables in the two sets. The variates are determined by learning of the Canonical Correlations. These represent the correlation coefficients between the sets of canonical variates. The goal is to maximize these correlations.

Consider two sets of random variables a and b , where a has m variables (features) and b has n variables. The goal of CCA is to find linear combinations of a and b such that the correlation between these linear combinations is maximized. Assuming that a and b are jointly Gaussian (multivariate normal) random variables

$$(a, b) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_a, C_{aa}) \otimes \mathcal{N}(\mu_b, C_{bb}) \quad (1)$$

Where $\mathcal{N}(\mu_a, C_{aa})$ is the Gaussian distribution of a with mean μ_a and covariance matrix C_{aa} . $\mathcal{N}(\mu_b, C_{bb})$ is the Gaussian distribution of b with mean μ_b and covariance matrix C_{bb} . \otimes denotes the Kronecker product. CCA finds vectors U_1 and U_2 such that the canonical correlation, denoted by ρ , is maximized. The canonical variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_a &= aU_1 \\ \lambda_b &= bU_2 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where U_1 and U_2 are the canonical weight vectors. CCA seeks U_1 and U_2 to maximize the correlation ρ between λ_a and λ_b

$$\rho = Corr(\lambda_a, \lambda_b) = \frac{Cov(\lambda_a, \lambda_b)}{\sqrt{Var(\lambda_a) \cdot Var(\lambda_b)}} \quad (3)$$

The optimization problem in CCA is framed around maximizing the canonical correlation ρ , which is formulated as an eigenvalue problem involving the cross-covariance matrices C_{ab} and C_{ba}

$$\max_{U_1, U_2} \rho = \max_{U_1, U_2} \frac{U_1^T C_{ab} U_2}{\sqrt{U_1^T C_{aa} U_1 \cdot U_2^T C_{bb} U_2}} \quad (4)$$




Fig. 2 Graphical model for Latent Interpretation Model adapted from [26]

The outcome of the optimization is a diagonal matrix containing canonical correlations $\rho = \text{diag}([p_0, \dots, p_{\text{dim}}])$, where $\text{dim} = \min(m,n)$. The projections are maximally correlated if $i = j$, with correlation coefficient p_i , and uncorrelated otherwise.

4 Theoretical Foundation

CCA is a powerful tool for identifying and understanding relationships between sets of variables. It provides a way to extract meaningful patterns and associations from multivariate data.

Recently, Bach and Jordan [26] demonstrated that when considering two random vectors that are independent conditional on some hidden state L , as shown in figure 2, CCA constitutes as an effective tool in generating an interpretation of L . The probabilistic interpretation of CCA assumes that the data follows a joint Gaussian distribution, and the optimization problem aims to identify the most highly correlated pairs, essentially a linear combination of variables within each vector. Canonical correlation measures the strength of the linear association between the canonical variables obtained from a and b .

Similarly, Foster et al. [7] capitalized on CCA, while working on input data under a multi-view setting, to lower the dimensionality of the input vector. Motivated by the work presented by Bach and Jordan [26], Foster et al. [7] approached the model in figure 2 from a two-view perspective. Foster et al. [7] replaced the two random variables with two views a_1 and a_2 of input data and interpreted the probabilistic model as a conditional independence assumption, illustrated in the figure 1, which implies that

$$Prob(a_1, a_2|L) = Prob(a_1|L)Prob(a_2|L) \quad (5)$$

4.1 Generating Latent State Using CCA

We first discuss the lemma that highlights the role of CCA in determining the latent state. Given two random variables a and b , Bach and Jordan [26] proposed an interpretation of latent state using CCA.

Lemma 4.1. *We consider the model given in figure 2 where a and b are two random variables of dimensions m and n respectively defined by 6*

$$\begin{aligned} L &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_{dim}) \\ a|L &\sim \mathcal{N}(W_a L + \mu_a, \Psi_a) \\ b|L &\sim \mathcal{N}(W_b L + \mu_b, \Psi_b) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Here $L \in \mathbb{R}^{(dim)}$ is the shared latent state, I is an Identity matrix and $dim = \min(m, n)$ is the dimension of the space onto which the views are projected. The maximum likelihood estimates of the model parameters $W_a \in \mathbb{R}^{(m \times dim)}$, $W_b \in \mathbb{R}^{(n \times dim)}$, μ_a , μ_b , Ψ_a and Ψ_b are derived as first dim canonical directions as 7

