

Unconventional early-time relaxation in the Rydberg chain

Martin Schnee,* Roya Radgohar, and Stefanos Kourtis

Institut quantique & Département de physique, Université de Sherbrooke, Sherbrooke, Québec, Canada, J1K 2R1

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

We show that unconventional relaxation dynamics of special initial states in one-dimensional arrays of Rydberg atoms produce non-generic decay of the initial-state survival probability at early times. Using the PXP hamiltonian as a minimal model of the Rydberg blockade, we prove that the early-time survival probability for states exhibiting quantum many-body scarring decays at a characteristic rate, whose finite-size scaling is determined solely by scars. We numerically investigate the effects of both revival-enhancing and ergodicity-restoring perturbations and find results consistent with the limiting cases of integrable and ergodic dynamics, respectively. Since the survival probability is easily accessible experimentally at early times, our findings enable us to probe the presence of scars at time scales much shorter than that of thermalization.

Since its discovery in a Rydberg atom array [1], weak ergodicity breaking in quantum systems with constrained dynamics is under active investigation [2–6]. This phenomenon has been associated with quantum many-body scars (QMBSs), special non-thermal mid-spectrum eigenstates. One way to detect the presence of QMBSs is to quench a fine-tuned initial state with high overlap with QMBS eigenstates and look for oscillations of observables that persist for times much longer than the expected thermalization time of the system. Ascertaining the presence of QMBSs via observation of long-lived oscillations can be challenging due to the short coherence windows of existing quantum devices. Uncovering early-time signatures of QMBSs would therefore render weak ergodicity breaking and associated effects more amenable to noisy quantum simulation.

In this Letter, we trace the presence of QMBSs in the survival probability (SP) of initial states leading to scarring. The SP [7] is defined as the overlap between an initial state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and the evolved state $|\psi_t\rangle$ at time t :

$$S_P(t) = |\langle \psi_0 | \psi_t \rangle|^2. \quad (1)$$

At short times, the SP displays a universal quadratic decay [8]:

$$S_P(t) \approx 1 - \sigma^2 t^2. \quad (2)$$

The rate σ^2 is characteristic of the underlying dynamics and the initial state, and provides global information about the stability of quantum dynamics in isolated interacting quantum systems [9, 10]. For evolution under a hamiltonian H , σ^2 is determined by the spread of the initial state over the energy eigenbasis $\{|E_\alpha\rangle\}$ of H as

$$\sigma^2 = \langle H^2 \rangle_{\psi_0} - \langle H \rangle_{\psi_0}^2 \quad (3)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}^0|^2 (E_{\alpha} - E_0)^2, \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\psi_0}$ denotes expectation with respect to $|\psi_0\rangle$, $E_0 = \langle H \rangle_{\psi_0}$ is the energy of the initial state, and $C_{\alpha}^0 = \langle \psi_0 | E_{\alpha} \rangle$.

In other words, the decay rate σ^2 is the variance of the overlap distribution $P_{\alpha}^0 = |C_{\alpha}^0|^2$ of the initial state over energy eigenstates. The SP is then

$$S_P(t) = \left| \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}^0|^2 e^{-itE_{\alpha}} \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

In the thermodynamic limit, the discrete probability distribution P_{α}^0 becomes $\mathcal{P}_{\text{LDOS}}(E) = \sum_{\alpha} |C_{\alpha}^{(0)}|^2 \delta(E - E_{\alpha})$, known as the local density of states (LDOS). For simplicity, we use the term LDOS for both the discrete and the continuous case.

In generic quantum many-body systems with 2-local interactions, initial states with energy close to the middle of the spectrum exhibit a Gaussian LDOS. It has been shown that for both chaotic and strongly perturbed integrable systems, the decay rate scales with system size L as $\sigma^2 \propto L$ [9–11].

In contrast, below we show that QMBS systems may exhibit a non-generic SP decay rate that is fully determined by the QMBS component of the initial-state LDOS alone. The intuition is that the LDOS of initial states leading to scarred dynamics is dominated by a comb of QMBS contributions (see, e.g., Fig. 1b), which fix σ^2 . Assuming a semi-phenomenological model of a scarred LDOS, we derive general conditions under which the presence of QMBSs in the energy spectrum can be probed by measuring the early-time decay of the SP. The SP thus provides a sought-after early-time signature of QMBSs accessible in current rapidly-decohering quantum simulators.

