

# Solutions to a chemotaxis system with spatially heterogeneous diffusion sensitivity

Gregor Flüchter\*

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn,  
33098 Paderborn, Germany

We consider a parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel system with spatially dependent diffusion sensitivity

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (|x|^\beta \nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v), \\ 0 = \Delta v - \mu + u, \quad \mu := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u, \end{cases} \quad (\star)$$

under homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions in the ball  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

For  $\beta > 0$  and radially symmetric Hölder continuous initial data, we prove that there exists a pointwise classical solution to  $(\star)$  in  $(\Omega \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T)$  for some  $T > 0$ .

For radially decreasing initial data satisfying certain compatibility criteria, this solution is bounded and unique in  $(\Omega \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T^*)$  for some  $T^* > 0$ . Moreover, for  $n \geq 2$  and sufficiently accumulated initial data, there exists no solution  $(u, v)$  to  $(\star)$  in the sense specified above which is globally bounded in time.

**Key words:** chemotaxis; spatial heterogeneity; finite-time blow-up

**MSC 2020:** 35A01, 35K65 (primary); 35A02, 35B40, 35B44, 35B33, 92C17 (secondary)

---

\*gmf@mail.upb.de

## 1 Introduction

In microbiological processes, it is common for organisms to interact with their environment via positive chemotaxis, that is the tendency to move in the direction of the gradient of some signal substance. This behavior has been documented as early as 1881 for *Bacterium termo* and *Spirillum tenue* moving toward oxygen-producing plant cells [8].

In order to make such biological systems accessible to quantitative analysis and outline the governing factors of structural evolution, at the beginning of the 1970s Keller and Segel proposed a system of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u, v)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (S(u, v)\nabla v), \\ v_t = \Delta v + u - v, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

see [17] and [18], to describe the behavior of slime mold aggregation and *Escherichia coli* as outlined in [1], respectively. Herein, the bacteria concentration  $u = u(x, t)$  is subject not only to chemotaxis toward a self-produced attractant with density  $v = v(x, t)$  but also to diffusion in the form of Brownian motion. The expressions  $D(u, v)$  and  $S(u, v)$  represent the diffusive and chemotactic sensitivity, respectively.

This system and variations thereof have various applications [12], including pattern formation in bacterial colonies [42], tumor invasion processes [5] and embryogenesis [28].

In line with experimental observations, even the prototypical setting with  $D(u, v) \equiv 1$  and  $S(u, v) \equiv u$  considered in bounded domains with no-flux boundary conditions has been shown to exhibit aggregation phenomena already, in their most extreme form represented by finite-time blow-up. While solutions always exist globally in time and are bounded in the spatially one-dimensional case [26], for two-dimensional balls, a critical mass phenomenon arises: For all sufficiently regular and radially symmetric initial data  $u_0$  with total mass  $\int_{\Omega} u_0 < 8\pi$ , solutions are global and bounded [25], whereas if  $\int_{\Omega} u_0 > 8\pi$ , finite-time blow-up is possible ([11], [22]). For balls as domains in higher dimensions, initial data with arbitrary total mass leading to blow-up can be constructed [36].

On account of the fact that in numerous biological applications, the chemo-attractant dissipates much faster than the microbes move, by [15] we may consider the parabolic-elliptic system given by

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (D(u, v)\nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (S(u, v)\nabla v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ 0 = \Delta v + u - \mu, & \mu = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u, \quad x \in \Omega, t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

in a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  as a relevant limit case of (1.1). Concerning global boundedness and finite-time blow-up, the results are similar to the fully parabolic system (1.1) [24]. The matter of deducing local existence in both systems in absence of degeneracies, particularly for constant and linear sensitivities  $D$  and  $S$ , respectively, has for example been considered in [3]. Therein, heat semigroup theory is employed in order to obtain a mild solution which can be shown to have nice regularity properties in the interior ([19, III.12]) in turn. By means of a fixed point argument, such results have been extended to possibly degenerate cases for sufficiently regular  $D > 0$  and  $S \geq 0$  dependent on  $u$  ([40]). Related systems for nutrient taxis with  $D(u, v) \equiv uv$  and  $S(u, v) = \Psi(u)v$  with  $\Psi$  asymptotically growing quadratically at most as well as such with  $D(u)$  and  $S(x, u, v)$  supposed to be not too singular in certain ways have been investigated [39] and [41], respectively, and, utilizing approximations, have been shown to possess global weak so-

## 1 Introduction

lutions. Further variants of (1.1) and (1.2) containing density- and signal-dependent diffusion degeneracies have been discussed (see, e.g., [31], [6], [23], [16], [10], [13], [14]). In this manuscript, we shall consider a spatially dependent diffusion sensitivity generalized by the prototype  $D(x) \equiv |x|^\beta$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , for  $\beta > 0$ . This leads to the problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nabla \cdot (|x|^\beta \nabla u) - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla v), & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ 0 = \Delta v - \mu + u, \quad \mu := \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u, & x \in \Omega, t > 0, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} = 0, & x \in \partial\Omega, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

posed in  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ , fulfilling

$$u_0 \in C_{rad}^0(\overline{\Omega}) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \mid \varphi \text{ is radially symmetric} \right\} \text{ is nonnegative with } u_0 \not\equiv 0. \quad (1.4)$$

We shall remark that in the contexts we consider, actually  $\mu = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} u_0$  will be proven to

hold, so that  $\mu$  may be viewed as a constant.

This system can be interpreted as a prototype for describing biological applications where the motility of a cell or bacteria population is impaired near the origin. For instance, we find this to be the case when coagulation mechanisms are present. Their significance not just for structural healing but also in the context of immune responses for invertebrates has among others been established in [27] and [34]. In mammals, the coagulation system was long thought to be important exclusively for haemostasis. However, nowadays it is commonly recognized that coagulation contributes to the effective elimination of bacteria in those organisms as well [2]. In fact, besides restricting the motility of bacteria, coagulation triggers the release of bradykinin which interacts with macrophages to emit chemo-attractants supporting the immune response [9]. Furthermore, fibrinogen releases fibrinopeptides, chemo-attractants to aid clotting [30]. Thus in this example already, there are multiple chemotactic dynamics at play wherein heterogeneous environments roughly as described in (1.3) might occur.

The mathematical analysis of (1.3) however is accompanied by notable difficulties. Calculating

$$\nabla \cdot (|x|^\beta \nabla u) = |x|^\beta \Delta u + (\nabla |x|^\beta) \cdot \nabla u$$

reveals that for one, we are dealing with a spatial diffusion degeneracy which in Keller-Segel type systems appears to be without precedent in literature, and moreover, at least for  $\beta < 1$  singular behavior of  $(\nabla |x|^\beta) \cdot \nabla u$  at  $x = 0$  is to be expected. This already indicates that at least generally, we should not assume to be able to obtain a classical solution of (1.3) in  $\overline{\Omega} \times (0, T)$  for some  $T > 0$ ; instead, we either have to resort to weak solution concepts or at least omit the spatial point  $x = 0$ . Our results feature the latter.

First we formulate a statement on local existence of classical solutions in  $(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \times (0, T_0)$  satisfying mass conservation.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\beta > 0$ , and write  $\Omega_0 := \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then for  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $u_0 \in C^\theta(\overline{\Omega})$  complying with (1.4), there exists a radially symmetric classical solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.3) in the sense of Definition 2.1, fulfilling*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0(\Omega_0 \times [0, T_0]) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)) \\ v \in C^{2,0}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)) \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

## 1 Introduction

for some  $T_0 \in (0, \infty]$ . This solution has the properties that  $u$  is nonnegative and satisfies the mass conservation property, that is

$$\int_{\Omega} u(\cdot, t) = \int_{\Omega} u_0 =: m \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_0). \quad (1.6)$$

The second theorem includes a result on local boundedness and uniqueness. In order to accomplish this, we need to impose much stronger requirements on the initial data.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 1.1 hold, and let  $(u, v)$  denote the classical solution to (1.3) established therein.*

*Assume that additionally  $u_0 \in C^{1+\theta}(\bar{\Omega})$  for some  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  is radially decreasing and has the properties that*

$$u_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla u_0 \cdot \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (1.7)$$

as well as

$$|\nabla u_0(x)| \leq C_0 |x|^{n-1+\theta} \quad (1.8)$$

for some  $C_0 > 0$ .

