

TIME AND BAND LIMITING FOR EXCEPTIONAL POLYNOMIALS

CASTRO M. M., GRÜNBAUM F. A. AND ZURRIÁN I.

ABSTRACT. The “time-and-band limiting” commutative property was found and exploited by D. Slepian, H. Landau and H. Pollak at Bell Labs in the 1960’s, and independently by M. Mehta and later by C. Tracy and H. Widom in Random matrix theory. The property in question is the existence of local operators with simple spectrum that commute with naturally appearing global ones.

Here we give a general result that insures the existence of a commuting differential operator for a given family of exceptional orthogonal polynomials satisfying the “bispectral property”. As a main tool we go beyond bispectrality and make use of the notion of Fourier Algebras associated to the given sequence of exceptional polynomials. We illustrate this result with two examples, of Hermite and Laguerre type, exhibiting also a nice Perline’s form for the commuting differential operator.

1. INTRODUCTION

The time-and-band limiting problem has its origins in signal processing, and was motivated by a question posed by Claude Shannon in [35]: what is the best use one can make of the values of the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}f(k)$, for values of k in the band $[-\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}]$, to recover the time-limited signal $f(x)$ with finite support $[-\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}]$. The solution was given by three workers at Bell Labs during the 1960s: David Slepian, Henry Landau, and Henry Pollak. See [40, 26, 27, 36, 38, 39, 37].

These papers show the central role of an integral operator S - with a kernel built from the exponentials that feature in the Fourier transform - which exhibits a “spectral gap”. Only about $2\mathcal{W} \times 2\mathcal{T}$ of its eigenvalues are truly nonzero and one should find the projection of $f(x)$ on the span of the corresponding eigenfunctions. Any effort to compute the components of $f(x)$ along the remaining eigenfunctions will result in numerical instability. In practice the eigenfunctions of the integral operator in question can only be computed because they happen to be the eigenfunctions of a commuting differential operator T . This unexpected algebraic accident has played a role in other areas, even as far afield as the study of the Riemann zeta function, see [11]. For a further discussion of this and other historical points the reader can consult [10].

The “bispectral problem” introduced in [12] is an effort to understand the reason for the existence of this commuting operator T and to extend this commutativity miracle. There are numerous papers linking bispectrality with the building of a commuting operator, the most recent ones may be [3, 4, 5, 6, 10].

Date: June 21, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33C45, 22E45, 33C47.

Key words and phrases. Time-band limiting, exceptional polynomials.

One has a “bispectral situation” when a function $f(x, n) = q_n(x)$ is a simultaneous eigenfunction of an operator D , acting in the variable x , and an operator L , acting in the variable n . Namely,

$$Dq_n(x) = \lambda_n q_n(x), \quad Lq_n(x) = \mu_x q_n(x),$$

where the eigenvalue λ_n (respectively μ_x) depends only on n (respectively x). Both variables may be discrete or continuous. In this work we shall consider a continuous-discrete setup, given by a family of orthogonal polynomials that are eigenfunctions of a common differential operator. Previous works in the same direction include [19], where the situation of the classical orthogonal polynomials is considered, and for matrix valued orthogonal polynomials we point out [22, 7, 8, 9, 20, 21]. As a continuation of the work started in [10], in this paper we deal with sequences of exceptional orthogonal polynomials in connection with time-and-band limiting. We manage to get results that were not reached in [10].

The relationship between exceptional polynomials (to be defined in Section 3), bispectrality and the use of the Darboux process has been considered by different authors, [17, 32, 34, 16, 18, 15, 24, 6, 10]. The study of exceptional orthogonal polynomials has grown into a very active area in recent years. The literature is large and we just mention a few papers, [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 32, 34]. A more detailed account is given in [10].

2. THE CONTENTS OF THE PAPER

The case of exceptional Jacobi Polynomials was already covered in [10, Section 5], where an effort is made to write down the commuting operator in “Perline form” as explained now.

Quite a while back the case of polynomials defined on finite sets was considered in [30, 31, 29]. In [29] R. Perline obtained a simple form for the commuting local operator. It was subsequently seen, see [20, 23] that this simple form of Perline applies unchanged to other situations. In [23] one shows that a more complicated form of the commuting operator, still built with an appropriate extension of Perline’s construction, yields one with simple spectrum.

We will see in this paper that to obtain a Perline form of the commuting operator one has to go beyond bispectrality and make use of the more general notion of Fourier Algebras (see Section 4 below), first considered in [1] in connection with bispectral meromorphic functions and the commutativity property and in [2] in the framework of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials. This concept was also exploited in [3, 4, 5, 6].

Specifically, in Theorem 4.2 we give a general result that guarantees the existence of a commuting differential operator T for an integral operator S built from a family of exceptional orthogonal polynomials satisfying the bispectral conditions (1) below. The main tool turns out to be the computation of the dimensions of certain subspaces of the Fourier Algebras associated with the given sequence of exceptional polynomials (see Section 4). The method of considering the Fourier algebras to find a commuting operator is not new and was used already in [6]. Here, we dive into a more detailed study and we proceed with the considerations needed in the particular case given by exceptional orthogonal polynomials, which do not fit neatly with the general results.

