

News Without Borders: Domain Adaptation of Multilingual Sentence Embeddings for Cross-lingual News Recommendation

Andreea Iana¹, Fabian David Schmidt², Goran Glavaš², Heiko Paulheim¹,

¹Data and Web Science Group, University of Mannheim, Germany

²Center For Artificial Intelligence and Data Science, University of Würzburg, Germany

{andreea.iana, heiko.paulheim}@uni-mannheim.de, {fabian.schmidt, goran.glavas}@uni-wuerzburg.de

Abstract

Rapidly growing numbers of multilingual news consumers pose an increasing challenge to news recommender systems in terms of providing customized recommendations. First, existing neural news recommenders, even when powered by multilingual language models (LMs), suffer substantial performance losses in zero-shot cross-lingual transfer (ZS-XLT). Second, the current paradigm of fine-tuning the backbone LM of a neural recommender on task-specific data is computationally expensive and infeasible in few-shot recommendation and cold-start setups, where data is scarce or completely unavailable. In this work, we propose a news-adapted sentence encoder (NaSE), domain-specialized from a pretrained massively multilingual sentence encoder (SE). To this end, we construct and leverage PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel, two multilingual news-specific corpora. With the news-adapted multilingual SE in place, we test the effectiveness of (i.e., question the need for) supervised fine-tuning for news recommendation, and propose a simple and strong baseline based on (i) frozen NaSE embeddings and (ii) late click-behavior fusion. We show that NaSE achieves state-of-the-art performance in ZS-XLT in true cold-start and few-shot news recommendation.

1 Introduction

News recommender systems constitute the primary instrument used by digital news platforms to cater to readers' individual information needs. The ever increasing language diversity of online users has given rise to new challenges for news recommenders. Recommender systems must not only produce suitable, balanced, and diverse recommendations for multilingual news consumers from a variety of language backgrounds, but also accurately perform in cold-start scenarios, where news data, user click logs, or both are missing.

On the one hand, recent advancements in pre-trained (multilingual) language models (LMs),

used as the backbone of neural news recommenders (NNRs), has allowed to extend NNRs beyond monolingual recommendation (Wu et al., 2021; Guo et al., 2023). In cross-lingual transfer (XLT), however, even NNRs based on multilingual LMs display drastic performance loss in target-language recommendation compared to their source-language (usually English) recommendation performance (Iana et al., 2024). Although accurate XLT is critical especially for resource-lean(er) languages with limited-to-no click behavior data, strong multilingual sentence encoders (SEs) – trained exactly to align sentence semantics across a large number of languages (including many low-resource ones) – have largely been left unexplored as news encoding backbones in NNRs.

On the other hand, current NNRs typically fine-tune the underlying LM on task-specific data. Not only is fine-tuning a time and resource-intensive process, it is, more critically, infeasible in many real-world scenarios, with: little-to-no news-click data (i) for news in the target language (for particularly low-resource ones) or (ii) for the specific user (the so-called cold-start problem) (Wu et al., 2024). Even when using frozen embeddings, most NNRs strictly require in-domain data to learn meaningful user representations for prediction (i.e., to train their parameterized user encoders to aggregate the embeddings of consumed news).

In this context, multilingual sentence encoders, which align sentence-level semantics across a broad range of languages (Yang et al., 2019; Artetxe and Schwenk, 2019; Yang et al., 2020; Feng et al., 2022), hold the promise of reducing the performance gap in XLT for NNRs. Multilingual SEs, however, have not been trained for news encoding, i.e., they lack the news domain-specific knowledge, which is crucial for performance in XLT news recommendation and cold-start scenarios. Moreover, even if equipped with robustly domain-adapted LMs, the majority of NNRs would still require fine-

tuning on click-behavior data to learn the weights of their trainable user encoder modules.

Contributions. We address the above limitations and advance cross-lingual and cold-start news recommendation with the following contributions: (1) We construct PolyNews¹ and PolyNewsParallel², two multilingual news-specific corpora which can be used not only for domain-adaptation of existing LMs, but also for machine translation (MT). (2) We train a news-adapted multilingual sentence encoder (dubbed NaSE) by domain-specializing a general-purpose multilingual SE on PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel with denoising auto-encoding and MT objectives.³ (3) Leveraging frozen NaSE embeddings and resorting to non-parameterized late click-behavior fusion (Iana et al., 2023b), we introduce a simple and strong recommendation technique that yields state-of-the-art performance in zero-shot cross-lingual transfer (ZS-XLT) recommendation in cold-start setups, as well as in few-shot recommendation. This challenges the established paradigm of fine-tuning LMs for news recommendation on click-behavior data.

2 Related Work

News Recommendation. Personalized news recommenders attenuate the information overload for readers by generating suggestions customized to their preferences (Li and Wang, 2019; Wu et al., 2023). Most NNRs comprise a dedicated (i) news encoder (NE), (ii) user encoder (UE), and (iii) click predictor. The NE learns news embeddings from various input features (Wu et al., 2019b,a, 2023) and the UEs aggregate the embeddings of the users’ clicked news into user-level representations (Okura et al., 2017; An et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2022b). Lastly, the recommendation score is computed by comparing the candidate’s embedding against the user representation (Wang et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2019a). NNRs are trained via standard classification objectives (Huang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2022a) and, more recently, contrastive objectives (Iana et al., 2023c; Liu et al., 2023).

The existing NNRs have two drawbacks. First, the quality of embeddings produced by NEs with vanilla multilingual LMs seems inadequate for XLT, with substantial performance losses for target lan-

guages (Iana et al., 2024). Yet the usage of multilingual SEs – precisely trained for cross-lingual semantic alignment across languages on parallel data – as backbone for NEs is unexplored. Second, *fine-tuning* of the NE (i.e., its LM) is required, which is both (i) computationally expensive, as it updates the LM’s hundreds of millions of parameters on large-scale click-behavior datasets, and, more critically, (ii) infeasible in setups with limited or no click-behavior data (e.g., with news in resource-lean languages or in cold-start setups, with no prior user behavior). In this work, we address these limitations by adapting a general-purpose multilingual SE for the news domain. As the NE’s backbone, this enables robust XLT for news recommendation and supports setups where task-specific fine-tuning is not possible.

Domain-Adaptation of Language Models. The most common approach for injecting domain knowledge into LMs is pretraining on in-domain data with self-supervised objectives, such as Masked Language Modeling (Devlin et al., 2019), or SimCSE (Gao et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Wang et al., 2022d). Specializing to a particular domain is done either from scratch (Beltagy et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020a; Lee et al., 2020) or by adapting already pretrained LMs (Gururangan et al., 2020; Hung et al., 2022). Training from scratch is computationally intensive and requires large-scale domain-specific corpora, often difficult to obtain (Wang et al., 2022b; Hung et al., 2023). Adaptation instead is a lighter-weight alternative, as it starts from the already pretrained LM, requiring more moderate amounts of in-domain data.

For SEs specifically, domain specialization is achieved by training for similarity or relevance estimation tasks with various self-supervised objectives (Wang et al., 2022a; Liu and Yang, 2022) or via in-domain data generation (Wang et al., 2022b). Current work mainly derives domain-specific SEs from general-purpose (i.e., not sentence-specialized) pretrained LMs. In this work, in contrast, we adapt an existing multilingual SE on in-domain data using denoising auto-encoding and machine translation objectives.

3 Multilingual News Corpora

A critical aspect of successful domain adaptation of multilingual LMs is the availability of high-quality training data. To this end, we first compile two large-scale multilingual corpora by leveraging ex-

¹<https://huggingface.co/datasets/aiana94/polynews>

²<https://huggingface.co/datasets/aiana94/polynews-parallel>

³<https://huggingface.co/aiana94/NaSE>




Figure 1: Number of texts (log-scale) for the 77 languages of PolyNews and the five provenance datasets.

isting news data collections.

PolyNews. We compile the multilingual non-parallel corpus PolyNews by combining news from the following five sources: (i) WikiNews (latest dump from May 2024)⁴, (ii) the train split of MasakhaNews (Adelani et al., 2023), (iii) the train split of MAFAND (Adelani et al., 2022), (iv) WMT-News (v2019), and (v) GlobalVoices (v2018q4) (Tiedemann, 2012). We group together the articles from all five sources according to language-script combinations (some languages, e.g., Serbian, have multiple scripts). We preprocess the resulting corpus by removing exact duplicates, as well as news written in scripts not corresponding to the language of the sub-collection (e.g., Arabic texts in a French or English collection). In addition, we remove the $K\%$ shortest texts per language (in terms of character length).⁵ We determine K separately for each of the five news collections, as the texts’ quality heavily depends on their provenance. WikiNews, for example, contains many short and uninformative texts, which we consider noise.⁶ Lastly, given the importance of avoiding text duplication in LM training (Lee et al., 2022), we perform MinHash (Broder, 1997) near de-duplication over all sentences of a language.⁷ Finally, PolyNews contains 3,913,873 news texts,

⁴<https://www.wikinews.org/>

⁵We do not use a fixed length, accounting for the varying expressivity of characters in different languages.

⁶We set $K = 15\%$ for WikiNews and $K = 3\%$ for the other four sources.

⁷Following preliminary manual inspection, we set the de-duplication similarity threshold to 0.9.

covering 77 languages and 19 scripts. Fig. 1 shows its distribution across languages and provenance. We further profile PolyNews in Appendix A.

