

AUTOMORPHISMS OF FREE METABELIAN LIE ALGEBRAS

Ualbai Umirbaev¹

In memory of Professor V.A. Roman'kov (1948–2023)

ABSTRACT. We show that every automorphism of a free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ over an arbitrary field K is almost tame, that is, it is a product of so-called Chein automorphisms (or one-row transformations). Moreover, we show that the group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ of M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ over a field K of characteristic $\neq 3$ is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as one quadratic and one cubic automorphism. The same result holds for fields of any characteristic if $n \geq 5$.

We also show that all Chein automorphisms of lower degree ≥ 4 and all exponential automorphisms of lower degree ≥ 5 are tame, which contradicts the results of [5, 40].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 17B40, 17B01, 17B30.

Key words: Automorphism, derivation, Lie algebra, free metabelian Lie algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Automorphisms of M_n	5
3. Jacobian matrices	7
4. Matrix notations	10
5. Tame automorphisms	12
6. Almost tame automorphisms	14
7. Special matrices	15
8. Inductive lemma	20
9. Exceptional automorphism	23
10. Elimination of a variable	26
11. Main results	30
Acknowledgments	31
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

The well known Jung–van der Kulk Theorem [18, 22] states that every automorphism of the polynomial algebra $K[x, y]$ in two variables x, y over an arbitrary field K is tame. An

¹Department of Mathematics, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202, USA; Department of Mathematics, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty, 050040, Kazakhstan; and Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, Almaty, 050010, Kazakhstan, e-mail: umirbaev@wayne.edu

analogue of this result for free associative algebras in two variables was proven by Makar-Limanov and Czerniakiewicz [14, 26]. The same result is true for free Poisson algebras in two variables over a field of characteristic zero [28] (see also [27, 68, 29]). Moreover, the automorphism groups of polynomial algebras, free associative algebras, and free Poisson algebras in two variables are isomorphic. The tameness of automorphisms of free right-symmetric algebras in two variables over any field was proven in [21].

For any free algebra A in the free variables x_1, \dots, x_n denote by $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ the endomorphism of A determined by $\phi(x_i) = f_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The automorphism groups of commutative and associative algebras generated by three elements are much more complicated. The well-known Nagata automorphism [33]

$$(x + 2y(zx - y^2) + z(zx - y^2)^2, y + z(zx - y^2), z)$$

of the polynomial algebra $K[x, y, z]$ over a field K of characteristic 0 is proven to be wild [51, 64]. The well-known Anick automorphism (see [13, p. 398])

$$(x + z(xz - zy), y + (xz - zy)z, z)$$

of the free associative algebra $K\langle x, y, z \rangle$ over a field K of characteristic 0 is also proven to be wild [65, 66]. The Nagata automorphism gives an example of a wild automorphism of free Poisson algebras in three variables. In 2006 I.P. Shestakov (unpublished) constructed an analogue of the Anick automorphism

$$(x + \{xz - \{y, z\}, z\}, y + z(xz - \{y, z\}), z)$$

for free Poisson algebras in three variables.

It is well known [58] that the Nagata and Anick automorphisms are stably tame, that is, they become tame after adding one more variable.

In 1981 Shafarevich [49] and in 1983 Anick [1] independently proved that every automorphism of the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ over a field K of characteristic zero can be approximated by tame automorphisms with respect to two different but similar topologies. This can be considered as a weaker generalization of the Jung-van der Kulk Theorem for polynomial algebras of rank $n \geq 3$. In particular, the Nagata automorphism is wild but can be approximated by tame automorphisms.

A classical theorem of combinatorial group theory asserts that every subgroup of a free group is free. Nielsen established this remarkable result in 1921 for finitely generated subgroups [38]; in 1927, it was extended to all subgroups by Schreier [55]. A variety of universal algebras is called Nielsen-Schreier, if any subalgebra of a free algebra of this variety is free. In 1953 Shirshiv and in 1956 Witt proved that [52, 70] the varieties of Lie algebras and Lie p -algebras over a field are Nielsen-Schreier. The varieties of all non-associative algebras [23], commutative and anti-commutative algebras [53], Lie superalgebras [30, 57], and Lie p -superalgebras [31] over a field are also Nielsen-Schreier. It was recently shown [15] that the varieties of pre-Lie (also known as right-symmetric) algebras and Lie-admissible algebras over a field of characteristic zero are Nielsen-Schreier. Some other examples of Nielsen-Schreier varieties can be found in [10, 32, 50, 61, 63].

In 1924, Nielsen also proved [39] that the automorphism group of a finitely generated free group is generated by Nielsen transformations, and represented this group as a finitely defined group. In 1964 P. Cohn proved [11] that all automorphisms of finitely generated

free Lie algebras over a field are tame. Later this result was extended to free algebras of Nielsen-Schreier varieties [24]. Defining relations between the elementary automorphisms of free algebras of Nielsen-Schreier varieties are given in [67].

One of the classical and well-studied varieties of groups is the variety of metabelian groups. In 1965 Bachmuth [2] proved that all automorphisms of the free metabelian group of rank 2 are tame. The first example of a non-tame automorphism of the free metabelian group of rank 3 was constructed by Chein [9]. Bachmuth-Mochizuki proved [3] that the group of automorphisms of the free metabelian group of rank 3 is not even finitely generated. Strengthening these results, Roman'kov proved [45] that the free metabelian group of rank 3 contains non-tame primitive elements and gave a method of constructing of such elements. The well known Bachmuth-Mochizuki-Roman'kov Theorem [4, 44] states that every automorphism of the free metabelian group of rank $n \geq 4$ is tame. More information about automorphisms of free metabelian groups can be found in [48].

The variety of metabelian Lie algebras is also a classical and well-studied variety of Lie algebras. In this paper we denote by M_n a free metabelian Lie algebra of rank n over a field K . It is well known that any nontrivial exponential automorphism of M_2 is wild (see, for example [54, Proposition 4],[42, Theorem 3]). In 1992, Drensky [16] proved that the exponential automorphism $\exp(\text{ad}[x_1, x_2])$ of M_3 is wild. In 2008, Roman'kov [47] proved that the group of automorphisms of M_3 cannot be finitely generated modulo the subgroup generated by all exponential and tame automorphisms. Kabanov and Roman'kov [19] constructed strictly nontame primitive elements of M_3 .

An analogue of the Anick-Shafarevich Theorem [1, 49] for free metabelian Lie algebras was proven in 1993 by Bryant and Drensky [8]. They proved that the group of tame automorphisms is dense in the group of all automorphisms of M_n if $n \geq 4$. A sharpened version of this result can be found in [20].

In 2015, Nauryzbaev [37] proved that the group of tame automorphisms of M_n is generated by all linear automorphisms and the quadratic automorphism

$$(1) \quad (x_1 + [x_2, x_3], x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

if either $n \geq 4$ and K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$, or $n \geq 5$ and K is a field of arbitrary characteristic.

In 1992 V. Drensky [16], in 1993 Papistas [41], and in 1995 Bahturin and Shpilrain [6] proved that free algebras of rank $n \geq 2$ of any polynilpotent variety of Lie algebras that is not abelian or metabelian have wild automorphisms. This result also makes the case of free metabelian Lie algebras more important.

In 1992 Yu. Bahturin and S. Nabiliev [5] claimed that every nontrivial exponential automorphism of the free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of any rank $n \geq 2$ over a field of characteristic zero is wild. More examples of wild automorphisms of M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ were given in 2008 by Z. Özçurt and N. Ekici [40]. For a long time, the area specialists believed these results were true. Unfortunately, both articles have recently been shown to contain fatal errors [69].

Inner automorphisms of groups play a crucial role in the proofs of Bachmuth-Mochizuki-Roman'kov Theorem [4, 44]. The group automorphism

$$(x_2^{-1}x_1x_2, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

is extremely important in their proofs and there is no analogue of this automorphism in the case of free metabelian Lie algebras. This makes the case of algebras more complicated.

One property of the free metabelian Lie algebras is that they admit non-elementary automorphisms that change only one variable and fix the rest. All automorphisms of M_n of this type (including elementary ones) are called *Chein automorphisms* or *one-row transformations* [17, 46](see definitions in Section 2). The first example of the Chein automorphism is

$$(2) \quad (x_1 + [[x_2, x_3], x_1], x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

and no one could answer whether it is tame or not. For this reason, the specialists in the area easily accepted the wrong results [5, 40]. This automorphism is wild if $n = 3$ [34], and is the first candidate for wildness for all $n \geq 4$.

Chein automorphisms were accepted as almost elementary automorphisms. An automorphism of M_n is called *almost tame* if it is a product of Chein automorphisms [34, 36].

In this paper we show that every automorphism of M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ is almost tame. Moreover, K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$ then the group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ of M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as a quadratic automorphism (1) and a cubic automorphism (2). If $n \geq 5$ then the same result holds for fields of any characteristic.

One of the most important points of the proof is that we notice that all Chein automorphisms of lower degree ≥ 4 and all exponential automorphisms of lower degree ≥ 5 are tame. These results contradict the results of [5, 40].

We follow the proof scheme given in [4], and in several places, we repeat the proofs for completeness. The real difficulties arise in those places where inner automorphisms were used in [4]. But in algebra, we can apply linearization [71]. Thus the question about the existence of wild automorphisms for a free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ is still open as well as in the case polynomial and free associative algebras. But in this case it is reduced to a concrete automorphism.

Problem 1. *Is the Chein automorphism (2) of the free metabelian algebra Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ tame?*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we define some important automorphisms and some subgroups of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(M_n)$. In Section 3, using a representation of M_n via Magnus embedding, we give the definition of the Fox derivatives and the Jacobian matrix of an endomorphism, and determine some of their properties. Using some representations of automorphisms from this section, in the following sections we make calculations in the language of the Jacobian matrices instead of automorphisms. For this, in Section 4 we clearly determine some matrix notations. Section 5 is devoted to the study of Chein and exponential automorphisms. Section 6 is devoted to the study of some special class of matrices that includes the Jacobian matrices of an important class of automorphisms. In Section 7 we prove a lemma on eliminating a variable in some cases. Section 8 is devoted to the study of one exceptional automorphism

$$(3) \quad (x_1 + [[x_1, x_2], x_1], x_2 + \underset{4}{[[x_1, x_2], x_2]}, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

of M_n . In Section 9 we show how to eliminate a variable in the general case. In Section 10 the main result and some its corollaries are formulated.

2. AUTOMORPHISMS OF M_n

Let L_n be a free Lie algebra over a field K freely generated by z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n . Then $Y_n = L_n/[L_n, L_n]$ is an abelian Lie algebra with a linear basis y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n and $M_n = L_n/[[L_n, L_n], [L_n, L_n]]$ is a free metabelian Lie algebra with free generators x_1, \dots, x_n , where $y_i = z_i + [L_n, L_n]$ and $x_i = z_i + [[L_n, L_n], [L_n, L_n]]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Thus $M'_n = [M_n, M_n]$ is abelian and the set of all right normed (nonassociative) words of the form

$$(4) \quad [\dots [[x_i, x_{i_1}], x_{i_2}], \dots, x_{i_k}], \quad i > i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k,$$

is a linear basis of M'_n (see, for example [7, p. 139]).