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper we deal with sequences of real valued polynomials $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ for which there exist a nontrivial differential operator D and a nontrivial difference operator L such that:

$$(1) \quad Dq_n(x) = \lambda_n q_n(x), \quad Lq_n(x) = \mu_x q_n(x).$$

We allow $q_n \equiv 0$ only for n in a finite set X , these are the so called *exceptional degrees*. On the other hand, we require $\deg(q_n) = n$ for $n \in Z := \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus X$ and $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \in Z}$ to be a complete orthonormal system with respect to a weight $w(x)$ defined on a (possibly infinite) open interval (a, b) . In the particular situation when $\text{ord}(D) = 2$, we are dealing with what are nowadays called “exceptional orthogonal polynomials”.

We consider the Hilbert spaces $\ell^2(Z)$ and $L^2(w) = L^2((a, b), w(x)dx)$ given by the real valued sequences $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in Z}$ such that $\sum_{n \in Z} \gamma_n^2 < \infty$ and all measurable functions $f(x)$, $x \in (a, b)$, satisfying $\int_a^b |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx < \infty$, respectively. A natural analog of the Fourier transform is $F : \ell^2(Z) \rightarrow L^2(w)$ given by

$$(2) \quad \{\gamma_n\}_{n \in Z} \xrightarrow{F} \sum_{n \in Z} \gamma_n q_n(x).$$

Its adjoint $F^* : L^2(w) \rightarrow \ell^2(Z)$ is given by

$$f \xrightarrow{F^*} \gamma_n = \int_a^b f(x) w(x) q_n(x) dx.$$

In the spirit of Shannon we consider the problem of determining a function f from the following data: f is supported on the compact set $\{n \in Z : n \leq N\}$, for a value $N \in Z$, and its transform Ff is known on a compact set $[a, \Omega]$, for $\Omega \in (a, b)$. This leads us to compute the singular vectors (and values) of the operator $E : \ell^2(Z) \rightarrow L^2(w)$ defined by

$$Ef = \chi_\Omega F \chi_N f,$$

where χ_N represents the time-limiting operator on $\ell^2(Z)$ and χ_Ω represents the band-limiting operator on $L^2(w)$. Namely, χ_N acts on $\ell^2(Z)$ by zeroing out all components with indices greater than N . On the other hand, χ_Ω acts on $L^2(w)$ by multiplying by the characteristic function of the interval (a, Ω) .

We are thus required to study the eigenvectors of the operators

$$E^*E = \chi_N F^* \chi_\Omega F \chi_N \quad \text{and} \quad EE^* = \chi_\Omega F \chi_N F^* \chi_\Omega.$$

The operator E^*E , acting on $\ell^2(Z)$, is just a finite dimensional matrix with each entry given by

$$(3) \quad (E^*E)_{m,n} = \int_a^\Omega q_m(x) w(x) q_n(x) dx, \quad m, n \in Z, \quad m, n \leq N.$$

The operator EE^* acts on $L^2((a, \Omega), w(x)dx)$ by means of the integral kernel

$$(4) \quad k(x, y) = \sum_{n \in Z, n \leq N} q_n(x) q_n(y),$$

namely, the integral operator $S = EE^*$ is given by

$$(5) \quad (Sf)(x) = \int_a^\Omega f(y)w(y)k(x,y)dy.$$

This is the analog of the integral operator considered by Slepian, Landau and Pollak.

For general N and Ω , finding the eigenfunctions of EE^* and E^*E analytically is an impossible task. However, a miracle comes to our rescue: we identify a local operator with simple spectrum that shares the same eigenfunctions as the operators EE^* or E^*E . This approach was successfully employed by Slepian, Landau, and Pollak in the scalar case when dealing with traditional Fourier analysis. They made the following discoveries:

- For each N and Ω , a symmetric tridiagonal matrix \hat{T} exists with a simple spectrum that commutes with E^*E .
- For each N and Ω , there exists a self-adjoint second-order differential operator T with a simple spectrum that commutes with the integral operator $S = EE^*$.

In the case of traditional Fourier analysis, the operators D and L involved in (1) may be taken of very low order. In this work, we need to consider arbitrary orders, which leads us to the construction of a higher order differential operator T .

For a full discussion of important numerical aspects in the traditional Fourier case, see [33].

4. THE FOURIER ALGEBRAS

A *difference operator* of order $2k \geq 0$ is denoted by $\tilde{L} = \sum_{j=-k}^k \tilde{L}_j \delta^j$, with \tilde{L}_k or \tilde{L}_{-k} nonzero, where δ is the usual shift. The operator \tilde{L} acts on functions $h : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(6) \quad (\tilde{L}h)_n = \sum_{j=-k}^k \tilde{L}_{n,n+j} h_{n+j},$$

with the understanding that $\tilde{L}_{n,j} = 0$ for $j < 0$.