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_a &= C_{aa} U_a M_a \\ \hat{W}_b &= C_{bb} U_b M_b \\ \hat{\Psi}_a &= C_{aa} - \hat{W}_a \hat{W}_a^T \\ \hat{\Psi}_b &= C_{bb} - \hat{W}_b \hat{W}_b^T \\ \hat{\mu}_a &= \mu_a \\ \hat{\mu}_b &= \mu_b \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where C is the covariance matrix, the arbitrary matrices M_a and M_b , $\in \mathbb{R}^{(dim \times dim)}$ such that $M_a M_b^T = \rho_{dim}$ and the spectral norms be smaller than one. The j^{th} column of matrices U_a and U_b are equal to the j^{th} eigenvector and the first dim canonical correlations are given by a diagonal matrix ρ_{dim} .

Proof. A □

The lemma 4.1 is based on a probabilistic model which implies that a and b are independent variables conditional on some latent state. Assuming that the latent space follows Gaussian distribution, the lemma 4.1 supports that CCA unearths the shared latent state on a lower dimensional space.

The two-view framework and the interpretation, proposed by Bach and Jordan [26], attracted significant attention. Dhillon et al. [27] utilized applied this setting to create word embeddings, defining one view as a specified number of words surrounding the target word, while considering the target word itself as the other view. Foster et al. [7] on the other hand, utilized this setting to analyze the multi-view regression problem. Referencing the two random variables a and b in the graphical model representation given by figure 2 as two views of input data and the probabilistic model as an assumption, called as conditional independence, Foster et al. [7] showed that CCA can lower the dimensionality of the input data (by generating a latent state) without losing its predictive power.

Let us define the operation of CCA as 8

$$(\lambda_{a_1}, \lambda_{a_2}) = CCA(a_1, a_2) \tag{8}$$

Here a_1 and a_2 are two-views of the input data a . λ_{a_1} and λ_{a_2} are the projection of a_1 and a_2 respectively outputted by CCA onto a $\dim = \min(m,n)$ dimensional subspace. We now discuss the lemma that shows that the projections have a reduced dimensionality still their predictive power of the target variable remains unaffected.

Lemma 4.2. *Considering that the conditional independence holds for the model given in figure 1 and that the dimension of L is \dim . Then λ represents the CCA subspace of dimension \dim and*

1. *the best linear estimator of target variable Z with a_1 as well as its projection λ_{a_1} are equal.*
2. *the best linear estimator of target variable Z with a_2 as well as its projection λ_{a_2} are equal.*

Proof. B □

It is evident from lemma 4.2 that the best linear predictor of a target variable using the projections is equivalent to the optimal linear predictor using the two views of input.

Although lemma 4.1 provides a probabilistic interpretation of CCA for determining low dimensional real vectors called latent variables, it is lemma 4.2 that highlights the role of the latent variables in replacing the input data thus justifying its designation as an encoding.

5 Modeling Latent State in Textual Similarity Task

Semantic Textual Similarity (STS) refers to the task of determining the degree of similarity between two pieces of text based on their underlying meaning. The fundamental concept in the design of STS models is to extract semantic information, understand the relationship between them and output a score based on the nature of the relationship. This core concept behind STS serves as a cornerstone for various tasks in NLP, such as document summarization, textual entailment and many more.

The two-view setting, where we apply this interpretation of CCA to generate a latent state, is one where obtaining unlabeled samples is easy whereas labeled samples are scarce. Its ability to support unlabeled datasets opens up an array of applications where they can be applied. To strengthen and validate the learned latent state under a two-view setting in textual data, experiments were performed on the Automatic Short Answer Grading(ASAG) task. The reason to opt for the ASAG task is that it typically involves a small and domain-specific dataset that is not enough to provide training in deep learning based models.

ASAG is used to assess and grade short answers submitted by students. These systems are designed to analyze the content, structure, and relevance of the answers and provide a score. The ASAG task resembles the semantic textual similarity task as both tasks output a degree of similarity between two pieces of text.

5.1 Dataset

The dataset considered for experimenting is Mohler [28]. The Mohler dataset refers to a collection of short-answer text data that is often used in natural language processing (NLP) research and machine learning tasks, particularly in the context of ASAG. The dataset contains 80 questions along with desired answer with approximately 24 to 30 student submissions for each question. Thus containing 2273 pairs of the desired answer and student’s submission. Each student submission is graded by two assigned teachers and an average grade is also attached with each pair as illustrated in table 1.