Then for  $T_0 > 0$  as in Theorem 1.1 we have that

$$u \in C^{1,0}(\Omega_0 \times [0, T_0)) \quad \text{is radially decreasing.} \quad (1.9)$$

Moreover, for some  $T^* \in (0, T_0]$  and each  $T \in (0, T^*)$ , there exists  $C = C(T) > 0$  such that

$$u(x, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times [0, T]. \quad (1.10)$$

If additionally  $n \geq 2$ , there exists a unique solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.3) in  $\Omega_0 \times [0, T^*)$  fulfilling

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0(\Omega_0 \times [0, T^*)) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T^*)), \\ v \in C^{2,0}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T^*)), \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

which has the properties that

$$\int_{\Omega} v(\cdot, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq u \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla v \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (1.12)$$

for all  $T \in (0, T^*)$ .

We close with a result ruling out global bounded solutions for initial mass distributions concentrated adequately close to the origin.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  as well as  $\beta > 0$ , and assume that  $u_0$  complies with (1.4).*

*Then for  $m := \int_{\Omega} u_0$  and each  $m_0 \in (0, m]$ , there exists  $r_0 = r_0(m_0, m, R, \beta) > 0$  such that if*

$$\int_{B_{r_0}(0)} u_0 \geq m_0, \quad (1.13)$$

## 1 Introduction

there is no global classical solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.3) fulfilling

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0(\Omega_0 \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega_0 \times (0, \infty)) \\ v \in C^{2,0}(\Omega_0 \times (0, \infty)) \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

such that for each  $T \in (0, \infty)$

$$0 \leq u \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla v \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^n). \quad (1.15)$$

**Outline of arguments.** The main idea is to transform the Keller-Segel type Neumann boundary value problem to a Dirichlet problem for which we are able to obtain a local solution, see subsections 2.1 and 2.2, and then retransform in order to acquire a solution of (1.3) (Subsection 2.4).

In Section 3, we are concerned with ruling out global boundedness for sufficiently large  $\beta > 0$  and properly concentrated initial data. The main idea here is to attach singular weights to the mass accumulation function  $w$  and thus construct a generalized moment functional. This functional is bounded, but shown to explode in finite time under the assumption that  $w$  solves (2.16) globally with  $w_s$  bounded locally time, implying that this cannot be the case.

The main theorems can then be obtained mainly by collecting previous results. One needs to be cautious how the conditions imposed on the initial data of the original system (1.3) translate to those in (2.16) though.

## 2 Existence of solutions

We shall establish the existence of sufficiently smooth solutions to (1.3).

In the scenario at hand, that represents a particular challenge. Not only is the term  $|x|^\beta$  not differentiable at 0 for  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , but moreover the coefficient of the Laplacian of  $u$  vanishes at  $x = 0$  for all  $\beta > 0$ , implying a diffusion degeneracy. Whereas examples of possible degeneracies depending on  $u$  or even on  $u$  and  $v$  have for instance been discussed in [40], [38] and [39], to the author's knowledge no case of a spatially dependent diffusion degeneracy in such systems with Neumann boundary conditions has yet been addressed in standard literature.

Our approach in principle relies on a strategy usually employed to detect blow-up in parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel type chemotaxis systems, introduced by Jäger and Luckhaus in [15]. We remark that approaches based on an analysis of mass accumulation functions can be found in numerous works on related problems (confer [21], [32], [33], [7], [20]). In most precedent cases of this type, however, considerations in this regard concentrate on the construction of exploding solutions, with only few exceptions (confer [4]); we emphasize that in the present manuscript already the mere construction of solutions operates on a level of cumulated densities. Therefore, it is crucial to us that not only radial symmetry but also mass is conserved for adequately regular solutions.

### 2.1 Transforming with respect to a weaker solution concept

Let us first define our solution concept.

**Definition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $\Omega_0 := \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $u_0$  comply with (1.4). We call a pair of functions  $(u, v)$  satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0(\Omega_0 \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T)), \\ v \in C^{2,0}(\Omega_0 \times (0, T)), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and solving (1.3) pointwise in  $(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, T)$  a classical solution of (1.3) in  $(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}) \times [0, T)$ .

Analogously, we call  $(u, v)$  with the property (2.2) solving (2.3) pointwise in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$  a classical solution of (2.3) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$ .

For radially symmetric solution of the system (1.3), we rewrite (1.3) in radial coordinates. By writing  $r := |x|$ , the pair of functions  $(u, v) = (u(r, t), v(r, t))$  with

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0((0, R] \times [0, T)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R] \times (0, T)), \\ v \in C^{2,0}((0, R] \times (0, T)), \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

fulfills

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1+\beta}u_r)_r - \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1}uv_r)_r, & r \in (0, R), t > 0, \\ 0 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1}v_r)_r - \mu + u, & r \in (0, R), t > 0, \\ u_r = v_r = 0, & r = R, t > 0, \\ u(r, 0) = u_0(r), & r \in (0, R), \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

## 2 Existence of solutions

pointwise in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$ .

Analogously, we name  $(u, v)$  with such properties a classical solution of (2.3) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$ .

From here on further, we shall denote  $r := |x|$  and without risk of confusion write  $(u, v) = (u(r, t), v(r, t))$  in the context of (2.3).

In order to deal with these solutions defined on a non-compact space, we shall focus on bounded solutions.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ , and let  $u_0$  comply with (1.4). Let  $(u, v)$  with  $u \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0))$  be a classical solution of (2.3) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T_0)$ .*

*If then*

$$v_r(r, t) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho \right) \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T_0), \quad (2.4)$$

*we have*

$$|v_r(r, t)| \leq Cr \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T_0) \quad (2.5)$$

*with  $C := \frac{2}{n} \cdot \|u\|_{L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0))}$ , and hence in particular  $v_r \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0))$ .*

*Moreover, for  $n \geq 2$  the converse statement also holds true:*

*If  $n \geq 2$  and  $v_r \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0))$ , then necessarily (2.4).*

PROOF. Observe that  $v_r$  defined as in (2.4) indeed complies with (2.3) since

$$(r^{n-1} v_r)_r = \left( \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho \right)_r = \mu r^{n-1} - r^{n-1} u$$

and thus

$$0 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} (r^{n-1} v_r)_r - \mu + u,$$

as well as

$$v_r(R, t) = \frac{1}{R^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\mu R^n}{n} - \int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho \right) = 0$$

due to

$$\mu = \frac{1}{|B_R(0)|} \int_{B_R(0)} u = \frac{n}{\omega_n R^n} \omega_n \int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho = \frac{n}{R^n} \int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho.$$

As a consequence of the boundedness of  $u$  in  $(0, R] \times [0, T_0)$ , we now obtain that for  $(r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} |v_r(r, t)| &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \left| \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \left| \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} (\mu - u(\rho, t)) d\rho \right| \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Existence of solutions

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} \|\mu - u\|_{L^\infty((0,R) \times (0,T_0))} d\rho \\ &\leq Cr \end{aligned}$$

with  $C := \frac{2}{n} \cdot \|u\|_{L^\infty((0,R) \times (0,T_0))}$ , and therefore

$$\|v_r\|_{L^\infty((0,R) \times (0,T_0))} \leq CR < \infty,$$

verifying the first part of the lemma.

If moreover  $n \geq 2$ , then the second equation in (2.3) yields

$$(r^{n-1}v_r)_r = r^{n-1}\mu + r^{n-1}u$$

and thus upon integration for  $r \in (0, R]$  and  $\delta \in (0, r)$

$$r^{n-1}v_r(r, t) - \delta^{n-1}v_r(\delta, t) = \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \frac{\mu \delta^n}{n} - \int_\delta^r \rho^{n-1}u(\rho, t)d\rho.$$

Since  $n - 1 > 0$  and  $v_r \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0))$ , taking  $\delta \searrow 0$  results in

$$r^{n-1}v_r(r, t) = \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1}u(\rho, t)d\rho,$$

which upon dividing both sides by  $r^{n-1}$  gives rise to (2.4). □

Under a weak assumption on  $\beta$ , classical solutions to (2.3) conserve  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^1((0,R))}$  for at least as long as  $u$  is bounded and (2.4) holds. These additional conditions are necessary since in contrast to usual settings our solution is not defined on a compact space.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\beta > 2 - n$ , and  $u_0$  fulfills (1.4). Let  $(u, v)$  be a classical solution of (2.3) in the sense of Definition 2.1, and assume that additionally*

$$0 \leq u \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T_0)) \quad \text{for some } T_0 \in (0, T] \tag{2.6}$$

as well as (2.4) holds. Then the mass conservation property

$$\int_0^R \rho^{n-1}u(\rho, t)d\rho = \int_0^R \rho^{n-1}u_0(\rho)d\rho \tag{2.7}$$

is valid for all  $t \in [0, T_0)$ .