We demonstrate this reasoning in the PXP hamiltonian, an effective model for a chain of L neutral atoms (qubits) subjected to Rydberg blockade [1], defined as

$$H_{\text{PXP}} = \sum_{i=1}^L P_{i-1} X_i P_{i+1}, \quad (6)$$

where X_i, Z_i are Pauli matrices associated to the i -th qubit, and $P_i = (1 - Z_i)/2 = |1\rangle\langle 1|$. It is also useful to define $Q_i = 1 - P_i = |0\rangle\langle 0|$. In what follows, we consider only periodic boundary conditions.

A quench of the state $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle = |1010\dots\rangle$ with the PXP hamiltonian leads to long-lived revivals of the state. This behavior can be traced back to the significant overlap of the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ state with $k = L - 1$ QMBS eigenstates, leading to $L - 1$

* martin.schnee@usherbrooke.ca




FIG. 1: LDOS of the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ state over the energy eigenbasis of PXP model (a) with revival-enhancing deformation ($g_{\text{perf}} = 0.048$), (b) without deformation, and (c) with ergodicity-restoring deformation ($g_{\text{NNN}} = 1$). The black dashed line is a Gaussian fit for the LDOS. All plots are obtained by Lanczos exact diagonalization for $L = 28$ qubits with 400 iterations (histogrammed with 130 bins).

narrow peaks aligned with QMBS energies, approximately equally separated by a size-independent energy gap [12, 13] — see Fig. 1b. The revival period is inversely proportional to this gap. The resulting LDOS differs significantly from the usual Gaussian distribution observed in generic evolution (see, e.g., Fig. 1c).

The variance of the full LDOS can be analytically calculated from Eq. (3), and is given by $\sigma_{\text{PXP}|\mathbb{Z}_2}^2 = L/2$, since $\langle H_{\text{PXP}} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 0$ and only $L/2$ terms contribute to the expectation $\langle H_{\text{PXP}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. This non-generic $L/2$ scaling distinguishes the scarred LDOS from the generic Gaussian case [9–11]. In contrast, an analogous calculation for the rapidly thermalizing initial state $|\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle = |1\rangle^{\otimes L}$ yields the generic behaviour $\sigma_{\text{PXP}|\mathbb{Z}_1}^2 = L$.

We now show that the non-generic scaling of σ^2 is determined exclusively by QMBSs in the PXP model, thus signalling their presence. An excellent approximation of QMBS eigenstates can be obtained using the so-called forward-scattering approximation (FSA) [13, 14]. The FSA allows us to numerically isolate QMBS contributions to the LDOS. The FSA calculation yields a variance $\sigma_{\text{PXP}|\mathbb{Z}_2}^{2(\text{FSA})} = L/2$ — see Fig. 2.

To further reinforce the conclusion that scars alone, if present, determine the early-time SP in the PXP model, we study the effect of perturbations δH that either enhance revivals or restore ergodicity. In what follows, we only consider perturbations and initial states for which $\langle \delta H \rangle_{\psi_0} = 0$. Thus, upon introducing such a perturbation, the LDOS variance for the total hamiltonian $H = H_{\text{PXP}} + \delta H$ becomes

$$\sigma^2 = \langle H_{\text{PXP}}^2 \rangle_{\psi_0} + \langle H_{\text{PXP}} \delta H \rangle_{\psi_0} + \langle \delta H H_{\text{PXP}} \rangle_{\psi_0} + \langle \delta H^2 \rangle_{\psi_0}. \quad (7)$$

Below we evaluate this expression for $|\psi_0\rangle = |\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle, |\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle$ and two different perturbations.

We first introduce a term known to enhance the fidelity of the revivals [15, 16]. This reads

$$H_{\text{perf}} = g_{\text{perf}} \sum_j P_{j-1} X_j P_{j+1} (Z_{j+2} + Z_{j-2}), \quad (8)$$

with $g_{\text{perf}} \geq 0$. Its effect is to increase the rate of flips on qubit

j when a qubit is in $|0\rangle$ two sites away. Nearly perfect revivals are obtained for $g_{\text{perf}} \approx 0.048$.