Let us consider the Fourier algebras associated with $q_n(x)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \{\text{differential operators } \tilde{D} : \tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x) \text{ for some difference operator } \tilde{L}, \text{ for all } n, x\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{\text{difference operators } \tilde{L} : \tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x) \text{ for some differential operator } \tilde{D}, \text{ for all } n, x\}.$$

By a slight abuse of notation, given any $\tilde{L} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ we will also denote by \tilde{L} the semi-infinite $(2k+1)$ -diagonal matrix whose entries are given by (6). We say that the operator \tilde{L} is symmetric if the corresponding matrix is symmetric. For any $x \in (a, b)$, let us consider the semi-infinite vector $\mathbf{q}(x) := (q_0(x), q_1(x), \dots)^T$. Then, if we have $\tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x)$ for some $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{F}_x$, in vector notation we will have

$$\tilde{D}\mathbf{q}(x) = \tilde{L}\mathbf{q}(x).$$

It is worth noticing that we have made an important notational choice: the vector $\mathbf{q}(x)$ has zeros in every entry corresponding to an exceptional degree. The reader will realize that we could have skipped all these entries and that the results and statements will hold with the due technical adaptations. Nevertheless, we have made this choice resulting in a more succinct and shorter presentation, not only in the proofs in this section, but also in the examples in Sections 5 and

6. The matrix representation of \tilde{L} in the basis obtained by including only the q_n with n in Z is obtained by “compressing” the matrices going with the choice above, i.e. we need to throw away the rows and columns corresponding to the exceptional degrees.

From (1) we know that $D \in \mathcal{F}_x$ and, since $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \in Z}$ is a orthogonal complete system, that D is symmetric in $L^2(w)$. This leads us to consider, for given d and k in \mathbb{N}_0 , the subspaces

$$\mathcal{F}_{x, sym}^{d,k} = \left\{ \text{Differential operators } \tilde{D} : \begin{array}{l} \tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x) \text{ for a symmetric difference operator } \tilde{L}, \\ \text{with } \text{ord}(\tilde{D}) \leq 2d \text{ with } \text{ord}(\tilde{L}) \leq 2k. \end{array} \right\}$$

Notice that if $\tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x)$ with \tilde{L} symmetric, since $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \in Z}$ is a orthogonal complete system, \tilde{D} is a symmetric differential operator in $L^2(w)$. Hence, all the operators in $\mathcal{F}_{x, sym}^{d,k}$ are symmetric in $L^2(w)$. Recall that an operator \tilde{D} is symmetric in $L^2(w) = L^2((a, b), w(x)dx)$ if for every f and g in an appropriate dense domain we have

$$\int_a^b \tilde{D}f(x)w(x)g(x)dx = \int_a^b f(x)w(x)\tilde{D}g(x)dx.$$

One defines in an analogous manner the notion of symmetry for a differential operator \tilde{D} in $L^2((a, \Omega), w(x)dx)$.

The identity above requires the vanishing of several terms resulting from integration by parts. This can come about either with the help of certain coefficients in the operator \tilde{D} or because the weight $w(x)$ and some of its derivatives vanish at the end points. In the absence of this, one needs to restrict the domain of the operator to functions $f(x), g(x)$ which, maybe along with their derivatives, vanish at these end points. One should keep this remark in mind when reading the comment after the proof of the lemma below.

Now we give the general results that allow us to find commuting operators in our time-and-band limiting setup.

Lemma 4.1. *If $T \in \mathcal{F}_{x, sym}^{d,k}$ is also symmetric in $L^2((a, \Omega), w(x)dx)$ and $\deg(Tq_n(x)) \leq N$ for any $n \leq N$, then T commutes with the integral operator S in (5).*

Proof. If we denote by I_N the semi-infinite diagonal matrix whose entries $(I_N)_{j,j}$ are 1 for $0 \leq j \leq N$ and zero elsewhere, we have

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n \in Z, n \leq N} q_n(x)q_n(y) = \mathbf{q}(x)^T I_N \mathbf{q}(y).$$

By hypothesis, there is a symmetric semi-infinite $(2k + 1)$ -diagonal matrix \hat{T} such that $T\mathbf{q}(x) = \hat{T}\mathbf{q}(x)$. This, combined with the fact $\deg(Tq_n(x)) \leq N$ for any $n \leq N$, gives us $\hat{T}I_N = I_N\hat{T}$. Hence,

$$(7) \quad T(x)(k(x, y)) = (\hat{T}\mathbf{q}(x))^T I_N \mathbf{q}(y) = \mathbf{q}(x)^T I_N \hat{T}\mathbf{q}(y) = T(y)(k(x, y)).$$

Notice that to avoid ambiguity in the previous identities, we have used $T(x)$ and $T(y)$ to denote the action of the differential operator in the variable x and y , respectively.

Hence, if we want $\deg(Tq_n(x)) \leq N$ for any $n \leq N$, it is enough to satisfy the following $\frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ linear conditions:

$$(11) \quad \hat{T}_{i,j} = 0, \quad \text{for } j = N + 1, \dots, N + k, \quad i = j - k, \dots, N,$$

i.e., the elements of the top right-hand side of the matrix (10) should vanish. We understand that $\hat{T}_{i,j} = 0$ if i is negative.

Then, for $\mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{d,k}$ to contain a nonzero operator T satisfying (9) and (11), it is enough that its dimension should be at least $\frac{k(k+1)+d(d+1)}{2} + 1$. If we require that T should not be a scalar multiplication, it is sufficient that the dimension should be larger than $\frac{k(k+1)+d(d+1)}{2} + 1$. By Lemma 4.1, any operator T satisfying (9) and (11) commutes with S . This completes the proof which is in part an extension of the one in [29]. \square

In the following sections, we consider two different families of exceptional orthogonal polynomials. We apply Theorem 4.2 to show the existence of a commuting differential operator, and we use the concepts in Lemma 4.1 to build it explicitly.

