

Cohomologies of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight and applications

Wen Teng, Shuangjian Guo*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics
Guiyang 550025, P. R. of China

June 21, 2024

ABSTRACT

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate cohomologies of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight and provide some applications. First, we introduce the notion of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras and give some new examples. Moreover, cohomologies of Reynolds operators and Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras with coefficients in a suitable representation are established. Finally, formal deformations and abelian extensions of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras are characterized in terms of lower degree cohomology groups.

Key words: Lie-Yamaguti algebra, Reynolds operator, representation, cohomology,

2020 MSC: 17B38, 17B60, 17B56, 17D99

1 Introduction

The concept of Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras was introduced by Baxter [1] in his study of fluctuation theory in probability, and further studied by Rota [2] in algebra and combination. Rota-Baxter operators have been widely studied in many areas of mathematics and physics, including combinatorics, number theory, operads and quantum field theory [3]. For more details on the Rota-Baxter operators, see [4]. Later, other operators related to Rota-Baxter operators emerged continuously. One of them is Reynolds operator, which is inspired by Reynolds' work on turbulence in fluid dynamics [5]. Kampé de Fériet [6] created the notion of the Reynolds operator as a mathematical subject in general. And then, Zhang, Gao and Guo gave examples and properties of Reynolds

*Corresponding author: shuangjianguo@126.com

operators, and studied the free Reynolds algebras by using bracketed words and rooted trees in [7]. Further research on Reynolds operators has been developed, see [8–12].

In recent years, scholars have increasingly focused on operator structures with any weight. Wang and Zhou [13, 14] developed deformations and homotopy theory for Rota-Baxter associative algebras of any weight. Later, Das has considered the cohomology and deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras by use of Wang and Zhou’s method in [15]. The authors [16, 17] established the cohomology, extensions and deformations of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras with any weight. In [18], Chen, Lou and Sun considered the cohomology and extensions of Rota-Baxter Lie triple systems.

The concept of Lie-Yamaguti algebras was introduced by Kinyon and Weinstein [19] in the study of Courant algebroids. A Lie-Yamaguti algebra is a generalization of a Lie algebra and a Lie triple system. Its roots can be traced back to Yamaguti’s study on general Lie triple systems [20] and Kikkawa’s research on Lie triple algebras [21–23]. Yamaguti established the representation and cohomology theory of Lie-Yamaguti algebras in [24]. In [25, 26], Benito and his collaborators studied Lie-Yamaguti algebras related to simple Lie algebras and irreducible Lie-Yamaguti algebras. The deformation and extension theory for Lie-Yamaguti algebras were investigated in [27–29]. The authors of the present paper studied the cohomology theory and deformations of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie-Yamaguti algebras [30]. See [31–37] for some interesting related about Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Motivated by [13, 14] and [15], our aim in this paper is to consider Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight, and construct the cohomology theory of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras with coefficients in a suitable representation. As applications of our cohomology, we discuss the formal deformation and abelian extension of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic concepts about Lie-Yamaguti algebras, representations and their cohomology theory. In Section 3, we consider Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight and present their representations. In Section 4, we construct the cohomology of a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra with coefficients in a suitable representation.. In Section 5, we use the cohomological approach to study formal deformations of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Finally, abelian extensions of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras are studied in Section 6.

2 Lie-Yamaguti algebras, representations and their cohomology theory

Throughout this paper, we work on an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} of characteristic zero. In this section, we recall some basic definitions of Lie-Yamaguti algebras from [19] and [24].

Definition 2.1. A Lie-Yamaguti algebra is a triple $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ in which L is a vector space together with a binary bracket $[-, -]$ and a ternary bracket $\{-, -, -\}$ on L satisfying

$$(LY1) \quad [x, y] = -[y, x],$$

$$(LY2) \quad \{x, y, z\} = -\{y, x, z\},$$

$$(LY3) \quad \circ_{x,y,z} [[x, y], z] + \circ_{x,y,z} \{x, y, z\} = 0,$$

$$(LY4) \quad \circ_{x,y,z} \{[x, y], z, a\} = 0,$$

$$(LY5) \quad \{a, b, [x, y]\} = [\{a, b, x\}, y] + [x, \{a, b, y\}],$$

$$(LY6) \quad \{a, b, \{x, y, z\}\} = \{\{a, b, x\}, y, z\} + \{x, \{a, b, y\}, z\} + \{x, y, \{a, b, z\}\},$$

for $x, y, z, a, b \in L$, and where $\circ_{x,y,z}$ denotes the summation over the cyclic permutations of x, y, z , that is $\circ_{x,y,z} [[x, y], z] = [[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x]$.

Example 2.2. Let $(L, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra. Define a ternary bracket on L by

$$\{x, y, z\} = [[x, y], z], \quad \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

Then $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ becomes a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

Example 2.3. Let $(L, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra with a reductive decomposition $L = N \oplus M$, i.e. $[N, M] \subseteq N$ and $[N, M] \subseteq M$. Define bilinear bracket $[-, -]_M$ and trilinear bracket $\{-, -, -\}_M$ on M by the projections of the Lie bracket:

$$[x, y]_M = \pi_M([x, y]), \{x, y, z\}_M = [\pi_N([x, y]), z], \forall x, y, z \in M,$$

where $\pi_N : L \rightarrow N, \pi_M : L \rightarrow M$ are the projection map. Then, $(M, [-, -]_M, \{-, -, -\}_M)$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

Example 2.4. Let (L, \star) be a Leibniz algebra. Define a binary and ternary bracket on L by

$$[x, y] = x \star y - y \star x, \{x, y, z\} = -(x \star y) \star z, \forall x, y, z \in L.$$

Then $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ becomes a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

Example 2.5. Let L be a two-dimensional vector space with a basis $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$. If we define a binary non-zero bracket $[-, -]$ and a ternary non-zero bracket $\{-, -, -\}$ on L as follows:

$$[\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2] = -[\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1] = \varepsilon_1, \{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2\} = -\{\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\} = \varepsilon_1,$$

then $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

Example 2.6. Let $L = C^\infty([0, 1])$ endowed with the following brackets

$$\begin{aligned} [f_1, f_2] &= f_1 \circ f_2' - f_2 \circ f_1', \\ \{f_1, f_2, f_3\} &= f_1 \circ f_2' \circ f_3' - f_2 \circ f_1' \circ f_3' - f_3 \circ (f_1 \circ f_2'' - f_2 \circ f_1''), \end{aligned}$$

for $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in L$. Then $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

Definition 2.7. Let $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra and V be a vector space. A representation of L on V consists of a linear map $\rho : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and two bilinear maps $\theta : L \times L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ such that

$$\theta([x, y], a) = \theta(x, a)\rho(y) - \theta(y, a)\rho(x), \quad (2. 1)$$

$$D(a, b)\rho(x) = \rho(x)D(a, b) + \rho(\{a, b, x\}), \quad (2. 2)$$

$$\theta(x, [a, b]) = \rho(a)\theta(x, b) - \rho(b)\theta(x, a), \quad (2. 3)$$

$$D(a, b)\theta(x, y) = \theta(x, y)D(a, b) + \theta(\{a, b, x\}, y) + \theta(x, \{a, b, y\}), \quad (2. 4)$$

$$\theta(a, \{x, y, z\}) = \theta(y, z)\theta(a, x) - \theta(x, z)\theta(a, y) + D(x, y)\theta(a, z), \quad (2. 5)$$

for $x, y, z, a, b \in L$, where the bilinear map $D : L \times L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is given by

$$D(x, y) = \theta(y, x) - \theta(x, y) - \rho([x, y]) + \rho(x)\rho(y) - \rho(y)\rho(x), \quad (2. 6)$$

In this case, we also call V an L -module. We denote a representation by $(V; \rho, \theta)$.

It can be concluded from Eq. (2. 6) that

$$D([x, y], z) + D([y, z], x) + D([z, x], y) = 0, \quad (2. 7)$$

$$D(a, b)D(x, y) = D(x, y)D(a, b) + D(\{a, b, x\}, y) + D(x, \{a, b, y\}), \quad (2. 8)$$

Example 2.8. Let $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra. We define linear maps $\text{ad} : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$, $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R} : \otimes^2 L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(L)$ by

$$\text{ad}(x)(z) := [x, z], \mathcal{R}(x, y)(z) := \{z, x, y\}, \quad \text{also,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x, y)(z) &= \mathcal{R}(y, x)(z) - \mathcal{R}(x, y)(z) - \text{ad}([x, y])(z) + \text{ad}(x)\text{ad}(y)(z) - \text{ad}(y)\text{ad}(x)(z) \\ &= \{x, y, z\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z \in L$. Then $(L; \text{ad}, \mathcal{R})$ forms a representation of L on itself, called the adjoint representation.