5.2 Data Preprocessing

A sentence-pair in ASAG constitutes of the desired and a student’s submission. Using Python’s NLTK each sentence in a pair goes through the following stages: tokenization, removing punctuations, replacing numbers and removing stop words. Each answer, desired as well as a student’s submission (a_i) is represented as a list of the Glove embedding [29], where an m-dimensional vector is determined to represent each word using Glove. $a_i = (\text{word}_{i1}, \text{word}_{i2}, \dots, \text{word}_{ik})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, where each element, word_{i1} , is the m-dimensional embedding equivalent of its corresponding word.

5.3 Modeling Textual Similarity in a CCA subspace

Here’s how this study exploits the latent variables generated using CCA in developing an application that performs the ASAG task. In this setting, the given two pieces of text a_1 and a_2 are perceived as two views of input $a = (a_1, a_2)$ and the algorithm to compute the semantic similarity score s given by algorithm 1

Algorithm 1 Semantic Textual Similarity in a CCA subspace

- 1: Using $a = (a_1, a_2)$, perform CCA.
 - 2: Construct the projections λ_{a_1} and λ_{a_2} of size dim .
 - 3: Design a distance function $f(\lambda_{a_1}, \lambda_{a_2})$ to compute the similarity metric.
-

Given a desired answer - student’s submission pair (a_i, a_j) , CCA outputs projections that are essentially a linear transformation of a_i and a_j . CCA is a function defined in SKLearn, an open-source Python library. This function returns the canonical pairs of direction, in other words, a projection matrix, for each a_1 and a_2 respectively. This outputted projection matrix is then used to transform a_1 and a_2 to develop latent variable pairs λ_{a_1} and λ_{a_2}

Here the number of projections determined by CCA is given as $\min(m, n)$ where m and n are the length of a_i and a_j . Table 2 demonstrates canonical variables/ projections determined by CCA on a few samples of desired answer-student submission pair from the dataset.

Table 1 Samples demonstrating the questions, reference answers, student answers, and the grades awarded from the Mohler’s dataset.

Id	Question	Desired Answer	Student Answer	Teacher 1 Grade	Teacher 2 Grade	Average
1.1	What is the role of a prototype program in problem solving?	To simulate the behaviour of portions of the desired software product.	High risk problems are addressed in the prototype program to make sure that the program is feasible.	4	3	3.5
1.1	What is the role of a prototype program in problem solving?	To simulate the behaviour of portions of the desired software product.	To simulate portions of the desired final product with a quick and easy program that does a small specific job. It is a way to help see what the problem is and how you may solve it in the final project.	5	5	5
1.1	What is the role of a prototype program in problem solving?	To simulate the behaviour of portions of the desired software product.	High risk problems are addressed in the prototype program to make sure that the program is feasible. A prototype may also be used to show a company that the software can be possibly programmed.	4	3	3.5

Table 2 Projections and Similarity score obtained from the proposed model on a few samples of desired answer-student submission pair.

Sentence Pair	Projection	Average Score	Proposed Model
Desired Answer 'To simulate the behaviour of portions of the desired software product.' Student Answer 'To find problem and errors in a program before it is finalized.'	['software', 'behaviour', 'product', 'portions', 'desired'] ['program', 'problem', 'find', 'finalized', 'errors']	2	1.9
Desired Answer At the main function. Student Answer The main method.	['main', 'function'] ['main', 'method']	5	3.78
Desired Answer A location in memory that can store a value. Student Answer An object with a location in memory where value can be stored	['store', 'value', 'memory', 'location'] ['value', 'stored', 'location', 'memory']	3.5	4.3
Desired Answer The block inside a do...while statement will execute at least once. Student Answer a while statement will only process if the statement is met, while a do...while will always process once, then only continue if the statement is met.	['statement', 'least', 'execute', 'inside', 'block'] ['statement', 'always', 'process', 'always', 'process']	5	2.69

5.4 Formulating Similarity Score

To generate a score for grading, cosine similarity is applied between the projection pairs. The average cosine similarity between two projection pairs is then scaled to 5 to determine a final grade.

