

QUOT SCHEME AND DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION

INDRANIL BISWAS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface, and let $\mathcal{Q}(r, d)$ denote the quot scheme parametrizing the torsion quotients of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ of degree d . Given a projective structure P on X , we show that the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{U}$ of a certain nonempty Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{Q}(r, d)$, equipped with the natural Liouville symplectic form, admits a canonical deformation quantization. When $r = 1 = d$, then $\mathcal{Q}(r, d) = X$; this case was addressed earlier in [BB].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Y be a complex manifold and ω a holomorphic symplectic form on Y . Then ω defines a Poisson structure on Y . Let $\mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ denote the sheaf of locally defined holomorphic functions on Y , and define $\mathcal{A}(Y) := \mathcal{A}_0(Y)[[h]]$, where h is a formal parameter. A deformation quantization of the Poisson structure associated to ω is an associative algebra operation \star on $\mathcal{A}(Y)$ for which the following conditions hold:

For any $\tilde{f} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i h^i$ and $\tilde{g} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i h^i \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$, the product

$$\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i h^i$$

satisfies the following four conditions:

- each $\psi_i \in \mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ is some polynomial (independent of \tilde{f} and \tilde{g}) in derivatives (of arbitrary order) of $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i \geq 0}$,
- $\psi_0 = f_0 g_0$,
- $1 \star f_0 = f_0 \star 1 = f_0$ for every $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0(Y)$, and
- $\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g} - \tilde{g} \star \tilde{f} = \sqrt{-1} \{f_0, g_0\} h + \beta h^2$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$ depends on f, g .

(See Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 for more details and references.)

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface. Fix positive integers r and d . Let

$$\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}(r, d)$$

be the quot scheme that parametrizes all torsion quotients of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ of degree d . It is an irreducible smooth complex projective variety of dimension rd . For any Zariski open subset $\mathcal{U}' \subset T^*\mathcal{Q}$, consider the Liouville form $\theta_{\mathcal{U}'}$ on it obtained by restricting the Liouville symplectic form on $T^*\mathcal{Q}$; it is a holomorphic symplectic form on \mathcal{U}' .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53D55, 81S10, 14H60, 14D21.

Key words and phrases. Deformation quantization, projective structure, quot scheme, Moyal–Weyl deformation quantization.

We show that $\mathcal{U} \subset T^*\mathcal{Q}$ equipped with the holomorphic symplectic form $\theta_{\mathcal{U}}$, where $\mathcal{U} \subset T^*\mathcal{Q}$ is a certain Zariski open subset, has a natural deformation quantization, once a projective structure on X is fixed; see Theorem 5.1.

We recall that a projective structure on X is given by a covering of X by holomorphic coordinate charts such that all the transition functions are Möbius transformations. Using the uniformization theorem it is easy to see that every Riemann surface admits a projective structure.

When $r = 1 = d$, then we have $\mathcal{Q}(r, d) = X$. This isomorphism sends any torsion sheaf to its support. Let $\mathcal{U}_X = T^*X \setminus 0_X$ be the complement of the image of the zero section in the total space T^*X of the holomorphic cotangent bundle of X . Fix a projective structure on X . In [BB] it was shown that \mathcal{U}_X equipped with the Liouville symplectic form admits a natural deformation quantization.

2. A QUOT SCHEME

Let X be an irreducible smooth complex projective curve of genus g . Let V be a holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank r . Fix a positive integer d . Consider all torsion quotients of degree d of the coherent sheaf V . So such a quotient T fits in a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow W := \text{kernel}(q_T) \longrightarrow V \xrightarrow{q_T} T \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.1)$$

where q_T is the quotient map and W is a holomorphic vector bundle on X with $\text{rank}(W) = r$ and $\text{degree}(W) = \text{degree}(V) - d$.

There is a projective scheme $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(V, d)$ defined over \mathbb{C} that parametrizes all such quotients. There is also a universal quotient sheaf on $X \times \mathcal{Q}$

$$p_X^*V \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where p_X is the natural projection of $X \times \mathcal{Q}$ to X [Gr], [BGL], [Bi]. More precisely, the pair $(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{T})$ has the following universal property: Let S be a scheme over \mathbb{C} , and let $p_1^*V \longrightarrow T_S \longrightarrow X \times S$ be a quotient of p_1^*V , where p_1 is the natural projection of $X \times S$ to X , such that

- T_S is flat over S , and
- the relative degree of T_S for the projection p_X is d .