Let us recall the Yamaguti cohomology theory on Lie-Yamaguti algebras in [24]. Let $(V; \rho, \theta, D)$ be a representation of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra L , and we denote the set of $(n + 1)$ -cochains by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, where

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 L \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 L}_n, V) \times \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 L \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 L \otimes L}_n, V) & n \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}(L, V) & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel, we recall the coboundary map of $(n + 1)$ -cochains on a Lie-Yamaguti algebra L with the coefficients in the representation $(V; \rho, \theta, D)$:

If $n \geq 1$, for any $(f, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 L, (i = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1), z \in L$, the coboundary map $\delta^{n+1} = (\delta_I^{n+1}, \delta_{II}^{n+1}) : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+2}(L, V), (f, g) \mapsto (\delta_I^{n+1}(f, g), \delta_{II}^{n+1}(f, g))$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_I^{n+1}(f, g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) \\
&= (-1)^n (\rho(x_{n+1})g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, y_{n+1}) - \rho(y_{n+1})g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad - g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, [x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}])) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D(\mathfrak{X}_k) f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k \dots, \{x_k, y_k, x_l\} \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \{x_k, y_k, y_l\}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}), \\
& \delta_{II}^{n+1}(f, g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\
&= (-1)^n (\theta(y_{n+1}, z)g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) - \theta(x_{n+1}, z)g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, y_{n+1})) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} D(\mathfrak{X}_k) g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k \dots, \{x_k, y_k, x_l\} \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \{x_k, y_k, y_l\}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, \{x_k, y_k, z\}).
\end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{}$ denotes omission. For the case that $n = 0$, for any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L, V)$, the coboundary map $\delta^1 = (\delta_I^1, \delta_{II}^1) : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^2(L, V), f \mapsto (\delta_I^1(f), \delta_{II}^1(f))$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_I^1(f)(x, y) = \rho(x)f(y) - \rho(y)f(x) - f([x, y]), \\
& \delta_{II}^1(f)(x, y, z) = D(x, y)f(z) + \theta(y, z)f(x) - \theta(x, z)f(y) - f(\{x, y, z\}).
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding cohomology groups are denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{LY}}^\bullet(L, V)$.

3 Representations of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras

In this section, we introduce the concept and representations of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of arbitrary weight. We also provide various examples and new constructions.

Definition 3.1. *Let $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra and λ a given element of \mathbb{K} . A linear map $T : L \rightarrow L$ is called a Reynolds operator of weight λ if T satisfies*

$$[Tx, Ty] = T([Tx, y] + [x, Ty]) + \lambda[Tx, Ty] \quad (3. 1)$$

$$\{Tx, Ty, Tz\} = T(\{x, Ty, Tz\} + \{Tx, y, Tz\} + \{Tx, Ty, z\}) + 2\lambda\{Tx, Ty, Tz\}, \quad (3. 2)$$

for $x, y, z \in L$. Moreover, a Lie-Yamaguti algebra L with a Reynolds operator T of weight λ is called a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ . We denote it by $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\}, T)$ or simply by (L, T) .

Definition 3.2. A homomorphism between two Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras (L, T) and (L', T') of same weight λ is a linear map $\phi : L \rightarrow L'$ satisfying

$$\phi[x, y] = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]', \quad \phi\{x, y, z\} = \{\phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z)\}' \quad \text{and} \quad T' \circ \phi = \phi \circ T,$$

for $x, y, z \in L$.

Remark 3.3. On the one hand, if a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ reduces to a Lie triple system $(L, \{-, -, -\})$, we obtain the notion of a Reynolds Lie triple system $(L, \{-, -, -\}, T)$ of weight (2λ) . On the other hand, if a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ reduces to a Lie algebra $(L, [-, -])$, we get the notion of a Reynolds Lie algebra $(L, [-, -], T)$ of weight λ immediately. Thus, all the results given in the sequel can be adapted to the Reynolds Lie algebra of weight λ and Reynolds Lie triple system of weight λ context.

Example 3.4. Any Rota-Baxter operator on Lie-Yamaguti algebras is a Reynolds operator of weight $\lambda = 0$.

Example 3.5. For any Lie-Yamaguti algebra L , the pair (L, Id_L) is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of weight -1 .

Example 3.6. Let (L, T) be a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ . For $\lambda' \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}$, the pair $(L, \lambda'T)$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda'}$.

Example 3.7. Let $T : L \rightarrow L$ be a Reynolds operator of weight λ over a Lie algebra $(L, [-, -])$, by Example 2.2, we obtain that T is a Reynolds operator of weight λ over a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$.

Example 3.8. Let (L, \star, T) be a Reynolds Leibniz algebra of weight λ , by Example 2.4, we obtain that $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\}, T)$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .

Example 3.9. Let $D : L \rightarrow L$ be a derivation on a Lie-Yamaguti algebra L . If $(D - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\text{Id}) : L \rightarrow L$ is invertible, then $(L, (D - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\text{Id})^{-1})$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .

Example 3.10. Let $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ be the two-dimensional Lie-Yamaguti algebra given in Example 2.5. Then, for $k_1, k_2, k \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Reynolds operator of weight $(-\frac{1}{k})$.

Example 3.11. Let $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ be the infinite-dimensional Lie-Yamaguti algebra given in Example 2.6. Then, the integral operator $T : L \rightarrow L$ defined to be

$$T(f)(x) = \int_0^x f(s)ds$$

is a Reynolds operator of weight $\lambda = 0$ on L .

Next, we introduce the representation of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of nonzero weight.

Definition 3.12. A representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ is a quintuple $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $(V; \rho, \theta)$ is a representation of the Lie-Yamaguti algebra L ;
- (ii) $T_V : V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map satisfying the following equations

$$\rho(Tx)T_V u = T_V(\rho(Tx)u + \rho(x)T_V u + \lambda\rho(Tx)T_V u), \quad (3. 3)$$

$$\theta(Tx, Ty)T_V u = T_V(\theta(Tx, Ty)u + \theta(Tx, y)T_V u + \theta(x, Ty)T_V u + 2\lambda\theta(Tx, Ty)T_V u), \quad (3. 4)$$

for any $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$ and $u \in V$.

It can be concluded from Eq. (3. 4) that

$$D(Tx, Ty)T_V u = R_V(D(Tx, Ty)u + D(Tx, y)T_V u + D(x, Ty)T_V u + 2\lambda D(Tx, Ty)T_V u), \quad (3. 5)$$

Example 3.13. It is easy to see that $(L; \text{ad}, \mathcal{R}, T)$ is an adjoint representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ .

Example 3.14. Let (L, T) be a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ and $(V_i; \rho_i, \theta_i, T_{V_i})_{i \in I}$ be a family of representation of it. Then the quadruple $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i; (\rho_i)_{i \in I}, (\theta_i)_{i \in I}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} T_{V_i})$ is a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ .

In the following, we construct the semidirect product of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .

Proposition 3.15. If $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ is a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ , then $L \oplus V$ becomes a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ under the following maps:

$$\begin{aligned} [x + u, y + v]_{\times} &:= [x, y] + \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u, \\ \{x + u, y + v, z + w\}_{\times} &:= \{x, y, z\} + D(x, y)w - \theta(x, z)v + \theta(y, z)u, \\ T \oplus T_V(x + u) &:= Tx + T_V u, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in L$ and $u, v, w \in V$. In the case, the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra $L \oplus V$ of weight λ is called a semidirect product of L and V , denoted by $L \ltimes V = (L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\times}, \{-, -, -\}_{\times}, T \oplus T_V)$.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in L$ and $u, v, w \in V$, by Eqs. (3. 1)–(3. 5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [T \oplus T_V(x + u), T \oplus T_V(y + v)]_{\times} \\
&= [Tx, Ty] + \rho(Tx)(T_Vv) - \rho(Ty)(T_Vu) \\
&= T([Tx, y] + [x, Ty] + \lambda[Tx, Ty]) + T_V(\rho(Tx)v + \rho(x)T_Vv + \lambda\rho(Tx)T_Vv) \\
&\quad - T_V(\rho(Ty)u + \rho(y)T_Vu + \lambda\rho(Ty)T_Vu) \\
&= (T \oplus T_V)([(T \oplus T_V)(x + u), y + v]_{\times} + [x + u, (T \oplus T_V)(y + v)]_{\times} \\
&\quad + \lambda[(T \oplus T_V)(x + u), (T \oplus T_V)(y + v)]_{\times}), \\
& [T \oplus T_V(x + u), T \oplus T_V(y + v), T \oplus T_V(z + w)]_{\times} \\
&= \{Tx, Ty, Tz\} + D(Tx, Ty)T_V(w) - \theta(Tx, Tz)T_V(v) + \theta(Ty, Tz)T_V(u) \\
&= T(\{Tx, Ty, z\} + \{x, Ty, Tz\} + \{Tx, y, Tz\} + 2\lambda\{Tx, Ty, Tz\}) \\
&\quad + T_V(D(Tx, Ty)w + D(Tx, y)T_Vw + D(x, Ty)T_Vw + 2\lambda D(Tx, Ty)T_Vw) \\
&\quad - T_V(\theta(Tx, Tz)v + \theta(Tx, z)T_Vv + \theta(x, Tz)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta(Tx, Tz)T_Vv) \\
&\quad + T_V(\theta(Ty, Tz)u + \theta(Ty, z)T_V(u) + \theta(y, Tz)T_V(u) + 2\lambda\theta(Ty, Tz)T_V(u)) \\
&= T \oplus T_V(\{T \oplus T_V(x + u), T \oplus T_V(y + v), z + w\}_{\times} + \{x + u, T \oplus T_V(y + v), \\
&\quad T \oplus T_V(z + w)\}_{\times} + \{T \oplus T_V(x + u), y + v, T \oplus T_V(z + w)\}_{\times} \\
&\quad + 2\lambda\{T \oplus T_V(x + u), T \oplus T_V(y + v), T \oplus T_V(z + w)\}_{\times}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\times}, \{-, -, -\}_{\times}, T \oplus T_V)$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ . \square

Proposition 3.16. *Let (L, T) be a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ . Define new operations as follows:*

$$[x, y]_T = [Tx, y] + [x, Ty] + \lambda[Tx, Ty], \quad (3. 6)$$

$$\{x, y, z\}_T = \{x, Ty, Tz\} + \{Tx, y, Tz\} + \{Tx, Ty, z\} + 2\lambda\{Tx, Ty, Tz\}, \quad (3. 7)$$

for $x, y, z \in L$. Then,

- (i) the triple $(L, [-, -]_T, \{-, -, -\}_T)$ is a new Lie-Yamaguti algebra. We denote this Lie-Yamaguti algebra by L_T .
- (ii) the pair (L_T, T) also forms a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .
- (iii) the map $T : (L, [-, -]_T, \{-, -, -\}_T, T) \rightarrow (L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\}, T)$ is a morphism of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .

Proof. (i) It is directly verified that brackets $[-, -]_T$ and $\{-, -, -\}_T$ satisfying Eqs. (LY1)–(LY6), so the triple $(L, [-, -]_T, \{-, -, -\}_T)$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

(ii) For any $x, y, z \in L$, by Eqs. (3. 1), (3. 2), (3. 6) and (3. 7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [Tx, Ty]_T \\
&= [T^2x, Ty] + [Tx, T^2y] + \lambda[T^2x, T^2y] \\
&= T([Tx, Ty] + [T^2x, y] + \lambda[T^2x, Ty]) + T([Tx, Ty] + [x, T^2y] + \lambda[Tx, T^2y]) \\
&\quad + \lambda T([Tx, T^2y] + [T^2x, Ty] + \lambda[T^2x, T^2y]) \\
&= T([x, Ty]_T + [Tx, y]_T + \lambda[Tx, Ty]_T), \\
& \{Tx, Ty, Tz\}_T \\
&= \{Tx, T^2y, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, Ty, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, T^2y, Tz\} + 2\lambda\{T^2x, T^2y, T^2z\} \\
&= T(\{x, T^2y, T^2z\} + \{Tx, Ty, T^2z\} + \{Tx, T^2y, Tz\} + 2\lambda\{Tx, T^2y, T^2z\}) \\
&\quad + T(\{Tx, Ty, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, y, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, Ty, Tz\} + 2\lambda\{T^2x, Ty, T^2z\}) \\
&\quad + T(\{Tx, T^2y, Tz\} + \{T^2x, Ty, Tz\} + \{T^2x, T^2y, z\} + 2\lambda\{T^2x, T^2y, Tz\}) \\
&\quad + 2\lambda T(\{Tx, T^2y, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, Ty, T^2z\} + \{T^2x, T^2y, Tz\} + 2\lambda\{T^2x, T^2y, T^2z\}) \\
&= T(\{x, Ty, Tz\}_T + \{Tx, y, Tz\}_T + \{Tx, Ty, z\}_T + 2\lambda\{Tx, Ty, Tz\}_T),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that T is a Reynolds operator of weight λ on the Lie-Yamaguti algebra L_T .

(iii) From Eqs. (3. 1) and (3. 2), T is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphism from L_T to L . Moreover, T commutes with itself. Hence, T is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ homomorphism from $(L, [-, -]_T, \{-, -, -\}_T, T)$ to $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\}, T)$. \square

Proposition 3.17. *Let $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ be a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . Define linear maps $\rho_T : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, $\theta_T : L \times L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ by*

$$\rho_T(x)u := \rho(Tx)u - T_V(\lambda\rho(Tx)u + \rho(x)u), \quad (3. 8)$$

$$\theta_T(x, y)u := \theta(Tx, Ty)u - T_V(2\lambda\theta(Tx, Ty)u + \theta(Tx, y)u + \theta(x, Ty)u), \quad (3. 9)$$

for any $x, y \in L$ and $u \in V$. It can be concluded from Eq. (3. 9) that

$$D_T(x, y)u = D(Tx, Ty)u - T_V(2\lambda D(Tx, Ty)u + D(x, Ty)u + D(Tx, y)u). \quad (3. 10)$$

Then $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T)$ is a representation of the Lie-Yamaguti algebra L_T . Moreover, $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T, T_V)$ is a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L_T, T) of weight λ .

Proof. First, through direct verification, $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T)$ is a representation of the Lie-Yamaguti algebra L_T . Further, for any $x, y, z \in L$ and $u, v, w \in V$, by Eqs. (3. 3) and (3. 4), we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_T(Tx)T_Vu \\
&= \rho(T^2x)T_Vu - T_V(\lambda\rho(T^2x)T_Vu + \rho(Tx)T_Vu) \\
&= T_V(\rho(Tx)T_Vu + \rho(T^2x)u + \lambda\rho(T^2x)T_Vu) \\
&\quad - T_V\left(\lambda T_V(\rho(Tx)T_Vu + \rho(T^2x)u + \lambda\rho(T^2x)T_Vu)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ T_V(\rho(Tx)u + \rho(x)T_Vu + \lambda\rho(Tx)T_Vu)\right) \\
&= T_V(\rho_T(Tx)u + \rho_T(x)T_Vu + \lambda\rho_T(Tx)T_Vu), \\
& \theta_T(Tx, Ty)T_Vv \\
&= \theta(T^2x, T^2y)T_Vv - T_V(2\lambda\theta(T^2x, T^2y)T_Vv + \theta(Tx, T^2y)T_Vv + \theta(T^2x, Ty)T_Vv) \\
&= T_V(\theta(T^2x, T^2y)v + \theta(T^2x, Ty)T_Vv + \theta(Tx, T^2y)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta(T^2x, T^2y)T_Vv) \\
&\quad - T_V\left(2\lambda T_V(\theta(T^2x, T^2y)v + \theta(T^2x, Ty)T_Vv + \theta(Tx, T^2y)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta(T^2x, T^2y)T_Vv)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ T_V(\theta(Tx, T^2y)v + \theta(Tx, Ty)T_Vv + \theta(x, T^2y)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta(Tx, T^2y)T_Vv)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ T_V(\theta(T^2x, Ty)v + \theta(T^2x, y)T_Vv + \theta(Tx, Ty)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta(T^2x, Ty)T_Vv)\right) \\
&= T_V(\theta_T(Tx, Ty)v + \theta_T(Tx, y)T_Vv + \theta_T(x, Ty)T_Vv + 2\lambda\theta_T(Tx, Ty)T_Vv).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T, T_V)$ is a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L_T, T) of weight λ . \square

Example 3.18. $(L; \text{ad}_T, \mathcal{R}_T, T)$ is an adjoint representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L_T, T) of weight λ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{ad}_T(x)(z) := [Tx, z] - T(\lambda[Tx, z] + [x, z]), \\
& \mathcal{R}_T(x, y)(z) := \{z, Tx, Ty\} - T(2\lambda\{z, Tx, Ty\} + \{z, Tx, y\} + \{z, x, Ty\}), \quad \text{also,} \\
& \mathcal{L}_T(x, y)(z) := \{Tx, Ty, z\} - T(2\lambda\{Tx, Ty, z\} + \{Tx, y, z\} + \{x, Ty, z\}),
\end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z \in L_T$.