Denote by $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ the endomorphism of M_n such that $\phi(x_i) = f_i$ for all i . In particular, $\text{id} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the identity automorphism. An automorphism of M_n of the form

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha x_i + f, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

where $0 \neq \alpha \in K$ and f does not depend on x_i , is called *elementary*. The subgroup $\text{TAut}(M_n)$ of $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ generated by all elementary automorphisms is called the *tame automorphism group*, and the elements of this subgroup are called *tame automorphisms* of M_n . Non-tame automorphisms of M_n are called *wild*.

Free metabelian Lie algebras admit automorphisms of the form

$$(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, f_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

which include all elementary automorphisms but not necessarily elementary ones. They are called *Chein automorphisms* or *one-row transformations* [17]. These automorphisms move only one variable and fix the others. The subgroup $\text{ATAut}(M_n)$ of $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ generated by all Chein automorphisms will be called the *almost tame automorphism group*, and the elements of this subgroup will be called *almost tame automorphisms* of M_n [34]. Thus

$$\text{TAut}(M_n) \subseteq \text{ATAut}(M_n) \subseteq \text{Aut}(M_n).$$

If $n = 3$ then every non-elementary Chein automorphism is wild [34, 47] and the automorphism (3) is not almost tame [34]. The case $n = 3$ is well studied and defining relations for elementary automorphisms [35] and for Chein automorphisms [36] are given.

As usual, the group of all linear automorphisms of M_n will be identified with the group of all invertible matrices $GL_n(K)$. Recall that the symmetric group S_n is embedded into $GL_n(K)$ and any permutation $\pi \in S_n$ represents the automorphism $\pi = (x_{\pi(1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$. For example, the transposition (23) represents the automorphism (23) = $(x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n)$.

Obviously, the group of almost tame automorphisms $\text{ATAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear automorphisms $GL_n(K)$ and all Chein automorphisms of the form

$$(5) \quad (x_1 + f, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad f \in M_n^2.$$

Chein automorphisms of this form are described in Section 3.

The group of tame automorphisms $\text{TAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear automorphisms $GL_n(K)$ and all automorphisms of the form (5) where $f \in M_n^2$ does not depend on x_1 . Moreover, if $n \geq 4$ and K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$, then $\text{TAut}(M_n)$ is generated [37] by all linear automorphisms $GL_n(K)$ and the quadratic elementary automorphism (1). If $n \geq 5$ then the same result holds over fields of any characteristic.

Let $0 \neq z \in [M_n, M_n]$. Then $\text{ad } z : M_n \rightarrow M_n (m \mapsto [z, m])$ is a locally nilpotent derivation and $(\text{ad } z)^2 = 0$. The *exponential* automorphism $\exp(\text{ad } z)$ of M_n can be written as

$$\exp(\text{ad } z) = \text{id} + \text{ad } z = (y_1 + [z, y_1], \dots, y_n + [z, y_n]).$$

It is known that the exponential automorphism $\exp(\text{ad } [x_1, x_2])$ is wild if $n = 3$ [16, 34, 47].

Let

$$M_n = T_1 \oplus T_2 \oplus \dots \oplus T_k \oplus \dots$$

be the standard degree grading of M_n , i.e., T_k is the linear span of (non-associative) monomials in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of degree k . If

$$f = f_s + f_{s+1} + \dots + f_t \in M_n, f_j \in T_j, f_s \neq 0, f_t \neq 0,$$

then $\deg(f) = t$ is the degree and $\text{ldeg}(f) = s$ is the lower degree of f in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Set also $\deg(0) = -\infty$ and $\text{ldeg}(0) = \infty$.

Let

$$\phi = (x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, \dots, x_n + f_n),$$

where $f_i \in M_n^2$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we define $\deg(\phi)$ as the maximum of all $\deg(f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\text{ldeg}(\phi)$ as the minimum of all $\text{ldeg}(f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\deg(\phi) = \text{ldeg}(\phi) = k \geq 1$ then we say that ϕ is homogeneous of degree k . In particular, if $k = 2$ then ϕ is called *quadratic* and if $k = 3$ then it is called *cubic*.

The group of automorphisms $\text{IAut}(M_n)$ of M_n that induces the identity automorphism on $M_n/[M_n, M_n]$ plays an important role in our study. Obviously, $\text{IAut}(M_n)$ is the set of all automorphisms of M_n of lower degree ≥ 2 . The elements of $\text{IAut}(M_n)$ are called *IA-automorphisms*.

Here we describe a set of generators of the group of tame IA-automorphisms and almost tame IA-automorphisms.

Lemma 1. (a) *The group of tame IA-automorphisms $\text{TAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear conjugates of elementary automorphisms of the form (5) where $f \in [M_n, M_n]$ does not depend on x_1 .*

(b) *The group almost tame IA-automorphisms $\text{TAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear conjugates of Chein automorphisms of the form (5).*

Proof. Every tame automorphism ϕ can be represented as

$$\phi = \alpha_1 \phi_1 \alpha_2 \phi_2 \dots \phi_k \alpha_{k+1},$$

where ϕ_i is an elementary automorphism of the form (5) and α_i is linear for all i . Since $\phi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$ for all i it follows that

$$\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{k+1} = \text{id}.$$

since $\phi_i \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$ for all i . Then $\alpha_{k+1} = (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k)^{-1}$ and, consequently,

$$\phi = (\alpha_1 \phi_1 \alpha_1^{-1})(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \phi_2 (\alpha_1 \alpha_2)^{-1}) \dots (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k \phi_k (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k)^{-1})$$

The statement (b) of the lemma can be proved similarly. \square

3. JACOBIAN MATRICES

In this section, we define and state some necessary information about Fox derivatives and Jacobian matrices from [43, 56, 60, 62]. In fact, we define Fox derivatives more directly for free metabelian Lie algebras.

First we give another representation of the free metabelian algebra M_n over K with free generators x_1, \dots, x_n . Let Y_n be the abelian Lie algebra with a linear basis y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . The universal enveloping algebra $U(Y_n)$ of Y_n is the polynomial algebra $U = U(Y_n) = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ in the variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . Let

$$U = U_0 \oplus U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_k \oplus \dots$$

be the degree grading of U , i.e., U_k is the linear span of all homogeneous of degree k monomials in y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . If

$$a = a_s + a_{s+1} + \dots + a_t \in U, a_j \in U_j, a_s \neq 0, a_t \neq 0,$$

then $\deg(a) = t$ is the degree and $\text{ldeg}(a) = s$ is the lower degree of a in the variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n . Set $\deg(0) = -\infty$ and $\text{ldeg}(0) = \infty$ as usual.

Let T_n be a free right U -module with basis t_1, \dots, t_n . Turn the direct sum

$$M = Y_n \oplus T_n$$

into a Lie algebra by

$$[a + t, b + s] = tb - sa,$$

where $a, b \in Y_n$ and $t, s \in T_n$. Then the subalgebra of M generated by

$$x_i = y_i + t_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

is the free metabelian Lie algebra M_n with the free generators x_1, \dots, x_n . In the case of groups the corresponding embedding is called the Magnus embedding [25] and in the case of Lie algebras it follows from Shmel'kin's Theorem on wreath products [54].

Notice that $M'_n = [M_n, M_n] \subseteq T_n$ and for any $m \in M'_n$ and y_i we get

$$my_i = [m, x_i].$$

Every element $m \in M'_n$ can be written in the form

$$m = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [x_i, x_j] a_{ij}, \quad a_{ij} \in U.$$

Let $f \in M_n$ be an arbitrary element M_n . Then f is uniquely represented as

$$f = y + t, \quad y \in Y_n, t \in T_n.$$

Moreover, t is uniquely represented as

$$t = t_1 d_1 + \dots + t_n d_n, \quad d_1, \dots, d_n \in K[y_1, \dots, y_n] = U.$$

Set $d_i = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and

$$\partial(f) = (d_1, \dots, d_n)^t = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right)^t,$$

where t means the transpose. We call $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ the Fox derivatives of f (see [56, 60]).

Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be the columns of the identity matrix $I = I_n$ of order n .

The statement of the next lemma is well known (see [60, Lemma 5],[62]).

Lemma 2. *Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^t \in (U^n)^t$ be an arbitrary column of dimension n over U . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) $a = \partial(f)$ for some $f \in [M_n, M_n]$;
- (2) $Ya = y_1 a_1 + \dots + y_n a_n = 0$ where $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$;
- (3) $a = \sum_{i < j} (e_i y_j - e_j y_i) a_{ij}$, $a_{ij} \in U$.

Proof. If $i < j$ and $a \in U$, then

$$\partial([x_i, x_j]a) = e_i y_j a - e_j y_i a = (e_i y_j - e_j y_i) a.$$

Consequently, (1) implies (3). Obviously, (3) implies (2) since $Y(e_i y_j - e_j y_i) = 0$ for all $i < j$.

Suppose that (2) holds. Then $a_n = y_1 b_1 + \dots + y_{n-1} b_{n-1}$ for some $b_j \in U$. Set $g = [x_n, x_1] b_1 + \dots + [x_n, x_{n-1}] b_{n-1}$. Then $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_n} = a_n$ and the last component of $a' = a - \partial(g)$ is zero. Moreover, if $a' = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, 0)$ then $y_1 a'_1 + \dots + y_{n-1} a'_{n-1} = 0$. Leading an induction on the number of nonzero components of a , we may assume that there exists $h \in [M_n, M_n]$ such that $a' = \partial(h)$. Then $a = \partial(g + h)$. \square

Every endomorphism ϕ of M_n induces an endomorphism of $Y_n = M_n/[M_n, M_n]$, which can be uniquely extended to an endomorphism of $U = U(Y_n)$. This endomorphism will be denoted again by ϕ for simplicity of notation. If $f \in U$, then the image $\phi(f)$ will be denoted by f^ϕ .

We define the Jacobian matrix of $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ by

$$J(\phi) = \left[\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_i} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = [\partial(f_1) \ \dots \ \partial(f_n)].$$

If $\phi = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $\psi = (g_1, \dots, g_n)$ then

$$\phi \circ \psi(x_i) = g_i(f_1, \dots, f_n)$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. The corresponding Chain Rule gives [56, 60, 62] that

$$(6) \quad J(\phi \circ \psi) = J(\phi) J(\psi)^\phi.$$

The statement of the next lemma is also well known (see [56],[60, Theorem 2]).

Lemma 3. *An endomorphism ϕ of M_n is an automorphism if and only if the Jacobian matrix $J(\phi)$ is invertible.*

Here is a description of Chein automorphisms.

Corollary 1. *An endomorphism of M_n of the form (5) is an automorphism if and only if f belongs to the ideal generated by all $[x_s, x_t]$, where $s, t \neq 1$.*

Proof. Let $\phi = (x_1 + f, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ where $f \in M_n^2$. The Jacobian matrix of ϕ is $J(\phi) = I + [\partial(f) \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]$. This matrix is invertible if and only if $1 + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$ is invertible in U or, equivalently, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 0$. We show that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 0$ if and only if f belongs to the ideal generated by all $[x_s, x_t]$, where $s, t \neq 1$.