A careful look at the appropriate selfadjoint extension of the symmetric commuting differential operator T has, to the best of our knowledge, only been addressed in [25] and only for the case of traditional Fourier analysis.

5. HERMITE EXCEPTIONAL POLYNOMIALS

Let us consider the sequence of Hermite exceptional polynomials given by

$$q_n(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(n-1)(n-2)}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi}\sqrt{2^n n!}} (H_n(x) + 4nH_{n-2}(x) + 4n(n-3)H_{n-4}(x)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where H_n are the classical Hermite polynomials given by the Rodrigues formula

$$H_n = (-1)^n e^{x^2} D_x^n e^{-x^2}.$$

We have that $q_n \equiv 0$ only for n in $X = \{1, 2\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}_{n \notin X}$ is a complete orthonormal system with respect to the weight

$$w(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{(1+2x^2)^2}, \quad x \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

Now we use Theorem 4.2 to find a differential operator T that commutes with the operator S given by

$$(Sf)(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\Omega} \left(\sum_{k=0}^N q_k(x) q_k(y) w(x) \right) f(x) dx.$$

Namely, we look for d and k satisfying (8). Solving the system of equations $\tilde{D}q_n(x) = \tilde{L}q_n(x)$, with $\deg(\tilde{D}) \leq 2$ and $\deg(\tilde{T}) \leq 6$, one finds that $\mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{3,3}$ contains the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{2(2x^3 + 5x)}{2x^2 + 1} \frac{d}{dx}, \\ D_1 &= x \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{4x^4 + 8x^2 - 1}{2x^2 + 1} \frac{d}{dx}, \\ D_2 &= \left(-\frac{1}{56}x^2 + \frac{17}{112} \right) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{4x^5 - 28x^3 - 87x}{56(2x^2 + 1)} \right) \frac{d}{dx} + 1, \\ D_3 &= \frac{4x^3}{3} + 2x, \end{aligned}$$

with $D_j q_n(x) = L_j q_n(x)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= -2n, \\ L_1 &= \sqrt{2}\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n-3}\sqrt{n}\delta^{-1} + \sqrt{2}\sqrt{n+1}\sqrt{n-2}\sqrt{n}\delta, \\ L_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)n}}{56}\delta^{-2} + \frac{(n-4)(n-7)}{28} + \frac{\sqrt{(n+2)(n-1)(n-2)n}}{56}\delta^2, \\ L_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\sqrt{n(n-4)(n-5)}\delta^{-3} + \sqrt{2n(n-1)(n-3)}\delta^{-1} + \sqrt{2(n+1)n(n-2)}\delta \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}\sqrt{(n+3)(n-1)(n-2)}\delta^3. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one can verify that the following 14 operators are linearly independent:

$$Y = \{Id, D_0, D_1, D_2, D_3, D_0^2, D_0^3, D_1^2, D_3D_0 + D_0D_3, D_3D_0^2 + D_0^2D_3, D_0D_1 + D_1D_0, D_3D_0^3 + D_0^3D_3, D_0^2D_1 + D_1D_0^2, D_0D_1^2 + D_1^2D_0\}.$$

Since $Y \subset \mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{3,3}$, and $14 > \frac{3(3+1)+3(3+1)}{2} + 1$, by Theorem 4.2 we know that there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{3,3}$ that commutes with S . To find such a T , we consider a linear combination of the operators in Y that satisfies both hypothesis of Lemma 4.1. After solving the corresponding system of linear equations one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} T &= -\Omega^3 D_0^3 + \frac{3}{4}(2\Omega^2 - 1)(D_1 D_0^2 + D_0^2 D_1) - \frac{3}{2}\Omega(D_1^2 D_0 + D_0 D_1^2) + \frac{3}{8}(D_3 D_0^3 + D_0^3 D_3) \\ &\quad + 3(N\Omega^2 + 2\Omega^2 - \frac{3}{2}N - 4)(D_1 D_0 + D_0 D_1) - 3(2\Omega^2 - 1)\Omega D_0^2 \\ &\quad - 2\Omega(54N^2 + 4\Omega^2 + 48N - 3)D_0 - 6(2N + 1)\Omega D_1^2 + \frac{9}{8}(2N + 1)(D_3 D_0^2 + D_0^2 D_3) \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}(3N^2 + 3N - 7)(D_0 D_3 + D_3 D_0) + 3(6N\Omega^2 - 4N^2 + 2\Omega^2 - 17N - 7)D_1 \\ &\quad + 672(N + 1)N\Omega D_2 + \frac{3}{2}(2N^2 + 2N - 15)(2N + 1)D_3 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the desired conditions. Then, T commutes with S .

This extension of the Perline representation of a commuting T is not unique, and a different choice of enough linearly independent operators in $\mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{3,3}$ would produce a different representation.