PROOF. Let  $(\zeta^{(\delta)})_{\delta \in (0, \frac{R}{2})}$  be a family of cutoff functions such that for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{R}{2})$  we have that  $\zeta^{(\delta)} \in C^\infty([0, R])$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \zeta^{(\delta)}(r) = 0, & r \in [0, \frac{\delta}{2}], \\ 0 \leq \zeta^{(\delta)}(r) \leq 1, & r \in (\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta), \\ \zeta^{(\delta)}(r) = 1, & r \in [\delta, R], \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

## 2 Existence of solutions

as well as

$$0 \leq \zeta_r^{(\delta)}(r) \leq \frac{4}{\delta}, \quad r \in \left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta\right), \quad (2.9)$$

and for some  $C_1 > 0$  independent of  $\delta$

$$|\zeta_{rr}^{(\delta)}(r)| \leq \frac{C_1}{\delta^2}, \quad r \in \left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \delta\right). \quad (2.10)$$

Since (2.8) guarantees that for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{R}{2})$  and  $t \in (0, T_0)$  we have  $\zeta^{(\delta)}u_t(\cdot, t) \in L^1((0, R))$ , using (2.3) we may compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^R r^{n-1} \zeta^{(\delta)} u dr &= \int_0^R r^{n-1} \zeta^{(\delta)} u_t dr \\ &= \int_0^R \zeta^{(\delta)} \cdot (r^{n-1+\beta} u_r - r^{n-1} u v_r)_r dr \\ &= \int_0^R (\zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1+\beta})_r u dr + \int_0^R \zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1} u v_r dr \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

via partial integration. Herein, abbreviating  $C_2 := \|u\|_{L^\infty((0,R) \times (0,T_0))}$ , we further estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^R (\zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1+\beta})_r u dr \right| &\leq (n-1+\beta) \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta |r^{n-2+\beta} \zeta_r^{(\delta)} u| + \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta |r^{n-1+\beta} \zeta_{rr}^{(\delta)} u| \\ &\leq (n-1+\beta) C_2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta |r^{n-2+\beta} \zeta_r^{(\delta)}| + C_2 \int_{\frac{\delta}{2}}^\delta |r^{n-1+\beta} \zeta_{rr}^{(\delta)}| \\ &\leq 2(n-1+\beta) C_2 \delta^{n-2+\beta} + C_2 C_1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \delta^{n-2+\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for  $t \in (0, T_0)$  by  $n-2+\beta > 0$ , also guaranteeing the right hand side converges towards 0 as we let  $\delta \searrow 0$ .

Since due to (2.4), Lemma 2.1 ensures that for some  $C_3 > 0$

$$|v_r(r, t)| \leq C_3 r \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_0),$$

we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^R \zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1} u v_r dr \right| &\leq C_2 C_3 \int_0^R \zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^n dr \\ &\leq \frac{4C_2 C_3}{n} \cdot \delta^n \\ &\rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for  $\delta \searrow 0$ , since  $n \geq 1$ . For  $T \in (0, T_0)$ , integrating (2.11) over  $(0, T)$  now yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R r^{n-1} \zeta^{(\delta)} u(r, T) dr - \int_0^R r^{n-1} \zeta^{(\delta)} u_0(r) dr &= \int_0^T \int_0^R (\zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1+\beta})_r u dr dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \int_0^R \zeta_r^{(\delta)} \cdot r^{n-1} u v_r dr dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), (2.13) and monotone as well as dominated convergence, letting  $\delta \searrow 0$  this

## 2 Existence of solutions

results in

$$\int_0^R r^{n-1} u(r, T) dr - \int_0^R r^{n-1} u_0(r) dr = 0,$$

verifying (2.7). □

Of major importance to our further analysis is the transformation of (2.3) to a Dirichlet problem.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\beta > 2 - n$ , and  $u_0$  fulfills (1.4), and let  $(u, v)$  be a classical solution to (2.3) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$  for which (2.4) and (2.6) hold for  $T_0 = T$ . We introduce the mass accumulation function*

$$w(s, t) := \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho, \quad s = r^n \in [0, R^n], t \in [0, T). \quad (2.14)$$

Then  $w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n) \times (0, T))$ , and for all  $s \in (0, R^n)$  and  $t \in (0, T)$ , its spatial derivatives are given by

$$w_s(s, t) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot u(s^{\frac{1}{n}}, t) \quad \text{and} \quad w_{ss}(s, t) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot s^{\frac{1}{n}-1} u_r(s^{\frac{1}{n}}, t). \quad (2.15)$$

Furthermore,  $w$  solves the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} w_t = n^2 s^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}} w_{ss} + n w w_s - \mu s w_s, & s \in (0, R^n), t \in (0, T), \\ w(0, t) = 0, \quad w(R^n, t) = \frac{m}{\omega_n}, & t \in (0, T), \\ w(s, 0) = w_0(s), & s \in (0, R^n), \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

with  $m := \int_{\Omega} u_0$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$  and

$$w_0(s) := \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} u_0(\rho) d\rho, \quad s \in [0, R^n]. \quad (2.17)$$

**PROOF.** Since  $u$  and  $v_r$  are bounded and mass conservation holds, this can be obtained via straightforward calculation as in [35, p.264]. □

## 2.2 Constructing a solution to the scalar Dirichlet problem

By Lemma 2.3, under the mild assumption that  $\beta > 2 - n$ , every bounded nonnegative classical solution of (2.3) satisfying (2.4) implies the existence of a classical solution to (2.16). Thus, in search of solutions to the former, it appears sensible to study the latter system.

Regarding similar systems emerging from the basic parabolic-elliptic Keller-Segel model in two dimensions, proofs for the existence of corresponding solutions have been sketched in [37] and [4].

As (2.16) still contains a diffusion degeneracy, questions regarding its solvability are not covered by standard theory. Therefore, we resort to non-degenerate „approximating“ problems. Their form is chosen so as to allow for concavity later. For  $\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)$ , consider

$$\begin{cases} w_{\varepsilon t} = n^2 s^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}} w_{\varepsilon s s} + n w_{\varepsilon} w_{\varepsilon s} - \mu(s - \varepsilon) w_{\varepsilon s}, & s \in (\varepsilon, R^n), t > 0, \\ w_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, t) = 0, \quad w_{\varepsilon}(R^n, t) = \frac{m}{\omega_n}, & t > 0, \\ w_{\varepsilon}(s, 0) = w_{0\varepsilon}(s), & s \in (\varepsilon, R^n). \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Here and in the following, we always let  $m > 0$ , which can be interpreted as the total mass in the original system as in Lemma 2.3.

We can obtain a solution to – albeit yet an incomplete version of – the degenerate problem formulated in (2.16):

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and suppose  $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}([0, R^n])$  is such that*

$$w_0(0) = 0, \quad w_0(R^n) = \frac{m}{\omega_n} \quad \text{as well as} \quad w_{0s} \geq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

*Then there exists a function  $w \in C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}((0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$  which solves the incomplete Dirichlet problem*

$$\begin{cases} w_t = n^2 s^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}} w_{s s} + n w w_s - \mu s w_s, & s \in (0, R^n), t > 0, \\ w(R^n, t) = \frac{m}{\omega_n}, & t > 0, \\ w(s, 0) = w_0(s), & s \in (0, R^n). \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

Moreover,  $w$  is bounded via

$$0 \leq w(s, t) \leq \frac{m}{\omega_n} \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in (0, R^n] \times [0, \infty),$$

and  $w(\cdot, t)$  is monotonically increasing in  $(0, R^n]$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ . Thus, for fixed  $t \geq 0$  we may continuously extend  $w(\cdot, t)$  to  $s = 0$  via

$$w(0, t) := \lim_{s \searrow 0} w(s, t) \geq 0. \quad (2.21)$$

PROOF. For  $\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)$ , define  $w_{0\varepsilon} \in C^{1+\theta}([\varepsilon, R^n])$  as

$$w_{0\varepsilon}(s) := w_0\left(\frac{R^n(s - \varepsilon)}{R^n - \varepsilon}\right), \quad s \in [\varepsilon, R^n]. \quad (2.22)$$

## 2 Existence of solutions

This warrants that  $w_{0\varepsilon}(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $w_{0\varepsilon}(R^n) = \frac{m}{\omega_n}$ ,  $w_{0\varepsilon s} \geq 0$  in  $[\varepsilon, R^n]$  as well as  $w_{0\varepsilon} \leq w_0$  in  $[\varepsilon, R^n]$ ,  $w_{0\varepsilon} \nearrow w_0$  in  $(0, R^n]$  and  $w_{0\varepsilon} \rightarrow w_0$  in  $C_{loc}^1((0, R^n])$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ . Then the system (2.18) possesses a unique global classical solution  $w_\varepsilon \in C^0([\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}([\varepsilon, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$  (cf. [19, V.6]).