The basis (4) of M_n^2 is convenient to work with $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0$. Any $f \in M_n^2$ can be written in the form

$$f = f' + [x_n, x_1]a_1 + [x_n, x_2]a_2 + \dots + [x_n, x_{n-1}]a_{n-1},$$

where f' belongs to the ideal generated by all $[x_s, x_t]$ with $s, t \neq n$ and $a_i \in K[y_i, \dots, y_n]$ for all i . Since $\frac{\partial f'}{\partial x_n} = 0$ it follows that

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} a_{n-1}.$$

If

$$y_1 a_1 + y_2 a_2 + \dots + y_{n-1} a_{n-1} = 0$$

then the substitution $y_1 = \dots = y_{n-2} = 0$ gives that $a_{n-1} = 0$. Repeated substitution $y_1 = \dots = y_{n-3} = 0$ gives $a_{n-2} = 0$. Continuing the same discussions, we get $a_{n-1} = a_{n-2} = \dots = a_1 = 0$. Therefore $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} = 0$ if and only if $f = f'$. \square

Consider the set of Chein automorphisms of the form

$$(7) \quad (x_1 + [x_2, x_3]a, x_2, \dots, x_n), \quad a \in U.$$

These automorphisms are elementary if a does not depend on y_1 .

In this paper we denote by $\text{Mat}_n(U)$ the set of all square matrices of order n over U (since the more standard notation $M_n(U)$ can be confused with the notation of the free metabelian Lie algebra M_n). As usual, denote by $GL_n(U)$ and $SL_n(U)$ the general linear group and the special linear group of matrices of order n over U , respectively. The identity matrix of order n is already denoted by I .

In Section 2 we mentioned that the group $GL_n(K)$ will be identified with the group of all linear automorphisms of M_n . We meant that each linear automorphism α will be identified with its Jacobian matrix $J(\alpha)$. This means that α is a matrix and instead of $J(\alpha)$ in our calculations we will write α .

Lemma 4. (a) *If $\phi, \psi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$ then*

$$J(\phi\psi) = J(\phi)J(\psi);$$

(b) *If $\phi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$ and $\alpha \in GL_n(K)$ then*

$$J(\alpha\phi\alpha^{-1}) = \alpha J(\phi)\alpha^{-1};$$

(c) *The map*

$$J : \text{IAut}(M_n) \rightarrow SL_n(U)$$

is an injective homomorphism of groups and $G = J(\text{IAut}(M_n))$ is the set of all matrices from $SL_n(U)$ of the form

$$I + A,$$

where $A \in \text{Mat}(U)$ is a matrix such that $YA = 0$.

Proof. Since ϕ induces an identity automorphism on U , (a) is a direct consequence of (6). Using (6) we also get

$$\begin{aligned} J(\alpha\phi\alpha^{-1}) &= J(\alpha(\phi\alpha^{-1})) = J(\alpha)J(\phi\alpha^{-1})^\alpha = J(\alpha)(J(\phi)J(\alpha^{-1})^\phi)^\alpha = J(\alpha)(J(\phi)J(\alpha^{-1}))^\alpha \\ &= J(\alpha)J(\phi)^\alpha J(\alpha^{-1})^\alpha = J(\alpha)J(\phi)^\alpha J(\alpha^{-1}) = J(\alpha)J(\phi)^\alpha J(\alpha)^{-1} = \alpha J(\phi)^\alpha \alpha^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In this calculation we again used that ϕ induces an identity automorphism on U , $J(\alpha^{-1}) \in GL_n(K)$ is a constant matrix, and that $J(\alpha^{-1}) = J(\alpha)^{-1}$ by (6).

If $\phi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$, then

$$\phi = (x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, \dots, x_n + f_n),$$

where $f_i \in [M_n, M_n]$ for all i , and

$$J(\phi) = I + A, \quad A = [\partial(f_1) \ \dots \ \partial(f_n)].$$

We get $YA = 0$ by Lemma 2. If $J(\phi) = I$ then $\partial(f_i) = 0$ for all i . Notice that for any $f \in [M_n, M_n]$ we get $f = (t_1, \dots, t_n)\partial(f)$ by the definition of $\partial(f)$. Consequently, $f_i = (t_1, \dots, t_n)\partial(f_i) = 0$. This means $\phi = \text{id}$, i.e., J is injective.

Conversely, let $A \in \text{Mat}_n(U)$ such that $YA = 0$. By Lemma 2 there exist $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in [M_n, M_n]$ such that $A = [\partial(g_1) \ \dots \ \partial(g_n)]$. Set $\psi = (x_1 + g_1, x_2 + g_2, \dots, x_n + g_n)$. Then $J(\psi) = I + A$. If $I + A \in SL_n(U)$ then ψ is an automorphism by Lemma 3 and $\psi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$. \square

4. MATRIX NOTATIONS

Using Lemma 4, we will represent automorphisms from $\text{IAut}(M_n)$ by their Jacobian matrices and make calculations in the language of Jacobian matrices. Results formulated in the language of matrices will be also reformulated in the language of automorphisms.

Thus G represents the set of all Jacobian matrices of automorphisms from $\text{IAut}(M_n)$. Set $T = J(\text{TAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n))$ and $S = J(\text{ATAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n))$. We have

$$T \subseteq S \subseteq G.$$

In order to prove that $\text{ATAut}(M_n) = \text{Aut}(M_n)$ it is sufficient to prove that $\text{ATAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n) = \text{IAut}(M_n)$ since both groups include all linear automorphisms. Thus, it is sufficient to prove that $S = G$.

Let E_{ij} a square matrix with 1 in the (i, j) position and zeros elsewhere. The order of E_{ij} is usually clear from the context. Recall that

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} = \delta_{jk}E_{il},$$

where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. A matrix of the form

$$I + aE_{ij}, \quad i \neq j, \quad a \in U,$$

is called *elementary*.

If $i < j$, then for any k denote by F_{ijk} the $n \times n$ matrix with y_j in position (i, k) , $-y_i$ in position (j, k) , and zeros in other places, that is,

$$F_{ijk} = y_j E_{ik} - y_i E_{jk}.$$

In other words, the k th column of F_{ijk} is equal to $\partial([x_i, x_j]) = e_i y_j - e_j y_i$, and the rest are zeros. It is easy to check that

$$F_{ijk} F_{stm} = \begin{cases} y_t F_{ijm} & \text{if } k = s, \\ -y_s F_{ijm} & \text{if } k = t, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq s, t \end{cases},$$

$$E_{ij} F_{kst} = y_s E_{ij} E_{kt} - y_k E_{ij} E_{st} = \begin{cases} y_s E_{it} & \text{if } j = k, \\ -y_k E_{it} & \text{if } j = s, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, s \end{cases},$$

and

$$F_{kst} E_{ij} = y_s E_{kt} E_{ij} - y_k E_{st} E_{ij} = \begin{cases} F_{skj} & \text{if } t = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq i \end{cases}.$$

We refer to these three formulas as the *multiplication tables* but often use them without reference. Properties of the product of matrices of types

$$I + A + B = (I + A)(I + B),$$

which is true if $AB = 0$, and

$$I + (a + b)C = (I + aC)(I + bC),$$

which is valid if $C^2 = 0$, to simplify terminology, will be called *additivity*.

For example, if $f = \sum_{i < j} [x_i, x_j] a_{ij}$, then the Jacobian matrix of the endomorphism (5) is $I + \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} F_{ij1}$, and, by additivity,

$$I + \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} F_{ij1} = \prod_{i < j} (I + a_{ij} F_{ij1}).$$

Moreover,

$$I + (a + b)F_{ij1} = (I + aF_{ij1})(I + bF_{ij1}).$$

Consider the set of matrices of the form

$$(8) \quad I + aF_{231}, \quad a \in U.$$

These matrices are Jacobian matrices of Chein automorphisms (7).

Here are two remarks:

(a) If $A \in G$ and $\alpha \in GL_n(K)$ then $\alpha A \alpha^{-1} \in G$ by Lemma 4(b). This matrix will be called the *linear conjugate of A by α* .

(b) If $J(\phi) = I + A \in S$ and A is a homogeneous matrix, then, usually, it is easy to show that $I + \mu A \in S$ for any $\mu \in K$. This can be done by adding some coefficients in the proofs. For example, this can be done when conjugating matrices (8) using $(x_1, \mu x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$. For this reason we ignore the difference between the coefficients of this type.

Corollary 2. (a) *The group T is generated by all linear conjugates of matrices of the form (8) where a does not depend on y_1 .*

(b) *The group S is generated by all linear conjugates of matrices of the form (8).*

Proof. By Lemma 1, every automorphism $\text{TAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n)$ is a product of linear conjugates of automorphisms of the form (5). Notice that every automorphism of the form (5) is itself a product of linear conjugates of automorphisms of the form (7) with $a \in K[y_2, \dots, y_n]$. Then $J(\tau)$ is a matrix of the form (8). If $\alpha \in GL_n(K)$ then

$$J(\alpha\tau\alpha^{-1}) = \alpha J(\tau)\alpha^{-1}$$

by Lemma 4, that is, $J(\alpha\tau\alpha^{-1})$ is the linear conjugate of $J(\tau)$ by α . If $\phi, \psi \in \text{IAut}(M_n)$ then $J(\phi\psi) = J(\phi)J(\psi)$ by the same lemma. This proves (a).

The statement (b) can be checked similarly. \square

5. TAME AUTOMORPHISMS

In this section we assume that either $n \geq 4$ and K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$ or $n \geq 5$.

Lemma 5. *Every matrix of the form (8) belongs to T if $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$.*

Proof. The proof practically repeats the proof of Theorem 1 from [37].

Due to the additivity of (8) with respect to a , we can assume that $a = y_1^{i_1} y_2^{i_2} \dots y_n^{i_n}$. If $i_1 = 0$ then $I + aF_{231} \in T$ by the definition of T . Assume that $i_1 \geq 1$.

Case 1. Suppose that $i_j \geq 1$ for some $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. Set

$$A = I + y_1^{i_1} \dots y_j^{i_j-1} F_{23n}, \quad B = I - y_{j+1}^{i_{j+1}} \dots y_n^{i_n} F_{jn1}.$$

A direct calculation using the multiplication tables gives that

$$[A, B] = ABA^{-1}B^{-1} = I + aF_{231} \in T$$

since $A, B \in T$.

Case 2. Let $i_2 = i_3 = \dots = i_{n-1} = 0$ and $i_n \neq 0$. Then $a = y_1^{i_1} y_n^{i_n}$ and we get

$$aF_{231} = y_1^{i_1} y_3 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{2n1} - y_1^{i_1} y_2 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{3n1}$$

by the Jacobi identity. If $i_n \geq 2$ then

$$I + y_1^{i_1} y_3 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{2n1}, \quad I - y_1^{i_1} y_2 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{3n1} \in T$$

by Case 1. Consequently,

$$I + aF_{231} = (I + y_1^{i_1} y_3 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{2n1})(I - y_1^{i_1} y_2 y_n^{i_n-1} F_{3n1}) \in T.$$

If $i_n = 1$, then set $\alpha = I + E_{42}$. Notice that $I + y_1^{i_1} y_2 F_{231} \in T$ by Case 1. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (I + E_{42})(I + y_1^{i_1} y_2 F_{231})^\alpha (I - E_{42}) &= I + y_1^{i_1} y_2 F_{231} + y_1^{i_1} (y_4 F_{231} - y_2 F_{341}) - y_1^{i_1} y_4 F_{341} \\ &= (I + y_1^{i_1} y_2 F_{231})(I + y_1^{i_1} (y_4 F_{231} - y_2 F_{341}))(I - y_1^{i_1} y_4 F_{341}) \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Since the first and the last factors of this product belong to T it follows that

$$C = I + y_1^{i_1} (y_4 F_{231} - y_2 F_{341}) \in T.$$

The Jacobi identity implies that

$$y_4 F_{231} = y_3 F_{241} - y_2 F_{341}.$$

Consequently,

$$3aF_{231} = y_1^{i_1}[(y_4F_{231} - y_2F_{341}) + (y_4F_{231} + y_3F_{241})]$$

and, by additivity,

$$I + 3aF_{231} = [I + y_1^{i_1}(y_4F_{231} - y_2F_{341})][I + y_1^{i_1}(y_4F_{231} + y_3F_{241})].$$

The first factor is C and belongs to T . The second factor also belongs to T since

$$\begin{aligned} (23)(C^{-1})^{(23)}(23) &= (23)((I + y_1^{i_1}(y_4F_{231} - y_2F_{341}))^{-1})^{(23)}(23) \\ &= (23)(I - y_1^{i_1}(y_4F_{231} - y_2F_{341}))^{(23)}(23) = I + (y_4F_{231} + y_3F_{241}), \end{aligned}$$

where $(23) = (x_1, x_3, x_2, x_4, \dots, x_n)$. Consequently, $I + 3aF_{231} \in T$. If the characteristic of K is not 3, then its conjugation by $(x_1, 3x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ gives that $I + aF_{231} \in T$.