The $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ LDOS with respect to the total hamiltonian H with $\delta H = H_{\text{perf}}$ remains highly peaked within the range $g_{\text{perf}} \in [0, 0.048]$, with the number of peaks staying constant. For the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ initial state, the terms in Eq. (7) are evaluated as $\langle H_{\text{PXP}} H_{\text{perf}} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \langle H_{\text{perf}} H_{\text{PXP}} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = g_{\text{perf}} L$ and $\langle H_{\text{perf}}^2 \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}_2} = 2g_{\text{perf}}^2 L$. The LDOS variance is therefore $\sigma_{\text{perf}|\mathbb{Z}_2}^2 = (2g_{\text{perf}}^2 + 2g_{\text{perf}} + \frac{1}{2})L$. The scar variance extracted from FSA numerics agrees perfectly with this scaling, as shown in Fig. 2. In contrast, the LDOS variance of the $|\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle$ state remains Gaussian without dominant overlap with scars. The scaling of its variance is distinct from that of $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$. We namely find $\sigma_{\text{perf}|\mathbb{Z}_1}^2 = (4g_{\text{perf}}^2 - 4g_{\text{perf}} + 1)L$. In the range $g_{\text{perf}} \ll 1$, where revival enhancement is observed for the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ initial state, we notice that H_{perf} has the *opposite* effect on the LDOS variance of the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ and $|\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle$ initial states.

Next, we deform the PXP hamiltonian with a term that destroys the special eigenstates and their associated coherent revivals, as well as any trace of “slow” thermalization in eigenstates, and restores strong eigenstate thermalization [13]. This deformation describes next-nearest-neighbor correlated flips:

$$H_{\text{NNN}} = g_{\text{NNN}} \sum_j P_{j-1} X_j P_{j+1} X_{j+2} P_{j+3}. \quad (9)$$

As can be seen in Fig. 1c, H_{NNN} suppresses the peaks in the $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ LDOS and a generic Gaussian LDOS is observed for large enough g_{NNN} . We note that restoration of ergodicity means that the FSA is no longer valid. The exact expression for the variance scaling is $\sigma_{\text{NNN}|\mathbb{Z}_2}^2 = (\frac{1}{2}g_{\text{NNN}}^2 + \frac{1}{2})L$. The $|\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle$ LDOS behaves similarly in this case, with scaling $\sigma_{\text{NNN}|\mathbb{Z}_1}^2 = (g_{\text{NNN}}^2 + 1)L$. This is to be contrasted with the opposite trends obtained above for $|\mathbb{Z}_2\rangle$ and $|\mathbb{Z}_1\rangle$ initial states under the scar-enhancing deformation.

These results, summarized in Fig. 2, strongly suggest that, as soon as QMBS overlaps are dominant, they dictate the full LDOS variance and consequently the short-time SP decay.

Building on the insights collected so far, we devise a general semi-phenomenological model of a scarred LDOS for initial states having overlap with both QMBS eigenstates and




FIG. 2: FSA scars variance vs LDOS variance rescaled with system size as a function of deformation strength, for $L = 24, 26, 28$ sites. (Right half) Variance when PXP is perturbed toward restoration of ergodicity. (Left half) Variance when PXP is perturbed toward perfect scarring behavior. The bare PXP model is at $g_{\text{perf}} = g_{\text{NNN}} = 0$. Full LDOS data are obtained from regular Lanczos method with 400 iterations.

otherwise thermal eigenstates. It follows from the early idea that the energy spectrum of scarred models can be divided in a subspace of QMBS eigenstates and a subspace of thermal eigenstates [17]. The relevant initial states to probe the presence of QMBS will generally be those having an overlap over both sectors, but whose overlap with the QMBS sector is significantly higher than with the thermal one.

To motivate our scarred LDOS model, we consider the sum of the bare PXP hamiltonian, hosting approximate QMBS, and any perturbation $\delta\hat{H}$ for which $\langle\delta\hat{H}\rangle_{\psi_0} = \langle H_{\text{PXP}}\delta\hat{H}\rangle_{\psi_0} = \langle\delta\hat{H}H_{\text{PXP}}\rangle_{\psi_0} = 0$ for the initial state(s) of interest, such as the H_{NNN} introduced above. Due to the linearity of the expectation, this is the case whenever there are no terms in $\delta\hat{H}$ that can undo the effect of the terms of H_{PXP} on the initial state. Since each term of the latter contains an odd number of X s (one here), the local terms of the former must contain an odd number of them as well to cancel their effect. Therefore, for these perturbations, the variance reduces to the sum of the variances of PXP and deformation terms: $\sigma^2 = \langle H_{\text{PXP}}^2 \rangle + \langle \delta\hat{H}^2 \rangle$. Specifically, the effect of the ergodicity-restoring deformation H_{NNN} , whose terms are parity-preserving, can be seen as an independent contribution to the LDOS variance.