Then there is a unique morphism $\chi_S : S \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}$ such that the quotient T_S of p_1^*V coincides with the quotient $(\text{Id}_X \times \chi_S)^*\mathcal{T}$ (see (2.2)) of $(\text{Id}_X \times \chi_S)^*p_X^*V = p_1^*V$.

Take a point $\underline{z} \in \mathcal{Q}$; suppose it corresponds to the quotient $V \longrightarrow T$ (see (2.1)). Then the obstruction to the smoothness of \mathcal{Q} at \underline{z} is given by $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(W, T))$ (see (2.1) for W); see [Gr], [BGL]. Since T is a torsion sheaf, we have $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(W, T)) = 0$. Consequently, \mathcal{Q} is a smooth complex projective variety. It's irreducible and its dimension is rd .

Let \mathcal{V} denote the kernel of the quotient homomorphism in (2.2). This \mathcal{V} is an algebraic vector bundle on $X \times \mathcal{Q}$. For any $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, the restriction $\mathcal{V}|_{X \times \{q\}}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank r and degree $\text{degree}(V) - d =: \delta$. Hence \mathcal{V} is an algebraic family of vector bundles on X , parametrized by \mathcal{Q} , of rank r and degree δ . Therefore, we have a morphism

$$\varphi_V : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(r, \delta), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_X(r, \delta)$ is the moduli stack of vector bundles on X of rank r and degree δ .

Take a point $x_0 \in X$. The inclusion of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X in $\mathcal{O}_X(x_0)$ produces an inclusion $V \hookrightarrow V^1 := V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(x_0)$. If T is a quotient sheaf of V , then there is a unique quotient T^1 of V^1 such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & V^1 & \longrightarrow & V_{x_0}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & T^1 & \longrightarrow & V_{x_0}^1 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (2.4)$$

where $V_{x_0}^1$ is the fiber of V^1 over x_0 , and the two rows in (2.4) are exact. In fact, $T^1 = V^1/\ker T$, where $\ker T$ is the kernel of the quotient homomorphism $V \longrightarrow T$; for T in (2.1), we have $\ker T = W$. Sending any quotient T of V to the corresponding quotient T^1 of V^1 , we get an embedding

$$f_V : \mathcal{Q}(V, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V^1, d + r). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that the following diagram of maps is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}(V, d) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V} & \mathcal{M}_X(r, \delta) \\ \downarrow f_V & & \downarrow \text{Id} \\ \mathcal{Q}(V^1, d + r) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{V^1}} & \mathcal{M}_X(r, \delta) \end{array}$$

where f_V is constructed in (2.5), φ_V is constructed in (2.3), and φ_{V^1} is constructed as in (2.3) for the vector bundle V^1 .

For each positive integer n , define $V^n := V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(nx_0)$. Replacing V by V^n in (2.5), we have an embedding

$$\mathcal{Q}(V^n, d + nr) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(V^{n+1}, d + (n + 1)r).$$

Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}} := \varinjlim_n \mathcal{Q}(V^n, d + nr)$$

be the direct limit constructed using the above embeddings; it is an ind-scheme. In view of (2.4), from (2.3) we have a morphism

$$\varphi : \tilde{\mathcal{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_X(r, \delta).$$

This morphism φ is surjective.

3. A CANONICAL DEFORMATION QUANTIZATION

3.1. Deformation quantization. Let Y be a connected complex manifold equipped with a holomorphic symplectic form ω . So ω is a closed holomorphic two-form on Y such that for every $y \in Y$, the \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$T_y Y \longrightarrow \Omega_y = (T_y Y)^*, \quad v \longmapsto \{v' \longmapsto \omega(y)(v, v') = i_v \omega(y)(v')\}$$

is an isomorphism. Let

$$P : TY \longrightarrow \Omega_Y \tag{3.1}$$

be the isomorphism constructed above. Note that since ω is holomorphic, the condition that ω is d -closed is equivalent to the condition that it is ∂ -closed.

The symplectic form ω defines a Poisson structure, which can be described as follows. Let f_1 and f_2 be two holomorphic functions defined on an open subset U of Y . Then their Poisson bracket $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is defined to be

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = \omega(P^{-1}(df_1), P^{-1}(df_2)),$$

where P is the isomorphism in (3.1); note that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a holomorphic function on U .