If $n \geq 5$, then conjugating (8) by $(4n)$, we can make $i_4 > 0$. Case 1 gives that $I + aF_{231} \in T$.

Case 3. Let $i_2 = i_3 = \dots = i_{n-1} = i_n = 0$. In this case $a = y_1^{i_1}$ and $s = i_1 \geq 2$ since $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$. Case 2 gives that $I + y_4y_1^{s-1}F_{231} \in T$. Set $\beta = I + E_{14} \in GL_n(K)$. Using the multiplication tables we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(I + y_4y_1^{s-1}F_{231})^\beta\beta^{-1} &= I + (y_4 + y_1)y_1^{s-1}F_{231} - (y_4 + y_1)y_1^{s-1}F_{234} \\ &= (I + (y_4 + y_1)y_1^{s-1}F_{231})(I - (y_4 + y_1)y_1^{s-1}F_{234}) \\ &= (I + y_4y_1^{s-1}F_{231})(I + y_1^sF_{231})(I - y_4y_1^{s-1}F_{234})(I - y_1^sF_{234}) \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Since all factors of this product except $I + y_1^sF_{231}$ belong to T , this implies that $I + y_1^sF_{231}$ also belongs to T . \square

Here is a reformulation of this lemma in the language of automorphisms.

Corollary 3. *Every Chein automorphism of the form (5) with $\text{ldeg}(f) \geq 4$ is tame.*

Proof. By Corollary 1, any such Chein automorphism is a product of linear conjugates of Chein automorphisms of the form (7) with $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$. Then $J(\tau)$ is a matrix of the form (8) and belongs to T by Lemma 5. \square

This corollary contradicts the results of [40].

Corollary 4. *Assume that either $n \geq 4$ and K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$ or $n \geq 5$. Then the group of all almost tame automorphisms $\text{ATAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as a quadratic automorphism (1) and a cubic automorphism (2).*

Proof. The group of all tame automorphisms $\text{TAut}(M_n)$ is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as a quadratic automorphism (1) [37]. All Chein automorphisms of the form (7) with $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$ belong to $\text{TAut}(M_n)$. By the additivity of automorphisms of the form (7) with respect to a , we may assume that a is homogeneous of degree ≤ 1 . If a does not depend on y_1 then (7). Again, by additivity, we may assume that $a = y_1$. In this case (7) is the cubic automorphism (2). \square

Lemma 6. *Every matrix of the form*

$$A(a) = I + ay_1F_{121} + ay_2F_{122}$$

belongs to T if $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 5,

$$B = I - by_1F_{341} - by_2F_{342} = (I - by_1F_{341})(I - by_2F_{342}) \in T$$

if $\text{ldeg}(b) \geq 1$. Set $C = I + F_{123} \in T$. Then

$$[B, C] = BCB^{-1}C^{-1} = I + by_1y_4F_{121} + by_2y_4F_{122} = A(y_4b) \in T.$$

Consequently, the statement of the lemma is true if y_4 divides a . Obviously, the same is true if y_i divides a for all $3 \leq i \leq n$. By the additivity of $A(a)$ with respect to a , we may assume that $a \in K[y_1, y_2]$.

Set $\alpha = I + E_{14} \in T$. If $b \in K[y_1, y_2]$ then, using the multiplication tables, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha A(y_4b) \alpha^{-1} &= I + y_1(y_4 + y_1)bF_{121} + y_2(y_4 + y_1)bF_{122} - y_2(y_4 + y_1)bF_{124} \\ &= A(y_4b)A(y_1b)(I - y_2(y_4 + y_1)bF_{124}) \in T. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A(y_4b) \in T$ and $I - y_2(y_4 + y_1)bF_{124} \in T$ by Lemma 5, this implies that $A(y_1b) \in T$. Therefore the statement of the lemma is true if $a \in K[y_1, y_2]$ and y_1 divides a . Obviously, the same is true for y_2 . \square

Corollary 5. *Every automorphism of the form*

$$(x_1 + [x_1, x_2]y_1a, x_2 + [x_1, x_2]y_2a, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

is tame if $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 6 the Jacobian matrices of these automorphisms belong to T . \square

Corollary 6. *Every exponential automorphism of lower degree ≥ 5 is tame.*

Proof. Let

$$\exp(\text{ad}(m)) = (x_1 + [m, x_1], x_2 + [m, x_2], \dots, x_n + [m, x_n])$$

be an exponential automorphism with $\text{ldeg}(m) \geq 4$. By the additivity with respect to m we may assume that $m = [x_1, x_2]a$ with $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 2$. Then the Jacobian matrix of $\exp(\text{ad}(m))$ is

$$I + \sum_i y_i a F_{12i} = (I + y_1 a F_{121} + y_2 a F_{122}) \prod_{i \geq 3} (I + y_i a F_{12i}).$$

This matrix belongs to T by Lemmas 5 and 6. \square

This corollary contradicts the main result of [5].

6. ALMOST TAME AUTOMORPHISMS

For the remainder of this article, we always assume that $n \geq 4$ and K is an arbitrary field. By Corollary 2, every matrix of the form (8) and its conjugates belong to S . For this reason we do not need the proof of Lemma 5, where the condition that either $n \geq 4$ and K is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$ or $n \geq 5$ was used. The other proofs of Section 5 did not use this condition.

Lemma 7. *Every matrix of the form*

$$C(a) = I + ay_1F_{121} + ay_2F_{122}$$

with $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 1$ belongs to S .

Proof. For any $b \in U$ we have

$$B = I - by_1F_{341} - by_2F_{342} = (I - by_1F_{341})(I - by_2F_{342}) \in S.$$

Repeating the proof of Lemma 6 with this data, we get that $C(a) \in S$ for all a with $\text{ldeg}(a) \geq 1$. \square

Notice that Lemma 7 does not include only the matrix

$$(9) \quad I + y_1F_{121} + y_2F_{122}$$

of the same type, which is the Jacobian matrix of (3).

Corollary 7. *Every exponential automorphism of lower degree ≥ 4 is almost tame.*

Proof. Using the decomposition of the Jacobian matrix of an exponential automorphism from the proof of Corollary 6, we conclude that it belongs to S by Lemma 7 if its lower degree ≥ 3 . Consequently, every exponential automorphism of lower degree ≥ 4 belongs to $\text{ATAut}(M_n)$. \square

7. SPECIAL MATRICES

We use matrices instead of matrix notation in many places because it makes the calculations easier to understand. To some extent this is due to the application of Suslin's theorem [59].

The main aim of this section is to prove that every matrix of the form

$$(10) \quad I + \begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \cdot \\ & y_n C & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ & & & 0 \\ * & * & \dots & * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in G$$

belongs to S . We will provide several lemmas to the proof of this result. A matrix of the form (10) will be called *special*.

Lemma 8. *Let B be any matrix from $SL_{n-1}(U, y_n U)$. Then $B = I_{n-1} + y_n C$ for some matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{n-1}(U)$ and there exists a unique special matrix A such that*

$$A = I + \begin{bmatrix} y_n C & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Obviously, $B = I_{n-1} + y_n C$ for some matrix $C \in \text{Mat}_{n-1}(U)$. If $C = [c_{ij}]$ then

$$A = I + \begin{bmatrix} & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & y_n D & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & & & 0 \\ -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i d_{i1} & -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i d_{i2} & \cdots & -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i d_{i(n-1)} & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

belongs to G by Lemma 2. If $C = 0$ then $A = I$ by Lemma 2. This implies the uniqueness of A . \square

If $B \in SL_n(U)$ is a matrix of the form $B = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-k} \end{bmatrix}$, where $C \in SL_k(U)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq n$, then we say that B is k -reducible and $B_k = C$ will be called its k -reduction. Calculations with k -reducible matrices from G can be done by using their k -reductions.

Set $A_{n-1} = B$ for matrices A, B satisfying the conditions of Lemma 8. We use this notation for special matrices despite the fact that A is not $n-1$ -reducible in general. But Lemma 16 allows us to use the matrix B instead of C in some calculations. Moreover, if B is k -reducible for some $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, then B_k will also be called the k -reduction of the special matrix A and the special matrix A will be called *special k -reducible*. Let us specify how can we use k -reductions of special matrices. Using (6) it is easy to check the following statements:

- (1) If A and B are two special k -reducible matrices, then AB is a special k -reducible and $(AB)_k = A_k B_k$;
- (2) If A is special k -reducible and $B \in SL_n(U)$ (not necessarily $B \in G$) is k -reducible, then $A^B = BAB^{-1}$ is special k -reducible and $(A^B)_k = B_k A_k B_k^{-1}$;
- (3) If A is special k -reducible and $\alpha \in SL_n(K)$ is k -reducible, then the linear conjugation $\alpha A \alpha^{-1}$ of A by α is special k -reducible and $(\alpha A \alpha^{-1})_k = \alpha_k A_k^{\alpha_k} \alpha_k^{-1}$.

Lemma 9. *Every special matrix of the form*

$$A(h, g) = I + hgF_{1n1} + hg^2F_{2n1} - hF_{1n2} - hgF_{2n2}$$

belongs to S .

Proof. We have

$$A(h, g)_2 = I_2 + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} g & -1 \\ g^2 & -g \end{bmatrix}.$$

(a) Let $\alpha = I - \lambda E_{21}$, $\lambda \in K$. Then

$$A(h, g)_2^\alpha = I_2 + y_n h^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} g^\alpha & -1 \\ (g^\alpha)^2 & -g^\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 A(h, g)_2^\alpha \alpha_2^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(I_2 + y_n h^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} g^\alpha & -1 \\ (g^\alpha)^2 & -g^\alpha \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I_2 + y_n h^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} (g^\alpha - \lambda) & -1 \\ (g^\alpha - \lambda)^2 & -(g^\alpha - \lambda) \end{bmatrix} = A(h^\alpha, (g^\alpha - \lambda))_2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\alpha A(h, g)^\alpha \alpha^{-1} = A(h^\alpha, (g^\alpha - \lambda))$. This means that $A(h, g) \in S$ if and only if $A(h^\alpha, (g^\alpha - \lambda)) \in S$. Using this we may assume that $g(0, \dots, 0) = 0$.