5.5 Results and Analysis

Recent advancements in the Automated Short Answer Grading (ASAG) task focus on deep learning models, which are designed to achieve a deep understanding and create dynamic encodings of the answer text, such as LSTM[30–33], CNN-LSTM [34], and transformers[35]. Zhu et al. [36] carried out experiments on the Mohler dataset using Bi-LSTM, Capsule, CNN, and multi-level architectures such as Bi-LSTM with a Capsule network and Bi-LSTM with a CNN network. While finer global context is generated using a Bi-LSTM (each LSTM network containing 200 hidden units), local

Table 3 Experiment on the Mohler dataset.

Model	Pearson’s r Score
Bi-LSTM-Capsule [36]	0.507
Bi-LSTM + CNN [36]	0.517
CNN [36]	0.002
Capsule [36]	0.070
Bi-LSTM [36]	0.092
ELMo [38]	0.485
GPT [38]	0.248
BERT [38]	0.318
GPT-2 [38]	0.311
Proposed Model	0.512

context is generated using a CNN or a Capsule network[37] (number of convolution cores = 400, size of each convolution core = 3, number of dynamic routes = 3). Gaddipati et al. [38] gave experimental results on the Mohler dataset using various transfer-learning based models (without fine tuning), such as ELMo[39], GPT[40], BERT[41], and GPT-2[42].

The effectiveness of a model in such a setting is computed using the Pearson correlation coefficient between the scores determined by the model and the average scores provided in the dataset. The higher the Pearson’s r the better the model. Table 3 shows the result of various models experimented on the Mohler dataset. Pearson’s r score shows that this simple linear model significantly outperforms the grading accuracy of various non-linear complex deep learning models.

6 Conclusion

We have presented an interpretation of CCA that not only improves the understanding of CCA but also simplifies its inclusion in most NLP and text-based applications. Needless to say, it eliminates the need for a large labeled dataset which makes accomplishing various NLP tasks more accessible. The work also does not pose any limitation in terms of language. The framework proposed is adaptable therefore innumerable extensions are possible for this linear probabilistic model.

Deep-learning based models are a popular choice for achieving high accuracy in most NLP tasks. Most work in recent years adds more complex layers to existing multi-layered complex architecture and then performs training to tune the parameters. Consequently, this increases the need for large labeled data sets and resource-intensive training. On the other hand, the framework proposed is simple and linear, yet, it certainly stands out and shows promise compared to the state-of-the art.

7 Future Work

The model proposed based on the interpretation of CCA, develops a semantic relationship between the input sentence-pair based on a latent state, which essentially

is represented by a word or a unigram. Therefore, exploring variants to discover the syntactic patterns or phrases in a sentence would be an important development.

Moreover, the model proposed is experimented on a small dataset. It would be interesting to explore the model and the latent information discovered in a setting with a large dataset for the textual similarity task. Further, to develop applications, with this model as the foundation, for performing various NLP tasks.

References

- [1] Wang, Z., Wang, L., Zhang, L., Huang, H.: Embedding shared low-rank and feature correlation for multi-view data analysis. 2020 25th International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR), 1686–1693 (2021)
- [2] Huang, Z., Zhou, J.T., Zhu, H., Zhang, C., Lv, J., Peng, X.: Deep spectral representation learning from multi-view data. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing* **30**, 5352–5362 (2021)
- [3] Zhang, R., Nie, F., Li, X., Wei, X.: Feature selection with multi-view data: A survey. *Inf. Fusion* **50**, 158–167 (2019)
- [4] Bai, X., Ning, X., Zhou, J., Cheng, J., Wu, J., Wang, C., Gu, L.: Guest editorial: Multi-view representation learning for computer vision. *IET Computer Vision* (2023)
- [5] Kumar, A.K., Mai, N.N., Guo, S., Han, L.: Entanglement inspired approach for determining the preeminent arrangement of static cameras in a multi-view computer vision system. *The Visual Computer* **39**, 2847–2863 (2022)
- [6] Narváez, F., Díaz, G., Romero, E.: Multi-view information fusion for automatic bi-rads description of mammographic masses. In: *Medical Imaging* (2011). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:26072680>
- [7] Foster, D.P., Kakade, S.M., Zhang, T.: Multi-view dimensionality reduction via canonical correlation analysis (2008)
- [8] Kingma, D.P., Welling, M.: Auto-encoding variational bayes. arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114 (2013)
- [9] Rezende, D.J., Mohamed, S., Wierstra, D.: Stochastic backpropagation and approximate inference in deep generative models. In: *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 1278–1286 (2014). PMLR
- [10] Bowman, S.R., Vilnis, L., Vinyals, O., Dai, A.M., Jozefowicz, R., Bengio, S.: Generating sentences from a continuous space. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.06349 (2015)
- [11] Serban, I.V., Ororbia II, A.G., Pineau, J., Courville, A.: Piecewise latent variables