4 Cohomology of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras

In this section, we will construct the cohomology of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight. In the next section, we will use the second cohomology group to study the formal deformation and abelian extension of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Firstly, the cohomology of the Reynolds operator T of weight λ is given by Yamaguti cohomology [24].

Let $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ be a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . Recall that Proposition 3.16 and Proposition 3.17 give a new Lie-Yamaguti

algebra L_T and a new representation $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T)$ over L_T . Consider the cochain complex of L_T with coefficients in $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T)$:

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^\bullet(L_T, V), \partial^\bullet) = (\oplus_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L_T, V), \partial^\bullet).$$

More precisely,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L_T, V) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 L_T \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 L_T}_n, V) \times \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 L_T \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 L_T}_n \otimes L_T, V) & n \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}(L_T, V) & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

and its coboundary map $\partial^{n+1} = (\partial_I^{n+1}, \partial_{II}^{n+1}) : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L_T, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+2}(L_T, V)$, $(f, g) \mapsto (\partial_I^{n+1}(f, g), \partial_{II}^{n+1}(f, g))$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_I^{n+1}(f, g)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^n (\rho_T(x_{n+1})g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, y_{n+1}) - \rho_T(y_{n+1})g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \\ & \quad - g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, [x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}]_T)) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D_T(\mathcal{X}_k) f(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k f(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \{x_k, y_k, x_l\}_T \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \{x_k, y_k, y_l\}_T, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_{II}^{n+1}(f, g)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ &= (-1)^n (\theta_T(y_{n+1}, z)g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, x_{n+1}) - \theta_T(x_{n+1}, z)g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, y_{n+1})) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} D_T(\mathcal{X}_k) g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \{x_k, y_k, x_l\}_T \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \{x_k, y_k, y_l\}_T, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \{x_k, y_k, z\}_T), \end{aligned}$$

where, $n \geq 1$, $(f, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L_T, V)$, $\mathcal{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 L_T$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1)$, $z \in L_T$. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L_T, V)$, its coboundary map $\partial^1 = (\partial_I^1, \partial_{II}^1) : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L_T, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^2(L_T, V)$, $f \mapsto (\partial_I^1(f), \partial_{II}^1(f))$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_I^1(f)(x, y) &= \rho_T(x)f(y) - \rho_T(y)f(x) - f([x, y]_T), \\ \partial_{II}^1(f)(x, y, z) &= D_T(x, y)f(z) + \theta_T(y, z)f(x) - \theta_T(x, z)f(y) - f(\{x, y, z\}_T). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 4.1. Let $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ be a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . Then the cochain complex $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^\bullet(L_T, V), \partial^\bullet)$ is called the cochain complex of Reynolds operator T of weight λ with coefficients in $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T, T_V)$, denoted by $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L, V), \partial^\bullet)$. The cohomology of $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L, V), \partial^\bullet)$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RO}}^*(L, V)$, are called the cohomology of Reynolds operator T of weight λ with coefficients in $(V; \rho_T, \theta_T, T_V)$.

In particular, when $(L; \text{ad}_T, \mathcal{R}_T, T)$ is the adjoint representation of (L_T, T) , we denote $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L, L), \partial^\bullet)$ by $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L), \partial^\bullet)$ and call it the cochain complex of Reynolds operator T of weight λ , and denote $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L, L)$ by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RO}}^\bullet(L)$ and call it the cohomology of Reynolds operator T of weight λ .

Next, we will combine the cohomology of Lie-Yamaguti algebras and the cohomology of Reynolds operators of weight λ to construct a cohomology theory for Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of weight λ .

Definition 4.2. Let $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ be a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . For any $(f, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, $n \geq 1$, we define a linear map $\Phi^{n+1} = (\Phi_I^{n+1}, \Phi_{II}^{n+1}) : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, $(f, g) \mapsto (\Phi_I^{n+1}(f), \Phi_{II}^{n+1}(g))$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_I^{n+1}(f) &= f \circ (T, \dots, T) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n) - T_V \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n} f \circ (T^{i-1}, \text{Id}, T^{2n-i}) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2n-1)\lambda f \circ (T, \dots, T) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n) \right), \\ \Phi_{II}^{n+1}(g) &= g \circ (T, \dots, T, T) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n \wedge \text{Id}) - T_V \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g \circ (T^{i-1}, \text{Id}, T^{2n+1-i}) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n \wedge \text{Id}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2n\lambda g \circ (T, \dots, T, T) \circ ((\text{Id} \wedge \text{Id})^n \wedge \text{Id}) \right).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $n = 0$, define $\Phi^1 : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^1(L, V)$ by

$$\Phi^1(f) = f \circ T - T_V \circ f.$$

Lemma 4.3. The map $\Phi^{n+1} : \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^{n+1}(L, V)$ is a cochain map, i.e., the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L, V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^1} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^2(L, V) & \cdots & \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^{n+1}} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+2}(L, V) & \cdots \\ \downarrow \Phi^1 & & \downarrow \Phi^2 & & \downarrow \Phi^{n+1} & & \downarrow \Phi^{n+2} & \\ \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^1(L, V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^1} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^2(L, V) & \cdots & \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^{n+1}(L, V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^{n+1}} & \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^{n+2}(L, V) & \cdots \end{array}$$

Proof. For any $(f, g) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \partial_I^{n+1}(\Phi^{n+1}(f, g))(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\ &= (-1)^n (\rho_T(x_{n+1})(\Phi_{II}^{n+1}g)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, y_{n+1}) - \rho_T(y_{n+1})(\Phi_{II}^{n+1}g)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, x_{n+1})) \\ &\quad - (\Phi_{II}^{n+1}g)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n, [x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}]_T) + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D_T(\mathcal{X}_k)(\Phi_I^{n+1}f)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k (\Phi_I^{n+1}f)(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathcal{X}}_k \cdots, \{x_k, y_k, x_l\}_T \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \{x_k, y_k, y_l\}_T, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}),\end{aligned}\tag{4. 1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi_I^{n+2}(\delta_I^{n+1}(f, g))(\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\
&= \delta_I^{n+1}(f, g)(T\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, T\mathcal{X}_{n+1}) - T_V \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \delta_I^{n+1}(f, g)(T\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, x_i \wedge Ty_i + Tx_i \wedge y_i, \dots, T\mathcal{X}_{n+1}) \\
&\quad - (2n-1)\lambda T_V \circ \delta_I^{n+1}(f, g)(T\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, T\mathcal{X}_{n+1}). \tag{4. 2}
\end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (3. 1)–(3. 10) and further expanding Eqs. (4. 1) and (4. 2), we have, $\Phi_I^{n+2} \circ \delta_I^{n+1} = \partial_I^{n+1} \circ \Phi^{n+1}$. Similarly, we also have $\Phi_{II}^{n+2} \circ \delta_{II}^{n+1} = \partial_{II}^{n+1} \circ \Phi^{n+1}$. \square

Definition 4.4. Let $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ be a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . We define the cochain complex $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, V), d^\bullet)$ of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ with coefficients in $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ to the negative shift of the mapping cone of Φ^\bullet , that is, let

$$\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^1(L, V) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L, V) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^{n+1}(L, V) := \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^{n+1}(L, V) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^n(L, V), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1,$$

and the coboundary map $d^{n+1} : \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^{n+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^{n+2}(L, V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
d^1(f_1) &= (\delta^1 f_1, -\Phi^1(f_1)), \quad \text{for } f_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^1(L, V); \\
d^2((f_1, g_1), f_2) &= (\delta^2(f_1, g_1), -\partial^1(f_2) - \Phi^2(f_1, g_1)), \quad \text{for } ((f_1, g_1), f_2) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L, V); \\
d^{n+1}((f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)) &= (\delta^{n+1}(f_1, g_1), -\partial^n(f_2, g_2) - \Phi^{n+1}(f_1, g_1)),
\end{aligned}$$

for $((f_1, g_1), (f_2, g_2)) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^{n+1}(L, V)$, $n \geq 2$.

The cohomology of $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, V), d^\bullet)$, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, V)$, is called the cohomology of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ with coefficients in $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$.

In particular, when $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V) = (L; \text{ad}, \mathcal{R}, T)$, we just denote $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, L), d^\bullet)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, L)$ by $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L), d^\bullet)$, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L)$ respectively, and call them the cochain complex, the cohomology of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ respectively.