(b) Set $B = I - fF_{231} \in S$. Then

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_3f & 1 & 0 \\ y_2f & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Direct calculations give that

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 A(h, g)_3 B_3^{-1} &= I + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} (g - y_3f) & -1 & 0 \\ (g - y_3f)^2 & -(g - y_3f) & 0 \\ y_2(g - y_3f)f & -y_2f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(I + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} (g - y_3f) & -1 & 0 \\ (g - y_3f)^2 & -(g - y_3f) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(I + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_2(g - y_3f)f & -y_2f & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= A(h, g - y_3f)_3 C_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = I + y_2 h (g - y_3f) f F_{3n1} - y_2 h f F_{3n2} = (I + y_2 h (g - y_3f) f F_{3n1}) (I - y_2 h f F_{3n2}).$$

Notice that $C \in S$. Consequently, $A(h, g) \in S$ if and only if $A(h, g - y_3f) \in S$. Of course, the same trick can be done with any variable y_i if $3 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Therefore, replacing g by $g - y_i f$ for all $3 \leq i \leq n - 1$ we may assume that

$$g = G(y_1, y_2) - y_n a.$$

(c) Now we show how to eliminate $y_n a$. First we replace g to

$$g + y_3 a = G(y_1, y_2) + y_3 a - y_n a$$

using (b). If $\gamma = I + E_{3n}$, then it is easy to check that

$$\gamma A(h, g + y_3 a)^\gamma \gamma^{-1} = A(h^\gamma, (g + y_3 a)^\gamma).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (g + y_3 a)^\gamma &= g^\gamma + (y_3 + y_n) a^\gamma \\ &= G(y_1, y_2) - y_n a^\gamma + (y_3 + y_n) a^\gamma = G(y_1, y_2) + y_3 a^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Using (b) again, we may assume that

$$g = G(y_1, y_2).$$

(d) Let f be a polynomial in y_1, y_2 . Then g can be replaced by $g + y_i f$, where $i = 1, 2$. For this we first replace g by $g + y_3 f$ using (b), i.e., we can assume that $g = G(y_1, y_2) + y_3 f$. If $\delta = I + E_{i3}$, then

$$\delta A(h, g)^\delta \delta^{-1} = (I - h^\delta g^\delta F_{1n1} - h^\delta (g^\delta)^2 F_{2n1}) A(h^\delta, g^\delta).$$

Since $I - h^\delta g^\delta F_{1n1} - h^\delta (g^\delta)^2 F_{2n1} \in S$ it follows that $A(h, g) \in S$ if and only if $A(h^\delta, g^\delta) \in S$.

Notice that

$$g^\gamma = G(y_1, y_2) + y_3 f^\gamma + y_i f^\gamma.$$

Using (b), we can replace g^γ by

$$G(y_1, y_2) + y_i f^\gamma.$$

This means that we can replace $g = G(y_1, y_2)$ by $G(y_1, y_2) + y_i f$ for any f . Then we can make $g = 0$. Notice that $A(h, 0) = I - hF_{1n2} \in S$. \square

Corollary 8. *Every automorphism of the form*

$$(x_1 + [x_1, x_n]hg + [x_2, x_n]hg^2, x_2 + [x_1, x_n]h + [x_2, x_n]hg, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

belongs to W .

Lemma 10. *Every matrix of the form*

$$A(h, f, g) = I + hfgF_{1n1} + hg^2F_{2n1} - hf^2F_{1n2} - hfgF_{2n2}$$

belongs to S .

Proof. The proof of the lemma repeats the proof given on pages 90–91 of [4]. Notice that $A(h, f, g)$ is an induced 2-reducible matrix and

$$A(h, f, g)_2 = I_2 + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} fg & -f^2 \\ g^2 & -fg \end{bmatrix}$$

We have

$$A(h, f, g)_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ g & -f & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -y_n hf \\ 0 & 1 & -y_n hg \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -g & f & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & y_n hf \\ 0 & 1 & y_n hg \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In matrix notation, this can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} A(h, f, g) &= [(I + gE_{31} - fE_{32}), (I - hfF_{1n3} - hgF_{2n3})] \\ &= (I - hfF_{1n3} - hgF_{2n3})^{(I+gE_{31}-fE_{32})} (I + hfF_{1n3} + hgF_{2n3}) \end{aligned}$$

The last factor belongs to S . Therefore it is sufficient to prove that

$$(I - hfF_{1n3} - hgF_{2n3})^{(I+gE_{31}-fE_{32})} = (I - hfF_{1n3})^{(I+gE_{31}-fE_{32})} (I - hgF_{2n3})^{(I+gE_{31}-fE_{32})}$$

belongs to S . Moreover, it is sufficient to prove that the first factor of the last product belongs to S since its conjugation by the linear automorphism (12) gives a matrix that has the form of the second factor. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ g & -f & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -y_n hf \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -g & f & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_3 + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} fg & -f^2 & -f \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ fg^2 & -f^2g & -fg \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left(I_3 + y_n h \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -f^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -f^2g & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left(I_3 + y_n (hf) \begin{bmatrix} g & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ g^2 & 0 & -g \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$\begin{aligned} &(I - hfF_{1n3})^{(I+gE_{31}-fE_{32})} = (I - hf^2F_{1n2} - hf^2gF_{3n2}) \\ &\cdot (I + (hf)gF_{1n1} + (hf)g^2F_{3n1} - (hf)F_{1n3} - (hf)gF_{3n3}). \end{aligned}$$

The first factor of this product belongs to S and the second factor belongs to S by Lemma 9. \square

Corollary 9. *Every automorphism of the form*

$$(x_1 + [x_1, x_n]hfg + [x_2, x_n]hg^2, x_2 + [x_1, x_n]hf^2 + [x_2, x_n]hfg, x_3, \dots, x_n)$$

belongs to W .

First, let us recall some results of Cohn [12] and Suslin [59] on generators of special linear groups over polynomial algebras.

In 1966 P. Cohn [12] proved that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_1x_2 & x_2^2 \\ -x_1^2 & 1 - x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not a product of elementary matrices over the polynomial algebra $K[x_1, x_2]$.

Denote by $E_k(U)$ the subgroup of $SL_k(U)$ generated by all elementary matrices, that is, by all matrices of the form

$$I_k + aE_{ij}, \quad a \in U.$$

The well-known Suslin's Theorem [59] states that if $k \geq 3$ then $SL_k(U) = E_k(U)$, that is, every matrix from $SL_k(U)$ is a product of elementary matrices. If I is an ideal of U then the natural map $U \rightarrow U/I$ induces the natural maps $GL_k(U) \rightarrow GL_k(U/I)$ and $SL_k(U) \rightarrow SL_k(U/I)$. Denote by $GL_k(U, I)$ the kernel of $GL_k(U) \rightarrow GL_k(U/I)$ and by $SL_k(U, I)$ the kernel of $SL_k(U) \rightarrow SL_k(U/I)$. Denote by $E_k(U, I)$ the normal closure in $E_k(U)$ of the set of all elementary matrices of the form $I + aE_{ij}$, where $i \neq j$ and $a \in I$.

The next corollary of Suslin's results is formulated in [4].

Proposition 1. *Let $k \geq 3$ and $I = y_n U$. Then*

- (1) $SL_k(U, I) = E_k(U, I)$;
- (2) $E_k(U, I)$ is generated by the set of all matrices of the form

$$I + h(fe_i + ge_j)(ge_i - fe_j)^t$$

where $i < j$, $h \in I$, and $f, g \in U$.

Proof. We repeat a short proof given in [4]. By [59], every matrix $\Phi \in SL_k(U, I)$ is a product of elementary matrices $\Phi = E_1 \dots E_s$. Any $a \in U = K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ can be written in the form

$$a = y_n b + c, \quad b \in U, c \in K[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}],$$

and, consequently,

$$I + aE_{ij} = (I + y_n b E_{ij})(I + c E_{ij}).$$

Thus, $E_i = H_i G_i$ where $H_i \in E_k(U, I)$ and $G_i \in E_k(K[y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}])$. Then

$$\Phi = H_1(G_1 H_2 G_1^{-1})(G_1 G_2 H_3 G_2^{-1} G_1^{-1}) \dots (G_1 \dots G_{s-1} H_s G_{s-1}^{-1} \dots G_1^{-1})(G_1 \dots G_s).$$

Substituting $y_n = 0$ we get $G_1 \dots G_s = 1$. Since

$$G_1 \dots G_{i-1} H_i G_{i-1}^{-1} \dots G_1^{-1} \in E_k(U, I)$$

it follows that $\Phi \in E_k(U, I)$.

By [59, Corollary 1.4], the group $E_k(U, I)$ is generated by the set of all matrices of the form

$$I_k + hu(u_j e_i - u_i e_j)^t$$

where $h \in I$, $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ is a unimodular column over U , and $1 \leq i < j \leq k$. Recall that a column u is called *unimodular* if the ideal generated by its components contains the identity element. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_k + hu(u_j e_i - u_i e_j)^t &= (I_k + h(u_i e_i + u_j e_j)(u_j e_i - u_i e_j)^t) \\ &\quad \prod_{l \neq i, j} (I_k + hu_l e_l (u_j e_i)^t) \prod_{l \neq i, j} (I_k - h(u_l e_l)^t u_i e_j). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (b). \square

Notice that if $i = 1, j = 2$, then the matrix from Proposition 1(2) is 2-reducible and its 2-reduction is

$$I_2 + h \begin{bmatrix} fg & -f^2 \\ g^2 & -fg \end{bmatrix},$$

which is a generalization of the Cohn matrix mentioned above.

Proposition 2. *Every special matrix belongs to S .*

Proof. Since $n \geq 4$ it follows by Proposition 1 that $SL_{n-1}(U, y_n U)$ is generated by the set of all matrices

$$B = I_{n-1} + y_n h(fe_i + ge_j)(ge_i - fe_j)^t, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1.$$

It is sufficient to prove that for any such matrix B there exists a special matrix A from S such that its special $(n-1)$ -reduction is B . Without loss of generality we may assume that $i = 1$ and $j = 2$, that is,

$$B = I_{n-1} + y_n h(fe_1 + ge_2)(ge_1 - fe_2)^t.$$

Then for

$$A = I + hfgF_{1n1} + hg^2F_{2n1} - hf^2F_{1n2} - hfgF_{2n2}$$

we get $A_{n-1} = B$ and A belongs to S by Lemma 10. \square

Corollary 10. *Every automorphism of the form*

$$(x_1 + f_1, x_2 + f_2, \dots, x_{n-1} + f_{n-1}, x_n),$$

where f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1} belong to the ideal generated by $[x_1, x_n], \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n]$, belongs to W .

8. INDUCTIVE LEMMA

The following lemma is part of the excellent proof given in [4, p. 99–100], and we will repeat this for completeness. Since we have no exponential automorphisms of degree 3, we first present this statement with a slight restriction. Using this lemma, in the next section we will deal with exponential automorphisms of degree 3. Then we can prove this lemma in full form. Fortunately, the proof given here works in the general case.

Lemma 11. *Let $A = I + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G$, $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 3$, and $a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n} \in \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U + y_n^2 U$. Then there exists $B \in S$ such that $B^{-1}A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U)$.*

Proof. For any $a \in U$ and any matrix R over U let \bar{a} and \bar{R} be the result of setting $y_s = y_{s+1} = \dots = y_{n-1} = 0$ in a and R respectively.

