

# Asymptotic behaviour of determinants through the expansion of the Moyal star product

Maurizio Fagotti<sup>1\*†</sup> and Vanja Marić<sup>2†</sup>

<sup>1\*</sup>Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, LPTMS, Orsay, 91405, France.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [maurizio.fagotti@universite-paris-saclay.fr](mailto:maurizio.fagotti@universite-paris-saclay.fr);

Contributing authors: [vanja.marić@universite-paris-saclay.fr](mailto:vanja.marić@universite-paris-saclay.fr);

<sup>†</sup>The authors contributed equally to this work.

## Abstract

We work out a generalization of the Szegő limit theorems on the determinant of large matrices. We focus on matrices with nonzero leading principal minors and elements that decay to zero exponentially fast with the distance from the main diagonal, but we relax the constraint of the Toeplitz structure. We obtain an expression for the asymptotic behaviour of the determinant written in terms of the factors of a left and right Wiener-Hopf type factorization of an appropriately defined symbol. For matrices with elements varying slowly along the diagonals (e.g., in locally Toeplitz sequences), we propose to apply the analogue of the semiclassical expansion of the Moyal star product in phase-space quantum mechanics. This is a systematic method that provides approximations up to any order in the typical scale of the inhomogeneity and allows us to obtain explicit asymptotic formulas.

**Keywords:** determinant, Toeplitz, Moyal star product, Wiener-Hopf factorization

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Notations	3
1.2	Overview of the Szegő limit theorems	3
1.3	Statement of the problem and organization of the article	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Main results</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Readaptation of standard results	5
2.2	Asymptotic expansion	9
2.3	On block matrices	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Limit behavior of determinants</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	A Borodin-Okounkov-Case-Geronimo type formula—proof of proposition 2.1	13
3.2	Szegő type limit theorems—proof of theorem 2.2	16
<b>4</b>	<b>Matrices with slowly varying elements along the diagonals</b>	<b>19</b>
4.1	Truncated Moyal product	19
4.2	Truncated Wiener-Hopf star factorisation	21
4.3	Truncated star functions	23

4.4 Asymptotic expansion—proof of theorem 2.3 . . . . .	25
<b>5 Discussion</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>A Basic results</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>B Additional details</b>	<b>30</b>

## 1 Introduction

Determinants of Toeplitz matrices are ubiquitous in physical problems with translational symmetry: every time that a quantity can be associated with a matrix whose indices are identified with the position, translational invariance manifests itself in the matrix being (block-)Toeplitz. On the other hand, when translational symmetry is broken, the Toeplitz structure breaks down and only few cases can be addressed as powerfully and effectively (for example, when the matrices exhibit particular Toeplitz+Hankel structures [1–6]). More generally, moving from translationally invariant—homogeneous—settings to inhomogeneous ones is like moving from Toeplitz to generic matrices.

A very common physical situation is when the typical scale of the inhomogeneity is controlled by a parameter, in the sense that the inhomogeneity becomes milder and milder as the parameter is increased. Such settings are often described by local density approximations or hydrodynamic theories, which generally become effective in the limit of low inhomogeneity. There are however systems in which (generalised) hydrodynamics turns out to be an exact alternative description: we mention, for example, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  chain systems that are dual to free fermions [7]. The absence of interactions manifests itself in the applicability of the Wick’s theorem, which allows one to fully characterize the system by means of a single matrix filled with the two-point fermionic correlations. In the presence of translational symmetry, the correlation matrix is a (block-)Laurent operator, and many quantities of interest reduce to the calculation of determinants of (block-)Toeplitz matrices; estimating the same quantities in the presence of inhomogeneity, on the other hand, presents itself as a much more difficult problem. Here is where generalised hydrodynamics takes the lead. Such a theory provides an alternative exact description, which defines a phase-space formulation of quantum mechanics where matrices are replaced by functions [8]. The latter are nothing but the symbols of the matrices and live in a space where multiplication is represented by the so-called Moyal star product [9]. Notwithstanding the phase-space formulation being completely equivalent to the standard description in terms of the correlation matrix, the former is superior when the symbol varies over large scales, as it allows for asymptotic expansions.

This was the motivation underlying our interest in the behaviour of determinants of matrices when the Toeplitz structure breaks down. In this respect, we point out Tilli’s work [10] on locally Toeplitz sequences. He considered indeed matrices that resemble Toeplitz ones when inspecting a neighbourhood of elements, which is analogous to the low inhomogeneity limit mentioned above. Imposing a particular structure (later relaxed to some extent by Serra-Capizzano and collaborators [11, 12]), Tilli obtained a result that can be read as the analogue of the weak Szegő limit theorem. In this respect, we also mention Refs [13, 14], which focussed on the generalisation of the Szegő limit theorems to operators with almost periodic diagonals.

In this paper, the analogy with the theory of Toeplitz operators will be used to develop a systematic method to approximate the determinant of a class of matrices that arise in the study of physical systems with inhomogeneities. We start by deriving a Borodin-Okounkov-Case-Geronimo type formula as well as Szegő limit type theorems for a class of matrices with elements that decay to zero exponentially fast with the distance from the main diagonal. We then specialise the generalization to matrices with local smoothness properties along the diagonals and exhibit asymptotic expressions for their determinants. Finally, we comment on the natural generalizations to matrices with an emergent block structure.

## 1.1 Notations

Even if the notations will be explained the first time they are used, the main ones are collected here for easy reference.

- $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the set of all integers;  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers, and  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ .
- $\mathbb{T}$  denotes the unit circle  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ .
- $L^p$  and  $l^p$  are the function and sequence spaces respectively.
- $(a)_k$  ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) are the Fourier coefficients of a function  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ .
- $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathbb{C}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  denote the quotient spaces of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively, by  $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  in which  $x \sim x + 2\pi$  (we will use this notation to define  $2\pi$ -periodic functions).
- $g^+ : (x, p) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ij(p-q)} g(x, q) dq$ ,
- $g^- : (x, p) \mapsto \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ij(p-q)} g(x, q) dq$ ,
- $\tilde{g} = g^+ - g^-$ .
- $\star$  denotes the Moyal (Weyl-Groenewold) star product.
- $a^{-1\star}$  is the star inverse of  $a$ .
- $\log_{\star} a$  is the star logarithm of  $a$ .
- $(a^{\sim})_x(z) = a_{n+1-x}(z^{-1})$ ,
- $a^{\sim} = a^{\tilde{\sim}}$ .
- $\mathbb{T}^t : a_x(z) \mapsto a_{x+t}(z)$ .
- $[A, B]$  denotes the commutator  $AB - BA$ .
- $\{a, b\}_M$  denotes the Moyal brackets  $-i(a \star b - b \star a)$ .
- $\{a, b\}$  denotes the Poisson brackets  $\partial_1 a \partial_2 b - \partial_2 a \partial_1 b$ , where  $a : (x, p) \mapsto a(x, p)$  and  $b : (x, p) \mapsto b(x, p)$ .

## 1.2 Overview of the Szegő limit theorems

Here we review some results on Toeplitz determinants directly connected with this work. More details can be found, e.g., in textbook [15].

Let  $a$  be a function in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . A Laurent operator  $L(a)$  is a bounded operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and is represented by the doubly infinite matrix with elements  $[L(a)]_{ij} = (a)_{i-j}$ , where  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Such matrices provide the matrix representation of multiplication operators on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{\frac{e^{inp}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and, in particular,  $L(a)L(b) = L(ab)$  for any  $a, b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . The function  $a(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (a)_j z^j$ , with  $z \in \mathbb{T}$ , is known as the symbol of  $L(a)$ .

Closely related to Laurent operators are Toeplitz operators. The Toeplitz operator  $T(a)$  induced by the symbol  $a$  is the bounded operator on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  represented by the infinite matrix with elements  $[T(a)]_{ij} = (a)_{i-j}$ , where  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Such matrices provide the matrix representation of multiplication operators on the Hardy spaces in which all the negative or all the positive Fourier coefficients vanish.

Toeplitz operators play a crucial role in the calculation of determinants of large Toeplitz matrices  $T_n(a)$ , which are finite sections of Toeplitz operators:

$$T_n(a) = \begin{pmatrix} (a)_0 & (a)_{-1} & (a)_{-2} & \dots & (a)_{1-n} \\ (a)_1 & (a)_0 & (a)_{-1} & \dots & (a)_{2-n} \\ (a)_2 & (a)_1 & (a)_0 & \dots & (a)_{3-n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (a)_{n-1} & (a)_{n-2} & (a)_{n-3} & \dots & (a)_0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.1)$$

One of the basic results on the large  $n$  behaviours of such determinants is referred to as the strong Szegő limit theorem:

**Theorem 1.1** (Strong Szegő Limit Theorem). *Let  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  satisfy*

- $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(a)_n| < \infty$
- $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (|n| + 1) (a)_n^2 < \infty$
- $a(z) \neq 0$  for every  $z \in \mathbb{T}$
- $a$  has zero winding number with respect to the origin.

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det T_n(a)}{\exp(n(\log a)_0)} = e^{E(a)}, \quad E(a) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(\log a)_k (\log a)_{-k}. \quad (1.2)$$

The theorem was proven initially by Szegő [16] under stronger conditions on  $a$  and was subsequently generalized by many authors (see e.g. Refs [17, 18] for details and a historical account). A consequence of the theorem is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det T_n(a)}{\det T_{n-1}(a)} = \exp((\log a)_0), \quad (1.3)$$

which is referred to as the weak Szegő limit theorem and historically predates the strong version.

These results have been generalised in different directions. Particularly important in physics are the following two generalisations. First, Fisher and Hartwig [19, 20] derived some formulas covering the case of a non-zero winding number and conjectured a formula dealing with singular and vanishing symbols, which has been proven afterwards (see e.g. Ref. [21] for a review). Second, some of the results were generalised to block-Toeplitz matrices [22–24]. Historically, the development on Toeplitz determinants had a direct connection with the investigations into the two-dimensional classical Ising model [18]. More recently, they have been applied in the calculation of two-point correlation functions as well as Rényi/von Neumann entanglement entropies of subsystems in translationally invariant quantum many-body systems both in and out of equilibrium. Just to provide a list of recent works, largely incomplete and manifestly biased but at least varied for applications and theorems involved, we refer the reader to Refs [25–42]; we cannot however fail to mention also applications in random matrix theory [43].

Nowadays, on the other hand, much attention is being paid to the behaviour of systems prepared in traps or in inhomogeneous states [44]. In those situations the quantities that, in homogeneous settings, could be described in terms of determinants of (block-)Toeplitz matrices are now related to determinants of matrices that could still exhibit some nice smoothness properties but that are far from being (block-)Toeplitz. Can one predict their asymptotic behaviour notwithstanding the breakdown of translational symmetry? We present a series of results that go in the desired direction.

### 1.3 Statement of the problem and organization of the article

We are interested in the large  $n$  behavior of determinants of  $n \times n$  matrices  $T_n$ , with elements

$$(T_n)_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_{\frac{j+k}{2}}(e^{ip}) e^{-ip(j-k)} dp, \quad j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $a_x$ , with  $x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ , is an integrable function that determines the elements on the antidiagonal associated with  $x$  as  $a$  does in the Toeplitz matrix (1.1). Such a parametrisation does not constrain the matrix elements for given  $n$  but still provides a natural generalisation of Toeplitz matrices; we keep the analogy alive by referring to  $T_n$  as the “star-Toeplitz” matrix associated with  $a$  (we will be more precise in a moment).

We can separate our results in two categories. First, we accommodate some standard theorems on Toeplitz and Hankel operators to the less structured operators we are interested in. Our underlying goal is to emphasize the analogy with the theory of Toeplitz and Hankel operators, and indeed we will not do more than adapting standard proofs to our situation. Proposition 2.1, its (weak Szegő limit type) corollary, and theorem 2.2 will be the most general results obtained, but such a generality is arguably accompanied by impracticality: the problem of computing the determinant is simply moved to the problem of working out two factorisations. The second category of results deals with this latter problem. We restrict ourselves to matrices whose elements are slowly varying along the diagonals. This allows us to work out the factorisations and obtain the results stated in theorem 2.2, which we also use to infer the asymptotics of determinants in locally Toeplitz sequences.

In this respect, Section 2 collects the main determinant formulas and its first two subsections follow the aforementioned division in two categories. Some definitions are required to read the statement of the theorems. We report first those that are needed in both of the subsections. The

remaining, more specific, definitions will be provided when necessary. We point out that, even if the first subsection of Section 2 provides an overview of the framework, it is not preparatory to the second subsection, thus we encourage the reader most interested in application-oriented results to read Section 2.2 first. Finally, Section 2.3 sketches the minor changes needed to generalise the results to matrices with an emergent block structure. The reader can find the lemmas and proofs underlying the results reported in Section 2.1 and Section 2.2 in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

## 2 Main results

Just as Laurent matrices represent Laurent operators through the Fourier coefficients of their symbol, so we can use the same parametrisation as in (1.4) to construct the following doubly-infinite matrix.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $a_x$ , for  $x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ , be functions in  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$  and denote by  $a$  the function  $a : \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(x, z) \mapsto a_x(z)$ . By  $L(a)$  we denote the operator represented by the doubly infinite matrix

$$L_{j,k}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_{\frac{j+k}{2}}(e^{ip}) e^{-ip(j-k)} dp, \quad j, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

**Definition 2.2.** For  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ , we denote by  $V_\rho$  the set of all  $a$  with the following properties:

1. For every  $x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a_x(z)$  has an analytic extension to the annulus  $\rho < |z| < \rho^{-1}$ .
2.  $a_x(z)$  is uniformly bounded in  $x$  for  $\rho < |z| < \rho^{-1}$ .

When we do not need to be specific, we say that a symbol is in  $V \equiv \cup_{\rho \in (0,1)} V_\rho$ .

*Remark 2.1.* These properties provide a sufficient condition for the boundedness of the operator represented by (2.1). Namely, if  $a \in V$  then  $L(a)$  is a bounded linear operator on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (lemma A.3 in Appendix A).

**Definition 2.3** (Symbol). The symbol of  $L(a)$  is the equivalence class of all functions generating the same  $L(a)$

$$a \sim b \Leftrightarrow \{(a_{\frac{n}{2}})_{2m - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}} = (b_{\frac{n}{2}})_{2m - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}}, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \quad (2.2)$$

In the following we will usually represent the symbol with a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , and we will write  $a = [f]$  as a way to say

$$(a_{\frac{n}{2}})_{2m - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f\left(\frac{n}{2}, p\right) e^{-i(2m - \frac{1-(-1)^n}{2})p} dp \quad \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.3)$$

*Remark 2.2.* If  $a = [f]$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is independent of its first argument,  $L(a)$  is a Laurent operator and  $T(a)$  is a Toeplitz operator.

### 2.1 Readaptation of standard results

Similarly to the relation between Laurent matrices and operators on  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ , (2.1) provides the matrix representation of the following star product:

**Definition 2.4** (Moyal-Weyl-Groenewold product). The Moyal star product  $a \star b$  of two symbols  $a, b$  is defined by the double series

$$(a \star b)_x(e^{ip}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} a_{x+\frac{m}{2}}(e^{iq_1}) b_{x-\frac{n}{2}}(e^{iq_2}) e^{-i(nq_1+mq_2)} d^2q. \quad (2.4)$$

*Remark 2.3.* If  $a, b \in V$  then the double series converges absolutely and the Moyal product is well defined. In particular, the following properties hold (Appendix A)

1.  $a \star b \in V$ .
2.  $L(a \star b) = L(a)L(b)$ .
3.  $(a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c)$ .

**Definition 2.5** (Star inverse). A symbol  $a$  is star invertible if there is  $a^{-1\star}$  such that

$$a \star a^{-1\star} = a^{-1\star} \star a = 1.$$

We then say that  $a^{-1\star}$  is the star inverse of  $a$ .

**Definition 2.6** (Star function). Let  $f(z)$  be analytic inside a closed simple curve  $C$  of the complex plane strictly surrounding the spectrum of  $L(a)$ ; the star function  $f_\star(a)$  reads

$$f_\star(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(\zeta)(\zeta - a)^{-1\star} d\zeta. \quad (2.5)$$

*Remark 2.4.* This definition is consistent with the definition of operator valued functions in the holomorphic functional calculus

$$f(L(a)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(\zeta)(\zeta - L(a))^{-1} d\zeta,$$

in the sense that  $f(L(a)) = L(f_\star(a))$ .