Let $\mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ denote the sheaf of locally defined holomorphic functions on Y . Note that the stalks of $\mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ are algebras. Let

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) := \mathcal{A}_0(Y)[[h]]$$

be the space of all formal Taylor series of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i h^i$, where $f_i \in \mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ and h is the formal parameter.

A *deformation quantization* of the above Poisson structure is an associative algebra operation on $\mathcal{A}(Y)$, which is denoted by \star , for which the following conditions hold (see [BFFLS], [DL], [We] for the details):

For any $\tilde{f} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i h^i$ and $\tilde{g} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i h^i \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$, the product

$$\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i h^i$$

satisfies the following four conditions:

- each $\psi_i \in \mathcal{A}_0(Y)$ is some polynomial (independent of \tilde{f} and \tilde{g}) in derivatives (of arbitrary order) of $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{g_i\}_{i \geq 0}$,
- $\psi_0 = f_0 g_0$,
- $1 \star f_0 = f_0 \star 1 = f_0$ for every $f_0 \in \mathcal{A}_0(Y)$, and
- $\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g} - \tilde{g} \star \tilde{f} = \sqrt{-1} \{f_0, g_0\} h + \beta h^2$, where $\beta \in \mathcal{A}(Y)$ depends on f, g .

3.2. Moyal–Weyl deformation quantization of a symplectic vector space. Fix a complex vector space V of complex dimension $2d$. Fix a constant symplectic form θ_0 on V . Therefore, $\theta_0 \in \bigwedge^2 V^*$, and the element $\bigwedge^d \theta_0$ of $\bigwedge^{2d} V^*$ is nonzero. As before, let $\mathcal{A}_0(V)$ denote the sheaf of all locally defined holomorphic functions on V . It is equipped with the holomorphic Poisson structure given by θ_0 .

Let

$$\Delta : V \longrightarrow V \times V$$

denote the diagonal homomorphism defined by $v \mapsto (v, v)$. There exists a unique differential operator

$$D : \mathcal{A}_0(V \times V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_0(V \times V) \tag{3.2}$$

with constant coefficients such that for any pair $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A}_0(V)$, we have

$$\{f_1, f_2\} = \Delta^* D(f_1 \otimes f_2),$$

where $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is the function on $V \times V$ defined by $(u, v) \mapsto f_1(u)f_2(v)$ [We] and [Fe].

The *Moyal–Weyl algebra* is defined by

$$f_1 \star f_2 = \Delta^* \exp(\sqrt{-1}hD/2)(f_1 \otimes f_2) \in \mathcal{A}(V)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_0(V)$, and it is extended to a multiplication operation on $\mathcal{A}(V)$ using the bilinearity condition with respect to h . In other words, if $\tilde{f} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i h^i$ and $\tilde{g} := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_i h^i$ are two elements of $\mathcal{A}(V)$, then

$$\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} h^{i+j} (f_i \star g_j) \in \mathcal{A}(V).$$

It is known that this \star operation makes $\mathcal{A}(V)$ into an associative algebra that quantizes the symplectic structure Θ_0 ; see [BFFLS] and [We] for the details.

Let $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ denote the group of all linear automorphisms of V that preserve the symplectic form θ_0 . This group $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}(V)$ in an obvious way. More precisely,

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i h^i\right) \circ G = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (f_i \circ G) h^i,$$

where $G \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$. The differential operator D in (3.2) evidently commutes with the diagonal action of $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$ on $V \times V$. This immediately implies that

$$(\tilde{f} \circ G) \star (\tilde{g} \circ G) = (\tilde{f} \star \tilde{g}) \circ G. \tag{3.3}$$

for any $G \in \mathrm{Sp}(V)$ and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{A}(V)$.

4. PROJECTIVE STRUCTURES ON A RIEMANN SURFACE

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface.

A global holomorphic automorphism of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is called a *Möbius transformation*. All Möbius transformations are of the form $z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ with $ad - bc \neq 0$. So the group of Möbius transformations is identified with the projective linear group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.