Let

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \cdot \\ & & I_{n-1} & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & 0 \\ y_1 & y_2 & \dots & y_{n-1} & y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\bar{M} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & 0 \\ & & & & \cdot \\ & & I_{n-1} & & \cdot \\ & & & & \cdot \\ & & & & 0 \\ y_1 & \dots & y_{s-1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} MAM^{-1} &= I_n + \begin{bmatrix} & & & y_n^{-1}a_{1n} \\ & & & \cdot \\ [a_{ij} - y_n^{-1}y_j a_{in}] & & & \cdot \\ & & & y_n^{-1}a_{(n-1)n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} & & & y_n^{-1}a_{1n} \\ & & & \cdot \\ I_{n-1} & & & \cdot \\ & & & y_n^{-1}a_{(n-1)n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(I_n + \begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \cdot \\ [a_{ij} - y_n^{-1}y_j a_{in}] & & & \cdot \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{M} \bar{A} \bar{M}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & y_n^{-1}\bar{a}_{1n} \\ & & & \cdot \\ I_{n-1} & & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ & & & y_n^{-1}\bar{a}_{(n-1)n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(I_n + \begin{bmatrix} & & & 0 \\ & & & \cdot \\ [\bar{a}_{ij} - y_n^{-1}y_j \bar{a}_{in}] & & & \cdot \\ & & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

and

$$\overline{M} \overline{A} \overline{M}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & y_n \overline{d}_{1n} \\ & I_{n-1} & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & y_n \overline{d}_{(n-1)n} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(I_n + \begin{bmatrix} & 0 \\ [y_n \overline{d}_{ij}] & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where $\overline{d}_{ij} \in K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$. The last equality is possible since $\overline{a}_{ij} \in y_n K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$ for all i, j and $\overline{a}_{in} \in y_n^2 K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Moreover, notice that $\text{ldeg}(\overline{d}_{ij}) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ and $\text{ldeg}(\overline{d}_{in}) \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ since $\text{ldeg}(\overline{a}_{ij}) \geq 3$ for all i, j .

For any $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_k &= I_n + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ y_1 y_n \overline{d}_{kn} & y_2 y_n \overline{d}_{kn} & \dots & y_n^2 \overline{d}_{kn} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -y_1 y_k \overline{d}_{kn} & -y_2 y_k \overline{d}_{kn} & \dots & -y_n y_k \overline{d}_{kn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{l \neq k, n} (I_n + y_l \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knl}) \cdot (I_n + y_k \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knk} - y_n \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knn}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that all factors of the product $\prod_{l \neq k, n} (I_n + y_l \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knl})$ belong to S and

$$I_n + y_k \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knk} - y_n \overline{d}_{kn} F_{knn} \in S$$

by Lemma 7 since $\text{ldeg}(\overline{d}_{kn}) \geq 1$ (this is the only place where the restriction $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 3$ was used). Consequently, $\delta_k \in S$.

Notice that

$$\overline{M} \overline{\delta}_1 \dots \overline{\delta}_{n-1} \overline{M}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & & & y_n \overline{d}_{1n} \\ & I_{n-1} & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ & & & \cdot \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & y_n \overline{d}_{(n-1)n} \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\overline{M}(\overline{\delta}_1 \dots \overline{\delta}_{n-1})^{-1} \Phi \overline{M}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & 0 \\ [y_n \overline{d}_{ij}] & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\epsilon = I_n + \begin{bmatrix} y_n \overline{d}_{11} & \dots & y_n \overline{d}_{1(n-1)} & 0 \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ y_n \overline{d}_{(n-1)1} & \dots & y_n \overline{d}_{(n-1)(n-1)} & 0 \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j \overline{d}_{j1} & \dots & -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} y_j \overline{d}_{j(n-1)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 2, $\epsilon \in S \cap SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U)$, and

$$M\epsilon M^{-1} = \overline{M}\overline{\epsilon}\overline{M}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} & 0 \\ [y_n \overline{d}_{ij}] & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{M}\overline{\epsilon}^{-1}(\overline{\delta}_1 \dots \overline{\delta}_{n-1})^{-1} \overline{A} \overline{M}^{-1} \\ \overline{M}B^{-1} \overline{A} \overline{M}^{-1} = I_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $B = \delta_1 \dots \delta_{n-1} \epsilon$. This means that $B^{-1}A \in S \cap SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U)$. \square

9. EXCEPTIONAL AUTOMORPHISM

Now we prove that the automorphism (3) is almost tame using the ideas of approximation [8] and the results of the preceding sections. Recall that the Jacobian matrix of (3) is the matrix (9).

Lemma 12. *The matrix (9) belongs to S .*

Proof. We have

$$A = I + y_2 F_{231} - \lambda y_1 F_{231} \in S.$$

Let $\alpha = I + \lambda E_{12}$. Then $B = \alpha A \alpha^{-1} \in S$. Since A and α are 3-reducible it follows that B is 3-reducible and

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 = \alpha_3 A_3 \alpha_3^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ (y_2 - \lambda y_1)y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ -(y_2 - \lambda y_1)y_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_2 y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ -y_2(y_2 + \lambda y_1) & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 & -\lambda^2 y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ y_2 y_3 & 1 - \lambda y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ -y_2(y_2 + \lambda y_1) & \lambda y_2(y_2 + \lambda y_1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Set $C = (I - y_2 F_{231})(I + \lambda^2 y_2 F_{132})B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_2 y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ y_2^2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda^2 y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda^2 y_2 y_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 & -\lambda^2 y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ y_2 y_3 & 1 - \lambda y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ -y_2(y_2 + \lambda y_1) & \lambda y_2(y_2 + \lambda y_1) & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 + \lambda^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 & -\lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_2^2 y_3^2 (1 + \lambda y_2 y_3) & 1 - \lambda y_2 y_3 + \lambda^3 y_2^3 y_3^3 & 0 \\ \lambda(-y_1 y_2 + y_2^3 y_3)(1 + \lambda y_2 y_3) & \lambda y_2^2 + \lambda^3 y_1 y_2^2 y_3 - \lambda^3 y_2^4 y_3^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + y_2^2 y_3 C', \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C' = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 y_3 & -\lambda^3 y_3 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_3(1 + \lambda y_2 y_3) & \lambda^3 y_2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ \lambda(y_2 - \lambda y_1 + \lambda y_2^2 y_3) & \lambda^3 y_1 - \lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set also $D = (I + y_2 F_{231})(I - \lambda^2 y_2 F_{132})B^{-1}$. Then

$$D_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda y_2 y_3 + \lambda^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 & -\lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_2^2 y_3^2 (1 - \lambda y_2 y_3) & 1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 - \lambda^3 y_2^3 y_3^3 & 0 \\ \lambda(y_1 y_2 + y_2^3 y_3)(1 - \lambda y_2 y_3) & -\lambda y_2^2 + \lambda^3 y_1 y_2^2 y_3 + \lambda^3 y_2^4 y_3^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (23)_3 D_3^{(23)} (23)_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda y_2 y_3 + \lambda^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 & 0 & -\lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3^2 \\ \lambda(y_1 y_3 + y_2 y_3^3)(1 - \lambda y_2 y_3) & 1 & -\lambda y_3^2 + \lambda^3 y_1 y_2 y_3^2 + \lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3^4 \\ -\lambda y_2^2 y_3^2 (1 - \lambda y_2 y_3) & 0 & 1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 - \lambda^3 y_2^3 y_3^3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} -y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & 0 & -y_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} + y_2 y_3^2 D', \end{aligned}$$

$$D' = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda^2 y_2 & 0 & -\lambda^3 y_2 \\ \lambda(y_3 - \lambda y_1 - \lambda y_2 y_3^2) & 0 & \lambda^3 y_1 + \lambda^3 y_2 y_3^2 \\ -\lambda y_2(1 - \lambda y_2 y_3) & 0 & -\lambda^3 y_2^2 y_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $E = C(23)D^{(23)}(23) \in S$. A direct calculation gives that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_3 &= C_3(23)_3 D_3^{(23)}(23)_3 = (I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + y_2^2 y_3 C') \\
&\times (I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} -y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & 0 & -y_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} + y_2 y_3^2 D') = I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} \\
&+ \lambda^2 y_2 y_3 \begin{bmatrix} -y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_1 y_3 & 0 & y_3^2 \\ 2y_1 y_2 & 0 & -y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} + (I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y_2 y_3 & 0 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + y_2^2 y_3 C') y_2 y_3^2 D' \\
&+ y_2^2 y_3 C' + \lambda y_2^2 y_3 C' \begin{bmatrix} -y_2 y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & 0 & -y_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} = I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} + E',
\end{aligned}$$

where all elements of E' are divisible by y_3 and all elements of the last column of E' are divisible by y_3^2 . Let

$$P = (I + \lambda y_1 F_{231})(I - \lambda y_2 F_{232} - \lambda y_3 F_{233}) = I + \lambda y_1 F_{231} - \lambda y_2 F_{232} - \lambda y_3 F_{233}.$$

Then

$$P_3 = I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
P_3^{-1} E_3 &= (I_3 - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix})(I_3 + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix} + E') \\
&= I_3 + (I_3 - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ y_1 y_3 & -y_2 y_3 & -y_3^2 \\ -y_1 y_2 & y_2^2 & y_2 y_3 \end{bmatrix}) E' = I_3 + P'.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that all elements of P' are of lower degree ≥ 4 , divisible by y_3 , and all elements of the last column are divisible by y_3^2 . Thus the matrix $(3n)(P^{-1}E)^{(3n)}(3n) \in SL_n(U, y_n U)$ and satisfies the conditions of Lemma 11 for $s = n$. By Lemma 11, there exists a matrix Q such that $Q^{-1}(3n)(P^{-1}E)^{(3n)}(3n) \in SL_n(U, 0)$, that is, $Q^{-1}(3n)(P^{-1}E)^{(3n)}(3n) = I$. Consequently, $(3n)(P^{-1}E)^{(3n)}(3n) = Q \in S$ and $P^{-1}E \in S$. Since $E \in S$ it follows that $P \in S$. Notice that the first factor $I + \lambda y_1 F_{231}$ of P belongs to S . Consequently,

$$(I - \lambda y_1 F_{231})P = I - \lambda y_2 F_{232} - \lambda y_3 F_{233} \in S.$$

Conjugating the inverse of this matrix we can get the matrix (9). \square

Corollary 11. *The automorphism (3) is almost tame.*

10. ELIMINATION OF A VARIABLE

In this section, we prove the main proposition to reduce the number of variables in matrices from G modulo S , repeating the proofs from [4, pages 99–100], with some minimal necessary changes. We present two lemmas to avoid yet another use of inner automorphisms in [4].

Lemma 13. *There exists a 3-reducible matrix C in T such that C_3 after setting $y_2 = y_3 = 0$ becomes equal to*

$$I_3 + \lambda y_1 E_{13} = I_3 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda y_1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\lambda \in K$.