*Remark 2.5.* If the curve  $C$  in definition 2.6 can be chosen to be a circle centered at 0, the star-function can also be defined through its series expansion around 0

$$f_\star(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} a^{n\star}$$

where  $a^{n\star}$  can be defined resursively as  $a^{n\star} = a \star a^{(n-1)\star}$ ,  $a^{0\star} = 1$ .

**Definition 2.7** (Star operators). We call star-Toeplitz the operator represented by the semi-infinite matrix  $T(a)$  with elements

$$T_{j,k}(a) = L_{j,k}[a], \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

We call star-Hankel the operator represented by the semi-infinite matrix  $H(a)$  with elements

$$H_{j,k}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_{\frac{j-k+1}{2}}(e^{ip}) e^{-ip(j+k-1)} dp, \quad j, k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.7)$$

By extension, we will refer to  $L(a)$  as the star-Laurent operator induced by  $a$ .

**Definition 2.8** (Star-Toeplitz matrix). The  $n$ -by- $n$  star-Toeplitz matrix  $T_n(a)$  is the finite section (1.4) of the star-Toeplitz operator  $T(a)$ .

**Definition 2.9** (Reflection). We define the reflected symbol  $a^{\tilde{\cdot}}$  as follows

$$(a^{\tilde{\cdot}})_x(z) = a_{n+1-x}(z^{-1}). \quad (2.8)$$

and, for the sake of compactness, we omit the number when  $n = 0$ :  $a^{\tilde{\cdot}} \equiv a^{\tilde{0}}$ .

**Definition 2.10** ( $\pm$  symbols). We say that a symbol  $a$  is a  $+$  symbol if  $m < 0 \Rightarrow (a_{\frac{n}{2}})_{2m+\frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Similarly, we say that  $a$  is a  $-$  symbol if  $m > 0 \Rightarrow (a_{\frac{n}{2}})_{2m-\frac{1-(-1)^n}{2}} = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Equivalently,  $a$  is a  $+$  or  $-$  symbol if  $L(a)$  is lower or upper triangular, respectively. We will typically add a subscript  $+$  (or  $-$ ) to a symbol if it is a  $+$  (or  $-$ ) symbol.

**Definition 2.11** ( $\pm$  functions). If  $g : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  is an integrable function of the second argument at any fixed value of the first argument, we define the  $\pm$  functions  $g^\pm : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} g^+(x, p) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x, q) e^{in(p-q)} dq \\ g^-(x, p) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x, q) e^{in(p-q)} dq. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

We also use  $\tilde{g}$  to indicate  $g^+ - g^-$ .

*Remark 2.6.* A  $+$  symbol can be represented by a  $+$  function, but it's not always possible to represent a  $-$  symbol by a  $-$  function (the diagonal of the star Laurent matrix induced by a  $-$  symbol is generally nonzero).

Finite matrices in which all the principal leading submatrices are invertible exhibit the so-called LU decomposition, where L/U are lower/upper triangular matrices. This notion is lifted to the operator level by introducing the following Wiener-Hopf factorization.

**Definition 2.12** (Wiener-Hopf star factorization with zero winding number). We say that a symbol  $a \in V$  has zero star winding number if it can be decomposed as follows

$$a = a_+^L \star a_-^L = a_-^R \star a_+^R,$$

where  $a_\pm^{L/R} \in V$  are  $\pm$  symbols for which  $\log_\star a_\pm^{L/R}$  exists and belongs to  $V$ . We call this decomposition Wiener-Hopf star factorization.

*Remark 2.7.* Any finite section  $T_n(a)$  of  $L(a)$  is independent of  $a_x$  with  $x < 1$ , therefore the symbol  $a$  can be redefined in such a way that  $a^\sim = a$  without affecting the value of  $\det T_n(a)$ . The left factorisation is then readily obtained from the right factorisation (and vice versa) in that  $a_\pm^L = (a_\mp^R)^\sim$ . In particular, the symmetry ensures the conditional existence of one factorisation given the existence of the other.

*Remark 2.8.* The Wiener-Hopf star factorization is not unique. It becomes unique (in the quotient space of symbols) if we adopt e.g. the convention  $((a_-^{R/L})_x)_0 = 1$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 2.9.* When  $a = [f]$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  can be chosen to be independent of its first argument (i.e., when the star Laurent matrix is a Laurent matrix), this definition reduces to the requirement that the symbol has zero winding number. The Wiener-Hopf star factorization is then the standard Wiener-Hopf factorization, an example of which is provided by  $a_\pm^{L/R} = [e^{(\log f)^\pm}]$ .

**Definition 2.13.** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we use the compact notation  $z^n$  for the symbol represented by the function that maps  $(x, z) \mapsto z^n$ .

The following Borodin-Okounkov-Case-Geronimo type formula holds:

**Proposition 2.1.** For a symbol  $a \in V$  with zero star winding number the operator  $T(a_-^L \star (a_+^R)^{-1\star})T((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_+^L)$  is invertible and the determinant of the star-Toeplitz matrix  $T_n(a)$  satisfies the identity

$$\det T_n(a) = \frac{\det(I - H(z^{-n} \star a_-^L \star (a_+^R)^{-1\star})H(((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_+^L)^\sim \star z^{-n}))}{\det(T(a_-^L \star (a_+^R)^{-1\star})T((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_+^L))} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log((a_{+,j}^L)_0 (a_{-,j}^L)_0)\right). \quad (2.10)$$

*Remark 2.10.* A similar expression is known for block-Toeplitz matrices, which suffer from the same lack of commutativity of the factors of the Wiener-Hopf factorisation—see, e.g., Ref. [45].

*Remark 2.11.* If  $a \in V_\rho$ , the numerator in the formula satisfies the bound

$$\det(I - H(z^{-n} \star a_-^L \star (a_+^R)^{-1\star}) H(((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_+^L)^\sim \star z^{-n})) = 1 + O(\rho_1^{2n})$$

for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$ .

A weak Szegő limit type theorem readily follows:

**Corollary 2.1.** *From (2.10) it follows*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det T_n(a)}{\det T_{n-1}(a)(a_{+,n}^L)_0(a_{-,n}^L)_0} = 1. \quad (2.11)$$

*Remark 2.12.* There is an intuitive reason why this asymptotic behaviour is expressed only in terms of the factors of the left factorization: the formula compares the determinant of a star-Toeplitz matrix with the one of the submatrix obtained by removing the last row and column. A different result is obtained by comparing it with the submatrix in which the first row and column are removed. Specifically, since  $\det T_n(a^\sim) = \det T_n(a)$  and  $\det T_{n-1}(a^\sim) = \det T_{n-1}(T^1 a)$ , where  $T$  is the shift operator  $T^t : a_x(z) \mapsto a_{x+t}(z)$ , corollary 2.1 can be alternatively expressed as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det T_n(a)}{\det T_{n-1}(T^1 a)(a_{-,n}^R)_0(a_{+,n}^R)_0} = 1. \quad (2.12)$$

The denominator in (2.10) captures the leading correction to (2.11) and is the main character of the star generalization of the strong Szegő limit theorem. In the theory of Toeplitz and Hankel operators, such contribution is usually reduced to the calculation of the trace of a commutator of Toeplitz operators. In the generalisation that we are considering, a similar approach would involve additional assumptions to ensure that some operators are trace class<sup>1</sup>. Star-Laurent matrices, however, live in a larger space than Laurent ones, and this allows us to ease some of the complications underlying operator algebra. This is where we depart somewhat from the standard Toeplitz formalism.

For stating the result, we need two additional definitions.

**Definition 2.14.** Given two symbols  $c, d \in V$ ,  $\Phi_{BCH}(c, d)$  stands for

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{BCH}(c, d) = & \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 e^{-is\mathcal{M}(c)} \psi_1(e^{i\mathcal{M}(c)} e^{it\mathcal{M}(d)}) ds dt \right) d \\ & - \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^t e^{is\mathcal{M}(c)} e^{i\mathcal{M}(d)} \psi_1(e^{-i\mathcal{M}(d)} e^{-it\mathcal{M}(c)}) ds dt \right) c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\psi_1(x) = 2x \frac{x \log x + 1 - x}{(x-1)^2}$  and  $\mathcal{M}(c)d = \{c, d\}_M$ .

*Remark 2.13.* If  $b_\pm \in V$  are  $\pm$  symbols and  $a = \exp_\star(b_-) \star \exp_\star(b_+)$ , the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for  $\log_\star a$  gives

$$\log_\star a - b_- - b_+ = \frac{i}{4} \{b_-, \Phi_{BCH}(b_-, b_+)\}_M - \frac{i}{4} \{\Phi_{BCH}(-b_+, -b_-), b_+\}_M. \quad (2.14)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>We have followed that route in a preliminary version of this work in which we used the generalisation of the Helton-Howe-Pincus formula [46] worked out by Ehrhardt in Ref. [47]



*Remark 2.14.* The first terms of the expansion of  $\nu^{-1}\Phi_{BCH}(\nu c, \nu d)$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  read

$$\nu^{-1}\Phi_{BCH}(\nu c, \nu d) = d + \frac{1}{3}i\nu\{c, d\}_M + \frac{1}{12}\nu^2\{\{c, d\}_M, d\}_M + \dots \quad (2.15)$$

**Definition 2.15.** We denote by  $V^2$  the subset of  $V \times V$  for which, if  $c, d \in V^2$  then the power series in  $\nu$  of  $\nu^{-1}\Phi_{BCH}(\nu c, \nu d)$  is absolutely convergent to a symbol in  $V$  (with respect to the metric induced by the norm  $\|L(\cdot)\|$ ) in an open interval including  $\nu = 1$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $a \in V$  be a symbol with zero star winding number and  $b_{\pm}^{L/R} = \log_{\star} a_{\pm}^{L/R}$  be such that  $(b_{+}^L, b_{-}^L), (b_{-}^R, b_{+}^R) \in V^2$ . The following formula holds

$$\log \det T_n(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n ((\log_{\star} a)_j)_0 + \frac{E_{\frac{1}{2}}^R + E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^L}{2} + O(\rho^n) \quad (2.16)$$

for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ , where

$$E_x^{R/L} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (b_{+,x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L})_m (d_{(-),x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L})_{-m} + (d_{(+),x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L})_m (b_{-,x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L})_{-m} \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{(-)}^L &= \Phi_{BCH}(b_{+}^L, b_{-}^L) & d_{(+)}^R &= \Phi_{BCH}(b_{-}^R, b_{+}^R) \\ d_{(+)}^L &= -\Phi_{BCH}(-b_{-}^L, -b_{+}^L) & d_{(-)}^R &= -\Phi_{BCH}(-b_{+}^R, -b_{-}^R). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

*Remark 2.15.* For a symbol inducing a Laurent operator the formula is reduced to (1.2) and, in particular,  $E_x^R = E_x^L = E(a)$ .

*Remark 2.16.* Since  $b_{\pm}^{R/L}, d_{\pm}^{R/L} \in V$ , the dependence of  $E_x$  on  $a_y(z)$  is exponentially suppressed with  $|y - x|$ , making it clear that  $E_{\frac{1}{2}}^R$  and  $E_{n+\frac{1}{2}}^L$  are determined by the matrix elements close to the upper-left and bottom-right corners of the matrix.

## 2.2 Asymptotic expansion

Similarly to the standard block-Toeplitz case, the generality of theorem 2.2 is paid by the difficulty in computing the Wiener-Hopf star factorizations. This problem is actually manageable when the symbol  $a = [f]$  can be represented by a function  $(x, p) \mapsto f(x, p)$  whose typical scale of variation with respect to  $x$  becomes large. Equation (2.16) shows that, ignoring exponentially small corrections  $O(\rho^n)$ ,  $\log \det T_n(a)$  is determined by two contributions: corner terms, which we denote by  $C_{ul}$  and  $C_{br}$ , do not change when the matrix is perturbed in some region far from the upper-left or bottom-right corner of the matrix, respectively; the remaining contributions are bulk terms, which we denote by  $D$

$$\log \det T_n(a) = D + \frac{C_{ul} + C_{br}}{2} + O(\rho^n). \quad (2.19)$$

Our ultimate goal is to express  $C_{up}$ ,  $C_{br}$  and  $D$  as functionals of  $g = \log f$  and its derivatives as follows

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ul} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{-}\left(g\left(\frac{1}{2}, p\right), \partial g\left(\frac{1}{2}, p\right), \dots\right) dp & C_{br} &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{+}\left(g\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, p\right), \partial g\left(n + \frac{1}{2}, p\right), \dots\right) dp \\ D[g] &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{D}\left(g(x, p), \partial g(x, p), \dots\right) dp dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

*Remark 2.17.* In the definition of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\pm$  there are redundant (gauge) degrees of freedom. On the one hand, they are defined up to derivatives with respect to  $p$ ; on the other hand, they are invariant under the following gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(g, \partial g, \dots) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}(g, \partial g, \dots) + \partial_x \mathcal{G}(g, \partial g, \dots) \\ \mathcal{C}_\pm(g, \partial g, \dots) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\pm(g, \partial g, \dots) \pm \mathcal{G}(g, \partial g, \dots)\end{aligned}$$

for any function  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $g$  and derivatives. In the following we fix the gauge by expressing  $\mathcal{D}[g]$  as follows:

$$D[g] = \int_{-\infty}^0 \delta_g D[e^s g](g) ds, \quad (2.21)$$

where  $\delta_g D[e^s g] : \phi \mapsto \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{D[e^s(g + \epsilon \phi_m)] - D[e^s g]}{\epsilon}$  for any sequence  $\{\phi_m\}_{\mathbb{N}}$  of functions  $\phi_m : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  of class  $C^\infty$  approaching  $\phi$  almost everywhere in  $(\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2})$  but vanishing at both  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  together with all their derivatives—see Lemma 4.5.

We approach this programme within the framework of a perturbation theory developed under the assumption that the matrix elements vary over long scales,  $\nu^{-1}$ , along the diagonals. For the sake of simplicity, we focus on representations of the symbol that are infinitely differentiable in  $(\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  and express the result in the form of asymptotic series

$$\mathcal{D} \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{(j)} \quad \mathcal{C}_\pm \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_\pm^{(j)} \quad (2.22)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\pm^{(j)}$  represent  $O(\nu^j)$  contributions. Importantly, in this expansion  $n$  plays the role of an independent parameter, so the asymptotics of the determinant still depends on the behaviour of the function representing the symbol in  $(\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

We refer the reader to Section 4 for some conditions that are sufficient for approximating such series with finite sums even when  $g$  is only finitely differentiable. Here we report some general properties of the expansion as well as the formulas for the first terms.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $a = [f_\nu]$  for  $\nu > 0$ , where  $f_\nu$  is defined by  $f_\nu(x, p) = e^{g(x\nu, p)}$  and  $g$  is infinitely differentiable in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ . Then the following properties can be satisfied*

1.  $\mathcal{D}^{(2j-1)}(g, \partial g, \dots) = 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}$
2.  $\mathcal{D}^{(2j)}(g, \partial g, \dots)$  is a multivariate polynomial of total degree  $3j$  with respect to  $\{\partial_1^{m_1} \partial_2^{m_2} g\}_{m_1, m_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2j\}}$  and consists of monomials with factors including always a single  $g$  (i.e., a single factor with  $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ ), in which the derivative with respect to either of the arguments appears exactly  $2j$  times.
3.  $\mathcal{C}_\pm^{(j)}(g, \partial g, \dots)$  are multivariate polynomials with respect to  $\{\partial_1^{m_1} \partial_2^{m_2} g, \partial_1^{m_1} \partial_2^{m_2} \tilde{g}\}_{\substack{m_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, j\} \\ m_2 \in \{0, 1, \dots, j+1\}}}$  (where  $\tilde{g} = g^+ - g^-$ ) consisting of monomials in which the derivative with respect to the first argument appears exactly  $j$  times.