It is obvious that there is a short exact sequence of cochain complexes:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RO}}^{\bullet-1}(L, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L, V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^\bullet(L, V) \rightarrow 0.$$

It induces a long exact sequence of cohomology groups:

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^p(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{LY}}^p(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{RO}}^p(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^{p+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{LY}}^{p+1}(L, V) \rightarrow \dots$$

5 Formal deformations of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras

In this section, we consider the formal deformation of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . Let $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ be a ring of power series of one variable t , and let $L[[t]]$ be

the set of formal power series over L . If $(L, [-, -], \{-, -, -\})$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra, then there is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra structure over the ring $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ on $L[[t]]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j t^j \right] &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=s} [x_i, y_j] t^s, \\ \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j t^j, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k t^k \right\} &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j+k=s} \{x_i, y_j, z_k\} t^s. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.1. A formal deformation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ is a triple (F_t, G_t, T_t) of the forms

$$F_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i t^i, \quad G_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i t^i, \quad T_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} T_i t^i,$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $((F_i, G_i), T_i) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L)$;
- (ii) $F_0 = [-, -], G_0 = \{-, -, -\}$ and $T_0 = T$;
- (iii) and $(L[[t]], F_t, G_t, T_t)$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ over $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$.

Let (F_t, G_t, T_t) be a formal deformation as above. Then, for any $x, y, z, a, b \in L$, the following equations must hold:

$$\begin{aligned} F_t(x, y) + F_t(y, x) &= 0, \quad G_t(x, y, z) + G_t(y, x, z) = 0, \\ \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} F_t(F_t(x, y), z) + \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} G_t(x, y, z) &= 0, \\ \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} G_t(F_t(x, y), z, a) &= 0, \\ G_t(a, b, F_t(x, y)) &= F_t(G_t(a, b, x), y) + F_t(x, G_t(a, b, y)), \\ G_t(a, b, G_t(x, y, z)) &= G_t(G_t(a, b, x), y, z) + G_t(x, G_t(a, b, y), z) + G_t(x, y, G_t(a, b, z)), \\ F_t(T_t x, T_t y) &= T_t(F_t(T_t x, y) + F_t(x, T_t y) + \lambda F_t(T_t x, T_t y)), \\ G_t(T_t x, T_t y, T_t z) &= T_t(G_t(x, T_t y, T_t z) + G_t(T_t x, y, T_t z) + G_t(T_t x, T_t y, z) + 2\lambda G_t(T_t x, T_t y, T_t z)). \end{aligned}$$

Collecting the coefficients of t^n , we get that the above equations are equivalent to the following equations.

$$F_n(x, y) + F_n(y, x) = 0, \quad G_n(x, y, z) + G_n(y, x, z) = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} F_i(F_{n-i}(x, y), z) + \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} G_n(x, y, z) = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} G_i(F_{n-i}(x, y), z, a) = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^n G_i(a, b, F_{n-i}(x, y)) = \sum_{i=0}^n F_i(G_{n-i}(a, b, x), y) + \sum_{i=0}^n F_i(x, G_{n-i}(a, b, y)), \quad (5.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^n G_i(a, b, G_{n-i}(x, y, z)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (G_i(G_{n-i}(a, b, x), y, z) + G_i(x, G_{n-i}(a, b, y), z) + G_i(x, y, G_{n-i}(a, b, z))), \end{aligned} \quad (5. 5)$$

$$\sum_{i+j+k=n} F_i(T_j x, T_k y) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} T_i(F_j(T_k x, y) + F_j(x, T_k y)) + \lambda \sum_{i+j+k+l=n} T_i(F_j(T_k x, T_l y)), \quad (5. 6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i+j+k+l=n} G_i(T_j x, T_k y, T_l z) &= \sum_{i+j+k+l=n} T_i(G_j(x, T_k y, T_l z) + G_j(T_k x, y, T_l z) + G_j(T_k x, T_l y, z)) \\ &+ 2\lambda \sum_{i+j+k+l+m=n} T_i(G_j(T_k x, T_l y, T_m z)). \end{aligned} \quad (5. 7)$$

Note that for $n = 0$, equations (5. 1)–(5. 7) are equivalent to (L, F_0, G_0, T_0) is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ .

Proposition 5.2. *Let (F_t, G_t, T_t) be a formal deformation of a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . Then $((F_1, G_1), T_1)$ is a 2-cocycle in the cochain complex $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^\bullet(L), d^\bullet)$.*

Proof. For $n = 1$, equations (5. 1)–(5. 7) become

$$F_1(x, y) + F_1(y, x) = 0, \quad G_1(x, y, z) + G_1(y, x, z) = 0, \quad (5. 8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [F_1(x, y), z] + F_1([x, y], z) + [F_1(z, x), y] + F_1([z, x], y) + [F_1(y, z), x] + F_1([y, z], x) \\ & + G_1(x, y, z) + G_1(z, x, y) + G_1(y, z, x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5. 9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1([x, y], z, a) + \{F_1(x, y), z, a\} + G_1([z, x], y, a) + \{F_1(z, x), y, a\} + G_1([y, z], x, a) \\ & + \{F_1(y, z), x, a\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5. 10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(a, b, [x, y]) + \{a, b, F_1(x, y)\} \\ & = F_1(\{a, b, x\}, y) + [G_1(a, b, x), y] + F_1(x, \{a, b, y\}) + [x, G_1(a, b, y)], \end{aligned} \quad (5. 11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(a, b, \{x, y, z\}) + \{a, b, G_1(x, y, z)\} = G_1(\{a, b, x\}, y, z) + \{G_1(a, b, x), y, z\} \\ & + G_1(x, \{a, b, y\}, z) + \{x, G_1(a, b, y), z\} + G_1(x, y, \{a, b, z\}) + \{x, y, G_1(a, b, z)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5. 12)$$

From Eqs. (5. 8)–(5. 12), we get $(F_1, G_1) \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^2(L)$ and $\delta^2(F_1, G_1) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & F_1(Tx, Ty) + [T_1 x, Ty] + [Tx, T_1 y] = T_1([Tx, y] + [x, Ty]) + T(F_1(Tx, y) + F_1(x, Ty)) \\ & + T([T_1 x, y] + [x, T_1 y]) + \lambda \left(T_1[Tx, Ty] + T(F_1(Tx, Ty)) + T[T_1 x, Ty] + T[Tx, T_1 y] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5. 13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & G_1(Tx, Ty, Tz) + \{T_1 x, Ty, Tz\} + \{Tx, T_1 y, Tz\} + \{Tx, Ty, T_1 z\} = T_1(\{x, Ty, Tz\} + \{Tx, y, Tz\} \\ & + \{Tx, Ty, z\}) + T(G_1(x, Ty, Tz) + G_1(Tx, y, Tz) + G_1(Tx, Ty, z)) + T(\{x, T_1 y, Tz\} \\ & + \{T_1 x, y, Tz\} + \{T_1 x, Ty, z\} + \{x, Ty, T_1 z\} + \{Tx, y, T_1 z\} + \{Tx, T_1 y, z\}) + 2\lambda \left(T_1\{Tx, Ty, Tz\} \right. \\ & \left. + TG_1(Tx, Ty, Tz) + T\{T_1 x, Ty, Tz\} + T\{Tx, T_1 y, Tz\} + T\{Tx, Ty, T_1 z\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5. 14)$$

Further from Eqs. (5. 13) and (5. 14), we have $-\partial_I^1(T_1) - \Phi_I^2(F_1) = 0$ and $-\partial_{II}^1(T_1) - \Phi_{II}^2(G_1) = 0$ respectively. Hence, $d^2((F_1, G_1), T_1) = 0$, that is, $((F_1, G_1), T_1)$ is a 2-cocycle in $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{MRBLY}}^\bullet(L), d^\bullet)$. \square

Definition 5.3. *The 2-cocycle $((F_1, G_1), T_1)$ is called the infinitesimal of the formal deformation (F_t, G_t, T_t) of a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ .*

Definition 5.4. *Let (F_t, G_t, T_t) and (F'_t, G'_t, T'_t) be two formal deformations of a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ . A formal isomorphism from $(L[[t]], F_t, G_t, T_t)$ to $(L[[t]], F'_t, G'_t, T'_t)$ is a power series $\varphi_t = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \varphi_i t^i : L[[t]] \rightarrow L[[t]]$, where $\varphi_i : L \rightarrow L$ are linear maps with $\varphi_0 = \text{Id}_L$, such that:*

$$\varphi_t \circ F_t = F'_t \circ (\varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t), \quad (5. 15)$$

$$\varphi_t \circ G_t = G'_t \circ (\varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t), \quad (5. 16)$$

$$\varphi_t \circ T_t = T'_t \circ \varphi_t, \quad (5. 17)$$

In this case, we say that the two formal deformations (F_t, G_t, T_t) and (F'_t, G'_t, T'_t) are equivalent.