Proof. Set

$$A = I + F_{231}, \quad \alpha = I + \lambda E_{12}, \quad B = I - \lambda^2 F_{132}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 \alpha_3 (A_3^{-1})^\alpha \alpha_3^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ -y_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ y_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ -y_2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_3 & 1 & 0 \\ y_2 + \lambda y_1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda y_3 & \lambda^2 y_3 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_3^2 & 1 + \lambda y_3 + \lambda^2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ \lambda y_1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 & -\lambda y_2 - \lambda^2 y_1 - \lambda^2 y_2 y_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_3 A_3 \alpha_3 (A_3^{-1})^\alpha \alpha_3^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\lambda^2 y_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^2 y_1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda y_3 & \lambda^2 y_3 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_3^2 & 1 + \lambda y_3 + \lambda^2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ \lambda y_1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 & -\lambda y_2 - \lambda^2 y_1 - \lambda^2 y_2 y_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda y_3 + \lambda^3 y_3^3 & -\lambda^3 y_3^2 - \lambda^4 y_3^3 & 0 \\ -\lambda y_3^2 & 1 + \lambda y_3 + \lambda^2 y_3^2 & 0 \\ \lambda y_1 + \lambda y_2 y_3 - \lambda^3 y_1 y_3^2 & -\lambda y_2 - \lambda^2 y_2 y_3 + \lambda^3 y_1 y_3 + \lambda^4 y_1 y_3^2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

If $C = B A \alpha (A^{-1})^\alpha \alpha^{-1}$ then $C \in T$ and $C_3 = B_3 A_3 \alpha_3 (A_3^{-1})^\alpha \alpha_3^{-1}$ after setting $y_2 = y_3 = 0$ gives $I_3 + \lambda y_1 E_{13}$. \square

Lemma 14. *Let*

$$I_n + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, y_1 U + y_2 U) \cap G.$$

Then $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$.

Proof. Let

$$A = [a_{ij}] = A_1 + \dots + A_k,$$

where all elements of A_i are homogeneous of degree i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and let $A_1 = [b_{ij}]$. Since $a_{ij} \in y_1U + y_2U$ then $b_{ij} \in Ky_1 + Ky_2$. Moreover, since $YA = 0$ by Lemma 2, we get $YA_1 = 0$. By Lemma 2 there exist quadratic elements $f_1, \dots, f_n \in M_n$ such that

$$A_1 = [b_{ij}] = [\partial(f_1) \dots \partial(f_n)].$$

Therefore all components of $\partial(f_i)$ belong to $Ky_1 + Ky_2$.

Let

$$f_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha_k [x_n, x_k] + f'_i,$$

where f'_i does not depend on x_n and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in K$. If $\alpha_j \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ then

$$\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j} = -\alpha_j x_n + \frac{\partial f'_i}{\partial x_j} \notin Ky_1 + Ky_2.$$

Consequently, $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$ and f_i does not depend on x_n . The same discussions give that f_i does not depend on x_3, \dots, x_n . Hence $f_i = \lambda_i [x_1, x_2]$ for all i . Then

$$A_1 = \lambda_1 F_{121} + \lambda_2 F_{122} + \dots + \lambda_n F_{12n}$$

and the trace $\text{tr}(A_1)$ of A_1 is $\lambda_1 y_2 - \lambda y_1$.

Recall that the determinat of $I + A$ is 1. The homogeneous part of degree 1 of the determinant of $I + A_1 + \dots + A_k$ is $\text{tr}(A_1)$. Consequently, $\text{tr}(A_1) = 0$. The equation $\lambda_1 y_2 - \lambda y_1 = 0$ gives that $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. This means that $b_{ij} = 0$ and $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 2$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$. \square

Lemma 15. *Let*

$$A = I + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G.$$

Then there exists $R \in S$ such that $R^{-1}A = I + [e_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G$ and $e_{1n}, e_{2n}, \dots, e_{n-1n} \in \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U + y_n^2 U$.

Proof. For any $a \in U$ and any matrix R over U let \bar{a} and \bar{R} be the result of setting $y_s = y_{s+1} = \dots = y_{n-1} = 0$ in a and R , respectively.

By Lemma 2,

$$y_1 a_{1j} + y_2 a_{2j} + \dots + y_n a_{nj} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Consequently,

$$y_1 \bar{a}_{1j} + \dots + y_{s-1} \bar{a}_{(s-1)j} + y_n \bar{a}_{nj} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Since $A = I + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U)$ it follows that $a_{ij} \in \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U$ and, consequently, $\bar{a}_{ij} \in y_n K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$, that is, $\bar{a}_{ij} = y_n \bar{b}_{ij}$ for some $\bar{b}_{ij} \in K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$. Therefore $\bar{A} = I + [\bar{a}_{ij}] = I + [y_n \bar{b}_{ij}]$ and the preceding equality gives that

$$y_1 \bar{b}_{1j} + \dots + y_{s-1} \bar{b}_{(s-1)j} + y_n \bar{b}_{nj} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

If $(\bar{c}_{1n}, \dots, \bar{c}_{(n-1)n})$ is the result of setting $y_n = 0$ in $(\bar{b}_{1n}, \dots, \bar{b}_{(n-1)n})$ then from this we get

$$(11) \quad y_1 \bar{c}_{1n} + \dots + y_{s-1} \bar{c}_{(s-1)n} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

First we show that there exists a matrix $R \in S \cap SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U)$ such that

$$\bar{R} = C = \begin{bmatrix} & y_n \bar{c}_{1n} & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ I_{n-1} & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & y_n \bar{c}_{(n-1)n} & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

belongs to S . In fact,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} & y_n \bar{c}_{1n} & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ I_{n-1} & y_n \bar{c}_{(s-1)n} & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & 0 & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} & 0 & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ I_{n-1} & 0 & & \\ & y_n \bar{c}_{sn} & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & y_n \bar{c}_{(n-1)n} & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first factor belongs to G by (11) and Lemma 2, and, consequently, belongs to S . The second factor is equal to the product

$$D = \prod_{k=s}^{n-1} (I + y_n \bar{c}_{kn} E_{kn})$$

Let

$$\bar{c}_{kn} = y_1 f_1 + \dots + y_{s-1} f_{s-1} + \lambda,$$

where $f_i \in K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}]$ and $\lambda \in K$. Then

$$y_n \bar{c}_{kn} = y_1 y_n f_1 + \dots + y_{s-1} y_n f_{s-1} + y_n \lambda.$$

Let

$$P_k = \begin{bmatrix} & & & -y_k y_n f_1 & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ I_{n-1} & & & -y_k y_n f_{s-1} & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ & & & y_1 y_n f_1 + \dots + y_{s-1} y_n f_{s-1} & & \\ & & & 0 & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in S.$$

Let $s = n - 1$. Then $k = n - 1$ and $a_{ij} \in y_{n-1}U + y_nU$. By Lemma 14, $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 2$ for all $n - 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. By construction the linear part of $y_n \bar{c}_{kn}$ is obtained from the linear part of $a_{(n-1)n}$. Consequently, $\lambda = 0$ and $\bar{P}_k = I + y_n \bar{c}_{kn} E_{kn}$.

Let $s < n - 1$, i.e., the set $\{s, \dots, n\}$ contains at least 3 elements. Then, by Lemma 13, there exists a matrix $Q \in T$ such that

$$\bar{Q} = I_n + \lambda y_n E_{kn}.$$

Consequently, $QP \in S$ and $\bar{QP} = I + y_n \bar{c}_{kn} E_{kn}$.

Therefore in both cases there exists a matrix $\gamma_i \in S$ such that $\bar{\gamma}_i = I + y_n \bar{c}_{kn} E_{kn}$. Then $\overline{\gamma_s \dots \gamma_{n-1}} = D$. Consequently, there exists a matrix $R \in S \cap SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U)$ such that $\bar{R} = C$. Then $R^{-1}A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G$. Let $R^{-1}A = I_n + [e_{ij}]$. Recall that, by construction, $\bar{a}_{kn} = y_n \bar{c}_{kn} + y_n^2 \bar{d}_{kn}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, where $\bar{d}_{kn} \in K[y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, y_n]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{R^{-1}A} &= C^{-1}(I + [\bar{a}_{ij}]) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & -y_n \bar{c}_{1n} & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & & \\ I_{n-1} & & & -y_n \bar{c}_{(n-1)n} & & \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \left(I + \begin{bmatrix} & \bar{a}_{1n} \\ [\bar{a}_{ij}]_{i,j \leq n-1} & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \cdot \\ & \bar{a}_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= I + \begin{bmatrix} & \bar{a}_{1n} - y_n \bar{c}_{1n} & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ [\bar{a}_{ij}]_{i,j \leq n-1} & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & \bar{a}_{(n-1)n} - y_n \bar{c}_{(n-1)n} & & \\ & & & \bar{a}_{nn} \end{bmatrix} = I + \begin{bmatrix} & y_n^2 \bar{d}_{1n} & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ [\bar{a}_{ij}]_{i,j \leq n-1} & \cdot & & \\ & \cdot & & \\ & y_n^2 \bar{d}_{(n-1)n} & & \\ & & & \bar{a}_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\bar{e}_{kn} = y_n^2 \bar{d}_{kn}$. This means that $e_{kn} \in \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U + y_n^2 U$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. The matrix $R^{-1}A$ satisfies the statement of the lemma. \square

For convenience, we formulate the following complete version of the lemma 11.

Lemma 16. *Let $A = I + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G$ and $a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n} \in \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U + y_n^2 U$. Then there exists $B \in S$ such that $B^{-1}A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U)$.*

Proof. Notice that the restriction $\text{ldeg}(a_{ij}) \geq 3$ was used only once to apply that the matrix

$$I_n + y_k \bar{d}_{kn} F_{knk} - y_n \bar{d}_{kn} F_{knn}$$

belong to S by Lemma 7 since $\text{ldeg}(\bar{d}_{kn}) \geq 1$. By Lemma 13, it belongs to S anyway. Repeating the proof of Lemma 11, we get the statement of the lemma. \square

Proposition 3. *Let*

$$A = I + [a_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U) \cap G.$$

Then there exists $B \in S$ such that $B^{-1}A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U)$.

Proof. By Lemma 15, there exists a matrix $C \in S$ such that $C^{-1}A = I + [c_{ij}] \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^n y_j U)$ and $c_{1n}, c_{2n}, \dots, c_{n-1n} \in \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U + y_n^2 U$. By Lemma 16, there exists a matrix $D \in S$ such that $D^{-1}C^{-1}A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s}^{n-1} y_j U)$. \square

11. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. *Every automorphism of a free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ over an arbitrary field K is almost tame.*

Proof. First we show that $S = G$. Let $A \in G$. Then

$$A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j U).$$

By Proposition 3, there exist matrices $B_1, \dots, B_n \in S$ such that

$$B_s^{-1} \dots B_1^{-1} A \in SL_n(U, \sum_{j=s+1}^n y_j U)$$

for all $s < n$ and

$$B_n^{-1} \dots B_1^{-1} A \in SL_n(U, 0) = \{I\}.$$

Consequently,

$$B_n^{-1} \dots B_1^{-1} A = I$$

and

$$A = B_1 \dots B_n \in S.$$

This proves that $S = G$. Therefore $\text{ATAut}(M_n) \cap \text{IAut}(M_n) = \text{IAut}(M_n)$. It follows that $\text{ATAut}(M_n) = \text{Aut}(M_n)$ since both groups contain all linear automorphisms. \square

Theorem 2. *The group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ of a free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 4$ over a field K of characteristic $\neq 3$ is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as a quadratic automorphism (1) and a cubic automorphism (2).*

Corollary 12. *The group of all automorphisms $\text{Aut}(M_n)$ of a free metabelian Lie algebra M_n of rank $n \geq 5$ over any field K is generated by all linear automorphisms, as well as a quadratic automorphism (1) and a cubic automorphism (2).*

Theorem 2 and Corollary 12 are direct corollaries of Corollary 4 and Theorem 1.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank the Max Planck Institute für Mathematik for its hospitality and excellent working conditions, where some part of this work has been done. The author thanks Professors V. Dotsenko, M. Jibladze, L. Makar-Limanov, and I. Shestakov for useful discussions.