A holomorphic coordinate function on X is a pair of the form (U, ϕ) , where U is an Euclidean open subset of X , and $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ is a biholomorphism onto an open subset of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$. A collection of holomorphic coordinate functions $\{U_j, \phi_j\}_{j \in J}$ is said to cover X if $\bigcup_{j \in J} U_j = X$. A projective structure on X is given by a covering of X by a collection of holomorphic coordinate functions $\{U_j, \phi_j\}_{j \in J}$ such that the transition function $\phi_i \circ \phi_j^{-1}$,

when restricted to $\phi_j(U)$, where U is any connected component of $U_i \cap U_j$, coincides with the restriction of some Möbius transformation for all $i, j \in J$. (See [Gu], [De].)

Any Riemann surface Z admits a projective structure. To see this, note that the uniformization theorem says that the universal cover of Z is either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{CP}^1 or the upper-half plane \mathbb{H} . So the automorphism group of the universal cover of Z is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Therefore, the natural projective structure on the universal cover of Z given by its inclusion map to \mathbb{CP}^1 preserved by the deck transformations and hence it descends to a projective structure on Z .

The space of all projective structure on a Riemann surface Z is an affine space for $H^0(Z, K_Z^{\otimes 2})$, where $K_Z = \Omega_Z$ is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of Z .

Let $s_X : X \rightarrow K_X$ be the zero section. The total space of K_X is equipped with the Liouville symplectic form. Define

$$\mathcal{K}_X = K_X \setminus s_X(X) \quad (4.1)$$

to be the complement of the image of the zero section. Let θ_X denote the restriction of the Liouville symplectic form to \mathcal{K}_X . We will show that a projective structure on X produces a deformation quantization of the symplectic manifold $(\mathcal{K}_X, \theta_X)$.

Fix the standard symplectic form $dx \wedge dy$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , where (x, y) are the standard coordinates. The group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on \mathbb{C}^2 via the involution $(x, y) \mapsto (-x, -y)$. Let

$$\mathcal{Y}_0 := (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\})/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \quad (4.2)$$

be the corresponding quotient. Since the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{C}^2 is preserved by the action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the complex surface \mathcal{Y}_0 gets a holomorphic symplectic form, which will be denoted by

$$\theta'. \quad (4.3)$$

From (3.3) it follows immediately that the Moyal–Weyl deformation quantization of $(\mathbb{C}^2, dx \wedge dy)$ produces a deformation quantization of the symplectic manifold (\mathcal{Y}_0, θ') .

Construct $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$ by setting $X = \mathbb{CP}^1$ in (4.1). The Liouville symplectic form $\theta_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$ on it will be denoted by

$$\theta''. \quad (4.4)$$

The standard action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^2 produces an action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{Y}_0 . The center $\pm I$ of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts trivially on \mathcal{Y}_0 , and hence we get an action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\{\pm I\}$ on \mathcal{Y}_0 . On the other hand, the action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{CP}^1 produces an action of $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The symplectic surface (\mathcal{Y}_0, θ') (see (4.2) and (4.3)) has a natural holomorphic symplectomorphism with $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}, \theta'')$ (see (4.4)). This symplectomorphism is $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.*

Proof. Consider the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$ on \mathbb{CP}^1 whose fiber over any point $p \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ is the line in \mathbb{C}^2 represented by p . Let

$$s_0 : \mathbb{CP}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1)$$

be the zero section. The complement $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ is identified with the complement $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \setminus s_0(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ by sending any $y \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ to the point in the line $\cdot y \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by y .

Let

$$s'_0 : \mathbb{CP}^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2)$$

be the zero section. Consider the map of total spaces

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2)$$

defined by $v \mapsto v \otimes v$; note that this is not fiberwise linear. Using this map, the quotient \mathcal{Y}_0 in (4.2) is identified with the complement $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2) \setminus s'_0(\mathbb{CP}^1)$.

The holomorphic cotangent bundle $K_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$ of \mathbb{CP}^1 is identified with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} (\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^2)^*$. Therefore, using the symplectic form $dx \wedge dy$ on \mathbb{C}^2 , the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2)$ is identified with $K_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$. Combining this with the above isomorphism of \mathcal{Y}_0 with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}(-2) \setminus s'_0(\mathbb{CP}^1)$ we obtain a holomorphic isomorphism between \mathcal{Y}_0 and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$. This biholomorphism takes the symplectic form θ' on \mathcal{Y}_0 to the symplectic form θ'' on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^1}$. Furthermore, this biholomorphism is $\mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. \square

Fix a projective structure P on X .