PolyNewsParallel. We compile the translation corpus PolyNewsParallel from the parallel news collections MAFAND (Adelani et al., 2022), WMT-News and GlobalVoices (Tiedemann, 2012). We use the same preprocessing pipeline as for PolyNews, and remove near-duplicated texts from the source language. Our final parallel corpus contains 5,386,846 texts over 833 language pairs, spanning 64 languages and 17 scripts.⁸

4 News-Adapted Sentence Encoder

We obtain the news-adapted sentence encoder NaSE via sequence-to-sequence training on PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel. NaSE can be either (i) further fine-tuned downstream for news recommendation (i.e., on click-behavior data) or (ii) directly used as a strong NE in cross-lingual news recommendation, without any fine-tuning (see §6).

4.1 Domain Adaptation

Our first training objective (DAE) is an adaption of the transformer-based sequential denoising auto-encoding (TSDAE) approach from Wang et al. (2021), which we use to specialize a pretrained multilingual SE for the news domain. TSDAE encodes a corrupt version of the input sentence, obtained by adding discrete noise (e.g., token deletion), and then learns to reconstruct the original sentence from

⁸Fig. 3 shows the texts’ distribution across language pairs.

the encoding of the noisy input. TSDAE can be formalized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}_{SDAE}(\Theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} [\log P_{\Theta}(x|\tilde{x})] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} \left[\sum_{t=1}^l \log P_{\Theta}(x|\tilde{x}) \right] \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim D} \left[\sum_{t=1}^l \log \frac{\exp(h_t^T e_t)}{\sum_{i=1}^{|V|} \exp(h_t^T e_i)} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where D is the training corpus, $x = x_1 x_2 \dots x_l$ the input sentence with l tokens, \tilde{x} the corresponding corruption, e_t the sequence embedding of x_t , $|V|$ the vocabulary size, and h_t the hidden state at decoding step t . At inference, only the encoder is used to produce the embedding for the input text. We train NaSE as the TSDAE encoder, with the following adjustment. We initialize NaSE with the pretrained weights of the popular, widely used multilingual SE LaBSE (Feng et al., 2022).⁹

Our second training objective is domain-specific sequence-to-sequence machine translation (MT), for which we leverage the parallel data from PolyNewsParallel. For a given translation pair, we treat the input in the source language to be the ‘corruption’ \tilde{x} of the *target* sentence x in the target language, which is to be ‘reconstructed’. Using these two objective, DAE and MT, we train four different variants of NaSE (as the resulting encoder of the corresponding sequence-to-sequence model):

1. **NaSE_{DAE}** reconstructs the original input sentence from its corruption;
2. **NaSE_{MT}** generates the *target*-language translation of the *source*-language input sentence;
3. **NaSE_{DAE + MT}** combines the two objectives, by randomly choosing either reconstruction or translation for each batch; for parallel data, the reconstruction objective is applied independently on both source- and target-language sentences;
4. **NaSE_{SEQ}** is trained sequentially, first on reconstruction, and then on translation, i.e., we continue training the **NaSE_{DAE}** encoder for translation on parallel data. If not specified otherwise, with just **NaSE** we refer to the **NaSE_{SEQ}** variant.

We train **NaSE_{DAE}** on PolyNews, and **NaSE_{MT}** and **NaSE_{DAE + MT}** on PolyNewsParallel. **NaSE** (i.e., **NaSE_{SEQ}**), as a sequential combination of both training procedures, is trained first on PolyNews, and then on PolyNewsParallel.

⁹Because we start from an SE rather than vanilla LM, we remove the layer from the TSDAE architecture that pools token-level representation into a sentence embedding.

4.2 Training Details

Training Data. The distributions of both PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel are heavily skewed across languages, and the number of news texts for some low-resource languages is particularly very limited.¹⁰ We thus follow common practice and smoothen the per-language distribution when sampling for model training (Arivazhagan et al., 2019; Conneau et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2021). We first sample only languages and language-pairs that contain at least 100 texts in PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel, respectively. We then sample texts from language L by sampling from the modified distribution $p(L) \propto |L|^\alpha$, with $|L|$ as the number of examples in L and α as the smoothing rate hyperparameter ($\alpha < 1$ upsamples low-resource and downsamples high-resource languages). We set α to 0.3, the value found to balance the performance between high- and low-resource languages well (Conneau et al., 2020; Xue et al., 2021).

Training Settings. We follow Wang et al. (2021) and use token deletion with a ratio of 0.6 as the discrete corruption for the DAE variants of NaSE. In all training setups, we tie the encoder and decoder parameters, and initialize them with LaBSE weights. We train each model variant for 50K steps with a learning rate of $3e^{-5}$ using AdamW (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2018) as the optimizer. We checkpoint the model every 5K steps.

Validation Setup. We validate NaSE during training on cross-lingual news recommendation. Concretely, for each model checkpoint, we encode the clicked and candidate news using the *frozen* encoder. We adopt the late fusion approach (Iana et al., 2023b), and replace the parameterized UE with mean-pooling of dot-product scores between the candidate’s and clicked news’ embeddings. Eliminating a parameterized UE has two benefits: (1) increases computational efficiency of NaSE validation, as we do not have to train the NNR (i.e., its UE) and (2) isolates NaSE (i.e., the NE), as the only component that affects recommendation performance, eliminating UE as a confounder.

Validation Data. A robust multilingual SE should produce good embeddings across many languages. We thus validate the quality of the NaSE embeddings during training—for the purposes of model (i.e., optimal checkpoint) selection—on the *small* variants of the English MIND (Wu et al., 2020b)

¹⁰E.g., only 100 texts in PolyNews for Tigrinya or Kurdish.

and its multilingual xMIND (Iana et al., 2024) counterpart. xMIND comprises news articles from MIND machine-translated into 14 languages. To measure recommendation performance, we combine multilingual news articles from xMIND with the user click-behavior data from MIND; our final validation set covers user behavior from the last day of the MIND training set. Our resulting validation corpus covers 15 linguistically diverse languages, offering a more realistic estimate of the quality of multilingual sentence embeddings produced by NaSE for XLT in news recommendation.

5 Experimental Setup

Zero-shot cross-lingual (ZS-XLT) news recommendation is the task for which we primarily develop NaSE, and thus, the downstream task on which we evaluate it. In all experiments, we assume only monolingual news consumption, i.e., that each user reads news only in one language and, accordingly, also receives recommendations in one language.

Neural News Recommenders. We evaluate four diverse NNRs, mutually differing in the input fed to their NEs, as well as their UE architectures: (1) *NAML* (Wu et al., 2019a), (2) *MINS* (Wang et al., 2022c), (3) *CAUM* (Qi et al., 2022), and (4) *MANNeR* (Iana et al., 2023c).¹¹ Additionally, we consider three simpler yet competitive baselines: (5) *LFRec-CE* and (6) *LFRec-SCL*, and the text-agnostic (7) *NAML_{CAT}*. Both *LFRec-CE* and *LFRec-SCL* use a pretrained LM as the NE, and resort to the non-parameterized late fusion approach of Iana et al. (2023b) for aggregating the click behaviors. *LFRec-CE* is optimized by minimizing the cross-entropy (CE) loss, whereas *LFRec-SCL* minimizes the supervised contrastive loss (SCL) (Khosla et al., 2020), similar to *MANNeR*. Lastly, *NAML_{CAT}* is a text-agnostic variant of *NAML* which learns news embeddings purely as randomly-initialized and fine-tuned category vectors. Following Wu et al. (2021), we replace the original NEs of *NAML*, *MINS*, and *CAUM* – consisting of word embeddings contextualized with convolution or attention layers – with a pretrained LM. Concretely, we experiment with (1) the pretrained multilingual LM XLM-RoBERTa_{large} (Conneau et al., 2020),¹² (2) the BERT-based multilingual SE LaBSE (Feng

¹¹Only the base version with the CR-module without any A-module for aspect-based diversification.

¹²*NAML*, *MINS*, and *CAUM* pool token embeddings with an attention layer to obtain the sentence embedding from the LM, whereas *MANNeR* uses the vector of the CLS token.

	Train	Validation	Test
# News	51,282	51,282	42,416
# Users	45,214	19,703	48,593
# Impressions	124,229	29,498	70,938

Table 1: MIND (*small*) and xMIND (*small*) statistics. Note that for xMIND we report the statistics *per language*, i.e., in total xMIND contains 14 languages.

et al., 2022), and (3) our proposed NaSE. For further details about the recommenders’ architecture, we refer the reader to Appendix B.

Data. We conduct experiments on the *small* variants of the English MIND (Wu et al., 2020b) and the multilingual xMIND (Iana et al., 2024) datasets. As mentioned in §4.2, we couple the news texts from xMIND with the click-behavior data from MIND, via news IDs. Wu et al. (2020b) do not release test labels for MIND. Hence, we use the validation set for testing, and split the training set into temporarily disjoint portions for training (first four days) and validation (last day), as per Table 1.