Proposition 5.5. *The infinitesimals of two equivalent formal deformations of (L, T) are in the same cohomology class in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L)$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi_t : (L[[t]], F_t, G_t, T_t) \rightarrow (L[[t]], F'_t, G'_t, T'_t)$ be a formal isomorphism. By expanding Eqs. (5. 15)–(5. 17) and comparing the coefficients of t on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 - F'_1 &= F_0 \circ (\varphi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_L) + F_0 \circ (\text{Id}_L \otimes \varphi_1) - \varphi_1 \circ F_0 \\ &= \delta_I^1(\varphi_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 - G'_1 &= G_0 \circ (\varphi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_L \otimes \text{Id}_L) + G_0 \circ (\text{Id}_L \otimes \text{Id}_L \otimes \varphi_1) + G_0 \circ (\text{Id}_L \otimes \varphi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_L) - \varphi_1 \circ G_0 \\ &= \delta_{II}^1(\varphi_1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 - T'_1 &= T \circ \varphi_1 - \varphi_1 \circ T \\ &= -\Phi^1(\varphi_1), \end{aligned}$$

that is, we have

$$((F_1, G_1), T_1) - ((F'_1, G'_1), T'_1) = (\delta^1(\varphi_1), -\Phi^1(\varphi_1)) = d^1(\varphi_1) \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L).$$

Therefore, $((F'_1, G'_1), T'_1)$ and $((F_1, G_1), T_1)$ are in the same cohomology class in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L)$. \square

Definition 5.6. *A formal deformation (F_t, G_t, T_t) of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ is said to be trivial if the deformation (F_t, G_t, T_t) is equivalent to the undeformed one (F_0, G_0, T) .*

Definition 5.7. A Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ is said to be rigid if every formal deformation of L is trivial deformation.

Theorem 5.8. Let (L, T) be a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ with $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L) = 0$, then (L, T) is rigid.

Proof. Let (F_t, G_t, T_t) be a formal deformation of (L, T) . From Proposition 5.2, $((F_1, G_1), T_1)$ is a 2-cocycle. By $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L) = 0$, there exists a 1-cochain

$$\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{RLY}}^1(L) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{LY}}^1(L)$$

such that $((F_1, G_1), T_1) = d^1(\varphi_1)$, that is, $F_1 = \delta_I^1(\varphi_1)$, $G_1 = \delta_{II}^1(\varphi_1)$ and $R_1 = -\Phi^1(\varphi_1)$.

Setting $\varphi_t = \text{id}_L - \varphi_1 t$, we get a deformation (F'_t, G'_t, T'_t) , where

$$\begin{aligned} F'_t &= \varphi_t^{-1} \circ F_t \circ (\varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t), \\ G'_t &= \varphi_t^{-1} \circ G_t \circ (\varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t \otimes \varphi_t), \\ T'_t &= \varphi_t^{-1} \circ T_t \circ \varphi_t. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verify that $F'_1 = 0, G'_1 = 0, T'_1 = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} F'_t &= F_0 + F'_2 t^2 + \dots, \\ G'_t &= G_0 + G'_2 t^2 + \dots, \\ T'_t &= T + T'_2 t^2 + \dots. \end{aligned}$$

By Eqs. (5. 1)–(5. 7), we see that $((F'_2, G'_2), T'_2)$ is still a 2-cocycle, so by induction, we can show that (F_t, G_t, T_t) is equivalent to the trivial deformation (F_0, G_0, T) . Therefore, (L, T) is rigid. \square

6 Abelian extensions of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras

Motivated by the extensions of Lie-Yamaguti algebras [29], in this section, we consider abelian extensions of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of any weight and show that they are classified by the second cohomology, as one would expect of a good cohomology theory.

Notice that a vector space V together with a linear map $T_V : V \rightarrow V$ is naturally an abelian Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ where the multiplications on V is defined to be $[-, -]_V = 0, \{-, -, -\}_V = 0$.

Definition 6.1. An abelian extension of (L, T) by (V, T_V) is a short exact sequence of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of weight λ

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, T_V) \xrightarrow{i} (\hat{L}, [-, -]_{\hat{L}}, \{-, -, -\}_{\hat{L}}, \hat{T}) \xrightarrow{p} (L, T) \longrightarrow 0,$$

that is, there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{L} & \xrightarrow{p} & L \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & T_V \downarrow & & \hat{T} \downarrow & & T \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{L} & \xrightarrow{p} & L \longrightarrow 0,
\end{array}$$

where V is an abelian ideal of \hat{L} , i.e., $[u, v]_{\hat{L}} = 0, \{-, u, v\}_{\hat{L}} = \{u, v, -\}_{\hat{L}} = 0, \forall u, v \in V$.

We will call $(\hat{L}, \hat{T}) = (\hat{L}, [-, -]_{\hat{L}}, \{-, -, -\}_{\hat{L}}, \hat{T})$ an abelian extension of (L, T) by (V, T_V) .

Definition 6.2. A section of an abelian extension (\hat{L}, \hat{T}) of (L, T) by (V, T_V) is a linear map $s : L \rightarrow \hat{L}$ such that $p \circ s = \text{id}_L$.

Definition 6.3. Let (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) and (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2) be two abelian extensions of (L, T) by (V, T_V) . They are said to be equivalent if there is an isomorphism of Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras $\phi : (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) \rightarrow (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2)$ of weight λ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (V, T_V) & \xrightarrow{i_1} & (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) & \xrightarrow{p_1} & (L, T) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \phi \downarrow & & \parallel \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (V, T_V) & \xrightarrow{i_2} & (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2) & \xrightarrow{p_2} & (L, T) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array} \tag{6. 1}$$

Now for an abelian extension (\hat{L}, \hat{T}) of (L, T) by (V, T_V) with a section $s : L \rightarrow \hat{L}$, we define linear maps $\rho : L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and $\theta : L \times L \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x)u &:= [s(x), i(u)]_{\hat{L}}, \\
\theta(x, y)u &:= \{i(u), s(x), s(y)\}_{\hat{L}}, \quad \forall x, y \in L, u \in V.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $D(x, y)u = \theta(y, x)u - \theta(x, y)u - \rho([x, y])u + \rho(x)\rho(y)u - \rho(y)\rho(x)u = \{s(x), s(y), i(u)\}_{\hat{L}}$.

Proposition 6.4. With the above notations, $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ is a representation of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ .

Proof. For any $x, y \in L$ and $u \in V$, $\hat{T}s(x) - s(Tx) \in V$ means that $\rho(\hat{T}s(x))u =$

$\rho(s(Tx))u, \theta(\hat{T}s(x), \hat{T}s(y))u = \theta(s(Tx), s(Ty))u$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(Tx)T_V u &= [s(Tx), i(T_V u)]_{\hat{L}} = [\hat{T}s(x), \hat{T}i(u)]_{\hat{L}} \\
&= \hat{T}([\hat{T}s(x), i(u)]_{\hat{L}} + [s(x), \hat{T}i(u)]_{\hat{L}} + \lambda[\hat{T}s(x), T_V i(u)]_{\hat{L}}) \\
&= T_V(\rho(Tx)u + \rho(x)T_V u + \lambda\rho(Tx)T_V u), \\
\theta(Tx, Ty)T_V u &= \{i(T_V u), s(Tx), s(Ty)\}_{\hat{L}} = \{\hat{T}i(u), \hat{T}s(x), \hat{T}s(y)\}_{\hat{L}} \\
&= \hat{T}(\{\hat{T}i(u), \hat{T}s(x), s(y)\}_{\hat{L}} + \{i(u), \hat{T}s(x), \hat{T}s(y)\}_{\hat{L}} + \{\hat{T}i(u), s(x), \hat{T}s(y)\}_{\hat{L}} \\
&\quad + 2\lambda\{\hat{T}i(u), \hat{T}s(x), \hat{T}s(y)\}_{\hat{L}}) \\
&= T_V(\{i(T_V u), s(Tx), s(Ty)\}_{\hat{L}} + \{i(u), s(Tx), s(Ty)\}_{\hat{L}} + \{i(T_V u), s(x), s(Ty)\}_{\hat{L}} \\
&\quad + 2\lambda\{i(T_V u), s(Tx), s(Ty)\}_{\hat{L}}) \\
&= T_V(\theta(Tx, Ty)u + \theta(Tx, y)T_V u + \theta(x, Ty)T_V u + 2\lambda\theta(Tx, Ty)T_V u).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$ is a representation of (L, T) . \square