Returning to the operator T given above, if one is interested in an explicit expression for the coefficients one can use

$$T = w^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^3 \partial_x^k f_k \partial_x^k \right) w^{1/2},$$

with

$$f_3 = (x - \Omega)^3$$

$$f_2 = 3(2x^2 + 1)^{-2} (\Omega - x)^2 (4\Omega x^6 - 4x^7 + 8Nx^5 + 8\Omega x^4 - 12x^5 + 8Nx^3 + 21\Omega x^2 - 17x^3 + 2Nx - 7\Omega + 10x),$$

$$f_1 = -3(2x^2 + 1)^{-4} (\Omega - x) (16\Omega^2 x^{12} - 32\Omega x^{13} + 16x^{14} + 64N\Omega x^{11} - 64Nx^{12} + 64N^2 x^{10} + 64\Omega^2 x^{10} - 160\Omega x^{11} + 96x^{12} + 192N\Omega x^9 - 256Nx^{10} + 160N^2 x^8 + 168\Omega^2 x^8 - 272\Omega x^9 + 72x^{10} + 416N\Omega x^7 - 448Nx^8 + 160N^2 x^6 + 152\Omega^2 x^6 - 192\Omega x^7 - 120x^8 + 160N\Omega x^5 - 128Nx^6 + 80N^2 x^4 - 279\Omega^2 x^4 + 334\Omega x^5 - 359x^6 - 76N\Omega x^3 + 92Nx^4 + 20N^2 x^2 + 1210\Omega^2 x^2 - 1662\Omega x^3 + 324x^4 - 36N\Omega x + 32Nx^2 + 2N^2 - 83\Omega^2 + 610\Omega x - 497x^2 - 2N + 18),$$

$$f_0 = (2x^2 + 1)^{-6} (64\Omega^3 x^{18} - 192\Omega^2 x^{19} + 192\Omega x^{20} - 64x^{21} + 384N\Omega^2 x^{17} - 768N\Omega x^{18} + 384Nx^{19} + 768N^2 \Omega x^{16} + 384\Omega^3 x^{16} - 768N^2 x^{17} - 1344\Omega^2 x^{17} + 1536\Omega x^{18} - 576x^{19} + 512N^3 x^{15} + 1920N\Omega^2 x^{15} - 4608N\Omega x^{16} + 2688Nx^{17} + 3456N^2 \Omega x^{14} + 624\Omega^3 x^{14} - 4224N^2 x^{15} - 1296\Omega^2 x^{15} + 336\Omega x^{16} + 336x^{17} + 2304N^3 x^{13} + 4128N\Omega^2 x^{13} - 8640N\Omega x^{14} + 4000Nx^{15} + 50496N^2 \Omega x^{12} + 112\Omega^3 x^{12} - 10176N^2 x^{13} + 3552\Omega^2 x^{13} - 10896\Omega x^{14} + 7616x^{15} + 4224N^3 x^{11} + 3840N\Omega^2 x^{11} + 37824N\Omega x^{12} - 1344Nx^{13} + 137184N^2 \Omega x^{10} - 1332\Omega^3 x^{10} - 12192N^2 x^{11} + 12156\Omega^2 x^{11} - 29052\Omega x^{12} + 18996x^{13} + 4160N^3 x^9 - 1464N\Omega^2 x^9 + 134928N\Omega x^{10} - 10584Nx^{11} + 165360N^2 \Omega x^8 + 1200\Omega^3 x^8 - 7440N^2 x^9 + 9804\Omega^2 x^9 - 31272\Omega x^{10} + 20940x^{11} + 2400N^3 x^7 + 11160N\Omega^2 x^7 + 153312N\Omega x^8 - 9176Nx^9 + 107784N^2 \Omega x^6 + 17521\Omega^3 x^6 - 1944N^2 x^7 - 24975\Omega^2 x^7 - 1917\Omega x^8 + 9851x^9 + 816N^3 x^5 + 8670N\Omega^2 x^5 + 109500N\Omega x^6 - 12858Nx^7 + 39708N^2 \Omega x^4 - 139845\Omega^3 x^4 + 108N^2 x^5 + 266580\Omega^2 x^5 - 160785\Omega x^6 + 31338x^7 + 152N^3 x^3 - 1044N\Omega^2 x^3 + 51324N\Omega x^4 - 9792Nx^5 + 7818N^2 \Omega x^2 + 69189\Omega^3 x^2 + 162N^2 x^3 - 247383\Omega^2 x^3 + 242145\Omega x^4 - 68367x^5 + 12N^3 x - 1134N\Omega^2 x + 11466N\Omega x^2 - 1964Nx^3 + 642N^2 \Omega - 2097\Omega^3 + 24N^2 x + 27432\Omega^2 x - 56673\Omega x^2 + 29088x^3 + 582N\Omega + 144Nx + 1134\Omega - 1512x).$$

The construction above follows the general arguments given in the previous section. This is not the only way to produce such a commuting operator T . One can avail oneself of enough many linearly independent difference operators in the Fourier algebra and, given N and Ω , look for a linear combination of these that would commute with the matrix of size N of inner products of the exceptional polynomials in the interval $(-\infty, \Omega)$. When we replace in this linear combination the difference operators by their corresponding differential ones in the Fourier algebra we obtain a commuting T .