The project is supported by the grant AP14872073 of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] D.J. Anick, Limits of tame automorphisms of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. J. Algebra 82(1983), no. 2, 459–468.
- [2] S. Bachmuth, Automorphisms of free metabelian groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 118 (1965), 93–104.
- [3] S. Bachmuth, H. Mochizuki, Automorphisms of a class of metabelian groups. II Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 294–301.
- [4] S. Bachmuth, H. Mochizuki, $\text{Aut} F \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F/F'')$ is surjective for free group F of rank ≥ 4 . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 292 (1985), no. 1, 81–101.
- [5] Y. Bahturin, S. Nabiliev, Automorphisms and derivations of abelian extensions of some Lie algebras. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 62 (1992), 43–57.
- [6] Yu. Bahturin, V. Shpilrain, On Lie algebras with wild automorphisms. Results Math. 28 (1995), no. 3–4, 209–213.
- [7] Yu. Bahturin, Identical relations in Lie algebras. De Gruyter Exp. Math., 68 De Gruyter, Berlin, 2021, xxv+514 pp.
- [8] R.M. Bryant, V. Drensky, Dense subgroups of the automorphism groups of free algebras. Canad. J. Math. 45 (1993), no. 6, 1135–1154.
- [9] O. Chein, IA automorphisms of free and free metabelian groups. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 21 (1968), 605–629.
- [10] E. Chibrikov, On free Sabinin algebras. Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), no. 11, 4014–4035.
- [11] P.M. Cohn, Subalgebras of free associative algebras. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)14 (1964), 618–632.
- [12] P.M. Cohn, On the structure of the GL_2 of a ring, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 30(1966), 5–53.
- [13] P.M. Cohn, Free ideal rings and localization in general rings. New Math. Monogr., 3 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, xxii+572 pp.
- [14] A.J. Czerniakiewicz, Automorphisms of a free associative algebra of rank 2. I, II. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 160 (1971), 393–401; 171 (1972), 309–315.
- [15] V. Dotsenko, U. Umirbaev, An Effective Criterion for Nielsen–Schreier Varieties. International Mathematics Research Notices, rnad092, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnad092>
- [16] V. Drensky, Wild automorphisms of nilpotent-by-abelian Lie algebras. Manuscripta Math. 74 (1992), no. 2, 133–141.
- [17] C.K. Gupta, N.D. Gupta, V.A. Roman’kov, Primitivity in free groups and free metabelian groups. Canad. J. Math. 44 (1992), no. 3, 516–523.
- [18] H.W.E. Jung, Über ganze birationale Transformationen der Ebene. J. reine angew Math. 184 (1942), 161–174.
- [19] A.N. Kabanov, V.A. Roman’kov, Strictly nontame primitive elements of a free metabelian Lie algebra of rank 3. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 50 (2009), no. 1, 82–95. Sib. Math. J. 50 (2009), no. 1, 66–76.

- [20] C.E. Kofinas and A.I. Papistas, Automorphisms of free metabelian Lie algebras, *Inter. J. Algebra and Compt.* 26(4) (2016) 751–762.
- [21] D. Kozybaev, L. Makar-Limanov, U. Umirbaev, The Freiheitssatz and the automorphisms of free right-symmetric algebras. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 1 (2008), no. 2, 243–254.
- [22] W. van der Kulk, On polynomial rings in two variables. *Nieuw Archief voor Wisk.* (3)1 (1953), 33–41.
- [23] A.G. Kurosh, Non-associative free algebras and free products of algebras. *Rec.Math. [Mat. Sbornik]* N.S. 20(62) (1947), 239–262.
- [24] J. Lewin, On Schreier varieties of linear algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (1968), 553–562.
- [25] W. Magnus, On a theorem of Marshall Hall. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 40 (1939), 764–768.
- [26] L.G. Makar-Limanov, The automorphisms of the free algebra of two generators. *Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* 4 (1970), no. 3, 107–108; English translation: in *Functional Anal. Appl.* 4 (1970), 262–263.
- [27] L. Makar-Limanov, I. Shestakov, Polynomial and Poisson dependence in free Poisson algebras and free Poisson fields. *Journal of Algebra* 349 (2012), 372–379.
- [28] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Turusbekova, U. Umirbaev, Automorphisms and derivations of free Poisson algebras in two variables. *J. Algebra* 322 (2009), 3318–3330.
- [29] L. Makar-Limanov, U. Umirbaev, Free Poisson fields and their automorphisms. *J. Algebra Appl.* 15 (2016), no. 10, 1650196, 13 pp.
- [30] A.A. Mikhalev, Subalgebras of free colored Lie superalgebras. *Mat. Zametki* 37 (1985), no. 5, 653–661.
- [31] A.A. Mikhalev, Subalgebras of free Lie p -superalgebras. (Russian) *Mat. Zametki* 43 (1988), no. 2, 178–191, 300; translation in *Math. Notes* 43 (1988), no. 1–2, 99–106.
- [32] A.A. Mikhalev, I.P. Shestakov, PBW-pairs of varieties of linear algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 42(2014), no.2, 667–687.
- [33] M. Nagata, On the automorphism group of $k[x, y]$. *Lect. in Math., Kyoto Univ., Kinokuniya, Tokio*, 1972.
- [34] R. Naurzybaev, Reducibility of tame automorphisms of free metabelian Lie algebras of rank 3. (Russian) *Herald of the Eurasian National University*, (2009), no. 6, 200–213.
- [35] R. Naurzybaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of free metabelian Lie algebras of rank 3. (Russian) *Herald of the Eurasian National University*, (2010), no. 4, 164–170.
- [36] R. Naurzybaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of free metabelian Lie algebras of rank 3. (Russian) *Herald of the Eurasian National University*, (2011), no. 4, 21–26.
- [37] R. Naurzybaev, On generators of the tame automorphism group of free metabelian Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 43 (2015), no. 5, 1791–1801.
- [38] J. Nielsen, Om regning med ikke-kommutative faktorer og dens anvendelse i gruppeteorien, *Math. Tidsskrift B* (in Danish), 1921, 78–94.
- [39] J. Nielsen, Die Isomorphismengruppe der freien Gruppen, *Mathematische Annalen* (in German), 91 (3–4) (1924), 169–209.
- [40] Z. Özkurt, N. Ekici, An application of the Dieudonné determinant: detecting non-tame automorphisms. *J. Lie Theory* 18 (2008), no. 1, 205–214.
- [41] A.I. Papistas, Automorphisms of free polynilpotent Lie algebras. *Comm. Algebra* 21 (1993), no. 12, 4391–4395.
- [42] A.I. Papistas, IA-automorphisms of 2-generator metabelian Lie algebras, *Algebra Colloq.* 3 (1996), 193–198.
- [43] C. Reutenauer, Applications of a noncommutative Jacobian matrix, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 77 (1992), 169–181.
- [44] V.A. Roman’kov, The automorphism group of a free metabelian group. *The Interconnection Problems of Abstract and Applied Algebra*, Novosibirsk (1985), pp. 53–80 (in Russian).
- [45] V.A. Roman’kov, Primitive elements of free groups of rank 3. *Math. USSR-Sb.* 73 (1992), no. 2, 445–454.
- [46] V.A. Roman’kov, The Tennant-Turner swap conjecture. *Algebra i Logika* 34 (1995), no. 4, 448–463, 487–488. *Algebra and Logic* 34 (1995), no. 4, 249–257.

- [47] V.A. Roman'kov, On the automorphism group of a free metabelian Lie algebra *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 18 (2008), no. 2, 209–226.
- [48] V.A. Roman'kov, Primitive elements and automorphisms of the free metabelian group of rank 3. *Sib. Èlektron. Mat. Izv.* 17 (2020), 61–76.
- [49] I.R. Shafarevich, On some infinite-dimensional groups. II.(Russian)*Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*45(1981), no.1, 214–226.
- [50] I.P. Shestakov and U.U. Umirbaev, Free Akivis algebras, primitive elements and their relations with loops. *J. Algebra* 250 (2002), 533–548.
- [51] I.P. Shestakov, U.U. Umirbaev, Tame and wild automorphisms of rings of polynomials in three variables. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 17 (2004), 197–227.
- [52] A.I. Shirshov, Subalgebras of free Lie algebras. (Russian) *Mat. Sbornik* 33(75) (1953), 441–452.
- [53] A.I. Shirshov, Subalgebras of free commutative and free anticommutative algebras. (Russian) *Mat. Sbornik* 34(76) (1954), 81–88.
- [54] A.L. Shmel'kin, Wreath products of Lie algebras and their application in the theory of groups, *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.* 29(1973), 247–260, Russian; Translation, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 29(1973), 239–252.
- [55] O. Schreier, Die Untergruppen der freien Gruppen. (German) *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* 5(1927), no.1, 161–183.
- [56] V. Shpilrain, On generators of L/R^2 Lie algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 119 (1993), no. 4, 1039–1043.
- [57] A.S. Shtern, Free Lie superalgebras. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 27 (1986), no. 1, 170–174.
- [58] M.K. Smith, Stably tame automorphisms, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, 58(1989), 209–212.
- [59] A.A. Suslin, The structure of the special linear group over rings of polynomials. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 41 (1977), no. 2, 235–252.
- [60] U.U. Umirbaev, Partial derivations and endomorphisms of some relatively free Lie algebras. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 34 (1993), no. 6, 179–188.
- [61] U.U. Umirbaev, On Schreier varieties of algebras. *Algebra i logika* 33 (1994), no. 3, 317–340; English translation: In *Algebra and Logic* 33 (1994), no. 3, 180–193.
- [62] U.U. Umirbaev, On an extension of automorphisms of polynomial rings. (Russian) *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* 36 (1995), no. 4, 911–916; translation in *Siberian Math. J.* 36 (1995), no. 4, 787–791.
- [63] U.U. Umirbaev, Universal derivations and subalgebras of free algebras. *Proc. 3rd Internat. Conf. Algebra, Krasnoyarsk, Russia, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996*, 255–271.
- [64] U.U. Umirbaev, I.P. Shestakov, Subalgebras and automorphisms of polynomial rings. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* 386 (2002), no. 6, 745–748.
- [65] U.U. Umirbaev, Defining relations of the tame automorphism group of polynomial rings, and wild automorphisms of free associative algebras. (Russian) *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* 407 (2006), no. 3, 319–324.
- [66] U. Umirbaev, The Anick automorphism of free associative algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 605 (2007), 165–178.
- [67] U.U. Umirbaev, Defining relations for automorphism groups of free algebras. *J. Algebra* 314 (2007), no. 1, 209–225.
- [68] U. Umirbaev, Universal enveloping algebras and universal derivations of Poisson algebras. *J. Algebra* 354 (2012), pp. 77–94.
- [69] U. Umirbaev, Erroneous proofs of the wildness of some automorphisms of free metabelian Lie algebras. *ArXiv. /abs/2401.07182*, (2024), 10 pages, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2401.07182>
- [70] E. Witt, Die Unterringe der freien Lieschen Ringe. *Math. Z.* 64 (1956), 195–216.
- [71] K.A. Zhevlakov, A.M. Slinko, I.P. Shestakov, A.I. Shirshov, Rings that are nearly associative. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1982.