In the gauge (2.21), the first bulk contributions read

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{(0)}(g, \partial g, \dots) &= g \\ \mathcal{D}^{(2)}(g, \partial g, \dots) &= -\frac{1}{12} g \det \mathbf{H}_g\end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where  $\mathbf{H}_g$  is the Hessian  $(\mathbf{H}_g)_{ij} = \partial_{i,j}g$ , with  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ . The first corner contributions in the same gauge can be defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\pm}^{(0)}(g, \partial g, \dots) &= -\frac{1}{4}gi\partial_2\tilde{g} \\ \mathcal{C}_{\pm}^{(1)}(g, \partial g, \dots) &= \pm \frac{1}{8} \left( \partial_1\tilde{g}\partial_2g\partial_2\tilde{g} + \partial_1g \left( -\frac{1}{3} + \partial_{2,2}g + \frac{2}{3}g\partial_{2,2}g + \frac{(\partial_2g)^2 - (\partial_2\tilde{g})^2}{2} \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

*Remark 2.18.* If the first  $2k$  derivatives of  $g$  are bounded in  $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ , then the error in  $\log \det T_n(a)$  after truncating the asymptotic series at order  $2k - 2$  is  $O(n\nu^{2k} + \nu^{2k-1})$  as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

*Example 2.1.* Let the symbol be  $a = [f_\nu]$ , with  $f_\nu : (x, p) \mapsto e^{h(x\nu) - J(x\nu)\cos p}$ , for some bounded functions  $h, J : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of class  $C^4$ . We then have

$$g(x, p) = h(x\nu) - J(x\nu)\cos p, \quad \tilde{g}(x, p) = h(x\nu) - iJ(x\nu)\sin p,$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{D}(g(x, p), \partial g(x, p), \dots) dp &= h + \frac{\nu^2}{24} (h[JJ'' + (J')^2] + J^2h'') \Big|_{x\nu} + O(\nu^4) \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathcal{C}_{\pm}(g(x, p), \partial g(x, p), \dots) dp &= \frac{J^2}{8} \pm \frac{\nu}{48} (h'(J^2 - 2) - (3 + 2h)JJ') \Big|_{x\nu} + O(\nu^2). \end{aligned}$$

In Ref. [10], Tilli defined locally Toeplitz sequences with respect to a pair of functions  $(\bar{a}, \bar{f})$ , where  $\bar{a}$  has the intuitive meaning of a weight function whereas  $\bar{f}$  is a generating function (i.e., a symbol) in the usual Toeplitz sense. In our language, that corresponds to considering a symbol  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$  that explicitly depends on the size  $n$  of the matrix with the Ansatz  $f_n(x, p) = \bar{a}(\frac{x}{n})\bar{f}(p)$ . Tilli also considered finite sums of locally Toeplitz sequences, which required little modifications in his results and have subsequently become full part of the theory under the name of ‘‘generalised locally Toeplitz sequences’’ [11]. Within the framework of our analysis, it is natural to borrow Tilli’s terminology for sequences of star-Toeplitz matrices with symbols  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$  that can be represented by functions  $f_n(x, p) = f(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{n}, p)$ .

**Proposition 2.4** (Locally Toeplitz sequences). *Let us represent the symbol  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$  by a function  $f_n$  such that  $f_n(x, p) = \exp(g(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{n}, p))$  for some  $g : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{C}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  analytic in a strip surrounding the real line in the second argument and of class  $C^2(I)$  with respect to the first argument, where  $I$  is an open interval strictly surrounding  $(0, 1)$ . Then, the determinant of the star-Toeplitz matrix  $T_n(a^{(n)})$  satisfies*

$$\det T_n(a^{(n)}) = \exp\left(\frac{E(c_0) + E(c_1)}{2}\right) \exp\left[\frac{n}{2\pi} \int_0^1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t, p) dp dt\right] (1 + O(n^{-1})) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.25)$$

where  $c_0(e^{ip}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{g(t, p)}$ ,  $c_1(e^{ip}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} e^{g(t, p)}$ , and  $E(a)$  is defined in (1.2).

This scaling limit is only sensitive to  $\mathcal{D}^{(0)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}^{(0)}$ , but alternative sequences characterised by a different scaling with respect to the matrix size can depend also on  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$ , with  $j > 0$ . We distinguish the following sequences

**Definition 2.16.** We call ‘‘locally- $p$  Toeplitz sequence’’ with respect to a function  $f$ , the sequence  $\{T_n(a^{(n)})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of square matrices characterised by the symbol  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$ , with  $f_n(x, p) = f(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{n^p}, p)$ .

**Proposition 2.5** (Locally- $\frac{1}{2}$  Toeplitz sequences). *Let us represent the symbol  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$  by a function  $f_n$  such that  $f_n(x, p) = \exp(g(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}}, p))$  for some  $g$  analytic in a strip surrounding  $\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  with respect to the second argument and of class  $C^4$  with uniformly bounded derivatives in  $(0, +\infty)$  with respect to the first argument. The sequence of determinants of  $T_n(a^{(n)})$  has the following limit behaviour*

$$\det T_n(a^{(n)}) = \exp\left(\frac{E(c_0) + E(c_{\sqrt{n}})}{2}\right) \exp\left[-\frac{\int_0^{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t, p) \det \mathbf{H}_g(t, p) dp dt}{24\pi\sqrt{n}}\right] \\ \times \exp\left[\frac{\sqrt{n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{n}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(t, p) dp dt\right] (1 + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}})) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.26)$$

where  $c_0(e^{ip}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} e^{g(t, p)}$ ,  $c_{\sqrt{n}}(e^{ip}) = e^{g(\sqrt{n}, p)}$  and  $E(a)$  is defined in (1.2).

*Example 2.2.* Let us consider a locally- $\frac{1}{2}$  Toeplitz sequence characterised by a class of symbols of the form discussed in example 2.1. Specifically,  $a^{(n)} = [f_n]$ , with  $f_n(x, p) = \exp(g(\frac{x-\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{n}}, p))$  and we choose

$$g(t, p) = 1 + \cos(2\omega t) - \cos(\omega t) \cos p.$$

The asymptotic behaviour can be readily computed from (2.26)

$$\log \det T_n(a^{(n)}) = n + \frac{1}{2\omega} \sqrt{n} \sin(2\omega\sqrt{n}) + \frac{3 + \cos(2\omega\sqrt{n}) - \omega^2}{16} + O(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

### 2.3 On block matrices

In Section 2.2 we have reported some results aiming at simplifying the formal expressions obtained for the determinant of star-Toeplitz matrices when the matrix elements vary slowly along the diagonals. In some physical applications such a condition is not satisfied, but a weaker one is. Specifically, the matrix could be locally similar to a block Toeplitz matrix rather than to a Toeplitz one. In many respects, this generalisation requires little modification, in that the Moyal star product is already not commutative, and we have already dealt with most of the issues related to the lack of commutation. If the matrix has emergent  $\kappa \times \kappa$  blocks, the symbol  $a$  can be defined as a  $\kappa \times \kappa$  matrix in such a way that the star-Laurent operator is represented by the doubly-infinite matrix

$$L_{\kappa\ell-1+j, \kappa n-1+k}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [a_{\frac{\ell+n}{2}}(e^{ip})]_{jk} e^{-ip(\ell-n)} dp, \quad \ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, \kappa. \quad (2.27)$$

Such a redefinition provides an alternative representation of the star product, in particular,  $L(a \star b) = L(a)L(b)$ . We can then retrace the proofs reported in Section 3 bearing in mind that the symbols are matrices. For example, corollary 2.1 is almost unchanged, as we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det T_n(a)}{\det T_{n-1}(a) \det [(a_{+,n}^L)_0 (a_{-,n}^L)_0]} = 1. \quad (2.28)$$

Even the stronger theorem 2.2 can be readily adapted to the block case by adding an overall trace to the right hand side of (2.16), which is now a  $\kappa$ -by- $\kappa$  matrix. Incidentally, this also implies

**Proposition 2.6** (Determinant of block-Toeplitz matrices). *Let  $T_n(a)$  be the Toeplitz matrix induced by a smooth symbol,  $a$ , with zero winding number, then*

$$\log \det T_n(a) = n \text{tr}[(\log a)_0] - \frac{i}{4} \text{tr} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \beta_R(p) \gamma'_R(p) + \beta'_L(p) \gamma_L(p) dp \right] + O(\rho^n) \quad (2.29)$$

for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_L &: p \mapsto (\log a_+^L + \log a_-^L)(e^{ip}) \\
\beta_R &: p \mapsto (\log a_+^R + \log a_-^R)(e^{ip}) \\
\gamma_L &: p \mapsto [(\Phi_{BCH}(\log a_+^L, \log a_-^L))^- + (\Phi_{BCH}(-\log a_-^L, -\log a_+^L))^+](e^{ip}) \\
\gamma_R &: p \mapsto [(\Phi_{BCH}(\log a_-^R, \log a_+^R))^+ + (\Phi_{BCH}(-\log a_+^R, -\log a_-^R))^-](e^{ip}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

and  $\Phi_{BCH}$  is defined in (2.14) with  $\mathcal{M}$  the adjoint mapping  $\mathcal{M}(c)d = -i[c, d]$ .

*Example 2.3.* Let us consider a block Toeplitz matrix  $T_n(a)$  with a smooth 2-by-2 symbol,  $a$ , close to the identity. Let us parametrize the left Wiener-Hopf factorisation as  $\log(a_{\pm}^L) : e^{ip} \mapsto \lambda^{\pm}(p) + \mathbf{n}^{\pm}(p) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  denotes the vector of Pauli matrices. Prediction (2.29) then gives

$$\log \det T_n(a) = \frac{n}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda(p) dp - \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \lambda \tilde{\lambda}' + \mathbf{n} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{n}}' + i\mathbf{n} \cdot (\tilde{\mathbf{n}}' \times \tilde{\mathbf{n}}) \right) (p) dp + O(\|a - \mathbf{I}\|^4)$$

as  $\|a - \mathbf{I}\| \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $n$ . As an explicit example we set  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\mathbf{n} = \epsilon (\cos p \ \gamma \ \sin p \ h)$ ; we then find

$$\log \det T_n(a) \sim \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} (1 + \gamma^2 + 2h\gamma\epsilon) + O(\epsilon^4)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $n$ .

The complications underlying the block structure are more evident when trying to generalise the results reported in Section 2.2. Although the truncation of the Moyal product and of the Wiener-Hopf star factorizations could be justified in similar ways, the lack of a systematic procedure for the factorisation of a block Toeplitz matrix undermines the usefulness of the expansion. The final result would therefore rely on the knowledge of the Wiener-Hopf factorisations for the block-Toeplitz symbols associated with the local structure of the star block Toeplitz matrix (even in proposition 2.6 the constant is expressed in terms of the factors of both left and right Wiener-Hopf factorisations).

### 3 Limit behavior of determinants

In this section we prove the results reported in Section 2.1. We start by deriving a Borodin-Okounkov type formula for star-Toeplitz matrices. We highlight the analogy with the theory of Toeplitz operators by following the same steps of standard derivations [15, 24, 48].

#### 3.1 A Borodin-Okounkov-Case-Geronimo type formula—proof of proposition 2.1

**Definition 3.1** (Projections). We denote by  $P$  the orthogonal projection of  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  onto  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ , i.e., if  $f$  has components  $f_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $P$  acts as follows

$$(Pf)_j = \begin{cases} f_j & j \geq 1 \\ 0 & j < 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Analogously, we define  $Q$  and  $J$  in  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  as follows:

$$(Qf)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & j \geq 1 \\ f_j & j < 1 \end{cases} \quad (Jf)_j = f_{-j+1}. \tag{3.2}$$

We also denote by  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  the projection on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  acting by the rules

$$(P_n f)_j = \begin{cases} f_j & 1 \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (Q_n f)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \leq j \leq n \\ f_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

*Remark 3.1.* By definition,  $P + Q = I$  and  $J^2 = I, P^2 = P, Q^2 = Q$ . In addition, the star-Toeplitz and star-Hankel operators are expressed in terms of the corresponding star-Laurent operators as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T(a) &= PL(a)P \\ H(a) &= PL(a)QJ \quad H(a^\sim) = JQL(a)P. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The star-Toeplitz matrix  $T_n$  is instead given by  $P_n T(a) P_n$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *For  $a, b \in V$  the following decomposition holds:*

$$T(a \star b) = T(a)T(b) + H(a)H(b^\sim) \quad (3.5)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} T(a \star b) &= PL(a \star b)P = PL(a)L(b)P = PL(a)(P+Q)L(b)P = PL(a)PL(b)P + PL(a)QL(b)P \\ &= PL(a)PPL(b)P + PL(a)QJJQL(b)P = T(a)T(b) + H(a)H(b^\sim) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $a, b \in V$  are  $\pm$  symbols then  $a \star b$  is also a  $\pm$  symbol.*

*Proof.* A symbol  $a$  is of  $+$  ( $-$ ) type if and only if the matrix  $L(a)$  is lower (upper) triangular. The claim follows from the fact that the product of lower (upper) triangular matrices is lower (upper) triangular.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $a_-, c_+ \in V$  are  $-$  and  $+$  symbols, respectively, then for any  $b \in V$  we have*

$$T(a_- \star b \star c_+) = T(a_-)T(b)T(c_+), \quad (3.7)$$

and hence

$$T(a_- \star b) = T(a_-)T(b), \quad T(b \star c_+) = T(b)T(c_+). \quad (3.8)$$

*Proof.*

The assumptions imply  $H(a_-) = 0$  and  $H((c_+)^\sim) = 0$ . The result follows from applying formula (3.5) twice in succession.

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $a \in V$  has zero star winding number then*

1.  $T(a) = T(a_-^R)T(a_+^R)$
2.  $T(a^{-1\star}) = T((a_-^L)^{-1\star})T((a_+^L)^{-1\star})$
3.  $T(a)$  is invertible and  $T^{-1}(a) = T((a_+^R)^{-1\star})T((a_-^R)^{-1\star})$

*Proof.*

The first claim is a trivial consequence of lemma 3.3. Since  $a^{-1\star} = (a_-^L)^{-1\star} \star (a_+^L)^{-1\star}$ , the second claim is a consequence of the first one. Since  $a = a_-^R \star a_+^R$  we have  $a^{-1\star} = (a_+^R)^{-1\star} \star (a_-^R)^{-1\star}$ . Lemma 3.3 then gives

$$T((a_-^R)^{-1\star})T(a_-^R) = T((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_-^R) = I = T(a_-^R \star (a_-^R)^{-1\star}) = T(a_-^R)T((a_-^R)^{-1\star}) \quad (3.9)$$

$$T((a_+^R)^{-1\star})T(a_+^R) = T((a_+^R)^{-1\star} \star a_+^R) = I = T(a_+^R \star (a_+^R)^{-1\star}) = T(a_+^R)T((a_+^R)^{-1\star}). \quad (3.10)$$

The third claim readily follows.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $b$  be in  $V_\rho$ . The following asymptotic behaviour holds*

$$\det(\mathbf{I} - H(z^{-n} \star b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n})) = 1 + O(\rho_1^{2n}), \quad (3.11)$$

for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$ .

*Proof.*

The analyticity and uniform boundedness of  $b$  in the annulus implies that, for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$ , there is  $M > 0$  such that  $|H_{j,k}(z^{-n} \star b)| = |(b_{\frac{j+n-k+1}{2}})_{j+n+k-1}| \leq M\rho_1^{j+k-1}\rho_1^n$  for every  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see lemma A.1). Consequently, the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $H(z^{-n} \star b)$  is bounded as  $\|H(z^{-n} \star b)\|_2 = O(\rho_1^n)$ , and similarly  $\|H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n})\|_2 = O(\rho_1^n)$ . This implies the following bound for the trace norm of the product of star Hankel operators

$$\|H(z^{-n} \star b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n})\|_1 \leq \|H(z^{-n} \star b)\|_2 \|H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n})\|_2 = O(\rho_1^{2n}) \quad (3.12)$$

and hence the claim.