Fine-Tuning Details. In all experiments that require LM fine-tuning, we update only the LM’s last four layers.¹³ We set the maximum length of click history to 50, and sample four negatives per positive in training, as per Wu et al. (2022a). We tune the main hyperparameters of all NNRs. We train the models for 10 epochs, with a batch size of 8 for the SE-based variants, and 4 for the XLM-RoBERTa_{large}-equipped NNRs. We train using mixed precision, the Adam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014), and a learning rate of 1e-5. We repeat runs three times (with different seeds) and report mean and standard deviations for common metrics: AUC, MRR, nDCG@5, and nDCG@10.¹⁴

6 Results and Discussion

We compare the NNRs’ performance for NEs with different LM/SE backbones in cross-lingual transfer for news recommendation. In this setup, the user history and candidates during training are *monolingual* and in *English* only. At inference, both the user history and the candidate news are solely in one of the 14 *target languages* of xMIND.

¹³In early experiments with XLM-RoBERTa_{base}, fine-tuning the whole LM did not bring gains compared to updating only the last four layers. For computational efficiency, we thus keep the bottom eight layers of LaBSE and NaSE, and the bottom 20 layers of XLM-RoBERTa_{large}, frozen.

¹⁴We refer the reader to Appendix C for further details about hyperparameter settings and computing infrastructure.

Model	# Parameters (M)		AUC			MRR			nDCG@5			nDCG@10		
	Trainable	Total	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ
Text & language-agnostic														
NAML _{CAT}	0.387	0.387	55.46±0.18	0.00	0.00	31.12±0.56	0.00	0.00	29.44±0.67	0.00	0.00	35.81±0.59	0.00	0.00
Fine-tuned news encoder (LM/SE)														
LFRec-CE _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	307	559	50.00±0.00	50.00±0.00	0.00	35.66±0.82	33.05±0.42	-2.61	33.68±0.91	31.23±0.52	-7.27	39.95±0.94	37.53±0.49	-6.06
LFRec-CE _{LaBSE}	414	470	62.89±1.50	62.20±1.08	-1.10	36.71±0.53	35.65±0.50	-2.90	35.04±0.67	33.88±0.62	-3.30	41.30±0.60	40.15±0.56	-2.77
LFRec-CE _{NaSE}	414	470	65.19±0.23	63.00±1.71	-3.35	36.24±0.47	33.39±1.07	-7.85	34.31±0.48	31.54±1.13	-8.07	40.74±0.44	37.92±1.13	-6.93
LFRec-SCL _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	307	559	50.00±0.00	50.00±0.00	0.00	29.73±2.17	26.43±2.72	-11.09	27.51±2.47	24.15±2.99	-12.21	33.70±2.72	30.44±3.02	-9.67
LFRec-SCL _{LaBSE}	414	470	68.94±0.87	67.29±0.75	-2.39	36.45±0.65	35.04±0.53	-3.87	34.93±0.62	33.42±0.49	-4.32	41.14±0.60	39.65±0.47	-3.62
LFRec-SCL _{NaSE}	414	470	68.63±1.23	66.73±0.91	-2.76	36.59±0.87	34.78±0.61	-4.95	34.80±0.07	33.09±0.57	-4.93	41.07±0.82	39.35±0.52	-4.17
NAML _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	312	564	52.85±2.27	52.49±2.60	-0.68	35.98±0.44	33.98±0.95	-5.56	34.11±0.46	32.13±1.08	-5.80	40.43±0.39	38.38±1.02	-5.06
NAML _{LaBSE}	414	471	51.59±0.71	51.93±0.65	+0.66	36.63±0.68	35.91±0.51	-1.98	34.93±0.80	34.14±0.53	-2.27	41.25±0.71	40.42±0.49	-1.99
NAML _{NaSE}	414	471	53.65±0.90	51.06±0.54	-4.83	36.97±0.68	34.38±0.95	-7.00	35.24±0.74	32.61±0.98	-7.46	41.62±0.66	38.94±0.93	-6.43
MINS _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	316	568	59.89±0.29	56.94±1.40	-4.93	34.75±0.24	33.11±0.51	-4.70	32.54±0.23	31.32±0.52	-3.75	39.35±0.20	37.64±0.50	-4.35
MINS _{LaBSE}	416	473	63.70±1.02	62.31±0.77	-2.19	34.31±0.80	33.58±0.58	-2.11	32.52±0.80	31.72±0.54	-2.46	39.06±0.69	38.22±0.46	-2.17
MINS _{NaSE}	416	473	62.33±0.95	60.81±1.23	-2.44	34.54±0.89	33.53±0.67	-2.92	32.79±1.06	31.83±0.73	-2.92	39.36±0.86	38.35±0.62	-2.58
CAUM _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	316	568	57.82±3.01	55.90±2.23	-3.32	32.92±1.68	31.38±1.62	-4.68	31.09±1.88	29.60±1.76	-6.50	37.49±1.71	35.96±1.58	-4.08
CAUM _{LaBSE}	417	474	64.92±0.83	63.52±0.80	-2.16	34.36±0.40	33.53±0.69	-2.69	32.82±0.19	31.73±0.55	-3.33	39.40±0.21	38.34±0.54	-2.70
CAUM _{NaSE}	417	474	64.54±0.53	62.54±0.37	-3.10	35.24±0.40	33.97±0.27	-3.61	33.42±0.38	32.03±0.30	-4.16	40.09±0.35	38.59±0.29	-3.74
MANNeR _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	310	562	50.00±0.00	50.00±0.00	0.00	35.58±0.31	33.03±0.54	-7.15	33.26±0.22	31.34±0.48	-5.77	39.17±0.21	37.64±0.44	-6.28
MANNeR _{LaBSE}	415	472	68.31±1.26	66.89±1.21	-2.09	36.52±0.65	35.24±0.52	-3.50	34.91±0.70	33.59±0.53	-3.78	41.11±0.65	39.81±0.48	-3.16
MANNeR _{NaSE}	415	472	67.22±0.40	65.29±0.68	-2.87	35.41±0.61	33.86±0.44	-4.36	33.49±0.38	32.12±0.39	-4.09	39.88±0.41	38.44±0.36	-3.59
Frozen news encoder (LM/SE)														
LFRec _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	0	559	50.00±0.00	50.00±0.00	-0.00	24.82±0.00	24.64±0.00	-0.72	22.17±0.00	22.27±0.00	+0.75	28.44±0.00	28.71±0.00	+0.92
LFRec _{LaBSE}	0	471	50.47±0.00	50.98±0.00	+1.00	30.96±0.00	28.99±0.00	-6.35	28.62±0.00	26.64±0.00	-6.92	34.83±0.00	32.95±0.00	-5.41
LFRec _{NaSE}	0	471	64.19±0.00	63.79±0.00	-0.62	34.74±0.00	33.96±0.00	-2.26	33.02±0.00	32.14±0.00	-2.66	39.01±0.00	38.23±0.00	-2.00
NAML _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	4.9	564	50.01±0.01	50.01±0.01	0.00	26.75±1.11	26.59±0.92	-0.62	24.58±1.18	24.29±0.92	-1.21	31.06±1.26	30.93±0.89	-0.42
NAML _{LaBSE}	0.156	471	61.17±0.01	59.15±0.36	-3.31	34.57±0.09	33.83±0.38	-2.15	32.90±0.02	32.01±0.38	-2.69	39.21±0.05	38.13±0.44	-2.75
NAML _{NaSE}	0.156	471	63.30±0.14	61.05±0.33	-3.55	33.89±0.16	32.73±0.21	-3.43	31.98±0.12	31.04±0.21	-2.94	38.47±0.10	37.47±0.18	-2.60
MINS _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	8.8	568	49.93±0.28	50.030±0.22	+0.74	29.77±0.42	28.13±1.49	-5.83	27.66±0.51	26.33±0.51	-5.04	33.96±0.52	32.74±1.47	-3.70
MINS _{LaBSE}	2.7	473	61.25±1.19	60.37±1.38	-1.43	32.69±0.35	32.00±0.51	-2.09	30.99±0.35	30.21±0.55	-2.51	37.37±0.39	36.50±0.55	-2.34
MINS _{NaSE}	2.7	473	60.19±0.64	58.62±0.81	-2.61	32.23±0.54	31.65±0.68	-1.80	30.52±0.62	29.91±0.75	-2.00	37.08±0.55	36.44±0.70	-1.73
CAUM _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	8.2	559	55.14±0.61	55.00±0.67	-0.25	28.55±0.71	28.40±0.76	-0.52	26.61±0.69	26.48±0.77	-0.49	33.26±0.59	33.14±0.69	-0.36
CAUM _{LaBSE}	3.7	474	62.82±0.77	61.35±0.74	-2.34	33.79±0.59	33.00±0.48	-2.33	31.92±0.65	31.16±0.54	-2.40	38.40±0.56	37.61±0.46	-2.05
CAUM _{NaSE}	3.7	474	64.40±0.61	62.69±0.64	-2.65	34.42±0.44	33.49±0.37	-2.72	32.73±0.40	31.63±0.34	-3.36	39.15±0.43	37.98±0.34	-2.98
MANNeR _{XLM-RoBERTa_{large}}	2.1	562	62.11±1.17	50.80±0.30	-18.21	30.88±1.42	23.86±0.27	-22.73	29.26±1.31	21.22±0.29	-27.46	35.56±1.18	27.78±0.25	-21.88
MANNeR _{LaBSE}	1.7	472	64.54±0.97	61.97±0.85	-3.98	33.96±0.87	31.45±0.76	-7.37	32.25±0.82	29.62±0.71	-8.15	38.51±0.77	35.82±0.67	-6.98
MANNeR _{NaSE}	1.7	472	65.51±1.07	64.14±0.78	-2.08	34.68±0.89	33.45±0.72	-3.54	32.94±0.92	31.78±0.71	-3.53	39.13±0.77	37.94±0.62	-3.05

Table 2: ZS-XLT recommendation performance. For each model, we report the size in terms of millions of trainable and total parameters, and the performance (i) on the English MIND dataset (denoted ENG), (ii) averaged across all 14 target languages in xMIND (denoted AVG), and (iii) the relative percentage difference between average ZS-XLT and ENG performance (% Δ). We report averages and standard deviations across three runs.