It was shown earlier that the Moyal–Weyl deformation quantization of $(\mathbb{C}^2, dx \wedge dy)$ produces a deformation quantization of the symplectic manifold (\mathcal{Y}_0, θ') . In view of Lemma 4.1 and (3.3), using the projective structure P on X , this deformation quantization of (\mathcal{Y}_0, θ') produces a deformation quantization of $(\mathcal{K}_X, \theta_X)$.

5. LIOUVILLE FORM FOR QUOT SCHEME

Let X be a compact connected Riemann surface.

Let $V = \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}$ be the trivial holomorphic vector bundle on X of rank r . The trivial holomorphic line bundle $\wedge^r V = \mathcal{O}_X$ will be denoted by \mathcal{L} . We have a holomorphic map

$$\gamma : \mathcal{Q}(V, d) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, d) \tag{5.1}$$

that sends any quotient $q_T : V \longrightarrow T$ as in (2.1) to the quotient $\mathcal{L}/(\wedge^r \ker(q_T)) = \mathcal{L}/(\wedge^r W)$, where W is the vector bundle in (2.1) defined by $\ker(q_T)$.

Now, since \mathcal{L} is a line bundle, any torsion quotient \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{L} is uniquely determined by the support of the sheaf \mathcal{T} . Consequently, the quot scheme $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, d)$ is identified with the symmetric product $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ by the map

$$\eta : \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, d) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^d(X) \tag{5.2}$$

that sends any quotient \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{L} to the support of the sheaf \mathcal{T} with the scheme structure given by its 0-th Fitting ideal.

Let $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ be the Zariski open dense subset that parametrizes all the distinct d points of X . In other words, $\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)$ is the locus of reduced effective divisors on X of degree d . Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}' := (\eta \circ \gamma)^{-1}(\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0) \subset \mathcal{Q}(V, d) \tag{5.3}$$

be the inverse image, where γ and η are the maps constructed in (5.1) and (5.2) respectively, which is a Zariski open dense subset of $\mathcal{Q}(V, d)$. We note that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}}' = \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \times (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^d.$$

Therefore, we have the Zariski open subset

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \times (\mathbb{C}^{r-1})^d = \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \times \mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0 \times (\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{r-1})^d.$$

So the holomorphic cotangent bundle $T^*\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ is the Cartesian product

$$T^*\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = (T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0) \times (T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}). \quad (5.4)$$

The Liouville holomorphic symplectic form on the cotangent bundle $T^*\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ will be denoted by

$$\theta_0. \quad (5.5)$$

Note that $T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0$ is a Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{Sym}^d(T^*X)$. On the other hand, the inclusion map $\mathcal{K}_X \hookrightarrow T^*X = K_X$ in (4.1) produces a Zariski open subset

$$\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(T^*X).$$

Let

$$\mathcal{W} := (T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0) \cap \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X) \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(T^*X) \quad (5.6)$$

be the intersection, which is a Zariski open subset.

Let

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{W} \times T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)} \subset (T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0) \times (T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}) = T^*\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \quad (5.7)$$

be the Zariski open subset (see (5.4)). The holomorphic symplectic form on \mathcal{U} obtained by restricting the Liouville symplectic form θ_0 on $T^*\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ (see (5.5)) will be denoted by θ .

Theorem 5.1. *A projective structure P on X produces a deformation quantization of a Zariski open dense subset $\mathcal{U} \subset T^*\mathcal{Q}(V, d)$ equipped with the Liouville symplectic form θ .*

Proof. Let P be a projective structure on X . Consider the Liouville symplectic form θ_X on \mathcal{K}_X (see (4.1)). Using P we constructed a deformation quantization of $(\mathcal{K}_X, \theta_X)$. Now we shall construct a deformation quantization of \mathcal{W} in (5.6) equipped with the restriction of the Liouville symplectic form; note that since \mathcal{W} is an open subset of $T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0$, the Liouville symplectic form on $T^*\mathrm{Sym}^d(X)^0$ restricts to a symplectic form on \mathcal{W} .