- for neural variational text processing. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.00377 (2016)
- [12] Jin, S., Wiseman, S., Stratos, K., Livescu, K.: Discrete latent variable representations for low-resource text classification. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.06226 (2020)
 - [13] Kumar, S., Pradeep, J., Zaidi, H.: Learning robust latent representations for controllable speech synthesis. arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.04458 (2021)
 - [14] Li, C., Xu, W., Li, S., Gao, S.: Guiding generation for abstractive text summarization based on key information guide network. In: Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 2 (Short Papers), pp. 55–60 (2018)
 - [15] Bahdanau, D., Cho, K., Bengio, Y.: Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.0473 (2014)
 - [16] Liu, Y., Lin, Z., Liu, F., Dai, Q., Wang, W.: Generating paraphrase with topic as prior knowledge. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, pp. 2381–2384 (2019)
 - [17] Reddy, R., Contractor, D., Raghu, D., Joshi, S.: Multi-level memory for task oriented dialogs. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.10647 (2018)
 - [18] Wu, C.-S., Xiong, C., Socher, R.: Global-to-local memory pointer networks for task-oriented dialogue. Google Patents. US Patent 11,514,915 (2022)
 - [19] Serban, I., Sordoni, A., Lowe, R., Charlin, L., Pineau, J., Courville, A., Bengio, Y.: A hierarchical latent variable encoder-decoder model for generating dialogues. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 31 (2017)
 - [20] Xiao, T., Liang, S., Meng, Z.: Hierarchical neural variational model for personalized sequential recommendation. In: The World Wide Web Conference, pp. 3377–3383 (2019)
 - [21] Shen, D., Celikyilmaz, A., Zhang, Y., Chen, L., Wang, X., Gao, J., Carin, L.: Towards generating long and coherent text with multi-level latent variable models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.00154 (2019)
 - [22] Guan, J., Huang, F., Zhao, Z., Zhu, X., Huang, M.: A knowledge-enhanced pre-training model for commonsense story generation. Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics **8**, 93–108 (2020)
 - [23] Liu, W., Zhou, P., Zhao, Z., Wang, Z., Ju, Q., Deng, H., Wang, P.: K-bert: Enabling language representation with knowledge graph. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 34, pp. 2901–2908 (2020)

- [24] Yu, D., Zhu, C., Yang, Y., Zeng, M.: Jacket: Joint pre-training of knowledge graph and language understanding. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, vol. 36, pp. 11630–11638 (2022)
- [25] Hotelling, H.: Relations between two sets of variates. *Biometrika* **28**, 321–377 (1936)
- [26] Bach, F., Jordan, M.: A probabilistic interpretation of canonical correlation analysis (2005)
- [27] Dhillon, P.S., Foster, D.P., Ungar, L.H.: Eigenwords: spectral word embeddings. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.* **16**, 3035–3078 (2015)
- [28] Mohler, M., Mihalcea, R.: Text-to-text semantic similarity for automatic short answer grading. In: Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (2009). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2233498>
- [29] Pennington, J., Socher, R., Manning, C.D.: Glove: Global Vectors for Word Representation. In: Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP), pp. 1532–1543 (2014)
- [30] Alikaniotis, D., Yannakoudakis, H., Rei, M.: Automatic text scoring using neural networks. *ArXiv abs/1606.04289* (2016)
- [31] Kumar, S., Chakrabarti, S., Roy, S.: Earth mover’s distance pooling over siamese lstms for automatic short answer grading. In: International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (2017). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:27985376>
- [32] Uto, M., Uchida, Y.: Automated short-answer grading using deep neural networks and item response theory. *Artificial Intelligence in Education* **12164**, 334–339 (2020)
- [33] Tulu, C.N., Ozkaya, O., Orhan, U.: Automatic short answer grading with semspace sense vectors and malstm. *IEEE Access* **9**, 19270–19280 (2021)
- [34] Riordan, B., Horbach, A., Cahill, A., Zesch, T., Lee, C.M.: Investigating neural architectures for short answer scoring. In: BEA@EMNLP (2017). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:12229972>
- [35] Liu, T., Ding, W., Wang, Z., Tang, J., Huang, G.Y., Liu, Z.: Automatic short answer grading via multiway attention networks. *ArXiv abs/1909.10166* (2019)
- [36] Zhu, X., Wu, H., Zhang, L.: Automatic short-answer grading via bert-based deep neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies* **15**, 364–375 (2022)