Fine-Tuning NEs. We first investigate the standard recommendation, in which task-specific data (i.e., click behavior information and news impressions) are used to train the NNR. The upper half of Table 2 displays the performance of the NNRs when the underlying NEs are fine-tuned (i.e., the weights of the backbone LM or SE are updated) for news recommendation, on English data.

While SE-powered NNRs outperform the text-agnostic baseline NAML_{CAT}, the NNRs relying on XLM-RoBERTa_{large} sometimes underperform this simple baseline. Similarly, XLM-RoBERTa_{large} underperforms LaBSE and NaSE on both English recommendation and in ZS-XLT, regardless of the NNR in which it is used. We believe this is because the XLM-RoBERTa_{large}-based NE first needs to learn how to aggregate token representations into sentence embeddings. These results clearly render (multilingual) SEs, pretrained to produce robust sentence embeddings, beneficial for news recommendation. SE-based NNRs achieve similar performance with LaBSE and NaSE, both in English, and in ZS-XLT on xMIND, i.e., NaSE does not bring gains over LaBSE from which we derived it.¹⁵ We argue that this is due to the fact that fine-tuning on

¹⁵Appendix D.1 provides detailed per-language results.

news recommendation also leads to domain adaptation: LaBSE itself becomes sufficiently specialized for the news domain through large-scale recommendation fine-tuning, compensating for NaSE’s task-agnostic domain adaptation.

Lastly, we note that the simple baselines LFRec-CE, and in particular LFRec-SCL, exhibit strong recommendation performance, often surpassing more complex models like CAUM or MINS, which have richer input (e.g., topical categories, named entities) and parameterized UEs. This is in line with the findings of Iana et al. (2023b) and questions the need for complex parameterized UEs.

Frozen NEs. Fine-tuning NE backbones (LMs with hundreds of millions of parameters) on large-scale recommendation data can be prohibitively expensive for many practitioners. We thus next analyze how NNRs perform with frozen NEs (i.e., no updates to LM/SE), allowing updates only to other (fewer) trainable parameters of NNRs. Specifically, the model can now only learn how to encode other input features, such as categories, or how to aggregate the news embeddings into user-level encoding, if equipped with parameterized UEs. For most models, freezing the NE reduces the number of trainable parameters by two orders of magnitude.

Model	AUC			MRR			nDCG@5			nDCG@10		
	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ	ENG	AVG	% Δ
10-shots												
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{LaBSE}	51.02±0.06	51.17±0.02	+0.30	31.17±0.16	29.02±0.08	-6.90	28.88±0.18	26.70±0.11	-7.57	35.11±0.18	33.01±0.10	-5.96
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{NaSE}	61.62±0.58	61.40±0.50	-0.35	33.54±0.30	32.98±0.26	-1.69	31.66±0.33	31.02±0.27	-2.03	37.70±0.28	37.15±0.25	-1.44
NAML _{LaBSE}	56.19±0.41	55.13±0.95	-1.89	33.14±0.18	31.56±0.96	-4.75	31.18±0.14	29.34±1.02	-5.89	37.23±0.18	35.63±1.01	-4.31
NAML _{NaSE}	54.50±0.52	54.51±0.37	+0.01	33.21±0.37	32.76±0.35	-1.34	31.26±0.56	30.94±0.43	-1.01	37.31±0.55	37.04±0.41	-0.70
50-shots												
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{LaBSE}	61.07±1.19	59.04±0.59	-3.32	32.36±0.51	30.94±0.29	-4.37	30.52±0.62	29.03±0.33	-4.90	36.69±0.63	35.26±0.30	-3.87
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{NaSE}	61.55±1.05	59.24±0.89	-3.75	33.17±0.39	31.77±0.39	-4.22	31.37±0.49	29.84±0.41	-4.87	37.43±0.47	35.98±0.40	-3.88
NAML _{LaBSE}	55.50±1.13	54.57±0.67	-1.67	32.93±0.85	31.54±0.56	-4.23	31.15±0.91	29.26±0.55	-5.75	37.21±0.87	35.60±0.52	-4.32
NAML _{NaSE}	53.32±0.21	53.47±0.38	+0.28	33.31±0.39	33.10±0.42	-0.64	31.42±0.32	31.24±0.36	-0.59	37.49±0.32	37.33±0.38	-0.41
100-shots												
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{LaBSE}	61.20±0.72	59.54±0.43	-2.72	33.19±0.72	31.10±0.58	-6.27	31.25±0.80	29.07±0.60	-6.97	37.34±0.73	35.32±0.53	-5.42
LFR _{rec} -SCL _{NaSE}	62.29±1.03	59.85±0.72	-3.92	33.40±0.42	32.07±0.42	-3.97	31.36±0.60	29.99±0.45	-4.36	37.52±0.64	36.17±0.45	-3.61
NAML _{LaBSE}	55.67±0.94	54.15±0.81	-2.72	34.24±0.52	31.41±0.86	-8.26	32.45±0.61	29.18±0.90	-10.06	38.33±0.61	35.40±0.88	-7.65
NAML _{NaSE}	52.98±0.34	53.38±0.39	+0.75	33.92±0.20	34.04±0.22	+0.37	31.90±0.18	32.06±0.22	+0.50	37.96±0.23	38.05±0.27	+0.23

Table 3: ZS-XLT performance in few-shot recommendation (i.e., for different number of impressions used in training). For each model, we report performance (i) on the English MIND dataset (denoted ENG), (ii) averaged across all 14 target languages in xMIND (denoted AVG), and (iii) the relative percentage difference between average ZS-XLT and ENG performance (% Δ). We report averages and standard deviations across three runs.

The lower half of Table 2 summarizes the recommendation performance of the NNRs with frozen NEs. Unsurprisingly, XLM-RoBERTa_{large}-based NNRs yield the weakest performance across all languages, as the LM itself cannot be tuned to encode token sequences. Out-of-the-box NaSE embeddings substantially outperform LaBSE in most cases: e.g., for nDCG@10 by 2.30% on English, and 3.69% cross-lingually (averaged over all 14 xMIND languages), averaged across all NNRs. The performance gap between LaBSE and NaSE becomes smaller when the NNR uses a more complex *trainable* UE (e.g., MINS): the UE parameters take over the domain-specialization task in large-scale fine-tuning.

Two key aspects point to successful (task-agnostic) domain-specialization of NaSE. **1)** We observe that for models without trainable UEs the relative performance loss in ZS-XLT compared to English performance is less pronounced with NaSE as NE than with LaBSE. As target-language data is often scarce in many real-world applications, closing the gap to performance on the source language on which the recommender is trained is crucial for multilingual news recommendation. **2)** Unlike XLM-RoBERTa_{large} or LaBSE, who both benefit from large-scale recommendation fine-tuning, NaSE’s performance when frozen is much closer to its fine-tuned performance. This calls into question the current paradigm of performing expensive supervised fine-tuning of the NNR’s NE.

Most importantly, coupled with a frozen NaSE NE, LFR_{rec} – a model with no other trainable parameters – achieves state-of-the-art performance over more complex, trainable NNRs. On the one hand, this demonstrates the effectiveness of the

news-specialized NaSE encoder (trained in a task-agnostic manner) over a general-purpose SE like LaBSE in news recommendation. On the other hand, it proves that LFR_{rec} can produce good recommendations in true cold-start setup, where no news or user data is available (for training a parameterized NNR). This is in contrast to all the other models that require task-specific data to learn meaningful user representations, used to compute the final recommendation scores. Notably, this means that with LFR_{rec} with (frozen) NaSE we obtain a state-of-the-art news recommendation performance without the need for any task-specific training for news recommendation. LFR_{rec} with (frozen) NaSE outperforms more complex NNRs (*NAML*, *MINS*, *CAUM*), with UEs trained for recommendation, in terms of ranking (i.e., MRR, nDCG@*k*), and performs on-par or better in terms of classification (i.e., AUC). These results suggest that domain-specialization of a multilingual SE (i.e., NaSE) removes the need for supervised training of NNRs.

Few-Shot Recommendation. To corroborate the previous findings, we also investigate the performance of the NNRs when the underlying NE is fine-tuned on just a few task-specific examples, namely in *few-shot recommendation*. Here, we assume only a handful of task-specific examples for training the NNRs. In terms of XLT, we stick to zero-shot XLT for recommendation, i.e., we assume that the few training instances that we have are all in the source language, i.e., English.¹⁶

Table 3 shows the results for 10, 50, and 100

¹⁶This should not be confused with few-shot XLT for recommendation, which assumes (few) target-language instances in the user histories during training, but overall relies on large amounts of task-specific training data (Iana et al., 2024).