*Proof of Proposition 2.1.* A proof of the Boroding-Okounkov type formula for star-Toeplitz matrices as stated in proposition 2.1 follows the same steps as in the (block-)Toeplitz case. Consider a function  $b \in V_\rho$  with zero star winding number. Applying lemma 3.1 to the trivial symbol  $b \star b^{-1\star}$  gives ( $b = b_-^R \star b_+^R = b_-^L \star b_+^L$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I} - H(b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim) &= T(b)T(b^{-1\star}) \\ &= T(b_-^R)T(b_+^R)T((b_-^L)^{-1\star})T((b_+^L)^{-1\star}) \\ &= T(b_-^R)T^{-1}(b_-^L \star (b_+^R)^{-1\star})T((b_+^L)^{-1\star}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

and hence

$$(\mathbf{I} - H(b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim))^{-1} = T(b_+^L)T(b_-^L \star (b_+^R)^{-1\star})T((b_-^R)^{-1\star}) \quad (3.14)$$

Let us then enforce the identity [24]

$$\det(P_n(\mathbf{I} - K)^{-1}P_n) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{I} - Q_n K Q_n)}{\det(\mathbf{I} - K)}, \quad (3.15)$$

valid whenever  $K$  is trace-class and  $\mathbf{I} - K$  is invertible. Choosing  $K = H(b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim)$  gives

$$\det(P_n T(b_+^L)T(b_-^L \star (b_+^R)^{-1\star})T((b_-^R)^{-1\star})P_n) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{I} - H(z^{-n} \star b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n}))}{\det(T(b)T(b^{-1\star}))}. \quad (3.16)$$

Since  $T(b_+^L)$  and  $T((b_-^R)^{-1\star})$  are lower and upper triangular operators, respectively, we have

$$P_n T(b_+^L) = P_n T(b_+^L) P_n, \quad T((b_-^R)^{-1\star}) P_n = P_n T((b_-^R)^{-1\star}) P_n \quad (3.17)$$

so the determinant on the left side of eq. (3.16) can be written in terms of finite sections of star Toeplitz operators. Moreover, for the same reason it holds

$$T_n(b_+^L) = T_n^{-1}((b_+^L)^{-1\star}), \quad T_n((b_-^R)^{-1\star}) = T_n^{-1}(b_-^R). \quad (3.18)$$

This allows us to rewrite (3.16) as follows

$$\det T_n(b_-^L \star (b_+^R)^{-1\star}) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{I} - H(z^{-n} \star b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n}))}{\det(T(b)T(b^{-1\star}))} \det T_n(b_-^R) \det T_n((b_+^L)^{-1\star}). \quad (3.19)$$

The determinant of the star Toeplitz matrices on the right hand side is the product of their diagonal elements, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det T_n(b_-^L \star (b_+^R)^{-1\star}) \\ &= \frac{\det(I - H(z^{-n} \star b)H((b^{-1\star})^\sim \star z^{-n}))}{\det(T(b)T(b^{-1\star}))} \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log\left[\left((b_-^R)_j\right)_0 \left((b_+^L)^{-1\star}\right)_0\right]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

The final statement follows from the identification  $a_-^R \equiv b_-^L$ ,  $a_+^R \equiv (b_+^R)^{-1\star}$ , and hence  $a_+^L = (b_+^L)^{-1\star}$ ,  $a_-^L = b_-^R$ . Note that the numerator of the fraction on the right hand side of (3.20) can be bounded using lemma 3.5.  $\square$

### 3.2 Szegő type limit theorems—proof of theorem 2.2

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $a_\pm$  and  $b_\pm$  be  $\pm$  symbols in  $V$  such that  $T(a_\pm), T(b_\pm)$  are trace class. Then the following identities hold:*

$$\operatorname{tr} T(\{a_-, b_-\}_M) = \operatorname{tr} T(\{a_+, b_+\}_M) = 0 \quad (3.21)$$

$$\operatorname{tr} T(\{a_+, b_-\}_M) = -i \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{+,j-\frac{m}{2}})_m (b_{-,j-\frac{m}{2}})_{-m}, \quad (3.22)$$

where  $\{c_1, c_2\}_M$  is a shorthand for  $-i(c_1 \star c_2 - c_2 \star c_1)$  and is known as Moyal bracket.

*Proof.*

The identities follow from lemma 3.3 and from the fact that the trace of the commutator of two trace class operators vanishes. Specifically, we have

$$T(\{a_-, b_-\}_M) = -i[T(a_-), T(b_-)] \quad (3.23)$$

which has zero trace. Analogously we have

$$T(\{a_+, b_-\}_M) = -i[T(a_+), T(b_-)] - iH(a_+)H(\tilde{b}_-), \quad (3.24)$$

where the first term has zero trace and the trace of the second one can be evaluated in the canonical basis, giving Eq. (3.22).

To simplify the expressions appearing in proposition 2.1 it would be convenient to work with trace-class operators, for which results such as lemma 3.6 could be applied. By contrast, for a given symbol  $a \in V$  with zero star winding number and factorized as  $a = \exp_\star(b_-^R) \star \exp_\star(b_+^R) = \exp_\star(b_-^L) \star \exp_\star(b_+^R)$ , the operators  $T(b_\pm^{R/L})$  are not necessarily trace class. Enforcing them to be trace class might seem a strong assumption, but, in fact, it can be done without loss of generality. This is possible because the determinants of finite sections do not depend on the behavior of the operator at infinity, which instead determines the trace-class property. We are then free to deform the operators so as to make them trace class without affecting the determinant of the finite sections. We formalize this intuition by introducing a regularisation of the symbol, i.e., a sequence of symbols converging to the one of interest with respect to a suitable metric, introduced in the following definition, that is not sensitive to irrelevant details at infinity.

**Definition 3.2.** For  $a \in V$ , we define the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{loc}$  as

$$\|a\|_{loc} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|T_{2n+1}(\mathbf{T}^{-n}(a))\|. \quad (3.25)$$

*Remark 3.2.* The norm satisfies  $\|a\|_{loc} \leq \|L(a)\|$ .



**Lemma 3.7.** *The following functions are continuous in the metric space  $(V, \|\cdot\|_{loc})$ :*

1.  $\det T_n : a \mapsto \det T_n(a)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
2.  $F_b^l : V \rightarrow V, a \mapsto b \star a$  and  $F_b^r : V \rightarrow V, a \mapsto a \star b$
3.  $\Phi : V^2 \rightarrow V : (a, b) \mapsto \Phi_{BCH}(a, b)$  with  $\Phi_{BCH}$  defined in (2.13).

*Proof.*

Let us introduce the projections  $\tilde{P}_n$  and  $\tilde{Q}_n$  on  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  acting by the rules

$$(\tilde{P}_n f)_j = \begin{cases} f_j & -n \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\tilde{Q}_n f)_j = \begin{cases} 0 & -n \leq j \leq n \\ f_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.26)$$

Norm (3.25) can be written as  $\|a\|_{loc} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \|\tilde{P}_n L(a) \tilde{P}_n\|$ . For any  $a, b \in V$  we have

$$\|T_n(a - b)\| \leq \|\tilde{P}_n L(a - b) \tilde{P}_n\| \leq 2^{n+1} \|a - b\|_{loc}, \quad (3.27)$$

which implies  $\|T_n(a) - T_n(b)\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $a \rightarrow b$ . Since  $\det T_n$  is continuous with respect to the metric induced by  $\|\cdot\|$  we also have  $\det T_n(a) \rightarrow \det T_n(b)$  as  $a \rightarrow b$ .

The functions  $F_b^l, F_b^r$  are linear, so proving continuity is equivalent to prove that they tend to zero as their argument approaches zero. To that aim, note that, for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_n L(a \star b) \tilde{P}_n &= \tilde{P}_n L(a) L(b) \tilde{P}_n = \tilde{P}_n L(a) (\tilde{P}_{n+m} + \tilde{Q}_{n+m}) L(b) \tilde{P}_n \\ &= \tilde{P}_n \tilde{P}_{n+m} L(a) \tilde{P}_{n+m} L(b) \tilde{P}_{n+m} \tilde{P}_n + \tilde{P}_n L(a) \tilde{Q}_{n+m} L(b) \tilde{P}_n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where in the last equality we used  $\tilde{P}_n \tilde{P}_{n+m} f = \tilde{P}_n f$  and  $f \tilde{P}_{n+m} \tilde{P}_n = f \tilde{P}_n$ . From this it follows

$$\|a \star b\|_{loc} \leq \|L(b)\| \left( 2^{m+1} \|a\|_{loc} + \|\tilde{P}_n L(a) \tilde{Q}_{n+m}\| \right) \quad (3.29)$$

Now, by lemma A.3, we have  $\|\tilde{P}_n L(a) \tilde{Q}_{n+m}\| = O(\rho_1^m)$  for some  $\rho_1 \in (0, 1)$ . Thus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  sufficiently large that  $\|\tilde{P}_n L(a) \tilde{Q}_{n+m}\| \|L(b)\| < \varepsilon/2$ . Taking  $\delta > 0$  such that  $2^{m+1} \|L(b)\| \delta < \varepsilon/2$  we obtain the implication  $\|a\|_{loc} < \delta \Rightarrow \|a \star b\|_{loc} < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} F_b^r(a) = 0$  and, by linearity,  $F_b^l$  is continuous on its domain. The proof for  $F_b^l$  is analogous.

Finally, the function  $\Phi_{BCH}$  defined in (2.13) is a uniformly convergent series of Moyal products, and we have just shown that the Moyal product is a continuous function of its arguments; thus,  $\Phi_{BCH}$  is continuous.

**Definition 3.3** (Regularisation). Let  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of symbols  $\mathbf{a}_k$  with zero star winding number in  $(V, \|\cdot\|_{loc})$ , and let  $\mathbf{b}_{k,\pm}^{L/R}$  denote  $\log_{\star} \mathbf{a}_{k,\pm}^{L/R}$ . We say that  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  regularises the symbol  $a$  if

- $a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{a}_k$ ;
- $L(\mathbf{b}_{k,\pm}^{L/R})$  is uniformly bounded in  $k$ ;
- For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T(\mathbf{b}_{k,\pm}^{L/R})$  are trace class.

*Remark 3.3.* For example, a symbol  $a$  could be regularised by the sequence  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  with  $\mathbf{a}_k = 1 + g_k \star (a - 1)$  and  $g_k : (x, z) \mapsto e^{-x^2/k}$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $a \in (V, \|\cdot\|_{loc})$  be a symbol with zero star winding number and define its Wiener-Hopf star factorisation  $a_{\pm}^{R/L} \equiv \exp_{\star}(b_{\pm}^{R/L})$  in such a way that all the diagonal matrix elements of  $L(a_{\pm}^{R/L})$  are equal to 1. If  $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a regularisation for  $a$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{k,\pm}^{R/L} \equiv \exp_{\star}(\mathbf{b}_{\pm}^{R/L})$  are the corresponding factors with the same convention, then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b}_{k,\pm}^{R/L} = b_{\pm}^{R/L}$ .*

*Proof.*

Let us focus first on the right factorization and write  $\mathbf{a}_k = e_{\star}^{\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star e_{\star}^{\mathbf{b}_k^+}$ ,  $a = e_{\star}^{b^-} \star e_{\star}^{b^+}$ . This implies

$$e_{\star}^{\mathbf{b}_k^+} \star e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}^+} - e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} e_{\star}^{b^-} = e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star (\mathbf{a}_k - a) \star e_{\star}^{b^+} \quad (3.30)$$

Using lemma 3.3 and remark 3.2 we have

$$\|T_{2n+1}(T^{-n}(e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star (\mathbf{a}_k - a) \star e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^+}))\| \leq \|T_{2n+1}(T^{-n}(\mathbf{a}_k - a))\| \|L(e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-})\| \|L(e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^+})\|, \quad (3.31)$$

giving us the bound

$$\|e_{\star}^{\mathbf{b}_k^+} \star e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}^+} - e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star e_{\star}^{b^-}\|_{loc} \leq \|\mathbf{a}_k - a\|_{loc} e^{\|L(\mathbf{b}_k^-)\| + \|L(\mathbf{b}_k^+)\|} \quad (3.32)$$

It follows

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|e_{\star}^{\mathbf{b}_k^+} \star e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}^+} - e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star e_{\star}^{b^-}\|_{loc} = 0 \quad (3.33)$$

Since the  $\pm$  components are split here we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b}_k^{\pm} = b^{\pm}$ . Similarly, for the left factorization we have  $\mathbf{a}_k^{-1\star} = e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^+}$ ,  $a^{-1\star} = e_{\star}^{-b^-} \star e_{\star}^{-b^+}$ , giving us the bound

$$\|e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^+} \star e_{\star}^{b^+} - e_{\star}^{-\mathbf{b}_k^-} \star e_{\star}^{-b^-}\|_{loc} \leq \|\mathbf{a}_k^{-1\star} - a^{-1\star}\|_{loc} e^{\|L(\mathbf{b}_k^-)\| + \|L(\mathbf{b}_k^+)\|} \quad (3.34)$$

Again, we get  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{b}_k^{\pm} = b^{\pm}$ .

*Proof of theorem 2.2.* Let us focus on a particular symbol  $\mathbf{a}$  belonging to a sequence regularising the symbol  $a$ . The denominator of the BOCG formula can be manipulated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \det(T(\mathbf{a}_-^L \star (\mathbf{a}_+^R)^{-1\star})T((\mathbf{a}_-^R)^{-1\star} \star \mathbf{a}_+^L)) &= \det(T(\mathbf{a}_-^L)T((\mathbf{a}_+^R)^{-1\star})T((\mathbf{a}_-^R)^{-1\star})T(\mathbf{a}_+^L)) \\ &= \det(e^{T(\mathbf{b}_-^L)}) \det(e^{-T(\mathbf{b}_+^R)}) \det(e^{-T(\mathbf{b}_-^R)}) \det(e^{T(\mathbf{b}_+^L)}) \\ &= \exp(\text{tr } T(\mathbf{b}_+^L + \mathbf{b}_-^L - \mathbf{b}_-^R - \mathbf{b}_+^R)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_{\pm}^{L/R} = \log_{\star} \mathbf{a}_{\pm}^{L/R}$ . Combining this with the numerator of the BOCG formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \log((\mathbf{a}_{+,j}^L)_0(\mathbf{a}_{-,j}^L)_0)\right)}{\det(T(\mathbf{a}_-^L \star (\mathbf{a}_+^R)^{-1\star})T((\mathbf{a}_-^R)^{-1\star} \star \mathbf{a}_+^L))} \\ &= \exp\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (\log_{\star} \mathbf{a})_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (\log_{\star} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}_-^L - \mathbf{b}_+^L)_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\log_{\star} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}_-^R - \mathbf{b}_+^R)_j\right)_0\right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

trivially obtained using  $((\log \mathbf{a}_{\pm}^L)_j)_0 = ((\log_{\star} \mathbf{a}_{\pm}^L)_j)_0$ . Now we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \log_{\star} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}_+^L - \mathbf{b}_-^L &= \frac{1}{4} \left( i\{\mathbf{b}_+^L, \mathfrak{d}_{(-)}^L\}_M + i\{\mathfrak{d}_{(+)}^L, \mathbf{b}_-^L\}_M \right), \\ \log_{\star} \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}_+^R - \mathbf{b}_-^R &= \frac{1}{4} \left( i\{\mathbf{b}_-^R, \mathfrak{d}_{(+)}^R\}_M + i\{\mathfrak{d}_{(-)}^R, \mathbf{b}_+^R\}_M \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}_{(-)}^L &= \Phi(\mathbf{b}_+^L, \mathbf{b}_-^L) & \mathfrak{d}_{(+)}^R &= \Phi(\mathbf{b}_-^R, \mathbf{b}_+^R) \\ \mathfrak{d}_{(+)}^L &= -\Phi(-\mathbf{b}_-^L, -\mathbf{b}_+^L) & \mathfrak{d}_{(-)}^R &= -\Phi(-\mathbf{b}_+^R, -\mathbf{b}_-^R). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

	asymptotic series	
$\sum_{j=1}^n g(j\nu)$	$\frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\frac{\nu}{2}}^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\nu} \left[ g(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{2j}}{(2j)!} (1 - 2^{1-2j}) B_{2j}(1) g^{(2j)}(y) \right] dy$	Euler-Maclaurin
$(f_\nu \star g_\nu)(x, p)$	$(f e^{i\nu \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}_p \overrightarrow{\partial}_x - \overleftarrow{\partial}_x \overrightarrow{\partial}_p}{2}} g)(x\nu, p)$	Groenewold
$f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu)$	$e^{(\log f)^\pm} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\psi_j^{R/L} [\nabla \log f])^\pm \frac{\nu^j}{j!}$	Lemma 4.3 with def. 4.3
$(\zeta - f)^{-1\star}$	$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_j^{(c)}[f]}{(2j)!} \nu^{2j}$	Lemma 4.4 with def. 4.5
$\nu^{-1} \Phi_{BCH}(\nu c, \nu d)$	$d + \frac{1}{3} i\nu \{c, d\}_M + \frac{1}{12} \nu^2 \{\{c, d\}_M, d\}_M + \dots$	Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff

**Table 1** Outline of the asymptotic series used or worked out in this section. Here  $f_\nu(x, p) : (x, p) \mapsto f(x\nu, p)$  is a smooth enough function representing the symbol and  $f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu)$  represent the factors of the right and left factorisations.

where  $\Phi$  is defined in (2.15). This can be readily obtained from the symmetrised BCH integral formula  $\log(e^A e^B) = \frac{Z(A, B) - Z(-B, -A)}{2}$ , where

$$Z(A, B) = A + \left( \int_0^1 \psi(e^{\text{ad}_A} e^{t \text{ad}_B}) dt \right) B \quad (3.39)$$

and  $\psi(x) = \frac{x \log x}{x-1}$ . The final expression can be obtained using lemma 3.6 and taking the limit of the sequence regularising  $a$ , in light of the continuity properties given in lemmas 3.7 and 3.8  $\square$

## 4 Matrices with slowly varying elements along the diagonals

Here we refine the results obtained in the previous section when there is a flow that connects the terms of the asymptotic expansion of  $\log \det T_n(a)$  for large  $n$  with those corresponding to the symbol of a Toeplitz operator. The aim of the connection is to work out the Wiener-Hopf star factorisations. We restrict ourselves to the case in which the flow can be parametrised by a scaling variable,  $s \in [0, 1]$ , in the sense that each symbol of the family is represented by a function  $f_{s\nu} : (x, p) \rightarrow f(xs\nu, p)$ , where  $\nu$  is an auxiliary parameter quantifying the contraction of the image of  $f_\nu$  associated with taking a derivative with respect to its first argument. The ultimate goal would be to express the strong Szegő limit theorem 2.2 in the form of a series in  $\nu$  whose terms can be computed systematically. A close inspection to theorem 2.2 reveals that one needs the asymptotic expansion of

1. sums
2. the Moyal star product
3. left and right Wiener-Hopf star factorisations
4. the star logarithm
5. the function  $\Phi_{BCH}$  in (2.13).

The former ingredient is required because the distinction between corner and bulk terms envisaged in (2.19) and (2.20) is not yet manifest in (2.16), in which the (pretended) bulk term is expressed as a sum rather than as an integral. To that aim, we adapt the Euler-Maclaurin formula to our case. The asymptotic expansion of the Moyal star product in the order of the derivatives is well known and Section 4.1 is mainly focussed on providing conditions that are sufficient for truncating the series. We are not aware of analogous results for the left and right Wiener-Hopf star factorisations, so Section 4.2 is also devoted to develop a systematic asymptotic expansion. The expansion of the star logarithm is worked out in Section 4.3 with the standard method of the resolvent. Finally, we will be in a position to use the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula for the asymptotic expansion of  $\Phi_{BCH}$  as the series is uniformly convergent for small enough  $\nu$ .

We collect the main asymptotic formulas in Table 1 for quick reference.

### 4.1 Truncated Moyal product

When two symbols characterised by the same value of  $\nu$  are (star) multiplied,  $\nu$  effectively enters the star product as the reduced Planck's constant  $\hbar$  does in phase-space quantum mechanics. This opens the door to asymptotic expansions in the limit of small  $\nu$  analogous to semiclassical approximations

based on the expectation that, close to classical settings,  $\hbar$  can be treated as a small parameter. The following lemma provide a justification for the truncation of the star product.

**Definition 4.1.** We denote by  $\Sigma_\rho$  the horizontal strip in the complex plane  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \log \rho < \text{Im} z < -\log \rho\}$  for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . We also use  $\Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)}$  as a shorthand for  $\Sigma_\rho/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $f, g$  be two functions on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)}$  that are smooth in the second argument and of class  $C^k$  in the first argument for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Given two symbols  $a = [f_\nu]$ ,  $b = [g_\nu]$  with  $f_\nu(x, p) = f(x\nu, p)$ ,  $g_\nu(x, p) = g(x\nu, p)$ , we have  $a \star b = [h_\nu]$ , where  $h_\nu$  can be approximated by

$$h(\nu) : (y, p) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} \left( i\nu \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^j f(y_1, p_1) g(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{p_1=p_2=p \\ y_1=y_2=y}} \quad (4.1)$$

with an error that can be bounded from above as follows

$$|h_\nu(x, p) - (h(\nu))(x\nu, p)| \leq \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{K_{k-j, j}^\Lambda(x\nu) K_{j, k-j}^\Lambda(x\nu)}{j!(k-j)!} + \rho^{\frac{2\Lambda}{\nu}} \left[ 2K^2 + 3 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j'=0}^j \frac{K_{j', j-j'}^0(x\nu)}{j'!(j-j')!} \left( \frac{\nu}{2} \right)^j H_{j-j', j'}^{(x\nu)} \left( \frac{2\Lambda}{\nu} \right) \right] \quad (4.2)$$

for any  $\Lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ . Here  $K$  is a finite constant that depends on the global behaviour of  $f$  and  $g$  and satisfies

$$\sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{|m| \geq \Lambda} |(f_1(y))_m| \leq K\rho^\Lambda \quad \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{|m| \geq \Lambda} |(g_1(y))_m| \leq K\rho^\Lambda; \quad (4.3)$$

$K_{j, k}^\Lambda(y)$  depend on the local behaviour of  $f$  and  $g$  in an interval of extent  $2\Lambda + 1$  around  $y$ :

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m|^j \langle \partial_1^k f_1(y) \rangle_m^\Lambda \leq K_{j, k}^\Lambda(y) \quad \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m|^j \langle \partial_1^k g_1(y) \rangle_m^\Lambda \leq K_{j, k}^\Lambda(y), \quad (4.4)$$

with

$$\langle f_1(y) \rangle_k^\Lambda = \sup_{z \in y + [-\Lambda, \Lambda]} |(f_1(z))_k|; \quad (4.5)$$

$H_{j, k}^{(y)}(\Lambda)$  are polynomials in  $\Lambda$  that depend on the local behaviour of  $f$  and  $g$  about  $y$ :

$$\sum_{|m| \geq \Lambda} |m|^j |(\partial_1^k f_1(y))_m| \leq H_{j, k}^{(y)}(\Lambda) \rho^\Lambda \quad \sum_{|m| \geq \Lambda} |m|^j |(\partial_1^k g_1(y))_m| \leq H_{j, k}^{(y)}(\Lambda) \rho^\Lambda. \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.*

| A proof is reported in Appendix B.

**Corollary 4.1.** Under the assumptions of the lemma, for any compact  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$h_\nu : (x, p) \mapsto (h(\nu))(x\nu, p) + O(\nu^k) \quad (4.7)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$

*Proof.*

| This is because  $K_{j, j'}^\Lambda(y)$  and  $H_{j, j'}^y(M)$  are bounded from above by  $\sup_{y \in \Omega} K_{j, j'}^\Lambda(y)$  and  $\sup_{y \in \Omega} H_{j, j'}^y(M)$ , which are both finite since  $\Omega$  is compact, and  $\rho^M \sup_{y \in \Omega} H_{j, j'}^y(M)$  approaches 0 faster than any power of  $M$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, the upper bound in (4.2) is bounded from above by a constant multiplied by  $\nu^k$ ,

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $f, g$  are smooth on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_p^{(2\pi)}$ , for any compact  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $h_\nu(x, p)$  is captured by the asymptotic series*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \left( i\nu \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^j f(y_1, p_1) g(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{p_1=p_2=p \\ y_1=y_2=x\nu}} \quad (4.8)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

*Proof.*

| This follows from the possibility of applying corollary 4.1 for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Remark 4.1.* If  $f$  and  $g$  are entire with respect to their first argument and their derivatives are bounded from above, then the double series is absolutely convergent—see lemma B.1.

## 4.2 Truncated Wiener-Hopf star factorisation

In this section we take advantage of the possibility to truncate the star product to work out an explicit approximation for the Wiener-Hopf star factorisations. An approximation for the product provides indeed an approximation for the factors:

**Lemma 4.2.** *Consider a symbol  $a \in V$  with zero star winding number. Suppose  $a = a_-^\epsilon \star a_+^\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  for given  $\pm$  symbols  $a_\pm^\epsilon$  with  $\log_x a_\pm^\epsilon \in V$  uniformly bounded in  $\epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is some auxiliary parameter. Then, there is a right Wiener-Hopf star factorisation of  $a$  such that*

$$a_\pm^R = a_\pm^\epsilon + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.9)$$

An analogous result applies to the factors of the left Wiener-Hopf star factorisation if  $a = a_+^\epsilon \star a_-^\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.*

By assumptions the symbol satisfies  $a = a_-^R \star a_+^R = a_-^\epsilon \star a_+^\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$ , and hence

$$a_+^R \star (a_+^\epsilon)^{-1\star} = (a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_-^R \star a_+^R \star (a_+^\epsilon)^{-1\star} = (a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_-^\epsilon + O(\epsilon) \quad (4.10)$$

where we used that  $(a_-^R)^{-1\star}$  and  $(a_+^\epsilon)^{-1\star}$  are uniformly bounded in  $\epsilon$ . Extracting the  $+$  part of the members of the equation gives

$$a_+^R \star (a_+^\epsilon)^{-1\star} = ((a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_-^\epsilon)_0 + O(\epsilon) = \frac{(a_-^\epsilon)_0}{(a_-^R)_0} + O(\epsilon). \quad (4.11)$$

The first identity follows from the fact that  $(a_-^R)^{-1\star} \star a_-^\epsilon$  is a  $-$  symbol and hence its  $+$  part consists of solely the zeroth Fourier coefficient; the second identity is instead a special case of  $(a_- \star b_-)_0 = (a_-)_0 (b_-)_0$ . The star product with  $a_+^\epsilon$  then gives

$$a_+^R = \frac{(a_-^\epsilon)_0}{(a_-^R)_0} \star a_+^\epsilon + O(\epsilon), \quad (4.12)$$

where we used again the boundedness properties. Analogously we have

$$a_-^R = a_-^\epsilon \star \frac{(a_-^R)_0}{(a_-^\epsilon)_0} + O(\epsilon). \quad (4.13)$$

The result of the lemma applies to the alternative factorisation  $a = \tilde{a}_-^R \star \tilde{a}_+^R$ , with  $\tilde{a}_-^R = a_-^R \star \frac{(a_-^\epsilon)_0}{(a_-^R)_0}$  and  $\tilde{a}_+^R = \frac{(a_+^R)_0}{(a_+^\epsilon)_0} \star a_+^R$ , indeed (4.12) and (4.13) imply  $\tilde{a}_-^R = a_-^\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$  and  $\tilde{a}_+^R = a_+^\epsilon + O(\epsilon)$ . The proof for the left factorisation is analogous.

The idea is then to construct an asymptotic series for the factors based on the knowledge of the case  $\nu = 0$ , which corresponds to a Toeplitz matrix.

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $\{a\} = \{a_s \in V : s \in [0, 1]\}$  be a one-parameter family of symbols. If  $a_0$  induces a Toeplitz operator then we say that  $\{a\}$  is a star-Toeplitz flow of symbols in  $V$ . If there exists also the one-parameter family  $\{a^{-1\star}\} = \{a_s^{-1\star} \in V : s \in [0, 1]\}$  of star inverses ( $a_s \star a_s^{-1\star} = 1$ ), then we say that  $\{a\}$  is a star-Toeplitz flow of star-invertible symbols in  $V$ . If there exist also the one-parameter families  $\{a_\pm^{R/L}\} = \{a_{s,\pm}^{R/L} \in V : s \in [0, 1]\}$  of  $\pm$  symbols such that  $a_s = a_{s,-}^R \star a_{s,+}^R = a_{s,+}^L \star a_{s,-}^L$  and  $\log_\star a_{s,\pm}^{R/L} \in V$  then we say that  $\{a\}$  is a star-Toeplitz flow of symbols in  $V$  with zero star winding number.

By imposing that the star product of the factors is the symbol, we obtain a recurrence relation between the terms of the asymptotic series of the factors, which is related to the following

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $v_1 \partial_1 + v_2 \partial_2$  be a vector field on an open subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and  $v_1(\vec{\varphi}), v_2(\vec{\varphi})$ , with  $\vec{\varphi} \in S$ , be the coordinates of  $\vec{v}(\vec{\varphi})$ . Let  $\vec{v}$  be of class  $C^k(S)$ . For  $m \leq k$ , we define the functions  $\psi_m^H[\vec{v}]$  by the recursive equation

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^H[\vec{v}] : \vec{\varphi} &\mapsto 1 \\ \psi_m^H[\vec{v}] : \vec{\varphi} &\mapsto - \sum_{\substack{j', j''=0 \\ j'+j'' \leq m}}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j', j''} \left( i \frac{\sigma_H}{2} \det \bar{D}_{\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}'}^v \right)^{m-j'-j''} (\psi_{j'}[\vec{v}(\vec{\varphi})])^- (\psi_{j''}[\vec{v}(\vec{\varphi}')])^+ \Big|_{\vec{\varphi}' = \vec{\varphi}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where  $H \in \{L, R\}$ ,  $\sigma_R = -\sigma_L = 1$ ,  $\binom{m}{j_1, j_2} = \frac{m!}{j_1! j_2! (m-j_1-j_2)!}$ , and

$$D_{\vec{\varphi}, \vec{\varphi}'}^v = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\varphi_1'} + v_1^+(\vec{\varphi}') & \partial_{\varphi_1} + v_1^-(\vec{\varphi}) \\ \partial_{\varphi_2'} + v_2^+(\vec{\varphi}') & \partial_{\varphi_2} + v_2^-(\vec{\varphi}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)}$  that is smooth in the second argument and of class  $C^{k+1}$  in the first argument for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $f_{s\nu} : (x, p) \mapsto f(xs\nu, p)$ , with  $s \in [0, 1]$ , parametrises a star-Toeplitz flow of symbols in  $V$  with zero star winding number connecting  $a = [f_\nu]$  with the Toeplitz symbol  $e^{i\nu p} \mapsto f(0, p)$ . Then, for any compact  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the factors  $a_\pm^{R/L}$  of the right and left Wiener-Hopf star factorisations of  $a$  are represented by functions  $f_{\nu\pm}^{R/L} : (x, p) \mapsto (f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu))(x\nu, p)$  where  $f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu) : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  exhibit the following asymptotic behaviour

$$f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu) = e^{(\log f)^\pm} \sum_{j=0}^k (\psi_j^{R/L}[\nabla \log f])^\pm \frac{\nu^j}{j!} + O(\nu^{k+1}) \quad (4.15)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

*Proof.*

| A proof is reported in Appendix B.