- [37] Zhao, W., Ye, J., Yang, M., Lei, Z., Zhang, S., Zhao, Z.: Investigating capsule networks with dynamic routing for text classification. In: Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (2018). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:4588148>
- [38] Gaddipati, S.K., Nair, D., Plöger, P.-G.: Comparative evaluation of pre-trained transfer learning models on automatic short answer grading. ArXiv **abs/2009.01303** (2020)
- [39] Peters, M.E., Neumann, M., Iyyer, M., Gardner, M., Clark, C., Lee, K., Zettlemoyer, L.: Deep contextualized word representations. ArXiv **abs/1802.05365** (2018)
- [40] Radford, A., Narasimhan, K., Salimans, T., Sutskever, I.: Improving language understanding with unsupervised learning (2018)
- [41] Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K.: Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In: North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (2019). <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:52967399>
- [42] Open, A.: Better language models and their implications. San Francisco: OpenAI (2019)

Appendix A Proof For Lemma 1

Given an input $I = (a, b)$ of dimension m and n respectively, the marginal mean for I is given as

$$\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a \\ \mu_b \end{pmatrix}$$

and the covariance matrix as

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} W_a W_a^T + \Psi_a & W_a W_b^T \\ W_b W_a^T & W_b W_b^T + \Psi_b \end{pmatrix}$$

. For input data $I^j = (a^j, b^j), j = 1, 2, \dots, k$, the negative log likelihood is given as

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= \frac{k(m+n)}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{k}{2} \log |C| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr} C^{-1} (I^j - \mu)(I^j - \mu)^T \\ &= \frac{k(m+n)}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{k}{2} \log |C| + \frac{k}{2} \text{tr} C^{-1} \tilde{C} + \frac{k}{2} (\tilde{\mu} - \mu)^T C^{-1} (\tilde{\mu} - \mu) \end{aligned}$$

Let us first maximize with respect to μ . The maximum is obtained at sample mean $(\tilde{\mu})$. Updating this value in the log likelihood results in

$$l_1 = \frac{k(m+n)}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{n}{2} \log |C| + \frac{n}{2} \text{tr} C^{-1} \tilde{C}$$

The rest of the proof follows immediately along the line of proof given by Bach and Jordan [26].

Appendix B Proof For Lemma 2

Let the covariance between two variables be denoted as

$$\begin{aligned} C_{11} &= \mathbb{E}[a_1(a_1)^T] \\ C_{22} &= \mathbb{E}[a_2(a_2)^T] \\ C_{12} &= \mathbb{E}[a_1(a_2)^T] \end{aligned}$$

Let L be a linear latent state, then the covariance between two variables a_1 and a_2 , similar to the proof presented by Foster et al. [7], is given as

$$C_{12} = C_{1L}C_{L2}$$

Hence the following equality holds

$$C_{1L} = C_{12}(C_{L2})^{-1}$$

Now, the optimal linear predictor β is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= C_{1L} \\ &= C_{12}(C_{L2})^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{B1}$$

Hence

$$\beta a_1 = (C_{2L})^{-1}C_{21}a_1$$

Let the singular value decomposition of C_{12} be:

$$C_{12} = U_1\rho U_2^T$$

here ρ is diagonal with canonical directions and the column vector of U_1 and U_2 form the CCA basis. Plugging the values in

$$\beta a_1 = (C_{2L})^{-1}U_2\rho U_1^T a_1 \tag{B2}$$

Since $p_i = 0$ where $i \neq j$ hence

$$\rho U_1^T a_1 = \rho U_1^T \lambda_{a1}$$

Plugging this in [B2](#)

$$\begin{aligned}\beta a_1 &= (C_{2L})^{-1} U_2 \rho U_1^T \lambda_{a1} \\ &= \beta \lambda_{a1}\end{aligned}\tag{B3}$$

Hence proving that the claim made in 1 is valid. The proof for claim 2 follows along the same lines as for claim 1.