Via two applications of (5.1),  $w_\varepsilon \leq \frac{m}{\omega_n}$  and  $(w_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)}$  is pointwise monotonically decreasing in  $\varepsilon$ , so these solutions satisfy  $w_\varepsilon \nearrow w$  in  $(0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$  with some limit function  $w$  fulfilling  $0 \leq w \leq \frac{m}{\omega_n}$ , since  $0 \leq w_\varepsilon \leq \frac{m}{\omega_n}$  in  $[\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, \infty)$  by another evident comparison argument. Because  $w_{0\varepsilon s} \geq 0$  and  $w_{\varepsilon s} \geq 0$  both on  $\{\varepsilon\} \times (0, \infty)$  and on  $\{R^n\} \times (0, \infty)$ , we may furthermore conclude that  $w_{\varepsilon s} \geq 0$  in  $(\varepsilon, R^n) \times (0, \infty)$ . From interior parabolic Schauder estimates ([19]) and the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, we furthermore obtain that in fact  $w_\varepsilon \rightarrow w$  in  $C_{loc}^{1, \frac{1}{2}}((0, R^n] \times [0, \infty))$  and in  $C_{loc}^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$  as  $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ , and that it solves (2.20) classically.  $\square$

Note that  $w$  is continuous at  $(0, t)$  with respect to the spatial variable  $s$  for fixed time  $t$ , yet not necessarily continuous in spacetime. As results in related systems, such as [4, Theorem 3.1] or [29, Lemma 3.4], suggest, in this general framework this is probably not always the case globally in time. For small times however, we can establish

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and suppose  $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}([0, R^n])$  is as in (2.19).*

*Then there is a  $T^* > 0$  and  $y : [0, T^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that with  $w$  as in Lemma 2.4*

$$w(s, t) \leq y(t) \cdot s \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in (0, R^n] \times [0, T^*). \quad (2.23)$$

*In consequence, we obtain that*

$$w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T^*)) \quad \text{with } w(0, t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T^*). \quad (2.24)$$

**PROOF.** Once more, we resort to a comparison argument utilizing the approximating solutions  $w_\varepsilon$  which shall again be given by (2.22) and (2.18).

Define

$$y_0 := \|w_{0s}\|_{L^\infty((0, R^n))}, \quad (2.25)$$

and let  $y \in C^1([0, T^*))$  denote the solution to the ODE system

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = ny^2(t), & t > 0, \\ y(0) = y_0, \end{cases} \quad (2.26)$$

extended up to its maximal time of existence  $T^* := T_{max}^y > 0$ .

Based thereupon, for  $\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)$  and arbitrary  $T \in (0, T^*)$ , set

$$\bar{w}(s, t) := y(t) \cdot s, \quad (s, t) \in [\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, T]. \quad (2.27)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_t - n^2 s^2 - \frac{2}{n} - \frac{\beta}{n} \bar{w}_{ss} - n \bar{w} \bar{w}_s + \mu(s - \varepsilon) \bar{w}_s &= y_t \cdot s - ny^2 \cdot s + \mu y \cdot (s - \varepsilon) \\ &= ny^2 \cdot s - ny^2 \cdot s + \mu y \cdot (s - \varepsilon) \\ &= \mu y \cdot (s - \varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\geq 0 \quad (2.29)$$

in  $(\varepsilon, R^n) \times (0, T)$ . Furthermore, by (2.19), (2.22) and (2.25) we deduce that for  $s \in$

## 2 Existence of solutions

$(\varepsilon, R^n)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_\varepsilon(s, 0) &= w_{0\varepsilon}(s) \leq w_0(s) \\
 &= \underbrace{w_0(0)}_{=0} + \int_0^s w_{0s}(\rho) d\rho \\
 &\leq \|w_{0s}\|_{L^\infty((0, R^n))} \cdot s \\
 &\leq y_0 \cdot s \\
 &= \bar{w}(s, 0).
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Since  $y_0 \geq \frac{m}{\omega_n R^n} = \frac{\mu}{n}$ , by means of a simple ODE comparison argument we can see that  $y(t) \geq \frac{\mu}{n} \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Therefore, the required inequalities at the lateral boundary are easily confirmed via

$$w_\varepsilon(0, t) = 0 \leq y(t) \cdot \varepsilon = \bar{w}(\varepsilon, t) \tag{2.31}$$

and

$$w_\varepsilon(R^n, t) = \frac{m}{\omega_n} = \frac{\mu}{n} \cdot R^n \leq y(t) \cdot R^n = \bar{w}(R^n, t) \tag{2.32}$$

for  $t \in (0, T)$ .

Thus combining (2.28), (2.30), (2.31) and (2.32), an application of Lemma 5.1 yields

$$w_\varepsilon(s, t) \leq \bar{w}(s, t) = y(t) \cdot s \quad \text{for all } (s, t) \in [\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, T],$$

and by taking  $T \nearrow T^*$  this inequality holds in  $[\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, T^*)$ . Since the right hand side is independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)$  has been chosen arbitrarily, this results in (2.23).

This obviously entails (2.24) as well.  $\square$

Note that Lemma 2.5 implies that  $w$  solves (2.16) in  $[0, R^n] \times [0, T^*)$ .

The information on the boundary  $s = 0$  on hand now allows for a statement on uniqueness of the function constructed in Lemma 2.4 as a solution to (2.16), as long as its spatial derivative is bounded.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and suppose that  $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}([0, R^n])$  is as in (2.19).*

*For the function  $w$  defined in Lemma 2.4, let  $T > 0$  be such that  $w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T])$  with*

$$w(0, t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T), \tag{2.33}$$

*and furthermore assume that  $w_s$  is bounded in  $(0, R^n) \times [0, T)$ .*

*Then  $w$  is the unique solution of (2.16) in  $C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n) \times (0, T))$ .*

**PROOF.** By (2.20) and (2.33),  $w$  is a classical solution of (2.16) in  $[0, R^n] \times [0, T)$ .

Combined with the boundedness of  $w_s$ , via Lemma 5.1 we may immediately infer uniqueness.  $\square$

### 2.3 Local boundedness of $w_s$

The linear supersolution of  $w$  established in Lemma 2.5 alone is not sufficient to deduce that the spatial derivative  $w_s$  is bounded in  $(0, R^n) \times (0, T^*)$ . In order to be able to draw this conclusion, we impose stricter requirements on the initial data to ensure  $w(\cdot, t)$  is concave.

## 2 Existence of solutions

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $w_0 \in C^{2+\theta}([0, R^n])$  be as in (2.19) with*

$$w_{0ss}(s) \leq 0, \quad s \in (0, R^n), \quad (2.34)$$

as well as

$$w_{0ss}(0) = 0, \quad w_{0s}(R^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{0ss}(R^n) = 0. \quad (2.35)$$

In that case,  $w \in C^{2,1}((0, R^n) \times [0, \infty))$  as in Lemma 2.4 satisfies

$$w_{ss}(s, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^n) \times [0, \infty). \quad (2.36)$$

PROOF. For  $\varepsilon \in (0, R^n)$ , define  $w_{0\varepsilon} \in C^{1+\theta}([\varepsilon, R^n])$  via (2.22) and let  $w_\varepsilon \in C^0([\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}([\varepsilon, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$ .

The key to deducing concavity is ensuring that  $w_\varepsilon \in C^{2,1}([\varepsilon, R^n] \times [0, \infty))$  to control the boundary near  $t = 0$ . To that end, by (2.35) we may infer that

$$w_{0\varepsilon ss}(\varepsilon) = 0, \quad w_{0\varepsilon s}(R^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{0\varepsilon ss}(R^n) = 0$$

and thus

$$n^2 \varepsilon^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}} \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon ss}(\varepsilon) + n \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon}(\varepsilon) \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon s}(\varepsilon) - \mu(\varepsilon - \varepsilon) \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon s}(\varepsilon) = 0$$

as well as

$$n^2 R^{n(2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n})} \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon ss}(R^n) + n \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon}(R^n) \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon s}(R^n) - \mu(R^n - \varepsilon) \tilde{w}_{0\varepsilon s}(R^n) = 0.$$

Therefore, the compatibility conditions are satisfied and thus the desired regularity can be derived via Schauder estimates.