With the above reasoning in mind, we can abstract away all microscopic model details and focus on LDOS of the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{LDOS}}(E) = w_1 \mathcal{P}_{\text{sc}}(E) + w_2 \mathcal{P}_{\text{th}}(E) \quad (10)$$

with the constraint $w_1 + w_2 = 1$ to ensure normalization. The distribution $\mathcal{P}_{\text{sc}}(E)$ describes (approximate) scars and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{th}}(E)$ represents the background of thermal states, both normalized to 1. Motivated by our results for the deformed PXP model discussed above, we assume that both distributions have the same mean, so that $\mu_{\text{LDOS}} = \mu_{\text{sc}} = \mu_{\text{th}}$, and, further, that $\sigma_{\text{sc}}^2 \neq \sigma_{\text{th}}^2$, where σ_{sc}^2 and σ_{th}^2 are the variances of the distributions of each sector. The total LDOS variance is then

$$\sigma_{\text{LDOS}}^2 = w_1 \sigma_{\text{sc}}^2 + w_2 \sigma_{\text{th}}^2. \quad (11)$$

In this setting, σ_{sc}^2 fully determines the observed σ_{LDOS}^2 if $w_1 \sigma_{\text{sc}}^2 \gg w_2 \sigma_{\text{th}}^2$, i.e., if

$$\frac{w_1}{w_2} \gg \frac{\sigma_{\text{th}}^2}{\sigma_{\text{sc}}^2}, \quad (12)$$

meaning the weight ratio significantly ‘‘compensates’’ the inverse variance ratio.

We now consider $\sigma_{\text{sc}}^2 = \alpha L$ and $\sigma_{\text{th}}^2 = \beta L$ with different scaling factors $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}(1)$, as is the case for the PXP model with the ergodicity-restoring deformation (9). For the total variance to be determined by the scars variance up to an error ϵ , i.e., $|\sigma_{\text{LDOS}}^2 - \sigma_{\text{sc}}^2| \leq \epsilon$, we must have

$$w_2 |\beta L - \alpha L| \leq \epsilon \quad (13)$$

$$w_2 \gamma L \leq \epsilon, \quad \gamma = |\beta - \alpha| \in \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (14)$$

Since γ is constant, requiring $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ implies $w_2 \rightarrow 0$. In other words, in a system where QMBS and background terms contribute additively to the LDOS, and where each of the two terms alone has an LDOS distribution with known and distinct extensive variance, experimental measurement of the SP allows one to ascertain quantum many-body scarring at time scales much shorter than that of thermalization. Conversely, the deviation of the early-time SP decay rate in the aforementioned system from the rate expected for the QMBS term alone informs us of the overall strength of thermalizing term(s) present in the system.

We now summarize the results presented in this Letter. We showed that quantum many-body scarring in the Rydberg chain, as modeled by the PXP model, gives rise to early-time SP decay with a characteristic non-generic rate determined solely by the overlap of the initial state with scarred eigenstates. By deforming the PXP model with a revival-enhancing deformation, we show that this fact remains true regardless of the deformation strength within the enhancing range. In contrast, when an ergodicity-restoring deformation is applied, the SP decay rate displays distinct scaling compared to the case where QMBS are dominant. The SP thus provides, at very early times, information on QMBSs that is typically associated with late-time observables. We then constructed a simple model for LDOS of initial states having overlaps with both scar and thermal eigenstates, and quantified the minimal ratio of scar and thermal distribution variances for which scars fully control the early-time behavior of the survival probability, in the case where scar and thermal contributions to the LDOS are additive. Our results highlight the early-time SP decay rate as an easily accessible signature of slow thermalization and weak ergodicity breaking in QMBS systems. Recent works suggest that the PXP model is an ideal platform to test our proposal since its scarring properties are robust to finite temperature effects [18] and disorder [19].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Ministère de l’Économie, de l’Innovation et de l’Énergie du Québec through its Research Chair in Quantum Computing, an NSERC Discovery grant, and the Canada First Research Excellence Fund.

This work made use of the compute infrastructure of Calcul Québec and the Digital Research Alliance of Canada.