Figure 2: Ranking performance w.r.t. nDCG@10 of LFRec with frozen NaSE embeddings for different training strategies of NaSE vs. LFRec with frozen LaBSE embeddings, over English and the 14 languages of xMIND.

shots, i.e., the number of impressions used to train the NNR.¹⁷ We again observe that, when fine-tuned on small amounts of data, NaSE as the NE generally outperforms LaBSE, especially for fewer shots (10 and 50). In 10-shot recommendation, NaSE’s relative gain in terms of nDCG@10 over LaBSE ranges from 3.81% (averaged over 14 target languages) and 0.21% on English (for NAML) to 11.14% and 6.87% (for LFRec-SCL), respectively. The differences between the two decrease with more training data (100 shots). Besides cold starts, these results render NaSE effective in ZS-XLT recommendation in realistic low-data setups.

Impact of Training Strategy. Lastly, we ablate NaSE’s performance for different training strategies. Fig. 2 shows the ranking performance (i.e., nDCG@10) of LFRec with frozen NaSE embeddings. We find that the denoising auto-encoding pretraining objective (DAE) underperforms all other NaSE configurations. Nonetheless, even LFRec with frozen NaSE_{DAE} embeddings achieves between 0.19% (on THA) and 11.43% (on FIN) relative improvements over the model using frozen LaBSE embeddings, outperforming it on 12 out of 15 languages. The translation objective seems highly effective for all languages: NaSE_{MT} brings up to 16.66% and 16.82% improvements relative to NaSE_{DAE}, and LaBSE, respectively. Interestingly, the gains seem largest for languages not present in PolyNewsParallel on which we train NaSE (e.g., THA) and those not seen by LaBSE in pretraining (e.g., GRN): this hints at positive XLT of domain-specialization via the MT training.

Training on both DAE and MT slightly improves DAE-only specialization for most languages. However, sequentially combining the two (NaSE_{SEQ}; referred to with just NaSE) brings further gains and overall best performance. We believe that this is due to the sequential training being exposed to

more data, i.e., both PolyNews (in the DAE stage) and PolyNewsParallel (in the MT stage). Compared to LaBSE, NaSE in this setup yields relative improvements ranging from 6.59% on ZHO, to 10.71% on ENG, and 17.58% on THA.

It is worth emphasizing that news-specialization of NaSE, with a translation-based objective, benefits also languages *not* included in PolyNewsParallel (e.g., THA, HAT, KAT), but also even languages not present in LaBSE’s large-scale parallel pretraining corpus (e.g., GRN). This points to the language-agnostic nature of news-specific domain specialization. This finding is in line with results from prior work in machine translation (Tran et al., 2020; Duquenne et al., 2023), which showed that modest amounts of parallel data (as in our PolyNewsParallel) improve the cross-lingual semantic alignment in multilingually trained encoder-decoder models. This then results in performance gains even for languages not present in the parallel corpora.

7 Conclusion

Current NNRs based on multilingual LMs (i) suffer from substantial performance losses in ZS-XLT recommendation, and (ii) usually require expensive fine-tuning of the LM used as the news encoder backbone: such fine-tuning is often infeasible, e.g., in cold-start setups without click-behavior data. In this work, we proposed NaSE, a news-adapted sentence encoder obtained through domain specialization of a pretrained multilingual SE. To this end, we compiled and leveraged two multilingual news-specific corpora, PolyNews and PolyNewsParallel. Our findings question the effectiveness of supervised fine-tuning for news recommendation. As an efficient solution, we proposed a simple and strong baseline based on frozen NaSE embeddings and late click behavior fusion that achieves state-of-the-art performance in ZS-XLT in true cold start and few-shot news recommendation.

¹⁷Appendix D.1 provides detailed per-language results.

Limitations

As our model is initialized from LaBSE and pre-trained on PolyNews, it inherits some of their limitations. For instance, it supports out of the box a limited set of 128 languages, i.e., the set of 109 languages included in LaBSE’s pretraining (Feng et al., 2022), and the 19 new languages included in PolyNews which are out-of-sample for LaBSE (cf. Table 4). Consequently, NaSE could be less effective as a sentence encoder for other languages.¹⁸ This additionally means that NaSE should be in principle useless for languages written in scripts that it has not seen in LaBSE’s or its own domain-adaptive pretraining, as the corresponding encoder will output a sequence of unknown tokens ([UNK]). Hence, NaSE supports only a tiny fraction of the world’s 7000+ languages (Joshi et al., 2020), similar to the majority of multilingual SEs.

Another limitation is that NaSE is evaluated on the xMIND dataset, and hence, its ZS-XLT performance estimation is bounded to English and the 14 languages of xMIND. Moreover, most of the languages included in xMIND are covered in the set of 138 languages supported by NaSE. This means that the average performance reported is highly likely a gross overestimate for languages unseen during pretraining. Our results from §6, however, show that NaSE also generalizes well to languages not seen during its pretraining (e.g., GRN). Overall, evaluating NaSE on a wider range of languages would provide a better estimate of its global ZS-XLT recommendation performance.

Ethical Considerations

We use publicly available datasets and benchmarks for training and evaluation, which are commonly used in the fields of NLP and recommender systems. As such, any biases or misinformation that might exist in the news and user data provided in the recommendation datasets could be propagated through the different recommender systems. Furthermore, the pretrained LMs and SEs used as the recommenders’ backbone could produce representations that reflect negative societal biases and stereotypes. Although our current work does not focus on mitigating them, many existing techniques for debiasing LMs (Qian et al., 2019; Kaneko and

¹⁸This would not necessarily hold true for out-of-sample languages that are closely related to the (high-resource) languages seen in LaBSE’s pretraining or NaSE’s domain-adaptive pretraining, as we have seen evidence in §6.

Bollegala, 2021; Guo et al., 2022) can be directly applied to NaSE without special modifications.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge support by the state of Baden-Württemberg through bwHPC and the German Research Foundation (DFG) through grant INST 35/1597-1 FUGG.

References

- David Adelani, Jesujoba Alabi, Angela Fan, Julia Kreutzer, Xiaoyu Shen, Machel Reid, Dana Ruitter, Dietrich Klakow, Peter Nabende, Ernie Chang, Tajudeen Gwadabe, Freshia Sackey, Bonaventure F. P. Dossou, Chris Emezue, Colin Leong, Michael Beukman, Shamsuddeen Muhammad, Guyo Jarso, Oreen Yousuf, Andre Niyongabo Rubungo, Gilles Hacheme, Eric Peter Wairagala, Muhammad Umair Nasir, Benjamin Ajibade, Tunde Ajayi, Yvonne Gitau, Jade Abbott, Mohamed Ahmed, Millicent Ochieng, Anuoluwapo Aremu, Perez Ogayo, Jonathan Mukiibi, Fatoumata Ouoba Kabore, Godson Kalipe, Derguene Mbaye, Allahsera Auguste Tapo, Victoire Memdjokam Koagne, Edwin Munkoh-Buabeng, Valencia Wagner, Idris Abdulmumin, Ayodele Awokoya, Happy Buzaaba, Blessing Sibanda, Andiswa Bukula, and Sam Manthalu. 2022. [A few thousand translations go a long way! leveraging pre-trained models for African news translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 3053–3070, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David Ifeoluwa Adelani, Marek Masiak, Israel Abebe Azime, Jesujoba Alabi, Atnafu Lambebo Tonja, Christine Mwase, Odunayo Ogundepo, Bonaventure F. P. Dossou, Akintunde Oladipo, Doreen Nixdorf, Chris Chinenye Emezue, Sana Al-azzawi, Blessing Sibanda, Davis David, Lolwethu Ndolela, Jonathan Mukiibi, Tunde Ajayi, Tatiana Moteu, Brian Odhiambo, Abraham Owodunni, Nnaemeka Obiefuna, Muhidin Mohamed, Shamsuddeen Hassan Muhammad, Teshome Mulugeta Ababu, Saheed Abdullahi Salahudeen, Mesay Gemeda Yigezu, Tajudeen Gwadabe, Idris Abdulmumin, Mahlet Taye, Oluwabusayo Awoyomi, Iyanuoluwa Shode, Tolupe Adelani, Habiba Abdulganiyu, Abdul-Hakeem Omotayo, Adetola Adeeko, Abeeb Afolabi, Anuoluwapo Aremu, Olanrewaju Samuel, Clemencia Siro, Wangari Kimotho, Onyekachi Ogbu, Chinedu Mbonu, Chiamaka Chukwuneke, Samuel Fanijo, Jessica Ojo, Oyinkansola Awosan, Tadesse Kebede, Toadoum Sari Sakayo, Pamela Nyatsine, Freedmore Sidume, Oreen Yousuf, Mardiyyah Odwole, Kanda Tshinu, Ussen Kimanuka, Thina Diko, Siyanda Nxakama, Sinodos Nigusse, Abdulmejid Johar, Shafie Mohamed, Fuad Mire Hassan, Moges Ahmed Mehamed, Evrard Ngabire,