Since (2.34) warrants that also  $w_{0\varepsilon ss} \leq 0$ , a standard comparison argument yields

$$w_{\varepsilon ss}(s, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (\varepsilon, R^n) \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.37)$$

whence we may readily infer (2.36).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $w_0 \in C^{2+\theta}([0, R^n])$  be as in (2.19) with (2.34) as well as (2.35).*

*Let  $w$  denote the global solution to (2.20) from Lemma 2.4. Then with  $T^* > 0$  as in Lemma 2.5 we have that for each  $T \in (0, T^*)$  there exists  $C = C(T)$  such that*

$$w_s(s, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^n] \times [0, T]. \quad (2.38)$$

PROOF. Since the conditions of Lemma 2.7 are met,  $w \in C^{2,1}((0, R^n) \times [0, \infty))$  fulfills

$$w_{ss}(s, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^n) \times [0, \infty). \quad (2.39)$$

Now let  $0 < T < T^*$ . Lemma 2.5 ensures that

$$w(s, t) \leq C \cdot s \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^n] \times [0, T] \quad (2.40)$$

for some  $C = C(T) > 0$ . Thus necessarily for  $t \in (0, T)$

$$\liminf_{s \searrow 0} w_s(s, t) \leq C,$$

and seeing that (2.39) warrants  $w_s(\cdot, t)$  to be monotonically decreasing for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we may easily infer (2.38).  $\square$

## 2.4 Retransformation to Keller-Segel type system

With a local-in-time solution of (2.16) on hand, we may now obtain a solution to our original problem (1.3), or rather to its counterpart (2.3) in radial coordinates.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and suppose that  $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}([0, R^n])$  is as in (2.19).*

*Furthermore, we choose  $T_0 > 0$  maximally such that the function*

$$w \in C^{1, \frac{1}{2}}((0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$$

*constructed in Lemma 2.4 is in  $C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T_0])$  with*

$$w(0, t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T_0). \quad (2.41)$$

*Then for  $u_0 \in C^\theta([0, R])$  defined via*

$$u_0(r) = n \cdot w_{0s}(r^n), \quad r \in [0, R],$$

*the pair of functions  $u \in C^0((0, R] \times [0, T_0]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R] \times (0, T_0))$  given by*

$$u(r, t) = n \cdot w_s(r^n, t), \quad (2.42)$$

*and  $v \in C^{2,0}((0, R] \times (0, T_0))$  fulfilling*

$$v_r(r, t) = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho \right), \quad (r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_0), \quad (2.43)$$

*for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$  solves*

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1+\beta} u_r)_r - \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1} u v_r)_r, & r \in (0, R), t > 0, \\ 0 = \frac{1}{r^{n-1}}(r^{n-1} v_r)_r - \mu + u, & r \in (0, R), t > 0, \\ u_r = v_r = 0, & r = R, t > 0, \\ u(r, 0) = u_0(r), & r \in (0, R), \end{cases} \quad (2.44)$$

*classically in  $(0, R] \times [0, T_0)$ .*

*Moreover, with  $T^* > 0$  as in Lemma 2.5,*

$$T_0 \geq T^*. \quad (2.45)$$

*Furthermore,  $u$  is nonnegative, and the total mass is conserved, that is*

$$\int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u(\rho, t) d\rho = \int_0^R \rho^{n-1} u_0(\rho) d\rho \quad (2.46)$$

*for all  $t \in (0, T_0)$ .*

## 2 Existence of solutions

PROOF. Since  $w \in C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$  and

$$w_t = n^2 s^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}} w_{ss} + n w w_s - \mu s w_s$$

in  $(0, R^n) \times (0, \infty)$ , for any  $t > 0$  we necessarily have

$$w_t(R^n, t) = n^2 R^{n(2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n})} w_{ss}(R^n, t) + n w(R^n, t) w_s(R^n, t) - \mu R^n w_s(R^n, t).$$

Due to  $w(R^n, t) = \frac{m}{\omega_n} = \frac{\mu R^n}{n}$  for all  $t > 0$  and thus also  $w_t(R^n, t) = 0$  in  $(0, \infty)$ , this yields

$$0 = n^2 R^{n(2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n})} w_{ss}(R^n, t) + w_s(R^n, t) \cdot \left( n \frac{\mu R^n}{n} - \mu R^n \right),$$

and therefore

$$w_{ss}(R^n, t) = 0 \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (2.47)$$

This yields the boundary condition

$$u_r(R, t) = n^2 w_{ss}(R^n, t) \cdot R^{n-1} = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T_0). \quad (2.48)$$

The remaining part can be verified by the asserted regularity and straightforward calculation.  $\square$

Combining multiple results of this section, we are moreover able to formulate a proposition regarding uniqueness of solutions to (2.44).

**Lemma 2.10.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $u_0 \in C^\theta([0, R])$ . Then for  $T > 0$  there is at most one solution  $(u, v)$  of (2.44) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T)$  with*

$$\begin{cases} u \in C^0((0, R] \times [0, T)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R] \times (0, T)), \\ v \in C^{2,0}((0, R] \times (0, T)), \end{cases}$$

which has the properties that  $\int_0^R v(r, t) dr = 0$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$  and

$$0 \leq u \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T)) \quad \text{and} \quad v_r \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T)). \quad (2.49)$$

PROOF. Note that  $n \geq 2$  implies that  $\beta > 0 \geq 2 - n$ . Therefore, if also (2.49) holds, the requirements of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 are met and thus the existence of a solution of (2.44) implies the existence of a solution  $w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, T))$  of (2.16) with  $w_0 \in C^{1+\theta}([0, R^n])$ , in which  $w_s \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T))$  is nonnegative and bounded.

Then Lemma 2.6 however warrants that  $w$  is the unique classical solution of (2.16). Via the fundamental theorem of calculus, we can also easily infer that then

$$w(s, t) = w(0, t) + \int_0^s w_s(\rho, t) d\rho \leq \|w_s\|_{L^\infty((0, R^n) \times (0, T))} \cdot s,$$

providing a pendant to (2.23), although we technically do not need it since we do not demand  $v_r$  to be extendable to  $r = 0$ .

Lemma 2.9 then guarantees the existence of a solution  $(u, v)$  of (2.44) in the sense specified above.

In conclusion, solutions of (2.44) satisfying the conditions of this lemma correspond with

## 2 Existence of solutions

solutions of (2.16), thus transferring their uniqueness. □

We remark that actually  $u_0 \in C^0([0, R])$  is sufficient for Lemma 2.10 to hold, since bounded  $w_s \in C^0((0, R^n] \times [0, T])$  is sufficient for all relevant arguments.

Sharpening the conditions in accordance with subsection 2.3 enables us to acquire additional properties, most prominently local-in-time boundedness of  $u$  in  $(0, R] \times [0, T^*)$ .

**Lemma 2.11.** *Suppose that the conditions of Lemma 2.8 hold, and let  $(u, v)$  denote the solution of (2.44) constructed in Lemma 2.9.*

*Then this solution has the additional properties that  $u \in C^{1,0}((0, R] \times [0, T_0))$  and*

$$u_r(r, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T_0). \quad (2.50)$$

*Moreover, for  $T^* > 0$  as in Lemma 2.5 and each  $T \in (0, T^*)$ , there exists  $C = C(T) > 0$  such that*

$$u(r, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T]. \quad (2.51)$$

PROOF. One only needs to adapt the results of Lemma 2.8.

The first spatial derivative of  $u$  is given by

$$u_r(r, t) = n^2 w_{ss}(r^n, t) \cdot r^{n-1} \quad \text{for } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_0).$$

Therefore the claimed regularity follows from  $w \in C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times [0, T_0))$ , whereas (2.50) is a consequence of (2.39).

Lastly, as  $u(r, t) = n \cdot w_s(r^n, t)$  for  $(r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T_0)$ , (2.38) translates to (2.51). □

### 3 Ruling out global boundedness in (1.3) for sufficiently concentrated initial data

In usual settings of the Keller-Segel system and its variants, the occurrence of blow-up at a finite time  $T < \infty$  corresponds with the maximal time of existence  $T_{max}$  equaling  $T$ . Since our classical solution concept however does not require  $u$  to be defined continuously on a compact space, it is well possible that for a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\limsup_{t \nearrow T} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \infty$$

but  $u \in C^0(\Omega \times [0, T_0)) \cap C^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_0))$  for some  $T_0 > T$ . Moreover considering we have no extensibility criterion at hand, we restrict ourselves to ruling out the existence of global bounded solutions under certain circumstances.