*Remark 4.2.* By corollary 4.2, if the function  $f$  considered in lemma 4.3 is smooth with respect to its first argument then

$$f_\pm^{R/L}(\nu) \sim e^{(\log f)^\pm} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\psi_j^{R/L}[\nabla \log f])^\pm \frac{\nu^j}{j!}, \quad (4.16)$$

asymptotically as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Given two functions on an open subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $f, g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , of class  $C^1(S)$ , the Poisson brackets read

$$\{f, g\} : (y, p) \mapsto \frac{\partial f(y, p)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial g(y, p)}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial g(y, p)}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f(y, p)}{\partial p}. \quad (4.17)$$

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $f$  be a function as in lemma 4.3 and  $g = \log f$  smooth in the second argument and of class  $C^3$  in the first argument. Then, for any compact  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log f_+^H(\nu) + \log f_-^H(\nu) &= \log f + \frac{i}{2} \sigma_H \nu \{g^-, g^+\} \\ &+ \frac{\nu^2}{8} \left( 2\{g^+, \{g^-, g^+\}^-\} - 2\{g^-, \{g^-, g^+\}^+\} - \partial_p g^- \{g^-, \partial_y g^+\} + \partial_y g^- \{g^-, \partial_p g^+\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \partial_y g^+ \{\partial_p g^-, g^+\} + \partial_p g^+ \{\partial_y g^-, g^+\} - \{\partial_p g^-, \partial_y g^+\} + \{\partial_y g^-, \partial_p g^+\} \right) + O(\nu^3) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

asymptotically as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

*Proof.*

This can be obtained by expanding the logarithm of  $f_{\pm}^H(\nu)$ , as defined in (4.15), at the second order in  $\nu$ , and using (4.14) with  $m = 0, 1, 2$ , which can be explicitly written as follows:

$$\psi_0^H[\nabla g] = 1 \quad (4.19)$$

$$\psi_1^H[\nabla g] = \frac{i}{2} \sigma^H \{g^-, g^+\} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2^H[\nabla g] &= \frac{1}{2} \{g^-, g^+\}^- \{g^-, g^+\}^+ - \frac{1}{4} \{g^-, g^+\}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \{g^+, \{g^-, g^+\}^-\} - \frac{1}{2} \{g^-, \{g^-, g^+\}^+\} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} (\partial_p g^- \{g^-, \partial_y g^+\} - \partial_y g^- \{g^-, \partial_p g^+\} + \partial_y g^+ \{\partial_p g^-, g^+\} - \partial_p g^+ \{\partial_y g^-, g^+\}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} (\{\partial_p g^-, \partial_y g^+\} - \{\partial_y g^-, \partial_p g^+\}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

### 4.3 Truncated star functions

The main ingredient for the calculation of star functions is the star inverse of  $\zeta - a$  with  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  (definition 2.6). This problem can be solved asymptotically just as the Wiener-Hopf star factorisations, indeed the starting point is again an identity for a star product

$$(\zeta - a) \star (\zeta - a)^{-1\star} = 1. \quad (4.22)$$

Under the assumptions of lemma 4.1, we can truncate the Moyal product and follow the lines of the proof of lemma 4.3. Note that this inversion is simpler than for the Wiener-Hopf star factorisations, as one of the factors of the star product (4.22) is known and there is no need to extract  $\pm$  parts of symbols. We start by defining the auxiliary functions that play the role of those in definition 4.3.

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function of class  $C^k(S)$  on a subset  $S$  of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . For  $\zeta \notin \text{ran} f$ , where  $\text{ran} f$  denotes the image of  $f$ , and  $m \leq k$ , we define the functions  $R_m^{(\zeta)}[f]$  of  $f$  and derivatives by the recursive equation

$$\begin{aligned} R_0^{(\zeta)}[f] : \vec{\varphi} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\zeta - f(\vec{\varphi})} \\ R_m^{(\zeta)}[f] : \vec{\varphi} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\zeta - f(\vec{\varphi})} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{2m}{2j} (-1)^{m-j} \left( \frac{\partial_{\varphi_1} \partial_{\varphi_2'} - \partial_{\varphi_2} \partial_{\varphi_1'}}{2} \right)^{2(m-j)} f(\vec{\varphi}') R_j^{(\zeta)}[f(\vec{\varphi})] \Big|_{\vec{\varphi}' = \vec{\varphi}} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

where  $\vec{\varphi} \in S$ .

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be of class  $C^{2(k+1)}$ , for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with respect to the first argument and smooth with respect to the second one. Let  $\zeta$  be a complex number  $\notin \text{ran} f$  and  $\Omega$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $\zeta - f_{s\nu}$ , with  $f_{s\nu} : (x, p) \mapsto f(xs\nu, p)$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , parametrises a star-Toeplitz flow of star-invertible symbols in  $V_\rho$  connecting  $\zeta - a = [\zeta - f_\nu]$  with the Toeplitz symbol  $e^{ip} \mapsto \zeta - f(0, p)$ , then

$$(\zeta - a)^{-1\star} = [R_\nu^{(\zeta, f)}] \quad (4.24)$$

where  $R_\nu^{(\zeta, f)} : (x, p) \mapsto (R^{(\zeta, f)}(\nu))(x\nu, p)$  and  $R^{(\zeta, f)}(\nu) : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  exhibits the asymptotic behaviour

$$R^{(\zeta, f)}(\nu) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{R_j^{(\zeta)}[f]}{(2j)!} \nu^{2j} + O(\nu^{2k+2}) \quad (4.25)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\Omega \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ . In particular, we have

$$R_\nu^{(\zeta, f)} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\zeta - f_\nu} + O(\nu^2) & k = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\zeta - f_\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{(\partial_{1,2} f_\nu)^2 - \partial_1^2 f_\nu \partial_2^2 f_\nu}{(\zeta - f_\nu)^3} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial_2^2 f_\nu (\partial_1 f_\nu)^2 + \partial_1^2 f_\nu (\partial_2 f_\nu)^2 - 2\partial_1 f_\nu \partial_2 f_\nu \partial_{1,2} f_\nu}{(\zeta - f_\nu)^4} + O(\nu^4) & k = 1 \end{cases} \quad (4.26)$$

*Proof.*

The proof is analogous to that of lemma 4.3. Just note that, in this case, the expansion of the Moyal product can be restricted to even powers (even  $j$  in (4.1)) as  $\zeta - a$  star commutes with its star inverse.

*Remark 4.3.* By construction and in view of corollary 4.2, if the function  $f$  considered in lemma 4.4 is smooth also with respect to its first argument then

$$R^{(\zeta, f)}(\nu) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_j^{(\zeta)}[f]}{(2j)!} \nu^{2j}, \quad (4.27)$$

asymptotically as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** Let  $f$  be a function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)}$  that is smooth in the second argument and of class  $C^{2(k+1)}$  in the first argument for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $f_{s\nu} : (x, p) \mapsto f(xs\nu, p)$ , with  $s \in [0, 1]$ , parametrise a star-Toeplitz flow in  $V$ , and the symbol  $a$  be represented by  $f_\nu$ . If  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function analytic inside a closed simple curve  $C$  of the complex plane strictly surrounding the spectrum of  $L(a')$  for any  $a'$  in the orbit of the flow, then for any compact  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}$  the star function  $F_\star(a)$  is represented by a function  $F_\star[f_\nu]$  of  $f_\nu$  and derivatives with the asymptotic behaviour

$$F_\star[f_\nu] = \begin{cases} F(f_\nu) + O(\nu^2) & k = 0 \\ F(f_\nu) + F'''(f_\nu) \frac{(\partial_{1,2} f_\nu)^2 - \partial_1^2 f_\nu \partial_2^2 f_\nu}{8} - F''''(f_\nu) \frac{\partial_2^2 f_\nu (\partial_1 f_\nu)^2 + \partial_1^2 f_\nu (\partial_2 f_\nu)^2 - 2\partial_1 f_\nu \partial_2 f_\nu \partial_{1,2} f_\nu}{24} + O(\nu^4) & k = 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.28)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly in  $\frac{\Omega}{\nu} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ .

*Proof.*

| This follows from plugging (4.26) into the Cauchy integral formula (2.5).

**Proposition 4.1.** With the same notations of corollary 4.4, let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times S_\rho^{(2\pi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be smooth with respect to either of the arguments, the flow be with zero star winding number, and  $F$  be the logarithm. Then, the asymptotic expansion induced by (4.27) can be written as

$$\log_\star a \sim \left[ g + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_j[g]}{(2j)!} \right], \quad \text{with } L_j[g] = \nu^{2j} \oint_C \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} R_j^{(\zeta)}[e^g], \quad (4.29)$$



$g = \log f_\nu$ , and

1.  $L_j[g]$  is a multivariate polynomial of the derivatives of  $g$  of total degree  $3j$ .
2. in each monomial of  $L_j[g]$ , the derivative with respect to each argument appears exactly  $2j$  times.

*Proof.*

The second property is true by construction:  $L_j[g]$  is proportional to  $R_j^{(\zeta)}[f]$ , which is written in terms of  $2j$  derivatives with respect to the first argument and  $2j$  derivatives with respect to the second one. The first property is a direct consequence of the following observations

- for every  $j > 0$ ,  $R_j^{(\zeta)}[f]$  is a linear combination of terms of the form  $\frac{\overbrace{(\partial_{\dots} f) \cdots (\partial_{\dots} f)}^{k-1 \text{ factors}}}{(\zeta-f)^k}$ , with  $k > 1$ ;
- the contour integration in  $\zeta$  of each term is a multivariate polynomial in the derivatives of  $g$ ;
- the monomial with the largest degree corresponds to the term in which all the derivatives to  $R$  in the second line of (4.23) are applied to the poles  $\frac{1}{(\zeta-f)^k}$ .

By solving the recursive equation induced by (4.23) to the total degree of the polynomial, we readily find that  $L_j[g]$  has total degree  $3j$ .

*Remark 4.4.* If  $f$  is of class  $C^4$ , we have

$$\log_* a = \left[ g - \frac{2\partial_1 g \partial_2 g \partial_{1,2} g + 3(\partial_{1,2} g)^2 - (\partial_2 g)^2 \partial_1^2 g - (\partial_1 g)^2 \partial_2^2 g - 3\partial_1^2 g \partial_2^2 g}{24} + O(\nu^4) \right]. \quad (4.30)$$

#### 4.4 Asymptotic expansion—proof of theorem 2.3

In this section we put all pieces together and work out the first terms of the asymptotic expansion of (2.20) in the limit of small  $\nu$ . We start by showing that there is a gauge in which (2.21) holds.

**Definition 4.6** (Bulk function). We say that an infinitely differentiable function  $f$  is a bulk function in its domain if both the function and its derivatives vanish approaching the boundaries of the domain.

**Definition 4.7** (Boundary functional). We say that a functional  $F[g]$  of an infinitely differentiable function is a boundary functional if, for every bulk function,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[g + \epsilon \phi] - F[g]}{\epsilon} = 0 \quad (4.31)$$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $g$  be infinitely differentiable in  $(\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$  and  $F[g]$  be a functional of the form

$$F[g] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \prod_{i=1}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \quad (4.32)$$

with  $c_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Let  $\{g_m\}$  be a sequence of bulk functions converging to  $g$  almost everywhere in  $(\frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z}))$ . Let  $G[g]$  be the functional

$$G[g] = \int_{-\infty}^0 \bar{\delta}_g F[e^s g](\{g_m\}) ds \quad (4.33)$$

where

$$\bar{\delta}_g F[g] : \{\phi_m\} \mapsto \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{F[g + \epsilon \phi_m] - F[g]}{\epsilon}. \quad (4.34)$$

Then

1. for any sequence  $\{\phi_m\}$  of bulk functions converging almost everywhere to some infinitely differentiable function  $\phi$ ,  $\bar{\delta}_g F[g](\{\phi_m\})$  depends on the sequences only through  $\phi$ :  $\bar{\delta}_g F[g](\{\phi_m\}) \equiv \delta_g F[g](\phi)$
2. the functional  $G[g]$  is given by

$$G[g] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \quad (4.35)$$

3. for any bulk function  $\phi$ ,  $\delta_g G[g](\phi) = \delta_g F[g](\phi)$

*Proof.*

| A proof is reported in Appendix B.

**Corollary 4.5.** *A functional  $F[g]$  as in (4.32) can be decomposed in the sum of a bulk contribution, captured by  $G[g]$  and given by (4.35), and boundary contributions written in terms of  $g$  and derivatives evaluated at  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $n + \frac{1}{2}$ . Specifically, we have*

$$F[g] - G[g] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\eta=0}^{c_j-1} \partial_1^\eta \partial_2^{d_j} g(x, p) (-\partial_1)^{c_j-\eta-1} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp \Bigg|_{x=\frac{1}{2}}^{x=n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad (4.36)$$

*Proof.*

| The lemma shows that  $F[g] - G[g]$  is a boundary contribution, hence the main statement. To compute the boundary contributions, it is sufficient to integrate (4.35) by parts  $c_j$  times with respect to  $x$  and  $d_j$  times with respect to  $p$ . The boundary terms of the integrations by parts with respect to  $p$  vanish by periodicity, whereas the other integrations by parts give (4.36).

**Lemma 4.6** (Euler-Maclaurin). *Let  $g(x\nu)$  be a function of class  $C^{2k}$ , then we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n g(j\nu) = \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\frac{\nu}{2}}^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\nu} \left[ g(y) - \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\nu^{2j}}{(2j)!} (1 - 2^{1-2j}) B_{2j}(1) g^{(2j)}(y) \right] dy - \frac{\nu^{2k}}{(2k)!} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} B_{2k}(t - [t]) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n g^{(2k)}(\nu(j-t)) \right\} dt \quad (4.37)$$

where  $B_{2j}$  are the Bernoulli polynomials.

*Remark 4.5.* Let  $g(x\nu)$  be an infinitely differentiable function, then the following asymptotic formula holds

$$\sum_{j=1}^n g(j\nu) \sim \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\frac{\nu}{2}}^{(n+\frac{1}{2})\nu} \left[ g(y) - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\nu^{2j}}{(2j)!} (1 - 2^{1-2j}) B_{2j}(1) g^{(2j)}(y) \right] dy \quad (4.38)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ .

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let the symbol be  $a = [f_\nu]$  with  $f_\nu(x, p) = f(x\nu, p)$  and  $f$  being a function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma_p^{(2\pi)}$  that is smooth in the second argument and of class  $C^\infty$  in the first argument. Let us also use the usual notations  $b_\pm^{R/L} = \log_\star a_\pm^{R/L}$ . The boundary terms  $E^{R/L}(x)$  defined in (2.17) are captured by the following asymptotic series*

$$E^{R/L}(x) \sim \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sinh(\nu \frac{\partial_{y,p'}}{2})}{\sinh(\nu \frac{i\partial_y}{2})} (g^{R/L}(\nu))(y,p) (\tilde{h}^{R/L}(\nu))(y,p') \Big|_{\substack{y=x\nu \\ p'=p}} dp =$$

$$- \frac{i}{2} (g^{R/L}(\nu) \partial_2 \tilde{h}^{R/L}(\nu))_0 - \frac{i\nu^2}{48} \partial_1^2 \left( g^{R/L}(\nu) (\partial_2 + \partial_2^3) \tilde{h}^{R/L}(\nu) \right)_0 + O(\nu^4) \quad (4.39)$$

as  $\nu \rightarrow 0$ . Here  $g^{R/L}(\nu)$  is defined in such a way that

$$b_+^{R/L} + b_-^{R/L} = [g_\nu^{R/L}] \quad (4.40)$$

with  $g_\nu^{R/L}(x,p) = (g^{R/L}(\nu))(x\nu,p)$ , whereas  $\tilde{h}^{R/L}(\nu)$  is defined in such a way that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi_{BCH}(b_-^R, -b_+^R))^+ + (\Phi_{BCH}(-b_+^R, -b_-^R))^- &= [\tilde{h}_\nu^R] \\ -(\Phi_{BCH}(-b_-^L, -b_+^L))^+ - (\Phi_{BCH}(b_+^L, b_-^L))^- &= [\tilde{h}_\nu^L] \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

with  $\tilde{h}_\nu^{R/L}(x,p) = (\tilde{h}^{R/L}(\nu))(x\nu,p)$ .

*Proof.*

This is readily obtained by replacing  $b_{\pm, x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L}$  and  $d_{(\pm), x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L}$  by their Taylor expansion about  $x$  and using that the expression depends only on the positive (negative) Fourier coefficients of  $d_{(+), x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L}$  ( $d_{(-), x+j-\frac{m+1}{2}}^{R/L}$ ).

*Proof of theorem 2.3.* We start by proving the properties of the functions  $\mathcal{D}^{(j)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^{(j)}$ . First of all we note that bulk contributions come only from the sum of  $\log_\star a$  in (2.16). We extract them following the following procedure:

- We apply the Euler-Maclaurin formula (4.38) to the sum and transform it into an integral.
- Only the first term in the expansion captures bulk contributions, so we can focus on that term and replace  $\log_\star a$  by the asymptotic expansion in (4.29).
- We fix the gauge using the convention of corollary (4.5).