6. LAGUERRE EXCEPTIONAL POLYNOMIALS

Let $\alpha > 0$ and $L_n^{(\alpha)}(x)$, $n \geq 0$, denote the classical Laguerre polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight $e^{-x}x^\alpha$ in the interval $(0, +\infty)$. We consider the sequence of exceptional Laguerre polynomials

$$q_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{(\alpha+n-1)(n-1)!}{(\alpha+n)\Gamma(n+\alpha)}} \left(-(x+\alpha+1)L_{n-1}^{(\alpha)} + L_{n-2}^{(\alpha)} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We have that $q_n \equiv 0$ only for $n = 0$ and $\{q_n(x)\}_{n>0}$ is a complete orthonormal system with respect to the weight

$$w(x) = \frac{e^{-x}x^\alpha}{(x+\alpha)^2}.$$

In this section, just as we did in the Hermite case, we find a differential operator T that commutes with the operator S given by

$$(Sf)(y) = \int_0^\Omega \left(\sum_{k=0}^N q_k(x)q_k(y)w(x) \right) f(x) dx.$$

In this situation, and proceeding as in the previous example, we find that $\mathcal{F}_{x, sym}^{2,2}$ contains the differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &= \frac{1}{4}x \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{(\alpha+x+1)(\alpha-x)}{4(\alpha+x)} \frac{d}{dx} + \frac{\alpha+3x}{4(\alpha+x)}, \\ D_1 &= (3\alpha-x+10) \frac{x}{42} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\alpha^3 - \alpha^2x - 3\alpha x^2 + x^3 + 13\alpha^2 - 5\alpha x - 10x^2 + 10\alpha - 10x}{42(\alpha+x)} \frac{d}{dx} \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha^3 - \alpha x^2 + 5\alpha^2 - 3\alpha x + 10\alpha - 10x}{42(\alpha+x)}, \\ D_2 &= (x+\alpha)^2, \end{aligned}$$

with $D_j q_n(x) = L_j q_n(x)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= (3-n)/4, \\ L_1 &= -\frac{\sqrt{\alpha+n}\sqrt{\alpha+n-1}\sqrt{\alpha+n-2}\sqrt{n-1}}{42} \delta^{-1} + \frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{21} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha+n+1}\sqrt{\alpha+n}\sqrt{\alpha+n-1}\sqrt{n}}{42} \delta, \\ L_2 &= \sqrt{\alpha+n}\sqrt{\alpha+n-3}\sqrt{n-1}\sqrt{n-2} \delta^{-2} - 4\sqrt{\alpha+n}\sqrt{\alpha+n-1}\sqrt{\alpha+n-2}\sqrt{n-1} \delta^{-1} \\ &\quad + (4\alpha^2 + 10\alpha n + 6n^2 - 5\alpha - 6n) - 4\sqrt{\alpha+n+1}\sqrt{\alpha+n}\sqrt{\alpha+n-1}\sqrt{n} \delta^1 \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\alpha+n+2}\sqrt{\alpha+n-1}\sqrt{n+1}\sqrt{n} \delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

In this case, we see that $\mathcal{F}_{x, sym}^{2,2}$ already contains at least 8 operators :

$$Y = \{Id, D_0, D_1, D_2, D_0^2, D_1^2, D_0D_1 + D_1D_0, D_2D_0 + D_0D_2\}.$$

One can verify that the operators in Y are linearly independent. Hence, since $8 > \frac{2(2+1)+2(2+1)}{2} + 1$, by Theorem 4.2 we know that there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{F}_{x,sym}^{2,2}$ that commutes with S . As before, to find such a T , we consider a linear combination of the operators in Y that satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} T = & 1764D_1^2 + 168(D_0D_1 + D_1D_0) + 16(\Omega - 3\alpha - 10)^2D_0^2 + 4(N + \alpha)(D_0D_2 + D_2D_0) \\ & + 42(2N\Omega + 2N\alpha + 4\alpha^2 - 5\Omega + 15\alpha + 40)D_1 \\ & + 4\left(N\Omega^2 - 6N\Omega\alpha - \Omega^2\alpha - 7N\alpha^2 + 2\Omega\alpha^2 - 13\alpha^3 - 20N\Omega - 5\Omega^2 - 20N\alpha + 30\Omega\alpha - 85\alpha^2 \right. \\ & \left. + 90\Omega - 270\alpha - 400\right)D_0 + (N + \alpha)(N - \alpha - 5)D_2. \end{aligned}$$

An explicit expression is given by

$$T = w^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^2 \partial_x^k f_k \partial_x^k \right) w^{1/2},$$