As in [37, Lemma 3.3], we may establish that given a condition corresponding to the initial mass in the corresponding original system (1.3) being sufficiently concentrated, there is no global solution of (2.16) for which  $w_s$  is bounded locally in time in  $(0, R^n) \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\beta > \max\{0, 2 - n\}$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $m_0 > 0$  and  $m \geq m_0$ . There exists  $s_0 = s_0(m_0, m, R, \beta) \in (0, R^n)$  such that if  $w_0 \in C^1([0, R^n])$ ,  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ , and furthermore*

$$w_0(s_0) \geq \frac{m_0}{\omega_n}, \quad (3.1)$$

then there is no global classical solution

$$w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n) \times (0, \infty))$$

of (2.16) with the property that for each  $T > 0$

$$w_s \in L^\infty((0, R^n) \times (0, T)). \quad (3.2)$$

PROOF. Due to  $\beta > 2 - n$ , it is possible to fix  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  with the property that

$$\gamma \leq 1 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n}. \quad (3.3)$$

We abbreviate

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &:= \frac{8 \left(2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma\right)^2 n^3}{3 - \frac{4}{n} + \frac{2\beta}{n} - \gamma}, & c_2 &:= \frac{2n}{(3 - \gamma)\omega_n^2} \quad \text{and} \\ c_3 &:= \frac{3}{4\omega_n} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{1 - \gamma} - \frac{1}{2 - \gamma}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Observe that  $\beta > 2 - n$  implies that  $2 - \frac{4}{n} + \frac{2\beta}{n} > 0$ .

Therefore, given  $R > 0$ ,  $m_0 > 0$  and  $m > 0$  we can fix  $s_0 = s_0(m_0, m, R) \in (0, \frac{R^n}{2})$  such that  $s_1 := 2s_0$  satisfies

$$s_1^{2 - \frac{4}{n} + \frac{2\beta}{n}} \leq \frac{(1 - \gamma)c_3^2}{nc_1} m_0^2 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$s_1^2 \leq \frac{(1 - \gamma)c_3^2}{nc_2} \cdot \frac{m_0^2 R^{2n}}{m^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

### 3 Ruling out global boundedness in (1.3) for sufficiently concentrated initial data

and henceforth we assume that  $w \in C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, \infty))$  is a global classical solution of (2.16) satisfying (3.2). For  $\delta \in (0, \frac{s_1}{2})$ , we then use (2.16) to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w(s, t) ds \\
&= n^2 \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w_{ss}(s, t) ds + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) (w^2)_s(s, t) ds \\
&\quad - \mu \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{1-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w_s(s, t) ds \\
&= n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s, t) ds \\
&\quad - 2n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{1-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s, t) ds + \frac{n}{2} \cdot \gamma \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma-1} (s_1 - s) w^2(s, t) ds \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2} \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, t) ds + \mu(1 - \gamma) \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w(s, t) ds - \mu \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{1-\gamma} w(s, t) ds \\
&\quad + n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \delta^{1-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) w(\delta, t) - n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) w_s(\delta, t) \\
&\quad + n^2 s_1^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s_1, t) - n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(\delta, t) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) w^2(\delta, t) + \mu \delta^{1-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) w(\delta, t) \quad \text{for all } t > 0
\end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu = \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ . Note that due to (3.3), the first summand in the last equality is nonnegative. Neglecting some other nonnegative summands as well and integrating in time leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w(s, t) ds &\geq \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w_0(s) ds \\
&\quad - 2n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \int_0^t \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{1-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds d\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{n}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds d\tau - \mu \int_0^t \int_{\delta}^{s_1} s^{1-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds d\tau \\
&\quad - n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) \int_0^t w_s(\delta, \tau) d\tau \\
&\quad - n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} \int_0^t w(\delta, \tau) d\tau \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) \int_0^t w^2(\delta, \tau) d\tau \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Here since we assume  $w(0, t) = 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$  and boundedness of  $w_s$  in  $(0, R^n) \times (0, t)$ ,

### 3 Ruling out global boundedness in (1.3) for sufficiently concentrated initial data

we may infer that  $\sup_{(s,\tau) \in (0,R^n) \times (0,t)} \frac{w(s,\tau)}{s}$  is finite, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) \int_0^t w_s(\delta, \tau) d\tau + n^2 \delta^{2-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} \int_0^t w(\delta, \tau) d\tau \\ & + \frac{n}{2} \delta^{-\gamma} (s_1 - \delta) \int_0^t w^2(\delta, \tau) d\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \delta \searrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence on several applications of the monotone convergence theorem we infer from (3.7) that  $y(t) := \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) w(s, t) ds$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) & \geq y(0) - 2n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} s^{1-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds d\tau \\ & + \frac{n}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds d\tau - \mu \int_0^t \int_0^{s_1} s^{1-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds d\tau \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n^2 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right) \int_0^{s_1} s^{1-\frac{2}{n}+\frac{\beta}{n}-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds \\ & \leq \frac{n}{8} \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds + 8 \left( 2 - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{\beta}{n} - \gamma \right)^2 n^3 \int_0^{s_1} s^{2-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}-\gamma} ds \\ & = \frac{n}{8} \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds + c_1 s_1^{3-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } \tau > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \int_0^{s_1} s^{1-\gamma} w(s, \tau) ds & \leq \frac{n}{8} \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds + \frac{2\mu^2}{n} \int_0^{s_1} s^{2-\gamma} ds \\ & = \frac{n}{8} \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds + c_2 \frac{m^2}{R^{2n}} s_1^{3-\gamma} \quad \text{for all } \tau > 0 \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} y(\tau) & \leq \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s)^2 ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ s_1^2 \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = \left\{ \int_0^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} w^2(s, \tau) ds \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left\{ \frac{1}{1-\gamma} s_1^{3-\gamma} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \tau > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This entails that

$$y(t) \geq y(0) + \frac{4(1-\gamma)}{n} s_1^{\gamma-3} \int_0^t y^2(\tau) d\tau - \left\{ c_1 s_1^{3-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}-\gamma} + c_2 \frac{m^2}{R^{2n}} s_1^{3-\gamma} \right\} \cdot t \quad \text{for all } t > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Now since (3.1) along with our selections of  $s_0$  and  $c_3$  guarantees that

$$\begin{aligned} y(0) & \geq \frac{m_0}{\omega_n} \cdot \int_{\frac{s_1}{2}}^{s_1} s^{-\gamma} (s_1 - s) ds \\ & = \frac{m_0}{\omega_n} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1-\gamma} s_1 \left( s_1^{1-\gamma} - \left( \frac{s_1}{2} \right)^{1-\gamma} \right) - \frac{1}{2-\gamma} \left( s_1^{2-\gamma} - \left( \frac{s_1}{2} \right)^{2-\gamma} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

3 Ruling out global boundedness in (1.3) for sufficiently concentrated initial data

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{m_0}{\omega_n} \cdot \left( \frac{3}{4(1-\gamma)} s_1^{2-\gamma} - \frac{3}{4(2-\gamma)} s_1^{2-\gamma} \right) \\ &= c_3 m_0 s_1^{2-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

and that hence, by (3.5) and (3.6),

$$\frac{c_1 s_1^{3-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}-\gamma} + c_2 \frac{m^2}{R^{2n}} s_1^{3-\gamma}}{\frac{2(1-\gamma)}{n} s_1^{\gamma-3} y^2(0)} \leq \frac{nc_1}{2(1-\gamma)c_3^2 m_0^2} s_1^{2-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}} + \frac{nc_2 m^2}{2(1-\gamma)c_3^2 m_0^2 R^{2n}} s_1^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

it follows that there exists  $T > 0$  such that the problem

$$\begin{cases} \underline{y}'(t) = \frac{4(1-\gamma)}{n} s_1^{\gamma-3} \underline{y}^2(t) - \left\{ c_1 s_1^{3-\frac{4}{n}+\frac{2\beta}{n}-\gamma} + c_2 \frac{m^2}{R^{2n}} s_1^{3-\gamma} \right\}, & t \in (0, T), \\ \underline{y}(0) = y(0), \end{cases}$$

admits a solution  $\underline{y} \in C^1([0, T])$  fulfilling  $\underline{y}(t) \nearrow +\infty$  as  $t \nearrow T$ . But an ODE comparison argument based on (3.8) ensures that  $y(t) \geq \underline{y}(t)$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , which is incompatible with our hypothesis that  $w$  is a global classical solution of (2.16) for which the first spatial derivative  $w_s$  is bounded locally in time.  $\square$

## 4 Proof of main results

We shall now give proof to theorems 1.1 – 1.3.

The first two main theorems are obtained by utilizing the results from subsection 2.4 and transferring them to (1.3).