The first two properties highlighted in the theorem follow from proposition 4.1.

The boundary contributions have different origins. Some of them come the Euler-Maclaurin formula and correspond to derivatives of  $(\log_\star a)_x(e^{ip})$  with respect to  $x$ , which therefore are characterised by a lower number of derivatives with respect to  $p$  rather than  $x$ . Other boundary contributions come from the asymptotic series describing the star logarithm  $b_\pm$  of the factors of the Wiener-Hopf star factorisations as well as the associated functions  $\Phi_{BCH}(b_-, b_+)$  and  $\Phi_{BCH}(b_+, b_-)$  emerging from the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (2.14). Independently of how complicated such terms are, they are always characterised by the same number of derivatives with the respect to the two variables. They enter the formula, however, through  $E^{R/L}(x)$ , which we replace by the asymptotic series (4.39) and is characterised by one extra derivative with respect to  $p$ . This substantiates the third property of the theorem.

The first orders of the bulk terms exhibited in (2.23) readily follow from applying (4.35) to (4.30). The boundary terms exhibited in (2.24) are instead obtain by

- taking the first correction to the Euler-Maclaurin formula ( $j = 1$  in (4.38))
- collecting the boundary terms coming from the asymptotic expansion of  $\log_\star a$ , which can be obtained by applying (4.36) to (4.30);
- taking the leading order in the expansion (4.39) of  $E^{R/L}(x)$
- truncating the expansion of  $\Phi_{BCH}$  as in (2.15) to  $O(\nu)$ ;
- expanding the star logarithm to leading order (i.e., replacing it with a conventional logarithm) for the factors of the factorisation
- truncating the asymptotic expansion of the Wiener-Hopf star factorisation to first order in  $\nu$ , i.e., keeping only the first line of (4.18).

□

## 5 Discussion

We conclude by pointing out some physical applications of the formulas that we derived. We have already highlighted in Section 2.3 that the determinant formula provided by theorem 2.2 can be used to infer an explicit representation of the constant contribution to the determinant of a block-Toeplitz matrix, which appears, for example, in the calculation of correlation functions in translationally invariant quantum spin models. Unfortunately, however, the formula relies on the knowledge of both left and right factorizations, which is often beyond reach. In inhomogeneous physical settings, instead, theorem 2.3 provides the formulas with more direct applications. The asymptotic expansion that we worked out can indeed be applied, for example, to the calculation of correlation functions and entanglement entropies in systems prepared in smooth traps both in and out of equilibrium. Arguably, some derivations could also be adapted to capture more complicated bipartitioning protocols, generalising, for example, the results of Ref. [49] to operators with semilocal fermionic representations.

The strong assumptions we made constitute, however, an obstacle to applications. While it is reasonable to expect that symbols with a nonzero star winding number could be easily accommodated in the theory, many interesting physical problems are characterised by singularities that would require generalisations of the Fisher-Hartwig formulas which go far beyond the plain framework of this work. In that respect, even if the course of action is not obvious, we believe that symbol regularisation could still be the key to obtain explicit expressions.

**Acknowledgements.** We thank Luca Capizzi and Giuseppe Del Vecchio Del Vecchio for discussions. This work was supported by the European Research Council under the Starting Grant No. 805252 LoCoMacro.

## Appendix A Basic results

**Lemma A.1.** *If  $a \in V_\rho$  then for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$  we have*

$$|(a_x)_j| \leq M \rho_1^{|j|}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $M = \sup\{a_x(z) : x \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, |z| \leq \rho_1\}$ .

*Proof.*

This is an elementary claim. Using analyticity we can deform the integration contour from  $|z| = 1$  to  $|z| = \rho'$  for any  $\rho' \in (\rho, \rho^{-1})$  giving

$$|(a_x)_j| = \left| \oint_{|z|=\rho'} a_x(z) z^{-j} \frac{dz}{2\pi i z} \right| \leq (\rho')^{-j} \sup_{|z|=\rho'} |a_x(z)|. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The claim follows by choosing  $\rho' = \rho_1$  for  $j < 0$  and  $\rho' = \rho_1^{-1}$  for  $j > 0$  (and say  $\rho' = 1$  for  $j = 0$ ) and using the uniform boundedness in  $x$  in the annulus.

**Lemma A.2.** *Let  $A = (A_{j,k})_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be an infinite matrix. The matrix defines a bounded linear operator on  $\ell(\mathbb{Z})$  with the norm satisfying*

$$\|A\| \leq \sqrt{\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,k}| \right) \sup_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j',k'}| \right)}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

*provided this bound is finite.*

*Proof.*

Denote  $K_1 = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,k}|)$ ,  $K_2 = \sup_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} (\sum_{j' \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j',k'}|)$ . Let  $v \in l^2(\mathbb{Z})$  be an arbitrary vector.

We have

$$\|Av\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |(Av)_j|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{j,k} v_k \right|^2 \quad (\text{A4})$$

Writing  $A_{j,k}(a)v_k = \sqrt{A_{j,k}}(\sqrt{A_{j,k}}v_k)$ , for any choice of the complex square root, and applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Av\|^2 &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,k}| \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,\ell}| |v_\ell|^2 \leq K_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,\ell}| |v_\ell|^2 \\ &= K_1 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} |v_\ell|^2 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |A_{j,\ell}| \leq K_1 K_2 \|v\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Therefore  $\|A\| \leq \sqrt{K_1 K_2}$ .

**Lemma A.3.** For  $a \in V$  we have

1.  $L(a)$  is a bounded linear operator on  $l^2(\mathbb{Z})$
2.  $T(a)$  is a bounded linear operator on  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$
3.  $H(a)$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator

*Proof.*

We have  $a \in V_\rho$  for some  $0 < \rho < 1$ . By lemma A.1 there is  $M > 0$  such that

$$|L_{j,k}(a)| \leq M \rho_1^{|j-k|}. \quad (\text{A6})$$

for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$ . This implies, by summing the geometric series,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |L_{j,k}(a)| \leq M \frac{1+\rho_1}{1-\rho_1}, \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |L_{k,j}(a)| \leq M \frac{1+\rho_1}{1-\rho_1} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (\text{A7})$$

By lemma A.2 we have that  $L(a)$  is bounded. The Toeplitz part of the lemma follows from

$$\|T(a)\| = \|PL(a)P\| \leq \|P\| \|L(a)\| \|P\| = \|L(a)\|. \quad (\text{A8})$$

To prove the Hankel part of the lemma we notice

$$|H_{j,k}(a)| = |L_{j,-k+1}(a)| \leq M \rho_1^{j+k-1}, \quad j, k \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Thus, summing the geometric series, we have for the squared Hilbert-Schmidt norm

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} |H_{j,k}(a)|^2 \leq \frac{M \rho_1}{(1-\rho_1)^2} < \infty. \quad (\text{A10})$$

*Proof of Remark 2.3.* By assumption  $a, b \in V_\rho$  for some  $\rho \in (0, 1)$ . Let  $\rho < \rho_1 < \rho_2 < 1$ . The assumptions imply that there is  $M > 0$  such that  $|(a_x)_j| \leq M \rho_1^{|j|}$ ,  $|(b_x)_j| \leq M \rho_1^{|j|}$ . Therefore on the annulus  $\rho_2 < |z| < \rho_2^{-1}$  we have

$$|(a \star b)_x(z)| \leq \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} |z|^{m+n} |(a_{x+\frac{m}{2}})_n| |(b_{x-\frac{n}{2}})_m| \leq M^2 \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( |z|^m \rho_1^{|m|} \right) \left( |z|^n \rho_1^{|n|} \right) \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$= M^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - |z|\rho_1} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\rho_1}{|z|}} - 1 \right) \leq M^2 \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2}}{1 - \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_2}} \right)^2 < \infty. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Clearly, the bound we have obtained is independent of  $x$ . Since  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are arbitrary the double series is absolutely convergent on the whole annulus  $\rho < |z| < \rho^{-1}$ . Absolute convergence allows to interchange the sum over  $m$  and  $n$  in the definition (2.4) of the star product. Making also the shift of indices  $m \rightarrow m - n$ , we can write the star product as Laurent series

$$(a \star b)_x(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} ((a \star b)_x)_m z^m, \quad ((a \star b)_x)_m = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (a_{x + \frac{m-n}{2}})_n (b_{x - \frac{n}{2}})_{m-n}. \quad (\text{A13})$$

The associativity of the star product can now be readily checked by comparing  $((a \star b) \star c)_x$  with  $((a \star (b \star c))_x)_m$  and the claim  $L(a \star b) = L(a)L(b)$  can be checked by comparing the matrix elements of  $L(a \star b)$  with the matrix elements of  $L(a)L(b)$ .  $\square$

## Appendix B Additional details

*Proof of lemma 4.1.* We start from (2.4), from which we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_\nu(x, p) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} \sum_{n \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} f(\nu(x + \frac{m}{2}), q_1) g(\nu(x - \frac{n}{2}), q_2) e^{-i(nq_1 + mq_2)} d^2 q \right| = \\ & \left| \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m \notin \frac{2B}{\nu}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} f(\nu(x + \frac{m}{2}), q_1) g(\nu(x - \frac{n}{2}), q_2) e^{-i(nq_1 + mq_2)} d^2 q \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} \sum_{n \notin \frac{2B}{\nu}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} f(\nu(x + \frac{m}{2}), q_1) g(\nu(x - \frac{n}{2}), q_2) e^{-i(nq_1 + mq_2)} d^2 q \right|. \quad (\text{B1}) \end{aligned}$$

Let us first bound the last two lines. For the last line we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \notin \frac{2B}{\nu}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(m+n)p} (f_1(\nu(x + \frac{m}{2})))_n (g_1(\nu(x - \frac{n}{2})))_m & \leq \sum_{m \notin \frac{2B}{\nu}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(f_1(\nu(x + \frac{m}{2})))_n| |(g_1(\nu(x - \frac{n}{2})))_m| \\ & \leq \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |(f_1(y))_n| \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{m \notin \frac{2B}{\nu}} |(g_1(y))_m| \leq K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}}, \quad (\text{B2}) \end{aligned}$$

which is also an upper bound for the other line (after having interchanged  $f$  and  $g$ ). Thus the left hand side of (B1) is upper bounded by  $2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}}$ . We can now use the Taylor's theorem and expand  $f$  up to order  $k - 1$  with the integral form of the reminder. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_\nu(\frac{y}{\nu}, p) - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m, n \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial_1^j f(y, q_1) (\nu \frac{m}{2})^j e^{-in q_1}}{j!} g(y - \frac{\nu n}{2}, q_2) e^{-im q_2} d^2 q \right| \leq \\ & 2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}} + \left| \sum_{m, n \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_0^{\frac{m}{2}\nu} \frac{(\partial_1^k f_1(y+t))_n (\frac{m}{2}\nu - t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} dt (g_1(y - \frac{\nu n}{2}))_m \right| \leq \\ & 2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}} + \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^k}{k!} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \partial_1^k f_1(y) \rangle_n^B \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |m|^k \langle g_1(y) \rangle_m^B = 2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}} + \frac{(\frac{\nu}{2})^k}{k!} K_{0,k}^\Lambda(y) K_{k,0}^\Lambda(y). \quad (\text{B3}) \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor expanding also  $g$  up to order  $k - 1 - j$  in the first sum we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_\nu\left(\frac{y}{\nu}, p\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{m, n \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} e^{i(m+n)p} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial^j f(y, q_1) (\nu \frac{y}{\nu})^j e^{-in q_1}}{j!} \sum_{j'=0}^{k-1-j} \frac{\partial^{j'} g(y, q_2) (-\nu \frac{y}{\nu})^{j'} e^{-im q_2}}{j'!} d^2 q \right| \leq \\ & 2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{K_{k-j, j}^\Lambda(y) K_{j, k-j}^\Lambda(y)}{j!(k-j)!} \quad (\text{B4}) \end{aligned}$$

The expression that approximates  $h_\nu(\frac{y}{\nu}, p)$  can be manipulated by moving the sums in front of everything else and integrate by parts  $j'$  times in  $q_1$  and  $j$  times in  $q$ , each time integrating out the phases  $e^{-in q_1}$  and  $e^{-im q_2}$ . This results in

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_\nu\left(\frac{y}{\nu}, p\right) \right. \\ & \left. - \sum_{m, n \in \frac{2B}{\nu}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{e^{in q_1} e^{im q_2}}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(i\nu \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2})^j}{j!} f(y_1, p_1 - q_1) g(y_2, p_2 - q_2) d^2 q \right|_{\substack{p_1=p_2=p \\ y_1=y_2=y}} \leq \\ & 2K^2 \rho^{\frac{2\Delta}{\nu}} + \left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{K_{k-j, j}^\Lambda(y) K_{j, k-j}^\Lambda(y)}{j!(k-j)!}. \quad (\text{B5}) \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we can upper bound the discrepancy when extending the sums over  $m$  and  $n$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which finally gives (4.2).  $\square$

*Proof of lemma 4.3.* By assumption all the symbols in the orbit of the flow have left and right factorisations with bounded star logarithm. Let us then impose the Ansatz

$$f_\pm^{R/L}(x, p) = \sum_{j=0}^k f_j^{R/L\pm}(x\nu, p) \frac{\nu^j}{j!} + O(\nu^{k+1}) \quad (\text{B6})$$

for some functions  $f_j^{R/L\pm}(y, p)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  and assume that  $f_j^{R/L\pm}(y, p)$  are of class  $C^{k+1-j}$  in the first argument and smooth in the second. This assumption will be shown to hold by construction. We are in a position to apply Lemma 4.1, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x\nu, p) = \\ & \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{\nu^m}{m!} \sum_{j_1=0}^m \sum_{j_2=0}^{m-j_1} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2} \left( i\sigma_H \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^{m-j_1-j_2} f_{j_1}^{H-}(y_1, p_1) f_{j_2}^{H+}(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{y_1=y_2=x\nu \\ p_1=p_2=p}} \\ & + O(\nu^{k+1}) \quad (\text{B7}) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $x\nu \in \Omega$  and  $p \in \mathbb{R}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $H \in \{L, R\}$  and  $\sigma_R = -\sigma_L = 1$ . Treating  $\nu$  and  $x\nu$  as independent variables and imposing that the coefficients of the asymptotic polynomial in  $\nu$  shown in (B7) are zero, we obtain the recurrence equation

$$\delta_{m,0} f(y, p) = \sum_{j_1=0}^m \sum_{j_2=0}^{m-j_1} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2} \left( i\sigma_H \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^{m-j_1-j_2} f_{j_1}^{H-}(y_1, p_1) f_{j_2}^{H+}(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{y_1=y_2=y \\ p_1=p_2=p}} \quad (\text{B8})$$

For  $m = 0$ , it reads  $f = f_0^{H-} f_0^{H+}$  and is solved by

$$f_0^{H\pm} = e^{(\log f)^\pm} \quad (\text{B9})$$

For  $m = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , we can rewrite Eq. (B8) as

$$0 = f_m^{H-} f_0^{H+} + f_0^{H-} f_m^{H+} - f \Omega_m^H, \quad (\text{B10})$$

where we have defined the functions

$$\Omega_m^H(y, p) = -\frac{1}{f} \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ j_2 \neq m}}^{m-j_1} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2} \left( i\sigma_H \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^{m-j_1-j_2} f_{j_1}^{H-}(y_1, p_1) f_{j_2}^{H+}(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{y_1=y_2=y \\ p_1=p_2=p}}. \quad (\text{B11})$$

Dividing by  $f = f_0^{H-} f_0^{H+}$  we get

$$e^{-(\log f)^-} f_m^{H-} + e^{-(\log f)^+} f_m^{H+} = \Omega_m^H, \quad (\text{B12})$$

which is solved by

$$f_m^{H\pm} = e^{(\log f)^\pm} (\Omega_m^H)^\pm, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, k \quad (\text{B13})$$