For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $p_i : (\mathcal{K}_X)^d \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$ be the projection to the i -factor in the Cartesian product. The pull-back $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X$ is evidently a holomorphic symplectic form on $(\mathcal{K}_X)^d$. A deformation quantization of $(\mathcal{K}_X, \theta_X)$ produces a deformation quantization of $((\mathcal{K}_X)^d, \sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X)$. In particular, the deformation quantization of $(\mathcal{K}_X, \theta_X)$ constructed using the projective structure P on X gives a deformation quantization of $((\mathcal{K}_X)^d, \sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X)$.

Let S_d denote the group of permutations of $\{1, \dots, d\}$. This group S_d acts on $(\mathcal{K}_X)^d$ by permuting the factors in the Cartesian product. The corresponding quotient space is $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)$. The symplectic form $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X$ on $(\mathcal{K}_X)^d$ is evidently preserved by the action of P^d . Moreover, the above deformation quantization of $((\mathcal{K}_X)^d, \sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X)$ is

also preserved by the action of P^d . Therefore, we get a holomorphic symplectic form Θ_d on the smooth locus $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)_0 \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)$ given by $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X$, and we also obtain a deformation quantization of $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)_0, \Theta_d)$ given by the above deformation quantization of $((\mathcal{K}_X)^d, \sum_{i=1}^d p_i^* \theta_X)$.

The open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)$ in (5.6) is clearly contained in $\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)_0$. Therefore, the above deformation quantization of $(\mathrm{Sym}^d(\mathcal{K}_X)_0, \Theta_d)$ produces a deformation quantization of (\mathcal{W}, Θ_d) (the restriction of Θ_d to \mathcal{W} is also denoted by Θ_d).

Let Θ_0 denote the Liouville symplectic form on $T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}$. Since there is a natural holomorphic symplectomorphism between the holomorphic symplectic manifold $(T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}, \Theta_0)$ and $\mathbb{C}^{2d(r-1)}$ equipped with the standard symplectic form, we have the Moyal–Weyl deformation quantization of $(T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}, \Theta_0)$.

The deformation quantizations of $(T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}, \Theta_0)$ and (\mathcal{W}, Θ_d) together produce a deformation quantization of $(\mathcal{W} \times T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}, \Theta_d \oplus \Theta_0)$. The identification between $\mathcal{W} \times T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}$ and \mathcal{U} (see (5.7)) takes the symplectic form $\Theta_d \oplus \Theta_0$ on $\mathcal{W} \times T^*\mathbb{C}^{d(r-1)}$ to the symplectic form θ on \mathcal{U} . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.2. For any holomorphic line bundle L on X , the quot scheme $\mathcal{Q}(L^{\oplus r}, d)$ is canonically identified with $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus r}, d)$. Therefore, from Theorem 5.1 we conclude that a projective structure on X produces a deformation quantization of a nonempty Zariski open subset of $\mathcal{Q}(L^{\oplus r}, d)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is partially supported by the J. C. Bose Fellowship JBR/2023/000003.

REFERENCES

- [BFFLS] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures, *Ann. Physics* **111** (1978), 61–110.
- [BB] D. Ben-Zvi and I. Biswas, A Quantization on Riemann surfaces with projective structure. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **54** (2000), 73–82.
- [Bi] E. Bifet, Sur les points fixes du schéma $\mathrm{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X^r/X/k}$ sous l’action du tore $\mathbf{G}_{m,k}^r$, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **309** (1989), 609–612.
- [BGL] E. Bifet, F. Ghione and M. Letizia, On the Abel-Jacobi map for divisors of higher rank on a curve, *Math. Ann.* **299** (1994), 641–672.
- [De] P. Deligne, *Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **163**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
- [DL] M. De Wilde and P. B. A. Lecomte, Existence of star-products and of formal deformations of the Poisson Lie algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **7** (1983), 487–496.
- [Fe] B. V. Fedosov, A simple geometrical construction of deformation quantization, *J. Differential Geom.* **40** (1994), 213–238.
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck, *Techniques de construction et théorèmes d’existence en géométrie algébrique. IV. Les schémas de Hilbert*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 6, Exp. No. 221, 249–276, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1995.
- [Gu] R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, Mathematical Notes 2, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1966.
- [We] A. Weinstein, Deformation quantization, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1993/94 *Astérisque* (1995), no.227, Exp. No. 789, 5, 389–409.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHIV NADAR UNIVERSITY, NH91, TEHSIL DADRI, GREATER NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH 201314, INDIA

Email address: `indranil.biswas@snu.edu.in`, `indranil29@gmail.com`