PROOF of Theorem 1.1. Let  $m := \int_{\Omega} u_0$ . Consider  $\tilde{u}_0 \in C^\theta([0, R])$  as the initial data in radial coordinates. Then  $w_0 : [0, R^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$w_0(s) = \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} \tilde{u}_0 d\rho \quad \text{for } s \in [0, R^n] \quad (4.1)$$

is in  $C^{1+\frac{\theta}{n}}([0, R^n])$  and satisfies

$$n \cdot w_{0s}(r^n) = \tilde{u}_0(r) \quad (4.2)$$

for  $r \in [0, R]$  as well as

$$w_0(0) = 0, \quad w_0(R^n) = \frac{m}{\omega_n} \quad \text{and} \quad w_{0s}(s) \geq 0, \quad s \in [0, R^n],$$

and thus with  $\mu := \frac{nm}{\omega_n R^n}$ , Lemma 2.9 asserts Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

For the second theorem, the key lemmata are 2.11 and 2.10.

PROOF of Theorem 1.2. Again, let  $\tilde{u}_0 \in C^{1+\theta}((0, R])$  be the initial data in radial coordinates. Then  $w_0$  as in (4.1) is in  $C^{2+\frac{\theta}{n}}((0, R^n])$  and satisfies (4.2) as well as

$$\tilde{u}_{0r}(r) = n^2 w_{0ss}(r^n) \cdot r^{n-1} \quad \text{for } r \in (0, R].$$

Since  $u_0$  is radially decreasing and therefore  $\tilde{u}_{0r} \leq 0$  in  $(0, R]$ , this implies

$$w_{0ss}(s) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, R^n]. \quad (4.3)$$

Moreover, (1.7) entails

$$w_{0s}(R^n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_{0ss}(R^n) = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Furthermore, due to  $|\nabla u_0(x)| = |\tilde{u}_{0r}(r)|$  with  $r = |x|$  for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , (1.8) results in

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{0ss}(r^n)| &= \left| \frac{1}{n^2} r^{1-n} \tilde{u}_{0r}(r) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{n^2} r^\theta \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $r \rightarrow 0$ , warranting that  $w_0 \in C^{2+\frac{\theta}{n}}([0, R^n])$  with

$$w_{0ss}(0) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Now (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) certify that the conditions of Lemma 2.8 are fulfilled, and thus

#### 4 Proof of main results

Lemma 2.11 warrants that  $\tilde{u} \in C^{1,0}((0, R] \times [0, T_0))$  with

$$\tilde{u}_r(r, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T_0), \quad (4.6)$$

and that moreover there exists  $T^* \in (0, T_0]$  such that for  $T \in (0, T^*)$ ,

$$\tilde{u}(r, t) \leq C \quad \text{for all } (r, t) \in (0, R] \times [0, T] \quad (4.7)$$

with some  $C = C(T) > 0$ . This however implies that for the solution  $(u, v)$  of (1.3) from Theorem 1.1, (1.9) and (1.10) are valid.

By the definition of  $\tilde{v}_r$  in (2.43) and (4.7), we may infer that furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{v}_r(r, t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \left( \frac{\mu r^n}{n} - \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} \tilde{u}(\rho, t) d\rho \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{\mu r^n}{n} + C(T) \frac{1}{r^{n-1}} \int_0^r \rho^{n-1} d\rho \\ &= \frac{\mu r}{n} + C(T) \frac{r}{n} \end{aligned}$$

for  $T \in (0, T^*)$  and  $(r, t) \in (0, R] \times (0, T)$ , warranting

$$\tilde{v}_r \in L^\infty((0, R] \times (0, T)) \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $T \in (0, T^*)$ . This also entails that  $\int_0^R \tilde{v}(r, t) dr$  is well-defined in  $(0, T^*)$ , and thus allows us to uniquely determine  $\tilde{v} \in C^{2,0}((0, R] \times (0, T^*))$  by demanding

$$\int_0^R \tilde{v}(r, t) dr = 0.$$

If now additionally  $n \geq 2$ , then by (4.7), (4.8) and nonnegativity of  $\tilde{u}$ , Lemma 2.10 ensures that  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  is the unique solution of (2.44) in  $(0, R] \times [0, T^*)$  satisfying these properties.

Regarding the original variables and considering

$$|\nabla v(x, t)| = |\tilde{v}_r(|x|, t)| \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times (0, T^*)$$

though, this means that the corresponding pair of functions  $(u, v)$  is indeed the unique solution of (1.3) in  $\Omega_0 \times [0, T^*)$  fulfilling (1.11) and (1.12).  $\square$

The result on ruling out global boundedness is established by means of Subsection 2.1 and Lemma 3.1.

**PROOF of Theorem 1.3.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\Omega = B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\beta > 0$  and  $u_0 \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  complying with (1.4). Then, with designations as above,  $\tilde{u}_0 \in C^0([0, R])$ .

Furthermore, suppose  $u_0$  is such that with  $m = \int_\Omega u_0$ ,  $m_0 \in (0, m]$  and  $r_0 = s_0^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for

$s_0 = s_0(m_0, m, R, \beta) \in (0, R^n)$  as in Lemma 3.1, we have that (1.13) holds.

Assume there was a global classical solution to (1.3) satisfying (1.15) for all  $T > 0$  and (1.14).

#### 4 Proof of main results

Consider  $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  as  $(u, v)$  in radial coordinates which has the property that

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u} \in C^0((0, R] \times [0, \infty)) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R] \times (0, \infty)), \\ \tilde{v} \in C^{2,0}((0, R] \times (0, \infty)), \end{cases}$$

and solves (2.3) for the initial condition  $\tilde{u}(\cdot, 0) = \tilde{u}_0$ . Moreover, since  $|\nabla v(x, t)| = |\tilde{v}_r(|x|, t)|$  for all  $(x, t) \in \Omega_0 \times (0, \infty)$ , (1.15) entails that for each  $T > 0$

$$0 \leq \tilde{u} \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T)) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{v}_r \in L^\infty((0, R) \times (0, T)). \quad (4.9)$$

As  $n \geq 2$ , Lemma 2.1 now ensures that  $\tilde{v}_r$  is as in (2.4), whereby in turn we may infer that the requirements of Lemma 2.3 are met, since  $n \geq 2$  also implies that  $\beta > 0 \geq 2 - n$ . Therefore,  $w : [0, R^n] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined via

$$w(s, t) := \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} \tilde{u}(\rho, t) d\rho, \quad s = r^n \in [0, R^n], \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

is in  $C^0([0, R^n] \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}((0, R^n] \times (0, T))$  and solves (2.16) in  $[0, R^n] \times [0, \infty)$  for  $w_0 \in C^1([0, R^n])$  given by

$$w_0(s) = \int_0^{s^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} \tilde{u}_0(\rho) d\rho, \quad s \in [0, R^n].$$

Furthermore,

$$w_s(s, t) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \tilde{u}(s^{\frac{1}{n}}, t) \quad \text{for all} \quad (s, t) \in (0, R^n) \times (0, \infty)$$

combined with (4.9) entails that for each  $T > 0$

$$w_s \in L^\infty((0, R^n) \times (0, T)).$$

Thus a global classical solution of (2.16) exists, and its first spatial derivative is bounded locally in time. Since however for  $s_0 \in (0, R^n)$  as above by (1.13),

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(s_0) &= \int_0^{s_0^{\frac{1}{n}}} \rho^{n-1} \tilde{u}_0(\rho) d\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{B_{s_0^{\frac{1}{n}}}(0)} u_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_n} \int_{B_{r_0}(0)} u_0 \\ &\geq \frac{m_0}{\omega_n}, \end{aligned}$$

this is inconsistent with Lemma 3.1, thus ruling out the existence of a global classical solution to (1.3) satisfying (1.15) and (1.14) under the given premises.  $\square$

## 5 Appendix: A comparison principle for (2.16)

An important tool in the analysis of the transformed systems is a comparison principle. Since we also need to be able to deal with diffusion degeneracy, the standard comparison principles do not seem to apply. Also, for arguments as in [37], some information drawn from standard results regarding the original Keller-Segel system is missing. Therefore, we prove a comparison principle tailored to our specific cases:

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $T > 0$  and  $l, L \geq 0$  with  $l < L$ . Suppose that  $\underline{w}$  and  $\bar{w}$  belong to  $C^0([l, L] \times [0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}((l, L) \times (0, T))$ , and that additionally either*

$$\underline{w}_s \in L^\infty((l, L) \times (0, T)) \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{w}_s \in L^\infty((l, L) \times (0, T)).$$

Moreover, for  $a, b, \gamma \geq 0$  and  $\alpha, \delta, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\underline{w}_t \leq a s^\alpha \underline{w}_{ss} + b s^\gamma \underline{w} \underline{w}_s + c s^\delta \underline{w}_s + d \underline{w}_s \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{w}_t \geq a s^\alpha \bar{w}_{ss} + b s^\gamma \bar{w} \bar{w}_s + c s^\delta \bar{w}_s + d \bar{w}_s \quad (5.1)$$

shall hold for all  $(s, t) \in (l, L) \times (0, T)$ , as well as

$$\underline{w}(s, 0) \leq \bar{w}(s, 0) \quad \text{for all } s \in (l, L) \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\underline{w}(l, t) \leq \bar{w}(l, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{w}(L, t) \leq \bar{w}(L, t) \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T). \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$\underline{w}(s, t) \leq \bar{w}(s, t) \quad \text{for all } s \in [l, L] \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.4)$$

PROOF. This can be derived via a standard argumentation to prove comparison principles for Dirichlet boundary problems.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author acknowledges support of the *Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft* in the context of the project *Fine structures in interpolation inequalities and application to parabolic problems*, project number 462888149.