We further define linear maps $\nu : L \times L \rightarrow V$, $\psi : L \times L \times L \rightarrow V$ and $\chi : L \rightarrow V$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(x, y) &= [s(x), s(y)]_{\hat{L}} - s[x, y], \\
\psi(x, y, z) &= \{s(x), s(y), s(z)\}_{\hat{L}} - s\{x, y, z\}, \\
\chi(a) &= \hat{T}s(x) - s(Tx), \quad \forall x, y, z \in L.
\end{aligned}$$

We transfer the the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ structure on \hat{L} to $L \oplus V$ by endowing $L \oplus V$ with multiplications $[-, -]_{\nu}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi}$ and a Reynolds operator T_{χ} of weight λ defined by

$$[x + u, y + v]_{\nu} = [x, y] + \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u + \nu(x, y), \quad (6. 2)$$

$$\{x + u, y + v, z + w\}_{\psi} = \{x, y, z\} + \theta(y, z)u - \theta(x, z)v + D(x, y)w + \psi(x, y, z), \quad (6. 3)$$

$$T_{\chi}(x + u) = T(x) + \chi(x) + T_V(u), \forall x, y, z \in L, u, v, w \in V. \quad (6. 4)$$

Proposition 6.5. *The 4-tuple $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi}, T_{\chi})$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ if and only if $((\nu, \psi), \chi)$ is a 2-cocycle of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ with the coefficient in $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$. In this case,*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V, T_V) \xrightarrow{i} (L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi}, T_{\chi}) \xrightarrow{p} (L, T) \longrightarrow 0$$

is an abelian extension.

Proof. The map T_χ is a Reynolds operator of weight λ on $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_\nu, \{-, -, -\}_\psi)$ if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & [T_\chi(x+u), T_\chi(y+v)]_\nu \\ &= T_\chi([T_\chi(x+u), y+v]_\nu + [x+u, T_\chi(y+v)]_\nu) + \lambda[T_\chi(x+u), T_\chi(y+v)]_\nu, \\ & \{T_\chi(x+u), T_\chi(y+v), T_\chi(z+w)\}_\psi \\ &= T_\chi(\{T_\chi(x+u), T_\chi(y+v), z+w\}_\psi + \{x+u, T_\chi(y+v), T_\chi(z+w)\}_\psi \\ &+ \{T_\chi(x+u), y+v, T_\chi(z+w)\}_\psi + 2\lambda\{T_\chi(x+u), T_\chi(y+v), T_\chi(z+w)\}_\psi), \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y, z \in L$ and $u, v, w \in V$. Moreover, by (3. 1)–(3. 10), the above equations are equivalent to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu(Tx, Ty) + \rho(Tx)\chi(y) - \rho(Ty)\chi(x) = \chi([x, y]_T) + T_V(\rho(x)\chi(y)) - T_V(\rho(y)\chi(x)) \\ &+ T_V(\nu(Tx, y) + \nu(x, Ty) + \lambda\nu(Tx, Ty)) + \lambda T_V\rho(Tx)\chi(y) - \lambda T_V\rho(Ty)\chi(x), \quad (6. 5) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(Tx, Ty, Tz) + \theta(Ty, Tz)\chi(x) - \theta(Tx, Tz)\chi(y) + D(Tx, Ty)\chi(z) \\ &= \chi(\{x, y, z\}_T) + T_V(\psi(Tx, Ty, z) + \psi(Tx, y, Tz) + \psi(x, Ty, Tz) + 2\lambda\psi(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \\ &+ T_V(\theta(Ty, z)\chi(x) + \theta(y, Tz)\chi(x) - \theta(Tx, z)\chi(y) - \theta(x, Tz)\chi(y) + D(Tx, y)\chi(z) \\ &+ D(x, Ty)\chi(z) + 2\lambda\theta(Ty, Tz)\chi(x) - 2\lambda\theta(Tx, Tz)\chi(y) + 2\lambda D(Tx, Ty)\chi(z)). \quad (6. 6) \end{aligned}$$

Using Eqs. (6. 5) and (6. 6), we get $-\partial_I^1(\chi) - \Phi_I^2(\nu) = 0$ and $-\partial_{II}^1(\chi) - \Phi_{II}^2(\psi) = 0$ respectively. Therefore, $d^2((\nu, \psi), \chi) = (\delta^2(\nu, \psi), -\partial^1(\chi) - \Phi^2(\nu, \psi)) = 0$, that is, $((\nu, \psi), \chi)$ is a 2-cocycle.

Conversely, if $((\nu, \psi), \chi)$ is a 2-cocycle of the Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ with the coefficient in $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$, then we have $d^2((\nu, \psi), \chi) = (\delta^2(\nu, \psi), -\partial^1(\chi) - \Phi^2(\nu, \psi)) = 0$, in which $\delta^2(\nu, \psi) = 0$, Eqs. (6. 5) and (6. 6) hold. So $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_\nu, \{-, -, -\}_\psi, T_\chi)$ is a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra of weight λ . \square

Proposition 6.6. *Let (\hat{L}, \hat{T}) be an abelian extension of (L, T) by (V, T_V) and $s : L \rightarrow \hat{L}$ a section.*

(i) *Different choices of the section s give the same representation on (V, T_V) introduced in Proposition 6.4;*

(ii) *If $((\nu, \psi), \chi)$ is a 2-cocycle constructed using the section s , then its cohomology class does not depend on the choice of s .*

Proof. (i) Let s_1 and s_2 be two distinct sections of p . We define a linear map $\iota : L \rightarrow V$ by $\iota(x) = s_1(x) - s_2(x)$ for $x \in L$. From V is an abelian ideal of \hat{L} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(x)u &= [s_1(x), i(u)]_{\hat{L}} = [s_2(x) + \iota(x), i(u)]_{\hat{L}} = [s_2(x), i(u)]_{\hat{L}} = \rho_2(x)u, \\ \theta_1(x, y)u &= \{i(u), s_1(x), s_1(y)\}_{\hat{L}} = \{i(u), s_2(x) + \iota(x), s_2(y) + \iota(y)\}_{\hat{L}} = \{i(u), s_2(x), s_2(y)\}_{\hat{L}} \\ &= \theta_2(x, y)u. \end{aligned}$$

So different choices of s give the same representation on (V, T_V) ;

(ii) Let the 2-cocycles constructed by s_1 and s_2 be $((\nu_1, \psi_1), \chi_1)$ and $((\nu_2, \psi_2), \chi_2)$ respectively. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_1(x, y) &= [s_1(x), s_1(y)]_{\hat{L}} - s_1[x, y] \\
&= [s_2(x) + \iota(x), s_2(y) + \iota(y)]_{\hat{L}} - s_2[x, y] - \iota[x, y] \\
&= [s_2(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{L}} + \rho(x)\iota(y) - \rho(y)\iota(x) - s_2[x, y] - \iota[x, y] \\
&= \nu_2(x, y) + \delta_I^1 \iota(x, y), \\
\psi_1(x, y, z) &= \{s_1(x), s_1(y), s_1(z)\}_{\hat{L}} - s_1\{x, y, z\} \\
&= \{s_2(x) + \iota(x), s_2(y) + \iota(y), s_2(z) + \iota(z)\}_{\hat{L}} - s_2\{x, y, z\} - \lambda\{x, y, z\} \\
&= \{s_2(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)\}_{\hat{L}} + \theta(y, z)\iota(x) - \theta(x, z)\iota(y) \\
&\quad + D(x, y)\iota(z) - s_2\{x, y, z\} - \iota\{x, y, z\} \\
&= \psi_2(x, y, z) + \delta_{II}^1 \iota(x, y, z),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1(x) &= \hat{T}s_1(x) - s_1(Tx) \\
&= \hat{T}(s_2(x) + \iota(x)) - s_2(Tx) - \iota(Tx) \\
&= \hat{T}s_2(x) - s_2(Tx) + \hat{T}\iota(x) - \iota(Tx) \\
&= \chi_2(x) - \Phi^1 \iota(x).
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $((\nu_1, \psi_1), \chi_1) = ((\nu_2, \psi_2), \chi_2) + d^1(\iota)$. Thus $((\nu_1, \psi_1), \chi_1)$ and $((\nu_2, \psi_2), \chi_2)$ correspond to the same cohomology class in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L, V)$. \square

Theorem 6.7. *Abelian extensions of a Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebra (L, T) of weight λ by (V, T_V) are classified by the second cohomology group $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L, V)$ of (L, T) with coefficients in the representation $(V; \rho, \theta, T_V)$.*