-
- [1] H. Bernien, S. Schwartz, A. Keesling, H. Levine, A. Omran, H. Pichler, S. Choi, A. S. Zibrov, M. Endres, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Probing many-body dynamics on a 51-atom quantum simulator, *Nature* **551**, 579 (2017).
- [2] H. Zhao, J. Vovrosh, F. Mintert, and J. Knolle, Quantum many-body scars in optical lattices, *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 10.1103/physrevlett.124.160604 (2020).
- [3] D. Bluvstein, A. Omran, H. Levine, A. Keesling, G. Semeghini, S. Ebadi, T. T. Wang, A. A. Michailidis, N. Maskara, W. W. Ho, S. Choi, M. Serbyn, M. Greiner, V. Vuletić, and M. D. Lukin, Controlling quantum many-body dynamics in driven rydberg atom arrays, *Science* **371**, 1355–1359 (2021).
- [4] I.-C. Chen, B. Burdick, Y. Yao, P. P. Orth, and T. Iadecola, Error-mitigated simulation of quantum many-body scars on quantum computers with pulse-level control, *Physical Review Research* **4**, 10.1103/physrevresearch.4.043027 (2022).
- [5] G.-X. Su, H. Sun, A. Hudomal, J.-Y. Desaulles, Z.-Y. Zhou, B. Yang, J. C. Halimeh, Z.-S. Yuan, Z. Papić, and J.-W. Pan, Observation of many-body scarring in a bose-hubbard quantum simulator, *Physical Review Research* **5**, 10.1103/physrevresearch.5.023010 (2023).
- [6] E. J. Gustafson, A. C. Y. Li, A. Khan, J. Kim, D. M. Kurkcuoglu, M. S. Alam, P. P. Orth, A. Rahmani, and T. Iadecola, Preparing quantum many-body scar states on quantum computers, *Quantum* **7**, 1171 (2023).
- [7] Also called fidelity, nondecay probability, or return probability.
- [8] V. V. Flambaum and F. M. Izrailev, Unconventional decay law for excited states in closed many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 026124 (2001).
- [9] E. J. Torres-Herrera, M. Vyas, and L. F. Santos, General features of the relaxation dynamics of interacting quantum systems, *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 063010 (2014).
- [10] E. J. Torres-Herrera and L. F. Santos, Quench dynamics of isolated many-body quantum systems, *Physical Review A* **89**, 10.1103/physreva.89.043620 (2014).
- [11] M. Schiulaz, E. J. Torres-Herrera, and L. F. Santos, Thouless and relaxation time scales in many-body quantum systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 174313 (2019).
- [12] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Weak ergodicity breaking from quantum many-body scars, *Nature Physics* **14**, 745–749 (2018).
- [13] C. J. Turner, A. A. Michailidis, D. A. Abanin, M. Serbyn, and Z. Papić, Quantum scarred eigenstates in a rydberg atom chain: Entanglement, breakdown of thermalization, and stability to perturbations, *Physical Review B* **98**, 10.1103/physrevb.98.155134 (2018).
- [14] S. Nandy, B. Mukherjee, A. Bhattacharyya, and A. Banerjee, Quantum state complexity meets many-body scars, *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **36**, 155601 (2024).
- [15] V. Khemani, C. R. Laumann, and A. Chandran, Signatures of integrability in the dynamics of rydberg-blockaded chains, *Physical Review B* **99**, 10.1103/physrevb.99.161101 (2019).
- [16] S. Choi, C. J. Turner, H. Pichler, W. W. Ho, A. A. Michailidis, Z. Papić, M. Serbyn, M. D. Lukin, and D. A. Abanin, Emergent $su(2)$ dynamics and perfect quantum many-body scars, *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 10.1103/physrevlett.122.220603 (2019).
- [17] M. Serbyn, D. A. Abanin, and Z. Papić, Quantum many-body scars and weak breaking of ergodicity, *Nature Physics* **17**, 675–685 (2021).
- [18] J.-Y. Desaulles, E. J. Gustafson, A. C. Y. Li, Z. Papić, and J. C. Halimeh, Robust finite-temperature many-body scarring on a quantum computer (2023), arXiv:2309.11543 [cond-mat.quant-gas].
- [19] I. Mondragon-Shem, M. G. Vavilov, and I. Martin, Fate of quantum many-body scars in the presence of disorder, *PRX Quantum* **2**, 10.1103/prxquantum.2.030349 (2021).