## References

- [1] ADLER, J.: *Motile Escherichia coli migrate in bands that are influenced by oxygen and organic nutrients*. Science **153**, 708-716 (1966)
- [2] BERENDS, E.T.M. ET AL.: *Bacteria under stress by complement and coagulation*. Microbiology reviews **38**, 1146-1171 (2014)
- [3] BILER, P.: *Local and global solvability of some parabolic systems modeling chemotaxis*. Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **8**, 715-743 (1998)
- [4] BILER, P.: *Radially symmetric solutions of a chemotaxis model in the plane – the supercritical case*. Banach Center Publications **81**, 31-42 (2008)
- [5] CHAPLAIN, M.A.J., LOLAS, G.: *Mathematical modelling of cancer invasion of tissue: the role of the urokinase plasminogen activation system*. Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **15**, 1685-1734 (2005)
- [6] CHIYODA, Y., MIZUKAMI, M., YOKOTA, T.: *Finite-time blow-up in a quasilinear degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation*. Acta Applicandae Mathematicae **167**, 231-259 (2020)
- [7] DU, W., LIU, S.: *Blow-up solutions of a chemotaxis model with nonlocal effects*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **73** 14 p. (2023)
- [8] ENGELMANN, T.W.: *Neue Methode zur Untersuchung der Sauerstoffausscheidung pflanzlicher und thierischer Organismen*. Archiv für die gesamte Physiologie des Menschen und der Tiere **25**, 285-292 (1881)
- [9] FRICK, I., BJÖRCK, L., HERWALD, H.: *The dual role of the contact system in bacterial infections*. Thromb Haemost **98**, 497-502 (2007)
- [10] FUJIE, K., JIANG, J.: *Comparison methods for a Keller-Segel-type model of pattern formations with density-suppressed motilities*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **60**, 92 (2021)
- [11] HERRERO, M.A., VELAZQUEZ, J.J.L.: *A blow-up mechanism for a chemotaxis model*. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze 4e série **24**, 633-683 (1997)
- [12] HILLEN, T., PAINTER, K.J.: *A user's guide to PDE models for chemotaxis*. Journal of Mathematical Biology **58**, 183-217 (2009)
- [13] ISHIDA, S., YOKOTA, T.: *Weak stabilization in degenerate parabolic equations in divergence form: application to degenerate Keller-Segel systems*. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations **61**, 105 (2022)
- [14] ISHIDA, S., YOKOTA, T.: *Boundedness and weak stabilization in a degenerate chemotaxis model arising from tumor invasion*. Journal of Differential Equations **371**, 450-480 (2023)
- [15] JÄGER, W., LUCKHAUS, S.: *On explosions of solutions to a system of partial differential equations modelling chemotaxis*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **329**, 819-824 (1992)

## References

- [16] JIANG, J.: *Convergence to equilibria of global solutions to a degenerate quasi-linear Keller-Segel system*. Zeitschrift für angewandte Mathematik und Physik **69**, 130 (2018)
- [17] KELLER, E.F., SEGEL, L.A.: *Initiation of slime mold aggregation viewed as an instability*. Journal of Theoretical Biology **26**, 399-415 (1970)
- [18] KELLER, E.F., SEGEL, L.A.: *Traveling bands of chemotactic bacteria: a theoretical analysis*. Journal of Theoretical Biology **30**, 235-248 (1971)
- [19] LADYZENSKAJA, O. A., SOLONNIKOV, V. A., URAL'CEVA, N. N.: *Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type*. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., Vol. **23**, Providence, RI (1968)
- [20] LIU, M., LI, Y.: *Finite-time blowup in attraction-repulsion systems with nonlinear signal production*. Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **61** 21 p. (2021)
- [21] MAO, X., LI, Y.: *Critical mass for Keller-Segel systems with supercritical nonlinear sensitivity*. Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **33**, 2395-2423 (2023)
- [22] MIZOGUCHI, N., WINKLER, M.: *Blow-up in the two-dimensional Keller-Segel system*. Journal d Analyse Mathematique **141**, 585-624 (2020)
- [23] MIZUKAMI, M., ONO, T., YOKOTA, T.: *Extensibility criterion ruling out gradient blow-up in a quasilinear degenerate chemotaxis system with flux limitation*. Journal of Differential Equations **267**, 5115-5164 (2019)
- [24] NAGAI, T., SENBA, T.: *Behavior of radially symmetric solutions of a system related to chemotaxis*. Nonlinear Analysis **30**, 3837-3842 (1997)
- [25] NAGAI, T., SENBA, T., YOSHIDA, K.: *Application of the Trudinger–Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis*. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **40**, 411-433 (1997)
- [26] OSAKI, K., YAGI, A.: *Finite dimensional attractor for one-dimensional Keller-Segel equations*. Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **44**, 441-469 (2001)
- [27] OSAKI, T., KAWABATA, S.: *Structure and function of coagulogen, a clottable protein in horseshoe crabs*. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences **61**, 1257-1265 (2004)
- [28] PAINTER, K.J., MAINI, P.K., OTHMER, H.G.: *Complex spatial patterns in a hybrid chemotaxis reaction-diffusion model*. Journal of Mathematical Biology **41**, 285-314 (2000)
- [29] PRIMICERIO, M., ZALTZMAN, B.: *Global in time solution to the Keller-Segel model of chemotaxis*. Preprint. (2003)
- [30] SKOGEN, W.F. ET AL.: *Fibrinogen-deprived peptide B beta 1-42 is a multidomain neutrophil chemoattractant*. Blood **71**, 1475-1479 (1988)
- [31] TANAKA, Y.; YOKOTA, T.: *Finite-time blow-up in a quasilinear degenerate chemotaxis system with logistic source and nonlinear production*. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **28**, 262-286 (2023)

## References

- [32] TU, X., MU, C., ZHENG, P.: *On effects of the nonlinear signal production to the boundedness and finite-time blow-up in a flux-limited chemotaxis model.* Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences **32**, 647-711 (2022)
- [33] WANG, W., LI, Y.: *Boundedness and finite-time blow-up in a chemotaxis system with nonlinear signal production.* Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications **59** 21 p. (2021)
- [34] WANG, Z. ET AL.: *Pathogen entrapment by Transglutaminase – A Conserved Early Innate Immune Mechanism.* PLOS Pathogens **6** (2010)
- [35] WINKLER, M.: *Blow-up in a higher-dimensional chemotaxis system despite logistic growth restriction.* Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **384**, 261-272 (2011)
- [36] WINKLER, M.: *Finite-time blow-up in the higher-dimensional parabolic-parabolic Keller-Segel system.* Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **100**, 748-767 (2013)
- [37] WINKLER, M.: *How unstable is spatial homogeneity in Keller-Segel systems? A new critical mass phenomenon in two- and higher-dimensional parabolic-elliptic cases.* Math. Ann. **373**, 1237–1282 (2019).
- [38] WINKLER, M.: *Approaching logarithmic singularities in quasilinear chemotaxis-consumption systems with signal-dependent sensitivities.* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems – B **27**, 6565-6587 (2022)
- [39] WINKLER, M.:  *$L^\infty$  bounds in a two-dimensional doubly degenerate nutrient taxis system with doubly general cross-diffusive flux.* Preprint.
- [40] WINKLER, M., DJIE, K.: *Boundedness and finite-time collapse in a chemotaxis system with volume-filling effect.* Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications **72**, 1044-1064 (2010)
- [41] WINKLER, M.: *Approaching logarithmic singularities in quasilinear chemotaxis-consumption systems with signal-dependent sensitivities.* Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems – Series B **27**, 6565-6587 (2022)
- [42] WOODWARD, D.E., TYSON, R., MYERSCOUGH, M.R., MURRAY, J.D., BUDRENE, E.O., BERG, H.C.: *Spatiotemporal patterns generated by Salmonella typhimurium.* Biophysical Journal **68**, 2181-2189 (1995)