- Jules Jules, Ivan Ssenkungu, and Pontus Stenetorp. 2023. [MasakhaNEWS: News topic classification for African languages](#). In *Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing and the 3rd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 144–159, Nusa Dua, Bali. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mingxiao An, Fangzhao Wu, Chuhan Wu, Kun Zhang, Zheng Liu, and Xing Xie. 2019. [Neural news recommendation with long- and short-term user representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 336–345, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Naveen Arivazhagan, Ankur Bapna, Orhan Firat, Dmitry Lepikhin, Melvin Johnson, Maxim Krikun, Mia Xu Chen, Yuan Cao, George Foster, Colin Cherry, et al. 2019. [Massively multilingual neural machine translation in the wild: Findings and challenges](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.05019*.
- Mikel Artetxe and Holger Schwenk. 2019. [Massively multilingual sentence embeddings for zero-shot cross-lingual transfer and beyond](#). *Transactions of the association for computational linguistics*, 7:597–610.
- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate](#). *ICLR*.
- Iz Beltagy, Kyle Lo, and Arman Cohan. 2019. [SciBERT: A pretrained language model for scientific text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3615–3620, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Andrei Z Broder. 1997. On the resemblance and containment of documents. In *Proceedings. Compression and Complexity of SEQUENCES 1997 (Cat. No. 97TB100171)*, pages 21–29. IEEE.
- Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Çağlar Gulçehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. [Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder–decoder for statistical machine translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1724–1734.
- Alexis Conneau, Kartikay Khandelwal, Naman Goyal, Vishrav Chaudhary, Guillaume Wenzek, Francisco Guzmán, Edouard Grave, Myle Ott, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2020. [Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8440–8451, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthew S. Dryer and Martin Haspelmath, editors. 2013. [WALS Online \(v2020.3\)](#). Zenodo.
- Paul-Ambroise Duquenne, Holger Schwenk, and Benoît Sagot. 2023. [Sonar: sentence-level multimodal and language-agnostic representations](#). *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–2308.
- William Falcon and The PyTorch Lightning team. 2019. [PyTorch Lightning](#).
- Fangxiaoyu Feng, Yinfei Yang, Daniel Cer, Naveen Arivazhagan, and Wei Wang. 2022. [Language-agnostic BERT sentence embedding](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 878–891, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tianyu Gao, Xingcheng Yao, and Danqi Chen. 2021. [SimCSE: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 6894–6910, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Taicheng Guo, Lu Yu, Basem Shihada, and Xiangliang Zhang. 2023. [Few-shot news recommendation via cross-lingual transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference 2023*, pages 1130–1140.
- Yue Guo, Yi Yang, and Ahmed Abbasi. 2022. [Auto-debias: Debiasing masked language models with automated biased prompts](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1012–1023, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Suchin Gururangan, Ana Marasović, Swabha Swayamdipta, Kyle Lo, Iz Beltagy, Doug Downey, and Noah A. Smith. 2020. [Don’t stop pretraining: Adapt language models to domains and tasks](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8342–8360, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Harald Hammarström, Robert Forkel, Martin Haspelmath, and Sebastian Bank. 2021. *Glottolog 4.5*.
- Po-Sen Huang, Xiaodong He, Jianfeng Gao, Li Deng, Alex Acero, and Larry Heck. 2013. [Learning deep structured semantic models for web search using clickthrough data](#). In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM*

- international conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 2333–2338.
- Chia-Chien Hung, Lukas Lange, and Jannik Strötgen. 2023. [TADA: Efficient task-agnostic domain adaptation for transformers](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 487–503, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chia-Chien Hung, Anne Lauscher, Simone Ponzetto, and Goran Glavaš. 2022. [DS-TOD: Efficient domain specialization for task-oriented dialog](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2022*, pages 891–904, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Andreea Iana, Goran Glavaš, and Heiko Paulheim. 2023a. [NewsRecLib: A PyTorch-lightning library for neural news recommendation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 296–310, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Andreea Iana, Goran Glavas, and Heiko Paulheim. 2023b. [Simplifying content-based neural news recommendation: On user modeling and training objectives](#). In *Proceedings of the 46th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2384–2388.
- Andreea Iana, Goran Glavaš, and Heiko Paulheim. 2023c. [Train once, use flexibly: A modular framework for multi-aspect neural news recommendation](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.16089*.
- Andreea Iana, Goran Glavaš, and Heiko Paulheim. 2024. [Mind your language: A multilingual dataset for cross-lingual news recommendation](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17876*.
- Pratik Joshi, Sebastin Santy, Amar Budhiraja, Kalika Bali, and Monojit Choudhury. 2020. [The state and fate of linguistic diversity and inclusion in the NLP world](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 6282–6293, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Masahiro Kaneko and Danushka Bollegala. 2021. [De-biasing pre-trained contextualised embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 16th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Main Volume*, pages 1256–1266, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Prannay Khosla, Piotr Teterwak, Chen Wang, Aaron Sarna, Yonglong Tian, Phillip Isola, Aaron Maschiot, Ce Liu, and Dilip Krishnan. 2020. [Supervised contrastive learning](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 18661–18673.
- Yoon Kim. 2014. [Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1746–1751, Doha, Qatar. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. [Adam: A method for stochastic optimization](#). *ICLR*.
- Jinhyuk Lee, Wonjin Yoon, Sungdong Kim, Donghyeon Kim, Sunkyu Kim, Chan Ho So, and Jaewoo Kang. 2020. [BioBERT: a pre-trained biomedical language representation model for biomedical text mining](#). *Bioinformatics*, 36(4):1234–1240.
- Katherine Lee, Daphne Ippolito, Andrew Nystrom, Chiyuan Zhang, Douglas Eck, Chris Callison-Burch, and Nicholas Carlini. 2022. [Deduplicating training data makes language models better](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 8424–8445, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- M Paul Lewis, Gary F Simons, and Charles D Fennig. 2009. [Ethnologue: languages of the world, dallas, texas: Sil international](#). *Online version: http://www.ethnologue.com*, 12(12):2010.
- Miaomiao Li and Licheng Wang. 2019. [A survey on personalized news recommendation technology](#). *IEEE Access*, 7:145861–145879.
- Alexander Liu and Samuel Yang. 2022. [Masked autoencoders as the unified learners for pre-trained sentence representation](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.00231*.
- Fangyu Liu, Ivan Vulić, Anna Korhonen, and Nigel Collier. 2021. [Fast, effective, and self-supervised: Transforming masked language models into universal lexical and sentence encoders](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1442–1459, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rui Liu, Bin Yin, Ziyi Cao, Qianchen Xia, Yong Chen, and Dell Zhang. 2023. [Perconet: News recommendation with explicit persona and contrastive learning](#). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07923*.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2018. [Decoupled weight decay regularization](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Shumpei Okura, Yukihiro Tagami, Shingo Ono, and Akira Tajima. 2017. [Embedding-based news recommendation for millions of users](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 1933–1942.
- Tao Qi, Fangzhao Wu, Chuhan Wu, and Yongfeng Huang. 2022. [News recommendation with candidate-aware user modeling](#). In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 1917–1921.

- Yusu Qian, Urwa Muaz, Ben Zhang, and Jae Won Hyun. 2019. [Reducing gender bias in word-level language models with a gender-equalizing loss function](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 223–228, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. [Sentence-BERT: Sentence embeddings using Siamese BERT-networks](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3982–3992, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jörg Tiedemann. 2012. Parallel data, tools and interfaces in opus. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'12)*, pages 2214–2218.
- Chau Tran, Yuqing Tang, Xian Li, and Jiatao Gu. 2020. [Cross-lingual retrieval for iterative self-supervised training](#). *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:2207–2219.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. [Attention is all you need](#). In *Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 6000–6010.
- Chieh-Jen Wang, Yung-Ping Tien, and Yun-Wei Hung. 2022a. [Language model based Chinese handwriting address recognition](#). In *Proceedings of the 34th Conference on Computational Linguistics and Speech Processing (ROCLING 2022)*, pages 1–6, Taipei, Taiwan. The Association for Computational Linguistics and Chinese Language Processing (ACLCLP).
- Hongwei Wang, Fuzheng Zhang, Xing Xie, and Minyi Guo. 2018. [DKN: Deep knowledge-aware network for news recommendation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 world wide web conference*, pages 1835–1844.
- Kexin Wang, Nils Reimers, and Iryna Gurevych. 2021. [TSDAE: Using transformer-based sequential denoising auto-encoder for unsupervised sentence embedding learning](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2021*, pages 671–688, Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kexin Wang, Nandan Thakur, Nils Reimers, and Iryna Gurevych. 2022b. [GPL: Generative pseudo labeling for unsupervised domain adaptation of dense retrieval](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2345–2360, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Rongyao Wang, Shoujin Wang, Wenpeng Lu, and Xueping Peng. 2022c. [News recommendation via multi-interest news sequence modelling](#). In *ICASSP 2022-2022 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pages 7942–7946. IEEE.
- Yaoshian Wang, Ashley Wu, and Graham Neubig. 2022d. [English contrastive learning can learn universal cross-lingual sentence embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 9122–9133, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chien-Sheng Wu, Steven C.H. Hoi, Richard Socher, and Caiming Xiong. 2020a. [TOD-BERT: Pre-trained natural language understanding for task-oriented dialogue](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 917–929, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Mingxiao An, Jianqiang Huang, Yongfeng Huang, and Xing Xie. 2019a. [Neural news recommendation with attentive multi-view learning](#). In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3863–3869.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Suyu Ge, Tao Qi, Yongfeng Huang, and Xing Xie. 2019b. [Neural news recommendation with multi-head self-attention](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 6389–6394, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, and Yongfeng Huang. 2022a. [Rethinking InfoNCE: How many negative samples do you need?](#) In *Proceedings of the Thirty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI-22*, pages 2509–2515. International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Yongfeng Huang, and Xing Xie. 2023. [Personalized news recommendation: Methods and challenges](#). *ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, 41(1):1–50.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Tao Qi, and Yongfeng Huang. 2021. [Empowering news recommendation with pre-trained language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 44th international ACM SIGIR conference on research and development in information retrieval*, pages 1652–1656.
- Chuhan Wu, Fangzhao Wu, Tao Qi, Chenliang Li, and Yongfeng Huang. 2022b. [Is news recommendation a sequential recommendation task?](#) In *Proceedings of the 45th International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 2382–2386.