with

$$f_2 = (\Omega - x)^2 x^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 = & (\Omega - x)(\alpha + x)^{-2} \left(\Omega\alpha^4 + 2N\Omega\alpha^2x - 2\Omega\alpha^3x + \alpha^4x + 4N\Omega\alpha x^2 - 2N\alpha^2x^2 - 6\Omega\alpha^2x^2 + 4\alpha^3x^2 \right. \\ & + 2N\Omega x^3 - 4N\alpha x^3 - 2\Omega\alpha x^3 + 4\alpha^2x^3 - 2Nx^4 + \Omega x^4 - x^5 + 3\Omega\alpha^3 - 11\Omega\alpha^2x + 6\alpha^3x \\ & \left. - 19\Omega\alpha x^2 + 30\alpha^2x^2 - 5\Omega x^3 + 30\alpha x^3 + 6x^4 - 10\Omega\alpha x + 38\alpha^2x - 2\Omega x^2 + 96\alpha x^2 + 50x^3 \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 = & (\alpha + x)^{-3} \left(2N^2\alpha^5 - 4N\Omega\alpha^5 - 4N\alpha^6 - 9\alpha^7 + 10N^2\alpha^4x - 8N\Omega\alpha^4x - 8N\alpha^5x - 25\alpha^6x \right. \\ & + 20N^2\alpha^3x^2 - 22\alpha^5x^2 + 20N^2\alpha^2x^3 + 8N\Omega\alpha^2x^3 + 8N\alpha^3x^3 - 6\alpha^4x^3 + 10N^2\alpha x^4 \\ & + 4N\Omega\alpha x^4 + 4N\alpha^2x^4 - \alpha^3x^4 + 2N^2x^5 - \alpha^2x^5 + 2N\Omega^2\alpha^3 - 32N\Omega\alpha^4 - 2\Omega^2\alpha^4 \\ & - 40N\alpha^5 + 13\Omega\alpha^5 - 86\alpha^6 + 10N\Omega^2\alpha^2x - 88N\Omega\alpha^3x - 10\Omega^2\alpha^3x - 128N\alpha^4x + 34\Omega\alpha^4x \\ & - 249\alpha^5x + 14N\Omega^2\alpha x^2 - 80N\Omega\alpha^2x^2 - 14\Omega^2\alpha^2x^2 - 154N\alpha^3x^2 + 24\Omega\alpha^3x^2 - 240\alpha^4x^2 \\ & + 6N\Omega^2x^3 - 24N\Omega\alpha x^3 - 6\Omega^2\alpha x^3 - 90N\alpha^2x^3 - 2\Omega\alpha^2x^3 - 82\alpha^3x^3 - 30N\alpha x^4 \\ & - 5\Omega\alpha x^4 - 10\alpha^2x^4 - 6Nx^5 - 5\alpha x^5 - 80N\Omega\alpha^3 - 7\Omega^2\alpha^3 - 78N\alpha^4 + 97\Omega\alpha^4 - 374\alpha^5 \\ & - 240N\Omega\alpha^2x - 43\Omega^2\alpha^2x - 234N\alpha^3x + 293\Omega\alpha^3x - 1058\alpha^4x - 240N\Omega\alpha x^2 - 57\Omega^2\alpha x^2 \\ & - 234N\alpha^2x^2 + 311\Omega\alpha^2x^2 - 946\alpha^3x^2 - 80N\Omega x^3 - 21\Omega^2x^3 - 78N\alpha x^3 + 115\Omega\alpha x^3 \\ & - 222\alpha^2x^3 + 40\alpha x^4 + 2\Omega^2\alpha^2 + 242\Omega\alpha^3 - 920\alpha^4 - 6\Omega^2\alpha x + 790\Omega\alpha^2x - 2684\alpha^3x \\ & \left. + 884\Omega\alpha x^2 - 2596\alpha^2x^2 + 320\Omega x^3 - 840\alpha x^3 - 1200\alpha^3 - 3600\alpha^2x - 3600\alpha x^2 - 1200x^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of M. M. Castro was partially supported by PID2021-124332NB-C21 (FEDER(EU) / Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación-Agencia Estatal de Investigación) and FQM-262 (Junta de Andalucía). The research of I. Zurrián was supported by CONICET PIP grant 112-200801-01533, SECyT grant 30720150100255CB, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación PID2021-124332NB-C21 and Universidad de Sevilla VI PPIT - US.

REFERENCES

- [1] Casper, W. R., Yakimov, M., *Integral operators, bispectrality and growth of Fourier algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **766** (2020), 151–194.
- [2] Casper, W. R., Yakimov, M., *The matrix Bochner problem*, Amer. J. Math. **144** (2022), no. 4, 1009–1065.
- [3] Casper, W. R., Grünbaum F. A., Yakimov, M., Zurrián, I., *Reflective prolate-spheroidal operators and the KP/KdV equations*. Proc. Nat. Academy of Sciences, USA **116** (2019) , no. 37, 1831–18315.
- [4] Casper, W., Grünbaum, F. A., Yakimov, M., Zurrián, I., *Reflective prolate-spheroidal operators and the adelic Grassmannian*. Comm. Pure and Applied Math (2023),1–42. DOI: 10.1002/cpa.22118
- [5] Casper, W., Grünbaum, F. A., Yakimov, M., Zurrián, I., *Algebras of commuting differential operators for kernels of Airy type*. Toeplitz operators and Random Matrices, in memory of Harold Widom. Birkhouser series: Operator Theory, Advances and Applications. E. Basor, A. Böttcher, T. Ehrhardt, C. Tracy editors, 2023.
- [6] Casper, W., Grünbaum, F. A., Yakimov, M., Zurrián, I., *Matrix valued discrete-continuous functions with the prolate spheroidal property and bispectrality* (2023), arXiv:2302.05750.
- [7] Castro, M., Grünbaum, F. A., *The Darboux process and time-and-band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials*. Linear Algebra Appl. **487** (2015), 328-341.
- [8] Castro, M., Grünbaum, F. A., *Time and band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials of Jacobi type* . Random Matrices: Theory and Appl. **6**, no. 4 (2017): 1740001–12.
- [9] Castro, M., Grünbaum, F.A., Pacharoni, I., Zurrián, I., *A further look at time-and-band limiting for matrix orthogonal polynomials*, “Frontiers in Orthogonal Polynomials and q-Series”, 139–153. Contemp. Math. Appl. Monogr. Expo. Lect. Notes, 1, World Scientific 2018.
- [10] Castro, M. M., Grünbaum, F. A., *A new property of exceptional orthogonal polynomials* (2023), arXiv:2210.13928.
- [11] Connes, A., Moscovici, H., *The UV prolate spectrum matches the zeros of zeta*. PNAS (2022) **119** (22).
- [12] Duistermaat J. J., Grünbaum F. A., *Differential equations in the spectral parameter*. Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986), 177–240.
- [13] Durán, A., *Higher order recurrence relation for Exceptional Charlier, Meixner, Hermite and Laguerre orthogonal polynomials*. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **26** (2015), no. 5, 357–376.
- [14] Durán, A. *Exceptional orthogonal polynomials via Krall discrete polynomials*. Lectures on orthogonal polynomials and special functions, 1-75, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 464, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge 2021.
- [15] Garcia Ferrero, M.A., Gomez Ullate, D., Milson, R. *A Bochner type classification theorem for exceptional orthogonal polynomials*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **472** (2019) 584-626.
- [16] Gomez Ullate, D., Grandati, Y., N., Milson, R., *Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials*. J. Phys. A **47** (2014), no. 1, 015203, 27 pp.
- [17] Gomez Ullate, D., Kamran, N., Milson, R., *An extended class of orthogonal polynomials defined by a Sturm-Liouville problem*. J. of Math. Analysis and Appl. **359** (2009) 352-367.
- [18] Gomez-Ullate, D., Kasman, A., Kuijlaars, A.B.J., Milson, R., *Recurrence relations for exceptional Hermite polynomials*. J. of Approx. Theory **204** 2016, 1-16.
- [19] Grünbaum, F. A., *A new property of reproducing kernels of classical orthogonal polynomials*. J. Math. Anal. Applic. **95** (1983), 491–500.
- [20] Grünbaum, F. A., Pacharoni I., Zurrián, I., *Time and band limiting for matrix valued functions, an example*. SIGMA **11** (2015), 044, 14 pages.
- [21] Grünbaum, F. A., Pacharoni I., Zurrián, I., *Time and band limiting for matrix valued functions: an integral and a commuting differential operator*. Inverse Problems **33**, No. 2 (2017), 025005.