Proof. Suppose (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) and (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2) are equivalent abelian extensions of (L, T) by (V, T_V) with the associated isomorphism $\phi : (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) \rightarrow (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2)$ such that the diagram in (6. 1) is commutative. Let s_1 be a section of (\hat{L}_1, \hat{T}_1) . As $p_2 \circ \phi = p_1$, we have

$$p_2 \circ (\phi \circ s_1) = p_1 \circ s_1 = \text{Id}_L.$$

Thus, $\phi \circ s_1$ is a section of (\hat{L}_2, \hat{T}_2) . Denote $s_2 := \phi \circ s_1$. Since ϕ is an isomorphism of

Reynolds Lie-Yamaguti algebras of weight λ such that $\phi|_V = \text{Id}_V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_2(x, y) &= [s_2(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{L}_2} - s_2[x, y] \\
&= [\phi(s_1(x)), \phi(s_1(y))]_{\hat{L}_2} - \phi(s_1[x, y]) \\
&= \phi([s_1(x), s_1(y)]_{\hat{L}_1} - s_1[x, y]) \\
&= \phi(\nu_1(x, y)) \\
&= \nu_1(x, y), \\
\psi_2(x, y, z) &= \{s_2(x), s_2(y), s_2(z)\}_{\hat{L}_2} - s_2\{x, y, z\} \\
&= \phi(\{s_1(x), s_1(y), s_1(z)\}_{\hat{L}_1} - s_1\{x, y, z\}) \\
&= \psi_1(x, y, z), \\
\chi_2(x) &= \hat{T}_2 s_2(x) - s_2(Tx) \\
&= \hat{T}_2(\phi \circ s_1(x)) - \phi \circ s_1(Tx) \\
&= \phi(\hat{T}_1 s_1(x) - s_1(Tx)) \\
&= \hat{T}_1 s_1(x) - s_1(Tx) \\
&= \chi_1(x).
\end{aligned}$$

So, two equivalent abelian extensions give rise to the same element in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L, V)$.

Conversely, given two 2-cocycles $((\nu_1, \psi_1), \chi_1)$ and $((\nu_2, \psi_2), \chi_2)$, we can construct two abelian extensions $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu_1}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi_1}, T_{\chi_1})$ and $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu_2}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi_2}, T_{\chi_2})$ via Proposition 6.5. If they represent the same cohomology class in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{RLY}}^2(L, V)$, then there is a linear map $\iota : L \rightarrow V$ such that

$$((\nu_1, \psi_1), \chi_1) = ((\nu_2, \psi_2), \chi_2) + (\delta^1 \iota, -\Phi^1 \iota).$$

Define $\phi_\iota : L \oplus V \rightarrow L \oplus V$ by $\phi_\iota(x + u) := x + \iota(x) + u$, $x \in L, u \in V$. Then we have ϕ_ι is an isomorphism of these two abelian extensions $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu_1}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi_1}, T_{\chi_1})$ and $(L \oplus V, [-, -]_{\nu_2}, \{-, -, -\}_{\psi_2}, T_{\chi_2})$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The paper is supported by the NSF of China (No. 12161013) and Guizhou Provincial Basic Research Program (Natural Science) (No. ZK[2023]025).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baxter. An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity. Pacific J. Math., 1960, 10, 731–742.

- [2] G. C. Rota. Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities. I, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 1969, 75, 325–329.
- [3] A. Connes, D. Kreimer. Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem I: The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 2000, 210, 249–273.
- [4] L. Guo. *An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra*. Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012.
- [5] O. Reynolds. On the dynamical theory of incompressible viscous fluids and the determination of the criterion. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A*, 1895, 136, 123–164.
- [6] J. Kampé de Fériet. *Introduction to the Statistical Theory of Turbulence, Correlation and Spectrum*; The Institute for Fluid Dynamics and Applied Mathematics University of Maryland: College Park, MD, USA, 1951.
- [7] T. Zhang, X. Gao, L. Guo. Reynolds algebras and their free objects from bracketed words and rooted trees. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2021, 225, 106766, 28pp.
- [8] A. Das. Twisted Rota-Baxter operators and Reynolds operators on Lie algebras and NS-Lie algebras. *J. Math. Phys.*, 2021, 62, 091701.
- [9] S. Hou, Y. Sheng. Cohomologies of Reynolds operators on n -Lie algebras. *Commun. Algebra*, 2023, 51, 779-798
- [10] R. Gharbi, S. Mabrouk, A. Makhlouf. Maurer-Cartan type cohomology on generalized Reynolds operators and NS-structures on Lie triple systems. *arXiv preprint*, 2023, arXiv:2309.01385.
- [11] D. Wang, Y. Ke. Reynolds operators on Hom-Leibniz algebras. *Filomat*, 2023, 37, 2117–2130.
- [12] W. Teng, J. Jin, F. Long. Generalized Reynolds operators on Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *Axioms*, 2023, 12, 934.
- [13] K. Wang, G. Zhou. Deformations and homotopy theory of Rota-Baxter algebras of any weight. *ArXiv Preprint*, 2021, arXiv:2108.06744.
- [14] K. Wang, G. Zhou. The homotopy theory and minimal model of Rota-Baxter algebras of arbitrary weight. *ArXiv Preprint*, 2022, arXiv:2203.02960.
- [15] A. Das. Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie algebras and Rota-Baxter paired operators. *ArXiv Preprint*, 2021, arXiv:2109.01972.

- [16] S. Hou, Y. Sheng, Y. Zhou. 3-post-Lie algebras and relative Rota-Baxter operators of nonzero weight on 3-Lie algebras. *J. Algebra*, 2023, 615, 103–129.
- [17] S. Guo, Y. Qin, K. Wang, G. Zhou. Deformations and cohomology theory of Rota-Baxter 3-Lie algebras of arbitrary weights. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 2023, 183, 104704.
- [18] S. Chen, Q. Lou, Q. Sun. Cohomologies of Rota-Baxter Lie triple systems and applications. *Commun. Algebra*, 2023, 51, 4299–4315.
- [19] M. K. Kinyon, A. Weinstein. Leibniz algebras, Courant algebroids and multiplications on reductive homogeneous spaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 2001, 123, 525–550.
- [20] K. Yamaguti. On the Lie triple system and its generalization. *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A*, 1957/1958, 21, 155–160.
- [21] M. Kikkawa. Geometry of homogeneous Lie loops. *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 1975, 5, 141–179.
- [22] M. Kikkawa. Remarks on solvability of Lie triple algebras. *Mem. Fac. Sci. Shimane Univ.*, 1979, 13, 17–22.
- [23] M. Kikkawa. On Killing-Ricci forms of Lie triple algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 1981, 96, 153–161.
- [24] K. Yamaguti. On cohomology groups of general Lie triple systems. *Kumamoto J. Sci. A*, 1967/1969, 8, 135–146.
- [25] P. Benito, C. Draper, A. Elduque. Lie Yamaguti algebra related to g_2 . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2005, 202, 22–54.
- [26] P. Benito, A. Elduque, F. Martín-Herce. Irreducible Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 2009, 213, 795–808.
- [27] J. Lin, L. Chen, Y. Ma. On the deformations of Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 2015, 31, 938–946.
- [28] S. Goswami, S. K. Mishra, G. Mukherjee. Automorphisms of extensions of Lie-Yamaguti algebras and inducibility problem. *J. Algebra*, 2024, 641, 268–306.
- [29] T. Zhang, J. Li. Deformations and extension of Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *Lin. Multi. Algebra*, 2015, 63: 2212–2231.
- [30] W. Teng. Cohomology of weighted Rota-Baxter Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Available online: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/366007049> (accessed on 5 December 2022), *Journal of Shanxi University(Natural Science Edition)* to appear (2023), DOI:10.13451/j.sxu.ns.2023146.

- [31] W. Teng. Relative Differential Operator on Lie-Yamaguti Algebras. Available online: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367049532> (accessed on 14 January 2023).
- [32] W. Teng, S. Guo. Modified Rota-Baxter Lie-Yamaguti algebras. arXiv Preprint, 2024, arXiv:2401.17726. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.12760.67845.
- [33] W. Teng. Deformations and extensions of modified λ -differential Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Available online: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378155711> (accessed on 13 February 2024).
- [34] Y. Sheng, J. Zhao, Y. Zhou. Nijenhuis operators, product structures and complex structures on Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *J. Alg. Appl.*, 2021, 20, 2150146.
- [35] Y. Sheng, J. Zhao. Relative Rota-Baxter operators and symplectic structures on Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *Commun. Algebra*, 2022, 50(9), 1–18.
- [36] J. Zhao, Y. Qiao. Cohomologies and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *Mathematics*, 2024, 12(1), 166
- [37] J. Lin, L. Chen. Quasi-derivations of Lie-Yamaguti algebras. *J. Alg. Appl.*, 2023, 22(5), 2350119.