Fangzhao Wu, Ying Qiao, Jiun-Hung Chen, Chuhan Wu, Tao Qi, Jianxun Lian, Danyang Liu, Xing Xie, Jianfeng Gao, Winnie Wu, and Ming Zhou. 2020b. [MIND: A large-scale dataset for news recommendation](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 3597–3606, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Xuansheng Wu, Huachi Zhou, Yucheng Shi, Wenlin Yao, Xiao Huang, and Ninghao Liu. 2024. [Could small language models serve as recommenders? towards data-centric cold-start recommendation](#). In *Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference 2024*, pages 3566–3575.

Linting Xue, Noah Constant, Adam Roberts, Mihir Kale, Rami Al-Rfou, Aditya Siddhant, Aditya Barua, and Colin Raffel. 2021. [mT5: A massively multilingual pre-trained text-to-text transformer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 483–498, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Yinfei Yang, Gustavo Hernandez Abrego, Steve Yuan, Mandy Guo, Qinlan Shen, Daniel Cer, Yun-hsuan Sung, Brian Strope, and Ray Kurzweil. 2019. [Improving multilingual sentence embedding using bi-directional dual encoder with additive margin softmax](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2019, Macao, China, August 10-16, 2019*, pages 5370–5378.

Yinfei Yang, Daniel Cer, Amin Ahmad, Mandy Guo, Jax Law, Noah Constant, Gustavo Hernandez Abrego, Steve Yuan, Chris Tar, Yun-hsuan Sung, Brian Strope, and Ray Kurzweil. 2020. [Multilingual universal sentence encoder for semantic retrieval](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: System Demonstrations*, pages 87–94, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

A PolyNews Corpora

A.1 Language Characteristics

Table 4 lists the languages included in the PolyNews dataset, summarizing the following information, according to the #BenderRule:

- **Code:** We denote each language using a BCP 47 tag sequence that combines a base subtag indicating the three-letter ISO 639-3 code with the ISO 15924 script subtag. We use the script code to differentiate between languages collected in multiple scripts.
- **Language:** In case of multiple denominations, we use the language name from Ethnologue

(Lewis et al., 2009), cross-referenced against other linguistic resources, namely Glottolog (Hammarström et al., 2021) and World Atlas of Structures (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013).

- **Script:** We provide the English name of the script.
- **Macro-area:** We indicate the macro-area as per WALS (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013).
- **Family and Subgrouping:** We specify the language family and subgrouping from WALS (Dryer and Haspelmath, 2013) and Glottolog (Hammarström et al., 2021).
- **Total Speakers:** We report the total number of speakers of the language, considering both L1-level (first-language) and L2-level (second-language) speakers, according to Ethnologue.¹⁹
- **LaBSE support:** We indicate whether the language was included in the pretraining corpora of LaBSE (Feng et al., 2022).
- **Average byte length:** We report the average number of bytes per text for each language.
- **Average character length:** We report the average number of characters per text for each language.

A.2 Parallel Corpora Statistics

Fig. 3 illustrates the number of texts for each language pair available in the PolyNewsParallel dataset. Note that PolyNewsParallel consists of only 64 out of the 77 languages listed in Table 4.

B Neural News Recommenders

We provide further details about the NNRs architectures. Note that we replace the original NEs of all NNRs not using a LM (i.e., contextualization of pretrained word embeddings over convolutional neural networks (CNNs) (Kim, 2014) or attention networks (Bahdanau et al., 2014; Vaswani et al., 2017)) with a pretrained LM, as detailed in §5:

1. NAML (Wu et al., 2019a) encodes the title, abstract, and categories of news. Its UE consists of an additive attention network which contextualizes the embeddings of the users’ clicked news into a user-level representation.

¹⁹We use the statistics available in May 2024 at <https://www.ethnologue.com/>.

Code	Language	Script	Macro-area	Family	Subgrouping	# Speakers (M)	LaBSE	Avg. # bytes	Avg. # chars.
amh_Ethi	Amharic	Ethiopic	Africa	Afro-Asiatic	Semitic	59.69	✓	190.42	80.10
arb_Arab	Modern Standard Arabic	Arabic	Eurasia	Afro-Asiatic	Semitic	332.46	✓	225.86	130.60
ayr_Latn	Central Aymara	Latin	South America	Ayamaran	Central Southern Aymara	1.46	✗	122.33	118.48
bam_Latn	Bambara	Latin	Africa	Mande	Western Mande	14.19	✗	135.94	122.92
bbj_Latn	Ghomála'	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	0.35	✗	86.77	64.87
ben_Beng	Bengali	Bengali	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Aryan	278.18	✓	300.72	128.01
bos_Latn	Bosnian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	2.68	✓	52.08	50.76
bul_Cyrl	Bulgarian	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	7.88	✓	256.64	148.65
cat_Latn	Catalan	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	9.29	✓	132.07	129.25
ces_Latn	Czech	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	12.32	✓	127.28	114.53
ckb_Arab	Central Kurdish	Arabic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	5.35	✓	350.93	185.93
dan_Latn	Danish	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	5.81	✓	133.51	130.71
deu_Latn	German	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	133.91	✓	136.53	134.47
eil_Grek	Greek	Greek	Eurasia	Indo-European	Greek	13.23	✓	273.36	159.73
eng_Latn	English	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	1,515.23	✓	123.89	123.28
est_Latn	Estonian	Latin	Eurasia	Uralic	Finnic	1.09 (L1 only)	✓	116.43	113.24
ewe_Latn	Éwé	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	5.53	✗	78.44	72.39
fil_Latn	Filipino	Latin	Papunesia	Austronesian	Malayo-Polynesian	-	✗	135.54	135.24
fin_Latn	Finnish	Latin	Eurasia	Uralic	Finnic	5.61	✓	117.52	112.76
fon_Latn	Fon	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	2.28	✗	179.91	136.43
fra_Latn	French	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	311.58	✓	143.82	139.25
guj_Gujr	Gujarati	Gujarati	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	62.67	✓	301.16	118.81
guw_Latn	Gun	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	1.54	✗	93.61	76.95
hau_Latn	Hausa	Latin	Africa	Afro-Asiatic	Chadic	88.24	✓	138.36	137.40
heb_Hebr	Hebrew	Hebrew	Eurasia	Afro-Asiatic	Semitic	9.36	✓	132.72	77.33
hin_Deva	Hindi	Devanagari	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	608.85	✓	321.32	129.44
hun_Latn	Hungarian	Latin	Eurasia	Uralic	-	12.43	✓	141.26	128.87
ibo_Latn	Igbo	Latin	Eurasia	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	30.91	✓	123.64	104.73
ind_Latn	Indonesian	Latin	Papunesia	Austronesian	Malayo-Polynesian	199.00	✓	131.97	131.56
ita_Latn	Italian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	66.79	✓	138.79	137.64
jpn_Jpan	Japanese	Japanese	Eurasia	Japonic	Japanesic	123.47	✓	160.54	64.63
kaz_Cyrl	Kazakh	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Altaic	Turkic	16.58	✓	279.77	155.47
khm_Khmr	Khmer	Khmer	Eurasia	Austro-Asiatic	Khmeric	17.60	✓	368.65	139.12
kor_Hang	Korean	Hangul	Eurasia	Koreanic	Korean	81.13	✓	156.69	72.81
lav_Latn	Latvian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Baltic	1.76	✓	135.63	124.39
lin_Latn	Lingala	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	40.54	✗	66.14	65.62
lit_Latn	Lithuanian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	2.78	✓	135.97	126.83
lug_Latn	Ganda	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	11.14	✗	111.21	110.90
luo_Latn	Luo	Latin	Africa	Nilotic	Western Nilotic	5.26	✗	158.18	157.76
mkd_Cyrl	Macedonian	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	1.65	✓	261.49	150.68
mos_Latn	Mossi	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	11.87	✗	140.87	127.62
mya_Mymr	Burmese	Myanmar	Eurasia	Sino-Tibetan	Tibeto-Burman	43.17	✓	383.44	148.70
nld_Latn	Dutch	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	25.31	✓	124.46	123.98
nor_Latn	Norwegian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	5.42	✓	44.14	43.20
npi_Deva	Nepali	Devanagari	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	31.98	✓	441.96	178.46
orm_Latn	Oromo	Latin	Africa	Afro-Asiatic	Cushitic	45.53 (L1 only)	✗	79.86	79.57
ory_Orya	Odia	Oriya	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	39.76	✓	268.32	107.68
pan_Guru	Eastern Panjabi	Gurmukhi	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	31.17	✓	685.89	275.91
pcm_Latn	Nigerian Pidgin	Latin	Africa	Creole	-	120.65	✗	118.72	118.69
pes_Arab	Western Persian	Arabic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	78.83	✓	218.93	128.05
plt_Latn	Malagasy	Latin	Africa	Austronesia	Malayo-Polinesian	7.55	✓	152.57	151.77
pol_Latn	Polish	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	41.80	✓	120.85	114.56
por_Latn	Portuguese	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	263.84	✓	124.35	120.73
ron_Latn	Romanian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	25.23	✓	129.89	123.63
run_Latn	Rundi	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	13.19	✗	75.73	75.20
rus_Cyrl	Russian	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	255.39	✓	151.75	88.92
sna_Latn	Shona	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	10.88	✓	67.94	67.77
som_Latn	Somali	Latin	Africa	Afro-Asiatic	Cushitic	23.88	✓	73.09	72.98
spa_Latn	Spanish	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Italic	559.52	✓	136.71	134.00
sqi_Latn	Albanian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Albanian	6.39	✓	128.83	119.94
srp_Cyrl	Serbian	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	10.12	✓	88.89	51.97
srp_Latn	Serbian	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	10.12	✓	78.22	76.17
swe_Latn	Swedish	Latin	Eurasia	Indo-European	Germanic	13.25	✓	112.77	108.45
swh_Latn	Swahili	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	86.52	✓	115.32	115.00
tam_TamI	Tamil	Tamil	Eurasia	Dravidian	Southern Dravidian	86.72	✓	170.92	64.02
tet_Latn	Tetun	Latin	Africa	Austronesian	Malayo-Polynesian	0.49	✗	130.19	128.88
tha_Thai	Thai	Thai	Eurasia	Kra-Dai	Kam-Tai	61.10	✓	144.90	56.57
tir_Ethi	Tigrinya	Ethiopic	Africa	Afro-Asiatic	Semitic	9.87	✗	116.47	47.10
tsn_Latn	Tswana	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	13.75	✗	142.02	141.69
tur_Latn	Turkish	Latin	Eurasia	Altaic	Turkic	90.09	✓	122.87	112.45
twi_Latn	Twí	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	0.024	✗	119.62	109.66
ukr_Cyrl	Ukrainian	Cyrillic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Balto-Slavic	38.92	✓	107.62	60.99
urd_Arab	Urdu	Arabic	Eurasia	Indo-European	Indo-Iranian	169.70	✓	193.15	115.04
wol_Latn	Wolof	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	22.65	✓	128.05	122.11
xho_Latn	Xhosa	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	19.22	✓	47.79	47.37
yor_Latn	Yorùbá	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	47.19	✓	174.46	124.68