- [22] Grünbaum, F. A., Pacharoni I., Zurrian, I., *Bispectrality and time and band limiting: matrix valued polynomials*. Int. Math. Res. Not. (2020), no. 13, 4016–4036.
- [23] Grünbaum, F.A. , Vinet, L., Zhedanov, A., *Algebraic Heun operator and Band and Time limiting*. Com. Math. Physics **364** (2018), 1041–1068.
- [24] Kasman, A., Milson R., *The adelic Grassmannian and exceptional Hermite polynomials*, Math Physics Anal. Geometry **23** 40 (2020), 51 pp.
- [25] Katsnelson V., *Selfadjoint boundary conditions for the prolate spheroidal differential operator*, arXiv:1603.07542, (2016).
- [26] Landau, H. J., Pollak, H. O., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty, II*. Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. **40**, No. 1 (1961), 65–84.
- [27] Landau, H. J., Pollak, H. O., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty, III*. Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. **41**, No. 4 (1962), 1295–1336.
- [28] Osipov, A. Rokhlin, V. Xiao H., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions of order zero*. Springer Ser. Appl. Math. Sci, 187, 2013.
- [29] Perline, R.K., *Discrete Time-Band Limiting Operators and Commuting Tridiagonal Matrices*. SIAM. J. on Algebraic and Discrete Methods, **8**(2) (1987), 192–195.
- [30] Perlstadt, M., *Chopped orthogonal polynomial expansions – some discrete cases*. SIAM J. Disc. Meth. **4** (1983), 94–100.
- [31] Perlstadt, M., *A property of orthogonal polynomial families with polynomial duals*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15** (1984), 1043–1054.
- [32] Quesne, C., *Solvable rational potentials and exceptional orthogonal polynomials in supersymmetric quantum mechanics*. SIGMA **5** (2009), 24 pp.
- [33] Osipov, A. Rokhlin, V. Xiao H., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions of order zero*. Springer Ser. Appl. Math. Sci, 187, 2013.
- [34] Sasaki,R., Tsujimoto, S., Zhedanov, A., *Exceptional Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials and the corresponding potentials through Darboux-Crum transformations*. J. Phys. A. Math. **43** (2010), no. 31, 315204, 20 pp.
- [35] Shannon, C., *A mathematical theory of communication*. Bell Tech. J., vol **27**, 1948, 379–423 (July) and 623–656 (Oct).
- [36] Slepian, D., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty, IV*. Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. **43**, No. 6 (1964), 3009–3058.
- [37] Slepian, D., *On bandwidth*. Proc. of IEEE, Vol. **64**, no. 3, March 1976.
- [38] Slepian, D., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty, V*. Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. **57**, No. 5 (1978), 1371–1430.
- [39] Slepian, D., *Some comments on Fourier analysis, Uncertainty and Modeling* SIAM Review, Vol. **25**, 3, July 1983, 379–393.
- [40] Slepian, D., Pollak H. O., *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty, I*. Bell System Tech. Journal, Vol. **40**, No. 1 (1961), 43–64.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY CA 94705
 Email address: grunbaum@math.berkeley.edu

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA II, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, SEVILLE 41011
 Email address: mirta@us.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA II, UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA, SEVILLE 41011
 Email address: ignacio.zurrian@fulbrightmail.org