We can then rewrite  $\Omega_m^H(y, p)$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_m^H(y, p) &= -e^{-(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1) - (\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ j_2 \neq m}}^{m-j_1} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2} \left( i\sigma_H \frac{\partial_{p_1} \partial_{y_2} - \partial_{y_1} \partial_{p_2}}{2} \right)^{m-j_1-j_2} \\ &\quad e^{(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1)} \Omega_{j_1}^{H-}(y_1, p_1) e^{(\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \Omega_{j_2}^{H+}(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{y_1=y_2=y \\ p_1=p_2=p}} = \\ &= -\sum_{j_1=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\substack{j_2=0 \\ j_2 \neq m}}^{m-j_1} \binom{m}{j_1, j_2} \left( i\frac{\sigma_H}{2} \left( e^{-(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1)} \partial_{p_1} e^{(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1)} e^{-(\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \partial_{y_2} e^{(\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - e^{-(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1)} \partial_{y_1} e^{(\log f)^-(y_1, p_1)} e^{-(\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \partial_{p_2} e^{(\log f)^+(y_2, p_2)} \right) \right)^{m-j_1-j_2} \\ &\quad \Omega_{j_1}^{H-}(y_1, p_1) \Omega_{j_2}^{H+}(y_2, p_2) \Big|_{\substack{y_1=y_2=y \\ p_1=p_2=p}}, \quad (\text{B14}) \end{aligned}$$

which, using  $e^{-g} \partial e^g = \partial + (\partial g)$ , reduces to  $\psi_m^H[\nabla \log f](y, p)$ , as defined in (4.14), and hence (B13) implies (4.15). Finally,  $f_j^{R/L\pm}(x\nu, p)$  as defined are  $C^{k+1-j}$  by construction. We have thus shown that the symbols  $d_\pm^{R/L}$  represented by the functions  $(x, p) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^k f_j^{R/L\pm}(x\nu, p) \frac{\nu^j}{j!}$  satisfy  $d_+^L \star d_-^L = a + O(\nu^{k+1})$ ,  $d_-^R \star d_+^R = a + O(\nu^{k+1})$ . Lemma 4.2 implies then  $a_\pm^{R/L} = d_\pm^{R/L} + O(\nu^{k+1})$ .  $\square$

*Proof of lemma 4.5.* The first property follows from the chain of identities

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} (g(x, p) + \epsilon \phi_m(x, p)) - \prod_{i=1}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} (g(x, p))}{\epsilon} dp dx = \\ &\quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^k \partial_1^{c_j} \partial_2^{d_j} \phi_m(x, p) \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) dp dx = \\ &\quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_m(x, p) (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^k \phi(x, p) (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \quad (\text{B15}) \end{aligned}$$

with the identification of  $\delta_g F[g](\phi)$  with the last line. For the second identity, we plug  $\delta_g F[g](\phi)$  in (4.33) and integrate over  $s$ , which gives (4.35). We can now compute the variation under a



perturbation with a bulk function  $\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_g G[g](\phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \sum_{\ell \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_\ell} \partial_2^{d_\ell} \phi(x, p) \prod_{i \neq j, \ell}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B16})$$

If we integrate the integral in the second line by parts  $c_j$  times with respect to  $x$  and  $d_j$  times with respect to  $p$ , the boundary terms vanish because the derivatives of the bulk function are bulk functions. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_g G[g](\phi) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \partial_1^{c_j} \partial_2^{d_j} g(x, p) \sum_{\ell \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_\ell} \partial_2^{d_\ell} \phi(x, p) \prod_{i \neq j, \ell}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) dp dx = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(x, p) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\ell \neq j}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_\ell} (-\partial_2)^{d_\ell} \left( \prod_{i \neq \ell}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx = \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \phi(x, p) \sum_{j=1}^k (-\partial_1)^{c_j} (-\partial_2)^{d_j} \left( \prod_{i \neq j}^k \partial_1^{c_i} \partial_2^{d_i} g(x, p) \right) dp dx, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B17})$$

quod erat demonstrandum.  $\square$

**Lemma B.1.** Consider two smooth functions  $f, g : \mathbb{C} \times \Sigma_\rho^{(2\pi)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that are entire with respect to their first argument and satisfy

$$\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, p) \right| \leq M^n, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} g(x, p) \right| \leq M^n \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \rho < |e^{ip}| < \rho^{-1}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (\text{B18})$$

for some  $M > 0$ . If  $M < 2|\log \rho|$  then the star product is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (f \star g)(x, p) &= e^{i \frac{\partial_p \partial_y - \partial_q \partial_x}{2}} f(x, p) g(y, q) \Big|_{\substack{q=p \\ y=x}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{i^{m-n}}{2^{m+n} m! n!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial y^m} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial q^n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} [f(x, p) g(y, q)] \Big|_{\substack{q=p \\ y=x}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B19})$$

where the double series is absolutely convergent.

*Proof.*

We start from the right hand side of Eq. (B19) and show that it equals the star product. By assumption we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ijq} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, q) dq \right| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=\rho_1^{-\text{sgn}(j)}} z^{-j-1} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, p) \Big|_{e^{ip}=z} dz \right| \leq M^n \rho_1^{|j|} \quad (\text{B20})$$

for any  $\rho_1 \in (\rho, 1)$ . By Fourier expanding  $f$  and  $g$  we obtain terms of the form

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial p^m} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ijp} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, q_1) e^{-iq_1 j} dq_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (ij)^m e^{ijp} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, q_1) e^{-iq_1 j} dq_1, \quad (\text{B21})$$

where that the derivatives and the series can be interchanged because the resulting series are uniformly convergent (this can be seen, e.g., using the bound (B20) to set a Weierstrass M-test). We are thus left with

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i \frac{\partial_p \partial_y - \partial_q \partial_x}{2}} f(x, p) g(y, q) \Big|_{\substack{q=p \\ y=x}} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{(-j)^m k^n}{m! n! 2^{m+n}} e^{i(j+k)p} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, q_1) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} g(x, q_2) e^{-i(q_1 j + q_2 k)} d^2 q \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B22})$$

This series is absolutely convergent, indeed, using (B20), we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{m! n!} \left| \frac{j}{2} \right|^m \left| \frac{k}{2} \right|^n \left| \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, q_1) \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} g(x, q_2) d^2 q \right| \quad (\text{B23})$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{m! n!} \left| \frac{j}{2} \right|^m \left| \frac{k}{2} \right|^n \rho_1^{|j|+|k|} M^{m+n} \quad (\text{B24})$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\frac{M}{2}|j| + \frac{M}{2}|k|\right) \rho_1^{|j|+|k|}, \quad (\text{B25})$$

which converges for any  $\rho_1$  such that  $M/2 + \log \rho_1 < 0$ . We can therefore interchange the order of summation in (B22), which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i \frac{\partial_p \partial_y - \partial_q \partial_x}{2}} f(x, p) g(y, q) \Big|_{\substack{q=p \\ y=x}} = \\ & \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(j+k)p} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} e^{-i(q_1 j + q_2 k)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{k}{n}}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x^n} f(x, q_1) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-j}{m}}{m!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} g(x, q_2) d^2 q = \\ & \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i(j+k)p} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^2} e^{-i(q_1 j + q_2 k)} f\left(x + \frac{k}{2}, q_1\right) g\left(x - \frac{j}{2}, q_2\right) d^2 q = (f \star g)(x, p), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B26})$$

where in the third equality we used that the function is entire and hence equal to its Taylor series (uniformly convergent in all variables), and in the fourth equality we recognised the star product (2.4).

## References

- [1] Deift, P., Its, A., Krasovsky, I.: Asymptotics of Toeplitz, Hankel, and Toeplitz+Hankel determinants with Fisher-Hartwig singularities. *Annals of Mathematics* **174**, 1243 (2011) <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2011.174.2.12>
- [2] Gharakhloo, R., Its, A.: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach to Asymptotic Analysis of Toeplitz+Hankel Determinants. *SIGMA* **16**, 100 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2020.100>
- [3] Fagotti, M., Calabrese, P.: Universal parity effects in the entanglement entropy of XX chains

- with open boundary conditions. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2011**(01), 01017 (2011) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2011/01/P01017>
- [4] Stéphan, J.-M.: Emptiness formation probability, toeplitz determinants, and conformal field theory. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2014**(5), 05010 (2014) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2014/05/P05010>
- [5] Fagotti, M.: Local conservation laws in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XY chains with open boundary conditions. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2016**(6), 063105 (2016) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2016/06/063105>
- [6] Bonsignori, R., Calabrese, P.: Boundary effects on symmetry resolved entanglement. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **54**(1), 015005 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abcc3a>
- [7] Fagotti, M.: Locally quasi-stationary states in noninteracting spin chains. *SciPost Phys.* **8**, 048 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.8.3.048>
- [8] Zachos, C.K., Fairlie, D.B., Curtright, T.L.: *Quantum Mechanics in Phase Space*. World Scientific (2005). <https://doi.org/10.1142/5287>
- [9] Groenewold, H.J.: On the principles of elementary quantum mechanics. *Physica* **12**(7), 405–460 (1946) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914\(46\)80059-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-8914(46)80059-4)
- [10] Tilli, P.: Locally Toeplitz sequences: spectral properties and applications. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **278**(1), 91–120 (1998) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795\(97\)10079-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795(97)10079-9)
- [11] Serra-Capizzano, S.: Generalized locally toeplitz sequences: Spectral analysis and applications to discretized partial differential equations. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* **366**(SPEC. ISS.), 371–402 (2003) [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795\(02\)00504-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0024-3795(02)00504-9)
- [12] Garoni, C., Serra-Capizzano, S.: *Generalized Locally Toeplitz Sequences: Theory and Applications*, Vol. 1. Springer Cham (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53679-8>
- [13] Steffen Roch, B.S.: Szegő limit theorems for operators with almost periodic diagonals. *Oper. Matrices* **1**, 1 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.7153/oam-01-01>
- [14] Ehrhardt, T., Roch, S., Silbermann, B.: A Strong Szegő–Widom Limit Theorem for operators with almost periodic diagonal. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **260**(1), 30–75 (2011) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2010.07.016>
- [15] Böttcher, A., Silbermann, B.: *Introduction to Large Truncated Toeplitz Matrices*. Springer, New York (1999)
- [16] Szegő, G.: On certain hermitian forms associated with the fourier series of a positive function. *Festschrift Marcel Riesz* (1952)
- [17] Simon, B.: *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle: Part 1: Classical Theory*. American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Providence, Rhode Island (2005)
- [18] Deift, P., Its, A., Krasovsky, I.: Toeplitz matrices and toeplitz determinants under the impetus of the ising model: Some history and some recent results. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **66**(9), 1360–1438 (2013) <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.21467> <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/cpa.21467>
- [19] Fisher, M.E., Hartwig, R.E.: *Toeplitz Determinants: Some Applications, Theorems, and Conjectures*, pp. 333–353. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd, ??? (1969). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470143605.ch18>

- [20] Hartwig, R.E., Fisher, M.E.: Asymptotic behavior of toeplitz matrices and determinants. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis* **32**(3), 190–225 (1969) <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00247509>
- [21] Krasovsky, I.: Aspects of toeplitz determinants. In: Lenz, D., Sobieczky, F., Woess, W. (eds.) *Random Walks, Boundaries and Spectra*, pp. 305–324. Springer, Basel (2011)
- [22] Widom, H.: On the Limit of Block Toeplitz Determinants. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* **50**, 167–173 (1975) <https://doi.org/10.2307/2040534>
- [23] Widom, H.: Asymptotic behavior of block Toeplitz matrices and determinants. II. *Advances in Mathematics* **21**(1), 1–29 (1976) [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(76\)90113-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(76)90113-4)
- [24] Böttcher, A., Widom, H.: Szegő via Jacobi. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **419**(2), 656–667 (2006) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2006.06.009>
- [25] Jin, B.-Q., Korepin, V.E.: Quantum spin chain, toeplitz determinants and the fisher–hartwig conjecture. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **116**(1), 79–95 (2004) <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSS.0000037230.37166.42>
- [26] Keating, J.P., Mezzadri, F.: Random matrix theory and entanglement in quantum spin chains. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **252**(1), 543–579 (2004) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-004-1188-2>
- [27] Franchini, F., Abanov, A.G.: Asymptotics of Toeplitz determinants and the emptiness formation probability for the XY spin chain. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General* **38**(23), 5069 (2005) <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/38/23/002>
- [28] Its, A.R., Jin, B.-Q., Korepin, V.E.: Entropy of XY Spin Chain and Block Toeplitz Determinants. *Fields Institute Communications* **50**, 151 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1090/fic/050/07>
- [29] Ovchinnikov, A.A.: Fisher–Hartwig conjecture and the correlators in XY spin chain. *Physics Letters A* **366**(4), 357–362 (2007) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2007.02.061>
- [30] Calabrese, P., Essler, F.H.L.: Universal corrections to scaling for block entanglement in spin-1/2 XX chains. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2010**(08), 08029 (2010) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2010/08/P08029>
- [31] Gutman, D.B., Gefen, Y., Mirlin, A.D.: Non-equilibrium 1d many-body problems and asymptotic properties of toeplitz determinants. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **44**(16), 165003 (2011) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/16/165003>
- [32] Calabrese, P., Essler, F.H.L., Fagotti, M.: Quantum quenches in the transverse field Ising chain: II. Stationary state properties. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2012**(07), 07022 (2012) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2012/07/P07022>
- [33] Bernigau, H., Kastoryano, M.J., Eisert, J.: Mutual information area laws for thermal free fermions. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2015**(2), 02008 (2015) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2015/02/P02008>
- [34] Groha, S., Essler, F.H.L., Calabrese, P.: Full counting statistics in the transverse field Ising chain. *SciPost Phys.* **4**, 043 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.4.6.043>
- [35] Ares, F., Esteve, J.G., Falceto, F., Zimborás, Z.: Sublogarithmic behaviour of the entanglement entropy in fermionic chains. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2019**(9), 093105 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ab38b6>
- [36] Basor, E., Dubail, J., Emig, T., Santachiara, R.: Modified Szegő–Widom Asymptotics for

- Block Toeplitz Matrices with Zero Modes. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **174**(1), 28–39 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-018-2177-8>
- [37] Bonsignori, R., Ruggiero, P., Calabrese, P.: Symmetry resolved entanglement in free fermionic systems. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **52**(47), 475302 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ab4b77>
- [38] Jones, N.G., Verresen, R.: Asymptotic correlations in gapped and critical topological phases of 1d quantum systems. *Journal of Statistical Physics* **175**(6), 1164–1213 (2019) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10955-019-02257-9>
- [39] Marić, V., Franchini, F.: Asymptotic behavior of toeplitz determinants with a delta function singularity. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **54**(2), 025201 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abcd55>
- [40] Jones, N.G., Thorngren, R., Verresen, R.: Bulk-boundary correspondence and singularity-filling in long-range free-fermion chains. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 246601 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.130.246601>
- [41] Bocini, S., Fagotti, M.: No eigenstate of the critical transverse-field Ising chain satisfies the area law. *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 201116 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L201116>
- [42] Fagotti, M., Marić, V., Zadnik, L.: Nonequilibrium symmetry-protected topological order: Emergence of semilocal gibbs ensembles. *Phys. Rev. B* **109**, 115117 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.109.115117>
- [43] Baik, J., Deift, P., Suidan, T.: *Combinatorics and Random Matrix Theory*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (2016)
- [44] Bastianello, A., Bertini, B., Doyon, B., Vasseur, R.: Introduction to the Special Issue on Emergent Hydrodynamics in Integrable Many-Body Systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2022**(1), 014001 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/ac3e6a>
- [45] Basor, E.L., Widom, H.: On a toeplitz determinant identity of borodin and okounkov. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* **37**(4), 397–401 (2000) <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01192828>
- [46] Helton, J.W., Howe, R.E.: Integral operators: Traces, index, and homology. In: Fillmore, P.A. (ed.) *Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory*, pp. 141–209. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1973). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0058919>
- [47] Ehrhardt, T.: A generalization of Pincus’ formula and Toeplitz operator determinants. *Archiv der Mathematik* **80**(3), 302–309 (2003) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-003-0470-4>
- [48] Böttcher, A., Silbermann, B.: *Analysis of Toeplitz Operators*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-32436-4>
- [49] Bocini, S.: Connected correlations in partitioning protocols: A case study and beyond. *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 027 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhys.15.1.027>