Table 4: The 77 languages of PolyNews. We display the language *Code* (ISO 639-3), language name, *Script*, *Macro-area*, *Family* and *Genus*, and report the total number of L1-level and L2-level speakers of the language according to Ethnologue (Lewis et al., 2009). The eighth column indicates whether the language was included in the pretraining corpora of LaBSE (Feng et al., 2022). The last two columns specify the average byte length, and respectively, character length of the texts for each language. The languages highlighted in gray were not included in the adaptive pretraining of NaSE.

Code	Language	Script	Macro-area	Family	Subgrouping	# Speakers (M)	LaBSE	Avg. # bytes	Avg. # chars.
zho_Hans	Chinese	Han (Simplified)	Eurasia	Sino-Tibetan	Sinitic	1,352.67 (L1 only)	✓	78.06	36.56
zho_Hant	Chinese	Han (Traditional)	Eurasia	Sino-Tibetan	Sinitic	1,352.67 (L1 only)	✗	76.86	36.64
zul_Latn	Zulu	Latin	Africa	Niger-Congo	Atlantic-Congo	27.80	✓	165.13	164.64

Table 4 (continued): The 77 languages of PolyNews. We display the language *Code* (ISO 693-3), language name, *Script*, *Macro-area*, *Family* and *Genus*, and report the total number of L1-level and L2-level speakers of the language according to Ethnologue (Lewis et al., 2009). The eighth column indicates whether the language was included in the pretraining corpora of LaBSE (Feng et al., 2022). The last two columns specify the average byte length, and respectively, character length of the texts for each language. The languages highlighted in gray were not included in the adaptive pretraining of NaSE.




Figure 3: Distribution of texts across the 833 language pairs in PolyNewsParallel. The gray cells indicate that no texts exist for the given language pair.

- MINS (Wang et al., 2022c) also leverages both textual features and categories as input to its NE. However, it learns user representations via a combination of multi-head self-attention (Vaswani et al., 2017), multi-channel GRU-based recurrent network (Cho et al., 2014), and additive attention (Bahdanau et al., 2014).
- CAUM (Qi et al., 2022) learns news embeddings from the titles, abstracts, categories, and named entities of news. Its UE combines a candidate-aware self-attention network with a candidate-aware CNN to learn long-term and short-term user representations respectively. The intermediary embeddings are combined in a final candidate-aware user representation by means of an attention network.
- MANNeR (Iana et al., 2023c) represents a modular framework for multi-aspect neural news recommendation, comprising two kinds of modules, responsible for content-based (CR-Module) and aspect-based customization (A-Module). In this work, we experiment only with the base CR-Module. Similar to CAUM, it leverages named entities in addition to the title and abstract of news as input features to the NE. In contrast to the majority of NNRs, however, MANNeR is trained with a contrastive metric objective (Khosla et al., 2020). Moreover, it employs a late click behavior fusion approach (Iana et al., 2023b) instead of parameterized UEs.
- LFRec-CE embeds the title and abstract of news using a pretrained LM and late click behavior fusion (Iana et al., 2023b). It is optimized by minimizing the cross-entropy loss.
- LFRec-SCL has an analogous architecture to LFRec-CE, but it is trained with a supervised contrastive objective (Khosla et al., 2020).
- NAML_{CAT} is a variant of NAML (Wu et al., 2019a) that computes recommendations using

only the news categories of news, and an additive attention network as the UE. Hence, it is a text- and language-agnostic recommender.

C Reproducibility Details

Hyperparameter Optimization. We perform hyperparameter optimization on the main hyperparameters of all NNRs using grid search. More specifically, we search for the optimal learning rate in $\{1e^{-3}, 1e^{-4}, 1e^{-5}\}$, finding $1e^{-5}$ to be the most suitable value for all NNRs. We optimize the number of heads in the multi-head self-attention networks of NAML, MINS, CAUM in [8, 12, 16, 24, 32], and the query vector dimensionality in the additive attention network of NAML and MINS in [50, 200], with a step of 50. We use the following best performing settings: 32 attention heads for NAML and MINS and 8 for CAUM when coupled with XLM-RoBERTa_{large}, and 24, 16, and 8 attention heads for NAML, MINS, and CAUM, respectively, when using LaBSE or NaSE as the NE’s backbone. Moreover, we use a query vector of dimension 200 for NAML and 50 for MINS in combination with XLM-RoBERTa_{large}, whereas for NEs based on LaBSE or NaSE we use a dimensionality of 50 for NAML and 100 for MINS. We find the optimal temperature of 0.38 for the supervised contrastive loss in MANNeR and LFRec-SCL by sweeping the interval [0.1, 0.5], with a step of 0.02. We set all the remaining model-specific hyperparameters to the optimal values reported in the respective papers. We repeat each experiment three times with the seed ($\{42, 43, 44\}$) set with PyTorch Lightning’s `seed_everything`.

Code. We train NaSE²⁰ using Sentence Transformers (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) and PyTorch Lightning (Falcon and The PyTorch Lightning team, 2019).²¹ We conduct all news recommendation experiments with the NewsRecLib library (Iana et al., 2023a).

Infrastructure and Compute. We train NaSE on a cluster with virtual machines, on single NVIDIA A100 40GB GPUs. We conduct the news recommendation experiments on the same cluster, on single NVIDIA A40 48GB GPUs.

D Additional Results

D.1 Per-language ZS-XLT performance

Fine-tuned embeddings. Figs. 4 shows the ranking performance of LFRec-SCL with fine-tuned SE embeddings on MIND and xMIND.

Few-shot recommendation. Fig. 5 shows the performance of LFRec-SCL in ZS-XLT few-shot recommendation for different numbers of shots.

D.2 Influence of Training Strategy.

Fig. 6 illustrates the performance in terms of AUC of LFRec with frozen NaSE and LaBSE embeddings on the MIND and xMIND datasets.

²⁰<https://github.com/andreeaiana/nase>

²¹<https://lightning.ai/docs/pytorch/stable/>




Figure 4: Ranking performance w.r.t. nDCG@10 of LFRec-SCL with fine-tuned NaSE and LaBSE embeddings, over English and the 14 languages of xMIND.




Figure 5: Ranking performance w.r.t. nDCG@10 of LFRec-SCL with NaSE and LaBSE embeddings for different numbers of shots (i.e., impressions during training), over English and the 14 languages of xMIND.




Figure 6: Recommendation performance w.r.t. AUC of LFRec with frozen NaSE embeddings for different training strategies of NaSE vs. LFRec with frozen LaBSE embeddings, over English and the 14 languages of xMIND.